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Executive summary

Background and methodology

This research was originally commissioned by the Learning and Skills Council (LSC) to gain a detailed understanding of the scale and nature of the learning provision supplied by third sector learning providers in England and the impact of this provision on learners. This covered both adult and young learners, and has been published in the report *Understanding the Contribution of the Third Sector in Learning and Skills*.

Based on this work, the Young People’s Learning Agency (YPLA) commissioned this report to focus on third sector learning provision for young people based on data from the Learning and Skills Council, and to help inform the new commissioning processes within local authorities. Third sector providers play an important role in bringing disadvantaged young people into learning and skills development. This report brings together quantitative data on third sector learner demographics and performance, alongside qualitative insights into how the third sector engages and supports its learners.

Meeting these objectives required two phases of research, comprising:

- A comprehensive analysis of existing quantitative data recorded on the LSC’s Individualised Learner Record (ILR) database, covering four academic years from 2004/05 to 2007/08; and
- A series of qualitative case studies of priority learning areas. These included 30 in-depth interviews with young learners in the third sector, and 9 interviews with third sector providers who worked with young people.

The third sector provision referred to in this report relates only to direct provision, and not provision that is subcontracted or franchised out to the third sector.

---

1 http://readingroom.lsc.gov.uk/lsc/National/Understanding_the_Contribution_of_the_Third_Sector_in_LSC.pdf

2 The Third Sector comprises organisations which are non-governmental and value-rather than profit-driven, reinvesting surpluses to further their social, cultural or environmental goals. It was previously known as the voluntary and community sector. (HM Treasury, 2005: Exploring the role of the third sector in public service delivery and reform).

3 The priority learning areas covered in this report are: Work-based learning (WBL) undertaken by learners with a learning difficulty and/or disability; European Social Fund (ESF) learning undertaken by 16–18-year-old learners; Further Education (FE) learners participating in Full Level 2 learning; and WBL undertaken by young learners on Apprenticeships resident in particularly deprived areas. These areas were informed by exploration of the ILR data and were agreed in consultation with the LSC’s Third Sector team.
Key findings

Profile of learners and learning aims

Analysis of ILR data shows that within the three funding streams explored (Further Education [FE], Work-Based learning [WBL] and European Social Fund [ESF]), third sector provision reaches a distinct learner demographic compared with non-third sector provision.

Within every funding stream, third sector learners are more ethnically diverse and also more likely to be resident in a deprived area⁴. Fully half (50%) of WBL third sector learners live in the bottom 20% of the most deprived areas, compared with 27% of non-third sector WBL learners.

In WBL and ESF provision, they are also more likely to have a learning difficulty or disability.

As well as showing demographic differences, third sector learners engage with learning and skills from different backgrounds and less ‘traditional’ routes. In 2007/08, nearly nine in ten of young WBL third sector learners (88%) were unemployed when they started their course vs. just 31% of young learners in non-third sector WBL. Here the third sector has a significant role to play in delivering Entry to Employment (E2E) programmes to young learners; nearly 19,000 E2E programmes were provided in 2007/08, representing over one-quarter of all the total E2E aims delivered to young learners nationally.

Achievement rates

The achievement rates for third sector learners vary by funding stream and also by learner demographics.

Achievement rates⁵ for third sector FE learning aims for young people are slightly higher than those for non-third sector FE learning aims for young people (76% vs. 73%). Furthermore, FE achievement rates for young people in the third sector have increased significantly since 2004/05 (from 67% – an increase of nine percentage points).

In WBL and ESF provision, third sector achievement rates among young learners (16–18 years) are comparable with non-third sector provision.

In the WBL and FE funding streams third sector providers performed better than their non-third sector counterparts in terms of achievement rates for young learners with a learning difficulty and/or disability.

---

⁴ As defined according to the Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD).

www.communities.gov.uk/communities/neighbourhoodrenewal/deprivation/deprivation07

⁵ Calculated as the number of achieved and partially achieved aims, expressed as a percentage of the number of aims with a definite outcome (i.e. excluding any aims where learning is still ongoing).
Engaging and supporting learners

Evidence from the qualitative case study research suggests that third sector providers invest considerable time and effort into the recruitment, initial guidance and needs-assessment of their prospective students. Third sector providers tend to take a holistic, rather than a funding-led, approach to learning delivery, with learners offered not just their funded course but also commonly mentoring, one-to-one tutoring and guidance, personal support and job-searching advice. Consequently, third sector learners can extract maximum benefit from their learning.

Third sector providers have strong relationships with other organisations, in both the public and voluntary sectors, and draw on these relationships to engage learners and offer additional support. Many of their learners are referred by Connexions, and they work in partnership with Connexions, local schools, social services, youth groups, young offenders' programmes and other organisations to meet learners' needs more holistically.

Providers offer pastoral and financial advice in-house, where possible, but refer learners to specialist organisations in more complex cases.

The personalised, flexible approach of third sector providers is central to their success with harder-to-reach learners. Advisors or teaching staff help students choose the most suitable courses for that individual and extra Key Skills or preliminary courses can be added, as needed. Much resource is put into monitoring learners and working with them to ‘overcome’ the barriers that they encounter. Students are often able to complete a course ‘in their own time’, rather than having to adhere to strict or ‘traditional’ timetables.

Previously ‘disaffected’ learners describe feeling able to engage with third sector provision due to the approachable staff and the one-to-one help that they receive with both learning and personal issues, right from the outset. In smaller classes and an environment that is ‘not like school’, many of these students discover a new motivation to learn and find work. Overall, learner satisfaction with third sector courses is extremely high.

Successful work placements are key drivers of learning satisfaction and completion. Some third sector providers have established in-house, simulated, workplace environments or social enterprises that are very effective for those learners who are furthest from the labour market. However, in organisations that rely on local employers to provide work experience, some learners report not getting a placement or not feeling their placement was relevant. The economic downturn has made arranging work placements more difficult for providers.

As well as ‘hard’ outcomes (for example, qualifications, further learning and/or employment) being achieved by many learners interviewed as part of the case study research, a wide range of ‘softer’ outcomes were reported (for example, increased confidence and motivation, an improved attitude to learning and improved employability skills).
Conclusions and recommendations

Personal and social circumstances of the third sector learner population, such as learning difficulties and/or disabilities, social deprivation, precarious housing or unstable family backgrounds, make the starting point for many third sector providers more difficult compared with that of non-third sector learning provision.

The research suggests that the key factor differentiating providers with very high achievement rates from those with average achievement rates is the type of learner targeted and attracted. Typically, providers with very high achievement rates work with less disadvantaged learners, who are less ‘disenfranchised’ from learning. As such, a single, overall measure of achievement by the provider may mask the significant ‘added value’ of those providers working predominantly with more disadvantaged groups.

Key recommendations arising from the research include:

*Information – more regular and more transparent communication*

- Ongoing information and communication between the YPLA, local authorities and their third sector partners is vital. Providers need to be informed, well in advance, of any changes to their contracts, administrative requirements, specific funding rules and eligibility criteria, or new policy priorities within the learning and skills sector, in general.

*Flexibility and long-term commitment – recognising the needs of third sector providers and their learners*

- Third sector providers feel that the needs of their learners could be met more effectively by increasing the flexibility of funding streams and eligibility criteria or by allowing providers more discretion in the administration of a limited amount of budget for learning delivery and support. This would reflect the manner in which many providers work with learners; tailoring the level and nature of the support needed to the individual and providing intensive one-to-one interventions, when needed.

- We recommend that consideration is given to calculating the contribution of the third sector by introducing a ‘value-added’ or ‘distance-travelled’ performance metric, in addition to the current achievement rates that are calculated for providers. This approach would assess the relative improvement a learner makes, considering his or her prior educational attainment, any barriers to their learning and enabling a complete assessment of their progress. This is a complex task, and current ILR records do not allow this type of reliable measure, given that much of the information relating to prior educational achievement and learning outcomes is incomplete. Working with the third sector to improve data collection in this area may ‘pave the way’ for robust analysis.

- Providers would benefit from more long-term and integrated, priority commitments and from funding being ‘ring-fenced’ for particular disadvantaged groups to ensure ‘continuity’ in the provision that can be offered. This is perhaps even more important in the recession, where the third sector has a strong record of working with the young unemployed and those with low, prior educational achievement to re-skill or up-skill and to promote employability and confidence. Longer-term contracts are vital for providers, so that funding will not suddenly be removed, enabling them to engage in more effective future planning.
• Looking forward, the role of the third sector in working with priority groups (for example, the unemployed, those with a learning difficulty and/or disability and learners resident in deprived areas) could be consolidated and fully utilised in the equality and diversity strategies, and the recession/unemployment strategies, of the Young People’s Learning Agency (YPLA) as well as local authorities.

**Resources – more funding to cover the resource implications of recruiting and supporting disadvantaged learners**

• Providers often struggle to mobilise enough resources to finance their activities. Thus, there is a repeated call to make more funds available to help resource the extra effort that is required to safeguard the continuity of a holistic, learning delivery and learner support programme within the third sector. Across the different funding streams (FE, WBL, and ESF for the period of the research, 2004/5 to 2007/8) there has been an increased need for funding arising from a learner population that is more disadvantaged and faces more barriers to learning than the non-third sector learner population. Building on the disadvantage, ‘uplift’ element of the current funding model to provide additional funds for those learners likely to require significant additional support would benefit the third sector and provide an incentive for all providers to engage with particular learning groups.

• Providers were concerned that funding may, in the future, increasingly follow achievement rates. There is a perception that this would financially favour colleges and would discourage providers from ‘reaching out’ to those learners who are more difficult to assist (or make it financially impossible to do so). As discussed above, ‘softer’ outcomes (for example, increased employability skills, confidence or improved attitude to learning) are often important outcomes for both third sector providers and learners. Overall measures of achievement often mask the significant progress that many learners make with third sector providers.

• WBL provision, in particular, has found it harder to adjust to the recession, as learners struggle to get the jobs that are a prerequisite of this type of training. As well as additional resources, some third sector WBL providers may benefit from local authority support in developing stronger links with local employers to provide guaranteed and relevant work placements to learners.

• Rural providers face additional costs from working in more remote locations, with learners having greater needs in terms of provider-supported transport and childcare, and suitable venues for training being considerably harder to find. Funding organised on a session-by-session basis is felt not to acknowledge these extra costs. Instead, providers require additional funding for working in geographically isolated areas, covering the infrastructural costs that need to be met prior to learning or training occurring.
1 Introduction

1.1 This report has been commissioned by the Young People’s Learning Agency (YPLA) to gain a detailed understanding of the extent and nature of the learning provision supplied by third sector learning providers in England and the impact of this provision on young learners. It is based on research conducted for the Learning and Skills Council (LSC) covering both young and adult learners.

Introduction to the third sector

1.2 Third sector providers typically work as large or small charities, voluntary organisations, social enterprises, co-operatives, community interest groups and mutuals. One of the accepted working definitions of third sector learning activity includes organisations previously classified within the voluntary and community sector (VCS), i.e. those organisations that:

- are non-governmental;
- are ‘value driven’ – that is, are primarily motivated by the desire to further social, environmental or cultural objectives rather than to make a profit, *per se*; and
- principally reinvest surpluses to further their social, environmental or cultural objectives.

1.3 Until April 2010 the LSC had a formal, contractual relationship with over 500 third sector organisations in England. After setting-out a Working Together Strategy in 2004, it went on to establish sub regional consortia to facilitate engagements with third sector organisations in the nine English regions.

1.4 The contribution of third sector providers to the LSC’s targets and priorities had been thought to be substantial, particularly in relation to two key areas: firstly, third sector providers have a proven track-record of exceeding non-third sector providers in their attempts to include disadvantaged learners; and secondly, third sector providers are often more effective in supporting disadvantaged learners through to a successful completion of their learning aims.

---

6 HM Treasury, 2005: *Exploring the role of the third sector in public service delivery and reform.*

7 LSC, November 2008: *Working Together with the Third Sector.*
1.5 Previous research across government departments supported this view noting that, third sector organisations have an important role to play in getting disadvantaged learners to embark on a learning and skills journey. However, much of the existing evidence relating to the positive contribution of third sector providers remains anecdotal.

1.6 Following on from the recommendation of the LSC third sector Advisory Group that better data collection in relation to the third sector was essential if the learning contribution of the third sector was to be properly assessed and evaluated, the LSC decided to commission IFF Research to undertake a thorough review of LSC-funded third sector provision. It is on the basis of that research that this current report on young learners in the third sector has been compiled.

Aims and objectives

1.7 The key objective of the research was to systematically examine third sector activity in the context of LSC-funded learning provision from 2004/05 to 2007/08. The evaluation included two, major strands of enquiry:

- To fully understand the extent and nature of third sector provision and its contribution to LSC priorities; and
- To move beyond existing, anecdotal evidence to gain a deeper understanding of the impact of third sector provision, and the reasons for this impact, on learners.

1.8 In April 2010 the LSC was replaced by the Skills Funding Agency (SFA) and the Young People’s Learning Agency (YPLA) under the Machinery of Government changes. Consequently, a focus of this research was to present the two, new agencies with specific evidence and insights into the role of third sector provision for young people and for adult learners, separately.

Methodology

1.9 Meeting these objectives required two phases of research, comprising:

- A comprehensive analysis of existing, quantitative data recorded on the LSC’s Individualised Learner Record (ILR) database; and
- A series of qualitative, case studies of priority learning areas involving ‘in-depth’ interviews with third sector providers and learners.

1.10 The first stage of the analysis included a systematic exploration of the quantitative information recorded on the ILR for four, academic years (2004/05 to 2007/08, inclusive). The data sets used were learner and learning aims for the following data collections:

- Further Education (FE);
• Work-Based Learning (WBL); and
• European Social Fund (ESF) Learning.

1.11 From 2008/09 onwards, the LSC (and now the YPLA and SFA) collected data in a new format, reflecting the new funding streams that replaced the previous FE and WBL streams. The Learner Responsive stream encompasses all previously labelled ‘FE learning’ (including National Vocational Qualifications [NVQs] for adults and young learners and Entry to Employment [E2E]) aims. The Employer Responsive stream covers all learning delivered as Apprenticeships and Train to Gain. However, since the scope of this research project ended with the academic year 2007/08, the results are reported according to the old funding categories.

1.12 The data preparation included a number of steps, such as matching learning aim variables onto the learner data sets and appending the Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) onto the merged dataset.

1.13 Key to the analysis was the list of third sector providers supplied by the LSC’s third sector policy team when the project was initiated. This list was used to create a variable, separating the third sector learners and aims from non-third sector learners and aims. The list included all third sector providers who had a direct and active, funding relationship with the LSC between 2004/05 and 2007/08. As such, only direct provision was included into the analysis, any subcontracted or franchised-out provision was excluded, since this type of activity could not be identified either by the LSC’s provider list or by the data stored on the ILR.

1.14 The analysis established the profile of third sector learners, learning aims and provider performance compared with non-third sector learning provision. ILR data based on all active third sector providers was compared against all active non-third sector providers for each academic year. The key measures presented in the tables throughout the report and in the Appendix include:

• Volume of activity, measured by learners and learning aims;
• Characteristics of activity, measured by mode of attendance, level, type and subject of study;
• Demographic participation of activity, measured by gender, ethnicity and learning difficulty and/or disability;
• Contribution of activity to the learning and skills agenda, including Skills for Life;
• Geographic participation of activity, measured by deprivation; and
• Outcome of activity, measured by achievement rates.

1.15 The second element of the research involved a series of qualitative, case studies which sought to explore further the impact of the third sector in a number of key priority areas. These areas were confirmed after the initial
exploration of the ILR data, following a discussion with the LSC third sector Advisory Group. Four of these covered young learners:

**CASE STUDY 1:** ESF learning undertaken by learners aged 16–18 years

**CASE STUDY 3:** WBL undertaken by learners with a learning difficulty and/or disability

**CASE STUDY 4:** WBL undertaken by learners, aged 16–18 years, on Apprenticeships in disadvantaged areas

**CASE STUDY 5:** FE learners participating in Full Level 2 learning

1.16 Case study 2, which is not discussed in this report, covered ESF provision for adult learners only.

1.17 The selected case studies provided a good ‘spread’ across the different funding streams and age groups. In addition, the case studies were in those areas in which the nature and contribution of third sector provision particularly warrants further exploration.

1.18 The qualitative fieldwork was conducted between July and August 2009 and included 9 interviews with third sector providers who work (though not necessarily exclusively) with young learners, and 30 interviews with young learners. The interviews were conducted using loosely structured topic guides. All the interviews were fully transcribed and analysed using the text as a basis for qualitative coding and the extraction of relevant quotations. Responses were analysed by demographic and other learner- and provider-information. More details on the research methodology and the qualitative topic guides are provided in Appendices D, E and F.

**Report structure**

1.19 The next three chapters of the report present the findings resulting from the quantitative analysis of the ILR for WBL (Chapter 2), FE (Chapter 3) and ESF learning (Chapter 4). Chapter 5 onwards focuses on the qualitative findings from the case study interviews leading to conclusions and recommendations in chapter 12.

1.20 Finally, the Appendices show a series of detailed data tables illustrating ILR analyses relating to young learners.
2 Work-Based Learning

CHAPTER SUMMARY

- Within Work-Based learning (WBL), third sector young learners tend to be more ethnically diverse and more likely to have a learning difficulty and/or disability than non-third sector young learners.

- They are also concentrated in deprived areas; half (50% in 2007/08) of all WBL third sector young learners live in the 20% most deprived areas (compared with 27% of all non-third sector young learners).

- In 2007/08 the great majority of WBL third sector young learners (88%) were unemployed when they started their course (vs. 31% of WBL non-third sector young learners). Only 8% of WBL third sector young learners already had a Level 2 or higher qualification, compared with 26% of their counterparts outside the third sector.

- At an overall level, the achievement rates for third sector WBL learning aims are comparable for the young learner age group. There are however a key exception to this overall pattern by learner type: young learners in the third sector who have learning difficulties and/or disabilities are more likely to achieve their learning aim than their non-third sector counterparts.

2.1 This chapter examines the contributions made by the third sector to WBL. Although learner definitions were changed for the academic year 2008/09, the WBL category has been used, as the data that have been analysed pre-dates the full ‘roll-out’ of this change. From 2008/09 the bulk of programmes previously categorised as WBL will reside in the Employer funding stream although some programmes will also fall into the Adult and Youth funding streams. This chapter addresses:

- The demographic profile of WBL young learners;

- The geographic profile of WBL young learners;

- The prior achievement and employment status of WBL young learners;

- The trends in WBL learning provision for young learners by level and by type of learning; and

- The WBL achievement rates for young learners.

Overview of trends in WBL provision

2.2 In 2007/08, there were 113 training providers delivering WBL programmes to young people within the third sector. This represents 9% of the total number of WBL providers that were active during 2007/08.
2.3 The number of third sector providers delivering WBL to young learners has changed over time, from 107 in 2004/05, decreasing to 93 in 2005/06 and 95 in 2006/07, before increasing again to 113 in 2007/08. With numbers of non-third sector providers also fluctuating, however, throughout this time the third sector has remained constant in providing 9% of all WBL provision for young learners.

2.4 In 2007/08 two providers – Rathbone Training and the National Association for the Care and Resettlement of Offenders (NACRO) – dominated third sector WBL provision, between them accounting for over a third of all third sector WBL young learners. Rathbone Training was the biggest provider in terms of learner volumes, training over 5,500 young learners (accounting for 21% of all third sector WBL young learners). The second largest provider was NACRO, which trained approximately 5,500 young learners (17%) in 2007/08. Table 2.1 shows the top ten third sector providers according to the volume of WBL in 2007/08.

Table 2.1: Top ten third sector WBL providers by young learner volumes (2007/08)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provider name</th>
<th>Volumes of WBL third sector young learners</th>
<th>Proportion of all WBL third sector young learners (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rathbone Training</td>
<td>5,519</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NACRO</td>
<td>4,338</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springboard Sunderland Trust</td>
<td>1,393</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morthyng Ltd</td>
<td>1,221</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakmere House</td>
<td>846</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnado's</td>
<td>586</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Include</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent Training Services Ltd.</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theatre Resource</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springboard Islington Trust</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Individualised Learner Record (ILR); NACRO=National Association for the Care and Resettlement of Offenders

2.5 Overall, there has been some movement in third sector WBL learner numbers over time (Figure 2.1). Around 28,500 learners participated in a WBL course with a third sector provider in 2004/05. Thereafter there was a decrease in learner numbers during 2005/06 and 2006/07, which levelled out in 2007/08 with 26,000 WBL young learners in the third sector.
The third sector’s contribution to WBL, in terms of volumes of young learners, has stayed constant since 2004/05 to 2007/08, with 10% of all WBL young learners studying at a third sector provider (Figure 2.1). It is worth reiterating that these learner numbers are based on direct provision only and not provision that is subcontracted or franchised-out to the third sector.

**Demographic profile of WBL learners**

A number of significant differences are observed when the demographic profile of WBL learners within the third sector is compared with the non-third sector learner population (see Table 2.2). Overall, third sector WBL learners tend to be:

- more ethnically diverse; and
- more likely to have a learning difficulty and/or disability.

In terms of ethnicity, Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic (BAME) learners account for a greater share of the WBL learner population within the third sector compared with the non-third sector. In 2007/08, one in six (17%) of third sector WBL young learners were BAME learners, compared to 8% of all non-third sector WBL young learners (Table 2.2).
2.9 Additionally third sector WBL young learners were significantly more likely to have a learning difficulty and/or a disability than non-third sector learners. In the last academic year 2007/08, one third (33%) of third sector WBL young learners considered themselves to have a learning difficulty and/or disability, compared to 15% of non-third sector young learners (Table 2.2).

2.10 These patterns are largely stable and consistent over time, although the percentage of BAME young learners in WBL fell slightly over the period studied (from 20% of all third sector WBL young learners in 2004/05 to 17% in 2007/08).

Table 2.2: Demographic profile of WBL young learners

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2007/08</th>
<th>2006/07</th>
<th>2005/06</th>
<th>2004/05</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Base: All WBL young learners</strong></td>
<td>(240,964)</td>
<td>(25,997)</td>
<td>(234,308)</td>
<td>(25,460)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-third sector</strong></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Third sector</strong></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethnicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White – British</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAME</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity not known</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Learning difficulty and/or disability status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have a learning difficulty and/or disability</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not have a learning difficulty and/or disability</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Individualised Learner Record (ILR)

Some column percentages may not add up to 100% due to rounding up or down of the original data.

