



# Relationships and Sex Education

PHOTO REDACTED DUE TO THIRD PARTY RIGHTS OR OTHER LEGAL ISSUES

Teaching about relationships and sex in UK schools often focuses on the biology of reproduction. Stakeholders have called for teaching to cover a broader range of issues, such as healthy relationships and the risks posed by using digital technology. This POSTnote reviews evidence on the potential outcomes of relationships and sex education in schools and how to maximise its effectiveness.

## Background

Teaching about relationships and sex can cover a variety of topics such as reproduction, physical changes through puberty, and how to manage emotions. It can be taught as a stand-alone subject or as part of other subjects, including Sex and Relationship Education (SRE), Personal, Social, Health and Economic education (PSHE), and Biology.<sup>1</sup> It can be delivered by trained teachers or external specialists from the third sector, including charities.<sup>1,2</sup> There are many terms used for education about relationships and/or sex. This POSTnote uses the term Relationships and Sex Education (RSE) for any teaching in this area.

International organisations suggest that effective RSE can contribute to improvements in public health by reducing sexually transmitted infections and unplanned pregnancies, promote healthier relationships by teaching young people how to identify abusive behaviour, and equip young people with knowledge about their rights in relationships.<sup>3-7</sup>

The Children and Social Work Act 2017 placed a duty on the Secretary of State to make Relationships Education in primary schools and RSE in secondary schools statutory in the near future.<sup>8,9</sup> The Act also provides the power to make PSHE mandatory.<sup>8</sup> The Department for Education (DfE) launched a call for evidence on future RSE content and on

## Overview

- Relationships and Sex Education (RSE) can increase knowledge about sexual risk and may reduce teenage pregnancies.
- There are few high-quality studies on how RSE affects prevalence of sexually transmitted infections (STIs). However, available evidence suggests that RSE does not reduce STI rates among young people.
- RSE can increase young people's knowledge about, and change attitudes towards, sexual abuse and partner violence.
- RSE's role in improving awareness of the risks of sharing nude images and using pornography has not yet been evaluated.
- RSE is most effective when it is taught by trained professionals in regular sessions, is embedded into school policy and involves the input of young people and their families

whether to make PSHE mandatory.<sup>10</sup> DfE will use the resulting evidence and wider stakeholder engagement to shape draft regulations and guidance for public consultation before debate in Parliament.

This POSTnote describes current RSE teaching across the UK and reviews evidence around its potential to deliver various outcomes. It then presents principles that evidence suggests RSE should follow to achieve these outcomes.

## Current RSE Teaching

As education is a devolved issue, RSE teaching differs around the UK (Box 1) as well as across the school sector. Private schools and state-funded schools that are independent of local authorities (including academies and free schools) currently do not have to teach RSE. Across all schools, parents have the right to withdraw their child from all sex education except the content covered in science.<sup>11-14</sup>

In 2013, Ofsted reported that education on sex and relationships required improvement in 42% of primary and 38% of secondary schools inspected in England.<sup>1</sup> It noted that important topics, such as domestic violence, were omitted from many school curricula. The Inspectorate for Education and Training in Wales (Estyn) found that RSE was not allocated enough time in the school curriculum.<sup>15</sup>

**Box 1. Current RSE Teaching in the Four UK Nations**

- **England:** Currently only local authority secondary schools (around a third of secondary schools) are required to offer Sex and Relationship Education (SRE). These schools follow the National Curriculum, which states that schools must provide sex education from age 11 years onwards.<sup>16</sup> PSHE is only compulsory in independent schools.<sup>9</sup> Neither SRE nor PSHE are mandatory in academies.<sup>9</sup> However, SRE may be offered and there is statutory Government guidance from 2000 for any school teaching it.<sup>17</sup>
- **Wales:** SRE is a compulsory part of the secondary curriculum for local authority schools.<sup>18</sup> Primary schools are required to teach aspects of SRE that are contained within curriculum subjects, such as science, and have discretion to provide a broader SRE programme. The Welsh Government published guidance in 2010 containing statutory principles for SRE teaching and non-statutory suggestions of content.<sup>12</sup>
- **Scotland:** The Curriculum for Excellence in Scotland sets out expectations for primary and secondary schools rather than mandating the exact content of subjects.<sup>19</sup> The Health and Wellbeing strand includes the subject Relationships, Sexual Health and Parenthood Education (RSHP). There is statutory guidance on general principles for teaching RSHP.<sup>13</sup>
- **Northern Ireland:** The Northern Ireland Curriculum includes the subject Relationships and Sexuality Education. In 2015 the Department of Education published non-statutory guidance on the subject.<sup>14</sup> The guidance does not suggest lesson content but proposes general principles. Schools are required to develop their own policy for addressing RSE in lessons, in line with the school's ethos and in consultation with parents and pupils.<sup>14</sup>

**Outcomes of RSE Teaching in Schools**

This POSTnote will consider four main outcomes related to the subject content proposed in the DfE call for evidence: improving public health, addressing inequalities, promoting healthy relationships, and improving awareness of the risks posed by using digital technology. This section reviews evidence about the effectiveness of RSE in achieving these outcomes. Many studies on RSE are international and there are relatively few that focus just on the UK.<sup>20</sup> As RSE programmes historically focussed on public health outcomes (such as reducing unplanned teenage pregnancy), more evidence is available on the effect of RSE on these outcomes than on others (such as reducing partner violence).<sup>21-23</sup> RSE has been proposed as a way to achieve other objectives, such as increasing awareness of female genital mutilation (FGM) and forced marriage.<sup>24-26</sup> However, this POSTnote will consider only the four broad outcomes outlined above.

