

Empowering Young People Pilot Interim Evaluation

Baseline Survey

Joseph Hewton, Maya Agur and Kerry Sproston
National Centre for Social Research



Research Report No
DCSF-RW064

*Empowering Young People
Pilot Interim Evaluation
Baseline Survey*

*Joseph Hewton, Maya Agur and Kerry Sproston
National Centre for Social Research*

The views expressed in this report are the authors' and do not necessarily reflect those of the Department for Children, Schools and Families.

© National Centre for Social Research 2008
ISBN 978 1 84775 286 4

Executive summary

- This report presents the findings from the baseline survey of an evaluation of the Empowering Young People Pilots (EYPP), which aims to encourage young people to participate in positive activities.
- The survey was carried out *before* EYPP was implemented and the aim was to assess the rates of participation at baseline, in order to measure the impact of EYPP through a follow up survey.
- EYPP is being piloted in nine local authorities. This report shows results for each LA, and also for the overall total.
- 96% of the sample had taken part in at least one activity in the four weeks preceding the survey.
- 85% of young people had taken part in sports; 54% in performing/creative arts; 33% in learning / courses; 66% had been to the theatre/cinema; 53% had visited museums/galleries; and 32% had attended youth groups.
- Rates of participation in some activities (sports, performing/creative arts and youth groups) decreased with age.
- There were some differences between white respondents and those from black and minority ethnic groups. White respondents were more likely to visit the cinema / theatre, while BME respondents were more likely to participate in learning/courses, visit museums / galleries, and also youth groups.
- 77% of the sample reported that they would like to spend more time doing these types of activities.
- 15% said that they had had some input into deciding what activities were available in their area.

Contents

1 Introduction	3
1.1 Background and aims	3
1.2 About EYPP	3
1.3 An overview of the evaluation method	4
1.4 This report.....	5
1.5 Notes.....	5
2 Chapter: Characteristics of targeted young people.....	7
2.1 Demographic characteristics.....	7
2.2 Young people's current activity status.....	9
2.2.1 Current activity status by sex.....	9
2.3 Household characteristics	10
2.3.1 Family background	10
2.3.2 Household composition	12
2.4 Young people's feelings	12
2.5 Young people's attitudes to learning	13
3 Chapter: Participation in positive activities.....	15
3.1 Any positive activities	15
3.2 Sports activities	16
3.2.1 Characteristics of those taking part	16
3.2.2 How often taken part.....	18
3.2.3 Number of different sporting activities done	18
3.2.4 Most common sporting activities	19
3.3 Performing and creative arts activities	20
3.3.1 Characteristics of those taking part	20
3.3.2 How often taken part.....	22
3.3.3 Number of different performing or creative arts activities done.....	22
3.3.4 Most common performing and creative arts activities	23
3.4 Courses and other learning-related activities	23
3.4.1 Characteristics of those taking part	23
3.4.2 How often taken part.....	25
3.4.3 Number of different courses/learning-related activities done	25
3.4.4 Most common courses and learning-related activities	26
3.5 Cinema, theatre and event activities	26
3.5.1 Characteristics of those taking part	26
3.5.2 How often taken part.....	28
3.5.3 Number of different cinema/theatre-related activities	28
3.5.4 Most common cinema, theatre and related activities	29
3.6 Museums, galleries and places of interest	29
3.6.1 Characteristics of those taking part	29
3.6.2 How often visited	31
3.6.3 Number of different museums, gallery or place of interests visited.....	32
3.6.4 Most common museums, galleries and places of interest visited	32
3.7 Youth groups and other activities.....	32
3.7.1 Characteristics of those taking part	32
3.7.2 How often taken part.....	35
3.7.3 Number of different youth groups and related activities participated in	36

3.7.4	Most common youth groups and related activities	36
3.8	Influencing what activities are going to be put on in the local area	36
3.9	Young people's attitudes local activities.....	38
3.9.1	Access to information	38
3.9.2	Satisfaction with activities.....	38
4	Chapter: Facilitators of participation	40
4.1	Whether would like to spend more time on activities	40
4.1.1	Whether would like to spend more time on activities by area	40
4.1.2	Characteristics of young people who would like to spend more time on activities	40
4.2	Facilitators of greater participation.....	43
	Appendix A - Technical report.....	45
5	Introduction	45
5.1	Background.....	45
5.2	An overview of the evaluation method	45
6	Methodology.....	47
6.1	Sampling.....	47
6.2	Questionnaire design	47
7	Fieldwork.....	48
7.1	Processes	48
7.2	Response.....	49
8	Data and analysis	50
8.1	Dataset.....	50
8.2	Weighting.....	50
	Appendix B - Survey documents.....	52
	Appendix C - The pilot areas	76

1 Introduction

1.1 Background and aims

The Department for Children Schools and Families (DCSF) has commissioned the National Centre for Social Research (NatCen) and the National Foundation for Educational Research (NFER) to carry out an evaluation of the Empowering Young People Pilots (EYPP).

EYPP is a project aimed at encouraging young people to take part in positive activities. It is currently being piloted in nine Local Authorities across England: Liverpool, Bolton, Sunderland, Durham, Lincolnshire, Cambridgeshire, Nottingham City, Camden and Tower Hamlets.

The project is aimed at young people, aged 13 to 17, from deprived backgrounds. They are given spending power (e.g. through virtual accounts accessed through and supervised by a youth work facilitator) to help them access a number of activities. EYPP operates differently in each of the pilot areas. For more information on EYPP in each area, see Appendix C.

The evaluation aims to explore the impact of EYPP on young people and to examine the following hypothesis:

'Empowering individual disadvantaged young people to take part in positive activities of their choice through access to spending power increases their participation in such activities and contributes to educational engagement and other beneficial outcomes.'

The evaluation consists of a before-and-after study of young people in the EYPP areas, as well as in three 'comparison areas'. The before stage consisted of a baseline postal questionnaire, sent to the young person via their parent/guardian. Those who chose to take part in this stage were asked whether they consented to being re-contacted 8-10 months later for a follow-up survey. In both stages, the young person is asked about the activities in which they take part, their attitudes towards activities, possible facilitators to participation in activities, and some background questions about themselves.

The follow-up study, which will be carried out via telephone interview, is intended to identify any changes in behaviour by young people since the baseline postal survey; particularly whether those in the EYPP areas have signed up for the scheme, and whether their participation in positive activities has increased (relative to those in the comparison areas). This will enable an 'impact assessment' of effect of EYPP.

In tandem to the quantitative outcome evaluation, the National Foundation for Educational Research (NFER) is carrying out a process evaluation, involving qualitative research. The aim of this is to develop an in-depth understanding of how EYPP operates, and the views of key stakeholders, particularly potential participants.

1.2 About EYPP

The Empowering Young People Pilot (EYPP) is a £14.5 million Government initiative forming part of Aiming High for Young People (HM Treasury/DCSF, 2007). This ten-year strategy sets out to transform leisure-time opportunities, activities and support services for young people in England. The role of EYPP within the strategy is to explore further the impact that giving disadvantaged young people spending power has on reducing financial barriers and increasing participation in positive activities.

Pilot projects, running in nine local authorities (LAs), are aimed at young people in school years 9 to 12 (aged 13 to 17) who are in care and/or eligible for free schools meals (or sub-groups within this bracket). Each young person receives up to £40 per month to spend on activities such as theatre trips, sports, music, dance, drama and outdoor pursuits. Young people do not have direct access to funds, but are able to access activities, transport, or ways of using equipment using

funds paid to the provider.

Through EYPP, it is intended that young people will gain 'more control and choice' over the services available to them, and that they will be encouraged and supported in their choices. Pilot projects are using various methods (for example debit cards, web-based funding, or key workers) to overcome the financial barriers faced by young people in participating in positive activities. The pilots differ in their start-up date (from October 2007 to April 2008), but all nine run until March 2009. For more detail on EYPP in each of the nine LAs see Appendix C.

1.3 An overview of the evaluation method

The outcome evaluation consists of a baseline postal survey, and then a telephone follow-up survey 8-10 months later. The survey includes a comparison sample of three LAs, as well as the nine EYPP areas. This report focuses on the EYPP areas.

The baseline postal survey was conducted between December 2007 and July 2008. Questionnaires were sent to the targeted young people in the individual pilot areas prior to the EYPP scheme launch. In the pilot areas, 15,128 cases were issued and 4,129 questionnaires were returned (a response rate of 29%).

It is, of course, likely that the survey is subject to non-response bias in that the people who returned their questionnaires are more likely than non-responders to take part in activities. **Therefore, caution should be used in treating the results as a definitive reflection on the level of activity in each of the areas.** This is not a problem for the purposes of the evaluation, whose hypothesis is to test whether respondents who participate in EYPP are doing more activities than matched non-participants (who were doing similar levels of activity at baseline). If EYPP has an impact on participation then we should detect this even if we start with a slightly biased sample. So, although the potential for bias in the baseline survey may limit what we can say about the baseline findings, it does not undermine the ability to use the data to answer the main question for which it was collected, namely, does EYPP increase participation.

The comparison areas fieldwork was conducted between June and July 2008. 3072 cases were issued and 766 questionnaires were returned (a response rate of 25%).

The self-completion questionnaire focused on the following key areas:

- Demographic characteristics of the young person;
- Types of activity participated in (within 6 groups of activities: sports, performing and creative arts; courses and other learning-related activities; cinema / theatre and events; museums / galleries and places of interest; youth groups; and other activities);
- Frequency of participation;
- General feelings and attitudes to learning.

The follow-up survey will be carried out using Computer-Assisted Telephone Interviewing (CATI). This stage of the research is intended to identify any changes in behaviour by young people since the baseline postal survey.

The follow-up questionnaire will cover similar topics to the postal survey, though with a focus on awareness of, interest in, and participation in relevant EYPP schemes in pilot areas. For example, it will aim to find out whether the young people have signed up to the scheme (and if not why not).

As the postal baseline fieldwork was staggered because of the varying EYPP launch dates, so the follow-up telephone survey will be staggered so that the period between the baseline and follow-up surveys is as uniform as possible at around 8-10 months.

Within the EYPP local authorities, we will follow up all those young people who:

- Responded to the baseline postal survey;
- Consented to being re-contacted;
- Provided a telephone number for re-contact; and
- Took part in the local EYPP scheme.

Within the EYPP areas, the evaluation will also follow-up a number of young people who met the first three criteria above but who chose not to take part in the local EYPP scheme (non-participants). These will be selected to match as closely as possible those who took part in the schemes. Matching will be carried out using a number of key variables (demographic and behavioural) from the baseline questionnaire.

In the comparison areas, we will follow up a matched sample of young people. Again, these will be matched on the basis of responses to questions in the baseline survey.

The exact number of young people re-contacted for the follow-up survey will be dependent on the proportion who signed up to the schemes, but it is expected that between 2,000 and 3,000 cases will be issued.

1.4 This report

This report describes results from the baseline survey which was carried out in the pilot areas between December 2007 and May 2008. It presents results, broken down by EYPP area, on:

- Characteristics (demographic, activity status, family background, attitudes and feelings) of the targeted young people
- Type of activities participated in (including characteristics of those taking part)
- How often taken part in activities
- Number of activities participated in
- Most common activities
- Facilitators of participation

Key differences between groups are highlighted in the text. Please note that statistical testing has not been carried out. However, a table showing sample sizes and percentage point differences required for statistical significance is shown, for reference, in Section 8.3.

Results from the three comparison sample areas are not covered in this report, but their data will be analysed as part of the follow-up analysis, as part of assessing the impact of EYPP.

1.5 Notes

1 The following conventions have been used within tables:

- no observations (zero value)
- 0 non-zero values of less than 0.5% and thus rounded to zero
- a used to warn of small sample bases, if the base is between 0 and 19.
- [] used to warn of small sample bases, if the base is 20 or more but less than 50.

2 Because of rounding, row or column percentages may not add exactly to 100%. In some tables percentages may not sum to 100% as more than one answer could be given.

3 A percentage may be quoted in the text for a single category that aggregates two or more of the percentages shown in a table. The percentage for the single category has been

recalculated, and because of rounding may differ by one percentage point from the sum of the percentages in the table.

- 4 The tables show the local authorities in the order of their geographical location from North to South.
- 5 The tables show both the weighted and unweighted bases. Percentages are based on the weighted base.
- 6 Results are shown throughout this report, broken down by respondents' age, as indicated by the respondents themselves in the questionnaire. Age was also collected from the Local Authorities, before the start of fieldwork. Since this information was available for non-responders as well as responders, it was this that was used for weighting.

2 Chapter: Characteristics of sample

2.1 Demographic characteristics

This report uses the age information given by respondents themselves, in the questionnaire. The age and sex profile of responders (using information provided by the LAs, rather than by the respondents themselves¹) is compared with that of non-responders in Section 8.2 of this report².

Nearly all (94%) of the sample were aged 16 and under. The proportion aged 17 and over varied from 0% in Liverpool through to 15% in Camden.

There was a fairly even split between males and females (52% compared with 48%). Most of the areas had similar proportions of males and females, apart from Tower Hamlets where 72% of respondents were male.

Overall, 72% of young people were white, and 28% were from black and minority ethnic groups (BME). The proportion of BME respondents varied considerably between areas. In three of the areas (Sunderland, Durham and Lincolnshire) the figure was as low as 3-4%, in Bolton and Nottingham City it was around one in three (37% and 30% respectively), while in Camden and Tower Hamlets the majority of the sample was BME (72% and 83% respectively).

Twenty per cent of young people had a long term-illness or disability, with 12% limited by their disability and 8% not limited by it. Liverpool had the highest proportion of young people with a long term-illness or disability (28%) and Camden the lowest (13%).

¹ Age information was provided by the LAs before the start of fieldwork, and is available for responders as well as non-responders. It was this - LA age - that was used for the weighting (see section 8.2). There was a small disparity between the two sets of age information, which is to be expected given that the self-report was collected at a later date (therefore the self-reported age profile is slightly older than the LA-provided information, see also Section 8.2, Table A3).

² The profile of respondents was slightly younger than that of non-respondents (53% of respondents were aged 14 and under, compared with 49% non-respondents). See Table A3, section 8.2.

Table 2.1: Characteristics of respondents by EYPP area

Characteristics of the respondent	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder- land	Durham ¹	Lincs	Cambs	Notts City	Camden	Tower Hamlets	Total
	<i>percentages</i>									
Age										
14 and under	51	39	43	51	53	51	43	34	45	45
15 to 16	49	52	51	47	45	46	52	50	52	49
17 and over	0	9	6	2	2	3	6	15	2	6
Sex										
Male	55	52	50	48	49	49	49	45	72	52
Female	45	48	50	52	51	51	51	55	28	48
Ethnic group										
White	83	63	97	96	97	89	70	28	17	72
BME	17	37	3	4	3	11	30	72	83	28
Long-term illness or disability										
Limited by disability	18	11	12	10	13	10	13	7	12	12
Not limited by disability	10	6	8	10	10	11	8	6	6	8
No disability	72	82	80	79	78	79	79	87	83	80
Age										
<i>Base - weighted</i>	268	793	589	197	624	363	536	385	360	4116
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	259	610	809	206	875	527	294	244	294	4118
Sex										
<i>Base - weighted</i>	266	789	589	197	624	364	532	383	360	4103
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	257	607	808	206	875	528	292	242	294	4109
Ethnic group										
<i>Base - weighted</i>	266	786	585	197	619	362	532	382	358	4087
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	258	605	803	206	867	526	292	242	292	4091
Long-term illness or disability										
<i>Base - weighted</i>	259	772	575	193	604	353	511	381	354	4002
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	251	594	789	202	847	513	281	241	289	4007

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

2.2 Young people's current activity status

2.2.1 Current activity status by sex

The majority of the sample reported that they were in education *only* (82%). Seven per cent were in education *and* work (or work-based training), and the same proportion answered that they were in education in combination with some other activity. Only 1% of young people said that work was their sole activity. There was little difference in current activity status between males and females.

The current activity status of young people varied between areas. Tower Hamlets had the highest proportion solely in education (94%), but the lowest in education *and* work (1%). Whilst Cambridgeshire had a relatively low proportion of young people reporting education as their sole activity (76%), it also had the highest proportion in education *and* work (12%).

Table 2.2.1: Current activity status, by sex and EYPP area										
Current activity status	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-land	Durham ¹	Lincs	Camb	Notts City	Camden	Tower Hamlets	Total
										<i>percentages</i>
Males										
In education	84	73	87	85	83	78	81	88	93	83
In education AND in work or work-based training	5	7	5	9	9	9	6	8	1	7
In education AND other current activity	5	8	5	5	7	12	8	4	5	7
In work or work-based training	2	3	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
Other	3	8	1	1	1	1	3	0	1	3
Females										
In education	83	77	86	86	78	74	78	80	96	80
In education AND in work or work-based training	3	9	4	8	11	15	6	9	2	8
In education AND other current activity	11	6	7	5	10	9	11	8	3	8
In work or work-based training	0	2	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1
Other	3	7	1	0	1	2	5	3	0	3
All										
In education	84	75	86	86	80	76	80	84	94	82
In education AND in work or work-based training	4	8	5	8	10	12	6	8	1	7
In education AND other current activity	8	7	6	5	8	11	10	6	4	7
In work or work-based training	1	2	2	1	1	0	1	0	0	1
Other	3	8	1	0	1	1	4	2	1	3
Males										
<i>Base - weighted</i>	137	386	288	92	294	177	239	168	255	2034
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	130	271	380	94	393	219	116	84	187	1874
Females										
<i>Base - weighted</i>	116	367	284	99	312	181	260	206	97	1924
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	114	308	404	106	456	299	158	152	101	2098
All										
<i>Base - weighted</i>	253	753	572	192	606	358	499	373	352	3958
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	244	579	784	200	849	518	274	236	288	3972

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

2.2.2 Current activity status by age

Young people aged 14 and under nearly all reported that their main and only current activity was education (90%). This figure decreased to 78% of those aged 15 to 16, and 53% of those aged 17 and over. A small number of people aged 16 and under reported that they were in work or work-based training - we expect that this represents a misunderstanding of the question.