BAME= Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic.

Geographic profile of WBL learners

2.11 One of the key priorities of the LSC is to extend learning opportunities to an increasing number of learners, particularly to those classified as ‘disadvantaged learners’. Learners can be disadvantaged for a number of reasons, one being social deprivation. It is, therefore, important to understand the geographic dimension of third sector learning provision.
This section looks at the regional composition of the population of WBL young learners, and the proportion of young learners that are resident in the most deprived areas of England.

2.12 Overall, third sector WBL provision for young learners tends to be fairly evenly distributed across the regions (Table 2.3). However, there is some concentration in the North East and West Midlands, where the greatest proportions of young learners are resident (17% and 16%, respectively, for 2007/08). This contrasts with the distribution of WBL non-third sector young learners, only 7% of whom are resident in the North East, and 11% in the West Midlands.

2.13 Relatively small proportions of third sector WBL learners are found in the South West (2% in 2007/08), the East Midlands (6%) and the East of England (7%), compared with non-third sector WBL provision for young learners (11% and 9% respectively, Table 2.3). The proportion of third sector young learners found in the South West has also decreased slightly over time, down from 5% in 2004/05.

2.14 Third sector WBL learners are significantly more likely to live in a deprived area compared with non-third sector WBL learners. In 2007/08 fully half (50%) of WBL learners of the third sector lived in the 20% most deprived areas compared with just over a quarter (27%) of non-third sector WBL learners (Table 2.3). Similarly, nearly one third (31%) of third sector WBL learners live in the 10% most deprived areas compared with 15% of non-third sector WBL learners. This pattern has shown a slight increase in time, with more WBL third sector young learners living in deprived areas in 2007/08 than were in 2004/05.

---

9 Levels of deprivation are measured by the Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) as published by the Department of Communities and Local Government (DCLG). The IMD combines several measures of social deprivation and assigns a combined, overall score for each Lower Layer Super Output Area (LSOA). The IMD can also be used to calculate a ranking of LSOAs, with a rank of 1 being the most deprived, and 32482 the least deprived LSOA. The data presented in Table 2.3 summarise the bottom 10% and 20% of this ranking of LSOAs.

10 As a note, the category of learners living in the 20% most deprived areas captured by the IMD includes those living in the 10% most deprived areas.
### Table 2.3: Geographic profile of WBL young learners

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>2007/08</th>
<th>2006/07</th>
<th>2005/06</th>
<th>2004/05</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-third sector</td>
<td>Non-Third sector</td>
<td>Third sector</td>
<td>Non-third sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(240,964)</td>
<td>(25,997)</td>
<td>(234,308)</td>
<td>(25,460)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East of England</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Midlands</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater London</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North East</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North West</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Midlands</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yorkshire &amp; the Humber Region</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Index of Multiple Deprivation</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Resident in 10% of most deprived areas</strong></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Resident in 20% of most deprived areas</strong></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Individualised Learner Record (ILR)

Some column percentages may not add up to 100% due to rounding up or down of the original data.
**Prior achievement and employment status of WBL learners**

2.15 This section considers some key aspects of young learners' backgrounds, including their prior qualification attainment status and their pre- and post-course employment status (Table 2.4). Exploring these figures provides an indication of the distance that learners travelled through their learning. In general, third sector WBL learners tend to be:

- more likely to have a Level 1 or Entry Level qualification or no qualification prior to starting their course; and

- more likely to be unemployed before the course.

2.16 Overall similar numbers of third sector and non-third sector WBL young learners have attained Level 1 or Entry level qualifications as their highest qualification (53% and 54% respectively for 2007/08; Table 2.4). However, third sector young learners are significantly more likely to have no prior qualifications (19% in 2007/08 vs. 5%), and are less likely to have reached Level 2 or higher (8% in 2007/08, vs. 26%). This indicates higher levels of disadvantage among the third sector young learner population.

2.17 Over time, the non-third sector population of young learners has seen a much greater decrease in learners without prior qualifications (down from 23% in 2004/05 to 7% in 2007/08). The third sector has seen a smaller fall from 25% to 19% in that time period, meaning that its learner population has comparatively become lower qualified than non-third sector young learners.

2.18 Prior to starting their course, third sector learners were significantly more likely to be out of work (88% in 2007/08) than non-third sector learners (31%; Table 2.4). This pattern is evident across the four academic years. It is likely to reflect the fact more young learners outside the third sector are starting more advanced WBL qualifications that require them to be employed whilst learning – such as Apprenticeships. By contrast, young WBL learners in the third sector are more likely to be studying Entry to Employment courses that do not require them to be in work.

2.19 Analysing learner destinations shows a slightly more complex pattern of outcomes for WBL third sector young learners than for their counterparts outside the third sector. The latter non-third sector group were most likely to continue their existing programme of learning (49% in 2007/08; Table...

---

11 As a note, the ILR records show a relatively high incidence of learners whose prior qualifications and destinations appear as “unknown” (see Tables 2.4 and 2.4.1), which creates a degree of uncertainty around the true distribution of prior qualifications and learner destinations within and outside the Third Sector. Some of the variation discussed here may be, therefore, as much a factor of these fields not being completed, as genuine differences in learners' characteristics/destinations.
2.4) which is consistent with longer-running Apprenticeship training, or to otherwise find employment (22%). Fewer third sector young learners achieved either of these outcomes, at 32% and 16% respectively in 2007/08).

2.20 Instead, third sector young learners are more likely to move on to further education or training (17% in 2007/08, vs. 6% for the non-third sector). For example, this could mean a learner moves on from an Entry to Employment WBL course to more specialised vocational training. Higher numbers of third sector young learners also report ‘other’ destinations (17% vs. 12% of young non-third sector learners), and ‘destination unknown’ (10% vs. 6%), making their outcomes comparatively harder to track.

2.21 Over the period studied, third sector young learners have become slightly more likely to continue their existing programme of learning (up from 29% in 2004/05 to 32% in 2007/08), and correspondingly less likely to progress on to employment (down from 18% in 2004/05 to 16% in 2007/08). This potentially indicates a slight shift towards longer-duration courses being studied.
Table 2.4: WBL young learners’ educational and employment status background

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Base: All WBL young learners</th>
<th>2007/08</th>
<th>2006/07</th>
<th>2005/06</th>
<th>2004/05</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-third sector</td>
<td>Third sector</td>
<td>Non-third sector</td>
<td>Third sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(240,964)</td>
<td>(25,997)</td>
<td>(234,308)</td>
<td>(25,460)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Learner level or prior attainment**

- **Level 1 & Entry**: 54 53 52 51 46 44 43 40
- **Level 2**: 25 8 24 9 22 8 20 8
- **Level 3**: 1 * 1 * 1 * 1 *
- **Level 4, 5 or higher**: * * * * * * * *
- **Other level**: 1 4 2 6 3 7 3 7
- **No prior qualifications**: 7 19 10 18 18 23 23 25
- **Prior qualifications unknown**: 11 15 11 15 10 17 9 19

**Learner employment status on first day of learning**

- **Employed**: 68 11 67 13 63 11 61 12
- **Unemployed**: 31 88 31 86 35 87 36 83
- **Not known/provided**: 1 1 2 2 2 1 3 5

**Learner destination: employment status after learning**

- **Employed**: 22 16 22 18 22 19 20 18
- **Unemployed**: 5 6 5 8 6 12 5 12
- **Education or training**: 6 17 6 15 5 12 5 12
- **Continuing existing programme of learning**: 49 32 50 31 49 28 51 29
- **Other**: 12 17 10 15 11 18 11 17
- **Destination unknown**: 6 10 6 11 7 10 8 12

Source: Individualised Learner Record (ILR)

Note: An asterisk (*) denotes data points below 1%. Some column percentages may not add up to 100% due to rounding up or down of the original data.

2.22 Examining the changes in learners’ status in more detail, a cross tabulation of learners’ prior employment status and destination upon finishing the
course provides further insight regarding the findings presented in Table 2.4. Using the same categories as Table 2.4, Table 2.4.1 shows that in 2007/08 only 6% of all third sector WBL learners who were recorded as unemployed on the first day of learning remained unemployed after their course. Almost half (49%) of those previously not employed were either continuing their existing programme of learning (30%) or had entered a new programme in education or training (19%; Table 2.4.1).

Table 2.4.1: WBL learner prior employment status and destination (third sector young learners 2007/08)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Learner destination</th>
<th>Employed %</th>
<th>Unemployed %</th>
<th>Education or training %</th>
<th>Continuing existing programme of learning %</th>
<th>Other %</th>
<th>Destination unknown %</th>
<th>Total %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Learner employment status on first day of learning</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not known/ provided</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Individualised Learner Record (ILR)

2.23 Notably, learners’ destination after completing any learning aim can be classified as ‘continuing’ their existing programme of learning when they move on to the next learning aim that is part of their wider programme of learning.

**Trends in WBL learning provision**

2.24 This section looks at learning provision within WBL and examines the differences between third sector and non-third sector provision in terms of the nature of learning provision, the level of learning and the programme type. Notably, findings in the rest of this chapter are based on the analysis of total learning aims, i.e. individual courses, rather than learner numbers.13 Most individual courses offered under the WBL funding stream in the third sector are classified as falling into the ‘Preparation for life and work’ and ‘Foundations for life and learning’ subject categories on the ILR.

---

12 The ILR identifies the destination of the learner after completion of the learning at data field L39, where Code 95 is used for learners who are ‘continuing an existing programme of learning’ when the next component learning aim of the framework starts.

13 Note that the total number of learning aims will be higher than the actual number of learners due to the Apprenticeship framework structure. Each Apprenticeship framework comprises multiple learning aims.
2.25 In terms of specific aims titles, E2E clearly dominated the learning provision in WBL, comprising a quarter (27%) of all WBL third sector learning aims in 2007/08 (Table 2.5)\(^{14}\). In 2007/08, the third sector delivered over one-quarter (26%) of all the E2E aims delivered nationally.

2.26 Short courses geared at improving basic skills, numeracy and literacy (often taken in conjunction with an E2E course) were also among the most frequently undertaken learning aims (Table 2.5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Learning aim title</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Awarding body</th>
<th>Volumes of third sector aims</th>
<th>Proportion of third sector aims</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Base: All WBL young learner aims</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(70,977)</td>
<td>(%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2E Entry to Employment</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Generic award</td>
<td>18,860</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key Skills in Application of Number</td>
<td>Level 1</td>
<td>City &amp; Guilds</td>
<td>3,191</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key Skills in Communication</td>
<td>Level 1</td>
<td>City &amp; Guilds</td>
<td>2,880</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certificate in Adult Literacy</td>
<td>Level 1</td>
<td>City &amp; Guilds</td>
<td>2,254</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certificate in Adult Numeracy</td>
<td>Entry</td>
<td>City &amp; Guilds</td>
<td>2,242</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certificate in Adult Numeracy</td>
<td>Level 1</td>
<td>City &amp; Guilds</td>
<td>2,192</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-financed ESF provision not leading to a recognised qualification or other learning aim</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Generic award</td>
<td>1,635</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certificate in Adult Literacy</td>
<td>Entry</td>
<td>City &amp; Guilds</td>
<td>1,433</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVQ in Children's Care, Learning and Development</td>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td>City &amp; Guilds</td>
<td>1,336</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key Skills in Improving Own Learning and Performance</td>
<td>Level 1</td>
<td>City &amp; Guilds</td>
<td>1,202</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{14}\) As a note, the E2E learning recorded within WBL will be moved to the Learner Responsive (LR) data collection on the ILR from 2008/09 onwards.
Table 2.5: Top ten third sector WBL young learner aims (2007/08)

2.27 Looking at third sector learning provision by level of learning in 2007/08 (Table 2.6), WBL learning aims were most commonly delivered at Level 1 and Entry Level (40%), with a significant proportion of courses classified as Other level (31%). A quarter (25%) of courses were at Level 2, in contrast to half (51%) of young learners’ aims outside the third sector. Continuing this trend towards higher-level learning, non-third sector aims were also more likely to be at Level 3 than were those within the third sector (14% vs. 3% in 2007/08).

2.28 Nearly three-fifths of third sector WBL learning aims were classified as belonging to E2E programmes (58% in 2007/08), a much higher proportion than that found within non-third sector WBL provision (11% in 2007/08). Just under one third of third sector WBL learning aims (30%) were Apprenticeships and a minority (7% in 2007/08) were Advanced Apprenticeships (compared with 57% and 31% respectively in non-third sector learning). Overall, third sector aims are more likely to be a learner’s first steps into work-based learning, rather than the long-term vocational commitment of the Apprenticeship courses that predominate for young learners outside the third sector.

---

15 The relatively high proportion of learning aims classified as ‘Other level’ merits some further examination. The ILR shows that the E2E aims in WBL comprise a substantial proportion of all WBL aims for young learners (27% in 2007/08). These aims appear to be generic aims, with no awarding body recorded on the ILR, and not accredited according to the ILR. The short courses that are co-financed by ESF account for 2% of all WBL aims. These aims are generic courses that are not accredited either. Together these account for 29% of all aims.
Table 2.6: Profile of WBL young learner aims by level of learning and programme type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2007/08</th>
<th>2006/07</th>
<th>2005/06</th>
<th>2004/05</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-third sector</td>
<td>Third sector</td>
<td>Non-third sector</td>
<td>Third sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base: All WBL young learner aims</td>
<td>(900,300)</td>
<td>(70,977)</td>
<td>(931,102)</td>
<td>(76,673)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 1 &amp; Entry</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 4, 5 or higher</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other level</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programme type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced apprenticeship</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apprenticeship</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVQ level 1 within</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVQ level 2 within</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVQ level 3 within</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVQ level 4 within</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2E</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher level</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apprenticeship</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other programme</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning aim type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skills for Life</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Individualised Learner Record (ILR); E2E=Entry to employment; NVQ=National Vocational Qualification; WBL=Work-based learning

Note: An asterisk (*) denotes data points below 1%. Some column percentages may not add up to 100% due to rounding up or down of the original data.

2.29 The ILR classifies a number of learning aims as ‘Skills for Life’. Typically, these aims include a wide array of short-term courses, covering subject areas such as numeracy, literacy and English for Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL). Overall, learning aims grouped together under Skills for Life accounted for just under one-third of all learning aims (30% in 2007/08; Table 2.6) within third sector WBL for young learners, equivalent to the proportion of Skills for Life aims outside the third sector (34%).

WBL achievement rates

2.30 This section explores the achievement rates of WBL aims within third sector provision. These achievement rates have been calculated as the number of achieved and partially achieved aims, expressed as a percentage of the number of aims with a definitive outcome (achieved;
partially achieved; or no achievement), excluding any aims where the learning was still ongoing\(^{16}\).

2.31 At an overall level, achievement rates within third sector WBL for young learners have increased over time (Figure 2.2), from 54% in 2004/05 to 65% in 2007/08. This is on par with the same eleven percentage point increase in achievement rates (from 2004/05 to 2007/08) for young learners’ aims outside the third sector.

**Figure 2.2: Trends in WBL young learner achievement rates**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Third Sector</th>
<th>Non-Third Sector</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004/05</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005/06</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006/07</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007/08</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Individualised Learner Record (ILR)

2.32 Underneath this overall consistency in achievement rates for young learners between the third sector and non-third sector, there are some variations by type or learning and learner (Table 2.7). Looking at 2007/08 findings for young learners, compared with non-third sector provision:

- Third sector achievement rates for young learners were higher for ‘Other’ level aims (68% vs. 63%). Achievement rates for Level 1 and Entry level aims are similar (62% vs. 61%). For aims at Level 2 or above, however, third sector achievement rates fell slightly short, with 64% of Level 2 aims and 66% of Level 3 aims achieved against 67% and 69% respectively outside the third sector.

- *Skills for Life* learning aims were slightly less likely to be achieved by third sector learners (61% vs. 64%).

---

\(^{16}\)More specifically, the variable used for this analysis of achievement is A35 on the ILR. Achievement rates are defined here as (A35=1 or 2) / (A35= 1, 2 or 3).
• Aims undertaken by young learners with a learning difficulty and/or disability within third sector WBL were considerably more likely to be achieved (65%) than similar non-third sector learning aims (58%).

• BAME young learners had a similar likelihood of achieving their aims in or outside the third sector, with the third sector just one percentage point behind on 61% achievement (vs. 62% outside the third sector). This has improved considerably over time, up from 44% achievement for BAME third sector young learners in 2004/05. It is however behind the 65% of white British learner aims that were achieved in the third sector in 2007/08.
## Table 2.7: Achievement rates (achieved or partially achieved) of WBL young learner aims

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2007/08</th>
<th>2006/07</th>
<th>2005/06</th>
<th>2004/05</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-third sector</td>
<td>Third sector</td>
<td>Non-third sector</td>
<td>Third sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base: All Learning Aims with a definite outcome (A35=1,2,or3)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>(374,999)</td>
<td>(28,708)</td>
<td>(389,007)</td>
<td>(32,192)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achieved or partially achieved Aims</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achievement by level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 1 &amp; Entry</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 3</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 4, 5 or higher</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other level</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning aim type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skills for Life</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White – British</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAME</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning difficulty and/or disability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have a learning difficulty and/or disability</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not have a learning difficulty and/or disability</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning difficulty and/or disability status</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Individualised Learner Record (ILR); BAME=Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic.
3 Further Education

CHAPTER SUMMARY

- Third sector FE provision for young learners makes up a very small minority of both FE provision to young learners (0.2% in 2007/08 was in the third sector), and of third sector FE provision as a whole (98% of which is for adult learners).

- Overall, third sector Further Education (FE) young learners were more likely to be from BAME groups, and also more likely to be female. They were slightly less likely than non-third sector young learners to have a learning difficulty and/or disability.

- Third sector learning for young people in FE is dominated by one provider, the Workers’ Educational Association (WEA), which accounted for 29% of learners.

- FE courses undertaken with third sector providers tended to be shorter (88% were under 200 GLH) and were much more likely to be at Level 1 & Entry level than were non-third sector FE courses for young learners (61% compared to 23%). Third sector FE young learners tended to have slightly lower qualification levels prior to starting their courses than did young FE learners outside the third sector.

- The achievement rates for third sector learning aims were higher than those for non-third sector aims (76% compared with 73%). Furthermore, third sector FE achievement rates have increased significantly since 2004/05 (from 67% to 76% – an increase of nine percentage points).

- These higher achievement rates vs. non-third sector FE provision, at an overall level, were also observed for young ethnic minority learners and young learners with a learning difficulty and/or disability.

3.1 In this chapter the contribution made by the third sector to learning within FE is examined. Notably, some of the data definitions and funding categories have changed for the current academic year, 2008/09, which means that much of the data on learners and learning aims previously classified under FE will henceforth reside in the ‘Adult Learner Responsive’, the ‘Young Learner Responsive’ and the ‘Employer Responsive’ funding streams. This chapter discusses:

- The demographic profile of FE learners;
- The geographic profile of FE learners;
- The prior achievement levels of third sector FE learners;
- The trends in FE learning provision by level and type of learning (learning aims); and
- The basic achievement rates of FE learning (learning aims).
Overview of trends in FE provision

3.2 FE third sector provision for young people is characterised by a small number of providers delivering FE learning to large numbers of learners. The number of third sector providers working with young learners has decreased since 2004/05: 39 providers were active in 2004/05, with 31 delivering training to this group in 2007/08.

3.3 In terms of learner volumes, third sector FE provision for young learners was very small. With 2,200 young learners in 2007/08, the third sector was dwarfed by the 908,000 young learners studying in non-third sector FE provision. Additionally young learners make up just 2% of all third sector FE provision in 2007/08, which was instead largely adult-oriented.

3.4 Third sector FE provision for young learners was outweighed by the learner numbers seen in both Work-Based Learning (WBL) and European Social Fund (ESF) third sector learning, which had approximately 26,000 young learners and 13,500 young learners respectively in 2007/08.

3.5 One provider, the Worker’s Educational Association (WEA), accounted for three tenths (29%) of all third sector FE provision for young learners, in terms of learner volumes in 2007/08. The London-based City Literary Institute provided 10% of FE provision for young learners in that year, and The Learning Curve (based in Swindon) a further 9% (Table 3.1).

### Table 3.1: Top ten third sector FE providers by young learner volumes (2007/08)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provider name</th>
<th>Number of third sector learners</th>
<th>Proportion of third sector learners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Workers' Educational Association</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The City Literary Institute</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Learning Curve</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walsall Community College</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Yorkshire Training Trust</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning Plus</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women's Technology Training Ltd.</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Crafts College</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morley College Ltd</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxfordshire Ethnic Minorities Enterprise Developments Ltd.</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Individualised Learner Record (ILR)

3.6 Overall volumes of young learners for third sector providers within FE have declined over time (Figure 3.1) from 2,857 young learners in 2004/05 to just fewer than 2,211 young learners in 2007/08. This decline may have been related to shifts in the entire FE sector towards more accredited learning and away from 'FE other' provision, a term used to describe
provision that does not fall into accredited frameworks within the FE system. The funding for this type of provision has been slowly withdrawn.

3.7 The number of FE third sector young learners as a proportion of all FE young learners has decreased slightly, from 0.3% (up to 2006/07) to 0.2% in 2007/08 (Figure 3.1).

**Figure 3.1: Trends in third sector FE young learner volumes**

![Chart showing trends in third sector FE young learner volumes](chart.png)

*Source: Individualised Learner Record (ILR)*

**Demographic profile of FE learners**

3.8 Comparing the demographic profile of all third sector FE learners with non-third sector FE learners (Table 3.2) revealed that:

- Third sector young learners were significantly more likely to be female (57% in 2007/08 compared with 48% of young learners in non-third sector FE)

- Young learners in FE in the third sector were also more likely to be from Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic (BAME) groups (29% in 2007/08, compared with 20% outside the third sector).

- They were slightly less likely to consider themselves as having a learning difficulty and/or a disability (14% in 2007/08 vs. 16% of non-third sector FE learners).

3.9 Looking at changes over time in the demographic profile of FE third sector young learners (Table 3.2), the proportion of young women has fallen noticeably from 64% in 2004/05 to 57% in 2007/08. The proportion of BAME young learners in third sector FE has varied over time with no overall upward or downward trends, and the proportion of young third
sector learners who consider themselves to have a learning difficulty and/or disability has doubled since 2004/05, from 7% then to 14% in 2007/08.