**Improving Public Health***Reducing Teenage Pregnancy Rates*

Since 1999 the number of teenage pregnancies in the UK has declined and is now at the lowest level since records began in 1969.<sup>27,28</sup> However, the UK continues to have one of the highest rates of teenage pregnancy in Europe.<sup>29</sup> It is difficult to isolate the effect of RSE on teenage pregnancy rates as studies often examine multiple interventions at the same time.<sup>30,31</sup> Other factors, such as rising educational attainment among girls, may also account, at least partially, for declining levels of teenage pregnancy.<sup>27,32,33</sup>

A 2009 review of initiatives that attempted to change knowledge and behaviours in different policy areas (for

example, smoking prevention) suggests that increasing people's knowledge about risks can influence their behaviour.<sup>34</sup> Multiple studies have found that RSE can increase young people's knowledge about sexual risks (such as getting pregnant).<sup>20,35-37</sup> There is also evidence that RSE can lead to increased use of contraceptives<sup>36,38,39</sup> and reduced numbers of sexual partners.<sup>36,39,40</sup> RSE may also delay the point at which people first have sex<sup>36,39</sup> and decrease rates of teenage pregnancy.<sup>26,38</sup>

*Reducing Rates of Sexually Transmitted Infections (STIs)*

Young people aged 15–24 years have the highest number of STI diagnoses of any age group.<sup>41</sup> A 2017 report from Public Health England states that statutory high-quality RSE may equip young people with information and skills to improve their sexual health.<sup>41</sup> A survey of over 3,000 people aged 17–24 years in the UK found that people who said their main source of information about sex was school (rather than parents or peers, for example) were less likely to report having been diagnosed with an STI or having had unsafe sex in the past year.<sup>42</sup> Despite this, reviews report that RSE interventions do not reduce STI prevalence among young people.<sup>26,43,44</sup> However, according to UNESCO, there are few high-quality studies in this area.<sup>23</sup>

**Addressing Inequalities**

The 2010 Equality Act obliges schools (as public bodies) to work to eliminate discrimination and advance equal opportunities.<sup>45</sup> The prevalence of sexual abuse and negative sexual health outcomes (such as STI incidence or unplanned teenage pregnancy) is disproportionately higher in some groups. RSE has been suggested as a means for addressing these inequalities.<sup>37</sup> There is limited research in this area and the effectiveness of RSE on addressing inequalities has not yet been fully evaluated.<sup>23</sup>

- **People with Special Educational Needs (SEN)** are at greater risk of sexual exploitation and online sexual harassment.<sup>46,47</sup> Teaching RSE to people with SEN can increase knowledge about sexual abuse and improve skills, such as refusing sexual activity.<sup>48</sup> However, when participants are put in real-life situations, studies find that they do not consistently use these skills.<sup>48</sup>
- **People from socioeconomically deprived groups** experience higher rates of teenage pregnancy and child sexual abuse, especially children in care.<sup>27,49-53</sup> One review found that interventions that tackle social disadvantage, such as providing academic support or family counselling, can significantly reduce pregnancy rates.<sup>31</sup>
- **People who identify as lesbian, gay, bisexual or trans (LGBT)**<sup>54</sup> have been shown to be at greater risk of sexual violence,<sup>55,56</sup> physical violence,<sup>57</sup> poor mental health,<sup>58</sup> teenage pregnancy,<sup>59</sup> and STI incidence.<sup>3,60</sup> Surveys indicate that current RSE teaching tends to neglect discussion about LGBT sexual and gender identities.<sup>61-64</sup> RSE that is inclusive of LGBT identities may change sexual behaviour; one study found that LGBT young people who receive inclusive RSE teaching report fewer sexual partners than those without inclusive teaching.<sup>65</sup>

## Healthier Relationships

### *Reducing Levels of Sexual Abuse*

Young people with less knowledge about relationships or sex may be prone to victimisation as they may not understand that the abuse happening to them is wrong.<sup>6</sup> A 2015 study by the Children's Commissioner found that over a quarter of adult survivors of child sexual abuse did not realise that they were being abused at the time.<sup>66</sup> A survey of English schools by the Children's Commissioner found that over a third of teachers thought that children did not know enough about sexual abuse.<sup>67</sup>

Many international organisations suggest that a rights-based approach, where young people are taught about their rights in relation to their body, could reduce child sexual abuse.<sup>3,6,23</sup> However, there are few evaluations of RSE programmes addressing child sexual abuse. Two reviews found that RSE can help children to recognise inappropriate behaviour, improve knowledge of self-protection, increase protective behaviours (such as rejecting inappropriate requests from strangers), and increase disclosure of sexual abuse.<sup>68,69</sup>

