



Education & Skills
Funding Agency

Funding guidance for young people 2017 to 2018

ILR funding returns

This document explains the final ILR funding claim for young people for 2017 to 2018 and how it should be returned to the ESFA. This document does not apply to school sixth-forms returning school census data. This document is only for ILR funded institutions.

August 2017

Version 1

Contents

Summary	4
Education and Skills Funding Agency: Funding guidance for young people 2017 to 2018	4
Introduction and background	5
Deadlines for returns	6
Table 1: Deadlines for ILR returns 2017 to 2018: all institutions	6
Table 2: Explanatory annexes in this booklet	6
Arrangements	7
Funding principles: all institutions	8
Adjustments to payments: all institutions	8
Contract institutions (only): funding reconciliation adjustments	9
Under-performance (clawback) calculation	10
Over-performance (growth) calculation	10
Verification processes for any responsive growth funding payments to contract institutions	11
Contract institutions: adjustment profile	11
Review of final 16 to 19 funding claims: all institutions	12
Compliance and funding audits of ILR data	12
Annex A: guidance for completing forms: ILR 16 to 19 funding claim 2017 to 2018	14
Calculating funding	14
Completing the form	14
Funding Information System: all institutions	14
Advice on funding and ILR data monitoring	15
Declaration on final claim: all institutions	15
Purpose of final claim: all institutions	16
Purpose of agency funding auditor's opinion on a final claim: all institutions	16
Manual adjustments (recorded on annex B part 2, funding difference form)	16
Annex B: ILR 16 to 19 funding claim 2017 to 2018	18
Purpose of 16 to 19 funding claim	18
Declaration	18
Annex B: final funding difference form 2017 to 2018	19

Annex C: Funding reconciliation for contract institutions 2017 to 2018	20
Table C1: Final reconciliation examples	20
Profiles for funding adjustments for contract institutions for 2017 to 2018	21
Clawback profile for 2017 to 2018 for continuing institutions	21
2017 to 2018 clawback profile for those institutions without an agreed contract for 2018 to 2019	22
Annex D: 16 to 19 funding claim report 2017 to 2018	23
Additional technical information	23
ILR data recording issues	24
Provider Data Self-Assessment Tool (PDSAT) reports	25
Notes on FIS ESFA funding reports	25
Table D1: FIS 16 to 19 funding reports	26

Summary

Education and Skills Funding Agency: Funding guidance for young people 2017 to 2018

This document is part of a series of booklets providing Education and Skills Funding Agency (ESFA) Funding guidance for young people 2017 to 2018.

- Funding regulations
- Rates and formula
- ILR funding returns (this booklet)
- Sub-contracting control regulations

This summary applies to all these booklets and as they are published they will be available from the [ESFA funding guidance on GOV.UK](#).

These documents outline the main features of the ESFA funding arrangements for 2017 to 2018 and are an integral part of our funding agreements for young people aged 16 to 19 and those aged 19 to 24 funded by the ESFA for study programmes. All these documents should be read in this context, unless specifically stated otherwise. This is the definitive guidance for 2017 to 2018 and supersedes any previous funding guidance.

The sub-contracting controls guidance only needs to be read by institutions either with sub-contractors or considering sub-contracted delivery.

From 2017 to 2018 students who started programmes aged 18 in the first year of their programme but who are aged 19 or over on the 31 August 2017 (known as 19+ continuers) now fall under the 16 to 19 ESFA funding methodology. This is a change from 2017 to 2018 onwards as previously the majority of these students were funded through the adult funding methodology

For further information, please contact the ESFA, or for maintained schools their local authority.

Introduction and background

1. Funding guidance for young people 2017 to 2018 is written on behalf of the Secretary of State for Education who funds the provision of education, using their powers under section 14 Education Act 2002, through the Education and Skills Funding Agency (ESFA).

2. These documents set out the ESFA funding guidance for all funded programmes for young people in 2017 to 2018 and cover all 16 to 19 funding provided by the ESFA. Separate funding arrangements apply for 16 to 19 apprenticeships.

3. The funding guidance documents are an integral part of the ESFA's funding agreements. All guidance in the documents should be read in that context, unless specifically stated otherwise.

4. The ESFA receives ILR funding returns. These data returns include the student numbers and the associated generated total funding with reference to the 2017 to 2018 funding allocation. This data is used to determine future funding allocations. In general, we will use the ILR data for all in-year monitoring purposes.

5. For 2017 to 2018 the ESFA will only require the return of separate individual in-year funding claim estimates from:

- contract institutions that are subject to reconciliation and that do not hold an agreed funding contract for the 2018 to 2019 funding year, and
- institutions that fail to make an accurate and timely ILR returns

6. Any institution that fails to meet the deadlines for ESFA ILR returns set out in table 1 will also need to return a funding estimate. We will identify such institutions as high-risk institutions for funding audit purposes. We still require the final signed claim from all institutions for the reasons set out in annex A paragraphs 15 to 17.