Table 3.2: Demographic profile of FE young learners

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2007/08</th>
<th>2006/07</th>
<th>2005/06</th>
<th>2004/05</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-third sector</td>
<td>Third sector</td>
<td>Non-third sector</td>
<td>Third sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base: All FE young learners</td>
<td>(908,153)</td>
<td>(2,211)</td>
<td>(887,398)</td>
<td>(2,398)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethnicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White – British</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAME</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity not known</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Learning Difficulty and/or disability status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have a learning difficulty and/or disability</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not have a learning difficulty and/or disability</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Individualised Learner Record (ILR); BAME=Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic.
Some column percentages may not add up to 100% due to rounding up or down of the original data.

3.10 As those learners studying with the WEA represent nearly a third of third sector FE provision for young learners, it is useful to look at the demographic profile of third sector FE young learners with that provider removed. The fourth column of Table 3.2 presents this analysis for 2007/08.

3.11 The demographic profile of third sector young learners once WEA learners are removed was slightly more in-line with the non-third sector young learner population. In terms of gender, the proportion of young women
comes down from 57% (third sector including WEA, 2007/08) to 52% (excluding WEA), closer to the 48% female population of non-third sector FE young learners.

3.12 Removing WEA also slightly changes the ethnicity profile of young third sector learners, reducing it from 29% to 27% BAME young people. This again is slightly closer to the 20% BAME young learners seen in FE provision for young people outside the third sector.

3.13 Taking WEA out of the analysis did not substantially affect learning difficulty and/or disability rates, where still 14% of third sector young learners have that status, against 16% of FE young learners outside the third sector.

Geographic profile of FE learners

3.14 This section looks at the regional composition of the third sector FE young learner population and the proportion of young learners that were resident in the most deprived areas of the country (Table 3.3).

3.15 Over a fifth (22% in 2007/08) of all third sector FE young learners were resident in Greater London, and 19% lived in the South West. These findings may reflect the distribution of the largest third sector providers for young learners: while the Workers’ Education Association is nationwide, the City Literary Institute is based in London, and The Learning Curve operates in the South West.

3.16 Like third sector young learners in WBL and ESF funded courses, FE third sector young learners are more likely than their non-third sector counterparts to live in deprived areas. Forty percent lived in the 20% most deprived areas in 2007/08, and 24% lived in the 10% most deprived areas. This compares to 26% and 14% respectively for non-third sector learners, and has been consistent over the four years of the study.

3.17 Although the absolute numbers of young learners in third sector FE were relatively small (just over 2,200 in 2007/08), these findings suggest that third sector FE provision may be ‘reaching’ some of those that non-third sector providers do not.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Non-third sector</th>
<th>Third sector</th>
<th>Non-third sector</th>
<th>Third sector</th>
<th>Non-third sector</th>
<th>Third sector</th>
<th>Non-third sector</th>
<th>Third sector</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2007/08</td>
<td>2006/07</td>
<td>2005/06</td>
<td>2004/05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East of England</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Midlands</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater London</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North East</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North West</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Midlands</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yorkshire &amp; the Humber</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region unknown</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Index of Multiple Deprivation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Non-third sector</th>
<th>Third sector</th>
<th>Non-third sector</th>
<th>Third sector</th>
<th>Non-third sector</th>
<th>Third sector</th>
<th>Non-third sector</th>
<th>Third sector</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resident in 10% of most deprived areas</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident in 20% of most deprived areas</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Individualised Learner Record (ILR)

Some column percentages may not add up to 100% due to rounding up or down of the original data.
Prior achievement levels of third sector FE learners

3.18 This section considers the level of prior qualifications as a key aspect of the learner’s background before undertaking their learning.\(^\text{17}\)

3.19 Third sector young learners were more likely to enter FE having no prior qualification than non-third sector FE young learners (2007/8: 29% vs. 21%) or to only have had a Level 1 or Entry level qualification (26% vs. 24%). Non-third sector young learners were much more likely to have a prior Level 2 qualification (41% vs. 20%), such as 5 A*-C GCSEs, suggesting that they have entered FE provision with a stronger educational background.

3.20 Although more third sector young learners have Level 3 qualifications (10% vs. 3% of non-third sector young learners in 2007/08), this is a small group and does not significantly alter the message of lower prior achievement for young learners in the third sector.

Table 3.4: FE learner backgrounds for young learners

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Learner level or prior attainment</th>
<th>2007/08</th>
<th>2006/07</th>
<th>2005/06</th>
<th>2004/05</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-third sector</td>
<td>Third sector without WEA</td>
<td>Non-third sector</td>
<td>Third sector</td>
<td>Non-third sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base: All FE young learners</td>
<td>(908,153)</td>
<td>(2,211)</td>
<td>(1,569)</td>
<td>(887,398)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learner level or prior attainment</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 1 &amp; Entry</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 4, 5 or higher</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other level</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No prior qualifications</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior qualifications unknown</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Individualised Learner Record (ILR)

Note: An asterisk (*) denotes data points below 1%. Some column percentages may not add up to 100% due to rounding up or down of the original data.

\(^\text{17}\)We were unable to report with confidence on the learner destinations in this section because the FE data recorded on the ILR (at data field L39) are very patchy. For example, in 2007/08 more than half (58%) of learners’ destinations were recorded as ‘unanswered’ and a further 35% as ‘unknown’.
Again, a column in Table 3.4 has been included with the WEA learners removed from the 2007/08, third sector learner population. The data suggest that WEA learners are more likely to enter third sector FE learning with either no prior qualifications, or Level 2 qualifications specifically. Consequently, when these WEA young learners are taken away from the third sector young learner figures, the remaining young learners are much less likely to have no prior qualifications (16% do, down from 29% with WEA included), and are also less likely to have Level 2 qualifications (16%, down from 20% with WEA included).

The data indicate that the percentage of those with an unknown qualification is less in the WEA than overall, which could suggest that their data collection about learners is more robust than the third sector generally.

Trends in FE learning provision

This section examines the learning provision within FE and compares the differences between third sector and non-third sector provision in terms of the nature of learning provision for young learners, including the level of learning, the programme type and the length of study. The analysis also considers whether course fees for individual aims have been paid or waived for a number of reasons.

The data henceforth presented are based on the analysis of total learning aims, i.e. individual courses, rather than learner numbers. Since any individual learner could have enrolled for more than one learning aim, the Individualised Learner Record (ILR) stores aims-related information in a separate data set. The following sections of this chapter, therefore, report the type and level of every individual learning aim.

A large proportion of individual courses offered within FE in the third sector are generic awards recorded on the ILR as “Non-externally certificated FE other provision”\textsuperscript{18}. Within this, the greatest number of individual learning aims were undertaken within the Arts, Media and Publishing sector subject area (4% in 2007/08) – see Table 3.5.

\textsuperscript{18} ‘Non-externally certificated FE other provision’ is recorded on the ILR in the ‘aim title’ field and includes aims ranging from Entry Level to Level 3 and covering diverse subject areas including: Arts; Media and Publishing; Information and Communication Technology; and History. There is no further information available on the ILR to indicate aim or programme type.
Table 3.5: Top ten FE third sector young learner aims (2007/08)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Learning aim title</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Awarding body</th>
<th>Volumes of third sector aims</th>
<th>Proportion of third sector aims</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FE other provision - Arts, Media and Publishing</td>
<td>Level 1</td>
<td>Generic award</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVQ in Horse Care</td>
<td>Level 1</td>
<td>BHTB</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional 1 NVQ/GNVQ unit - Agriculture, Horticulture and Animal Care</td>
<td>Level 1</td>
<td>Generic award</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic Literacy Course</td>
<td>Entry</td>
<td>Generic award</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic ESOL Course</td>
<td>Entry</td>
<td>Generic award</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE other provision - Health, Public Services and Care (weighting A)</td>
<td>Level 1</td>
<td>Generic award</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE other provision - Languages, Literature and Culture</td>
<td>Level 1</td>
<td>Generic award</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit Achievement Code - Preparation for Life and Work</td>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td>NOCN</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE other provision - Health, Public Services and Care (weighting B)</td>
<td>Level 1</td>
<td>Generic award</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introductory Certificate in Basic Construction Skills</td>
<td>Level 1</td>
<td>City &amp; Guilds</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Base: All FE third sector young learner aims

Source: Individualised Learner Record (ILR); FE=Further Education; ESOL=English for Speakers of Other Languages.

Looking at third sector FE learning provision by level and type of learning, Table 3.6 illustrates a number of trends, including a tendency for third sector aims for young learners to be:

- Much more likely to have been at Entry Level and Level 1 (61% in 2007/08) compared with non-third sector FE learning for young people (23% in 2007/08);
- Much less likely to have been undertaken at Level 3 (3%) compared with non-third sector FE learning (34% in 2007/08), and very slightly less likely to have been at Level 2 (22% vs. 24%).
- Slightly less likely to have been part of a Skills for Life programme (13% in 2007/08) than were non-third sector FE aims (16% in 2007/08).

This corresponds with fewer third sector young learners entering learning with any prior qualifications (hence the Entry and Level 1 courses), and also fewer having had the Level 2 qualifications needed for them to study Level 3 FE (see Table 3.4).

There was a substantial reduction over time in the number of learning aims recorded as ‘Other’ within third sector learning (from 35% in 2004/05 down
to 14% in 2007/08). This may be due, at least in part, to the move towards more accredited learning being funded by the Learning and Skills Council (LSC) within FE learning.

3.29 The majority of FE aims for young learners are under 200 Guided Learning Hours (GLH), whether inside the third sector (88% in 2007/08) or outside it (80%). Non-third sector provision offered more of the longer courses (over 450 GLH), at 13% of non-third sector aims for young learners, compared with just 3% of third sector aims for young learners.

Table 3.6: Profile of FE young learner aims

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Base: All FE young learner aims</th>
<th>2007/08</th>
<th>2006/07</th>
<th>2005/06</th>
<th>2004/05</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-third sector</strong></td>
<td>(3,340,785)</td>
<td>(3,331,326)</td>
<td>(3,349,463)</td>
<td>(3,294,489)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Third sector</strong></td>
<td>(3,038)</td>
<td>(3,224)</td>
<td>(3,925)</td>
<td>(4,090)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 1 &amp; Entry</strong></td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 2</strong></td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 3</strong></td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 4, 5 or higher</strong></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other level</strong></td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of aim</strong></td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Skills for Life</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Length of aim – Guided Learning Hours (GLH)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;200 GLH</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 to 449 GLH</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥450 GLH</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Individualised Learner Record (ILR)

Note: An asterisk (*) denotes data points below 1%. Some column percentages may not add up to 100% due to rounding up or down of the original data.

**Fees waived in FE**

3.30 Fees were waived for almost all (98%) of third sector FE courses for young learners, consistent with practice in non-third sector provision too. Up until 2006/07, the main reason recorded for this was usually ‘Fees waived – 16-
18 year old learner’, which was reclassified in 2007/08 to ‘Fees waived – other funding’. In 2007/08, a small number of young learners’ course fees were waived because they were in receipt of Working Tax Credit (7%), or because the main aim of the course was Skills for Life (3%; Table 3.7).

Table 3.7: Profile of FE young learner aims – fees waived

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Base: All FE young learner aims</th>
<th>2007/08</th>
<th>2006/07</th>
<th>2005/06</th>
<th>2004/05</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-third sector</td>
<td>(6,603,839)</td>
<td>(6,914,460)</td>
<td>(8,131,599)</td>
<td>(8,918,759)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third sector</td>
<td>(228,451)</td>
<td>(239,407)</td>
<td>(264,904)</td>
<td>(270,943)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees for the aim waived</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees paid in full</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees waived</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reasons for fees being waived</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee Is zero</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees waived – in receipt of</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>other income-based state</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>benefit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees waived – consistent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with the Local Provider policy</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees waived – main aim is</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skills for Life, excluding ESOL</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees waived – in receipt of</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working Tax Credit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees waived – in receipt of</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jobseekers’ allowance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees waived – 16–18-year-old</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>learner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees waived – other funding</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Individualised Learner Record (ILR); ESOL=English for Speakers of Other Languages.
Note: An asterisk (*) denotes data points below 1%. Some column percentages may not add up to 100% due to rounding up or down of the original data.

FE achievement rates

3.31 This last section concludes the analysis of ILR data for FE learners and learning aims by presenting the achievement rates within third sector learning. Notably, these achievement rates have been calculated as the number of achieved and partially achieved aims, expressed as a percentage of the number of aims with a definitive outcome (achieved; partially achieved; no achievement), excluding any aims where the learning is still ongoing. Notably, providers can record learning outcomes as ‘partially achieved’, for example, when a learner does not achieve a key skills qualification but passes either the end test or the portfolio.

3.32 In general, FE achievement rates were higher for learning aims undertaken within the third sector (Figure 3.2). For example, in 2007/08 the achievement rate was 76% for third sector young learner aims and 73% for non-third sector aims. Higher achievement rates were recorded for the majority learner types and demographic subgroups.

3.33 Furthermore, achievement rates within the third sector showed an increase over the four academic years, from 67% in 2004/05 to 76% in 2007/08; an increase of nine percentage points. This compares with a slightly smaller increase in achievement rates within non-third sector learning, where 73% of all aims were achieved in 2007/08 compared with 76% in 2004/05.

Figure 3.2: Trends in third sector FE young learner achievement rates

---

19 More specifically, the variable used for this analysis of achievement is A35 on the ILR. Achievement rates are defined here as (A35=1 or 2) / (A35=1, 2 or 3).
Higher achievement rates for third sector FE vs. non-third sector FE were observed across all demographic groups (looking at gender, ethnicity, and learning difficulty and/or disability status; Table 3.8). Since these differentials are evident at every subgroup level, it is likely that the higher achievement rates within the FE third sector were not the result of differences in the learner population. The report explores further reasons for these differences in the qualitative chapters below.

In particular, achievement rates for aims undertaken by learners with a learning difficulty and/or disability were significantly higher within the third sector (88% vs. 73% within non-third sector FE in 2007/08); the achievement rate for the aims of learners with a learning difficulty or disability within the third sector improved significantly from 2006/07 when it was at 71% (Table 3.8).

In terms of achievement rates by the nature of the course, compared with non-third sector FE for young learners:

- In 2007/08, the third sector recorded substantially higher achievement rates for Entry & Level 1 learning aims (77% vs. 71% for non-third sector) and also for Level 2 aims (77% vs. 69%). Together these make up the great majority (83%) of third sector FE provision for young learners.

- Third sector achievement rates were slightly lower than those outside the third sector for Level 3 courses (72% vs. 75%) and for ‘Other level’ courses (73% vs. 75%). These aims are however a minority of third sector provision for this young learner group. Too few young learners took Level 4, 5 or higher courses in the third sector to enable analysis of achievement levels here.
- Shorter courses within third sector FE had the highest achievement rates compared with non-third sector FE: 77% of third sector young learner aims with fewer than 200 GLH were achieved in 2007/08, versus 73% of similar courses outside the third sector (Table 3.8). The third sector also slightly outperformed on mid-length courses of 200-449 GLH (74% vs. 72% achievement for young FE learners outside the third sector).

- Only a small number (13%) of third sector young learners took courses of 450+ GLH where achievement levels fell substantially short (41%) of provision outside the third sector (73%).

Figure 3.3: Third sector FE young learner achievement rates by level of learning

![Figure 3.3: Third sector FE young learner achievement rates by level of learning](image)

Source: Individualised Learner Record (ILR)

3.37 *Skills for Life* learning aims comprised 13% of all third sector FE aims for young learners in 2007/08. These aims saw very similar achievement rates to *Skills for Life* aims for young learners outside the third sector: 64% of third sector aims were achieved, against 65% of non-third sector aims of this type.
Table 3.8: Achievement rates (achieved or partially achieved) of FE young learner aims

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2007/08</th>
<th>2006/07</th>
<th>2005/06</th>
<th>2004/05</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-</td>
<td>Third</td>
<td>Non-</td>
<td>Third</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>third</td>
<td>sector</td>
<td>third</td>
<td>sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base: All Learning Aims with a definite outcome (A35=1,2,or3)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Achieved and partially achieved</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achievement Level</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 1 &amp; 2</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 3</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 4, 5 or Other level</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of aim Skills for Life</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of aim – Guided</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;200 GLH</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥450 GLH</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender Male</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity White –</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAME</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning difficulty Have learning difficulty</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not have a learning</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Individualised Learner Record (ILR); BAME=Black, Asian and Ethnic Minority.
4 European Social Fund Learning

CHAPTER SUMMARY

- European Social Fund (ESF) provision was relatively dispersed within the third sector and was characterised by large numbers of providers, each with small numbers of learners.

- As with Work-based learning (WBL) provision (and to some extent Further Education [FE]), there is evidence that the third sector reaches different types of learners compared with other providers. Third sector ESF learners tended to be more ethnically diverse, were more likely to have a learning difficulty and/or disability and were more likely to live in a deprived area than non-third sector ESF learners.

- While prior qualifications were not available for around half of third sector young learners, figures available suggest that this group were more likely to have Level 1 or Entry level qualifications and less likely to have no qualifications at the point of starting their course.

- Achievement rates among young learners were generally comparable with non-third sector provision. Young learners on Level 1 & Entry level courses perform better in the third sector, as do young ethnic minority learners.

4.1 This chapter examines the contribution made by the third sector to learning funded and supported by the ESF. Since 2000, the ESF has been a key part of the European Union’s (EU) Lisbon strategy for growth and employment. The ESF supports the EU's goal of increasing employment by giving unemployed and disadvantaged people the training and support necessary for them to enter jobs. By focusing on those most in need of help, the ESF contributes to policies to reduce inequality and build a fairer society. The ESF also equips the workforce with the skills needed by business in a competitive, global economy.

4.2 The priorities in the 2007 to 2013 ESF programme are designed to focus ESF spending on specific activities and to ensure that it reaches those people in most need of support. There are two main priorities in England:

- **Priority 1**: ‘Extending employment opportunities’. This initiative supports projects to tackle the barriers to work faced by unemployed and disadvantaged people. About £1.2 billion of ESF money was made available for this priority in 2007–2013; and

- **Priority 2**: ‘Developing a skilled and adaptable workforce’. This initiative supports projects to train people who do not have basic skills and qualifications needed in the workplace. About £670 million of ESF money was made available for this priority in 2007–2013.
4.3 With these priorities in mind, this chapter looks at:

- The demographic profile of ESF learners;
- The geographic profile of ESF learners;
- The prior achievement and learner destination of ESF learners;
- The trends in ESF learning provision by level and type of learning (learning aims); and
- The basic achievement rates of ESF learning (learning aims).

Overview of trends in ESF provision

4.4 Third sector ESF provision is delivered by a large number of providers, each with relatively small numbers of learners. Consequently learners are more dispersed compared with FE and WBL provision.

4.5 That said, the number of third sector providers delivering ESF programmes has fallen substantially year-on-year over the last four academic years, from 387 in 2004/05 to 215 in 2007/08\(^2\). It is worth reiterating that these learner numbers are based on direct provision only, and not provision that is subcontracted or franchised-out to the third sector.

4.6 In 2007/08 two providers – Akademi: South Asian Dance UK and Surrey Care Trust – accounted for almost one quarter (12% and 11% respectively) of all third sector ESF young learners. These providers aside, no other providers accounted for more than 5% of the total third sector ESF young learners. Table 4.1 shows the top ten third sector providers ranked by their learner numbers in 2007/08.

Table 4.1: Top ten third sector ESF providers by young learner volumes (2007/08)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provider name</th>
<th>Number of third sector learners</th>
<th>Proportion of third sector learners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Akademi: South Asian Dance UK</td>
<td>1,620</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surrey Care Trust</td>
<td>1,520</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rathbone Training</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windsor Fellowship</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headstrong Productions</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Robert Owen Society for Learning and Social Economic Development Ltd.</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adapt (North East)</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment Needs Training Agency C.I.C.</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^2\) There were 324 Third Sector providers delivering ESF programmes in 2005/06 and 300 in 2006/07.
4.7 ESF learner numbers have fluctuated somewhat between 2004/05 and 2007/08. While around 13,500 young learners were engaged in ESF training at both the beginning and end of this period, a large rise in 2006/07 saw nearly 18,000 young learners undertake ESF training in the third sector (Figure 4.1).

**Figure 4.1: Trends in third sector ESF young learner volumes**

4.8 The third sector’s contribution to overall ESF provision for young learners has been relatively substantial, with around one in six (16%) of all ESF young learners studying with a third sector provider. This figure stayed largely constant since 2004/05, apart from a dip down to 12% in 2005/06.

**Demographic profile of ESF learners**

4.9 This section looks at the demographic profile of ESF learners within the third sector and comments on how this profile compares with that of non-third sector ESF learners. In summary, compared with the non-third sector ESF learner population third sector ESF learners were:

- twice as likely to be from ethnically diverse backgrounds
- slightly more likely to be male
- slightly more likely to have a learning difficulty and/or disability

4.10 This pattern is observed across all four academic years (Table 4.2).
4.11 Analysing the ethnic composition of ESF young learners shows that over one-third (33% in 2007/08) of third sector young learners were Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic (BAME) compared with 15% of non-third sector young learners (Table 4.2). The diversity of both third sector and non-third sector ESF young learners has decreased slightly since 2004/05, when 36% of third sector and 21% of non-third sector young learners were from BAME groups.

4.12 The third sector attracted slightly more men (56%) than women (44%) among young learners in 2007/08. The third sector also had a slightly greater proportion of young male learners compared to non-third sector providers. This trend was more pronounced in 2004/05 (58% of third sector young learners were male, against 53% of the non-third sector cohort), and has decreased to 2007/08 where there was only one percentage point difference (56% third sector vs. 55% non-third sector).

4.13 Third sector ESF young learners were slightly more likely to consider themselves as having a learning difficulty and/or a disability (10% in 2007/08) compared with non-third sector ESF young learners (8% in 2007/08). This has stayed constant since 2004/05 (Table 4.2).