Table 2.2.2: Current activity status, by age and EYPP area										
Current activity status	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunderland	Durham ¹	Lincs	Camb	Notts City	Camden	Tower Hamlets	Total
										<i>percentages</i>
Aged 14 and under										
In education	88	90	91	91	85	88	90	95	96	90
In education AND in work or work-based training	2	6	4	5	7	4	5	3	0	4
In education AND other current activity	6	3	4	4	7	6	4	0	3	4
In work or work-based training	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Other	4	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
Aged 15 to 16										
In education	81	72	83	82	76	62	75	83	92	78
In education AND in work or work-based training	7	10	5	10	13	20	7	9	2	9
In education AND other current activity	9	10	8	7	10	16	13	7	5	10
In work or work-based training	2	3	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
Other	2	5	2	1	1	2	4	1	1	2
Aged 17 and over										
In education	a	[28]	[83]	a	a	a	a	[61]	a	53
In education AND in work or work-based training	a	[6]	[8]	a	a	a	a	[20]	a	12
In education AND other current activity	a	[8]	[5]	a	a	a	a	[16]	a	11
In work or work-based training	a	[9]	[4]	a	a	a	a	[0]	a	4
Other	a	[49]	[0]	a	a	a	a	[4]	a	20
Aged 14 and under										
Base - weighted	127	293	243	97	320	182	216	128	159	1765
Base - unweighted	127	247	375	98	459	284	116	85	118	1909
Aged 15 to 16										
Base - weighted	127	393	293	90	272	164	258	189	186	1972
Base - unweighted	118	291	379	97	372	220	144	113	162	1896
Aged 17 and over										
Base - weighted	1	70	37	4	14	11	28	59	8	234
Base - unweighted	1	44	31	5	18	13	16	40	8	176

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

2.3 Household characteristics

2.3.1 Family background

Over three quarters (79%) of young people reported that they lived with their mother (including father's partner, stepmother and foster mother). Half of young people said that they lived with their father (including mother's partner / stepfather / foster father). Two-thirds reported that they lived with brothers or sisters.

Almost a quarter (22%) of young people said that they gave special help to someone they live with because this person had an illness or disability. This compares with 4% of the general population aged 16 and over (source: HSE, 2006).

The majority (70%) of young people reported that neither of their parents / guardians were in paid work. This compares with 13% among cohort 12 (sweep 1) of the Youth Cohort Survey³.

³ <http://www.dcsf.gov.uk/rsgateway/DB/SFR/s000560/index.shtml>

81% of the sample reported that they received Free School Meals (FSM). This compares with 15% according to the Tell Us2 Survey⁴, and 16% according to official figures. This difference is not surprising, given that receipt of free school meals was a key criterion for EYPP eligibility. There was some variation in the proportion of FSM recipients between areas (ranging from 72% to 91%), which may reflect the different EYPP eligibility criteria used to target young people (refer to Appendix C for criteria).

Table 2.3.1: Family background by EYPP area										
Family background	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-land	Durham ¹	Lincs	Cambs	Notts City	Camden	Tower Hamlets	Total
										percentages
Who lives in household										
Father/mother's partner/stepfather/foster father	42	53	49	59	54	46	43	47	59	50
Mother/father's partner/stepmother/foster mother	74	79	80	74	81	85	80	80	65	79
Other guardian	9	4	6	6	6	4	3	6	8	5
Brothers or sisters	61	65	69	60	67	72	71	69	64	67
Any other relative	7	6	8	4	6	4	6	6	6	6
Any other person	3	4	3	4	4	4	2	1	4	3
Young carer										
Yes	17	22	21	25	22	20	24	21	19	22
No	83	78	79	75	78	80	76	79	81	78
Working status of parents/guardians										
One or more parent/guardian in paid work	28	25	23	28	31	30	21	28	19	25
No parent/guardian in paid work	67	71	72	68	65	67	75	68	73	70
Does not live with any parent/guardian	5	4	6	4	4	3	4	4	8	5
Whether receives free school meals (FSM)										
FSM recipient	82	72	84	84	73	84	89	91	87	81
Not FSM recipient	18	27	15	15	26	15	10	7	9	17
Don't know	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	2	3	1
Who lives in household										
Base - weighted	264	766	581	195	616	359	518	377	333	4009
Base - unweighted	255	588	798	204	864	520	284	238	270	4021
Young carer										
Base - weighted	251	774	576	193	612	354	517	378	344	3997
Base - unweighted	243	596	790	203	857	513	284	239	281	4006
Working status of parents/guardians										
Base - weighted	246	728	550	177	579	341	493	353	317	3783
Base - unweighted	237	559	757	187	812	497	270	224	256	3799
Whether receives free school meals (FSM)										
Base - weighted	265	787	584	195	623	363	531	383	361	4092
Base - unweighted	257	606	803	204	873	527	291	242	294	4097

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

⁴ An online survey of over 100,000 young people in years 6, 8 and 10 (so not directly comparable with EYPP respondents in terms of age).

<http://www.ofsted.gov.uk/content/advancedsearch/summary?SearchText=tell+us+survey&SearchSectionID=-1&SubTreeArray=84>

2.3.2 Household composition

Respondents were asked 'Do you mainly live with any of the following people?' followed by a list including father/mother's partner etc; mother/father's partner etc; brothers; sisters; other relatives. A variable was derived to indicate whether respondents had ticked only one of the parent categories, or both. One in ten (12%) ticked father only; 41% ticked mother only and 38% ticked both categories.

Table 2.3.2: Household composition by EYPP area

	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-land	Durham ¹	Lincs	Cambs	Notts City	Camden	Tower Hamlets	Total
										<i>percentages</i>
Male single parent household ²	12	12	10	16	11	9	12	10	21	12
Female single parent household ³	44	38	41	32	38	48	49	43	27	41
Two parent household ⁴	30	41	39	42	43	37	30	37	38	38
Other family situation	14	9	10	9	7	6	8	10	14	9
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
Male single parent household	32	95	58	32	71	32	64	37	71	490
Female single parent household	116	290	239	62	237	173	255	163	90	1627
Two parent household	80	314	227	83	264	133	157	139	126	1523
Other family situation	36	67	58	18	45	20	41	38	46	370
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
Male single parent household	31	70	80	32	99	48	35	23	57	475
Female single parent household	112	227	328	65	330	254	139	107	73	1635
Two parent household	77	240	311	87	372	190	87	85	102	1551
Other family situation	35	51	79	20	63	28	23	23	38	360

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

² Includes father/mother's partner/stepfather/foster father

³ Includes mother/father's partner/stepmother/foster mother

⁴ Includes mother's partner/stepfather/foster father and father's partner/stepmother/foster mother

2.4 Young people's feelings

The survey included a number of measures of young people's feelings about various aspects of their life. Questions were asked using a three point response scale (agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree).

In general, the majority of respondents were happy with those aspects of their life that we asked about, with the exception of the area that they live in.

Sixty-one per cent of the sample reported that they felt happy with their appearance, though this varied somewhat by area. The proportion who felt happy with their appearance ranged from a high of 72% in Tower Hamlets, to 50-60% of respondents in Durham, Cambridgeshire and Lincolnshire.

Nearly two-thirds (65%) of young people said that they were happy with their health.

More than four in five young people reported that they were happy with their family (82%) and friends (83%).

Just under half (48%) of young people said that they felt happy with the area they lived in, and this figure varied between the pilot areas. Respondents in the London pilot areas were the most likely to be happy with their area (Camden 56%, Tower Hamlets 58%), and the least likely were those in Durham (43%) and Lincolnshire (40%).

Nearly two-thirds (65%) of young people said that they were happy with 'their life as a whole'. The proportion who said they felt unhappy with their life as a whole was similar across the pilot areas and ranged between 4% and 7%.

Table 2.4: Young people's feelings by EYPP area

How respondent feels about their...	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunderland	Durham ¹	Lincs	Cambs	Notts City	Camden	Tower Hamlets	Total
	<i>percentages</i>									
Appearance										
Happy	65	62	61	57	57	53	64	61	72	61
Neither happy nor unhappy	26	30	30	33	31	34	26	28	22	29
Unhappy	10	7	9	9	12	13	10	11	7	10
Health										
Happy	71	66	65	60	64	62	63	64	67	65
Neither happy nor unhappy	21	24	26	28	26	27	27	27	22	25
Unhappy	9	10	9	12	9	11	10	9	11	10
Family										
Happy	87	81	86	84	81	76	79	84	87	82
Neither happy nor unhappy	11	14	12	12	15	18	17	14	10	14
Unhappy	2	5	2	4	4	5	3	3	2	4
Friends										
Happy	88	83	85	84	84	83	84	78	84	83
Neither happy nor unhappy	11	15	13	13	15	14	14	18	14	14
Unhappy	2	2	2	3	2	4	2	3	2	2
Area										
Happy	46	52	49	43	40	45	44	56	58	48
Neither happy nor unhappy	31	28	32	34	37	34	28	31	27	31
Unhappy	23	19	19	23	23	20	28	13	15	20
Life as a whole										
Happy	69	66	70	65	61	59	62	67	66	65
Neither happy nor unhappy	28	27	26	28	33	34	32	28	28	29
Unhappy	4	7	4	7	6	6	5	6	6	6
Appearance										
Base - weighted	255	774	582	195	616	359	527	382	341	4030
Base - unweighted	247	595	800	204	864	521	289	242	280	4042
Health										
Base - weighted	259	782	584	196	618	359	521	380	349	4046
Base - unweighted	251	601	802	205	867	521	286	241	285	4059
Family										
Base - weighted	257	780	581	195	616	360	527	375	354	4045
Base - unweighted	249	600	798	204	865	523	289	238	289	4055
Friends										
Base - weighted	255	780	581	195	617	358	526	382	348	4043
Base - unweighted	247	600	798	204	866	521	289	242	284	4051
Area										
Base - weighted	258	778	582	196	617	359	523	382	353	4048
Base - unweighted	250	598	799	205	866	522	287	242	288	4057
Life as a whole										
Base - weighted	257	776	581	195	614	359	523	377	343	4025
Base - unweighted	249	597	798	204	862	521	287	239	280	4037

¹Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

2.5 Young people's attitudes to learning

Young people were presented with a series of statements related to learning, and asked whether they *agreed*, *neither agreed nor disagreed*, or *disagreed* with each one.

About three-quarters (73%) of young people agreed with the statement 'School is worthwhile'. Conversely, only 7% said that they agreed with the statement 'I'm not interested in doing any learning'.

Seventy-two per cent agreed with the statement 'I'm able to make decisions about my future', but attitudes to this varied between areas. The proportion agreeing was highest in Nottingham and Sunderland (79% and 78% respectively), while in three of the areas (Liverpool, Camden and Tower Hamlets) the proportion that agreed ranged between 65-67%.

Nearly six in ten (58%) of young people agreed with the statement that: 'I know where to get help and information about the things that are important to me'.

Seventy-three per cent agreed with the statement that: 'I'm happy to ask for help and information when I need it'.

Table 2.5: Young people's attitudes to learning by EYPP area

Attitudes to learning	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-land	Durham ¹	Lincs	Cambs	Notts City	Camden	Tower Hamlets	Total
	<i>percentages</i>									
'School is worthwhile'										
Agree	74	70	70	74	71	73	72	80	79	73
Neither agreee nor disagree	18	21	23	17	22	21	20	15	16	20
Disagree	8	9	7	9	6	6	8	6	5	7
'I'm not interested in doing any learning'										
Agree	10	9	7	7	7	7	6	2	5	7
Neither agreee nor disagree	17	12	19	15	16	15	14	10	13	15
Disagree	73	79	74	78	77	78	79	88	81	79
'I'm able to make decisions about my future'										
Agree	66	71	78	76	72	72	79	67	65	72
Neither agreee nor disagree	25	23	19	19	23	23	16	28	29	23
Disagree	8	6	3	5	6	6	4	5	6	5
'I know where to go for help and information about things that are important to me'										
Agree	62	57	61	59	58	57	61	51	55	58
Neither agreee nor disagree	22	26	27	22	27	28	24	33	27	26
Disagree	17	17	12	19	16	14	15	16	18	16
'I am happy to ask for help and information when I need it'										
Agree	76	74	75	72	69	68	76	70	74	73
Neither agreee nor disagree	16	17	18	20	21	24	18	23	20	20
Disagree	9	8	7	8	10	8	6	8	5	8
'School is worthwhile'										
<i>Base - weighted</i>	260	764	579	195	613	360	517	378	348	4013
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	252	589	798	204	860	523	284	240	282	4032
'I'm not interested in doing any learning'										
<i>Base - weighted</i>	252	759	565	193	609	356	515	366	331	3945
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	244	585	779	202	855	517	283	234	269	3968
'I'm able to make decisions about my future'										
<i>Base - weighted</i>	250	758	566	191	604	356	505	375	331	3935
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	243	585	780	201	848	517	278	238	269	3959
'I know where to go for help and information about things that are important to me'										
<i>Base - weighted</i>	254	762	570	193	610	357	515	372	341	3973
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	246	587	785	203	857	518	283	236	277	3992
'I am happy to ask for help and information when I need it'										
<i>Base - weighted</i>	255	774	574	194	613	358	517	378	339	4002
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	248	596	789	204	860	520	284	240	276	4017

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

3 Chapter: Participation in positive activities

3.1 Any positive activities

The questionnaire asked respondents whether they had participated in any of six groups of activities. Results are reported separately, in this chapter, for each group of activities. Table 3.1.1 shows the proportion of people who had participated in *any* of these six types of activity in the last four weeks. Almost all (96%) had done at least one activity.

Table 3.1.1: Whether taken part in ANY positive activities in the last four weeks by EYPP area										
	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-land	Durham ¹	Lincs	Cambs	Notts City	Camden	Tower Hamlets	Total
Whether taken part	<i>percentages</i>									
Yes	94	95	97	96	96	98	94	96	96	96
No	6	5	3	4	4	2	6	4	4	4
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
Yes	252	758	571	188	599	355	504	374	346	3949
No	17	36	19	9	25	9	32	17	16	180
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
Yes	244	584	788	196	840	515	277	236	281	3961
No	17	27	22	10	35	14	17	11	15	168

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

Table 3.1.2 provides a summary table of the percentage participating in each of the six types of activity. Sports were the most common (85%), followed by cinema/theatre (66%), performing and creative arts (54%), museums/galleries (53%), courses/other learning activities (33%) and youth groups (32%). Each group of activities is examined in more detail below.

Table 3.1.2: Whether taken part in ANY positive activities in the last four weeks by type of activity and EYPP area										
	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-land	Durham ¹	Lincs	Cambs	Notts City	Camden	Tower Hamlets	Total
	<i>percentages</i>									
Sporting activities										
Yes	82	83	87	87	84	90	82	83	87	85
No	18	17	13	13	16	10	18	17	13	15
Performing and creative arts										
Yes	52	50	47	62	58	56	55	57	56	54
No	48	50	53	38	42	44	45	43	44	46
Courses and other learning-related activities										
Yes	30	31	28	32	26	28	32	48	51	33
No	70	69	72	68	74	72	68	52	49	67
Cinema, theatre and events										
Yes	71	65	74	56	63	71	67	68	57	66
No	29	35	26	44	37	29	33	32	43	34
Museums, galleries and places of interest										
Yes	52	60	53	47	43	49	48	68	54	53
No	48	40	47	53	57	51	52	32	46	47
Youth groups and other activities										
Yes	28	30	31	38	29	32	32	32	43	32
No	72	70	69	62	71	68	68	68	57	68
<i>Base - weighted</i>	270	794	590	197	624	364	536	391	363	4128
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	261	611	810	206	875	529	294	247	296	4129

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

3.2 Sports activities

3.2.1 Characteristics of those taking part

Eighty-five per cent of young people had taken part in one or more sporting activity in the last 4 weeks. There was some variation in the proportion taking part in sports between areas, ranging from 82% (Liverpool and Nottingham City) to 90% (Cambridgeshire).

The proportion taking part in any sporting activities was lowest among those aged 17 and over (74%).

Males were more likely than females to have participated in sports in the last 4 weeks (90% and 79% respectively).

The same proportion of white and BME young people had taken part in sporting activities in the last 4 weeks (85%). Among males, the proportion who had participated in sporting activities was similar for white and BME respondents (90% and 93% respectively). Among females, 80% of white respondents had taken part in sports, a slightly higher proportion than BME females (75%).

Seventy-nine per cent of young people with a limiting long term-illness or disability had participated in sporting activities in the last 4 weeks. This compared with 85% of those with a non-limiting disability, and the same proportion (85%) with no disability.

Table 3.2.1a: Characteristics of those taking part in any sporting activities in the last four weeks by sex, ethnic group and EYPP area

Characteristics of respondents	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-land	Durham ¹	Lincs	Camb	Notts City	Camden	Tower Hamlets	Total
	<i>percentages</i>									
Age										
14 and under	85	86	89	85	85	92	85	86	86	87
15 to 16	78	83	87	87	82	89	81	85	87	84
17 and over	^a	[69]	[73]	^a	^a	^a	^a	[75]	^a	74
Sex										
Male	89	90	92	88	88	94	90	93	91	90
Female	72	75	82	85	80	88	75	76	77	79
Ethnic group										
White	80	83	87	86	83	90	82	88	[83]	85
BME	[96]	83	[89]	^a	[87]	90	83	82	88	85
Long-term illness or disability										
Limited by disability	[80]	74	76	[71]	82	[92]	[76]	^a	[82]	79
Not limited by disability	[85]	[87]	93	[81]	79	93	[82]	^a	^a	85
No disability	82	84	88	90	84	89	82	83	88	85
All taking part	82	83	87	87	84	90	82	83	87	85
Age										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
14 and under	136	311	251	101	332	185	230	132	164	1841
15 to 16	130	410	301	92	278	166	276	194	188	2036
17 and over	1	73	37	4	14	11	30	59	8	238
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
14 and under	136	262	388	102	476	290	123	88	122	1987
15 to 16	122	302	390	99	381	224	154	116	164	1952
17 and over	1	46	31	5	18	13	17	40	8	179
Sex										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
Male	146	408	294	94	304	178	259	171	259	2114
Female	119	381	295	102	321	186	273	211	101	1989
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
Male	140	287	388	96	407	221	126	86	190	1941
Female	117	320	420	110	468	307	166	156	104	2168
Ethnic group										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
White	220	498	566	189	597	321	374	106	59	2930
BME	46	288	19	8	21	42	158	276	299	1157
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
White	214	384	777	199	837	467	204	67	50	3199
BME	44	221	26	7	30	59	88	175	242	892
Long-term illness or disability										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
Limited by disability	46	88	69	20	76	34	67	26	41	466
Not limited by disability	27	50	49	20	59	40	42	24	21	331
No disability	186	634	457	153	469	280	403	330	292	3204
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
Limited by disability	43	68	95	21	106	48	37	17	35	470
Not limited by disability	26	37	65	22	82	55	22	14	16	339
No disability	182	489	629	159	659	410	222	210	238	3198
All taking part										
<i>Base - weighted</i>	270	794	590	197	624	364	536	391	363	4128
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	261	611	810	206	875	529	294	247	296	4129

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

Table 3.2.1b: Whether taken part in a sporting activity in the last four weeks, by sex and ethnic group

Characteristics of respondents	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-land	Durham ¹	Lincs	Camb	Notts City	Camden	Tower Hamlets	Total
										<i>percentages</i>
Males										
White	90	89	92	87	88	93	90	[95]	[86]	90
BME	[93]	93	^a	^a	^a	[100]	[91]	93	92	93
Females										
White	68	76	82	85	79	89	75	[86]	[77]	80
BME	^a	73	^a	^a	^a	[79]	75	74	76	75
Males										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
White	113	257	281	88	289	154	185	46	37	1450
BME	30	144	9	6	11	22	72	123	219	637
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
White	108	183	372	91	387	191	90	24	27	1473
BME	29	99	11	5	15	28	35	61	161	444
Females										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
White	103	238	284	100	308	166	185	58	21	1465
BME	16	142	10	2	10	19	86	147	78	510
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
White	102	199	404	108	450	275	112	42	22	1714
BME	15	120	15	2	15	31	53	110	80	441

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

3.2.2 How often taken part

One-third (33%) of young people had taken part in sporting activities once or twice in the past 4 weeks, and half (49%) had done so three times or more. Young people in Cambridgeshire had taken part in sporting activities most frequently (58% had three times or more) compared with the other areas (47-52% had three times or more)⁵.