7. The 16 to 19 funding claim report which is available from either the Funding Information System (FIS) or the Hub supports the required funding returns. For all final claims the head of the institution must sign the declaration on the final page. When making electronic returns, institutions should refer to the advice available from the website ([How to return ILR final funding claims to the ESFA](#)) in making their final return to the funding body. All institutions' final claim returns must be signed and then copied electronically to the ESFA as they form part of our assurance arrangements to the National Audit Office (NAO) and are used in monitoring final performance by institutions. For institutions with adult and/or Apprenticeship funding from the ESFA we are investigating combining the final claim declarations into a single return from 2017 to 2018 and the final claim arrangements will be explained on the webpage above later in the year.

8. For institutions with returns subject to audit by the ESFA that appoint and contract with the funding auditor they will copy the auditor report to the ESFA. These institutions are still required to make their final claim return to the ESFA on the same basis as all other funded institutions as explained in the above paragraph. The required returns and the timetable for them are set out in table 1. Table 2 explains the contents of the annexes in this booklet.

Deadlines for returns

9. Institutions must return the 16 to 19 funding claim form and all associated audit opinions to the relevant agency in accordance with the timetable agreed between the institution, its funding auditors and the ESFA.

10. In order to meet the returns timetable set out in table 1, institutions must ensure that their 16 to 19 funding claims and ILR data are shared with auditors in time for them to meet the return timetable. Funding auditors have requested that final data should be shared with them at least one month before the final claim is due, that is by 18 September 2018.

Table 1: Deadlines for ILR returns 2017 to 2018: all institutions

Funding model (all types of institutions)	Deadlines for returns in 2017 to 2018 (<i>type of return</i>)
In-year monitoring	All ESFA-funded institutions that make ILR returns must make sure their data on the web portal is uploaded in accordance with the dates set out below:
ILR R04	6 December 2017 (R04 latest return date)
ILR R06	6 February 2018 (R06 latest return date)
ILR R10	6 June 2018 (R10 latest return date)
ILR R13	14 September 2018 (R13 latest return date)
Final ILR and final claim A signed FIS final claim report; and ILR R14 (2017 to 2018)	18 October 2018 (also R14 return date) A pdf copy of the original signed FIS 16 to 19 funding claim report is required that matches the final ILR return for 2017 to 2018. Where needed funding audit reports on individual funding claim returns must meet the above deadline.

Table 2: Explanatory annexes in this booklet

Annex	Description	Applies to:
A	Guidance for completing forms: ILR funding estimate/claim 2017 to 2018	All institutions
B	FIS or Hub ILR 16 to 19 funding claim	All institutions

Annex	Description	Applies to:
	2017 to 2018	
C	Funding reconciliation for contract institutions 2017 to 2018	Contract institutions only
D	Funding claim report 2017 to 2018: additional technical information	All institutions

11. Commercial and charitable providers (CCPs) are regarded and referred to as contract institutions. They are usually the only funded institutions that are subject to funding reconciliation in 2017 to 2018, and they will be monitored and where necessary have their payments adjusted through their ILR data returns.

12. This will enable the ESFA to determine which contract institutions need an in-year allocation adjustment for under-performance to reduce the value of clawback at the final claim stage.

13. All higher education institutions (HEIs) that only return funding data through the Higher Education Statistics Agency (HESA) data return must request a final funding claim form for completion from the ESFA in autumn 2018.

Arrangements

14. All institutions returning ILR final 16 to 19 funding claims should use the FIS 16 to 19 funding claim report that produces the outturn figures on a similar basis to the allocation statement. In March and July 2018 (after the respective ILR R06 and R10 data has been processed), we will issue an estimated reconciliation statement to contract institutions that are subject to reconciliation. Similarly, final reconciliation statements will be issued to all institutions after the receipt of final claim and data returns. FE colleges and their financial statement auditors will be able to use these documents to help complete the college's financial statements. The statements will confirm any change to allocations and/or retrospective clawback for institutions. Guidance on completing the forms is in annex A.

15. The final claim declaration is included in the FIS 16 to 19 funding claim report as the final page. This must match the final ILR submission for the year and be signed in accordance with the advice in annex A. Institutions should follow the advice on the website ([How to return ILR final funding claims to the ESFA](#)) in making their final return to the funding body.

16. Institutions must keep the original document as a financial record in accordance with the advice in the 'Funding regulations' guidance 2017 to 2018, paragraph 141. All final claims must be returned through the ESFA's document exchange.

17. The ESFA expects the funding generated from ILR R14 to be consistent with the final funding claim, after taking account of manual adjustments. Institutions must run the relevant agency funding compliance and eligibility Provider Data Self-Assessment Toolkit (PDSAT) reports to verify their ILR data during the year.

18. All institutions must use their unique United Kingdom Provider Reference Number (UKPRN) on all funding claim and ILR data returns.

Funding principles: all institutions

19. The ESFA expects all institutions to deliver their full funding agreements each year. We will review institutions' performance for previous years, to ensure that allocations are soundly based. Student numbers are the key indicator of performance and have a direct effect on future allocations. Tolerance and non-reconciliation of funding is not designed to reward persistent under-performance.