Table 4.2: Demographic profile of ESF young learners

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2007/08</th>
<th>2006/07</th>
<th>2005/06</th>
<th>2004/05</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-third sector</td>
<td>Third sector</td>
<td>Non-third sector</td>
<td>Third sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(71,661)</td>
<td>(13,597)</td>
<td>(94,956)</td>
<td>(17,924)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White – British</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAME</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity not known</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning difficulty and/or disability status</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have a learning difficulty and/or disability</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not have a learning difficulty and/or disability</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Individualised Learner Record (ILR)
Note: Some column percentages may not add up to 100% due to rounding up or down of the original data.
4.14 This section looks at the geographic distribution of the ESF learner population and the proportion of learners that are resident in the most deprived areas of the country (Table 4.3). Analysis is based on the Index of Multiple Deprivation which has been appended to geographic information available on the Individualised Learner Record (ILR).

4.15 There was considerable variation in the regional distribution of young learners on third sector ESF programmes. Almost three in ten (29% in 2007/08; Table 4.3) were resident in Greater London, with a further 15% in the South East and another 15% in the North West. Conversely, just 3% of young learners were resident in the East of England and just 4% were living in Yorkshire & the Humber.

4.16 This compares to quite a different – but still uneven – distribution for ESF young learners outside the third sector. A third (32% in 2007/08) of non-third sector ESF young learners lived in the North East, and 18% in the West Midlands.

4.17 ESF young learners in the third sector were significantly more likely to live in a deprived area compared with non-third sector young learners. In 2007/08, slightly under half (46%) of all third sector ESF young learners were resident in the 20% most deprived areas compared with 37% of non-third sector ESF learners (Table 4.3). Similarly, over one-quarter of third sector ESF young learners (26% in 2007/08) lived in the 10% most deprived areas compared with just under one-fifth (20%) of non-third sector young learners.

Table 4.3: Geographic profile of ESF young learners

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>2007/08</th>
<th>2006/07</th>
<th>2005/06</th>
<th>2004/05</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-third sector</td>
<td>Third sector</td>
<td>Non-third sector</td>
<td>Third sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base: 16-18 ESF learners</td>
<td>(71,661)</td>
<td>(13,597)</td>
<td>(94,956)</td>
<td>(17,924)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East of England</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Midlands</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater London</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North East</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North West</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Midlands</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yorkshire &amp; the Humber</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region unknown</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Prior achievement and learner destination of ESF young learners

4.18 This section considers some key aspects of ESF learners’ prior attainment status and their destination after the course (Table 4.4). Some of this ILR data has to be interpreted with caution21, but there is some suggestion that ESF third sector young learners tended to be more likely to have a Level 1 or Entry Level qualification before starting their course.

4.19 Comparing the prior educational attainment of ESF young learners shows that one third (31%) of third sector learners had an Entry or Level 1 qualification in 2007/08, compared with one in five (20%) of ESF non-third sector learners (Table 4.4). Third sector young learners were also less likely to have no prior qualifications at all (9% in 2007/08) compared with non-third sector learners (32% in 2007/08) – although it should be noted that third sector learners were more likely to be recorded as ‘prior qualification unknown’.

4.20 The proportion of ESF third sector young learners with no qualifications has decreased over time, from 29% in 2004/05 to 9% in 2007/08. Instead there has been a rise in young learners with Level 1 & Entry level qualifications (from 18% to 31% over the same period). One of the reasons for this may be due to changes to the eligibility criteria for ESF.

4.21 In terms of destinations, third sector young learners were most commonly recorded as continuing into education or training elsewhere (42% in 2007/08), or continuing their existing programme of learning (21% in 2007/08). A small proportion (5%) moved into employment. This compares to half (51%) of non-third sector young learners moving into education or training elsewhere, 17% continuing their existing programme of learning, and 7% moving into employment.

4.22 One in six (17%) ESF third sector young learners do not have a destination recorded on the ILR, and it is reasonable to assume that a proportion of these learners also moved into employment or further learning. As a note,

---

21 As a note, the ILR records show a relatively high incidence of learners whose prior qualifications and destinations, appear as ‘unknown’ (see Table 4.4). This creates a degree of uncertainty around the true distribution of prior qualifications within and outside the Third Sector. Some of the variation discussed here may be, therefore, as much a factor of qualifications not being recorded as genuine differences in learner characteristics.
the reduction of learners in continuing training over the years may possibly reflect a greater focus on quality of data, as ‘continuing existing programme of learning’ is not a valid destination.

### Table 4.4: ESF young learners’ prior qualifications and destinations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2007/08</th>
<th>2006/07</th>
<th>2005/06</th>
<th>2004/05</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-third sector</td>
<td>Third sector</td>
<td>Non-third sector</td>
<td>Third sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base: 16-18 ESF learners</td>
<td>(71,661)</td>
<td>(13,597)</td>
<td>(94,956)</td>
<td>(17,924)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learner level or prior attainment</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 1 &amp; Entry</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 4, 5 or higher</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other level</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No prior qualifications</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior qualifications unknown</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learner destination: employment status after learning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education or training</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuing existing programme of learning</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Destination unknown</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Individualised Learner Record (ILR)

Note: An asterisk (*) denotes data points below 1%. Some column percentages may not add up to 100% due to rounding up or down of the original data.

### Trends in ESF learning provision

4.23 This section turns to an analysis of the nature of the ESF-funded courses being delivered by third sector providers. Firstly, the nature of learning provision is examined, in terms of the individual learning aims being
delivered, before moving on to the level of learning. This analysis is based on all learning aims rather than all learners. Since any individual learner could have enrolled for more than one learning aim, the ILR stores aims-related information in a separate data set. The following sections of this chapter, therefore, report the type and level of individual learning aims.

Table 4.5: Top ten third sector ESF young learner aims (2007/08)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Learning aim title</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Awarding body</th>
<th>Volumes of third sector aims</th>
<th>Proportion of third sector aims</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Co-financed ESF provision not leading to a recognised qualification or other learning aim</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Generic award</td>
<td>11,786</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certificate in ICT Skills for Life CG 3791 Profile of Achievement: Preparation for Life and Work</td>
<td>Entry</td>
<td>OCR</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Awards (Bronze): Preparation for Life and Work Certificate in Preparation for Employment Certificate in Adult Literacy Credit Achievement Code: Arts, Media and Publishing Tutorial and enrichment studies for full time 16-18 students studying e.g. GCE A levels; AS levels; GCSEs and short course GCSEs The Further Education Award (Level UP): Preparation for Life and Work Certificate in ESOL Skills for Life</td>
<td>Entry</td>
<td>ASDAN</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Entry</td>
<td>City &amp; Guilds</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Entry</td>
<td>EDEXCEL</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Entry</td>
<td>NOCN</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Entry</td>
<td>Trinity College London</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Base: 16-18 ESF Learning Aims

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volumes</th>
<th>Proportion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(19,159)</td>
<td>(%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Individualised Learner Record (ILR); ECDL=European Computer Driving Licence; ESF=European Social Fund; ESOL=European Speakers of Other Languages. NOCN=National Open College Network; OCR=Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations; BCS=British Computer Society

Note: Some learning aims do not have an applicable level of learning attached on the ILR's Learning Aim Database (variable A_NVQLEV) in which case the level has to be displayed as “N/A”. Similarly, some aims do not have a specific awarding body recorded (A_AWARDB), in which case the aim is displayed as a “generic award”.

4.24 The majority of individual ESF learning aims provided by the third sector were generic awards that fell under the learning programme area ‘Humanities (including education and social studies)’. Within this sector,
the array of generic, short courses, co-financed by ESF\textsuperscript{22} dominated the overall third sector ESF provision and comprised more than two thirds (69\% in 2007/08; Table 4.5) of all learning aims.

4.25 Examining third sector provision by level of learning (Table 4.6) shows that the majority (72\%) of third sector young learner aims within ESF in 2007/08 were recorded as ‘Other level’, i.e. aims that have not been assigned any specific level of learning\textsuperscript{23}.

4.26 In 2007/08, compared with non-third sector ESF provision for young learners, third sector provision was slightly less likely to be at Level 2 or higher (7\% compared with 12\% of non-third sector ESF young learner aims). Instead, third sector provision for young learners was more likely to be at Level 1 or Entry level (22\% compared with 20\% of non-third sector ESF courses). The proportion of learning aims at this level within the third sector appears to have almost doubled since 2004/05 (13\%), although it is not clear whether this increase was related to the declining proportion of aims classified as ‘Other’ level (Table 4.6).

4.27 \textit{Skills for life} learning aims comprised a small proportion of all 2007/08 ESF aims for young learners in both third sector (5\%) and non-third sector (7\%) learning (Table 4.6). This has however increased from the minimal levels of \textit{Skills for Life} aims in 2004/05 (2\% and 1\% respectively).

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Type of aim} & \textbf{2007/08} & \textbf{2006/07} & \textbf{2005/06} & \textbf{2004/05} \\
\hline
\hline
\textbf{Level} & & & & & \\
\textbf{Level 1 & Entry} & 20 & 22 & 17 & 26 & 12 & 22 & 6 & 13 \\
\textbf{Level 2} & 11 & 7 & 10 & 4 & 9 & 3 & 3 & 3 \\
\textbf{Level 3} & 1 & * & 1 & * & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\textbf{Level 4, 5 or higher} & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * \\
\textbf{Other level} & 68 & 72 & 72 & 70 & 78 & 74 & 90 & 84 \\
\hline
\textbf{Skills for life} & 7 & 5 & 5 & 6 & 4 & 6 & 1 & 2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Profile of ESF young learner aims}
\end{table}

\textsuperscript{22}These are generic learning aims captured on the ILR by the learning aim reference number A09 = XESF0001.

\textsuperscript{23}Almost all of these Third Sector courses with no level assigned are generic short courses, where the course title (A09 = XESF0001) specifies that this falls under ‘Co-financed ESF provision not leading to a recognised qualification or other learning aim on the learning aim database’.
ESF achievement rates

4.28 Concluding the ILR analysis of ESF learning aims, this section presents the achievement rates within third sector ESF learning. As with the preceding FE and WBL chapters, the achievement rates have been calculated here as the number of achieved and partially achieved aims, expressed as a proportion of all aims with a definitive outcome (achieved; partially achieved; no achievement).

4.29 In general, achievement rates for third sector ESF aims were high, with three-quarters (81%) of all young learner aims being achieved or partially achieved in 2007/08 (Figure 4.2). Following a fall in achievement rates between 2004/05 (80%) and 2005/06 (74%), this represents a three year trend of increasing achievement for this learner group. It compares closely to the 82% achievement rate in 2007/08 for learning aims of young people studying outside the third sector.

Figure 4.2: Trends in third sector ESF achievement rates for young learners

Source: Individualised Learner Record (ILR)

4.30 Profiling achievement rates further by learner characteristics (Table 4.7) shows that:

- Achievement rates for ESF young learners’ aims at Level 1 & Entry were greater for the third sector compared with non-third sector provision (77% compared with 72% in 2007/08).
The third sector also produced slightly higher achievement rates for young learners’ aims at Level 2 (80% vs. 79%) and Level 3 (76% vs. 74%) in 2007/08. (With less than 1% of young learners taking courses at Level 4, 5 or higher, the sample size is too small to allow for meaningful comparison of achievement rates here.)

Young women studying in the third sector achieved at a slightly higher rate (84%) than young men (80%) in 2007/08. This slight female outperformance has held true since 2004/05, and occurs similarly outside the third sector.

Achievement rates for ESF learning aims undertaken by young third sector Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic (BAME) learners were significantly higher than among non-third sector BAME learners (89% and 79%, respectively, in 2007/08). Furthermore, young BAME learners in the third sector notably outperformed young white British learners (89% aims achieved compared with 77% achievement). This is the opposite of the trend outside the third sector, which instead sees young white British learners do slightly better (83% vs. 79% in 2007/08).

Skills for Life learning aims produced slightly lower achievement rates in the third sector (61% vs. 66% in non-third sector ESF learning in 2007/08; Table 4.7).

Table 4.7: Achievement rates (achieved or partially achieved) of ESF young learner aims

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Base: All Learning Aims with a definite outcome (A35=1,2,or3)</th>
<th>2007/08</th>
<th>2006/07</th>
<th>2005/06</th>
<th>2004/05</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-third sector</td>
<td>(33,566)</td>
<td>(17,333)</td>
<td>(64,134)</td>
<td>(13,350)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third sector</td>
<td>(17,333)</td>
<td>(13,350)</td>
<td>(7,703)</td>
<td>(8,925)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Achieved or partially achieved aims</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achievement by level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 1 &amp; Entry</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 3</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 4, 5 or higher</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other level</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aim type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skills for life</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White –</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The next section of this report (Chapters 5 to 11), considers the findings from the qualitative phase of the research. Conclusions and recommendations drawing together findings from both the ILR analysis and the qualitative work are presented in Chapter 12.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BAME Learning difficulty and/or Have a learning difficulty and/or disability</th>
<th>79</th>
<th>89</th>
<th>77</th>
<th>83</th>
<th>86</th>
<th>79</th>
<th>91</th>
<th>83</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do not have a learning difficulty</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Individualised Learner Record (ILR); BAME=Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic.
5 Overview of case studies

5.1 The following chapters (Chapters 5 to 11) present the key findings of a series of qualitative case studies, which examined the learning provision and learner’s experiences within five, key priority areas, where third sector provision can make a significant contribution to the Learning and Skills Council (LSC)’s delivery targets. The findings of the qualitative case studies have been synthesised and are reported as such (with comments on differences between the case study areas, where appropriate).

5.2 This chapter describes the background and approach to the case study research, before describing the profile of learners and providers included within the case studies.

Introduction

5.3 As the quantitative analysis of the preceding chapters has demonstrated, third sector providers appear to be successful with engaging disadvantaged learners, catering for a distinct learner population who are, perhaps, less likely to engage with learning in a traditional Further Education (FE) or Work-based Learning (WBL) setting than non-third sector learners. The Individualised Learner Record (ILR) data indicate that learners in the third sector tended to be:

- more ethnically diverse;
- more likely to have a learning difficulty and/or disability;
- more concentrated in deprived areas; and
- more likely to have lower, or no prior qualifications, before starting their course.

5.4 Overall, third sector providers are also effective in supporting their learners through to successful completion and achievement of their learning aims, whether these aims are informally or formally defined. The formal achievement rates recorded on the 2007/08 ILR show a complex picture of third sector learning which produced:

- A better performance of third sector learning within FE provision (76% aims achieved or partially achieved vs. 73% in non-third sector FE).
- An equal level of performance within WBL for young learners (65% vs. 65% in the non-third sector WBL) – although achievement rates were higher for aims undertaken by learners with a learning difficulty and/or disability (65% vs. 58% in non-third sector WBL).
- A fractionally lower overall performance within European Social Fund (ESF) learning (81% vs. 82% in non-third sector ESF), which was largely driven by lower
achievement rates of for ‘Other level’ aims. Young learners produced higher achievement rates at Entry and Level 1 (77% vs. 72% in non-third sector ESF).

5.5 It is, therefore, important to consider achievement in the third sector in the context of a learner population who often enter learning with a lower, prior educational attainment than many non-third sector learners (particularly so in WBL and FE learning). Moreover, third sector learners often have to overcome a variety of obstacles and barriers to learning, such as social, financial or educational disadvantages. It is also notable that learners benefit in a variety of other ways, even if they do not formally achieve their aims (for example, gaining relevant skills, work experience, more confidence, a positive attitude to learning or social benefits by meeting new people).

5.6 So far, the quantitative analysis has determined the nature and extent of learning provision and the typical learner profile in third sector learning, which has helped to establish a comprehensive picture of the contribution that third sector provision makes. The qualitative analysis will now move beyond this description and uncover additional insights into how third sector providers design and manage their operations. The analysis will also examine why third sector providers are particularly successful in certain areas and where there are areas for improvement. As such, while the quantitative analysis made direct comparisons between third sector and non-third sector learning, the qualitative research was designed to explore specific particularities within the third sector, to identify ‘what works’. Direct comparisons with non-third sector learning were, therefore, limited.

5.7 Aiding this type of enquiry is a series of in-depth interviews with learners and with providers, which form the basis of the qualitative findings. The interviews covered a variety of themes, including: aspects of learners’ expectations and motivations; learner recruitment, advice and guidance; how learner’s needs are assessed; the way learning is delivered; what forms of learner support are made available; and the outcomes and benefits of learning. The qualitative fieldwork was conducted between July and August 2009.

5.8 Third sector providers that were active in the delivery of LSC funded WBL, FE and ESF co-financed learning in 2007/08 were eligible for inclusion with the case study research. Beyond this, five priority areas were identified in consultation with the LSC third sector Advisory Group. The rationale for selecting each case study was to provide a good spread across the different funding streams and learner age groups. Case study selection was also based on areas where the nature and contribution of third sector provision linked with a key objective or priority area and, particularly,
warranted further exploration. The five priority areas that formed the basis of the qualitative research design were:

1. **ESF learning undertaken by learners aged 16–18 years**
2. **ESF learning undertaken by adult learners (19+ years)**
3. **WBL undertaken by learners with a learning difficulty and/or disability**
4. **WBL undertaken by learners aged 16–18 years on Apprenticeships in deprived areas**
5. **FE learners participating in Full Level 2 learning**

5.9 Each of these priority areas formed a case study, with four of the five covering young learners and thus included in this report for the YPLA. Case Study 2, ESF learning undertaken by adult learners, is not discussed in this report.

5.10 In each case study, two third sector providers were selected who were found to be making a significant contribution to the LSC’s priorities in terms of having particularly high achievement rates for the type of learner or learning in question (‘Type 1’ providers). In addition, two third sector providers were selected who had achievement rates that were average or slightly below the average (‘Type 2’ providers). Providers were selected using achievement data on the ILR.

5.11 Selecting both types of providers ensured that the qualitative research was not only an exploration of ‘best practice’ among those providers with an excellent track record of achievement but involved a complete assessment of the impact of third sector provision. Results were analysed by whether the provider was a ‘Type 1’ or ‘Type 2’ provider. Overall, there was very little difference in the practices and procedures of third sector providers with high achievement rates and those with average or slightly below average rates. As will be illustrated later in this section, achievement rates were driven by the nature of the learners who the provider engaged with (i.e. the ‘harder-to-help’ the target group, the greater the likelihood of non-completion). As such, minimal reference is made to these two types of providers throughout the qualitative chapters.

5.12 Of the four providers selected for inclusion within each case study area, two were interviewed (one from each type of provider). In addition to the provider interviews, two to three interviews were conducted among learners from each of the four providers selected within each case study area; this resulted in ten learner interviews per case study area. Learners were selected using ILR data.
Further to this, a need was identified during fieldwork to extend coverage of Case Study 3: ESF undertaken by adult learners to include providers and learners based in rural areas. This resulted in two additional provider interviews and four additional learner interviews being conducted in this case study. One of these providers also works substantially with young learners, so findings from this interview have been retained in this report to ensure that rural perspectives remain in consideration.

Overall, the qualitative fieldwork involved:

- Twelve face-to-face, in-depth interviews with senior staff (including Chief Executives, Principals, Learning Co-ordinators and Scheme Managers) at third sector providers; and
- Fifty-four in-depth interviews with learners; the majority of these interviews were conducted over the telephone and 11 were undertaken as face-to-face interviews (the first interview in each case study area was conducted face-to-face, as well as the majority of interviews with learners with a learning difficulty and/or disability).

This report has been compiled from the nine interviews with providers who worked with young learners, plus the 30 interviews that were with learners aged 16-19.

The interviews were conducted using loosely structured topic guides. All the interviews were fully transcribed and analysed. The text was used as a basis for qualitative coding and extraction of relevant quotations and was subsequently analysed using demographic and other learner and provider data. More details on the research methodology and the qualitative topic guides are provided in Appendix D.

Providers interviewed

The provider interviews included a range of different types and sizes of organisations and a good geographic spread was achieved.

The nine third sector providers that delivered to young people had a fairly broad learning offer, spanning various subject areas, types and levels of learning. Across the case studies areas, providers often stressed the practical nature of their courses and the flexibility with which they are tailored to individual needs and delivered throughout the programmes.

“It's not so much the academic programmes we deliver; it's more about learners’ self-esteem and confidence and the work-related skills, like team work, presentation and communication skills. It's more preparation for work and life.”

Provider – ESF 16- to 18-year-old learners

The basic learning offer is dominated by Skills for Life-type, short-courses and Entry to Employment (E2E) courses, which can last between one and 26 weeks. Some of the apprenticeships can last 6 to 12 months,
depending on the sector. WBL providers offer pre-apprenticeships at Level 1, and apprenticeship programmes at Levels 2 and 3.

5.20 A large proportion of the courses and qualifications offered by the third sector providers represented in the five case studies are accredited by an official awarding body, such as the credit-based qualifications awarded by the National Open College Network (NOCN). Providers also offer shorter courses and more informal learning. However, given the funding relationship providers have with the LSC, there is a clear incentive to getting learners to complete their course and achieve a qualification. The contract with the LSC acts as a catalyst for many third sector providers to boost accredited-learning.

5.21 These third sector providers reported a diverse learner profile, including learners from different age groups, social classes and prior educational backgrounds. One of the key commonalities across the five case study areas, was that many learners were considered by providers to be disadvantaged in some way (financially; socially; or educationally). As a result, they either started their learning journey at a lower level compared with non-third sector learners or they have to overcome a number of additional barriers or obstacles on their way to achievement.

5.22 Providers reported that a large proportion of their learner population lived in a deprived area or had a ‘disadvantaged’ social background. Many came from the care system, were classified as ‘Not in Education, Employment or Training (NEETs), were young, single-parents, or people with financial or housing difficulties, including the homeless. Some also had behavioural problems or serious barriers to learning:

“They are erratic, young people with very chaotic lives. Some of the problems they have are having enough money to eat, not having anywhere stable to live and, quite often, their personal appearance isn’t great, which puts employers off. Some have behavioural issues, like being aggressive. Then they’ve got problems with their time-keeping and their attendance. It tends to be a ‘can of worms’.”

Provider – WBL 16- to 18-year-old apprenticeships in deprived areas

5.23 Some providers (particularly those working with young ESF-funded learners) work extensively with learners that had been in trouble with the law. Other disadvantages described by both providers and learners included: long-term unemployment; and a very low level of prior educational attainment. Many learners have very low prior skills or qualifications having dropped-out of non-third sector education or only achieved two or three, low-grade GCSEs. For some of these learners, a third sector provider was often seen as a ‘last resort’.

5.24 One third sector provider specialised in Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic (BAME) learners and made significant efforts to engage with high-
achieving and high-potential, minority ethnic students, as well as those who were NEET or lacked qualifications. The provider sought to overcome ‘stereotyping’ by offering personal-development training to Year 10 and Year 11 learners, management skills for undergraduate students, and also had relationships with investment banks to help minority ethnic students obtain work experience and paid internships. Beyond the academic content of the training, this provider focused on boosting learners’ confidence and communication skills and raising their aspirations.