Table 3.2.2: How often taken part in sporting activities in the last four weeks by EYPP area

How often taken part in activities	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-land	Durham ¹	Lincs	Camb	Notts City	Camden	Tower Hamlets	Total
										<i>percentages</i>
Not in the past 4 weeks	22	20	14	15	19	11	20	16	16	17
Once or twice	30	31	34	34	34	31	34	37	37	33
Three times or more	48	49	52	52	47	58	46	47	47	49
<i>Base - weighted</i>	263	785	583	193	617	360	526	383	357	4066
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	254	604	802	203	865	523	288	242	291	4072

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

3.2.3 Number of different sporting activities done

Eighteen per cent of respondents had taken part in only one type of activity in the last four weeks, nearly one-third of (31%) had taken part two or three, and 16% had done six or more different activities in this period. Cambridgeshire had the highest proportion of young people doing *more than one* sporting activity (76%), the other areas ranged between 61% and 71%.

⁵ Please note that the proportion of respondents who reported doing one or more sporting activity in Table 3.2.2 is lower than the proportion who said they had taken part in a sporting activity in Table 3.2.1a. This is due to differential item non-response - i.e. the number of young people answering differed between the two questions.

Table 3.2.3: Number of different sporting activities done in the last four weeks by EYPP area

Number of activities	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder- land	Durham ¹	Lincs	Camb	Notts City	Camden	Tower Hamlets	Total
										<i>percentages</i>
None	18	17	13	13	16	10	18	17	13	15
One	20	19	20	15	19	14	16	18	17	18
Two or three	31	29	33	34	31	30	26	34	33	31
Four or five	17	19	21	21	20	23	20	21	18	20
Six or more	13	16	13	16	14	23	19	11	19	16
<i>Base - weighted</i>	<i>270</i>	<i>794</i>	<i>590</i>	<i>197</i>	<i>624</i>	<i>364</i>	<i>536</i>	<i>391</i>	<i>363</i>	<i>4128</i>
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	<i>261</i>	<i>611</i>	<i>810</i>	<i>206</i>	<i>875</i>	<i>529</i>	<i>294</i>	<i>247</i>	<i>296</i>	<i>4129</i>

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

3.2.4 Most common sporting activities

Twenty five sporting activities were listed in the questionnaire, the top six are reported below. Football was clearly the most popular sporting activity, with nearly half (46%) of young people reporting that they had taken part in the last 4 weeks. Around a quarter (21-26%) of young people had participated in the next 4 most popular activities: walking/climbing/orienteering, swimming, cycling, and pool/snooker/billiards. The sixth most common activity was gym or circuit training.

Although football was the most commonly mentioned activity in all of the pilot areas, the proportion taking part varied considerably, ranging from 37% in Lincolnshire through to 63% in Tower Hamlets.

There were differences between males and females in the types of sporting activities mentioned. For example, 69% of males reported that had played football in the past four weeks compared with only 22% of females. One in twenty respondents (5%) had done a sport that was not listed in the questionnaire. Several activities were listed in this miscellaneous category, including trampolining, fishing and horse riding.

Table 3.2.4: Top 6 most common sporting activities done, by EYPP area

Most commonly mentioned activities ²	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunderland	Durham ¹	Lincs	Camb	Notts City	Camden	Tower Hamlets	Total
	<i>percentages</i>									
Males										
Football	73	76	70	64	54	65	70	76	75	69
Walking/Climbing/Orienteering	19	25	24	23	24	29	26	23	20	24
Swimming	35	26	32	33	19	27	25	20	24	26
Cycling	30	21	37	41	39	54	33	28	27	33
Pool/Snooker/Billiards	27	29	35	31	28	37	28	25	29	30
Gym/Circuit training	19	25	22	26	15	17	20	28	31	22
Females										
Football	22	18	24	22	21	19	28	20	30	22
Walking/Climbing/Orienteering	26	28	29	32	30	39	29	24	25	29
Swimming	18	22	33	33	21	33	21	18	22	24
Cycling	11	10	14	10	19	35	23	14	11	17
Pool/Snooker/Billiards	11	12	14	6	16	15	12	11	8	12
Gym/Circuit training	16	17	11	13	11	17	8	15	20	14
All										
Football	49	47	47	42	37	42	48	44	63	46
Walking/Climbing/Orienteering	22	26	26	28	27	34	27	23	21	26
Swimming	27	24	33	33	20	30	23	19	23	25
Cycling	21	16	26	25	29	44	28	20	22	25
Pool/Snooker/Billiards	20	21	24	18	22	25	20	17	23	21
Gym/Circuit training	17	21	16	19	13	17	14	21	27	18
Males										
<i>Base - weighted</i>	146	408	294	94	304	178	259	171	259	2114
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	140	287	388	96	407	221	126	86	190	1941
Females										
<i>Base - weighted</i>	119	381	295	102	321	186	273	211	101	1989
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	117	320	420	110	468	307	166	156	104	2168
All										
<i>Base - weighted</i>	270	794	590	197	624	364	536	391	363	4128
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	261	611	810	206	875	529	294	247	296	4129

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

² This table shows the top 6 most mentioned activities overall, but the ranking may vary between areas.

3.3 Performing and creative arts activities

3.3.1 Characteristics of those taking part

Just over half (54%) had taken part in one or more performing or creative arts activity in the last 4 weeks. There was some variation in the proportion who had taken part between areas, ranging from 47% (Sunderland) to 62% (Durham).

The proportion of those taking part in any performing or creative arts activities was lowest among those aged 17 and over (38%).

Females were more likely than males to have participated in these activities in the last 4 weeks (62% and 47% respectively). This was true across all areas.

The same proportion of white and BME young people had taken part in performing and creative arts activities in the last 4 weeks (54%).

Forty-eight per cent of young people with a limiting long term-illness or disability had participated in creative activities in the last 4 weeks. This compared with 54% of those with a non-limiting disability, and 55% with no disability.

Table 3.3.1a: Characteristics of those taking part in any performing and creative arts activities in the last four weeks by EYPP area

Characteristics of respondents	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunderland	Durham ¹	Lincs	Camb	Notts City	Camden	Tower Hamlets	Total
										<i>percentages</i>
Age										
14 and under	59	57	49	61	60	58	59	64	62	58
15 to 16	46	47	47	64	56	55	55	52	52	52
17 and over	^a	[29]	[26]	^a	^a	^a	^a	[60]	^a	38
Sex										
Male	46	43	38	59	49	47	46	51	51	47
Female	59	56	55	65	66	66	64	63	69	62
Ethnic group										
White	52	55	47	62	57	55	53	61	[50]	54
BME	[59]	41	[48]	^a	[69]	64	61	55	57	54
Long-term illness or disability										
Limited by disability	[36]	46	37	[45]	59	[54]	[46]	^a	[55]	48
Not limited by disability	[57]	[59]	46	[61]	58	58	[57]	^a	^a	54
No disability	56	50	48	64	57	57	57	57	60	55
All taking part	52	50	47	62	58	56	55	57	56	54
Age										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
14 and under	136	311	251	101	332	185	230	132	164	1841
15 to 16	130	410	301	92	278	166	276	194	188	2036
17 and over	1	73	37	4	14	11	30	59	8	238
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
14 and under	136	262	388	102	476	290	123	88	122	1987
15 to 16	122	302	390	99	381	224	154	116	164	1952
17 and over	1	46	31	5	18	13	17	40	8	179
Sex										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
Male	146	408	294	94	304	178	259	171	259	2114
Female	119	381	295	102	321	186	273	211	101	1989
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
Male	140	287	388	96	407	221	126	86	190	1941
Female	117	320	420	110	468	307	166	156	104	2168
Ethnic group										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
White	220	498	566	189	597	321	374	106	59	2930
BME	46	288	19	8	21	42	158	276	299	1157
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
White	214	384	777	199	837	467	204	67	50	3199
BME	44	221	26	7	30	59	88	175	242	892
Long-term illness or disability										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
Limited by disability	46	88	69	20	76	34	67	26	41	466
Not limited by disability	27	50	49	20	59	40	42	24	21	331
No disability	186	634	457	153	469	280	403	330	292	3204
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
Limited by disability	43	68	95	21	106	48	37	17	35	470
Not limited by disability	26	37	65	22	82	55	22	14	16	339
No disability	182	489	629	159	659	410	222	210	238	3198
All taking part										
<i>Base - weighted</i>	270	794	590	197	624	364	536	391	363	4128
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	261	611	810	206	875	529	294	247	296	4129

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

Table 3.3.1b: Whether taken part in any performing and creative arts activities in the last four weeks, by sex and ethnic group

Characteristics of respondents	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-land		Lincs	Cambs	Notts		Tower	Total
			Durham ¹				City	Camden	Hamlets	
	<i>percentages</i>									
Males										
White	44	51	38	59	49	44	43	[55]	[45]	46
BME	[62]	30	^a	^a	^a	[60]	[54]	51	52	48
Females										
White	59	59	55	64	66	66	63	[68]	[56]	62
BME	^a	52	^a	^a	^a	[68]	66	61	72	61
Males										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
White	113	257	281	88	289	154	185	46	37	1450
BME	30	144	9	6	11	22	72	123	219	637
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
White	108	183	372	91	387	191	90	24	27	1473
BME	29	99	11	5	15	28	35	61	161	444
Females										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
White	103	238	284	100	308	166	185	58	21	1465
BME	16	142	10	2	10	19	86	147	78	510
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
White	102	199	404	108	450	275	112	42	22	1714
BME	15	120	15	2	15	31	53	110	80	441

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

3.3.2 How often taken part

One-quarter (24%) of young people had taken part in arts activities once or twice in the past 4 weeks, and a third (31%) had done so three times or more.

Table 3.3.2: How often taken part in performing and creative arts activities in the last four weeks by EYPP area

How often taken part in activities	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-land		Lincs	Cambs	Notts		Tower	Total
			Durham ¹				City	Camden	Hamlets	
	<i>percentages</i>									
Not in the past 4 weeks	48	52	52	36	44	42	45	41	41	46
Once or twice	19	19	21	27	21	23	27	25	38	24
Three times or more	33	29	27	37	36	35	28	34	21	31
<i>Base - weighted</i>	258	783	565	187	609	351	521	379	350	4002
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	250	601	776	198	853	510	286	240	286	4000

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

3.3.3 Number of different performing or creative arts activities done

One-quarter (24%) of respondents had taken part in only one type of activity in the last four weeks, about one-fifth of (21%) had taken part in two or three, and 9% had done four or more different activities in this period.

Table 3.3.3: Number of different performing and creative arts activities done in the last four weeks by EYPP area

Number of activities	EYP pilot area									2008 Total
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-land	Durham ¹	Lincs	Cambs	Notts City	Camden	Tower Hamlets	
	<i>percentages</i>									
None	48	50	53	38	42	44	45	43	44	46
One	25	24	21	32	26	24	21	25	24	24
Two or three	20	18	19	19	22	22	24	19	24	21
Four or five	4	5	5	7	7	8	9	10	7	7
Six or more	3	3	2	4	2	3	2	1	2	2
<i>Base - weighted</i>	270	794	590	197	624	364	536	391	363	4128
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	261	611	810	206	875	529	294	247	296	4129

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

3.3.4 Most common performing and creative arts activities

Twelve performing and creative arts activities were listed in the questionnaire. The top five are reported below. Painting, drawing or graffiti art was the most popular activity, with nearly one-quarter (24%) of young people reporting that they had done this in the last 4 weeks. Between 16% and 11% of young people had participated in the next 4 most popular activities: creating a website / blogging, dance, drama / acting / theatre group, and writing music / stories / poetry. Two per cent of people had done an activity that was not listed. Several different activities were listed here, including reading, cooking, and hairdressing.

Table 3.3.4: Top five most common performing and creative arts activities, by EYPP area

Most commonly mentioned activities ²	EYP pilot area									2008 Total
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-land	Durham ¹	Lincs	Cambs	Notts City	Camden	Tower Hamlets	
	<i>percentages</i>									
Painting, drawing or graffiti art	22	23	24	27	25	27	25	18	24	24
Creating a website, blogging	14	17	15	19	16	19	17	18	16	16
Dance	14	13	11	16	13	14	17	16	13	13
Drama/Acting/Theatre group	12	9	9	9	13	12	12	20	13	13
Writing music, stories, poetry	10	9	8	10	14	11	13	9	11	11
<i>Base - weighted</i>	270	794	590	197	624	364	536	391	363	4128
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	261	611	810	206	875	529	294	247	296	4129

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

² This table shows the top 5 most mentioned activities overall, but the ranking may vary between areas.

3.4 Courses and other learning-related activities

3.4.1 Characteristics of those taking part

One-third (33%) of the sample had taken part in a course or other learning-related activity in the last 4 weeks. There was some variation in the proportion taking part in courses and learning-related activities between areas, ranging from one-quarter (26%) in Lincolnshire to about one-half in Camden and Tower Hamlets (48% and 51% respectively).

Similar proportions of males and females said that they have participated in courses and learning-related activities in the last 4 weeks (32% and 33% respectively).

Young people from BME groups were more likely than white respondents to have taken part in courses/learning in the last 4 weeks (48% and 26% respectively), this was true for both males and females.

A slightly lower proportion of young people with a limiting long term-illness or disability had participated in courses/learning in the last 4 weeks, than those with a non-limiting disability or with no disability (28% compared with 35% and 33%).

Table 3.4.1a: Characteristics of those taking part in any courses and other learning-related activities in the last four weeks by EYPP area

Characteristics of respondents	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunderland	Durham ¹	Lincs	Camb	Notts City	Camden	Tower Hamlets	Total
										<i>percentages</i>
Age										
14 and under	31	32	26	32	24	27	32	47	50	32
15 to 16	30	32	28	32	27	29	32	51	51	34
17 and over	^a	[22]	[34]	^a	^a	^a	^a	[38]	^a	31
Sex										
Male	32	28	29	27	26	25	33	55	50	33
Female	28	34	27	36	25	31	31	41	53	32
Ethnic group										
White	28	25	27	33	26	26	27	41	[44]	27
BME	[41]	42	[49]	^a	[32]	41	43	51	52	47
Long-term illness or disability										
Limited by disability	[33]	24	26	[33]	26	[25]	[18]	^a	[39]	28
Not limited by disability	[16]	[40]	39	[26]	29	32	[42]	^a	^a	35
No disability	30	32	26	33	25	28	33	48	53	33
All taking part	30	31	28	32	26	28	32	48	51	33
Age										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
14 and under	136	311	251	101	332	185	230	132	164	1841
15 to 16	130	410	301	92	278	166	276	194	188	2036
17 and over	1	73	37	4	14	11	30	59	8	238
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
14 and under	136	262	388	102	476	290	123	88	122	1987
15 to 16	122	302	390	99	381	224	154	116	164	1952
17 and over	1	46	31	5	18	13	17	40	8	179
Sex										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
Male	146	408	294	94	304	178	259	171	259	2114
Female	119	381	295	102	321	186	273	211	101	1989
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
Male	140	287	388	96	407	221	126	86	190	1941
Female	117	320	420	110	468	307	166	156	104	2168
Ethnic group										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
White	220	498	566	189	597	321	374	106	59	2930
BME	46	288	19	8	21	42	158	276	299	1157
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
White	214	384	777	199	837	467	204	67	50	3199
BME	44	221	26	7	30	59	88	175	242	892
Long-term illness or disability										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
Limited by disability	46	88	69	20	76	34	67	26	41	466
Not limited by disability	27	50	49	20	59	40	42	24	21	331
No disability	186	634	457	153	469	280	403	330	292	3204
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
Limited by disability	43	68	95	21	106	48	37	17	35	470
Not limited by disability	26	37	65	22	82	55	22	14	16	339
No disability	182	489	629	159	659	410	222	210	238	3198
All taking part										
<i>Base - weighted</i>	270	794	590	197	624	364	536	391	363	4128
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	261	611	810	206	875	529	294	247	296	4129

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

Table 3.4.1b: Whether taken part in any courses and other learning-related activities in the last four weeks, by sex and ethnic group

Characteristics of respondents	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-		Lincs	Cambs	Notts		Tower	Total
			land	Durham ¹			City	Camden	Hamlets	
	<i>percentages</i>									
Males										
White	30	23	28	27	27	24	28	[58]	[31]	27
BME	[38]	40	^a	^a	^a	[28]	[46]	55	53	47
Females										
White	25	27	26	37	24	29	26	[26]	[61]	27
BME	^a	44	^a	^a	^a	[56]	41	48	51	46
Males										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
White	113	257	281	88	289	154	185	46	37	1450
BME	30	144	9	6	11	22	72	123	219	637
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
White	108	183	372	91	387	191	90	24	27	1473
BME	29	99	11	5	15	28	35	61	161	444
Females										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
White	103	238	284	100	308	166	185	58	21	1465
BME	16	142	10	2	10	19	86	147	78	510
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
White	102	199	404	108	450	275	112	42	22	1714
BME	15	120	15	2	15	31	53	110	80	441

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

3.4.2 How often taken part

Sixteen per cent of young people had taken part in courses and learning-related activities once or twice in the past 4 weeks, and a similar proportion (18%) had done so three times or more. The proportion reporting frequent participation in course/learning activities varied between the pilot areas. Young people in Tower Hamlets and Camden were the most likely to participate in these types of activities three times or more (24%-26%, compared with 18% overall).