20. For the purposes of monitoring institutions, the ESFA will calculate the performance of each institution in 2017 to 2018 as described in this booklet and in the other funding guidance booklets. In particular, we will compare the institution's student number and funding outturn with their student number and funding in the allocation and/or contract and to support this approach the ESFA will also apply the condition of funding deduction (calculated from 2015 to 2016 student data) to both the allocation and the out-turn for 2017 to 2018. This deduction will not affect the calculation of lagged funding allocation values for 2018 to 2019. These will be affected, where applicable, by the condition of funding reduction arising from non-compliance with the condition of funding for students in 2016 to 2017. This is further explained in Annex D.

Adjustments to payments: all institutions

21. Reconciliation of 16 to 18 funding for either under- or over-performance will not apply to the majority of institutions. This should mean that there are no mid-year or retrospective reconciliation funding adjustments for grant-in-aid institutions. The ESFA will not normally fund any growth for grant-in-aid institutions delivering more than their allocation.

22. Final under-performance adjustments (clawback) are usually only necessary for contract institutions. Clawback will usually be profiled for recovery between April 2018 and December 2018 and within the ESFA own financial year ending in March 2019.

23. When institutions submit their final funding claim and final ILR return by 18 October 2018, final reconciliation will be based on that return. The data will replace any interim data that has previously been submitted.

24. The final adjustment of funds will usually be determined using ILR R14, the final funding claim (taking account of any audit qualifications) and, in exceptional circumstances, the results of any separate audit or ESFA investigation. In the separate sub-contracting control regulations document (paragraphs 15 and 16), the ESFA has set out the principles for recovering funding for ineligible or non-existent sub-contracting provision.

25. In exceptional circumstances, the ESFA can make grant-in-aid institutions subject to reconciliation. They may be subject to the same funding adjustment rules for under-performance as contract institutions or to any additional conditions of funding being applied to their allocation. We will separately inform such institutions of this decision. Where institutions are planning to close their delivery to young people they are expected to notify the ESFA before the start of the academic year. In such cases all of the allocation made for that academic year will be withdrawn. Late notification of closure, after the start of the academic year will result in a recovery of funds. Where an institution has not planned to close their young people provision but does not recruit or data returns reveal that no students have been enrolled, the ESFA will withdraw the allocation and will also action recovery of funds where payments have already occurred.

Contract institutions (only): funding reconciliation adjustments

26. Contract institutions' allocations are subject to adjustments for under- and over-performance.

27. The ESFA will use the final claim clawback to pay growth funding to over-performing institutions. All growth payments are subject to affordability, and therefore the in-year growth will be paid at 50% of the reconciliation value. Final growth payments may be subject to scaling down for the remaining 50%.

28. The principles of contract institution funding adjustment and final reconciliation for over performance for 2017 to 2018 are as follows:

- in-year allocation payments are made on profile
- final full year funding variances are calculated by comparing an institution's outturn (their total whole year delivery) with their final funding allocation as paid for the year (subject to the following bullet point)
- under-performance and growth funding adjustments are subject to a tolerance, as small marginal changes in activity are not subject to either upward or downward reconciliation

- reconciliation applies to all programme funding including block 1 and block 2 of disadvantage funding
- formula protection funding (FPF) will not be subject to funding reconciliation for the purposes of calculating clawback; for the purposes of calculating growth funding, FPF is simply added to the programme funding allocation and the combined total (FPF + programme funding) is compared to the programme funding claim
- high needs funding is not usually subject to reconciliation for any institutions; however, institutions are expected to show they are spending their allocation appropriately in supporting high needs students

Under-performance (clawback) calculation

29. For calculating clawback at the final reconciliation calculation, the ESFA will apply a tolerance of the higher of 1.0% of the programme allocation or £5,000 when comparing the total programme cash delivered against the total programme allocation (excluding FPF).

30. The ESFA does not apply in-year funding reductions that disadvantage contract institutions when it comes to calculating final reconciliation. Therefore an institution with significant under-performance will be subject to in-year clawback rather than a change to their agreed allocation.

31. Contract institutions whose ILR R06 funding out-turn is below 60% of their programme funding allocation will be subject to clawback from April 2018. The detail is set out in Annex C and the Contract Institution Reconciliation example workbook. For contract institutions with persistent underperformance the ESFA will seek to ensure sufficient clawback is recovered in-year to ensure monthly allocation payments are possible throughout the next funding year.

Over-performance (growth) calculation

32. For the purposes of growth payments, the contract institution's total eligible programme funding cash delivery will be compared to their programme funding allocation plus FPF.

33. As with clawback, the ESFA does not fund small marginal changes and therefore does not fund the higher of either the first 1.0% or £5,000 of any positive variance.

34. The growth cap for contract institutions is normally 30% of the allocation with a minimum value of £100,000 and a maximum value of £1 million.

Verification processes for any responsive growth funding payments to contract institutions

35. The ESFA will carry out additional funding eligibility compliance tests before paying any responsive growth funding. Where this raises any eligibility concerns, any responsive growth funding will not be paid until additional funding audit assurance is obtained to support the institution funding return.

Contract institutions: adjustment profile

36. The clawback profile for contract institutions will be based on their allocation profile. It will apply to all reconciliations, whether determined by ILR returns or final claims. The clawback profile period will run from April to December 2018, as set out in annex C.