### *Reducing Levels of Intimate Partner Violence*

Partner abuse affects people of all genders and sexualities.<sup>70</sup> However, women and girls are at particular risk of violence from male partners and attitudes about gender inequality influence violent behaviour.<sup>71</sup> Teaching girls to protect themselves from sexual violence has been shown to be ineffective in reducing abuse but a school-wide approach that makes violent behaviours unacceptable may reduce unhealthy relationship attitudes (see 'Whole School Approach').<sup>72</sup> Evidence indicates that programmes that explicitly address gender inequality (by confronting beliefs that support male authority over women, for example) are more effective in reducing partner violence and changing sexual behaviour, such as increasing condom use.<sup>23,73-76</sup>

Evidence suggests that there is a lack of knowledge among young people about abusive relationships and consent.<sup>77</sup> For example, a 2015 European survey of 4,500 young people aged 14–17 years found that in England 41% of girls and 14% of boys had experienced some form of sexual violence from partners.<sup>78</sup> Of the boys in England that were surveyed, 22% had pressured girls into unwanted sexual behaviour.<sup>78</sup> Some RSE programmes address abuse and consent. However, it is not consistently covered in schools.<sup>79</sup> A number of RSE programmes that address abuse have been evaluated (Box 2). Two reviews report evidence that such programmes are more effective at changing knowledge or attitudes rather than behaviour.<sup>26,80</sup>

## Improving Digital Awareness

Challenges relating to the use of digital technology include cyberbullying, sharing nude photos, and negative influences of online pornography.<sup>81</sup> Several organisations have suggested that RSE should teach young people how to stay safe online and counter negative influences of pornography on attitudes towards relationships, sex, and consent.<sup>77,82-84</sup>

### **Box 2. RSE Programmes Addressing Intimate Partner Violence**

A number of RSE programmes addressing intimate partner violence have been evaluated and found to be effective. Such evaluations often rely upon people reporting incidents of abuse. This can lead to underreporting of abuse as people may be unwilling to report abusive behaviours that they have experienced or perpetrated after being told that these behaviours are socially undesirable.<sup>85</sup>

**Tender's Healthy Relationships:** The UK arts charity Tender works to reduce intimate partner violence in young people's relationships. Its Healthy Relationships programme, delivered by third sector specialists, has been run in 250 schools and comprises ten hours of workshops using activities, games, and drama to inform young people about relationship abuse. Two independent evaluations found that young people who received the programme reported an increase in their knowledge about abuse and in their self-assessed ability to deal with abusive situations.<sup>86,87</sup>

**Fourth R:** This Canadian programme is a curriculum of 21 lessons delivered by a trained teacher. Teaching about intimate partner violence is integrated with lessons about healthy relationships and sexual health. The programme develops relationship skills to promote safer decision-making and has been implemented in over 2,000 schools. Independent evaluations found that:

- young people who had received the programme reported lower levels of partner violence compared to those who did not,<sup>75</sup>
- condom use was higher among young men who had completed the programme,<sup>75</sup>
- effects were present 2.5 years after the end of the programme.<sup>75</sup>

**Safe Dates:** The USA-based Safe Dates programme is a curriculum of ten 45-minute lessons taught by trained teachers. It also includes a student-produced theatre performance and a poster competition based on the curriculum content. Independent evaluations found that:

- young people who took part were less likely to report perpetrating, or being victims of, partner violence (physical and sexual) compared with those who did not take part,<sup>88</sup>
- the programme reduced other forms of self-reported violent behaviour, such as weapon carrying,<sup>89</sup>
- effects were present four years after the end of the programme.<sup>88</sup>

### *Raising Awareness about Risks of Sharing Nude Images*

A 2017 survey of 2,000 girls found that 25% of respondents aged 18–21 years saw sending nude photos as a normal part of a relationship and 16% felt pressured to send them.<sup>90</sup> Nude photos may be used in 'revenge porn' (publishing a photo online to humiliate the subject, now an offence under the Criminal Justice and Courts Act 2015)<sup>91</sup> or 'sextortion' (threatening to post a photo to blackmail the subject).<sup>92</sup> RSE's role in raising awareness of the risks of sharing nude images has not yet been evaluated.

### *Raising Awareness of Effects of Using Pornography*

A 2017 survey of 1,000 young people found that 28% of those aged 11–12 years and 65% of those aged 15–16 years had seen online pornography.<sup>93</sup> The same survey found that 42% of 15–16 year olds wanted to emulate practices seen in pornography.<sup>93</sup> A review by the Children's Commissioner found that pornography use was linked to unrealistic attitudes about sex.<sup>94</sup> Frequent exposure to pornography has also been linked to increased perpetration of sexual harassment and risky sexual behaviour, such as not using condoms.<sup>95-98</sup> RSE's role in countering these effects has not yet been evaluated.

## Principles of Effective RSE

Evidence for effective RSE comes from evaluations of successful programmes alongside reflections from teaching staff and young people.<sup>99</sup> Stakeholders (including Ofsted and UNESCO) have suggested a number of principles for effective RSE teaching.<sup>1,23,99</sup> Eight of the most commonly cited are presented below.