Table 3.4.2: How often taken part in courses and other learning-related activities in the last four weeks by EYPP area

How often taken part in activities	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-		Lincs	Cambs	Notts		Tower	Total
			land	Durham ¹			City	Camden	Hamlets	
	<i>percentages</i>									
Not in the past 4 weeks	68	68	71	64	72	69	69	52	49	66
Once or twice	14	14	14	16	13	14	16	22	27	16
Three times or more	18	18	16	19	15	17	15	26	24	18
<i>Base - weighted</i>	254	774	562	188	594	352	516	381	346	3967
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	245	596	771	197	833	511	283	241	283	3960

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

3.4.3 Number of different courses/learning-related activities done

A quarter (25%) of respondents had taken part in only one type of course or learning related activity in the last four weeks, 6% had taken part in two or three, and only 2% had done four or more different activities in this period. Young people in Tower Hamlets were most likely to have done *more than one* course/learning activity (16%), the other areas ranged between 5% and 11%

Table 3.4.3: Number of different courses and other learning-related activities done in the last four weeks by EYPP area

Number of activities	EYP pilot area									2008 Total
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-land	Durham ¹	Lincs	Cambs	Notts City	Camden	Tower Hamlets	
	<i>percentages</i>									
None	69	69	72	68	74	72	68	52	49	67
One	23	23	22	25	21	23	24	36	34	25
Two or three	6	6	4	4	3	4	7	10	13	6
Four or five	-	*	*	1	*	-	-	1	1	*
Six or more	2	2	1	3	1	1	1	1	2	1
<i>Base - weighted</i>	270	794	590	197	624	364	536	391	363	4128
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	261	611	810	206	875	529	294	247	296	4129

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

3.4.4 Most common courses and learning-related activities

The most commonly mentioned course/learning activity was homework club / Saturday or Sunday school / summer school (15%). The next most common was computer / IT course, and learning another language (9% and 8% respectively). Four per cent of young people mentioned that they had done another type of learning activity. This miscellaneous group included several different activities, for example driving lessons, bricklaying, cooking, and hairdressing.

Table 3.4.4: Top 4 most common courses and other learning-related activities done by EYPP area

Most commonly mentioned activities ²	EYP pilot area									2008 Total
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-land	Durham ¹	Lincs	Cambs	Notts City	Camden	Tower Hamlets	
	<i>percentages</i>									
Homework club/Sat or Sun school/Summer school	10	13	9	9	7	12	15	28	33	15
Computer/IT course	13	7	7	12	8	7	9	10	13	9
Learning another language	9	8	5	7	6	7	6	9	13	8
Other - specify	2	5	4	2	4	3	4	6	3	4
<i>Base - weighted</i>	270	794	590	197	624	364	536	391	363	4128
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	261	611	810	206	875	529	294	247	296	4129

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

² This table shows the top 4 most mentioned activities overall, but the ranking may vary between areas.

3.5 Cinema, theatre and event activities

3.5.1 Characteristics of those taking part

Two-thirds (66%) of respondents reported that they had been to the cinema, theatre or some other type of similar event in the last 4 weeks. There was some variation in the proportion that had been to these activities between areas (ranging from 57% to 74%).

Similar proportions of males and females to had been to one of these types of event in the last 4 weeks (65% and 68% respectively).

Young people of white origin were more likely than those from BME groups to have been to the cinema, theatre or related event in the last 4 weeks (68% compared with 64%).

A lower proportion of young people with a limiting long term-illness or disability said they had been to the cinema or similar event in the last 4 weeks than with those with a non-limiting disability or no disability (59% compared with 70% and 67% respectively).

Table 3.5.1a: Characteristics of those going to any cinema, theatre and events in the last four weeks by EYPP area

Characteristics of respondents	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-land	Durham ¹	Lincs	Camb	Notts City	Camden	Tower Hamlets	Total
	<i>percentages</i>									
Age										
14 and under	68	66	76	53	60	72	67	62	55	65
15 to 16	74	68	75	61	65	70	67	69	59	68
17 and over	^a	[49]	[59]	^a	^a	^a	^a	[71]	^a	62
Sex										
Male	76	66	71	52	60	68	65	67	55	65
Female	66	65	78	60	65	74	69	67	62	68
Ethnic group										
White	68	67	74	56	62	72	65	80	[65]	68
BME	[88]	62	[86]	^a	[76]	65	70	64	55	64
Long-term illness or disability										
Limited by disability	[62]	63	66	[27]	56	[66]	[61]	^a	[52]	59
Not limited by disability	[61]	[74]	73	[48]	80	80	[65]	^a	^a	70
No disability	75	65	75	62	62	71	67	67	59	67
All taking part	71	65	74	56	63	71	67	68	57	66
Age										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
14 and under	136	311	251	101	332	185	230	132	164	1841
15 to 16	130	410	301	92	278	166	276	194	188	2036
17 and over	1	73	37	4	14	11	30	59	8	238
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
14 and under	136	262	388	102	476	290	123	88	122	1987
15 to 16	122	302	390	99	381	224	154	116	164	1952
17 and over	1	46	31	5	18	13	17	40	8	179
Sex										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
Male	146	408	294	94	304	178	259	171	259	2114
Female	119	381	295	102	321	186	273	211	101	1989
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
Male	140	287	388	96	407	221	126	86	190	1941
Female	117	320	420	110	468	307	166	156	104	2168
Ethnic group										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
White	220	498	566	189	597	321	374	106	59	2930
BME	46	288	19	8	21	42	158	276	299	1157
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
White	214	384	777	199	837	467	204	67	50	3199
BME	44	221	26	7	30	59	88	175	242	892
Long-term illness or disability										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
Limited by disability	46	88	69	20	76	34	67	26	41	466
Not limited by disability	27	50	49	20	59	40	42	24	21	331
No disability	186	634	457	153	469	280	403	330	292	3204
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
Limited by disability	43	68	95	21	106	48	37	17	35	470
Not limited by disability	26	37	65	22	82	55	22	14	16	339
No disability	182	489	629	159	659	410	222	210	238	3198
All taking part										
<i>Base - weighted</i>	270	794	590	197	624	364	536	391	363	4128
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	261	611	810	206	875	529	294	247	296	4129

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

Table 3.5.1b: Whether visited any cinema, theatre and events in the last four weeks, by sex and ethnic group

Characteristics of respondents	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-land		Lincs	Cambs	Notts		Tower	Total
			Durham ¹	City			Camden	Hamlets		
	<i>percentages</i>									
Males										
White	71	68	70	52	60	69	63	[80]	[60]	66
BME	[95]	63	^a	^a	^a	[61]	[68]	62	55	63
Females										
White	65	67	78	59	64	75	67	[79]	[72]	69
BME	^a	60	^a	^a	^a	[69]	72	65	58	65
Males										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
White	113	257	281	88	289	154	185	46	37	1450
BME	30	144	9	6	11	22	72	123	219	637
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
White	108	183	372	91	387	191	90	24	27	1473
BME	29	99	11	5	15	28	35	61	161	444
Females										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
White	103	238	284	100	308	166	185	58	21	1465
BME	16	142	10	2	10	19	86	147	78	510
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
White	102	199	404	108	450	275	112	42	22	1714
BME	15	120	15	2	15	31	53	110	80	441

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

3.5.2 How often taken part

Half (50%) of young people had been to the cinema, or similar event, once or twice in the past 4 weeks, and 16% had done so three times or more.

Table 3.5.2: How often been to any cinema, theatre and events in the last four weeks by EYPP area

How often taken part in activities	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-land		Lincs	Cambs	Notts		Tower	Total
			Durham ¹	City			Camden	Hamlets		
	<i>percentages</i>									
Not in the past 4 weeks	29	36	26	42	37	30	33	31	44	34
Once or twice	50	48	55	42	50	53	48	57	42	50
Three times or more	21	17	19	16	12	17	19	12	13	16
<i>Base - weighted</i>	262	781	571	185	610	357	530	382	351	4029
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	253	600	785	194	855	518	291	242	287	4025

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

3.5.3 Number of different cinema / theatre-related activities

The majority of young people (63%) had been to between one and three different cinema or similar events in the last four weeks, while 4% had been to four or more cinema or related events in this period.

Table 3.5.3: Number of different cinema, theatre and events been to in the last four weeks by EYPP area

Number of activities	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-land	Durham ¹	Lincs	Cambs	Notts City	Camden	Tower Hamlets	Total
None	29	35	26	44	37	29	33	32	43	34
One	37	37	43	33	37	40	40	36	33	38
Two or three	32	25	29	20	22	28	23	29	19	25
Four or five	2	2	2	3	3	2	4	2	3	3
Six or more	1	*	*	1	1	*	*	1	1	1
<i>Base - weighted</i>	270	794	590	197	624	364	536	391	363	4128
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	261	611	810	206	875	529	294	247	296	4129

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

3.5.4 Most common cinema, theatre and related activities

Ten activities were listed in this group. Watching a film at the cinema or other venue was the most popular activity, with around half (49%) of young people reporting that they had done this in the last 4 weeks. Twenty per cent said that they had been to a sporting event, 12% had been to a nightclub, 9% to a play and 7% to a gig/concert/music festival. Two per cent of young people reported doing an activity that was not on the list. These included going to a party, talent shows, and bingo.

Table 3.5.4: Top five most common cinema/theatre/event activities, by EYPP area

Most commonly mentioned activities ²	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-land	Durham ¹	Lincs	Cambs	Notts City	Camden	Tower Hamlets	Total
										<i>percentages</i>
Film at cinema or other venue	52	48	58	34	44	54	51	53	42	49
Sporting event eg football match	22	23	24	18	14	21	19	18	22	20
Nightclubs including under 18s	7	13	13	16	9	14	17	9	3	12
Plays/musicals	13	5	5	8	16	8	7	10	11	9
Gigs/concerts/music festivals	11	5	8	8	6	8	7	7	3	7
<i>Base - weighted</i>	270	794	590	197	624	364	536	391	363	4128
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	261	611	810	206	875	529	294	247	296	4129

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

² This table shows the top 5 most mentioned activities overall, but the ranking may vary between areas.

3.6 Museums, galleries and places of interest

3.6.1 Characteristics of those taking part

Fifty-three per cent of the sample of young people had been to a museum, gallery or other place of interest in the last 4 weeks. The proportion who had visited one of these in that period was highest in Bolton and Camden (60% and 68% respectively), and lowest in Durham and Lincolnshire (47% and 43% respectively).

A slightly higher proportion of females than males said that they have been to a museum or related activity in the last 4 weeks (56% and 50% respectively), although this was not true across all areas.

Respondents from BME groups were more likely than white respondents to have been to a museum, gallery or other place of interest (59% compared with 51%). This difference was true for both sexes.

Table 3.6.1a: Characteristics of those visiting museums, galleries and places of interest in the last four weeks by EYPP area

Characteristics of respondents	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-land	Durham ¹	Lincs	Cambs	Notts City	Camden	Tower Hamlets	Total
	<i>percentages</i>									
Age										
14 and under	54	65	59	51	45	57	53	67	54	56
15 to 16	50	58	49	44	41	41	45	66	53	50
17 and over	^a	[54]	[55]	^a	^a	^a	^a	[73]	^a	56
Sex										
Male	56	58	49	39	39	43	45	65	53	50
Female	47	62	58	55	46	55	51	70	58	56
Ethnic group										
White	50	57	^a	^a	43	49	48	72	[47]	51
BME	[58]	65	[56]	^a	[35]	54	50	67	55	59
Long-term illness or disability										
Limited by disability	[42]	63	58	[32]	50	[50]	50	^a	[67]	54
Not limited by disability	[51]	[65]	53	[47]	50	[55]	[56]	^a	^a	55
No disability	53	60	53	49	41	49	47	68	54	53
All taking part	52	60	53	47	43	49	48	68	54	53
Age										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
14 and under	136	311	251	101	332	185	230	132	164	1841
15 to 16	130	410	301	92	278	166	276	194	188	2036
17 and over	1	73	37	4	14	11	30	59	8	238
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
14 and under	136	262	388	102	476	290	123	88	122	1987
15 to 16	122	302	390	99	381	224	154	116	164	1952
17 and over	1	46	31	5	18	13	17	40	8	179
Sex										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
Male	146	408	294	94	304	178	259	171	259	2114
Female	119	381	295	102	321	186	273	211	101	1989
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
Male	140	287	388	96	407	221	126	86	190	1941
Female	117	320	420	110	468	307	166	156	104	2168
Ethnic group										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
White	220	498	566	189	597	321	374	106	59	2930
BME	46	288	19	8	21	42	158	276	299	1157
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
White	214	384	777	199	837	467	204	67	50	3199
BME	44	221	26	7	30	59	88	175	242	892
Long-term illness or disability										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
Limited by disability	46	88	69	20	76	34	67	26	41	466
Not limited by disability	27	50	49	20	59	40	42	24	21	331
No disability	186	634	457	153	469	280	403	330	292	3204
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
Limited by disability	43	68	95	21	106	48	37	17	35	470
Not limited by disability	26	37	65	22	82	55	22	14	16	339
No disability	182	489	629	159	659	410	222	210	238	3198
All taking part										
<i>Base - weighted</i>	270	794	590	197	624	364	536	391	363	4128
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	261	611	810	206	875	529	294	247	296	4129

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

Table 3.6.1b: Whether visited any museums, galleries and places of interest in the last four weeks, by sex and ethnic group

Characteristics of respondents	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-land	Durham ¹	Lincs	Cambs	Notts City	Camden	Tower Hamlets	Total
	<i>percentages</i>									
Males										
White	55	54	50	39	39	43	43	[67]	[45]	47
BME	[57]	66	a	a	a	[47]	52	64	54	58
Females										
White	45	61	58	56	47	54	52	[74]	[49]	54
BME	a	63	a	a	a	[64]	49	70	60	61
Males										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
White	113	257	281	88	289	154	185	46	37	1450
BME	30	144	9	6	11	22	72	123	219	637
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
White	108	183	372	91	387	191	90	24	27	1473
BME	29	99	11	5	15	28	35	61	161	444
Females										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
White	103	238	284	100	308	166	185	58	21	1465
BME	16	142	10	2	10	19	86	147	78	510
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
White	102	199	404	108	450	275	112	42	22	1714
BME	15	120	15	2	15	31	53	110	80	441

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

3.6.2 How often visited

37% had been to a museum, gallery or other place of interest once or twice in the last four weeks, and 17% had been three times or more.

Table 3.6.2: How often visited any museums, galleries and places of interest in the last four weeks by EYPP area

How often taken part in activities	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-land	Durham ¹	Lincs	Cambs	Notts City	Camden	Tower Hamlets	Total
	<i>percentages</i>									
Not in the past 4 weeks	49	40	46	55	57	50	50	31	46	46
Once or twice	33	42	37	31	29	34	36	45	38	37
Three times or more	19	18	18	14	14	16	15	23	16	17
<i>Base - weighted</i>	256	776	570	193	604	353	523	383	346	4004
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	247	597	783	202	847	513	287	243	282	4001

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

3.6.3 Number of different museums, gallery or place of interests visited

Just under one-third (32%) had visited one museum, gallery or place of interest in the last four weeks, 18% had visited two or three, and 3% had visited four or more.

Table 3.6.3: Number of different museums, galleries and places of interest visited in the last four weeks by EYPP area										
Number of activities	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-land	Durham ¹	Lincs	Cambs	Notts City	Camden	Tower Hamlets	
None	48	39	46	53	57	51	51	32	45	47
One	31	35	30	32	30	34	31	35	33	32
Two or three	17	23	19	14	12	13	16	29	18	18
Four or five	3	2	4	1	1	2	1	4	3	2
Six or more	1	1	*	*	*	*	-	1	1	*
<i>Base - weighted</i>	270	794	590	197	624	364	536	391	363	4128
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	261	611	810	206	875	529	294	247	296	4129

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

3.6.4 Most common museums, galleries and places of interest visited

Seven places of interest were listed at this question. About one-third (32%) of young people mentioned that they had been to a park or public garden in the last 4 weeks. A lower proportion mentioned that they had visited a public library (22%). The next three most popular venues were museums, theme parks, and art galleries (12%, 7% and 5% respectively). Two per cent of respondents said that they had been somewhere that was not listed, including a football stadium and a zoo.

Table 3.6.4: Top five most common places of interest, by EYPP area										
Most commonly mentioned activities ²	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-land	Durham ¹	Lincs	Cambs	Notts City	Camden	Tower Hamlets	
Parks or gardens open to public	32	33	33	22	27	30	31	44	28	32
Public libraries	17	27	20	20	17	17	18	30	27	22
Museums	18	14	18	11	4	7	5	17	13	12
Theme park	9	13	6	3	4	5	7	6	8	7
Art galleries	4	5	6	4	3	5	3	12	8	5
<i>Base - weighted</i>	270	794	590	197	624	364	536	391	363	4128
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	261	611	810	206	875	529	294	247	296	4129

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.
² This table shows the top 5 most mentioned activities overall, but the ranking may vary between areas.

3.7 Youth groups and other activities

3.7.1 Characteristics of those taking part

About one-third (32%) of respondents had participated in one or more youth group or related activity in the last 4 weeks. There was variation in the proportion that had taken part in these types of activities between areas, ranging from 43% (Tower Hamlets) down to 28-29% in Liverpool and Lincolnshire.

The proportion taking part in any type of youth group or related activities was lowest among those aged 17 and over (27%).

Males were more likely than females to have participated in youth group or related activities in the last 4 weeks (35% and 29% respectively). This was true across all areas apart from Cambridgeshire, where an equal proportion had participated (32%).

A higher proportion of BME young people had taken part in a youth group or related activity in the last 4 weeks: (37% BME; 30% white). Among males, this difference was more pronounced (43% of BME males had taken part, compared with 31% of white males) than among females (31% BME; 28% of white females).