37. The clawback profile is designed to reduce the risk to 2018 to 2019 delivery while also meeting Treasury requirements to recover and protect unused public funds. To meet these requirements contract institutions that are funded in 2018 to 2019 will continue to have their clawback for 2017 to 2018 under-performance spread across their allocation payments for each year. In order to protect public funds the ESFA reserve the right to bring forward any clawback of funding as deemed necessary to avoid either any potential loss to the ESFA or the need to invoice an institution later in the year where future monthly allocation payments for either the current or next year are insufficient to repay the scheduled clawback.

38. When a contract institution does not have a guaranteed allocation in 2018 to 2019 the ESFA needs to safeguard public funds and so will apply a difference clawback profile. The ESFA will also apply this profile to any institution that has received either a provisional or confirmed Ofsted Grade 4 or where significant concerns are raised over the financial health of the institution. In these cases clawback will take place:

- during the 2017 to 2018 funding year (between March and July 2018), and
- with any final return clawback following receipt of either updated ILR data or final claims in autumn 2018

39. The ESFA will make interim growth payments in March 2018. These will be 50% of the interim reconciliation value for contract institutions that have already achieved their full whole year allocation, according to their ILR R06 data return. We will repeat the process with the ILR R10 return, and any outstanding balance of the initial 50% will be paid in July/August 2018.

40. The ESFA will only make any final growth funding payments when all the affordability and eligibility checks explained in this document have been carried out. Any final responsive growth payments will only be considered after receiving timely ILR returns for the year and the final funding claims in October 2018.

Review of final 16 to 19 funding claims: all institutions

41. Annex D explains in detail how the funding outturn figures are calculated on the FIS 16 to 19 funding claim report. Institutions must check final funding claims against the funding totals calculated from their ILR data before submitting data and returns. Institutions may find the following checks helpful; they are particularly important before making ILR data submissions:

- check the validation reports on the Hub before making the ILR submission; these will show any students that are not included on the FIS 16 to 19 funding claim report
- review the FIS 16 to 19 funding claim report to check that all students who have turned 19 during the second or subsequent year of their programme are correctly recorded; see annex D for how to code these students (which has changed in 2017 to 2018 from the 2016 to 2017 guidance)
- students shown in row C on the 16 to 19 funding claim report must have an Education Health and Care (EHC) plan; the ILR field learner funding and monitoring type must equal EHC , and the learner funding and monitoring code must be set to 1
- review PDSAT reports to reduce the risk of inaccurately recording students in ILR returns

Compliance and funding audits of ILR data

42. Funding auditors appointed by the ESFA must use the audit opinion supplied to them by us under their own funding audit contract.

43. Institutions appointing their own funding auditors should use their own audit opinion. Individual audit firms may find our contract funding audit opinion helpful in drafting their opinions.

44. The ILR return must be sent to funding auditors by 18 September 2018. This applies to institutions subject to a funding audit of their final funding claim as notified by the ESFA. It also includes all funding audits undertaken at institutions by the ESFA appointed auditor under our agreed joint contract. The return is then due to the ESFA by no later than 18 October 2018.

45. If institutions or funding auditors anticipate that the final claim will not be received by 18 October 2018, before this date they must send the ESFA a letter that:

- explains the reasons for the delay, and
- explains the action to be taken, and

- a firm promise date for the signed final claim. All final ILR returns must meet the 18 October 2018 deadline

46. When funding auditors qualify an institution's funding claim, we are likely to require further work by the institution and its funding auditor. These institutions must pass the final claim to their funding auditor and ask them to send it to the ESFA with their report on the audit of the 2017 to 2018 final funding claim and supporting data.

47. The final audit opinion for 2017 to 2018 is similar to the previous final funding audit opinion. The emphasis is on the importance of the accuracy of institutions' funding claims. When funding auditors sign off the funding audit opinion for final returns after the due date, they must attach a report identifying the causes for delay. Submitting funding audit opinions after that date will mean that the ESFA records the institution as not submitting timely data returns. The final claim data must be available in good time to sign off college financial accounts, which are due no later than 31 December 2018.

48. Institutions must run relevant ILR funding audit PDSAT reports to verify their own data during the year. When institutions want to simplify their ILR funding audit, the ESFA recommends that the reports are run and cleared prior to any substantive visits from the funding auditor. Institutions from 2017 to 2018 should review planned hours for all students who attend a study programme for less than 6 weeks in accordance with the advice in companion document Rates and formula paragraph 28.

49. When institutions are subject to funding audit and have substantial eligibility issues, the ESFA may require additional scrutiny or work above the standard funding audit contract. We may charge the institution for this work.

Annex A: guidance for completing forms: ILR 16 to 19 funding claim 2017 to 2018

Calculating funding

1. The funding methodology is set out in the documents that make up the ESFA funding guidance.
2. The ESFA's default in-year position is to use the ILR data returned through the hub to monitor all institutions' performance against their funding agreement.
3. To help institutions complete the forms, the Funding Information System (FIS) includes a 16 to 19 funding claim report that matches the funding allocation statement. This includes the final claim declaration on the final page of the report. Technical information on the report is set out in annex D. If an institution has no claim in a category, the report will show '0' (zero).