### Timeliness and Age Appropriateness

A key concern is the age at which information is provided to children and young people.<sup>99</sup> RSE has most impact when lessons come prior to young people experiencing situations in real life.<sup>1,4,100</sup> For example, it is easier to change young people's sexual behaviour before they have had sex.<sup>35,40</sup> Ofsted and UNESCO have called for age-appropriate teaching about puberty and sexual intercourse before a young person experiences them.<sup>1,4</sup>

### Frequency and Regularity

Successful RSE is embedded into regular curriculum (Box 2). Longer and more regular RSE programmes are more effective.<sup>50,101</sup> UNESCO recommends that RSE programmes should have a minimum of 12 annual sessions and continue over sequential years.<sup>23</sup> Students and teachers support a curriculum which revisits topics regularly throughout school stages.<sup>64,100</sup> Stakeholders suggest that if PSHE were also made statutory, RSE could be taught as a key component and may receive more curriculum time.<sup>26,102</sup>

### Delivery by Trained Professionals

RSE is more effective and cost-effective when delivered by trained adults rather than student peers.<sup>103-105</sup> However, many teachers have limited formal training in RSE (health and wellbeing typically forms less than 5% of overall teacher training course content) and may not be adequately informed.<sup>99,106</sup> Although teachers are essential to regular RSE lessons, surveys of students indicate that they also benefit from outside experts who are seen as impartial and non-judgemental.<sup>80,90,107</sup>

### Input of Young People

A rights-based approach to RSE advocates for young people having a say in what they are taught.<sup>99</sup> The charity Barnardo's suggests that involving young people in developing RSE programmes increases its perceived authenticity and credibility, potentially leading to better outcomes.<sup>50</sup> A survey by the sexual health charity Brook found that 47% of young people said that lessons do not cover what they need to know about sex and 72% want schools to listen to them about what should be taught.<sup>108</sup>

## LGBT Inclusivity

LGBT young people report feeling excluded from RSE when it only focusses on heterosexual relationships and restricted gender identities.<sup>64</sup> Making RSE more inclusive may promote healthier sexual behaviour among LGBT people.<sup>59</sup> However, Ofsted notes that teachers often lack training to teach about sexuality.<sup>1</sup> A Stonewall survey found that homophobic/transphobic language was pervasive in UK schools amongst students and staff, with 36% of secondary school teachers reporting hearing such language from other school staff.<sup>109</sup> The survey also indicated that teachers felt unprepared to tackle discrimination, with 55% of secondary school teachers reporting that they did not always challenge homophobic/transphobic language.<sup>109</sup>

### Links with Community Services

Successful RSE often has links with community or health services (such as sexual health clinics or charities providing advice to victims or perpetrators of abuse).<sup>101,110,111</sup> Stakeholders suggest that RSE should be combined with appropriate safeguarding measures because it may lead to increased disclosures about abuse.<sup>67,68,80,100,112</sup> Young people and teachers have expressed the need for a confidential space to talk about issues relating to RSE.<sup>80,100</sup> Schools have a legal responsibility for safeguarding and have guidance on making safeguarding reports.<sup>113</sup> However, the Children's Commissioner suggests that not all schools have a confidential place for disclosures or a designated person that students can go to if they have a concern.<sup>67</sup>

### Whole School Approach

Young people are influenced by what they believe is the typical behaviour of their peers (known as norms) and are more willing to engage in risky sexual behaviour if they believe that others are doing so.<sup>114</sup> RSE effectiveness can be undermined if young people are exposed to norms, such as homophobia or sexual harassment, in school.<sup>81,115</sup> A Whole School Approach, where RSE values (such as gender equality and being inclusive) are embedded into wider school policies or other curriculum subjects, has been suggested as a way to address harmful norms.<sup>23,80,99,100</sup>

### Family Involvement

Few evaluated RSE programmes have included families. However, involving families can increase RSE's effectiveness.<sup>23,68,99,116</sup> For example, a trial version of the Safe Dates programme (Box 2) included families through mailed booklets and telephone calls with a health educator.<sup>117</sup> It improved communication skills in families and also decreased young people's reported physical violence from intimate partners.<sup>117</sup> Stakeholders suggest that including families in the development of RSE and sharing lesson materials may increase RSE's effectiveness.<sup>23,99</sup>

## Endnotes

- 1 Ofsted (2013). [Not yet good enough: Personal, social, health and economic education in schools](#). Ofsted.
- 2 House of Commons Education Committee (2015). [Life lessons: PSHE and SRE in schools](#). TSO.
- 3 European Parliament Policy Department C (2016). [Sexual and reproductive health and rights](#). European Parliament.

- 4 UNESCO (2015). [Emerging evidence, lessons and practice in comprehensive sexuality education: A global review](#). UNESCO.
- 5 Welsh Government (2017). [The Future of the Sex and Relationships Education curriculum in Wales: Recommendations of the Sex and Relationships education expert panel](#). Welsh Government.
- 6 Council of Europe (2011). [Protecting children from sexual violence: A comprehensive approach](#). Council of Europe.