Table 3.7.1a: Characteristics of those taking part in youth groups and other activities in the last four weeks by EYPP area

Characteristics of respondents	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-land	Durham ¹	Lincs	Camb	Notts City	Camden	Tower Hamlets	Total
	<i>percentages</i>									
Age										
14 and under	30	34	32	38	29	36	37	34	43	34
15 to 16	26	28	31	37	27	28	28	33	42	30
17 and over	^a	[22]	[21]	^a	^a	^a	^a	[29]	^a	27
Sex										
Male	30	32	34	40	29	32	35	37	48	35
Female	25	27	27	37	28	32	29	29	29	29
Ethnic group										
White	24	28	30	39	29	32	28	31	[36]	30
BME	[49]	33	[51]	^a	[32]	30	39	33	44	37
Long-term illness or disability										
Limited by disability	[16]	28	24	[25]	34	[31]	[30]	^a	[35]	28
Not limited by disability	[12]	[21]	39	[49]	33	23	[53]	^a	^a	32
No disability	33	31	30	39	28	34	29	33	43	32
All taking part	28	30	31	38	29	32	32	32	43	32
Age										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
14 and under	136	311	251	101	332	185	230	132	164	1841
15 to 16	130	410	301	92	278	166	276	194	188	2036
17 and over	1	73	37	4	14	11	30	59	8	238
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
14 and under	136	262	388	102	476	290	123	88	122	1987
15 to 16	122	302	390	99	381	224	154	116	164	1952
17 and over	1	46	31	5	18	13	17	40	8	179
Sex										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
Male	146	408	294	94	304	178	259	171	259	2114
Female	119	381	295	102	321	186	273	211	101	1989
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
Male	140	287	388	96	407	221	126	86	190	1941
Female	117	320	420	110	468	307	166	156	104	2168
Ethnic group										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
White	220	498	566	189	597	321	374	106	59	2930
BME	46	288	19	8	21	42	158	276	299	1157
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
White	214	384	777	199	837	467	204	67	50	3199
BME	44	221	26	7	30	59	88	175	242	892
Long-term illness or disability										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
Limited by disability	46	88	69	20	76	34	67	26	41	466
Not limited by disability	27	50	49	20	59	40	42	24	21	331
No disability	186	634	457	153	469	280	403	330	292	3204
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
Limited by disability	43	68	95	21	106	48	37	17	35	470
Not limited by disability	26	37	65	22	82	55	22	14	16	339
No disability	182	489	629	159	659	410	222	210	238	3198
All taking part										
<i>Base - weighted</i>	270	794	590	197	624	364	536	391	363	4128
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	261	611	810	206	875	529	294	247	296	4129

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

Table 3.7.1.b: Whether taken part in any youth groups and other activities in the last four weeks, by sex and ethnic group

Characteristics of respondents	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-land		Lincs	Cambs	Notts		Tower	Total
			Durham ¹	City			Camden	Hamlets		
	<i>percentages</i>									
Males										
White	23	27	33	39	30	31	33	[43]	[41]	31
BME	[56]	41	^a	^a	^a	[35]	[40]	36	49	43
Females										
White	24	28	27	38	28	33	24	[23]	[30]	28
BME	^a	25	^a	^a	^a	[24]	38	32	29	31
Males										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
White	113	257	281	88	289	154	185	46	37	1450
BME	30	144	9	6	11	22	72	123	219	637
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
White	108	183	372	91	387	191	90	24	27	1473
BME	29	99	11	5	15	28	35	61	161	444
Females										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
White	103	238	284	100	308	166	185	58	21	1465
BME	16	142	10	2	10	19	86	147	78	510
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
White	102	199	404	108	450	275	112	42	22	1714
BME	15	120	15	2	15	31	53	110	80	441

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

3.7.2 How often taken part

Fifteen per cent of young people had taken part in a youth group or related activity once or twice in the past 4 weeks, and a similar proportion (17%) had done so three times or more.

Table 3.7.2: How often taken part in youth groups and other activities in the last four weeks by EYPP area

How often taken part in activities	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-land		Lincs	Cambs	Notts		Tower	Total
			Durham ¹	City			Camden	Hamlets		
	<i>percentages</i>									
Not in the past 4 weeks	71	71	69	61	71	67	67	65	58	68
Once or twice	15	14	13	16	13	19	15	16	22	15
Three times or more	13	15	17	23	16	14	17	19	20	17
<i>Base - weighted</i>	257	765	566	183	603	352	518	376	343	3964
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	248	589	778	192	846	511	284	238	279	3965

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

3.7.3 Number of different youth groups and related activities participated in

About one-quarter (26%) had taken part in only one youth group or related activity in the last four weeks, and 6% had participated in two or three.

Table 3.7.3: Number of different youth groups and other activities taken part in in the last four weeks by EYPP area

Number of activities	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-land	Durham ¹	Lincs	Cambs	Notts City	Camden	Tower Hamlets	Total
None	72	70	69	62	71	68	68	68	57	68
One	24	25	26	33	23	25	25	25	37	26
Two or three	4	4	5	5	6	7	7	8	5	6
Four or five	*	-	-	1	*	*	-	-	-	*
Six or more	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Base - weighted</i>	270	794	590	197	624	364	536	391	363	4128
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	261	611	810	206	875	529	294	247	296	4129

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

3.7.4 Most common youth groups and related activities

Six activities came under the heading of youth groups and other activities. A youth group (non-religious) or community centre was the most popular type of activity, with just over one-fifth (21%) of young people reporting that they had been in the last 4 weeks. A much lower proportion of young people had participated in the 3 next most popular activities: youth group at place of worship (6%), cadet force (3%), or Duke of Edinburgh award scheme (3%). Two per cent of people had done an activity that was not on the list, including 'young carers', and school/youth council.

Although youth group/community centre was the most commonly mentioned activity in all of the pilot areas, the proportion reporting participation varied considerably. The lowest proportion was in Lincolnshire (16%) and the highest in Tower Hamlets (33%).

Table 3.7.4: Top four most common youth group/other activities, by EYPP area

Most commonly mentioned activities ²	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-land	Durham ¹	Lincs	Cambs	Notts City	Camden	Tower Hamlets	Total
Youth group (non-religious) or community centre	19	20	21	26	16	18	20	22	33	21
Youth group at place of worship	6	8	4	6	5	6	8	8	7	6
Cadet force	2	1	3	2	6	6	3	2	2	3
Duke of Edinburgh Award Scheme	2	1	5	4	4	3	3	2	1	3
<i>Base - weighted</i>	270	794	590	197	624	364	536	391	363	4128
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	261	611	810	206	875	529	294	247	296	4129

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.
² This table shows the top 4 most mentioned activities overall, but the ranking may vary between areas.

3.8 Influencing what activities are going to be put on in the local area

Fifteen per cent of the sample reported that they had been involved in choosing what activities were going to be put on in the area they lived in. Respondents in Durham and Tower Hamlets were the most likely to be involved (23% and 25% respectively), and the least likely were those in Bolton (10%) and Lincolnshire and Cambridgeshire (12% each).

Table 3.8: Characteristics of those involved with choosing what activities are going to be put on for young people in the area they live by EYPP area

Characteristics of respondents	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-land Durham ¹	Lincs	Cambs	Notts City	Camden	Tower Hamlets	Total	
	<i>percentages</i>									
Age										
14 and under	20	10	16	24	13	15	21	12	32	17
15 to 16	12	11	16	20	9	11	13	14	18	13
17 and over	^a	[8]	[5]	^a	^a	^a	^a	[29]	^a	17
Sex										
Male	16	11	17	24	12	12	24	19	28	17
Female	15	9	15	22	12	13	10	14	18	13
Ethnic group										
White	14	8	15	21	11	12	12	13	[9]	13
BME	[23]	13	^a	^a	[18]	[12]	27	17	29	21
Long-term illness or disability										
Limited by disability	[5]	9	13	^a	13	[5]	[18]	^a	[21]	13
Not limited by disability	[24]	[5]	26	^a	29	[24]	^a	^a	^a	20
No disability	16	10	15	25	10	11	15	17	25	14
All involved	15	10	16	23	12	12	17	16	25	15
Age										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
14 and under	108	237	200	79	258	144	182	98	121	1426
15 to 16	106	334	231	74	223	131	195	144	133	1571
17 and over	1	60	31	2	12	11	21	51	8	197
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
14 and under	108	200	308	82	370	225	97	65	89	1544
15 to 16	99	247	296	81	305	176	110	86	117	1517
17 and over	1	37	26	2	15	13	12	34	8	148
Sex										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
Male	114	323	233	72	242	139	191	137	194	1645
Female	100	305	227	83	251	148	205	154	68	1541
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
Male	109	226	308	75	324	172	93	68	142	1517
Female	98	255	322	90	366	243	125	115	72	1686
Ethnic group										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
White	182	412	446	149	473	255	273	84	47	2321
BME	31	216	14	5	16	32	121	206	214	857
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
White	177	316	610	160	662	370	150	53	40	2538
BME	30	166	19	5	22	45	67	130	173	657
Long-term illness or disability										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
Limited by disability	38	77	57	16	62	30	51	23	29	382
Not limited by disability	22	44	41	14	49	31	36	22	14	274
No disability	148	497	356	122	365	220	292	245	214	2459
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
Limited by disability	35	59	78	17	86	42	28	15	25	385
Not limited by disability	21	33	55	16	68	44	19	13	11	280
No disability	145	383	488	129	513	320	162	155	174	2469
All involved										
<i>Base - weighted</i>	217	632	461	155	493	288	398	297	265	3205
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	210	484	631	165	690	416	219	187	216	3218

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

3.9 Young people's attitudes to local activities

3.9.1 Access to information

Respondents were asked how easy they found it to find out about activities in their local area. Only 9% of young people said they found it 'very easy', while 37% said 'fairly easy' and 20% said 'very difficult'. The figure reporting that it was 'very easy' ranged from 5-6% in Camden, Lincolnshire and Cambridgeshire to 14% in Tower Hamlets.

Table 3.9.1: How easy/difficult to find out about activities for young people in the local area by EYPP area										
EYP pilot area										2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-land	Durham ¹	Lincs	Cambs	Notts City	Camden	Tower Hamlets	Total
	<i>percentages</i>									
Very easy	11	9	11	10	6	6	9	5	14	9
Fairly easy	34	31	39	36	36	44	33	48	40	37
Fairly difficult	26	37	32	33	38	35	35	37	28	34
Very difficult	29	23	18	21	21	15	23	10	19	20
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
Very easy	30	69	64	19	37	22	50	19	50	359
Fairly easy	90	246	229	71	222	157	174	188	144	1522
Fairly difficult	69	289	186	66	233	126	182	145	100	1396
Very difficult	76	182	107	41	129	55	122	37	67	817
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
Very easy	30	54	88	19	52	30	26	12	41	352
Fairly easy	87	193	318	75	311	226	96	117	117	1540
Fairly difficult	67	220	259	70	325	188	101	93	81	1404
Very difficult	73	138	140	42	183	79	66	24	55	800

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

3.9.2 Satisfaction with activities

Almost half (46%) of the sample said that they were 'dissatisfied' with the number of activities available in their area, while 16% said that they were 'satisfied'. The proportion reporting being satisfied ranged from 11% in Lincolnshire through to 25% in Tower Hamlets.

Table 3.9.2: How satisfied/dissatisfied with how many activities there are for young people in the local area by EYPP area										
EYP pilot area										2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-land	Durham ¹	Lincs	Cambs	Notts City	Camden	Tower Hamlets	Total
	<i>percentages</i>									
Satisfied	15	13	16	19	11	16	18	21	25	16
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	43	39	37	30	39	35	34	45	36	38
Dissatisfied	43	48	46	51	49	49	49	35	39	46
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
Satisfied	39	103	94	37	70	57	93	80	87	659
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	113	307	217	58	241	126	180	172	128	1542
Dissatisfied	113	372	270	99	304	175	258	133	139	1865
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
Satisfied	38	83	130	39	98	77	50	50	71	636
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	108	236	299	60	339	187	102	108	104	1543
Dissatisfied	109	282	369	105	426	257	139	86	114	1887

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

Having been asked about the number of activities available, respondents were then asked about 'how good' the activities were in their local area. One in five (20%) said that they were satisfied, and again this figure was considerably higher in Tower Hamlets (31%). Overall, two in five (40%) said that they were dissatisfied.

Table 3.9.3: How satisfied/dissatisfied with activities available for young people in the local area by EYPP area

	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-land	Durham ¹	Lincs	Camb	Notts City	Camden	Tower Hamlets	Total
Satisfied	19	17	20	21	15	19	22	20	31	20
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	34	37	42	41	40	41	36	54	38	40
Dissatisfied	47	45	39	38	45	40	43	26	32	40
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
Satisfied	51	135	113	42	94	68	114	78	109	804
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	90	291	241	80	246	149	186	206	133	1622
Dissatisfied	124	354	225	74	274	143	222	99	112	1626
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
Satisfied	50	106	157	43	130	94	62	47	88	777
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	86	226	332	83	345	221	103	132	111	1639
Dissatisfied	120	268	307	79	386	207	121	63	89	1640

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

4 Chapter: Facilitators of participation

4.1 Whether young people would like to spend more time on activities

4.1.1 Whether young people would like to spend more time on activities by area

Over three-quarters (77%) of young people said that they would like to spend more time doing the activities mentioned in the questionnaire (see section 5.2 for list of activities). Thirteen per cent of respondents answered 'don't know' to this question.

Young people in Durham were the most likely to report that they would like to spend more time doing any of the activities mentioned: 86% compared with 73-82% in the other areas.

Table 4.1.1: Whether would like to spend more time taking part in activities by EYPP area										
	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-land	Durham ¹	Lincs	Cambs	Notts City	Camden	Tower Hamlets	Total
	<i>percentages</i>									
Yes	79	73	76	86	74	82	76	81	79	77
No	9	14	10	5	10	7	9	6	13	10
Don't know	12	13	14	9	16	11	15	13	8	13
<i>Base - weighted</i>	266	780	585	192	620	364	529	391	361	4089
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	257	601	805	202	869	528	290	247	295	4094

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

4.1.2 Characteristics of young people who would like to spend more time on activities

The proportion reporting that they would like to spend more time doing any of the activities mentioned in the questionnaire decreased with age (80% of those aged 14 and under, compared with 71% of those aged 17 and over).

Males from black and minority ethnic groups were more likely than their white counterparts to report that they would like to spend more time taking part in activities (81% BME males and 75% white males). However, among females this difference was marginal: 80% of BME females wanted to spend more time on activities compared with 77% of white females.

Table 4.1.2: Characteristics of those that would like to spend more time taking part in activities by sex and age and ethnic group and EYPP area

Characteristics of respondents	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-land	Durham ¹	Lincs	Camb	Notts City	Camden	Tower Hamlets	Total
	<i>percentages</i>									
Age										
Aged 14 and under	83	78	83	90	75	87	77	84	75	80
Aged 15 to 16	75 ^a	71	73	81 ^a	74 ^a	79 ^a	77 ^a	75	81 ^a	75
Aged 17 and over		[65]	[51]					[92]		71
Males										
White	80	70	73	85	72	84	72	[69]	[74]	75
BME	[90]	77	^a	^a	^a	[75]	[92]	83	78	81
Females										
White	72	74	79	85	76	83	73	[86]	[91]	77
BME	^a	73	^a	^a	^a	[80]	81	82	79	80
Age										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
Aged 14 and under	135	307	250	99	329	185	230	132	164	1831
Aged 15 to 16	127	402	301	89	277	166	269	194	188	2014
Aged 17 and over	1	70	34	4	14	11	30	59	7	231
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
Aged 14 and under	135	259	386	101	472	289	123	88	122	1975
Aged 15 to 16	119	297	389	96	379	224	150	116	164	1934
Aged 17 and over	1	44	29	5	18	13	17	40	7	174
Males										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
White	110	255	279	87	288	153	181	46	37	1437
BME	30	141	9	4	11	22	72	123	218	631
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
White	105	181	371	90	386	190	88	24	27	1462
BME	29	97	10	4	15	28	35	61	160	439
Females										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
White	103	234	284	99	305	166	183	58	22	1454
BME	16	142	10	2	10	20	85	147	78	510
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
White	102	196	403	106	445	275	110	42	22	1701
BME	15	120	15	2	15	31	53	110	80	441

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

Respondents in receipt of Free School Meals (FSM) were more likely to report that they would like to participate in more activities than those that did not receive FSM (79% compared with 69%).

Table 4.1.3: Characteristics of those that would like to spend more time taking part in activities by family background and EYPP area

Family background	EYP pilot area								2008 Total	
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder- land	Durham ¹	Lincs	Cambs	Notts City	Camden		Tower Hamlets
	<i>percentages</i>									
Who lives in household										
Father/mother's partner/stepfather/foster father	80	70	77	85	75	81	80	85	81	78
Mother/father's partner/stepmother/foster mother	80	76	76	84	74	84	78	82	80	78
Other guardian	[69]	[56]	[77]	^a	73	[65]	^a	^a	[65]	70
Brothers or sisters	78	75	77	88	75	85	77	82	80	78
Any other relative	^a	[66]	80	^a	[90]	[78]	^a	^a	^a	81
Any other person	^a	[68]	[85]	^a	[75]	^a	^a	^a	^a	75
Young carer										
Yes	[85]	74	78	[89]	79	86	73	77	76	78
No	76	73	76	85	73	81	78	81	79	77
Working status of parents/guardians										
One or more parent/guardian in paid work	70	73	76	84	69	82	73	79	[73]	75
No parent/guardian in paid work	84	75	76	88	77	85	78	82	80	79
Does not live with any parent/guardian	^a	[68]	[79]	^a	[73]	^a	75	^a	[79]	76
Whether receives free school meals (FSM)										
FSM recipient	81	76	78	87	75	85	77	82	78	79
Not FSM recipient	[69]	67	65	[82]	71	68	[71]	^a	[79]	69
Don't know	^a	^a	^a	^a	^a	^a	^a	^a	^a	85
Who lives in household										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
Father/mother's partner/stepfather/foster father	110	399	282	111	333	165	216	176	195	1988
Mother/father's partner/stepmother/foster mother	194	593	463	142	496	306	410	302	216	3122
Other guardian	22	31	35	13	37	15	13	23	26	215
Brothers or sisters	158	492	395	114	412	259	365	262	212	2669
Any other relative	17	43	48	8	34	15	29	22	20	235
Any other person	7	28	19	7	23	13	9	4	13	124
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
Father/mother's partner/stepfather/foster father	106	304	389	116	468	238	119	108	158	2006
Mother/father's partner/stepmother/foster mother	187	459	637	149	696	443	224	192	175	3162
Other guardian	22	23	46	14	50	20	8	14	21	218
Brothers or sisters	151	384	547	119	580	376	202	166	173	2698
Any other relative	17	33	65	9	49	20	16	13	16	238
Any other person	7	20	25	8	32	18	5	3	11	129
Young carer										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
Yes	43	170	124	48	131	72	122	79	65	853
No	205	592	449	141	477	282	388	298	279	3111
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
Yes	41	129	170	49	183	105	67	51	51	846
No	199	458	617	150	668	408	213	188	230	3131
Working status of parents/guardians										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
One or more parent/guardian in paid work	66	179	124	47	176	101	102	97	60	951
No parent/guardian in paid work	164	509	392	119	375	229	365	241	229	2623
Does not live with any parent/guardian	13	28	31	7	23	11	21	15	27	177
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
One or more parent/guardian in paid work	63	132	177	49	247	145	57	58	48	976
No parent/guardian in paid work	158	397	535	126	526	336	198	157	185	2618
Does not live with any parent/guardian	13	22	43	8	33	15	12	9	22	177
Whether receives free school meals (FSM)										
<i>Base - weighted</i>										
FSM recipient	215	558	489	160	452	304	467	350	315	3310
Not FSM recipient	45	209	86	28	164	53	56	26	33	699
Don't know	2	8	5	2	2	4	4	7	12	47
<i>Base - unweighted</i>										
FSM recipient	208	442	680	168	635	448	255	220	256	3312
Not FSM recipient	43	149	111	30	229	72	31	17	28	710
Don't know	2	6	8	2	3	6	2	5	9	43

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

4.2 Facilitators of greater participation

Forty-two per cent of young people suggested that if friends or family were also involved, it would make it easier for them to do these activities. A similar proportion (41%) said that getting the cost of activities paid would help. The three next most mentioned facilitators of greater participation in activities were: 'If I knew more about the sorts of things that are available'; 'If I knew I could get any transport expenses paid'; and 'If I knew it would help improve my skills' (37%, 35% and 34% respectively).