Completing the form

4. The ESFA now mainly monitors performance against each type of allocation through ILR data returns, as most institutions for 16 to 19 funding no longer need to make manual adjustments to their final ILR funding total.
5. In the FIS ESFA funding claim report, all institutions must give the total student numbers and the cash that they have delivered for the academic year 2017 to 2018.
6. All 16 to 19 funding claims must be returned as set out below:
 - the 16 to 19 funding claim is solely the FIS 16 to 19 funding claim report
 - if an institution needs to record a manual adjustment and/or estimates of future activity being delivered during the year, these must only be entered on the right-hand side of the report
 - each institution has programme funding as part of its funding allocation; the format of the FIS 16 to 19 funding claim report is similar to the allocation statement

Funding Information System: all institutions

7. FIS helps institutions make their funding returns for the year. All institutions must read annex D of this document for further advice on the FIS funding claim report for 2017 to 2018, particularly before compiling their ILR returns.
8. All agency funding audits use institutions' ILR data.

Advice on funding and ILR data monitoring

9. The ESFA will use ILR data returns to monitor institutions' delivery against their allocation (contract institutions in particular). We may require separate funding returns from institutions that fail to return ILR data according to our published timetable for ESFA ILR data collections. We will notify institutions when we require such separate funding returns.

10. The ESFA will issue final reconciliation statements in a format similar to the allocation statement. They will also include the outturn and reconciliation information. They will be sent to:

- contract institutions, in autumn 2018 (these will also include the profile of any reconciliation adjustments for 2017 to 2018), and
- all ILR funded grant-in-aid institutions, in autumn 2018; these will assist institutions preparing final accounts for sign off by financial statement auditors after the receipt of final ILR data in October 2018

Declaration on final claim: all institutions

11. The principal or head of the institution must sign the final claim form. For higher education (HE) institutions, the person with responsibility for further education (FE) in the institution must sign the final claim form. All institutions' final claim returns must be signed and then copied electronically to the ESFA.

12. The signed final claim forms are part of the ESFA's assurance arrangements to the National Audit Office (NAO), and we will use them to monitor final performance. The original signed claim must be kept by the institution as a financial record in accordance with the advice in 'Funding regulations', paragraph 141.

13. The principal or head of the institution is required to certify that, to the best of his or her knowledge, the funding claimed has been calculated from data correctly extracted from the institution's records, which accurately reflect enrolments in accordance with the documents that make up the funding guidance and other relevant guidance.

14. They must also certify that, to the best of their knowledge, the final 16 to 19 funding claim is free from misstatement, whether caused by fraud or by other irregularity or error, and that it complies with all the guidance provided by the ESFA, including that given in this booklet. This statement is particularly important for institutions not subject to a funding audit, as we have no separate assurance from any independent audit opinion on their funding claim.

Purpose of final claim: all institutions

15. The final claim has several purposes:

- it provides the ESFA with assurance from the accounting officer over the regularity of the institution's 16 to 19 funding claim and ILR data returns
- it provides an agreed final outturn that can be compared with the allocation on an institution, territorial and national basis
- it determines whether funding will be adjusted by clawback; we expect the cash claimed to be consistent with financial provision made by the institution in its financial statements

16. Institutions must sign off the final claim, including final ILR adjustments and any audit manual adjustments, as being materially accurate. To assist them in making good-quality ILR and funding returns to us, all funding institutions must also use the provider data self-assessment toolkit (PDSAT) reports that are relevant to their own provision and data before signing their final claim.

17. As stated in paragraph 24 of the main body of this booklet, the ESFA will use the final 16 to 19 funding claim to determine retrospective funding adjustments. This will take account of any audit qualifications and, in exceptional circumstances, the results of any separate ESFA investigation.

Purpose of agency funding auditor's opinion on a final claim: all institutions

18. The agency funding auditor's opinion provides the ESFA with supplementary assurance over the funding claimed by institutions, as the ESFA requires assurance for its own accounts that the funding claimed poses no risk to public funds. The opinion also provides us with a final opinion on the institution's funding data, which allows us to inform the institution and its financial statement auditor of the expected final funding position for 2017 to 2018.

Manual adjustments (recorded on annex B part 2, funding difference form)

19. The ESFA does not expect manual adjustments to be necessary. The only exception is where either the ESFA or an appointed funding auditor determine that an error (either individually calculated or calculated through extrapolation) needs to be applied to the final ILR data return. We expect such adjustments to be very rare and exceptional. As such, institutions must agree manual adjustments with us before submitting them on a final claim. Any overall audit adjustments are expected to be

negative: an institution under-claiming must make the necessary changes to their underlying ILR data.

20. The rules on manual adjustments in part 1 and part 2 of the 16 to 19 funding claim are not the same for cash and student numbers. The cash adjustments in parts 1 and 2 should match exactly, while the student numbers may be different.

21. In some cases this will include a number of separate calculations and a sheet of background material explaining how the proposed adjustment has been calculated. These calculations and material must reconcile with claim value recorded on part 2 of the claim (annex B: part 2).