- 7 United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child (2016). [Concluding Observations on the fifth periodic report of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland](#). United Nations.
- 8 UK Government (2017). [Children and Social Work Act 2017](#). TSO.
- 9 House of Commons Library (2017). [Sex and Relationships education in schools \(England\)](#). House of Commons.
- 10 Department for Education (2017). [Changes to the teaching of Sex and Relationship Education and PSHE: A call for evidence](#). Department for Education.
- 11 Department for Education and Employment (2000). [Sex and relationship education guidance](#). Department for Education and Employment.
- 12 Welsh Assembly Government (2010). [Sex and relationships education in schools](#). Welsh Assembly Government.
- 13 Scottish Government (2014). [Conduct of Relationships, Sexual Health and Parenthood Education in schools](#). Scottish Government.
- 14 Northern Ireland Department of Education (2015). [Relationships and sexuality education \(RSE\) guidance](#). Northern Ireland Department of Education.
- 15 Estyn (2017). [A review of healthy relationships education](#). Estyn.
- 16 Department for Education (2014). [National curriculum](#). Department for Education.
- 17 Department for Education and Employment (2000). [Sex and relationship education guidance](#). Department for Education and Employment.
- 18 Learning Wales (2014). [Curriculum for Wales](#). Welsh Government.
- 19 Education Scotland (2004). [A curriculum for excellence](#). Scottish Government.
- 20 Wight, D. (2011). [The effectiveness of school-based sex education: What do rigorous evaluations in Britain tell us?](#) Education and Health.
- 21 Skinner, R. & Marino, J. (2016). [England's teenage pregnancy strategy: A hard-won success](#). The Lancet.
- 22 Department of Health (2013). [A framework for sexual health improvement in England](#). Department of Health.
- 23 UNESCO (2018). [International technical guidance on sexuality education: An evidence-informed approach](#). UNESCO.
- 24 UK Government (2016). [Multi-agency statutory guidance on female genital mutilation](#). TSO.
- 25 Brook, PSHE Association & Sex Education Forum (2014). [Sex and relationships education \(SRE\) for the 21<sup>st</sup> century: Supplementary advice to the Sex and Relationship Education Guidance](#). Brook, PSHE Association & Sex Education Forum.
- 26 Salam, R., Faqqah, A., Sajjad, N., Lassi, Z., Das, J., Kaufman, M. & Bhutta, Z. (2016). [Improving adolescent sexual and reproductive health: A systematic review of potential interventions](#). Journal of Adolescent Health.
- 27 Wellings, K., Palmer, M., Geary, R., Gibson, L., Copas, A., Datta, J., Glasier, A., Scott, R., Mercer, C., Erens, B., Maccowall, W., French, R., Jones, K., Tanton, C. & Wilkinson, P. (2016). [Changes in conceptions in women younger than 18 years and the circumstances of young mothers in England in 2000–12: An observational study](#). The Lancet.
- 28 Office for National Statistics (2017). [Conceptions in England and Wales: 2015](#). Office for National Statistics.
- 29 Office for National Statistics (2016). [Live births women aged 'Under 18' and 'Under 20'. \(per 1,000 women aged 15 to 17 and 15 to 19\) in EU28 countries, 2004, 2013 and 2014](#). Office for National Statistics.
- 30 Kirby, D. (2007). [Emerging Answers 2007: Research findings on programs to reduce teen pregnancy and sexually transmitted diseases](#). National Campaign to Prevent Teen and Unplanned Pregnancy.
- 31 Harden, A., Brunton, G., Fletcher, A. & Oakley, A. (2009). [Teenage pregnancy and social disadvantage: systematic review integrating controlled trials and qualitative studies](#). BMJ.
- 32 Girma, S. & Paton, D. (2015). [Is education the best contraception: The case of teenage pregnancy in England?](#) Social Science and Medicine.
- 33 Wellings, K., Jones, K., Mercer, C., Tanton, C., Clifton, S., Datta, J., Copas, A., Erens, B., Gibson, L., Maccowall, W., Sonnenberg, P., Phelps, A. & Johnson A. (2013). [The prevalence of unplanned pregnancy and associated factors in Britain: findings from the third National Survey of Sexual Attitudes and Lifestyles \(Natsal-3\)](#). The Lancet.
- 34 Stead, M., Gordon, R., Holme, I., Moodie, C., Hastings, G. & Angus, K. (2009). [Changing attitudes, knowledge and behaviour: A review of successful initiatives](#). Joseph Rowntree Foundation.
- 35 Lazarus, J., Sihvonen-Riemenschneider, H., Laukamm-Josten, U., Wong, F. & Liljestrand, J. (2010). [Systematic review of interventions to prevent the spread of sexually transmitted infections, including HIV, among young people in Europe](#). Croatian Medical Journal.
- 36 Fonner, V., Armstrong, K., Kennedy, C., O'Reilly, K. & Sweat, M. (2004). [School based sex education and HIV prevention in low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review and meta-analysis](#). PLoS One.
- 37 Shepherd, J., Kavanagh, J., Picot, J., Cooper, K., Harden, A., Barnett-Page, E., Jones, J., Clegg, A., Hartwell, D., Frampton, G. & Price, A. (2010). [The effectiveness and cost effectiveness of behavioural interventions for the prevention of sexually transmitted infections in young people aged 13–19: A systematic review and economic evaluation](#). Health Technology Assessment.