There were differences between males and females in the types of participation facilitators mentioned. For example, half (50%) of females said that they would find it easier to take part if friends or family got involved with them, compared with two-thirds (36%) of males.

Table 4.2.1: Top 5 most common facilitators to greater participation in activities by EYPP area

Most commonly mentioned facilitators	EYP pilot area								2008	
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-land	Durham ¹	Lincs	Camb	Notts City	Camden	Tower Hamlets	Total
	<i>percentages</i>									
Males										
If I knew I could get the cost of activities paid	35	37	42	55	36	60	38	50	30	40
If my friends or family got involved with me	39	35	38	45	34	34	34	37	32	36
If I knew I could get any transport expenses paid	29	36	36	49	31	50	37	32	23	35
If I knew more about the sorts of things that are available	31	30	34	40	31	40	37	41	32	34
If someone could provide transport when I needed it	32	32	28	45	29	45	36	24	22	31
Females										
If my friends or family got involved with me	47	46	54	54	47	50	48	54	54	50
If I knew I could get the cost of activities paid	36	39	41	47	39	55	39	54	22	42
If I knew more about the sorts of things that are available	35	38	39	45	42	43	38	41	36	40
If I knew it would help improve my skills	36	34	34	32	29	30	39	47	42	35
If I knew I could get any transport expenses paid	22	36	36	46	37	47	31	31	22	35
All										
If my friends or family got involved with me	42	41	46	50	41	42	41	46	39	42
If I knew I could get the cost of activities paid	35	37	41	51	37	57	39	52	28	41
If I knew more about the sorts of things that are available	33	34	36	43	37	42	37	41	33	37
If I knew I could get any transport expenses paid	25	35	36	48	34	48	33	31	23	35
If I knew it would help improve my skills	35	31	31	32	29	31	37	44	39	34
Males										
<i>Base - weighted</i>	146	408	294	94	304	178	259	171	259	2114
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	140	287	388	96	407	221	126	86	190	1941
Females										
<i>Base - weighted</i>	119	381	295	102	321	186	273	211	101	1989
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	117	320	420	110	468	307	166	156	104	2168
All										
<i>Base - weighted</i>	270	794	590	197	624	364	536	391	363	4128
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	261	611	810	206	875	529	294	247	296	4129

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

Overall, there were few differences between white and BME young people in the reported facilitators to participation.

Table 4.2.2: Top 5 most common facilitators to greater participation in activities by EYPP area

Most commonly mentioned facilitators	EYP pilot area									2008
	Liverpool	Bolton	Sunder-land	Durham ¹	Lincs	Cambs	Notts City	Camden	Tower Hamlets	Total
	<i>percentages</i>									
White										
If my friends or family got involved with me	41	40	46	50	41	43	41	41	49	43
If I knew I could get the cost of activities paid	34	35	42	52	38	57	37	49	32	41
If I knew more about the sorts of things that are available	31	31	37	43	37	42	34	37	47	36
If I knew I could get any transport expenses paid	26	36	36	49	34	50	33	26	25	36
If someone could provide transport when I needed it	28	34	30	42	32	43	31	14	30	33
BME										
If my friends or family got involved with me	[50]	41	[47]	^a	[48]	34	43	48	36	42
If I knew I could get the cost of activities paid	[43]	42	[30]	^a	[40]	56	44	53	27	41
If I knew it would help improve my skills	[44]	30	[25]	^a	[39]	28	44	48	39	39
If I knew more about the sorts of things that are available	[42]	39	[25]	^a	[37]	41	44	43	31	38
If a friend/family member asked me directly	[30]	30	[42]	^a	[36]	24	39	39	41	36
				^a						
White										
<i>Base - weighted</i>	220	498	566	189	597	321	374	106	59	2930
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	214	384	777	199	837	467	204	67	50	3199
BME										
<i>Base - weighted</i>	46	288	19	8	21	42	158	276	299	1157
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	44	221	26	7	30	59	88	175	242	892

¹ Please note that two methods of questionnaire distribution were used in Durham. See appendix for details.

Appendix A - Technical report

5 Introduction

5.1 Background

The Department for Children Schools and Families (DCSF) commissioned the National Centre for Social Research (NatCen) and the National Foundation for Educational Research (NFER) to carry out an evaluation of the Empowering Young People Pilots (EYPP).

EYPP is a project aimed at encouraging young people to take part in positive activities. It is currently being piloted in nine Local Authorities across England.

The project is aimed at young people, aged 13 to 17, from deprived backgrounds. They are given spending power (e.g. through virtual accounts accessed through and supervised by a youth work facilitator) to help them access a number of activities. EYPP operates differently in each of the pilot areas. For more information on EYPP in each area, see Appendix C.

The outcome evaluation consists of a before-and-after study of young people in the pilot EYPP areas, as well as in three 'comparison' areas. The before stage consists of a baseline postal questionnaire, sent to the young person via their parent / guardian. Those who chose to take part in this stage were asked whether they consented to being re-contacted 8-10 months later for a follow-up survey. In both stages, the young person is asked about the activities in which they take part, their attitudes towards activities, possible facilitators to participation in activities, and some background questions about themselves.

5.2 An overview of the evaluation method

The outcome evaluation consists of a baseline postal survey, and then a telephone follow-up survey 8-10 months later (i.e. beginning in November 2008).

The baseline postal survey was conducted between December 2007 and July 2008. Questionnaires were sent to the targeted young people in the individual pilot areas prior to the EYPP launch. In the pilot areas, 15,128 cases were issued and 4,129 productive questionnaires were returned.

The comparison areas fieldwork was conducted between June and July 2008. 3072 cases were issued in the comparison areas, and 766 questionnaires were returned.

The self-completion questionnaire focused on the following key areas:

- Demographic characteristics of the young person;
- Types of activity participated in (within 6 groups of activities: sports, performing and creative arts; courses and other learning-related activities; cinema / theatre and events; museums / galleries and places of interest; and youth groups and other activities);
- Frequency of participation;
- And general feelings and attitudes to learning.

The follow-up survey will be carried out using Computer-Assisted Telephone Interviewing (CATI). This stage of the research is intended to identify any changes in behaviour by young people since the baseline postal survey.

The follow-up questionnaire will cover similar topics to the postal survey, though with a focus on awareness of, interest in, and participation in relevant EYPP schemes in pilot areas. For example, it will aim to find out whether the young people have signed up to the scheme (and if not, why not).

Within the EYPP local authorities, we will follow up all those young people who:

- Responded to the baseline postal survey;
- Consented to being re-contacted;
- Provided a telephone number for re-contact; and
- Took part in the local EYPP scheme.

Within the EYPP areas, the evaluation will also follow-up an equal number of young people who met the first three criteria above but who chose not to take part in the local EYPP scheme (non-participants). These will be selected to match as closely as possible those who took part in the schemes. Matching will be carried out using a number of key variables (demographic and behavioural) from the baseline questionnaire.

In the comparison areas, we will follow up a matched sample of young people. Again, these would be matched on the basis of responses to questions in the baseline survey.

The exact number of young people re-contacted for the follow-up survey will be dependent on the proportion signing up to the schemes but it is expected that between 2,000 and 3,000 cases will be issued.

6 Methodology

6.1 Sampling

The nine pilot local authorities hold sample details for young people in their area for all of the individuals eligible for EYPP. For the most part, these are young people who are looked after and/or eligible for free school meals (FSM), though in some local authorities the targeted cohort is a subset of this broader group. All individuals eligible for EYPP were included in the survey.

Five local authorities provided an Excel file sample containing the following information to NatCen:

- Forename
- Family name
- Full address
- Postcode
- A unique identifier for each individual (to allow sample information to be linked back to LA databases)
- Gender
- Age / DOB
- Ethnicity

Four local authorities did not supply a sample file containing the young person's contact information (as listed above) to NatCen. These authorities were supplied with fieldwork documents, and a list of serial numbers, so that they could send out the questionnaires themselves.

6.2 Questionnaire design

As part of the development process, the self-completion questionnaire was tested using cognitive interviewing techniques. The cognitive stage aimed to test how well the questions worked. For example, it scoped out the kinds of activities young people have been doing and how well they recall certain time frames.

Comments were collected from researchers at NatCen and, following consultation with DCSF and NFER, some changes were made to the questionnaire. Given that literacy problems are likely to be more common among the target cohorts for the study than among young people as a whole in these age groups the questionnaire was professionally designed. This was so as to be relevant and attractive to the targeted young people, as well as easy to understand and to complete.

7 Fieldwork

7.1 Processes

Questionnaires were distributed via post to the targeted young people in each local authority prior EYPP scheme launch.

Three comparison areas were included in the baseline stage to enable an evaluation of the relative effectiveness of the scheme. For each of the comparison areas a baseline postal questionnaire was sent to the whole of the eligible population ('eligibility' being defined to encompass the same key eligibility criteria used in pilot areas). Given the timing of fieldwork for the comparison areas, data for these areas was not available to include in this baseline report.

The initial questionnaire pack was addressed to the young person's parent/guardian. This pack contained a letter to the parent/guardian explaining the study, and asking them to pass on the questionnaire and a separate cover letter to the young person and to encourage him/her to complete and return the questionnaire (in the pre-paid return envelope).

In order to maximise response, a reminder process was used. There were two stages to this:

- a postcard reminder wave sent to the young person reminding them to return the survey about two weeks after the initial mail-out;
- a final full-pack reminder containing different covering letters, a new copy of the questionnaire and another pre-paid envelope about four weeks after the initial mail-out.

In practice, the fieldwork period for some of the areas was shorter (the optimum period was 8 weeks). This consequently had an impact on the feasibility of administering the two stage reminder process for some local authorities where the fieldwork period was reduced. See table 1 for details.

Durham

Two methods of questionnaire distribution were used for Durham. The first (Durham 1) was the standard method of NatCen distribution to the eligible sample of young people. Due to the small initial sample provided, a second method (Durham 2) of distribution was used to increase the sample size. This involved the provision of questionnaire packs by Durham's Children & Young People's Services team to young people who had shown interest in the EYPP scheme (the questionnaire packs were provided alongside the EYPP registration packs). In order to ensure that baseline information was captured, young people from the Durham 2 sample could not access their EYPP funds on their EYPP accounts until one week after they received the questionnaire⁶.

⁶ Please note, therefore, that it is possible that some young people in the Durham 2 sample might have participated in EYPP activities before completing the questionnaire.

Table A1 provides detailed information on the fieldwork schedule by area:

Table A1

Area	Fieldwork start	Postcard reminder	Full-pack reminder	Fieldwork close
Lincolnshire	17/12/2007	✓	✓	04/02/2008
Durham 1	01/02/2008			18/02/2008
Durham 2	01/02/2008			13/05/2008
Sunderland	11/03/2008		✓	25/04/2008
Liverpool	17/12/2007	✓	✓	18/02/2008
Tower Hamlets	22/01/2008	✓	✓	14/04/2008
Camden	13/03/2008		✓	28/04/2008
Bolton	26/02/2008	✓	✓	13/05/2008
Cambridgeshire	21/02/2008		✓	21/04/2008
Nottingham City	02/04/2008		✓	13/05/2008

7.2 Response

Overall response was 29% but the rate varied between areas, from 16% in Nottingham City to 40% in Lincolnshire and 41% in Cambridgeshire. Table A2 shows response rates by area.

Table A2

	Lincs	Liverpool	Durham 1	Durham 2	Tower Hamlets	Cambs	Sunderland	Bolton	Notts City	Camden	Total
Total sample Issued	2227	1015	344	350	1277	1707	2093	2822	1905	1388	15128
Deadwood ¹	41(2%)	65(6%)	6(2%)	0(0%)	8(1%)	432(25%)	29(1%)	43(2%)	29(2%)	11(1%)	664
Refusals ²	10(0%)	7(1%)	0(0%)	0(0%)	0(0%)	6(0%)	2(0%)	7(0%)	3(0%)	1(0%)	36
Other non-productive	5(0%)	9(1%)	0(0%)	0(0%)	11(1%)	1(0%)	4(0%)	6(0%)	5(0%)	4(0%)	45
Not returned	1296(58%)	673(66%)	227(66%)	255(73%)	962(75%)	739(43%)	1248(60%)	2155(76%)	1574(83%)	1125(81%)	10254
PRODUCTIVES	875	261	111	95	296	529	810	611	294	247	4129
RESPONSE RATE³	40	27	33	27	23	41	39	22	16	18	29
Fieldwork start date	17/12/2007	17/12/2007	01/02/2008	01/02/2008	22/01/2008	21/02/2008	11/03/2008	26/02/2008	02/04/2008	13/03/2008	
Fieldwork close date	04/02/2008	18/02/2008	18/02/2008	13/05/2008	14/04/2008	21/04/2008	25/04/2008	13/05/2008	13/05/2008	28/04/2008	

¹ Deadwood codes are: Inadequate address, found to be ineligible, address not known, not known at address, gone away, house demolished and other reasons.

² A refusal is coded when the young person or their parent/guardian has telephone or written to the office to say that they do not wish to take part in the survey.

³ Deadwood cases have been excluded from the total sampled issued when calculating response.

8 Data and analysis

8.1 Dataset

Data collected from the survey was subject to a thorough editing process. The final dataset used for analysis contained 4129 productive cases from the nine pilot areas.

8.2 Weighting

Non-response weights are calculated to correct for the effects of differential non-response to the surveys. Weighting for non-response involves applying a weight to each respondent so that the overall weighted sample data matches the full issued sample on a few key variables. For example, males will be under-represented in the sample if we do not weight for non-response, as the response rate for males was lower than that for females. The lower the response rate, the higher the weight applied.

For EYPP, the only information we had available (from LAs) on non-respondents was age and sex, so weights were calculated using age-sex groups (for each Local Authority separately)⁷. The number of respondents and the total issued sample in each age-sex category were calculated to obtain the response rate for each group. The non-response weights were then generated as the inverse of the response rates; hence groups with a low response rate got a larger weight, increasing their representation in the sample.

Where there were only a few cases in any age-sex group, this group was combined with another age-sex group before calculating the combined-group response rate. This was done to ensure that the variability in the final weights was kept to a tolerable level. (The rationale behind this is that having a small number of respondents with very different weights to others does very little to reduce bias, but can lead to a marked increase in standard errors. So, calculating separate weights for small sub-groups tends to be avoided.)

As a final stage, the weights were scaled (i.e. multiplied by a constant factor) to give a total weighted sample size equal to the unweighted sample size of respondents.

Table A3 shows the profile of respondents and non-respondents, according to the information held by the LAs. The profile of survey respondents was slightly younger than non-respondents: 53% were aged 14 and under, compared with 49% of non-respondents; and 4% were aged 17 and over, compared with 7% of non-respondents.

⁷ NB the age variable provided by LAs was used for weighting, whereas the results themselves are by self-reported age. There was some disparity between the two (see also Table 2.1) since the data on self-reported age were collected later, therefore the sample is slightly older.

**Table A3: Characteristics of respondents and non respondents,
based on LA-provided information**

	<i>2008</i>		
	Respondents unweighted	Respondents weighted	Non-respondents unweighted
	<i>percentages</i>		
Age			
14 and under	53	50	49
15 to 16	43	45	45
17 and over	4	5	7
Sex			
Male	48	52	54
Female	52	48	46
Age			
<i>Base - weighted</i>	-	4132	-
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	4132	-	10325
Sex			
<i>Base - weighted</i>	-	4105	-
<i>Base - unweighted</i>	4101	-	10262

8.3 Significance testing: minimum percentage point difference between two groups for statistical significance (at 5% level)

N for Group 2	%	N for Group 1									
		100	200	300	400	500	600	700	800	900	1000
100	10% or 90%	8	7	7	7	6	6	6	6	6	6
100	20% or 80%	11	10	9	9	9	8	8	8	8	8
100	30% or 70%	13	11	10	10	10	10	10	10	9	9
100	40% or 60%	14	12	11	11	11	10	10	10	10	10
100	50%	14	12	11	11	11	11	10	10	10	10
200	10% or 90%	7	6	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
200	20% or 80%	10	8	7	7	7	6	6	6	6	6
200	30% or 70%	11	9	8	8	8	7	7	7	7	7
200	40% or 60%	12	10	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	7
200	50%	12	10	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
300	10% or 90%	7	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
300	20% or 80%	9	7	6	6	6	6	5	5	5	5
300	30% or 70%	10	8	7	7	7	6	6	6	6	6
300	40% or 60%	11	9	8	7	7	7	7	7	6	6
300	50%	11	9	8	7	7	7	7	7	7	6
400	10% or 90%	7	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3
400	20% or 80%	9	7	6	6	5	5	5	5	5	5
400	30% or 70%	10	8	7	6	6	6	6	6	5	5
400	40% or 60%	11	8	7	7	6	6	6	6	6	6
400	50%	11	8	7	7	7	6	6	6	6	6
500	10% or 90%	6	5	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	3
500	20% or 80%	9	7	6	5	5	5	5	4	4	4
500	30% or 70%	10	8	7	6	6	5	5	5	5	5
500	40% or 60%	11	8	7	6	6	6	6	5	5	5
500	50%	11	8	7	7	6	6	6	6	5	5
600	10% or 90%	6	5	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	3
600	20% or 80%	8	6	6	5	5	5	4	4	4	4
600	30% or 70%	10	7	6	6	5	5	5	5	5	5
600	40% or 60%	10	8	7	6	6	6	5	5	5	5
600	50%	11	8	7	6	6	6	5	5	5	5
700	10% or 90%	6	5	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3
700	20% or 80%	8	6	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4
700	30% or 70%	10	7	6	6	5	5	5	5	5	4
700	40% or 60%	10	8	7	6	6	5	5	5	5	5
700	50%	10	8	7	6	6	5	5	5	5	5
800	10% or 90%	6	5	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3
800	20% or 80%	8	6	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4
800	30% or 70%	10	7	6	6	5	5	5	4	4	4
800	40% or 60%	10	8	7	6	5	5	5	5	5	5
800	50%	10	8	7	6	6	5	5	5	5	5
900	10% or 90%	6	5	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3
900	20% or 80%	8	6	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4
900	30% or 70%	9	7	6	5	5	5	5	4	4	4
900	40% or 60%	10	8	6	6	5	5	5	5	5	4
900	50%	10	8	7	6	5	5	5	5	5	5
1000	10% or 90%	6	5	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
1000	20% or 80%	8	6	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4
1000	30% or 70%	9	7	6	5	5	5	4	4	4	4
1000	40% or 60%	10	7	6	6	5	5	5	5	4	4
1000	50%	10	8	6	6	5	5	5	5	5	4

Example: Suppose we are comparing two percentages: 30% from Group 1 which has a sample size of 220, and 44% from Group 2 which has a sample size of 340.