Learners interviewed

5.25 The learners interviewed, as part of the case study research, undertook a variety of courses. The young learners from a deprived area (Case Study 4) and the FE learners (Case Study 5) typically aimed for a National Vocational Qualification (NVQ) at Level 2, either as part of their Apprenticeship programme or as a standalone qualification. The NVQs undertaken include subjects such as: business administration; information and communication technology; engineering, maintenance and installation; dental nursing; health and social care; and childcare.

5.26 The courses undertaken by the young ESF-funded learners within Case Study 1 and the learners with a learning difficulty and/or disability within Case Study 3 tended to be at Level 1 or Entry Level. These courses included: a large proportion of Skills for Life and E2E courses (for example, numeracy, literacy, English for speakers of other languages [ESOL] and basic computer courses, such as European Computer Driving Licence [ECDL] and Computer, Literacy and Information Technology [CLAIT] certificates).

5.27 The learners interviewed represented a wide spectrum in terms of background and situation, ranging from some learners with no reported personal or social difficulties who had completed GCSEs (or equivalent) or A-Levels at school, to a significant proportion who had dropped-out of education with no qualifications, some of whom reported significant difficulties or disadvantages, such as homelessness or drug-addiction. A significant minority of the learners interviewed were female returners to learning or to the labour market, many of whom had to balance caring responsibilities with the demands of their course.

5.28 Around half of those interviewed were BAME learners and many were living in inner cities; providers tended to describe these learners as ‘disaffected’ after experiencing prejudice and social exclusion.

5.29 Finally, as the ILR analysis has shown, learners with a learning difficulty and/or disability or with mental health problems comprised a sizeable proportion of learners studying with a third sector provider. Case study 1:
Learners with a learning difficulty and/or disability in WBL focussed on this group of learners. On balance, these learners reported negative prior experiences of learning, particularly within school and college education, where most left with no qualifications or low/ungraded GCSEs.

“I was excluded from school at 14 years-of-age and didn’t get to do my GCSEs. I went to college when I was 15- or 16-years-old but I was kicked out of there as well; I failed as well.”

Learner – WBL learner with a learning difficulty and/or disability

5.30 The profile of learner interviews analysed for this report is presented in Table 5.1, and only includes those interviews which were carried out with young learners aged 16-19 (or 16-25 for Case Study 3, WBL for learners with learning difficulties and/or disabilities).

Table 5.1: Profile of young learners interviewed for each case study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CS1</th>
<th>CS2</th>
<th>CS3</th>
<th>CS4</th>
<th>CS5</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethnicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White – British</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAME</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Learning difficulty and/or disability status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have a learning difficulty and/or disability</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not have a learning difficulty and/or disability, or not known</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6 Why the third sector?

6.1 This chapter explores learners’ motivations for entering learning or training in the third sector, as well as detailing providers’ approaches to recruitment. Methods that third sector providers use for engaging learners throughout their course are detailed in Chapters 7 and 8.

CHAPTER SUMMARY

- Connexions referred many young learners (aged 16–19) to third sector learning and skills development.

- Providers also have close connections with other local voluntary and community groups, plus schools and social services; many learners were recruited via these partnerships.

- Previously ‘disaffected’ learners choose third sector provision due to the approachable staff and one-to-one help they received with personal or learning issues from the outset. ‘Word-of-mouth’ recommendations and the provider’s reputation of being ‘open to all’ were also key factors and particularly important among younger learners.

- The combination of getting a qualification and the job opportunities ‘opened-up’ by a qualification was the central reason why most learners decided to start their courses.

Provider recruitment

6.2 Learners were recruited into third sector learning by a variety of means. Younger learners came to their provider via a referral from the Connexions youth Information, Advice and Guidance (IAG) service. Learner referrals also came from other organisations, such as Aim Higher, other youth drop-in centres or community groups, Social Services, or youth-offender teams.

6.3 Providers also have active, recruitment plans in-place; these may include advertising their programmes via leaflets and posters, for example, at Connexions, local schools or with employers. Some providers organised school visits, where pupils can learn about the provider’s courses before they leave school, and they may also arrange day-long workshops on further education (FE) or job application skills held in conjunction with schools or colleges. Many providers welcomed interested learners during regular ‘open days’. Third sector providers also relied heavily on ‘word-of-mouth’ amongst young learners.

“We do get a lot of young people who are actually coming to us by ‘word-of-mouth’, [from] past learners or friends-of-friends. We actively recruit through the Connexions service. We go to Connexions to make ourselves obviously visible to young people there.
“I have loads of friends at [the provider], and they said the people are really nice, and they enjoyed it there, so I thought I’d give it a go.”

6.4 A major theme running across all case study areas is that third sector providers pick-up and cater for (indeed, actively target) ‘harder-to-help’ or disadvantaged learners – those learners who providers believe may ‘fall through the net’ were it not for their efforts.

“The ethos with which our team was set-up was to deal with the ‘hardest-to-reach’ young people. We fill in a huge gap that the colleges and mainstream providers leave. They don’t want to deal with learners who present anything like a tenth of the problems we deal with. Without the third sector, that gap would be absolutely huge.”

Learner motivations and reasons for picking third sector

6.5 For many learners, the prospect of attaining a valuable qualification within their chosen subject was a key reason for choosing to study with their third sector provider. Another prominent motive for learners to start their learning was to gain ‘access to employment’ or to establish themselves in their current jobs or job placements. Many learners, particularly the young learners studying within a WBL or European Social Fund (ESF) programme, emphasised the importance of their programme for gaining work-related skills, work experience and a job.

“I didn’t have a job, so I decided I should do a course, going back to my computer skills. But I didn’t have any certificates to prove that I could do this, so I was looking to get a certificate.”

6.6 For a handful of learners in Case Study 4: Young Apprenticeships in deprived areas, undertaking a course designed to lead to a job-specific qualification was a requirement within their current jobs or was suggested by a current employer.

6.7 Some learners also saw their course as a route into further or higher education.
“I wanted to do an NVQ Level 3 to get into university, to study education. I'd then have to do a Postgraduate Certificate in Education (PGCE) and then I'll become a qualified teacher.”

Learner – WBL 16- to 18-year-old Apprenticeships in deprived areas

“The provider] actively encourages people to finish the course and then go to college. It's a stepping-stone to college”

Learner – WBL learners with a learning difficulty and/or disability

6.8 For some learners, particularly those who were unemployed before starting their learning with a third sector provider, the course simply gave them something to do. Going to the course meant a new routine and also an opportunity to meet new people.

6.9 Even though many young learners were referred to their third sector provider by Connexions, a college or Jobcentre Plus (and in these cases, generally, had not heard of the provider before), there is also evidence that some learners recognised and actively choose their third sector provider because they were seen as offering something different. At the point of enrolment, the perceived advantages of third sector providers included:

- being accessible to all, irrespective of academic background;
- offering flexible, classroom teaching hours; and
- being conveniently located and/or located at familiar and accessible community centres.

6.10 Unlike colleges, the third sector rarely required young learners to hold any prior qualifications; many providers accepted everybody who wanted to study and found them an appropriate programme.

6.11 For a small number of young learners, courses needed to be at times that fitted around the rest of their lives, and this was instrumental in their decision of provider. This may have meant a part-time course that let them keep working while studying, or shorter daytime courses that fitted with their childcare or travel arrangements.

“I had to get a course at night time because I couldn't get time off work to go.”

Learner – WBL 16- to 18-year-old Apprenticeships in deprived areas

6.12 Given these diverse learner characteristics and prior educational backgrounds, it is necessary for third sector providers to adapt the way they target learners to accommodate a variety of learner needs. Special learner needs and circumstances call for more flexibility in the way third sector providers approach prospective learners, for example, by promoting
their flexible course formats and class teaching schedules to meet the needs of their particular learner profiles:

“You have to make the programme fit them, rather than asking them to fit the programme. For example, the apprenticeship has a particular format and quite often you have to bend that format to accommodate the young people who have more problems than the average person.”

Provider – WBL 16- to 18-year-old Apprenticeships in deprived areas

6.13 Young learners reported needing reassurance during their first visit to, or interview with, a provider. This first meeting was crucial for engaging those who may have the most significant barriers to learning and encouraging them that there is a course that is ‘right for them’.

“I was only 16 so I was confused and not really sure what I wanted to do, so basically they were there to help and I made my mind up to do IT. They gave me leaflets or if I wanted to talk to them I could just go talk to them face to face. There were times when I just went to see them to talk about any issues I had.”

Learner – WBL 16- to 18-year-old Apprenticeships in deprived areas

6.14 Case Study 4 learners on Apprenticeships in deprived areas reported the fewest concerns about starting learning. These learners had more straightforward experiences of previous education and were already employed at the outset of the course (and, therefore, had a more ‘stable’ lifestyle and regular income). third sector providers in this sector perhaps also regarded themselves as more ‘mainstream’ (i.e. delivering higher level and/or full-time provision to less disadvantaged learners) or were moving that way because of funding requirements – meaning they were less likely to work with more ‘marginalised’ learners.

“We are moving more ‘mainstream’. So, we are aiming ourselves with the higher ability person who would be employed. We are trying to move more towards the person who would traditionally go to a college to come to us.”

Provider – WBL 16- to 18-year-old Apprenticeships in deprived areas
6.15

**LEARNER PORTRAIT – CASE STUDY: YOUNG ESF LEARNERS**

Robert, 17, lives in a small town in the North of England and has completed full-time ESF-funded courses in literacy and numeracy.

Robert left school with no qualifications and was out-of-work and homeless, sleeping in hostels and on friends’ floors. Having started off as a self-described ‘bad boy’ at school, he had tried to ‘settle down’ and start working in Year 9, as his GCSEs approached. He felt that the teachers had, however, continued to see him as disruptive and he ended-up leaving school with no GCSEs and unable to read.

Connexions referred him towards a Third Sector provider as they felt improved key skills would help him find employment. He studied two courses full-time: Adult Literacy Level 1 and Adult Numeracy Levels 1 and 2. The Education Maintenance Allowance (EMA) was central to his decision to return to education: “What really interested me most was the EMA money, getting paid to do a bit more training and trying to get into work.”

Robert described himself as shy and, he was consequently, glad to study at a smaller provider – he said the large numbers at college would have been daunting. He reported the provider as being known locally as the place that all the ‘dropouts’ go, but actually viewed this as a positive – because the provider would have more tutors and more assistance for these learners. He found staff encouraging and approachable, distinctly different from the school teachers who he felt had stigmatised him as a ‘troublemaker’. Tutors would check on students if they seemed disengaged or unhappy but the fact that attendance on these courses was voluntary, also encouraged him to develop his own motivation and responsibility.

Robert reported several positive outcomes from the course. He was proud that he had gone from getting ‘nothing’ at school to attaining qualifications and gained a lot of confidence in working with other people. The structure of getting-up each morning for classes motivated him to take action on his unemployment and homelessness: he says that he thought, “What’s the point of going back to how it used to be and being lazy, not having a care in the world what time I get out of bed?” It kept me on the straight and narrow.” While the course did not directly lead to employment, it gave him the confidence he needed for job interviews and he is now working.

6.16 Young learners reported feeling comfortable engaging with their third sector provider from the outset. There was an immediate perception that the tutors and other staff at the provider’s organisation treated them in a way that was ‘different’ from any previous experiences that they may have had with education or training. Methods of engaging with and supporting learners are discussed in more detail in Chapters 7 and 8.
7 Meeting learner needs

7.1 In this section, the systems and processes that third sector providers have in-place to identify and meet young learners' needs (both learning and non-learning) throughout their courses are explored. In particular, we discuss how they:

- Offer flexible learning provision;
- Deliver additional support;
- Assess learners' needs; and
- Monitor and assess progress.

7.2 How young learners view the support available to them and the role of provider support in helping them complete and achieve their learning aim are reported.

CHAPTER SUMMARY

- The personalised, flexible approach of third sector providers is central to their success with 'harder-to-help' young learners or those who have had a negative experience of non-third sector education.

- Third sector providers organise systematic learner needs assessments at the start of their engagement, which sometimes included ‘taster weeks’. Learners were generally satisfied with this personalised approach; however, some young learners were dissatisfied when their provider mixed learners of different levels into one group.

- Third sector providers invested considerable time and effort into supporting young learners' needs. Learners were commonly offered mentoring, one-to-one tutoring and guidance, non-learning support and job-searching advice, as appropriate.

- Much resource was put into observing and monitoring learners – including the maintenance of tracking sheets, gathering feedback from employers, arranging monthly reviews – and working with them to overcome barriers they encounter.

Flexible approach

7.3 Several providers emphasised their flexible approach to learning delivery, for example, by arranging some learning on a unit-by-unit basis or by delivering qualifications in a ‘holistic’ manner by integrating many units into one lesson. Some providers offered part-time or ‘roll-on/roll-off’ options. Several young learners emphasised the importance of this approach and commented that it was important to be able to work under a course
structure that was not too rigid and which allowed them to accommodate other responsibilities, such as part-time work.

7.4 Providers that deliver accredited learning work had to incorporate flexibility with their approach to learning delivery, whilst still adhering to the basic, formal structure of any course, as it is set-out and accredited by the awarding body.

“Qualifications are quite tied-down and we do accredit everything we deliver. We are not the type of organisation that views a young person coming on a programme for months and months and not having any accreditation at the end. I suppose the mechanisms for accreditations are quite formal; even though our delivery isn’t that formal, the sessions could be deemed as quite formal, in terms of their make-up.”

Provider – Work-based Learning (WBL) 16- to 18-year-old Apprenticeships in deprived areas

Learner support

7.5 Third sector providers make a range of resources available to support their young learners, particularly when learners came from a disadvantaged background. Learner support is a crucial element used by providers to attract young learners, improve their attendance and increase overall completion and achievement rates. As discussed above, providers usually assessed learners’ needs at the start of the programme and arranged additional funds and support for learners’ financial needs, travel costs, housing problems, or other issues.

7.6 Partnership working with other agencies is very important for third sector providers when working with young learners who faced complex, personal situations, such as financial problems, housing issues, alcohol- or drug-abuse or disrupted family relations. Providers typically rely on a ‘network’ of other organisations for assistance, such as local housing groups, job rehabilitation teams, youth offender teams or other charities or community networks.

“For specific things like housing issues, we always work in partnership with loads of other agencies in the town. Obviously, we are quite friendly with the housing groups; we are quite friendly with job rehabilitation team, with the youth offending team, so we have to link in with them. A lot of the young people we get in the disadvantaged groups are already with the youth offending team. We would have to liaise with them every month to try and keep the young person on the programme and on track.”

Provider – WBL 16- to 18-year-old Apprenticeships in deprived areas

7.7 Third sector providers reported strong, well-established links with these other agencies that have been developed over time and are mutually beneficial. Third sector providers commonly work in consortia with these
sorts of organisations on current or past projects or initiatives (whether
learning related or not), meaning they can utilise existing links and
relationships, when needed.

7.8 However, most learner support provision was typically delivered by
qualified learning tutors, who assisted students with their individual
academic workload by way of mentoring, coaching and giving advice and
guidance. The philosophy of most third sector providers was to build into
the basic learning delivery, a programme of continuous and personalised
support for students. A number of providers went further, making personal
counsellors available to their students, who would offer psychological
support, in times of particular need.

“They do get a one-to-one personalised review every month. All the staff tend to
have an open door policy. There’s a learning mentor we link to and that mentor is
available for all the young people. We offer Information, Advice and Guidance
(IAG) as well and they can access that anytime. We’ve got staff who are qualified
for that. It could be about anything, it could be to do with their learning, the next
step to take with their lives, etc.”

Provider – WBL 16- to 18-year-old Apprenticeships in deprived areas

7.9 Learners ‘echoed’ the importance of the availability of this type of support.
While additional, non-learning support was not needed for many of the
learners interviewed, a significant minority utilised support of this nature
with some saying that it played a ‘fundamental role’ in encouraging them to
complete the course. Support such as one-to-one counselling or advice
was particularly welcomed by learners in Case Study 3: ESF adult learners
and Case Study 5: WBL young Apprentices in deprived areas.

“If you were having any problems in general life they’d help. I think if the support
had stopped I’d have left, because I’d have had nobody who was bothering with
me.”

Learner – WBL 16- to 18-year-old Apprenticeships in deprived areas

7.10 Mentoring was a common approach in Case Study 3: WBL Learners with
a learning difficulty and/or disability where often a Connexions adviser
or someone external to the provider would visit learners regularly to
provide them with someone else to discuss their learning experience with.
This support ranged from handouts being provided on different coloured
paper for learners with dyslexia, to learning support assistants being
available for those who needed additional help in the classroom.

7.11 While many young learners did not require ‘formal’ additional support or did
not report any particular additional needs, a broad range of learners across
all case study areas and age groups noted that they appreciated being
able to approach tutors or staff ‘as and when’, if they needed to talk about
something on a one-to-one basis. A few mentioned that they were given phone numbers and email addresses of staff to be able to contact them, if the need arose.

7.12 Establishing mutual trust and treatment ‘on a level’ with students is important to third sector providers. One provider has set-up a democratic Student Council as a platform for learners to discuss their concerns and communicate with staff and the executive.

“We have reintroduced learner representatives for each of the groups, and an overall Student Council. They’ve now met, I think two- or three-times. The idea is for students to meet together and then they meet with the Executive, myself and the Chief Exec, and they discuss the things that they’re worried about, have concerns with, the changes they’d like to see or what they’d like. And then we respond to that as an organisation.”

Provider – ESF 16- to 18-year-old learners

7.13 There is also a social dimension to learner support which recognises that learning is best achieved in a ‘supportive’ group environment. Thus, where possible, third sector providers arranged communal facilities that enable social interaction, both in a learning context and during recreational activities. These resources included: study rooms; workshops; libraries; and student-support centres, which provide personal computer terminals for practising information technology (IT) or simply ‘surfing’ the internet; there are student cafés or canteens; and, in one case, a pool-table to “stop young learners getting bored”.

“There was a common room and it had coffee machines, pop machines and everything like that.”

Learners – WBL 16- to 18-year-old Apprenticeships in deprived areas

7.14 Provider and learner interviews indicated that more disadvantaged learners require additional resources to be mobilised, in terms of learner support. However, there was a perception among some third sector providers that the Learning and Skills Council (LSC) has not always recognised this situation, and that some of its programmes and funding streams were not tailored to the needs, priorities and circumstances of these learners. Providers also felt strongly that frequent changes of policy made it harder for them to ‘reach-out’ to the groups who most needed learning or training.

“What is supposed to be a huge issue, the Not in Education, Employment or Training (NEETs) issue, and is supposed to be on the agenda of the government, all this falls by the wayside in comparison to this year where the buzzword is ‘Apprenticeships’. Most of the young people that we are talking about in that group [NEETs] will never be able to do an Apprenticeship because they will never be able to stick it out. They will never be able to complete what the employer
needs them to complete. They are in a group that is over-subscribed and under-funded.”

Provider – WBL 16- to 18-year-old Apprenticeships in deprived areas

Assessing needs

7.15 Once on board, learners typically received some form of initial advice and guidance from the third sector provider, in most cases on a one-to-one basis. These initial conversations revolved around the course content and format but providers also tried to encourage learners, at this point, to develop their own sense of ‘direction and purpose’ and to identify their broader goals-in-life.

“They sat down with me and went through the whole course and what I’d have to do.”

Learner – WBL 16- to 18-year-old Apprenticeships in deprived areas

7.16 Next, some providers reported that the learning needs of every learner were assessed by a learner support professional; this process included: academic aptitude tests (for example, basic numeracy and literacy tests) and assessment of the young person’s learning style. The structure and content of the subsequent learning programme could then be tailored to an individual’s capabilities and needs, depending on how much individual attention each learner requires.

7.17 Learners were generally happy with this assessment process. However, in a few cases, learners reported dissatisfaction with how they were assessed and/or with the way in which learner groups were organised, with providers sometimes mixing learners of different levels or ages into one group for assessment.

7.18 Following an assessment of basic literacy and numeracy skills, some providers also identified any particular problems in terms of ‘softer’ generic skills such as communication and team-working. Where these were identified, additional short-courses were sometimes offered to help improve these skills alongside the ‘core’ learning aim:

“We then do more of a personal sort of assessment, where we are finding out what skills they have. If it is deemed in the first, few weeks that the young person has poor communication skills and really low confidence, what we would tend to do is then do more of a formal assessment, which is a tool the LSC have. We would draw down additional funds and they would actually come in for a separate session to deal with personal and social issues. It would be communication workshops, self-confidence, team-building, that kind of thing.”

Provider – WBL 16- to 18-year-old Apprenticeships in deprived areas

7.19 Most learners also benefited from an assessment of any additional, non-learning needs they may have, such as any issues with transport, housing,
health or financial support. Some providers also promoted mentoring for learners and assigned them a dedicated, personal mentor, who was responsible for pastoral care on a one-to-one basis.

7.20 Where learners were encountering financial difficulties, some providers tried to address these needs by way of making extra funds available, such as paying travel expenses or by helping learners with application forms for the Education Maintenance Allowance (EMA). This was crucial for several learners, who would have been unable to continue studying without this financial help.

7.21 Assessing learner needs can be a difficult and time-consuming task, in some cases. This process can sometimes stretch over a period of time and require careful observation of the learner within a social setting, such as monitoring how he or she interacts with a group of learners. Time is also needed to build-up a rapport with the learner and gain their trust, as they are not always forthcoming at the start of the programme.

7.22 Providers working with learners with a learning difficulty and/or disability on WBL courses (Case Study 3) in particular had developed structured and time-intensive assessment methods, including ‘taster’ or induction weeks; observations; interviews with both tutors and learning support staff; and the involvement of specialist staff, such as social workers, case workers or transcribers.

"We usually organise a 'taster week' for new, prospective learners. This gives the learner the chance to see what it's like and for us it's an opportunity to assess his or her needs. We try to identify any areas where the learner needs support, as well as any behavioural issues and social needs. We do a literacy and numeracy assessment; there is also a risk-assessment. And during the 'taster week' our tutors observe the learner and record how he or she works in a group of learners."