22. No manual adjustment numbers used in previous years are claimable and these must not be used for this year.

Annex B: ILR 16 to 19 funding claim 2017 to 2018

For all final claims this page should be printed where necessary together with the accompanying FIS 16 to 19 funding claim report 2017 to 2018 (which will be treated by the ESFA as the funding figure page of the final funding claim). The declaration below is also included in the final page of the FIS 16 to 19 funding claim report and should be signed by the head of the institution. The document(s) (usually only the pdf version of the FIS report) should be returned in accordance with the advice on the website (page headed: [How to return ILR final funding claims to the ESFA](#)). The manual adjustment (difference page) must not be returned if no manual adjustment is recorded on the FIS report. The original signed FIS report (pdf version) must be kept by the institution as a financial record in accordance with 'Funding regulations' 2017 to 2018 paragraph 141.

Purpose of 16 to 19 funding claim

The purpose of the final claim is to provide the ESFA with an assurance statement by the relevant accounting officer over the regularity of the institution's 16 to 19 funding claim and ILR data returns, as well as being used for institutions where reconciliation is appropriate to determine whether any funds paid for 2017 to 2018 will be recovered for under-performance. As stated in the 'ILR funding returns 2017 to 2018' paragraph 24, any adjustment of funds will be finally determined using the final 16 to 19 funding claim 2017 to 2018, taking account of any audit qualifications and, in exceptional circumstances, the results of any separate agency investigation.

The head of the institution (or in the case of higher education (HE) institutions, the person with responsibility for further education (FE) in the institution) must sign the declaration below for all final claims.

Declaration

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, this final 16 to 19 funding claim has been calculated from data correctly extracted from the records of the institution, which accurately reflect enrolments during 2017 to 2018, in accordance with the guidance and definitions set out in the booklets that make up the ESFA funding guidance for young people 2017 to 2018 and any other relevant guidance.

I also certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the final 16 to 19 funding claim is free from misstatement, whether caused by fraud or by other irregularity or error, and also complies with the guidance given in the booklets that make up ESFA: funding guidance for young people 2017 to 2018. The head of the institution must sign below.

Signature (*head of institution*):

Name (*please print*):

Position:

Institution:

Date:

UKPRN:

Annex B: final funding difference form 2017 to 2018

This part of the form does not need to be returned unless a valid audit manual adjustment is being made to the ILR funding return as part of the final claim return. If so, this page should be printed together with the accompanying FIS 16 to 19 funding claim report 2017 to 2018 (which will be treated by the ESFA as the funding figure page of the final funding claim).

Please only return this form to the ESFA if any entry other than zero is necessary.

Reference: 'ILR funding returns 2017 to 2018'

Institutions receiving funding from the ESFA for 2017 to 2018 are asked to complete this form whenever a manual adjustment is being claimed on part 1 of this form, and to return it with part 1. All institutions should use this form to record the reason(s) for the difference between the 16 to 19 funding claim and the cash generated by processing the relevant ILR return through the notified versions of the FIS using the notified release of the LARS, or any updated version. A list of acceptable manual adjustments is included in annex F of the main document. Part 2 of final funding claim

Institution name in 2017 to 2018 (please print):

UKPRN code in 2017 to 2018:

Manual adjustment number	Description	Students affected (number)	Difference (funding)
2017/17-001	<p>Audit (or ESFA) adjustments may be made to reduce funding in the following circumstances:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> to reflect errors in an institution's claim or the lack of an adequate audit trail to remove funding for ineligible students or programmes, and/or to remove funding for students duplicated in other funding streams or in other institution funding claims 		
Total difference arising from manual adjustments (cash adjustments must match total manual cash adjustment in Part 1)			

Annex C: Funding reconciliation for contract institutions 2017 to 2018

1. The examples in Table C1 (and are unchanged from 2016 to 2017 rules) below are based on the rules set out in paragraphs 26 to 34 in the main section of the document.

Table C1: Final reconciliation examples

1.0	Examples	A	B	C	D
1.1	Funding Allocation	£500,000			
1.2	Formula Protection Funding (FPF) #	£5,000			
1.3	Net Allocation (includes FPF for growth reconciliation)	£505,000			
1.4	Funding Allocation (for clawback reconciliation)	£500,000			
1.5	Tolerance - standard 1.0%	£5,000			
1.6	Funding growth cap	£150,000			
1.7	Funding outturn	£485,000	£497,500	£505,000	£535,500
1.8	Responsive Growth *	£0	£0	£0	£25,500
1.9	Clawback *	-£10,000	£0	£0	£0
1.10	Performance against Funding Agreement	97.0%	99.5%	101.0%	107.1%

* See notes overleaf for explanation of figures in rows 1.8 and 1.9 above

FPF is excluded from the calculation of the tolerance figures row in 1.5

Notes on the examples from table C1

- A** Contract institution delivers 97% of their funded allocation with ESFA clawback of allocation funding as they delivered below 99% of their programme allocation.
- B** Contract institution delivers 99.5% of their funded allocation with zero clawback of allocation funding as they delivered 99.5% of their programme allocation which is within the 1.0 % clawback tolerance.
- C** Contract institution delivers 101% of their funded allocation but is paid no responsive growth funding as all over delivery is within the growth tolerance.
- D** Contract institution delivers 107% of their funded allocation and subject to affordability receives growth funding of £25,500. FPF must also be delivered before any additional responsive growth funding is paid to a contract institution.

In the example any final responsive growth in 1.8 will be capped at the value in 1.6.