Then the average of the two percentages is 37%. From the table the closest figures are: Sample size for Group 1 of 200; sample size for Group 2 of 300; and average percentage of 40%. From the table, a percentage point difference of 9 or more will be significant. Since $44\% - 30\% = 14$ and this is greater than 9, the difference between the two groups **is** significant.

Appendix B - Survey documents

Letter 1 (Advance letter to parent / guardian - NatCen mail-out)

Dear Parent or Guardian,

The Department for Children, Schools and Families (DCSF) is interested in finding out more about the activities available for young people in your area. For this reason, it has asked the National Centre for Social Research to carry out a study among young people. The study is looking at the types of activities that young people take part in.

Your child's name was supplied to us by your local authority which is helping DCSF with the study. The results of the study will be used by the government and your local authority to help plan better activities for young people in the future.

Your child's answers will be treated in strict confidence in accordance with the Data Protection Act.

I hope that you will agree to your child taking part. If you are happy for your child to help us with the study, please pass him/her the letter and questionnaire enclosed. Every young person's views are important to us so we may send a reminder to your child if we don't hear back. Your child can send back the questionnaire in the envelope provided - there is no need to put a stamp on it.

We may also want to telephone your child in a few months time to ask if he/she would be willing to answer some more questions about activities for young people. There is a section at the end of the questionnaire that asks if he / she would be happy to be contacted again and we ask for a telephone number to be written in. If your child agrees to be contacted again we will write to him/her before the telephone survey starts.

If you have any questions about this survey, please contact Helen Selwood at the National Centre on freephone 0800 652 4572 or write to her at National Centre, Blue Team, Operations Dept, NatCen, 101-135 Kings Road, Kings House, Brentwood, Essex CM14 4LX.

Thank you for your support with this important research.

Yours faithfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "H R Selwood". The signature is written in a cursive style with a horizontal line underlining the name.

Project Controller

Letter 1 (Advance letter to parent / guardian - LA mail-out)

Dear Parent or Guardian,

The Department for Children, Schools and Families (DCSF) is interested in finding out more about the activities available for young people in your area. For this reason, it has asked the National Centre for Social Research to carry out a study among young people. The study is looking at the types of activities that young people take part in.

Your child's name was picked by your local authority, which is helping DCSF with the study by mailing out these packs. The results of the study will be used by the government and your local authority to help plan better activities for young people in the future.

Your child's answers will be treated in strict confidence in accordance with the Data Protection Act.

I hope that you will agree to your child taking part. If you are happy for your child to help us with the study, please pass him/her the letter and questionnaire enclosed. Every young person's views are important to us so we may send a reminder to your child if we don't hear back. Your child can send back the questionnaire in the envelope provided - there is no need to put a stamp on it.

We may also want to telephone your child in a few months time to ask if he/she would be willing to answer some more questions about activities for young people. There is a section at the end of the questionnaire that asks if he / she would be happy to be contacted again and we ask for a telephone number to be written in. If your child agrees to be contacted again we will write to him / her before the telephone survey starts.

If you have any questions about this survey, please contact Helen Selwood at the National Centre on freephone 0800 652 4572 or write to her at National Centre, Blue Team, Operations Dept, NatCen, 101-135 Kings Road, Kings House, Brentwood, Essex CM14 4LX.

Thank you for your support with this important research.

Yours faithfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "H R Selwood". The signature is written in a cursive style with a horizontal line underlining the name.

Project Controller

Letter 2 (Advance letter to young person - NatCen mail-out)

Dear [Forename] [Surname] (young person's name to be merged-in)

We hope you will be able to help us with our study about the kinds of activities young people do in your area. We want you to tell us about any activities you've done recently. We'd also like to know a bit about you so we can see who is interested in what activities. Everything you tell us will be kept confidential.

NatCen is an independent research organisation that has been asked to do a study for the Department for Children, Schools and Families (DCSF). Your name was picked from a local council list of young people in your area. The information from this study will help the government and your council plan better activities for young people, like yourself, in the future.

Your views are really important to us so please fill in the questionnaire enclosed and return it using the envelope provided. You do not need a stamp. We explain more about what we would like you to do on the front of the questionnaire.

It doesn't matter whether you do loads of things or nothing at all - we are interested in everybody. Your answers will be treated in strict confidence in accordance with the Data Protection Act. The general findings will be made available to the local council but no-one will be able to identify you or any other young person.

We may also want to telephone you in a few months time to ask if you would be willing to answer a few more questions about activities for young people. If you are happy to do this, please sign your name on the last page of the questionnaire and give us a phone number where we can call you. If you do agree to be contacted again we will write to you before we telephone.

If you have any questions about this study, please contact Helen Selwood at the National Centre on freephone 0800 652 4572 or write to her at National Centre, Blue Team, Operations Dept, NatCen, 101-135 Kings Road, Kings House, Brentwood, Essex CM14 4LX.

Thank you for your support with this important research.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "H R Selwood". The signature is written in a cursive style with a long horizontal stroke at the end of the word "Selwood".

Project Controller

Letter 2 (Advance letter to young person - LA mail-out)

Dear Young Person

We hope you will be able to help us with our study about the kinds of activities young people do in your area. We want you to tell us about any activities you've done recently. We'd also like to know a bit about you so we can see who is interested in what activities. Everything you tell us will be kept confidential.

NatCen is an independent research organisation that has been asked to do a study for the Department for Children, Schools and Families (DCSF). Your name was picked from a local authority list of young people in your area. The information from this study will help the government and your council plan better activities for young people, like yourself, in the future.

Your views are really important to us so please fill in the questionnaire enclosed and return it using the envelope provided. You do not need a stamp. We explain more about what we would like you to do on the front of the questionnaire.

It doesn't matter whether you do loads of things or nothing at all - we are interested in everybody. Your answers will be treated in strict confidence in accordance with the Data Protection Act. The general findings will be made available to the local council but no-one will be able to identify you or any other young person.

We may also want to telephone you in a few months time to ask if you would be willing to answer a few more questions about activities for young people. If you are happy to do this, please sign your name on the last page of the questionnaire and give us a phone number where we can call you. If you do agree to be contacted again we will write to you before we telephone.

If you have any questions about this study, please contact Helen Selwood at the National Centre on freephone 0800 652 4572 or write to her at National Centre, Blue Team, Operations Dept, NatCen, 101-135 Kings Road, Kings House, Brentwood, Essex CM14 4LX.

Thank you for your support with this important research.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "H R Selwood". The signature is written in a cursive style with a long horizontal stroke at the end of the word "Selwood".

Project Controller

Postcard reminder



P2761 / <Serial><CL1>

[month] [year]

You and the things you do

Recently we sent you a questionnaire, asking about you and any activities that you've done recently. Your **views are very important and we would like to hear from you.**

If you have already returned the questionnaire - thank you. If not, please fill in the questionnaire and return it to us as soon as you can. The envelope we gave you does not need a stamp.

Thank you for your help.

Helen Selwood
Project Controller

The National Centre for Social Research
Blue Team, Operations Dept., NatCen
101-135 Kings Road, Kings House
Brentwood

FREE TELEPHONE FOR ANY QUESTIONS: 0800 652 4572



department for
children, schools and families

YOU

and the things you do

This questionnaire is part of a study about the activities for young people in different parts of England. The study is being carried out by NatCen, an independent research organisation, for the Department for Children, Schools and Families (DCSF).

We would like to hear from you about activities for young people in your area. So please take your time to look over the questions, and tell us about you by simply ticking the boxes.

Some instructions on how to fill in the questions are given on the back page.

All the answers that you give in this study will be confidential and will not be shown to anyone that you know, so please be honest with your views. Your answers will be collected together with the views of other young people in your area and will be used to help your local council plan better activities for people like you in the future.

We hope you will enjoy taking part in this important piece of research.

THANKS VERY MUCH FOR YOUR HELP

SN 1001-1006
CKL 1007
CARD 1008
BATCH 1009-1013

**WE PROMISE THAT YOUR ANSWERS ARE CONFIDENTIAL.
THEY WILL NOT BE SHOWN TO ANYONE THAT YOU KNOW**

ABOUT YOU

1 Are you?

Please tick one box only

1014

Male 1

Female 2

How old are you now?

Please tick one box only

1015-1016

12 or under 01

13 02

14 03

15 04

16 05

17 06

18 or over 07

I'd like to ask about what you are doing at the moment.

1017-1040

Are you currently doing any of the following activities?

Please tick all that apply to you

At school 01

At a college (studying for a qualification) 02

Paid work 03

Work-based training 04

Voluntary work 05

Other training or personal development courses or activities 06

Looking for a job, education or training place 07

Taking a break from study or work (include taking a gap year) 08

Looking after your child or children 09

Looking after the home or other family members 10

Being inactive because of an illness or disability 11

None of these 12

4 Are you currently receiving Free School Meals?

1041

Please tick one box only

- Yes – I always / usually take them 1
- Yes – I sometimes take them 2
- Yes – but I never / hardly ever take them 3
- No 4
- Don't know 5

SPORTS

The next questions are about various activities you might have done.

We only need to know about activities you do outside of school hours.
Do not include anything you do at school lunch or break times, but **do** include anything you do before and after school, and at the weekend.

So, thinking about your spare time outside of school hours...

1042-1095
SPACE 1096-1102

Have you taken part in any of these sports activities in the last 4 weeks?

Please tick all activities you have done in the last 4 weeks

- Badminton / Squash 01
- Basketball 02
- Cricket 03
- Football 04
- Hockey (including street hockey) 05
- Netball / Volleyball 06
- Rugby 07
- Rounders / Softball / Baseball 08
- Table tennis 09
- Tennis 10
- Pool / snooker / billiards 11
- Bowling 12

Continued over

Please tick all activities
you have done in the
last 4 weeks

Canoeing / rowing / sailing 13

Swimming 14

Athletics 15

Boxing / Martial arts / Judo / Karate 16

Cycling 17

Dance exercise / Aerobics 18

Gym / Circuit training 19

Gymnastics 20

Walking / climbing / Orienteering 21

Yoga / Pilates 22

Skateboarding / rollerblading / roller-skating 23

Ice skating / Ice hockey 24

Snowboarding / Skiing 25

Other (Please write in) 26

None of these 27

Now, thinking about all of the sporting activities you have taken part in...

1104

6 How often have you taken part in any of these
sporting activities in the last 4 weeks?

Please tick one box only

Once 1

Twice 2

Three times 3

Four times or more 4

Have not taken part in the last 4 weeks 5

PERFORMING AND CREATIVE ARTS

Thinking again about your spare time outside of school hours...

1105-1132
SPAPE1130-1140

Have you taken part in any of these activities connected with performing or creative arts in the last 4 weeks?

*Please tick all activities
you have done in the
last 4 weeks*

- Dance ⁰¹
- Drama / acting / theatre group ⁰²
- Playing a musical instrument ⁰³
- Singing e.g. in a choir, band ⁰⁴
- Music production / sound engineering ⁰⁵
- DJ-ing / MC-ing ⁰⁶
- Writing music, stories, poetry ⁰⁷
- Journalism ⁰⁸
- Painting, drawing or graffiti art ⁰⁹
- Crafts e.g. jewellery making, pottery, woodwork, fashion ¹⁰
- Photography, video or film making (not including holiday snaps) ¹¹
- Creating a website, blogging ¹²
- Other performing or creative activity (*Please write in*) ¹³
-
- None of these ¹⁴

Now, thinking about all of the activities connected with performing or creative arts you have taken part in...

1141

8 How often have you taken part in any of these activities connected with performing or creative arts in the last 4 weeks?

Please tick one box only

- Once ¹
- Twice ²
- Three times ³
- Four times or more ⁴
- Have not taken part in the last 4 weeks ⁵

COURSES AND OTHER LEARNING-RELATED ACTIVITIES

Remember, we are interested in what you do outside of school hours.
So, thinking about your spare time outside of school hours...

1142-1155
SPAPE 1156-1169

Have you taken part in any of these courses or other learning-related activities in the last 4 weeks? Please do not include any activities you have already mentioned elsewhere in this questionnaire.

*Please tick all activities
you have done in the
last 4 weeks*

Homework club / Saturday or Sunday School / Summer School 01

First aid course 02

Computer / IT course 03

Learning another language 04

Young Enterprise Scheme 05

Other learning-related activity (*Please write in*) 06

None of these 07

Now, thinking about all of the courses or learning-related activities
you have taken part in...

1164

10 How often have you taken part in any of these courses or learning-related activities in the last 4 weeks?

Please tick one box only

Once 1

Twice 2

Three times 3

Four times or more 4

Have not taken part in the last 4 weeks 5

CINEMA, THEATRE AND EVENTS

Thinking about your time outside of school hours.

1165-1188
SPAPE 1189-1196

Have you been to see any of the following events in the last 4 weeks?

*Please tick all activities
you have done in the
last 4 weeks*

- Film at cinema or other venue 01
- Plays / musicals 02
- Gigs / concerts / music festivals 03
- Comedy shows 04
- Classical music concerts / opera 05
- Dance performance e.g. modern dance / ballet 06
- Cultural festival e.g. Mela, Baisakhi 07
- Carnival, street fair or fete 08
- Sporting event (such as football match) 09
- Nightclubs (including under 18s club nights) 10
- Other events (*Please write in*) 11
-
- None of these 12

Now, thinking about all of the events you have been to...

1197
SPAPE 1198-1200

12 How often have you been to any events like these in the last 4 weeks?

Please tick one box only

- Once 1
- Twice 2
- Three times 3
- Four times or more 4
- Have not been in the last 4 weeks 5

MUSEUMS, GALLERIES AND PLACES OF INTEREST

Thinking about trips outside of school hours...

1301-1219
SPARE 1219-1226

Have you visited any of these places of interest in the last 4 weeks?

*Please tick all activities
you have done in the
last 4 weeks*

Museums 01

Art galleries 02

Public libraries 03

Trade or craft fair / exhibition or show
(such as Clothes Show Live or Top Gear MPH show) 04

Parks or gardens open to the public 05

Historic building or monument open to the public
(such as a castle or stately home) 06

Theme park (such as Alton Towers) 07

Other places of interest (*Please write in*) 08

None of these 09

Now, thinking about all of the places of interest you have been to...

1227

14 How often have you been to any places like these in the last 4 weeks?

Please tick one box only

Once 1

Twice 2

Three times 3

Four times or more 4

Have not been in the last 4 weeks 5

YOUTH GROUPS AND OTHER ACTIVITIES

Thinking about your spare time outside of school hours...

1228-1249
SPAFE 1244-1251

Have you taken part in any of these youth groups or activities in the last 4 weeks?

Please tick all activities you have done in the last 4 weeks

- Youth group at a place of worship 01
- Other youth club / community centre 02
- Holiday club / scheme 03
- Scouts or Guides 04
- Cadet force 05
- Duke of Edinburgh Award scheme 06
- Other youth activities (*Please write in*) 07
-
- None of these 08

Now, thinking about all of the youth groups or activities you have taken part in...

1252

16 How often have you taken part in any of these youth groups or activities in the last 4 weeks?

Please tick one box only

- Once 1
- Twice 2
- Three times 3
- Four times or more 4
- Have not taken part in the last 4 weeks 5

FINDING OUT ABOUT ACTIVITIES

17 How easy or difficult is it to find out information about activities for young people in your local area? 1253

Please tick one box only

Very easy ¹

Fairly easy ²

Fairly difficult ³

Very difficult ⁴

18 How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with how many activities there are available for young people in your local area? 1254

Please tick one box only

Satisfied ¹

Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied ²

Dissatisfied ³

19 How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with how good the activities available for young people in your local area are? 1255
SPARE 1256-1260

Please tick one box only

Satisfied ¹

Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied ²

Dissatisfied ³

Your time

20 Would you like to spend more time doing any of the activities mentioned anywhere in this questionnaire? 1261

Please tick one box only

Yes ¹ → Go to question **21**

No ² → Go to question **22**

Don't know ³ → Go to question **22**

21

Which of the following would make it easier for you to get involved in activities you would like to spend more time doing?

1262-1287
SPAPE 1288-1295

Please tick all that apply to you

If a friend / family member asked me directly to get involved 01

If someone else I know asked me directly to get involved 02

If my friends or family got involved with me 03

If I had more free time 04

If I knew I could get any transport expenses paid 05

If I knew I could get the cost of the activities paid 06

If someone could provide transport when I needed it 07

If I knew it would improve my job prospects 08

If I could do it from home 09

If someone who was already involved was there to help get me started 10

If I knew it would help me improve my skills 11

If I knew more about the sorts of things that are available 12

Other (Please write in) 13

22

Have you been involved with choosing what activities are going to be put on for young people in your area?

1296

Please tick one box only

Yes 1

No 2

Don't know 3

WHAT YOU THINK ABOUT LEARNING

23 Please tick the box that comes closest to showing how you feel about your school work? ¹²⁹⁷

Please tick one box only

Happy ¹

Neither happy nor unhappy ²

Unhappy ³

This time, please tick one box for each of these statements to show whether you agree or disagree..