Provider – WBL learners with a learning difficulty and/or disability

Monitoring performance
A crucial part of the overall learning delivery is for providers to monitor the progress that learners are making throughout their programmes. Third sector providers have a number of procedures to monitor learners’ progress, including tracking sheets and monthly progress reviews that measure success rates against key targets for each, individual learner. Many of these monitoring processes are also supported by feedback from employers, case workers and learners’ parents.

"Every department has tracking sheets to ensure that their learners are on target and their homework or, whatever has been set, has been handed in. We also monitor our overall success rates and our timely achievement rates."

Provider – WBL 16- to 18-year-old Apprenticeships in deprived areas

"We conduct an evaluation at the end of the programme or workshop. At each seminar, the tutors observe their behaviour or performance. They have tutors to
monitor individual progress and give them feedback on where they are. We get feedback from their parents on what they see about their children.”

Provider – ESF 16- to 18-year-old learners

7.23 Some providers also have procedures in place to integrate learners' own experiences and feedback into the monitoring of their progress, such as individual learner plans, setting and revisiting of key targets, regular meetings and online forums. This allows third sector providers to be responsive to the changing needs and aspirations of their learners.

“There will be meetings every term with tutors to assess progress against individual learning plans. We usually encourage groups to nominate a 'class representative' to liaise through the different channels. So, people can keep a track of their own progress and any issues they wish to raise.”

Provider – FE Full Level 2 course learners

7.24 Learners confirmed that the provider’s efforts at monitoring progress and communicating feedback were recognised and valued. A common view was that tutors often go out-of-their-way to make time for one-to-one coaching, mentoring, and providing personal feedback. Whereas for some, this was on a regular (most commonly fortnightly or monthly) and formal basis, for others it simply took the form of informal but frequent 'check-ups' from the tutor.

“Well, every two or three weeks they would give student feedback, where they would go through what you have achieved, what you need to achieve and any improvements on your written feedback sheet. It was good for me because I like everything organised. I knew what my targets were and I knew what I had to do to achieve my targets.”

Learner – WBL 16- to 18-year-old Apprenticeships in deprived areas

“When we’d ask the teacher about our progress, they would sit us down and tell us how we were doing academically. He could print you out a document showing your attendance, punctuality and how you were keeping-up with the work, on a percentage scale.”

Learner – WBL 16- to 18-year-old Apprenticeships in deprived areas

7.25 Learners in **Case Study 5: FE Full Level 2** learning tended to be given written feedback on assignments and a more formal programme of tests and assessments.

7.26 Just a handful of learners reported receiving little or no feedback on their progress. These tended to be learners on short ESF courses (**Case Study 1**), who said that progress monitoring was either ‘kept private’ or that the course was too short or too basic to warrant monitoring.
8 Learner Experience

8.1 This chapter examines learner satisfaction with the experience of third sector provision and explores the reasons why this experience was commonly described as preferable to any other provision they had experienced. The chapter concludes with a discussion of why some learners considered leaving their course early, and the measures that were taken to encourage completion.

CHAPTER SUMMARY

- Learner satisfaction with their third sector course was extremely high. A considerable proportion of learners directly attributed their completion and achievement to the additional, often one-to-one, learning support they received from their tutor.

- Third sector providers stand out and are being recognised as distinctive, learning and skills providers by learners, who trust their teachers and feel supported. Learners see the third sector as offering a learning experience and atmosphere that is ‘different’ in that is relaxed, enjoyable, promotes responsibility and mutual respect. The way young learners feel about their treatment is instrumental to their performance.

- The personalised approach to learning delivery and learner support is also a vital element that mitigates the risk of learners abandoning their course before finishing it. Several learners interviewed for this research said that the personalised support from their tutors persuaded them to stay on their course and finish it.

- Learners clearly appreciate the practical elements of their learning, including learning at their workplace and learning by doing, beside the purely academic teaching content – as enjoyable and encouraging.

Overall satisfaction

8.2 Almost all learners were satisfied with their experiences of education or training with a third sector provider. Most learners were extremely satisfied with the teaching style and environment and the approachable staff. Learners were pleased to get a qualification that marked their achievement and they often attributed this directly to the teaching style or one-to-one attention they had received from their tutor, who many felt had ‘gone the extra mile’ to help them achieve their learning aim.

“I was so happy, very excited because I’d done something for once – the teachers had helped me so well with computers, English and Maths (they explained everything so well) and then I got a certificate to show that I’d done so well.”

Learner – ESF 16- to 18-year-old learners
"I passed it and didn't expect to do anything after leaving [previous] college, so happy I got a qualification – I used to get stuck on it [course work] all the time, and she [tutor] would purposely drive up to see me at work and sit with me for hours and just help me through it, and she didn't have to but she did."

Learner – Work-based Learning (WBL) 16- to 18-year-old Apprenticeships in deprived areas

"On a scale of 1 to 10 [the provider] is a 20. I would never, ever go back to college again but if they asked me to go back to [the provider] tomorrow, I would. I just loved the way that they treated people like family, no matter what."

Learner – WBL learners with a learning difficulty and/or disability

8.3 Certain groups show particularly high levels of satisfaction – notably female learners overall, and Case Study 4: Young apprentices in deprived areas.

8.4 Conversely, a couple of younger learners on ESF-funded courses (Case Study 1) were disappointed that they were asked to retake the course to succeed – though one of these students did return and eventually achieved the qualification.

Feedback on learning delivery

8.5 The vast majority of learners, across all case study areas, noted that the small class sizes (typically eight to twelve pupils per class) they had experienced at the third sector provider were particularly welcome. Many learners felt that a class of this size provided opportunities for knowledge sharing, group work and meeting new people, without being intimidating or overwhelming. Small class sizes also facilitated a lot of one-to-one support from the tutor and learners on the whole and felt like somebody ‘always had time for them’.

“They were more efficient in helping you, because the course wasn’t so big and everyone got catered for. They help everyone, because in other colleges the class is so big they can’t get to everyone.”

Learner – WBL 16- to 18-year-old Apprenticeships in deprived areas

“In the citizenship lesson we were sat in a circle; and the tutor would give us a situation and we would say our thoughts on it. You were encouraged to give your opinions. I loved these classes because it seemed like a sociable space and everything. I could just talk about anything. I hate just sitting in a chair at a desk and looking at a board…it reminds me of being at school and I hated my school time.”

Learner – WBL learners with a learning difficulty and/or disability

8.6 Overall, learners’ feedback on the teaching style and methods involved in their course was very positive, with courses typically including a mix of one-to-one tutoring, group exercises, self-learning and practical
components. On the whole learners found their tutors to be knowledgeable and approachable.

8.7 Dealing with an often disadvantaged learner population means that personalised support is the key to securing achievement. This required tutors to show a degree of ‘empathy’ with the learner and to build-up a relationship of trust to facilitate learning.

“The environment they work in is very supportive. The style of our delivery is very participative and really involves the learner. It helps if they are not talked at and lectured. They are made to feel capable and they are able to achieve. They have skills and qualities and all the students are encouraged to shows those.”

Provider – ESF 16- to 18-year-old learners

8.8 One learner with learning difficulties described how his tutor was supportive and reassuring and encouraged him to go at his own pace:

“It was really hard for me when all the other people that went through the Entry to Employment (E2E) course with me were moving on quite quickly and I was going at a slower pace and I thought, ‘Well, they are going to do it and I am not because I am so slow at it’. I spoke to the E2E tutor. She said I should just carry on going at the normal speed that I was going at and that I would get there eventually. And that it doesn’t matter how long it takes. It could take 5 years or as long as I wanted. When she said that, I felt a lot better. She was very comforting towards students.”

Learner – WBL learners with a learning difficulty and/or disability

8.9 Only a few learners disliked aspects of their learning experience. The handful of negative comments recorded typically related to learners finding the course too easy and not demanding enough.

“They gave you stupid games to play. They were really old games and it was boring. They were sort of word games. I said, ‘I’m not a kid’ “

Learner – WBL learners with a learning difficulty and/or disability

“We were given tasks that weren’t really relevant for the name of the course. It was a shame – it was different to being at school or college, at school you were checked-up on more and actually learning something with a syllabus. But there you just go there and waste your time. The course I went to wasn’t serious at all, for the four hours you were there you’d just draw and just talk to the other people that were there.”

Learner – ESF 16- to 18-year-old learners

8.10 On WBL programmes providers tended to emphasise the practical nature of the content and format of courses. For example, much of the vocational learning was not classroom-based but was delivered in the workplace,
where learning is practical. This type of learning is also assessed at the workplace, often in conjunction with the employer. Learners (particularly in Case Study 4: Young learners on apprenticeships in deprived areas) clearly saw the benefits of this practical approach.

“My full-time job was to do with cycle maintenance and I was performing engineering tasks. It was almost like learning constantly. When I was working, I was sort of learning the course and picking more and more things up.”

Learner – WBL 16- to 18-year-old Apprenticeships in deprived areas

“People learn differently, so I can’t learn in a classroom, sort of sitting down, doing theory. I learn hands-on, so if I can touch and fiddle with things, I understand and then the theory makes sense to me… So with them I wasn’t learning just to pass a qualification, I was learning to do the job properly.”

Learner – WBL 16- to 18-year-old Apprenticeships in deprived areas

8.11 An appreciation of a practical approach was not restricted to those on WBL programmes; several learners on ESF-funded courses (Case Study 2 and Case Study 3) also found the practical elements of their learning enjoyable and encouraging.

Why different to other learning experiences

8.12 There was a real sense from learners that they viewed their learning experience with their third sector provider as ‘different’ from any previous learning experience they had undertaken (at school, college or with a private provider). The reasons for this can be grouped into four broad themes:

- A fun and relaxed atmosphere;
- Approachable tutors;
- Encouragement to take personal responsibility; and
- A culture of negotiation and compromise.

These are discussed, in turn, below.

Fun and relaxed atmosphere

8.13 The most common perception or experience of third sector provision was that the general atmosphere was much, more relaxed than other learning environments they had experienced (typically school – both pre-16 and sometimes post-16 – or FE colleges). Many learners had found previous learning experiences stressful and to be endured, rather than enjoyed.

8.14 Learners noted that teaching staff, support staff, management staff and other learners all contributed to this positive and enjoyable atmosphere.
This view was particularly common amongst those learning with a small provider, where they could quickly get to know everyone and where there was a mutually supportive, community atmosphere.

8.15 Learners felt able to “be themselves” and to share a joke with senior staff or reveal personal information without fear of “being judged” in a way that had not been possible for them in a learning environment previously.

**Approachable tutors**

8.16 The great majority of learners were extremely satisfied with their tutor and the support they had received from them during their course.

8.17 As well as the additional support that many were offered (described in the previous chapter), a key reason for this was that, as compared with other provision they had experienced, the third sector provider seemed more like a “friend” than an “enforcer”. Many thrived in what they perceived as a less ‘hierarchical’ relationship with their tutor, describing a new motivation to learn and do well. Some learners had previously struggled with authority figures and had either been excluded from school or had dropped-out of education early. For these learners, in particular, the tutor was described as being “one of us” or “down-to-earth”, and the mistrust or wariness of teachers that had existed for them previously was largely dissolved.

“The teachers treated you as though they had known you for years. And they would tell you things about their lifestyles – it wasn’t just a one-way thing. It was really something that I wanted to be a part of, at that time.”

Learner – WBL learners with a learning difficulty and/or disability

8.18 As a result of this (and the learning atmosphere described above) some learners reported that for the first time they had felt confident to ask questions or to let the tutor know if they didn’t understand something.

**Taking responsibility for own learning**

8.19 Relating to the approachability of tutors described above, several learners noted that they were ‘treated like adults’ for the first time in a learning environment. This was often attributed to the manner in which staff spoke to them but also because they were encouraged to take responsibility for their own learning and achievement. One young learner who achieved a Level 2 qualification with his third sector provider described:

“They were laid-back but not too much, they still got you to do things but if you didn’t get something done, they wouldn’t shout at you, they’d just ask you to do it again, explain that it’s on your own-back to do it. At school they make you but it’s your decision (at the training centre), if you want to do it.”

Learner – WBL 16- to 18-year-old Apprenticeships in deprived areas
8.20 Young learners noted that tutors rarely ‘told them what to do’ in terms of giving orders or asserting a hierarchy. Instead, the tutors explained to them why certain actions or activities would be beneficial to them and others, and why others would not. Several providers had ‘house rules’ (relating to issues, such as respect for others, attendance and the learning environment), that all staff and learners agreed to abide by when they enrolled. Where these existed, they were explained to learners at the outset and learners were asked whether they were happy to commit to following these rules and whether there were any they disagreed with, that they’d like to discuss. This had the impact of ‘empowering’ learners, whilst still ensuring that the learning environment was controlled and beneficial for all.

8.21 Some young learners described being initially surprised that when they got something wrong or fell behind, they weren’t shouted at or punished. Instead learners describe tutors making extra time to talk to them about an issue they were facing or providing additional learning support. The third sector learning environment was seen as less ‘punitive’ and more ‘encouraging’ than many learners’ previous experiences were perceived to be.

**Negotiation and compromise**

8.22 Some learners praised their third sector provider for creating a culture of negotiation and compromise, in the event of issues or disagreements arising (either with other learners or with staff). In previous learning experiences, some learners had been left with the feeling that decisions had been taken that were ‘unfair’ and that their voice had not been heard. By contrast, with their third sector provider some learners describe playing an active role in any conflict resolution and being taught how to “see the other person’s side”, and how to reach a compromise. As well as contributing to their overall positive experience of the general learning environment, these learners also acquired important people and communication skills.

**Why thinking of leaving early**

8.23 On the whole, learners included in the case study research completed their course and achieved a qualification or an accredited certificate (though it should be noted that this was largely by design, as the research mainly focussed on those learners who had completed their course, so they could describe, in detail, their experience at the third sector provider that led to this achievement).
Most of the learners interviewed never considered leaving their courses early, even though some of these people had dropped-out of school or college, or previous courses.

However, some learners did consider leaving at a certain point during their course. In several cases, the support from tutors at key points during the course was crucial in persuading learners to complete the course.

“With other courses, I didn’t finish. But with this provider, I did. I started the course and finished it and looked forward to the future. They took the time out to help you, so you knew what you were doing.”

Learner – ESF 16- to 18-year-old learners

There were, however, a handful of learners who did not complete their course or who came close to dropping-out. These tended to be younger learners, particularly those on a Case Study 3: WBL learners with a learning difficulty and/or disability or Case Study 1: young ESF learners course. Sometimes these learners left early for positive reasons, such as finding a job. Other learners, however, did not complete their courses due to personal issues or because of difficulties getting-on with the tutor or because the standard of teaching was seen as either too easy or too difficult. However, these students did tend to find work or alternative training after their courses.

These problems underline the importance of tutors or advisers assessing students’ learning needs at the start of the course, and continuing to follow-up on whether or not those needs were being met. As has been seen, providers principally offer this support, but for some providers it may be difficult to adequately resource the necessary support.
LEARNER PORTRAIT – CASE STUDY: WBL LEARNERS WITH A LEARNING DIFFICULTY AND/OR DISABILITY

Ryan, who is 18 years old, lives in a large city and has a learning difficulty

Ryan was referred to a third sector provider after failing to get a place at a local FE college. He found his initial interview with the provider encouraging and was assigned a key worker, who spent time with him explaining the course and what he could get out of it.

Classes were small (just around 10 people) and Ryan found the environment friendly. He studied literacy and numeracy, horticulture skills and health and safety. Ryan enjoyed the teaching style.

He had frequent one-to-one reviews with the tutors, where they would discuss how he was doing and any concerns that he might have had. He felt comfortable approaching a tutor, if he had any problems.

Part of the course involved a work placement doing gardening once-a-week for the provider’s sister organisation (a social enterprise). One of the main things that he said he got from the course, was confidence and improved communication skills. He also gained practical skills and gained an Entry Level qualification. The one thing he would have liked to do more of during the course was computer work.

The course made him feel more positive about doing more learning in future. He has already completed another course in life skills and independent living with a different third sector provider and has plans to continue learning.
9 Employers and the economy

9.1 This chapter explores the impact of the current recession on third sector learning and training provision. It then examines how providers give learners experience of employment through work placements, in-house enterprises and building relationships with local employers.

CHAPTER SUMMARY

- The economic downturn has increased demand for learning and training, although Work-based Learning (WBL) providers are struggling to find the work experience placements and apprenticeship jobs that learners need for these vocational programmes.

- Successful work placements were key drivers of learning satisfaction and completion; some third sector providers have established in-house ‘simulated’ workplace environments that were very effective for learners who were the furthest from the labour market. However, in organisations that rely on local employers to provide work experience, some learners reported not getting a placement or not feeling their placement was relevant.

Role of the recession

9.2 For some providers the recession has driven increased demand for learning; as people sought to retrain following redundancy or recognised they need to increase their skills, if they were to gain work in a highly competitive labour market. The lack of availability of work may also drive people into training, as a constructive alternative to employment.

9.3 Conversely, however, the current economic downturn was thought to have had a negative impact on learners’ achievement rates, in particular among WBL providers. Young apprentices tend to be employed with companies. In the current economic climate, many apprentices are threatened with losing their jobs. Yet, as soon as they lose their jobs they cannot continue with their National Vocational Qualifications (NVQs) since these programmes have to be delivered at the workplace.

Provider links with employers

9.4 For WBL programmes (as well as certain Further Education [FE] and European Social Fund (ESF) programmes with a particular vocational focus), it is important that many third sector providers maintain relationships with employers to help their students to gain work experience and find places in the labour market upon completing their courses.

9.5 Preparing learners for the world of paid employment can take various forms, including short-term work assignments, voluntary placements or
regular apprenticeship work. Most WBL providers have established close-links with employers, where their apprentices work and learn.

9.6 One of the fundamental issues third sector providers face is to improve young learners’ attendance and punctuality (both as a prerequisite for their learning progress but also to improve their chances of employment or likelihood of having a positive, work placement experience). Providers did this by trying to instil a work ethic into young people’s attitudes.

“Well, with the 16–18-year-olds, what we are trying to do here is get them used to coming to work. So, the actual environment is set-up very much like a work place. We are trying to change their attitude. It’s about coming to work, not just coming to school.”

Provider – WBL 16- to 18-year-old Apprenticeships in deprived area

9.7 A few third sector providers have also established a dedicated, social enterprise unit or ‘sister organisation/outlet’ that takes on learners to work for ‘real’ clients or customers in a number of projects, for example in gardening, horticulture, retail or furniture manufacture. This appeared to be a particularly successful and ‘safe’ way of giving those learners who were furthest from the labour market the opportunity to improve their confidence and technical skills in a workplace environment.

“We have a subsidiary arm of our organisation that is a supported-employment initiative. Learners finish their Entry to Employment (E2E) programmes and are then offered employment and some real work experience through this scheme.”

Provider – WBL learners with a learning difficulty and/or disability

9.8 As has been demonstrated, the opportunity to gain work experience and the possibility of a work placement after the course is a key factor for many learners when they decide to participate in learning. As such, experiences of the work-based elements of a course can be hugely influential in determining overall satisfaction with the course and likelihood of completion.

9.9 Conversely, a few learners reported being very disappointed when the promise of a work placement failed to materialise or where a work placement was unsatisfactory:

“I would have wanted better work placements. The work placements were awful. I was in this factory and I was thinking, ‘What am I in this factory for?’ I was just putting clothes rails up.”

Learner – WBL learners with a learning difficulty and/or disability

“I was least happy with the work placement. I went on one interview, whereas other students didn’t have to go on interview; they just got work placement. I kept talking to them about this, ‘When am I getting my placement?’ They kept holding it
off until I got fed-up and when it came to the end of the year, I didn't try to sign-up for another year or anything.”

Learner – WBL 16- to 18-year-old Apprenticeships in deprived areas

9.10 It should be noted that current economic conditions are having a significant effect on third sector providers of work-based learning programmes, as rising unemployment makes it very difficult for learners to find the jobs required to participate in an apprenticeship programme.

“The major requirement for WBL is that young learners need to be employed. We can still provide a lot of the training to unemployed people to re-skill them, making them more employable. But, unfortunately, as the contracts are very apprenticeship-based, this means you have to have a job. This means we are increasingly very restricted by people not being employed, unlike FE Colleges that have funding available through different routes.”

Provider – WBL 16- to 18-year-old Apprenticeships in deprived areas

---

**LEARNER PORTRAIT – CASE STUDY: YOUNG APPRENTICES IN DEPRIVED AREAS**

**Michael, 19 years old, is from a deprived area in the South East and studied an Apprenticeship**

Michael was already working in a bike shop and had picked-up basic knowledge and already had an interest in cycle mechanics and maintenance. His employer encouraged him to take the Apprenticeship and covered his travel expenses.

Previously, he had dropped-out of college after having health problems and had entered employment rather than returning to learning. He had always been interested in mechanics, so was enthused at the chance to get a qualification for the knowledge he already had and eventually progress to a Level 3 qualification.

The course improved his technical skills and he felt he learnt a lot. He also met quite a lot of new people, many of them older than him and from different backgrounds. It was important for him that the atmosphere was relaxed and ‘not like school’ and he formed good relationships with the tutors: “it wasn't like a teacher relationship; it was more like a friendship, more respect for each other”. He enjoyed the learning experience, particularly the practical focus and the workshop sessions. One aspect he found particularly helpful, was the high level of progress monitoring and feedback on how he was doing.

Michael gained a Level 2 qualification at the end of the course and also says his confidence at work has improved a lot (both in terms of confidence in his technical knowledge and his ability to communicate this to customers and other staff). He’s still working for the same employer, has since gone on to do further training in administration and plans to undertake management training soon, to further his chances of progression.
10 Employment, Skills, and Further Learning

10.1 This section explores, in depth, the outcomes from third sector learning in terms of employment outcomes, skills gained and progression into further learning.

CHAPTER SUMMARY

- Commonly, learners reported improved employability skills, as a result of their course. For a number of learners, the experience and qualification gained with their third sector provider was directly related to finding a job.

- The learning offered by their third sector provider was often a stepping-stone into further learning, with several Further Education (FE) or Work-based Learning (WBL) learners on Level 2 courses progressing to Level 3 courses in their chosen subject area.

- As well as ‘hard’ outcomes (for example, employment, qualifications or progression to further learning) the majority of young learners reported a whole range of ‘softer’ outcomes, such as increased confidence and motivation, an improved attitude to learning and people skills.