- 38 Oringanje, C., Meremikwu, C., Eko, H., Esu, E., Meremikwu, A. & Ehiri, J. (2016). [Interventions for preventing unintended pregnancies among adolescents](#). Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews.
- 39 Kirby, D. (2008). [The impact of abstinence and comprehensive sex and STD/HIV education programs on adolescent sexual behaviour](#). Sexuality Research & Social Policy.
- 40 Paul-Ebhohimhen, V., Poobalan, A. & van Teijlingen, E. (2008). [A systematic review of school-based sexual health interventions to prevent STI/HIV in sub-Saharan Africa](#). BMC Public Health.
- 41 Public Health England (2017). [Sexually transmitted infections and chlamydia screening in England, 2016](#). Public Health England.
- 42 Maccowall, W., Jones, K., Tanton, C., Clifton, S., Copas, A., Mercer, C., Palmer, M., Lewis, R., Datta, J., Mitchell, K., Field, N., Sonnenberg, P., Johnson, A. & Wellings, K. (2015). [Associations between source of information about sex and sexual health outcomes in Britain: Findings from the third National Survey of Sexual Attitudes and Lifestyles \(Natsal-3\)](#). BMJ Open.
- 43 Picot, J., Shepherd, J., Kavanagh, J., Cooper, K., Harden, A., Barnett-Page, E., Jones, J., Clegg, A., Hartwell, D. & Frampton, G. (2012). [Behavioural interventions for the prevention of sexually transmitted infections in young people aged 13–19 years: a systematic review](#). Health Education Research.
- 44 Sani, A., Abraham, C., Denford, S. & Ball, S. (2016). [School-based sexual health education interventions to prevent STI/HIV in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis](#). BMC Public Health.
- 45 UK Government (2010). [Equality Act 2010](#). TSO.
- 46 Franklin, A., Raws, P. & Smeaton, E. (2015). [Unprotected, overprotected: Meeting the needs of young people with learning disabilities who experience, or are at risk of, sexual exploitation](#). Barnardo's Northern Ireland.
- 47 Wells, M. & Mitchell, K. (2013). [Patterns of internet use and risk of online victimization for youth with and without disabilities](#). The Journal of Special Education.
- 48 Schaafsma, S., Kok, G., Stoffelen, M. & Curfs, L. (2015). [Identifying effective methods for teaching sex education to individuals with intellectual disabilities: A systematic review](#). Journal of Sex Research.
- 49 Allen, E., Bonell, C., Strange, V., Copas, A., Stephenson, J., Johnson, A. & Oakley, A. (2007). [Does the UK government's teenage pregnancy strategy deal with the correct risk factors? Findings from a secondary analysis of data from a randomised trial of sex education and their implications for policy](#). Journal of Epidemiological Community Health.
- 50 Bovarnick, S. & Scott, S. (2016). [Child sexual exploitation prevention education: A rapid evidence assessment](#). University of Bedfordshire, DMSS & Barnardo's.
- 51 Fallon, D. & Broadhurst, K. (2015). [A comprehensive review of the literature and critical appraisal of intervention studies](#). Coram.
- 52 Berelowitz, S. (2012). [Briefing for the Rt Hon Michael Gove MP, Secretary of State for Education, on the emerging findings of the Office of the Children's Commissioner's inquiry into child sexual exploitation in gangs and groups, with a special focus on children in care](#). Office of the Children's Commissioner.
- 53 Palmer, T. (2015). [Digital dangers: The impact of technology on the sexual abuse and exploitation of children and young people](#). Barnardo's & the Marie Collins Foundation.
- 54 LGBT+ is a more inclusive term used to reflect the diversity of sexual and gender identities beyond lesbian, gay, bisexual, and trans (LGBT). This can include, for example, people who identify as asexual or intersex. However, research (including research cited in this POSTnote) tends to focus on the LGBT subset. Therefore the term LGBT is used throughout.
- 55 Maccowall, W., Gibson, L., Tanton, C., Mercer, C., Lewis, R., Clifton, S., Field, N., Datta, J., Mitchell, K., Sonnenberg, P., Erens, B., Copas, A., Phelps, A., Prah, P., Johnson, A. & Wellings, K. (2013). [Lifetime prevalence, associated factors, and circumstances of non-volitional sex in women and men in Britain: findings from the third National Survey of Sexual Attitudes and Lifestyles \(Natsal-3\)](#). The Lancet.
- 56 Pathela, P. & Schillinger, J. (2010). [Sexual behaviors and sexual violence: adolescents with opposite-, same-, or both-sex partners](#). Pediatrics.
- 57 Barter, C., McCarty, M., Berridge, D. & Evans, K. (2009). [Partner exploitation and violence in teenage intimate relationships](#). NSPCC.
- 58 Semlyen, J., King, M., Varney, J. & Hagger-Johnson, G. (2016). [Sexual orientation and symptoms of common mental disorder or low wellbeing: Combined meta-analysis of 12 UK population health surveys](#). BMC Psychiatry.
- 59 Saewyc, E., Poon, C., Homma, Y. & Skay, C. (2008). [Stigma management? The links between enacted stigma and teen pregnancy trends among gay, lesbian, and bisexual students in British Columbia](#). Canadian Journal of Human Sexuality.
- 60 Formby, E. (2015). [Sexuality education with LGBT young people](#). In: Ponzetti, J. (ed). [Evidence-based approaches to sexuality education: A global perspective](#). Routledge.