Tick one box on each line

Agree Neither Disagree
 agree nor
 disagree

School is worthwhile ¹ ² ³ ¹²⁹⁸

I'm not interested in doing any learning ¹ ² ³ ¹²⁹⁹

I'm able to make decisions about my future ¹ ² ³ ¹³⁰⁰

I know where to go for help and information about things that are important to me ¹ ² ³ ¹³⁰¹

I am happy to ask for help and information when I need it ¹ ² ³ ¹³⁰²

GENERAL FEELINGS

25 The next few questions are about how you feel about different things. Please tick the box that comes closest to showing how you feel about the following things:

Tick one box on each line

Happy Neither Unhappy
 happy nor
 unhappy

Your appearance? ¹ ² ³ ¹³⁰³

Your health? ¹ ² ³ ¹³⁰⁴

Your family? ¹ ² ³ ¹³⁰⁵

Your friends? ¹ ² ³ ¹³⁰⁶

The area you live in? ¹ ² ³ ¹³⁰⁷

Your life as a whole? ¹ ² ³ ¹³⁰⁸

SPAFE 1309-1320

ABOUT YOU

26 Which of the following best describes your ethnic background?

1321-1322
SPAPE 1323-1350

Please tick one box only

- White**
- White British 01
- White Irish 02
- Any other white background (*Please write in*) 03
-
- Mixed background**
- White and Black Caribbean 04
- White and Black African 05
- White and Asian 06
- Any other mixed background (*Please write in*) 07
-
- Asian or Asian British**
- Indian 08
- Pakistani 09
- Bangladeshi 10
- Any other Asian background (*Please write in*) 11
-
- Black or Black British**
- Caribbean 12
- African 13
- Any other Black background (*Please write in*) 14
-
- Other**
- Chinese 15
- Any other (*Please write in*) 16

HEALTH

27 Do you have a disability or health problem that you expect to last for more than a year?

1351

Please tick one box only

Yes → Go to question **28**

No → Go to question **29**

28 Does this illness or disability limit your daily activities in any way?

1352

Please tick one box only

Yes ₁

No ₂

29 Is there anyone living with you who you look after or give special help to because they are elderly, or have a long standing illness or disability?

1353

Please tick one box only

Yes 1

No 2

HOUSEHOLD

30 It would be very helpful to know a little more about you and your household. Do you mainly live with any of the following people? Please tick everyone that applies to you.

1354-1359

Please tick all that apply

Father / mother's partner / stepfather / foster father 1

Mother / father's partner / stepmother / foster mother 2

Other guardian 3

Brothers or sisters (including stepbrothers and stepsisters) 4

Any other relative 5

Any other person 6

31 If you mainly live with your father / mother's partner / stepfather / foster father, is he in paid work at the moment?

1360

Please tick one box only

Yes, full-time (30 or more hours per week) 1

Yes, part-time (less than 30 hours per week) 2

No 3

Do not live with father / mother's partner / stepfather / foster father 4

32 If you mainly live with your mother / father's partner / stepmother / foster mother, is she in paid work at the moment?

1361
SPARE 1362-1999

Please tick one box only

Yes, full-time (30 or more hours per week) 1

Yes, part-time (less than 30 hours per week) 2

No 3

Do not live with mother / father's partner / stepmother / foster mother 4

CONTACTING YOU

We may want to contact you again in a few months time to ask you a few more questions about activities for young people. If you would be willing for us to do this please sign your name below.

SH 2001-2066
CKL 2007
CARD 2008
BATCH 2009-2013

You name (please print)

2014-2083

Your signature

2064

We would like to get back in touch with you by telephone. Please provide up to two telephone numbers on which we can contact you. These can be landlines or mobile numbers. If you are giving someone else's phone number (such as your mum's or dad's) please check that they don't mind.

We promise that your name and telephone number are confidential and will only be used so that we can re-contact you.

2065-2079

2080-2094

We will write to you again before we telephone.

Should we have sent this questionnaire to a different address?
If so, please write your new address details here:

Address

2095-2354
SPARE 2355-2999

**THANK YOU FOR COMPLETING THIS QUESTIONNAIRE.
PLEASE PUT IT IN THE ENVELOPE PROVIDED AND SEND IT BACK TO US.
YOU DO NOT NEED A STAMP.**

**WE PROMISE THAT YOUR ANSWERS ARE CONFIDENTIAL.
THEY WILL NOT BE SHOWN TO ANYONE THAT YOU KNOW**

THIS PAGE SHOWS YOU HOW TO FILL IN THE QUESTIONNAIRE

The following questions can be answered by putting a tick (i.e. ✓) in the box next to the answer that applies to you.

Sometimes you'll be asked to tick one box only, other times you can tick more than one box. Some of the questions will ask you whether you have done something in the last 4 weeks. For example, if you had been to the cinema and a concert in the last 4 weeks you would fill in the questionnaire like this:

Have you been to see any of the following events in the last 4 weeks?

*Please tick **all** activities
you have done in the
last 4 weeks*

Film at a cinema or other venue

Gigs / concerts / music festivals

Or sometimes you have to tick a box and write in an answer, for example:

Have you taken part in any of these sports activities in the last 4 weeks?

*Please tick **all** activities
you have done in the
last 4 weeks*

Other (Please write in)

American football

You are sometimes told to skip over some questions in this study.

When this happens, you will see an arrow with a note that tells you what question to answer next, like this:

Yes → Go to question **4**

No → Go to question **5**

If you make a mistake, just cross through the wrong answer, and then write in – or tick – the right answer like this:

How old are you now?

*Please tick **one** box only*

11 or under

12

13 /

NOW PLEASE GO TO QUESTION NUMBER 1, ON PAGE 2

Questionnaire (Version 2 - LA mail-out)

The questionnaire used for the LA mail out used a different 'contacting you' page (as shown below), otherwise was the same as the version 1.

CONTACTING YOU

We may want to contact you again in a few months time to ask you a few more questions about activities for young people. If you would be willing for us to do this please sign your name below.

BN 2001-2006
CML 2007
CARD 2008
BATCH 2009-2013

You name (please print)

2014-2005

Your signature

2004

We would like to get back in touch with you by telephone. Please provide up to two telephone numbers on which we can contact you. These can be landlines or mobile numbers. If you are giving someone else's phone number (such as your mum's or dad's) please check that they don't mind.

We promise that your name and telephone number are confidential and will only be used so that we can re-contact you.

2005-2070

2000-2004

We will write to you again before we telephone.

So that we can do this please write in your full address details below:
(You can leave this blank if you don't want us to send you a letter before we telephone)

Address

2005-2004
SPARE 2005-2000

**THANK YOU FOR COMPLETING THIS QUESTIONNAIRE.
PLEASE PUT IT IN THE ENVELOPE PROVIDED AND SEND IT BACK TO US.
YOU DO NOT NEED A STAMP.**

**WE PROMISE THAT YOUR ANSWERS ARE CONFIDENTIAL.
THEY WILL NOT BE SHOWN TO ANYONE THAT YOU KNOW**

Page 15

Appendix C - The pilot areas

Bolton	
Local name	B'Smart
Website	http://www.boltonathome.org.uk/BSmart/index.html
What	<p>Bolton Council currently operates a smart card (or sQuid scheme) for young people (12,000 registered users). It functions as a pre-paid debit card and will be renamed as the B'Smart card as part of EYPP.</p> <p>A target group will receive £35 per month through government funding. The cost for non-target users will be paid for by the Council. Young people will be able to use their credits and leisure and library facilities, with retail outlets and bus services being added later. Within the requirements of an agreed maximum per card, young people will be able to save for a more expensive activity.</p>
Who	3,500 young people will have access to a B'Smart bursary. However, to avoid stigmatisation, B'Smart cards are open to all young people. It is being trailed by a local extended services provider (a secondary school), in terms of enrolment to and acquisition of the card and of payment for services
How much	£2,288,000
Why	Young people who hold a B'Smart card will be given demand-led choice, autonomy and spontaneous spending power.
Consultation	Young people: During set-up, launch and through the life of the scheme to enable additional activity locations to be identified and come on line. Also consulting with workforce (heads of services and voluntary reps) and activity providers (public, private and voluntary providers).

Cambridgeshire	
Local name	g2g Card (Got to Go)
Website	http://www.purplepigeon.net/g2gcard http://www.g2gcard.co.uk/faqs.php
What	Young people will be provided with a chip and PIN prepaid debit card worth £40 per month (this can be carried over so young people can save for a bigger activity). Since some providers will not be able to accept debit card payments, Connexions staff can make payments on behalf of young people. Spending power will be combined with the individual support and other youth initiatives (e.g. extended schools, community based youth workers or a one-2-one learning mentor)
Who	2,000 young people will be drawn from 1,300 young people aged 13-16 (and 17 if in full-time education) who are in receipt of free school meals, plus further 200 young people in this age group who are looked after, and 500 who are economically disadvantaged as identified and referred by front line professionals.
How much	Unknown
Why	Placing spending power in the hands of disadvantaged young people removes financial barriers to participation and provides a support structure for young people to participate. Young people are given an opportunity to influence provision through spending power and via engagement with the broader Youth Offer.
Consultation	YOC consultation event held with mainly looked-after children, and also parents and activity providers (December 2006) provides some relevant information. Consultation event for young people approximately 5 months prior to the go-live date. Parents / carers will be asked to engage with their children and will be notified via promotional materials and professional working with them.

Camden	
Local name	COO-L (Choice and Opportunities On-Line)
Website	http://www.camden.coo-l.com/
What	COO-L will enable local leisure centres, venues and events organisers to advertise their activities for young people to book. Enrolled young people will receive a £40 grant per month to spend on activities of their choice, advertised on this website. When visiting the website, young people can book themselves on activities. COO-L will build upon activities available through Camden Summer University Programme, those funded through the Youth Opportunity Fund (103 new activities in Camden) and Capital Funds.
Who	The target group of young people are those who eligible for Free School Meals and resident within the borough. This is 1,900 young people in school years 9-12 with particular priority given to Looked After Children and those with learning difficulties and disabilities.
How much	£1,377,125
Why	The overall objectives for the COOL project are to test: 1) Whether placing spending power - through money and discounts - directly in the hands of individual young people who currently don't engage in constructive activities encourages them to do so. We want to get the most robust evidence we can of impact. 2) That putting spending power in the hands of young people will make providers more responsive to the needs of young people.
Consultation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Young people, through the various youth forums already established, including the Youth Council Steering Group, the Young People's LDD Forum ("Young People for Inclusion") the YOF & YCF Young People's Commissioning Panel and School Councils. • The workforce through existing borough-wide and locality based meetings. • Schools through School Strategic Group. • Parents / carers through Parents Council. • Activity Providers and the voluntary sector through the Children & Families Network.

Durham	
Local name	Get out there
Website	www.getoutthere.info
What	<p>Web based power user credit system account (an extension to the Publicising Positive Activities Directory). Young people choose activities from the Positive Activities Directory, book and 'pay' for their activities by transferring credits from their Power user account. Young People will be able to book in advance for activities, telephone or turn up and book at some venues (known as 'walk up' system).</p> <p>Young People will have the option to gain accreditation for their participation through Youth Achievement Award Challenges at different levels depending on the extent of their involvement.</p> <p>Arrangements will be made for Young People to access transport costs in cash through key workers and the area development workers. Young People will transfer the equivalent number of credits to the worker's organisation account in return for the money, these accounts will be treated the same as an activity providers account for reimbursement of funds.</p>
Who	2600 Young People in Years 9-12 who claim or are eligible for FSM (i.e. 54 per cent of such Young People). The remaining cohort will be identified and targeted through the five Local Children's Boards (LCB's), who will be responsible for marketing the pilot to YP who meet the eligibility criteria. Young people will be made aware and nominated by key workers. Looked After Young People will be allocated places at a County level. Schools will be able to nominate Young People for the scheme.
How much	Unknown
Why	To empower Young People to have the spending power and support needed to make choices to participate in positive activities. The simplicity and lack of need for specialist equipment will ensure even the smallest of quality activity providers can participate, giving Young People the widest choice possible to enjoy and achieve
Consultation	Young People, parents, LAC in residential care

Lincolnshire	
Local name	Go For It
Website	www.goforitinlincs.org and www.c4yp.co.uk
What	<p>Young people receive a 'baseline offer' with a cash value of £25 per month (those from rural areas get an extra £15 per month mainly for transport costs). This pays for an activity, transport or for equipment necessary to engage in an activity. The money is paid into a 'virtual positive activities account' specific to individual young people. There is a 'learning line phone number' to support young people and for them book activities. Phone operators will also arrange for payments to providers.</p> <p>Young people produce an Engagement Plan and complete a Citizenship Max Respect application for an age verification card which providers will use to verify access to Positive Activities. They are issued a Passport to Positive Activities booklet to keep their own record of activities undertaken alongside amounts spent.</p>
Who	2,000 young people aged 13-18 who are in public care or eligible for free school meals (household income of less than £14,000). It is anticipated that approx. 800 of these live in rural areas and will find transport as a significant barriers to engagement in Positive Activities. Young people will be targeted individually by key workers (incl. Connexions Personal Advisers, Youth Workers, PAYP workers, Teachers, Drug and Alcohol Workers or Youth Offending Staff)
How much	£1,514,700
Why	By supporting Young People to have individual spending power, the pilot will increase their participation in positive activities as providers increasingly become more responsive to delivering what young people want. It will open up opportunities for disadvantaged young people to participate in positive activities without any stigma being attached to it. The key focus for the target group will centre on those who are economically disadvantaged and those who traditionally face barriers to participation in positive activities.
Consultation	Young People from the County's Young Peoples YOF/YCF and Lincolnshire Youth Cabinet

Liverpool	
Local name	Empowering Young People Pilot - (from interview) 08 Plus card
Website	Unknown at present
What	The project delivery mechanism will be a variant of the recently launched 08 Card (www.lys.org.uk and www.08card.co.uk) on offer to all Merseyside residents. The card carries a range of offers to Merseyside residents but the pilot card carries additional privileges and enables payment for activities via a credit loading system (credits are loaded to eligible cards at the beginning of each month). The target group will be able to save their subsidy to enable them to take part in more expensive or 'one-off' activities. A range of activity providers will be recruited via the Positive Activities web site to give a broad range of activities. Terminals will be installed at the point of sale and staff trained to accept the card.
Who	1,000 young people in years 9-11 including those living in the worst one per cent of the City with an entitlement of FSM, young carers and young people with a full Statement of SEN with FSM entitlement and LAC. The majority of the cohort will have a key/lead professional (e.g. foster carer, Learning Mentor, Connexions PA, YOS Worker, Barnardos Support Worker, Youth Worker) already assigned who will review with them, the types and frequency of the activities they undertake on a regular basis.
How much	£1,071,437
Why	Stated as general hypothesis - also: To align the EYPP Pilot alongside other local and national agenda's and programmes for young people. This complimentary approach will ensure the Pilot, whilst a stand alone programme will help shape and inform the development of our Extended School Programme, integrated youth provision and underpin the delivery of the Respect Agenda
Consultation	Councillors, Neighbourhood Management teams and Headteacher associations, young people, Consultation with activity providers from the public, private and voluntary sectors, parents

Nottingham	
Local name	Young Person's Citycard 360 - from interview 'Citycard' (For correspondence use 'EYPP travel credits contained on the 360 Citycard')
Website	http://citycardnottingham.co.uk/360.html
What	EYPP is to be combined with the City's Citycard (available to City residents under 16 years who attend City schools). It is primarily a travel card and also gives access to libraries and leisure centres, along with retail discounts. EYPP will build on this by putting access to free travel on a young person's Citycard for 10 days each month (i.e. £20). This will allow them to travel where they want on the City's public transport system in order to undertake positive activities. Young person can only get a free day's travel if taking part in a positive activity, but will have free travel for the rest of the day.
Who	Approx. 3,500 young people will be eligible for the scheme either through being assessed as eligible for free school meals or through being in care. Those eligible for the scheme will go through a registration process which will draw on information currently held within the Free School Meals system.
How much	£1,291,418
Why	The City Council believes that the cost of travel to participate in a positive activity is disproportionate to the cost of participation itself for young people and it is, therefore, the travel cost which in many cases presents a barrier to participation. The City Council proposes to test whether transport costs are a barrier to participation by offering a limited amount of free travel to economically disadvantaged young people throughout the duration of the pilot.
Consultation	Based on previous surveys: Youth Matters consultations; Neighbourhood Forum; Tell Us; and Best Value Reviews and MORI surveys

Sunderland	
Local name	Let's Go Card
Website	www.letsgosunderland.com (going live 1 April)
What	Young people will be given a smart card to be used in conjunction with a website. The website will list positive activities and young people can book an electronic ticket. Smart cards will store 'tickets' which are given to providers by swiping in at venues. Smart cards provide £33 per month. This can be used immediately or accrued to max. £150 to enable young people to participate in more expensive activities. Schools, extended school programmes and high-street providers will be registered to the EYP portal. Young people will be able to book and participate as they would any other chargeable activity.
Who	Y9 -Y12 who are entitled to FSM or Looked After Children (approx. 2,200 i.e. 15% of all young people in this age group). The scheme seeks to capture young people who participate in risk taking behaviours (e.g. anti-social behaviour, offending, teenage pregnancy, substance misuse and homelessness). Young people will receive a personal invitation to join and a unique reference number. There are 17 secondary, four special schools and one PRU serving the EYPP cohort.
How much	£2,179,665
Why	To increase participation by disadvantaged young people who don't currently engage in constructive activities whilst still being able to access the full range of (TYS) and a lead professional. It is envisaged that this project will considerably help young people to build relationships with positive role models, improve their self-confidence and self-esteem and so improve their chances of attaining better outcomes. Thus considerably strengthening and developing the Youth Offer for Sunderland's most vulnerable teenagers including those who are hard to reach and in danger of becoming disaffected.
Consultation	<p>Young People - through EYPP 'Youth Participation Officer', EYPP-specific focus group, and links with Sunderland Youth Parliament, citywide, area and neighbourhood forums and groups.</p> <p>Parents - through Parenting Offer (launched in autumn 2007) and a Parenting Board (established with buy-in from partners including the Parenting Champion and Parenting Commissioner for the City).</p> <p>Providers - through representative involved with the Integrated Youth Offer</p>

Tower Hamlets	
Local name	COO-L (Choice and Opportunity On-Line)
Website	www.coo-l.co.uk
What	COO-L is an online booking system which will make bookings and deduct funds from a young person's account. Young people will receive £40 per month. Young people will be able to book in advance, or telephone via the COO-L section or turn up at some venues where this has been pre-arranged.
Who	LAC group: 110 approx aged 13-16 PRU group: 220 in 13-16 age group (majority FSM) YP with a current statement: 449 YP on School Action Plus: SEN young people failing to make adequate progress YP failing at KS3/4 Social Inclusion Panel (SIP) referral (where YP fall into the appropriate income/age group) NEET group: approx. 120 in equivalent Y12
How much	£1,434,701
Why	To build self esteem, confidence and social cohesion by giving young people the chance to undertake positive activities in a situation in which they can decide what to do and where to go and can influence the provision that is available to them. The pilot will concentrate support on young people with disrupted social and emotional backgrounds and/or a history of underachieving or not taking part in education.
Consultation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Head teachers through the Heads Forum and the Heads news letter • Young people through the Youth Partnership • The workforce through the Steering Group • Parents through Voice Events in schools or at the Town Hall, research on parental attitude to YOC and engaging with parents through presentations.

Tel 0845 6022260
Fax 0845 6033360
Email dcsf@prolog.uk.com

Ref: DCSF-RW064

ISBN 978 1 84775 286 4

© National Centre for Social Research 2008

www.dcsf.gov.uk/research

Published by the Department for
Children, Schools and Families