Employment outcomes

10.2 Mirroring the initial expectations young learners had about their course, many of them reported positive employment outcomes on completing their course. For a number of learners, the experience and qualification gained with their third sector provider was directly related to finding a job.

“Getting a job, being able to look after myself; the course gave me the will to look for a job, to stop being homeless, having to ‘sofa surf’. I just started work again, three weeks ago. I’m enjoying it.”

Learner – ESF 16- to 18-year-old learners

“I got a job at the end of my course; it’s gardening for the local Council.”

Learner – WBL learners with a learning difficulty and/or disability

10.3 Many young learners felt that the course had helped them develop their employability skills, such as job searching, how to write a professional Curriculum Vitae or how to prepare for job interviews. This benefit was most commonly mentioned by young ESF funded learners (Case Study 1).

Skills gained

10.4 Many of those who completed their course gained a qualification which they recognised as having real value in the labour market.
“It gave me a lot of confidence getting my qualification. Obviously, I knew what I knew and whatever skills I already had, but having that piece of paper gives you that confidence to be able to put your foot-down and say, ‘This is what I know!’”

Learner – WBL 16- to 18-year-old Apprenticeships in deprived areas

10.5 Most learners said they had gained a number of important skills, including basic skills (numeracy, literacy and language skills), job-specific skills and generic skills (communication, team working, problem solving, etc.)

“When I finally get married and have children, I can teach my kids how to read by reading them stories at night. And then there are financial things, like if I get loans and things like that, I will be able to work-out monthly payments. And I will be able to write letters when my kids go to school, if they have hurt their foot then I can write notes saying that they need to wear trainers because they have a bad foot.”

Learner – WBL learners with a learning difficulty and/or disability

10.6 Learners also benefited from their course in terms of their ‘soft skills’, with the majority reporting improved confidence, assertiveness, people skills or team working.

“I definitely gained confidence because I had just left school, so I was quite young when I left. I enjoyed meeting new people and it gave me that confidence, that I knew I could do it and I didn’t need to be scared.”

Learner – ESF 16- to 18-year-old learners

10.7 Learners with a learning difficulty and/or disability, or on ESF-funded courses (Case Studies 3 and 1), commonly mentioned that the course had improved their ability to get on with a range of different people.

10.8 Independent of whether or not learners completed their course, they reported a wide variety of positive benefits gained as a result of their learning experience. For many young learners, the learning experience at their third sector provider transformed their general outlook in life and gave them a ‘sense of direction’.

“The biggest benefit is that I know what I want to do in the future. I think that’s important. A lot of youngsters my age I’ve spoken to say, ‘You’re so lucky, you know what you want to do. We don’t know what we want to do, we’re just in college.’ And because I’ve done this course, it’s made me realise what I want to do.”

Learner – WBL 16- to 18-year-old Apprenticeships in deprived areas
“Before I did this course, I was just mixing with friends being lazy. I wasn’t looking for a job. When I did this course and had to get up at 8.30am being at the college for 9.00am. When I finished the course it made me think, ‘What’s the point of going back to how it used to be and being lazy, not having a care in the world what time I get out of bed?’ So, it kept me on the straight-and-narrow.”

Learner – ESF 16- to 18-year-old learners

Further learning

10.9 The learning offered by their third sector provider was often a stepping-stone into further learning and, sometimes, higher education. Learners on Level 2 courses (Case Studies 4 and 5) tend to progress to Level 3 courses, sometimes in combination with employment. Many learners reported how their provider encouraged them and helped them on their way to progression into the next levels of learning.

“Yes, I went to college [after the course]. I went straight into doing Level 2 because I knew what to do.”

Learner – ESF 16- to 18-year-old learners

10.10 A significant minority of learners said that the course had improved their general attitude towards learning, even if they hadn’t progressed immediately to another course. This view was reasonably common across case study areas and ages.

10.11 Case Study 3: WBL learners with a learning difficulty and/or disability were the least likely of all the case study learner groups to mention that they had undertaken further learning following their third sector provision or were planning to do so in future.
11 A provider perspective

11.1 This chapter explores in more detail the providers’ perspectives on the contribution that the third sector has made to the Learning and Skills Council (LSC)’s aims and objectives. It looks firstly, at provider performance, and discusses the factors that impact on third sector provider achievement rates. It then details providers’ experiences of their relationship with the LSC (consideration is also given to some of the specific issues facing providers located in rural areas), before turning to views on the transition from the LSC into the Skills Funding Agency (SFA) and Young People’s Learning Agency (YPLA).

CHAPTER SUMMARY

- The key factor differentiating third sector providers with very high achievement rates from those with average achievement rates is the type of learner targeted/-attracted. Typically, providers with average or below average achievement rates work with learners that are more disadvantaged and, as such, have a lower starting point. Therefore, a single overall measure of achievement by provider, may mask the significant ‘added value’ of those providers working predominantly with particularly disadvantaged groups.

- Third sector providers believe that they play a crucial role in the LSC’s provision of learning and skills for the most disadvantaged learners, in particular, although some have had problems with funding being directed away from these priority groups towards more ‘mainstream’ or higher-level programmes, such as apprenticeships.

- Providers are keen to get more communication about changes in administration and priority groups. They also express a need for more continuity in funding these priorities, so that they are able to engage in longer-term planning.

- Providers recognise that there will be continuity between the LSC and the YPLA, but seek reassurance that the valuable and distinctive work of the third sector will be recognised by this new body. Providers have concerns that a move to Local Authority funding could lead to an increasing ‘centralisation’ of learning and training in colleges.

Factors impacting on provider performance

11.2 So far, the case study research has demonstrated the manifold positive outcomes and benefits for learners studying with a third sector provider. To allow a comparative analysis of the third sector’s performance, the formal achievement rates recorded on the Individualised Learner Record (ILR) are discussed. At an overall level, the 2007/08 ILR data have shown the third sector achievement rates to be significantly better for Further Education
(FE) learning aims, equal for Work-based Learning (WBL)-funded aims, and fractionally lower for European Social Fund (ESF)-funded aims, when compared with non-third sector provision (see Chapters 2, 3 and 4).

11.3 This relative underperformance in certain areas can be, in part, explained by the fact that many third sector providers tend to cater for a more disadvantaged learner population, which puts them in a more difficult ‘starting’ position compared with non-third sector providers.

11.4 The case study research was designed to allow a comparison between third sector providers with very high achievement rates and those with average, or slightly below average, achievement rates. An analysis of the case study data suggests that that there is very little that actually differentiates these two types of providers in terms of processes or practices. The methods of recruitment, assessment and delivery of learner support and the nature of learning experience described in earlier chapters was found consistently across both high- and average-performing providers.

11.5 However, those providers with average or below average achievement rates were more likely to report their student profile to consist of particularly disadvantaged learners, including socially deprived learners, learners with a learning difficulty and/or disability, and learners with personal or behavioural issues. Facing one or multiple serious barriers to learning, these groups are less likely to achieve their qualifications in the first place but are more in need of additional learner support.

“Because we are very inclusive as an organisation and we are small enough to adapt to the learner, so we can personalise activities and help that learner to achieve, I think that's the main reason. It's more time-consuming sometimes, to have that one-to-one learning with somebody.”

Provider – ESF 16- to 18-year-old learners

11.6 Correspondingly, those providers with higher than average achievement rates tended to recruit fewer disadvantaged learners and, therefore, have to invest slightly fewer resources into additional learner support.

11.7 This is an important point, as it suggests that simply looking at overall achievement rates is not necessarily an indicator of the level of resource a provider invests in each learner. Moreover, third sector achievement rates are not necessarily correlated with the level of skill, expertise and effort that providers put into working with each learner to encourage them to complete and achieve. The findings from the research suggest that above all, different achievement rates among third sector providers are being driven by the different ‘starting points’ of the learners they typically engage with (i.e. those with average or below average achievement rates typically
work with learners who require the most intensive support and who are more likely not to achieve from the outset).

11.8 Many providers, particularly those delivering ESF-funded courses to disadvantaged learners, feel that the conventional performance targets should not apply to their learner population. They believe their learners have a ‘unique set of needs’ and ‘circumstances’ that make a comparison of their achievement rates with non-third sector providers irrelevant and, potentially, misleading.

11.9 Providers often emphasise that the type of learners they work with do not necessarily fit into the standard assumptions applied by the LSC, in terms of how much a learner should have progressed or achieved in a given time frame. In this respect, there was a strong feeling among providers that their contribution is not always recognised by the LSC’s standard success rates and that there should be more flexibility in measuring the ‘added-value’ provided by third sector learning.

“I think, again, it comes down to that horrible word ‘benchmarking’. I think if you were to go out there and benchmark third sector providers with ‘mainstream’ college providers, they are never going to be on a par. Yes, we can try and achieve these wonderful retention and success rates of 85% or whatever they put on the contract. To them, that's just a number; to us, that's a barrier. There's so much more these people need, our learners like hand-holding, that one-to-one coaching, the coffee-mornings, like, ‘Let's just sit down and have a chat, how are you doing this week?’ which isn't recorded anywhere.”

Provider – ESF adult learners

11.10 That said, there are also some providers (typically those delivering courses at Levels 2 or 3), who actively embrace performance targets and have developed these into sophisticated, performance measurement programmes and, thereby, increase achievement rates.

“We set assessors and trainers individual targets, which are really strict, clear and time-bound achievement targets. These are transferred to learner targets, which has clearly improved success rates.”

Provider – WBL 16- to 18-year-old Apprenticeships in deprived areas

Relationship with the LSC

11.11 Third sector providers believe they had made a significant contribution to LSC priorities, across all case study areas and learner types discussed.

11.12 One provider suggested that the third sector’s particular expertise with these groups of learners meant that the LSC should be approaching the sector for dialogue, when determining strategic priorities. The current system was felt to leave the third sector in a purely ‘reactive’ role, chasing
after pre-determined funding and not being able to contribute to higher-level discussions about learning and skills provision.

“I think the LSC can certainly learn a lot from organisations like us about the work that we are doing. What tends to happen is that the third sector are always looking for funding opportunities given by the LSC and are, therefore, often driven by limited resources. Rather than being able to say, ‘These are some of the issues and these are some of the things that you should be focusing on.’”

Provider – ESF 16- to 18-year-old learners

11.13 Some providers reported a sense of confusion over changing criteria with regards to funding; these providers highlighted the need for more continuity to facilitate planning and development of their programmes.

“What I would say is that things seem to change daily, certainly in the current climate. Things aren’t steady. Providers aren’t given time and stability to plan and bring things forward. Also, contract variations are changing daily.”

Provider – WBL 16- to 18-year-old Apprenticeships in deprived areas

11.14 Due to their different learner population, the third sector providers interviewed for this research argue for more flexibility in the way the LSC directs crucial funding towards their programs. Funding is felt to be lacking for particular groups, such as Not in Education, Employment or Training (NEETs), 19+ adults and disadvantaged learners, so providers cannot always work with the groups they most want to teach. Funding requirements may instead direct them towards working with groups of learners they see as already well-served or as a less urgent priority.

“Our contribution [to LSC learning targets] will probably increase, on an overall level. That’s probably because we have to pull away from working with the hard-to-reach because the funding has become so small. We are increasing our stakehold in Apprenticeships and Train to Gain, which are more ‘mainstream’. We are increasing our business year-on-year, but not with hard-to-reach groups, but with other programmes.”

Provider – WBL 16- to 18-year-old Apprenticeships in deprived areas

11.15 Providers were also concerned that funding may follow achievement rates. There is a perception that this move in funding might financially favour colleges; some third sector providers feared that this might potentially increase achievement rates by excluding disadvantaged or disruptive students. Those providers working with younger learners, in particular, felt they did an important job in reaching-out to people who had been excluded from or ‘turned-off’ from a school or college education. For these learners, third sector learning or training provided a path to achieve educational success, gain confidence and motivation and, subsequently, return to college to undertake Level 2 or 3 qualifications.
11.16 The administrative workload that the LSC placed on third sector providers was a particular concern for several providers, particularly regarding paperwork and the tight specifications for the data they had to return to the LSC. They perceived a risk that this workload could distract efforts away from frontline delivery and wanted recognition that, as smaller organisations, they may not have the same administrative resources as colleges. This administrative burden was exacerbated by perceived organisational problems within the LSC, with providers receiving multiple requests from different departments for the same data or not receiving a response to queries they had raised.

11.17 One of the things the LSC could do to improve working relationships is to provide more information to their third sector partners. While this information is usually available online, one provider said they would like a regular newsletter too. This would offer an accessible method of keeping providers up-to-date with changes in LSC provision, a need mentioned in most provider interviews.

“I think the LSC could really support our sector with more information on what is coming next. What is the next big thing going to be in education and training? It all seems to be last-minute. There’s no foresight and build-up to it.”

Provider – WBL 16- to 18-year-old Apprenticeships in deprived areas

11.18 Third sector providers have varied opinions on their day-to-day relationships with the LSC. Contract Managers are quite widely seen in a positive light, suggesting this relationship is working well in most places and some providers (especially the larger ones), have built strong relationships with their regional LSC contacts.

“We get a lot of support from the LSC. Our Contract Manager is great. They are very supportive. We’ve had a lot of support and guidance from the LSC. They do provide access for consultancy support. They do provide staff access to training that tends to be funded.”

Provider – WBL 16- to 18-year-old Apprenticeships in deprived areas

11.19 Other providers found their experience of LSC contact typified by a shortage of information and inconsistent requests, however, and did not always feel they were working in partnership.

Rural providers

11.20 Rural geography imposes some specific requirements on third sector providers. Organisations in urban areas are able to work from a centralised site, with population density and transport links meaning that one centre can be accessible for high numbers of learners. In contrast, rural providers have to be considerably more mobile and need to go to the communities they wish to reach – especially when working with disadvantaged learners,
who are less likely to have access to a car. While the commitment of these providers is considerable – one says, “We are not worried if we are delivering in a community shed which hasn’t got a white board or is running off a generator” – they feel the LSC could offer more support for, not just course delivery, but also the infrastructure required for this delivery.

11.21 One rural provider addressed this issue by hiring premises, as and when needed, or working with partners to deliver in a rural or remote area. Another, however, reports that in their region, there is a serious shortage of community venues to hire, and wants the LSC to provide investment in these facilities (they suggest £1 million a year could provide ten community activity centres) to work alongside existing large-scale centralised FE infrastructure.

“If you look around urban areas you will see a significant amount of community buildings where learning is delivered. If you look at the most deprived [rural] areas across Cornwall only 13 out of those top-50 deprived areas have a community building. If you haven’t got the buildings in the right place to deliver and engage, then you’re not going to get in there. We’ve had to take out mobile facilities or deliver in places that are inappropriate. The LSC needs to look at just not investing £50 million for a new Cornwall College building; it needs to be having a community investment programme too.”

Rural Provider – ESF

11.22 Childcare and transport are considerable barriers to accessing learning in rural areas, potentially more so than in urban locations. It becomes crucial for third sector providers to offer learners support with these issues, as rural areas are often underserved by public transport, with buses being infrequent or not connecting the necessary villages. A particular problem for rural providers is that changes in the LSC contract model towards session-by-session payment now make it much harder for them to cover these additional, rural costs of transport and venue hire.

“In terms of a rural perspective, there are issues around transport and big issues around childcare, as there is none available for women who would need it. We have to buy-in a mobile childcare unit from the nearest town, an hour-and-a-half away, or we have to find some kind of childcare and that is a huge, huge issue, it takes up a lot of time and if it fails, we can’t do the learning.”

Rural Provider – ESF

SFA and YPLA

11.23 Looking ahead, third sector providers were asked to comment on the expectations they have with regard to the YPLA replacing the LSC, as well as the role of Local Authorities going forward.

11.24 Providers recognised that there would be a certain level of continuity, but many had substantial concerns about how these changes would affect
third sector funding. More than one provider feared that colleges would gain priority, with smaller organisations from the third sector becoming marginalised.

“There’s no clear, strategic vision on how it is going to work out. I find this crazy that this is coming in April. For starters the Local Authority has never worked on these programmes before. They don’t understand the programmes. Because we are all ‘in the dark’, we are a little bit concerned, as we don’t know how we are going to have contracts with people, and how they are going to tender for work. The Local Authority has always favoured partnership work with colleges, which would then completely cut us out of the loop.”

Provider – WBL 16- to 18-year-old Apprenticeships in deprived areas

11.25 Providers’ opinions varied as to whether their role within learning and skills provision would increase or decrease going forward. Given the economic climate and many providers’ specialism in engaging disadvantaged learners, the great majority of providers felt the need for third sector learning and skills provision for young learners would certainly increase. Some of this group were concerned, however, that changes in funding regulations would marginalise their organisations, such that they would not be able to make as great a contribution to funding priorities as they would like.

“I think it might decrease. It’s a feeling I get when I go to LSC meetings or any meetings; everything seems to be biased towards the colleges and the systems they put in. They are expecting small providers to deliver exactly like the colleges, but the colleges have whole departments dealing with finance and welfare and we don’t. It seems they are expecting us to jump through the same hoops, but we can’t.”

Provider – WBL learners with a learning difficulty and/or disability

11.26 With almost every provider unsure how they (or third sector provision generally) would be involved in YPLA learning arrangements, there was an urgent need for more communication and information about these changes to enable providers to plan effectively for 2010. Competitive tendering is a particular concern for third sector providers, as they cannot afford access to the bid-writing teams that larger colleges may use for this process.

“Obviously, the openly competitive tendering which is done at a national level takes away from the locality of Cornwall. We are very isolated. We have very different needs to the rest of the UK. You sort of worry going forward, some of that understanding, some of that compassion for Cornwall is going to get lost. We are going to be expected to conform to the same sort of contract as the other parts of the UK, without consideration of the fact that we are very rural. We are very isolated, we don’t have the transport links, and we don’t have the infrastructure.”

Rural Provider – ESF
11.27 A couple of providers mentioned that the increasing regional focus of the LSC worked contrary to its attempts to engage with third sector organisations. One provider found this a problem because they worked on a larger-scale, across several regions, yet another provider had difficulties because they felt they were too locally oriented to be visible at a regional scale. This suggested that regional LSC structures could potentially benefit from adjustment to engage with much of the range of third sector providers.
12 Conclusions and recommendations

12.1 Personal and social circumstances of the third sector young learner population, such as learning difficulties and/or disabilities, social deprivation, precarious housing or unstable family backgrounds often make the ‘starting point’ for third sector providers more difficult compared with that of non-third sector learning provision.

12.2 As such, third sector providers invest considerable time and effort into the recruitment, initial guidance and needs assessment and ongoing support of their prospective students. They tend to take a holistic, rather than a funding-led approach to learning delivery, with learners offered not just their funded course but also commonly mentoring, one-to-one tutoring and guidance, personal support and job-searching advice, so they can extract maximum benefit from their learning. A personalised, flexible approach to learner support is key to the success of the third sector in securing achievement rates that are comparable with (or exceed) non-third sector provision in many areas.

12.3 Where achievement rates fall below those seen in non-third sector provision, the evidence suggests that third sector providers are targeting and attracting those young learners who may otherwise have ‘fallen through the net’ of non-third sector provision, and who have the greatest additional needs. While achievement rates are relatively low for these groups, this single measure of success may mask the ‘distance travelled’ in terms of individuals (re-)engaging with learning or achieving ‘softer’ outcomes, such as increased confidence, people skills, employability skills or motivation.

12.4 Recommendations arising from the Individualised Learner Record (ILR) analysis and, subsequent case study research group, fit together into three broad themes: information; flexibility; and resource.

Information – more regular and more transparent communication

- Ongoing information and communication between the Young People’s Learning Agency (YPLA), local authorities and third sector partners is vital. Providers need to be informed well in advance of any changes to their contracts, specific funding rules and eligibility criteria, or new policy priorities within the learning and skills sector, in general.

Flexibility and long-term commitment – recognising the needs of third sector providers and their learners

- Third sector providers feel that the needs of their learners could be better met by making funding streams and eligibility criteria more flexible or by allowing providers more discretion in the administration of a limited amount of budget for learning delivery and support. This would reflect the following points: the manner in which...
many providers work with learners; tailoring the level and nature of the support needed to the individual; and providing intensive one-to-one interventions, when needed.

- We recommend that consideration is given to calculating the contribution of the third sector by introducing a ‘value-added’ or ‘distance travelled’ performance metric, in addition to the current achievement rates which are calculated for providers. This approach would assess the relative improvement a learner makes, considering his or her prior educational attainment, any barriers or obstacles to learning faced by the learner, and enabling a complete assessment of the progress made. This is a complex task, and current ILR records do not facilitate this type of reliable measure, given that much of the information relating to prior educational achievement and learning outcomes is incomplete. Working with the third sector to improve data collection in this area may pave the way for a robust analysis.

- Policy priorities are felt to change very frequently (with funding following these changes), making it difficult for third sector providers to establish a base of expertise and reputation with the learning groups they would most like to prioritise. Apprenticeships, for example, were seen to take resources away from working to enrol disadvantaged young learners on Entry to Employment (E2E) courses. Providers would benefit from more long-term and integrated priority commitments and from funding being ‘ring-fenced’ for particular disadvantaged groups; this would ensure continuity in the provision that can be offered to disadvantaged groups. This is perhaps even more important in the recession, where the third sector has a strong record of working with the young unemployed, those with low, prior educational achievement to re-skill or up-skill and to promote employability and confidence.

- Longer-term contracts are vital for providers, so that funding will not suddenly be removed, enabling them to engage in more effective, future planning.

- Third sector providers often work with more ethnically diverse young learners, those with disabilities and/or learning difficulties, or those from areas classified as deprived using the Index of Multiple Deprivation. Consequently, as well as offering a means of engaging disadvantaged learners that non-third sector provision cannot address, the third sector’s young learner base also means it can contribute significantly to equality and diversity strategies.

- Third sector WBL is considerably more focused on previously unemployed learners compared with non-third sector provision (88% of young learners in 2007/08 were ‘not employed’ immediately before their course, compared with 31% in 2007/08 in non-third sector provision). Consequently, despite accounting for just 10% of all WBL young learners in 2007/08, the third sector accounted for over a fifth of all unemployed young people brought into WBL. The third sector has a significant role to play in engaging with the unemployed young people and could be utilised in the strategies for tackling young people not in education, employment or training.