- 61 Formby, E. (2011). [Sex and relationships education, sexual health, and lesbian, gay and bisexual sexual cultures: Views from young people](#). Sex Education, Sexuality, Society and Learning.
- 62 Zero Tolerance (2017). [‘He’s the stud and she’s the slut’: Young people’s attitudes to pornography, sex and relationships](#). Zero Tolerance.
- 63 Estes, M. (2017). [‘If there’s one benefit, you’re not going to get pregnant’: The sexual miseducation of gay, lesbian, and bisexual individuals](#). Sex Roles.
- 64 Terrence Higgins Trust (2016). [Shh... No talking: LGBT-inclusive sex and relationships education in the UK](#). Terrence Higgins Trust.
- 65 Blake, S., Ledsy, R., Lehman, T., Goodenow, C., Sawyer, R. & Hack, T. (2001). [Preventing sexual risk behaviors among gay, lesbian, and bisexual adolescents: The benefits of gay-sensitive HIV instruction in schools](#). American Journal of Public Health.
- 66 Children’s Commissioner (2015). [Protecting children from harm: A critical assessment of child sexual abuse in the family network in England and priorities for action](#). Children’s Commissioner.
- 67 Children’s Commissioner (2017). [Preventing child sexual abuse: The role of schools](#). Children’s Commissioner.
- 68 Radford, L., Richardson-Foster, H., Barter, C. & Stanley, N. (2017). [Rapid evidence assessment: What can be learnt from other jurisdictions about preventing and responding to child sexual abuse](#). Review for Independent Inquiry into Child Sexual Abuse.
- 69 Walsh, K, Zwi, K., Woolfenden, S. & Shlonsky, A. (2015). [School-based education programmes for the prevention of child sexual abuse](#). Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews.
- 70 Ard, K. & Makadon, H. (2011). [Addressing intimate partner violence in lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender patients](#). Journal of General Internal Medicine.
- 71 World Health Organisation (2013). [Global and regional estimates of violence against women: Prevalence and health effects of intimate partner violence and non-partner sexual violence](#). World Health Organisation.
- 72 Lundgren, R. & Amin, A. (2015). [Addressing intimate partner violence and sexual violence among adolescents: Emerging evidence of effectiveness](#). Journal of Adolescent Health.
- 73 Haberland, N. (2015). [The case for addressing gender and power in sexuality and HIV education: A comprehensive review of evaluation studies](#). International Perspectives on Sexual and Reproductive Health.
- 74 Heise, L. (2011). [What works to prevent partner violence? An evidence review](#). STRIVE.
- 75 Wolfe, D., Crooks, C., Jaffe, P., Chiodo, D., Hughes, R., Ellis, W., Stitt, L. & Donner, A. (2009). [A school-based program to prevent adolescent dating violence: A cluster randomized trial](#). Archives of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine.
- 76 Yakubovich, A., Stöckl, H., Murray, J., Melendez-Torres, G., Steinert, J., Glavin, C. & Humphreys, D. (2017) [Prospective risk and protective factors for intimate partner violence victimisation among women: A systematic review and meta-analysis](#). The Lancet.
- 77 Coy, M., Kelly, L., Elvines, F., Garner, M. & Kanyeredzi, A. (2013). [‘Sex without consent, I suppose that is rape’: How young people in England understand sexual consent](#). Office of the Children’s Commissioner.
- 78 Stanley, N., Barter, C., Wood, M., Aghtae, N., Larkins, C., Lanau, A. & Overlien, C. (2016). [Pornography, sexual coercion and abuse and sexting in young people’s intimate relationships: A European study](#). Journal of Interpersonal Violence.
- 79 Sex Education Forum (2016). [Heads or tails? What young people are telling us about SRE](#). National Children’s Bureau.
- 80 Stanley, N., Ellis, J., Farrelly, N., Hollinghurst, S. & Downe, S. (2015). [Preventing domestic abuse for children and young people: A review of school-based interventions](#). Children and Youth Services Review.
- 81 House of Commons Women and Equalities Committee (2016). [Sexual harassment and sexual violence in schools](#). TSO.
- 82 Kidron, B et al. (2017). [Digital childhood: Addressing childhood development milestones in the digital environment](#). 5Rights & Middlesex University.
- 83 Women’s Aid (2014). [Virtual world, real fear: Women’s Aid report into online abuse, harassment and stalking](#). Women’s Aid.
- 84 Livingstone, S. & Mason, J. (2015). [Sexual rights and sexual risks among youth online: A review of existing knowledge regarding children and young people’s developing sexuality in relation to new media environments](#). European NGO Alliance for Child Safety Online.
- 85 Visschers, J., Jaspaert, E. & Vervaeke, G. (2015). [Social desirability in intimate partner violence and relationship satisfaction reports: An exploratory analysis](#). Journal of Interpersonal Violence.
- 86 DMSS (2012). [Tender’s healthy relationship education in schools funded by Comic Relief](#). DMSS.
- 87 Sanders-McDonough, E., Rogers, A., Horvath, M. & Selwood, S. (2015). [Evaluation report of the Tender healthy relationships project national partnership programme](#). Middlesex University.
- 88 Foshee, V., Bauman, K., Ennett, S., Linder, F., Benefield, T. & Suchindran, C. (2004). [Assessing the long-term effects of the Safe Dates Program and a booster in preventing and reducing adolescent dating violence victimization and perpetration](#). American Journal of Public Health.