Profiles for funding adjustments for contract institutions for 2017 to 2018

2. When making funding adjustments for contract institutions, the ESFA uses the principles set out in paragraphs 36 to 40. This annex sets out the percentage profiles used each month used for clawback.

Clawback profile for 2017 to 2018 for continuing institutions

3. The clawback profiles for recovery of under delivered allocations for contract institutions with a funding contract agreed for 2018 to 2019 by the 31 March 2018 are set out in tables C2 and C3 below but these are subject to the additional safeguards to protect public funds set out in paragraph 37. This will apply to all funding reconciliations, whether determined by ILR returns or final claims.

Table C2: R06 (and R10) programme out-turn as used to determine in-year clawback for those institutions also funded in 2018 to 2019

If R06 less than 40% of full year allocation	Clawback = Full allocation payment for April – July
If R06 is between 40% and 60% of full year allocation	Clawback = Half allocation payment for April – July
If R06 is between 60% and 80% of full year allocation	Clawback = Provisionally half allocation payment for July only
If R06 is greater than 80% of full year allocation	No in-year clawback before July
Where out-turn has substantially improved at R10, and only after taking into account the expected increase between June and February, the ESFA will review whether the clawback planned for July is appropriate. Where out-turn at R10 is below 90% of the full year allocation the ESFA may increase the clawback planned for July.	

Table C3: Clawback Profile for 2017 to 2018 for those institutions also funded in 2018 to 2019.		
	R10	R13/R14
August	30.00%	
September	25.00%	
October	25.00%	
November	10.00%	50.00%
December	10.00%	50.00%
<p>The above profile from August to December 2018 is applied to the balance of R10 clawback after taking into account any in-year clawback already recovered as withheld allocation payments (see Table C2) before the end of July 2018.</p> <p>The clawback figures will be reviewed at R13 and then finalised at R14 which will impact on the clawback values in November and December.</p> <p>Where R10 delivery is at least 95% of the programme allocation the clawback will usually be profiled for recovery in one-third instalments between October and December so the R13 data is available to the ESFA before the clawback commences in October 2018.</p>		

2017 to 2018 clawback profile for those institutions without an agreed contract for 2018 to 2019

4. The ESFA will ensure that payments to contract institutions without an allocation for the following year are restricted to match the institution out-turn at R06 to avoid the need to invoice organisations for the recovery of unused public funding for those institutions that do not have future contracts.
5. This policy also recognises that those institutions that have no contract for the following year may be winding down their operations during the latter part of the funding year and ceasing to recruit any new students later in the funding year.
6. For such contract institutions the ESFA will profile the full clawback calculated from the R06 return so that the institution is only paid an allocation profile between March and July 2018 that has already been earned from their R06 return.

Annex D: 16 to 19 funding claim report 2017 to 2018

Additional technical information

1. The FIS 16 to 19 funding claim report sets out the funding outturn figures for ESFA-funded students. The general layout and coding of the report is shown at the end of this annex.
2. The reporting code reflects that the ESFA 16 to 19 funding calculation covers the following groups:
 - students aged 19 to 24 with an Education Health and Care (EHC) plan) for 2017 to 2018
 - students who started programmes aged 18 in the first year of their programme but who are aged 19 or over on the 31 August 2017 (known as 19+ continuers) now fall under the the 16 to 19 ESFA funding methodology. This is a change from 2017 to 2018 onwards. Previously the majority of these students were funded through the adult funding methodology
3. To keep the 16 to 19 funding claim report as simple as possible, it mainly uses the following ILR fields:
 - source of funding
 - funding model
 - learner funding and monitoring type
 - learner funding and monitoring code
 - date of birth
 - planned learning hours and planned enrichment, employability and pastoral hours
4. Students must have at least one learning aim coded in the ILR data, as set out in table D1, in order to be funder under the 16 to 19 funding methodology.
5. The FIS 16 to 19 funding claim report puts students in the full and part time funding bands as shown in the allocation statement, and applies the national rate to each band. When calculating students' programme funding, the report uses the same funding factors as the institution's funding allocation.
6. The outturn calculation handles the disadvantage elements differently to the other funding factors when calculating the outturn, as disadvantage funding is an additional amount added to the programme funding. The outturn has to include the disadvantage element and at the same time reflect what proportion of the allocation has been delivered – that is, the difference between the allocation's student numbers and the actual student numbers recruited during the year. The calculation is as follows:

- the total block 1 and block 2 funding is calculated as a percentage of the programme funding (less disadvantage and before area cost)
- this percentage is applied by FIS (at the same standard percentage) to each individual student's programme funding (before adding disadvantage funding or using the area cost factor). The standard disadvantage uplift factor for the institution is shown in FIS tables in the column labelled PrvDisadvPropnHist
- FIS calculates the outturn including both the programme and disadvantage funding. This total is then further uplifted by the area cost factor to produce the outturn

7. The outturn calculation handles the large programme uplift calculation using the same principles set out above for disadvantage funding.

8. The cash reduction made to 2017 to 2018 allocations for students who did not meet the condition of funding in 2015 to 2016 is also being applied to institutions funded out-turn in 2017 to 2018.