**Resources – more funding to cover the resource implications of recruiting and supporting disadvantaged learners**

- Providers often struggle to mobilise sufficient resources to finance their activities. Thus, there is a repeated call to make more funds available to help resource the extra effort that is required, in terms of safeguarding the continuity of a holistic
learning delivery and learner support within the third sector. Across the different funding streams (FE, WBL, ESF), there is an increased need for funding arising from a learner population that is more disadvantaged and faces more barriers to learning than the non-third sector learner. Building on the disadvantage, ‘uplift’ element of the current funding model to provide additional funds in connection with those learners likely to require significant additional support would benefit the third sector. It would also offer an incentive for all providers to engage with particular learning groups.

- Providers were concerned that funding may increasingly follow achievement rates in the future. There is a perception that this would financially favour colleges and would discourage providers from ‘reaching-out’ to the ‘hardest-to-help’ learners (or make it financially impossible for them to do so). As discussed above, ‘softer’ outcomes, such as increased employability skills, confidence or improved attitude to learning, are often important for third sector providers and learners alike. Overall measures of achievement often mask the significant progress that many learners make with third sector providers.

- WBL provision, in particular, has found it harder to adjust to the recession, as learners struggle to get the jobs that are a prerequisite of this type of training. Colleges have adapted to this by acquiring other funding streams, but specialist third sector WBL providers need more financial support to train those unable to find employment. As well as additional resources, some third sector WBL providers may benefit from local authority support in developing stronger links with local employers to provide guaranteed and relevant work placements to learners.

- Rural providers face additional costs from working in more remote locations, with learners having greater needs in terms of provider-supported transport, and since suitable venues for training are considerably harder to find. Funding organised on a session-by-session basis is felt not to acknowledge these additional costs. Instead, providers require additional funding for working in geographically isolated areas, covering the infrastructural costs that have to be met before any learning or training can occur.
Appendices

APPENDIX A: Methodological details

This annex outlines the qualitative research design and provides further methodological details about the case studies, including specific information about the sampling strategy, the composition of providers and learners interviewed and the topic guides and analysis techniques deployed in the qualitative investigation.

Objectives

The key objectives of the qualitative research were to explore further the impact of the third sector on learning and skills development in a number of specific priority areas. Its purpose was to complement the insights gathered by the quantitative analysis of the Individualised Learner Record (ILR) data, which have determined the nature and extent of learning provision and the typical learner profile in third sector learning.

The qualitative research strand was designed to move beyond a merely descriptive analysis and to uncover additional insights into how third sector providers design and manage their operations, as well as examining why third sector providers are particularly successful in certain areas and where there may be areas for improvement. Rather than establishing representative findings, the qualitative research aimed to probe for the depth and strength of opinions held among a small number of respondents. The research also sought to reveal previously unknown or little known instances of particular opinions, practices or social typologies. The principal value of this type of research lies in the opportunity it provides to probe deeper into the key research issues and to develop a more grounded understanding of the experiences, motivations and perceptions held by individuals engaged in third sector learning.

Notably, the qualitative research design was established to explore, in depth, what is typical and special about learning within the third sector – it was not designed to allow direct comparisons with non-third sector learning. There are instances where such comparisons became evident during the qualitative interviews with providers and learners and the report presents these findings, where applicable. However, since the research design did not include a comparison group of non-third sector learning, these comparisons have to be understood as subjective impressions by individual respondents, rather than systematic comparisons between third sector and non-third sector learning.

Defining the five case studies

It was decided that a case study approach would be best placed to meet the research objectives of the qualitative, research strand. This approach sought to focus attention on five priority areas that were identified in consultation with the Learning and Skills Council (LSC) third sector Advisory Group. The rationale for selecting each case study was to provide a good spread across the different funding streams and learner age groups, as well as being in areas where the nature and contribution of third sector provision particularly warranted further exploration.

The five case studies that formed the basis of the qualitative research design were:

1. European Social Fund (ESF) learning undertaken by learners aged 16–18 years;
2. ESF learning undertaken by adults (19+ years);

3. Work-based Learning (WBL) undertaken by learners with a learning difficulty and/or disability;

4. WBL undertaken by learners, aged 16–18 years, on Apprenticeships in disadvantaged areas.

5. Further Education (FE) learners participating in Full Level 2 learning;

**Sampling approach**

The first stage in the sampling process involved selecting providers to be included into the qualitative research. Third sector providers that were active in the delivery of LSC-funded WBL, FE and ESF co-financed learning in 2007/08 were eligible for inclusion within the case study research.

In each case study, two third sector providers were selected who were found to be making a significant contribution to the LSC’s priorities in terms of having particularly high achievement rates for the type of learner or learning in question (‘Type 1’ providers); and two third sector providers were selected who had achievement rates that were average or slightly below the average (‘Type 2’ providers). Providers were selected using achievement data on the ILR for the academic year 2007/08. Selecting both types of providers helped to ensure that the qualitative research was not only an exploration of ‘best practice’ among those providers with an excellent track record of achievement, but involved a complete assessment of the impact of third sector provision. Of the four providers selected for inclusion within each case study area, two were interviewed (one from each ‘type’ of provider).

Further to this, a need was identified later during fieldwork to extend coverage of Case Study 3: ESF undertaken by adult learners to include providers and learners based in rural areas. This resulted in two, additional provider interviews and four, additional learner interviews being conducted in this case study.

Once providers were selected, the sampling strategy allowed for the selection of two to three individual learners from each provider, within each case study area. Learners were, again, sampled using the contact information, demographic and other learner information recorded on the ILR learner files for 2007/08. Learners qualified for inclusion into the starting sample if they fell into the desired categories for each case study, including age bands, types of learning, level of learning, learning difficulty and/or disability status and achievement status of their course. Notably, the learner interviews covered a majority of learners who achieved their course; however, a small number of learners who did not complete their course were selected to allow exploring possible reasons and motivations of early leavers. In addition, the research team made sure to include only those learners who had given their prior consent to be contacted for research purposes (as per L27, the restricted code indicator on the ILR) into the starting sample for the recruitment phase.

---

As a note, even though providers were selected according to these criteria, the later analysis actually found very little variation of how the two groups compare in terms of their approaches to learner recruitment, learner support, needs assessment practices or performance monitoring.
Empirical fieldwork and research instruments

The qualitative fieldwork was completed between July and August 2009. The fieldwork consisted of a series of in-depth interviews conducted over the telephone or face-to-face. All the interviews were undertaken by the research team at IFF Research and its fully trained interviewing staff.

The interviews were conducted using loosely structured, topic guides, which are attached in the Appendix. The interviews covered a variety of themes, including: aspects of learners’ expectations and motivations; learner recruitment, advice and guidance; how learner’s needs are assessed; the way learning is delivered; what forms of learner support are made available; and the outcomes and benefits of learning.

Achieved sample composition

Table D1 shows the composition of the achieved sample of third sector learners and learning providers. Overall, the qualitative fieldwork involved:

- Twelve face-to-face, in-depth, interviews with senior staff (including Chief Executives, Principals, Learning Co-ordinators and Scheme Managers) at third sector providers; and
- Fifty-four, in-depth, interviews with learners; the majority conducted over the telephone with 11 undertaken as face-to-face interviews (the first interview in each case study area was conducted face-to-face, as well as the majority of interviews with learners with a learning difficulty and/or disability).

The interviewed providers included a range of different types and sizes of organisations and with a good geographic spread across various regions. In addition, the twelve providers interviewed for the case study research have a fairly broad learning offer, spanning various subject areas, types and levels of learning.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>In-depth interviews with learners</th>
<th>In-depth interviews with providers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TS provider has very high achievement rates for learner group</td>
<td>TS provider has average/below average achievement rates for learner group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TS provider has very high achievement rates for learner group</td>
<td>TS provider has average/below average achievement rates for learner group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TS provider has average/below average achievement rates for learner group</td>
<td>TS provider has average/below average achievement rates for learner group</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Case Study 1 (WBL) | Undertaken by learners with learning difficulties and/or disability (LLDD) | 5 | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| Case Study 2 (ESF) | Learning undertaken by learners aged 16–18 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| Case Study 3 (ESF) | Undertaken by adult learners (19+; plus rural interviews) | 7 | 7 | 2 | 2 |
| Case Study 4 (FE) | FE learners participating in Full Level 2 learning | 5 | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| Case Study 5 (WBL) | WBL undertaken by 16–18 learners on Apprenticeships in disadvantaged areas | 5 | 5 | 1 | 1 |

**Total** | 27 | 27 | 6 | 6

*CS=Case study.*
Table D2 offers a comprehensive breakdown of the demographic characteristics of the 54 learners who were interviewed within the case study research.

### Table D2: Profile of learners interviewed for each case study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CS1</th>
<th>CS2</th>
<th>CS3</th>
<th>CS4</th>
<th>CS5</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16–18</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19+</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethnicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAME</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White British</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Learning difficulty and/or disability</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have a learning difficulty and/or disability</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not have a learning difficulty and/or disability, or unknown</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BAME = Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic.

**Qualitative analysis**

All the interviews were fully transcribed and analysed using the text as a basis for qualitative coding and for extraction of relevant quotations; interviews were analysed using demographic and other learner and provider data information.
Introduction

S1) Good morning/afternoon, my name is [NAME], calling from IFF Research, an independent market research company. We are currently conducting a research project for the Learning and Skills Council (LSC). The aim of the research is to explore the nature and the extent of third sector learning provision in England and the contribution of third sector providers to the LSC’s aims and priorities.

We’re very interested in learning about how you go about engaging learners, about your course portfolio, your teaching and delivery methods, the learner support and any other support you provide.

My questions should take around 45 minutes.

IFF Research:
IFF Research is an independent, market research agency which specialises in researching business, marketing and public policy issues.

The Learning and Skills Council:
The LSC is a non-departmental, public body which exists to make England better skilled and more competitive. The LSC is responsible for planning and funding high-quality education and training for everyone in England, other than those in universities.

Reassure of confidentiality
Please be reassured that everything you tell me during the interview will be strictly confidential. Nothing will be reported back to the LSC about how individuals respond to the survey.
If the respondent wishes to confirm the validity of survey or get more information about aims and objectives, they can call:

- IFF: Christoph Koerbitz or Jessica Owens: 020 7250 3035.
- LSC: Gareth Ashcroft

Interviewer: Secure permission for audio recording and use of anonymised quotations. Audio recording will be for analysis purposes only and confidentiality of the recording will be ensured.

S4) How many teaching or training sites do you have?

WRITE IN:

S5) How many people are employed in your organisation?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>At this site:</th>
<th>In England:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

THIRD SECTOR LEARNING OFFER

1) Can you tell me a little bit about the courses that your organisation offers to learners?
   a) How broad is your offering? Or how specialised?
   b) What subject areas?
   c) Which levels?
   d) Course length, delivery mode, teaching methods, etc.
   e) Type of qualifications offered?

WRITE IN:

2) Thinking about the academic year 2007/08, which courses have been particularly successful?
   a) Which courses were popular with learners?
   b) Which courses were successful in terms of success rates?
   c) Why? What made you think that this course was successful?
   d) Which courses were not so successful? Why?

WRITE IN:
THIRD SECTOR LEARNERS

3) When trying to recruit new learners, what type of learners do you target? Are there groups that you feel you are particularly successful with?
   a) Age groups? Gender? Employment status? Income?
   b) Deprived areas? Vulnerable groups? Problems with housing, alcohol, ex-offenders?
   c) What is your approach to target potential learner groups? How do you approach [PRIORITY GROUP]?
   d) Is your approach to target ‘hard-to-reach’ learners different? If yes, how? Compared with what learner group?

WRITE IN:

4) What is your approach to assessing the needs of learners at the start of their course, particularly regarding the needs of [PRIORITY GROUP]?
   a) How do you assess needs for learner support?
   b) How do you assess additional learner needs? For example, housing, financial, mental health issues, etc.

WRITE IN:

5) Thinking about learners within the following priority group – [PRIORITY GROUP] – in what ways are the needs of these learners different from other learners?
   a) How do you accommodate differing needs?

WRITE IN:

6) What does the fact that your organisation operates within the third sector mean for learners?
   a) How do you think their learning experience with you differs from that of learners studying at mainstream providers?

WRITE IN:
LEARNING DELIVERY

7) Can you tell me how you approach learning delivery in terms of the following priority group – [PRIORITY GROUP]?
   a) Is the learning delivery designed in any other way compared with mainstream delivery? How is it different?
   b) How is the learning structured and delivered?
   c) Can you outline the teaching style and teaching methods that are being used?
   d) What procedures are in place to monitor progress?

WRITE IN:

8) Still thinking about learning delivery for [PRIORITY GROUP], what other support do you offer?
   a) For example, mentoring, support with financial, housing, health issues, etc.
   b) What other facilities do you have that support learners?

WRITE IN:

9) Have you modified your approach recently in terms of delivering learning for [PRIORITY GROUP]? In what way? What made you decide to do this?

WRITE IN:

LEARNING OUTCOMES

10) Thinking about learner completion rates amongst [PRIORITY GROUP]...
   a) Are you happy with the completion rates you typically record?
   b) Do you think the completion rates that you record are better or worse than other providers? Why is this?
   c) What factors are at play that may influence these completion rates? positively/negatively?
   d) What have you done/are you doing to improve completion rates?

WRITE IN:
11) Thinking about learner achievement rates recorded for [PRIORITY GROUP]?
   a. Are you happy with the achievement rates you typically record?
   b. Do you think the achievement rates that you record are better or worse than other providers? Why is this?
   c. What factors are at play that may influence these achievement rates? Positively/negatively?
   d. What have you done/are you doing to improve achievement rates?

WRITE IN:

12) In terms of assessing the impact of learning, do you follow up what [PRIORITY GROUP] learners do next, after completing their course?
   a. Does the learning help learners to find a job? What proportion? Sustainable work? Better than before the course?
   b. Do learners improve their employability skills? Numeracy, literacy, etc.
   c. Does the learning help workers to progress in their current jobs? What proportion? Better salary, job satisfaction, prospects?
   d. Does the learning help learners to progress into further learning? What proportion? Higher level, qualifications?

WRITE IN:

13) What softer outcomes do you think that learners from [PRIORITY GROUP] achieve from their experience with you?

INTERVIEWER PROMPT:
   a) Gained confidence
   b) Renewed motivation
   c) Improved attitude towards learning
   d) Improved prospects for the future

WRITE IN:

PROVIDER FEEDBACK

14) Thinking about providers like you who operate within the third sector, what do you feel is their role in the current landscape of learning provision funded by the LSC?
a) How important is the contribution of third sector providers to the learning and skills system?

b) How important should the contribution of third sector providers to the LSC be?

c) What, if anything, inhibits third sector providers from making a greater contribution to LSC learning priorities?

WRITE IN:

15) Do you feel that your role and your contribution as a third sector provider within the landscape of LSC funded provision will increase or decrease in the future?

a) Why do you say that?

b) What impact is the current economic downturn having on your role and the contribution you are able to make?

c) Do you feel that tighter funding rules are having an impact on your role as a third sector provider?

WRITE IN:

16) What are your expectations as a third sector provider in terms of support from the LSC?

a) Is there anything the LSC could/should do to support third sector providers?

b) Are you happy with the existing processes and practices?

c) What are your experiences with bureaucracy?

d) What are your thoughts on the new Young People’s Learning Agency (YPLA) and the new Skills Funding Agency (SFA)? Your expectations, concerns, etc.

WRITE IN:

17) Do you have any other feedback on your working relationship with the LSC you would like to share?

WRITE IN:

THANK RESPONDENT AND CLOSE INTERVIEW
I declare that this survey has been carried out under IFF instructions and within the rules of the MRS Code of Conduct.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interviewer signature:</th>
<th>Date:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Finish time:</th>
<th>Interview Length</th>
<th>mins</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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Introduction

S1) Good morning/afternoon, my name is [NAME], calling from IFF Research, an independent, market research company. We are currently conducting a research project for the Learning and Skills Council.

We’re very interested in hearing about your recent experience of studying with [INSERT PROVIDER].

My questions should take around 30 minutes. Would it be possible to speak to you now?

IFF Research:
IFF Research is an independent, market research agency which specialises in researching business, marketing and public policy issues.

The Learning and Skills Council:
The LSC is a non-departmental, public body which exists to make England better skilled and more competitive. The LSC is responsible for planning and funding high-quality education and training for everyone in England, other than those in universities.

Reassure of confidentiality

Please be reassured that everything you tell me during the interview will be strictly confidential. Nothing will be reported back to the LSC about how individuals respond to the survey.

If respondent wishes to confirm validity of survey or get more information about aims and objectives, they can call:

- MRS: Market Research Society on FREEPHONE: 0500 396999
- IFF: Christoph Koerbizt or Jessica Owens: 020 7250 3035
- LSC: Gareth Ashcroft
Interviewer: Secure permission for audio recording and use of anonymised quotations. Audio recording will be for analysis purposes only and confidentiality of the recording will be ensured.

S2) Can I just confirm that you have studied a course with [INSERT PROVIDER] during the academic year 2007/08?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>CONTINUE TO S3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No – definitely not done any learning with this provider</td>
<td>THANK AND CLOSE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S3) Can I confirm the course(s) you have studied with [INSERT PROVIDER]?  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>&lt;COURSE&gt;</th>
<th>&lt;LEVEL&gt;</th>
<th>&lt;START DATE&gt;</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>DK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S4) And can I confirm how old you were when you studied for your course(s)?

WRITE IN LEARNER’S AGE:

LEARNER MOTIVATION AND EXPECTATIONS

18) Can you tell me a little bit more about the courses or qualifications you undertook while studying at [INSERT PROVIDER]?  

PROBE FOR: Subject area, level, start date, length and type of qualification.

WRITE IN:

19) Looking back at when you were first thinking about taking-up training, what made you decide to undertake a course at this point?  

PROBE: Were there any specific triggers? For example, a change in your circumstances? Any encouragement provided? Funding available?

WRITE IN:

20) And why did you decide to embark on this particular course(s)?
Did you discuss a range of options with [INSERT PROVIDER] or anyone else? What did they say? What role did they play in helping you to make a decision about what training to undertake?

WRITE IN:

21) Thinking about your initial expectations, what did you hope to get out of this course? What was the most important thing you wanted to achieve?

PROBE FOR: a qualification, specific skills, get back in to learning, help with job/finding a job, meet new people, etc.

WRITE IN:

22) What did you know about your training provider [INSERT PROVIDER] before undertaking the course?

a) How did you first hear about your provider?

b) Why did you choose to study with your provider? What else?

c) Did you feel that your provider would help you achieve your learning goals? If so, why?

d) How important was the provider delivering the course in your decision to undertake learning? (Would you have taken up the course with anyone else if it had been available? Why/why not?)

WRITE IN:

23) Before embarking on this course, what has been your previous learning experience?

a) How long ago did you last do any learning before your course?

b) Did you have any concerns about learning before starting your course? If so, which?

c) How did you overcome these concerns? What was the role of the provider in helping you to overcome these concerns? What reassurance did they give?

WRITE IN:

LEARNING EXPERIENCE WITH PROVIDER

24) Can you tell me more about how your course was organised.
a) **How was the course teaching structured?** PROBE: full-time/part-time, daytime/evenings, weekly/monthly sessions,

b) **How was the content of the course delivered?** PROBE: lectures, seminars and distance learning.

c) **How big was your ‘class’? What were the other learners like?**

d) **How important was the format and structure of your course when you decided whether and what to study?**

**WRITE IN:**

---

25) **How would you describe the teaching style of your course?**

a) **What methods did the lecturer/tutor employ?** PROBE: presentations, discussions, group exercises, homework

b) **How important were teaching style and methods when you decided whether and what to study?**

**WRITE IN:**

---

26) **How easy was it for you to monitor your learning progress?**

a) **What procedures were in place to help you monitor progress?**

b) **How important was the ability to monitor progress when you decided whether and what to study?**

**WRITE IN:**

---

27) **What other support (apart from learning support) did the provider offer you?**

PROBE: mentoring, support with financial, housing, health issues, etc.

a) **How important was this support when you decided to take the course?**

b) **How important was this support for you to stay on the course?**

**WRITE IN:**

---

28) **What other facilities did the provider offer you?**

a) **How important were these facilities when you decided to take the course?**

**WRITE IN:**
29) All things considered, would you say that your provider was ‘different’ to other providers in any way?
   a) IF YES: In what way do you feel that your provider was different?
   b) How does your experience with [INSERT PROVIDER] differ from previous learning experiences you may have had with ‘mainstream’ colleges or providers that are not part of the third sector.

WRITE IN:

SATISFACTION WITH LEARNING

30) Looking back on your experience with the course, how satisfied were you overall?
   a) Were there any particular elements of the course you are particularly pleased with?
   b) Were there any elements you were not happy with?

WRITE IN:

31) Is there anything about this course you would have liked to see done in a different way?
   a) Do you have any suggestions or improvements for the delivery of the course?

WRITE IN:

LEARNING OUTCOMES AND BENEFITS

32) Did you finish your course?
   a) IF NOT FINISHED: Why not?
   b) IF FINISHED: Did you ever consider leaving your course at any point?
   c) IF CONSIDERED LEAVING: What persuaded you to continue with your course? What was the role of the provider in helping you finish the course?

WRITE IN:

33) What would you say was the main outcome of you completing your course?

INTERVIEWER RECORD SPONTANEOUS ANSWER AND THEN PROMPT:
   a) Did you achieve a qualification? PROBE: Which qualification, level?
b) Did you find a job as a result of doing this course? PROBE: What job? Full-time? Long-term?

c) IF FOUND A JOB: In what ways did the course help you find a job? What else?

d) Did you proceed into further learning as a result of doing your course? Into learning at a higher level?

WRITE IN:

34) What types of skills did you obtain as a result of your course?

INTERVIEWER RECORD SPONTANEOUS ANSWER AND THEN PROBE FOR:

a) Job specific skills

b) Generic skills (communication, problem solving skills, teamworking, etc.)

c) Job searching skills

d) Higher level skills

WRITE IN:

35) What other benefits do you feel you obtained from your course?

INTERVIEWER RECORD SPONTANEOUS ANSWER AND THEN PROBE FOR:

a) Gained confidence

b) Renewed motivation

c) Improved attitude towards learning

d) Improved prospects for the future

WRITE IN:

36) And finally, how do you anticipate you will ‘use’ the outcomes and skills you obtained from your course in the future?

WRITE IN:

THANK RESPONDENT AND CLOSE INTERVIEW
I declare that this survey has been carried out under IFF instructions and within the rules of the MRS Code of Conduct.
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<th>Date:</th>
</tr>
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<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Finish time:</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
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