- 89 Foshee, V., McNaughton Reyes, L., Agnew-Brune, C., Simon, T., Vagi, K., Lee, R. & Suchindran, C. (2014). [The effects of the evidence-based Safe Dates dating abuse prevention program on other youth violence outcomes](#). Prevention Science.
- 90 Girl Guiding (2017). [Girls’ Attitudes Survey 2017](#). Girl Guiding.
- 91 House of Commons Library (2017). [Online harassment and cyber bullying](#). House of Commons.
- 92 Wolak, J., Finkelhor D., Walsh, W. & Treitman, L. (2017). [Sextortion of minors: Characteristics and dynamics](#). Journal of Adolescent Health.
- 93 Martellozzo, E., Monaghan, A., Adler, J., Davidson, J., Leyva, R. & Horvath, M. (2017). [‘I wasn’t sure it was normal to watch it’: A quantitative and qualitative examination of the impact of online pornography on the values, attitudes, beliefs and behaviours of children and young people](#). NSPCC & Children’s Commissioner.
- 94 Horvath, M., Alys, L., Massey, K., Pina, A., Scally, M. & Alder, J. (2014). [‘Basically... porn is everywhere’: A rapid evidence assessment on the effects that access and exposure to pornography has on children and young people](#). Children’s Commissioner.
- 95 Brown, J. & L’Engle, K. (2009). [X-Rated: Sexual attitudes and behaviors associated with US early adolescents’ exposure to sexually explicit media](#). Communications Research.
- 96 Flood, M. (2009). [The harms of pornography exposure among children and young people](#). Child Abuse Review.
- 97 Smith, L., Liu, B., Degenhardt, L., Richters, J., Patton, G., Wand, H., Cross, D., Hocking, J., Skinner, R., Cooper, S., Lumby, C., Kaldor, J. & Guy, R. (2016). [Is sexual content in new media linked to sexual risk behaviour in young people? A systematic review and meta-analysis](#). Sexual Health.
- 98 Harkness, E., Mullan, B. & Blaszczyński, A. (2015). [Association between pornography use and sexual risk behaviors in adult consumers: A systematic review](#). Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking.
- 99 PSHE Association (2016). [Key principles of effective prevention education](#). CEOP & PSHE Association.
- 100 Pound, P., Denford, S., Shucksmith, J., Tanton, C., Johnson, A., Owen, J., Hutten, R., Mohan, L., Bonell, C., Abraham, C. & Campbell, R. (2017). [What is best practice in sex and relationship education? A synthesis of evidence, including stakeholders’ views](#). BMJ Open.
- 101 Denford, S., Abraham, C., Campbell, R. & Busse, H. (2017). [A comprehensive review of reviews of school-based interventions to improve sexual-health](#). Psychology Review.
- 102 FPA (2016). [Sex and relationships education policy](#). FPA.
- 103 Kim, C. & Free, C. (2008). [Recent evaluations of the peer-led approach in adolescent sexual health education: A systematic review](#). International Family Planning Perspectives.
- 104 Tolli, M. (2012). [Effectiveness of peer education interventions for HIV prevention, adolescent pregnancy prevention and sexual health promotion for young people: A systematic review of European studies](#). Health Education Research.
- 105 Dei Jur, L. (2016). [The efficacy of HIV and ex education interventions among youths in developing countries: A review](#). Public Health Research.
- 106 Sex Education Forum (2010). [Does Sex and Relationships Education work? National Children’s Bureau](#).
- 107 Pound, P., Langford, R. & Campbell, R. (2016). [What do young people think about their school-based Sex and Relationship Education? A qualitative synthesis of young people’s views and experiences](#). BMJ Open.
- 108 Brook (2011). [Sex and Relationships Education fit for the 21<sup>st</sup> century: We need it now](#). Brook.
- 109 Guasp, A., Ellison, G. & Satara, T. (2014). [Homophobic bullying in Britain’s schools in 2014](#). Stonewall, YouGov & The Monument Trust.
- 110 UNESCO (2011). [Cost and cost-effectiveness analysis of school-based sexuality education programmes in six countries](#). UNESCO.
- 111 Barter, C. & Stanley, N. (2016). [Inter-personal violence and abuse in adolescent intimate relationships: mental health impact and implications for practice](#). International Review of Psychiatry.
- 112 Densley, J., Adler, J., Zhu, L. & Lambine, M. (2016). [Growing against gangs and violence \(GAGV\): Findings from a process and outcome evaluation](#). Middlesex University.
- 113 Department for Education (2015). [Keeping children safe in education: Statutory guidance for schools and colleges](#). Department for Education.
- 114 Buhi, E. & Goodson, P. (2007). [Predictors of adolescent sexual behavior and intention: A theory-guided systematic review](#). Journal of Adolescent Health.
- 115 Stonewall (2017). [School report: The experience of lesbian, gay, bi and trans young people in Britain’s schools in 2017](#). Stonewall.
- 116 Jones, L., Bates, G., Downing, J., Sumnall, H. & Bellis, M. (2009). [A review of the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of personal, social and health education in primary schools focusing on sex and relationships and alcohol](#)

---

[education for young people aged 5 to 11 years](#). Liverpool JMU Centre for Public Health.

<sup>117</sup> Foshee, V., McNaughton Reyes, H., Ennett, S., Cance, J., Bauman, K. & Bowling, M. (2012). [Assessing the effects of families for Safe Dates, a family-based teen dating abuse prevention program](#). Journal of Adolescent Health.