9. This ensures those institutions who are subject to funding reconciliation are treated equally in respect of the condition of funding as those who are not subject to reconciliation. This approach ensures that the funding removed from 2017 to 2018 allocations through non-compliance with the condition of funding for some students in 2015 to 2016 cannot be offset by delivery in 2017 to 2018. This deduction will not affect the calculation of lagged funding allocation values for 2018 to 2019. These will be affected, where applicable, by the condition of funding reduction arising from non-compliance with the condition of funding for students in 2016 to 2017.

10. We issue full guidance on the ILR 16 to 19 funding claim report in [the FIS guidance](#), which is available on GOV.UK.

ILR data recording issues

11. Institutions must update the data entry in ILR field 'source of funding' for all continuing students that change funding body responsibility for a later year of their programme. Therefore the ILR learning aim record for these students will be different across funding years.

12. Students who started programmes aged 18 in the first year of their programme but who are aged 19 or over on the 31 August 2017 (known as 19+ continuers) now fall under the the 16 to 19 ESFA funding methodology. This is a change from 2017 to 2018 onwards. Previously the majority of these students were funded through the adult funding methodology.

13. All students with an EHCP must be recorded with Learning funding and monitoring type=EHC and Learning funding and monitoring code=1. This enables the ESFA to ensure the funding position mirrors our statutory responsibilities.

Provider Data Self-Assessment Tool (PDSAT) reports

14. As stated in the main document paragraph 17, the ESFA expects institutions to use PDSAT reports to verify their own ILR data during the year.

15. KPMG have developed the software and will provide support. Support is via their helpdesk facility as detailed on the [main PDSAT page](#), where you can also find a PDSAT e-learning guide.

Notes on FIS ESFA funding reports

16. The notes at the bottom of the FIS 16 to 19 funding claim report are set out below.

1 Disadvantage is calculated as the total Block 1 and Block 2 elements of your 2017 to 2018 allocation as a proportion of the total programme funding (less disadvantage and before area cost) in your 2017 to 2018 allocation.

2 Large programme uplift is calculated as the large programme element of your 2017 to 2018 allocation as a proportion of the total programme funding (less large programme funding and before area cost) in your 2017 to 2018 allocation.

3 This page includes all students who have 16 to 19 funding and are identified in the ILR as 16 to 19 funded (such as source of funding code = 107).

4 Any students coded as Adult funded (such as source of funding code = 105) will not appear on this report, even if their funding model is set to 25 (16 to 19 (excluding Apprenticeships) funding).

5 Row C includes students aged 19 to 24 on 31 August 2017 who are 16 to 19 funded (that is, source of funding code = 107) and have an EHC plan.

6 Row D includes students aged 19 or over on 31 August 2017 who are 16 to 19 funded (that is, source of funding code = 107), are funded using 16 to 19 funding (that is, funding model = 25) and are not included in Row C.

7 All factors in this report and used in funding calculations are those used in your 2017 to 2018 allocation.

8 The 2017 to 2018 condition of funding removal reflects the funding that has been removed from your 2017 to 2018 allocation in relation to students who did not comply with the condition of funding on English and mathematics in 2015 to 2016. The total funding (less condition of funding removal) figure will form the basis of the outturn used for all institutions, to ensure that the outturn and allocation figures are calculated on a consistent basis.

Table D1: FIS 16 to 19 funding reports

A summary of the use of ILR fields and correct student coding for ESFA funding

16 to 19 Funding claim report Category	ILR field 'source of funding'	ILR field 'funding model'	ILR field 'learner funding and monitoring'	Learning delivery funding and monitoring	Age at 31 st August
A 14 to 16 Direct Funded Students	Equals: 107	Equals: 25	N/A	Type=LDM Code=320	14 or 15
B 16 to 19 Students (including High need students)	16 to 19 high needs students				
	Equals: 107	Equals: 25	Type=HNS Code=1	N/A	<19
	16 to 19 Students (excluding High Needs Students)				
	Equals: 107	Equals: 25	Type<>HNS Code<>1	N/A	<19
C 19 to 24 students with an EHCP	Equals : 107	Equals: 25	Type= EHC Code=1	N/A	>=19 and <=24
D (19+ Continuing students (excluding EHC plan students) (This is applicable to all ILR funded institutions)	Equals : 107	Equals : 25	Type<> EHC Code<>1	N/A	>=19
Total Funding	Total (Programme funding only) = A + B + C + D (from above)				
Condition of funding reduction	Allocation deduction for not meeting condition of funding in 2015 to 2016 (this is the cash figure deducted from the 2017 to 2018 allocation)				

'Not equal to' is denoted by <>



Education & Skills
Funding Agency

© Crown copyright 2017

This publication (not including logos) is licensed under the terms of the Open Government Licence v3.0 except where otherwise stated. Where we have identified any third party copyright information you will need to obtain permission from the copyright holders concerned.

To view this licence:

visit www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3

email psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk

write to Information Policy Team, The National Archives, Kew, London, TW9 4DU

About this publication:

enquiries www.education.gov.uk/contactus

download www.gov.uk/government/publications

Reference: ESFA-00240-2017



Follow us on Twitter:
[@educationgovuk](https://twitter.com/educationgovuk)



Like us on Facebook:
facebook.com/educationgovuk