Mental Health of Children and Young People in England, 2017

Hyperactivity disorders

This topic report examines the prevalence of hyperactivity disorders in 5 to 19 year olds in England in 2017 and the characteristics of children and young people with a hyperactivity disorder. The Development and Well-Being Assessment (DAWBA) tool was used to assess for a range of hyperactivity disorders.

About one in sixty (1.6%) 5 to 19 year olds had a hyperactivity disorder. Rates were higher in boys (2.6%) than girls (0.6%).

### Any hyperactivity disorder by age and sex, 2017

**Base:** 5 to 19 year olds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Boys</th>
<th>Girls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 to 10</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 to 16</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 to 19</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 19</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NHS Digital
Main findings

Prevalence of hyperactivity disorders

- About one in sixty (1.6%) of 5 to 19 year olds had a hyperactivity disorder. Rates were higher in boys (2.6%) than girls (0.6%). Rates of hyperactivity disorders were lower in children aged 17 to 19 (0.8%) compared to children aged 11 to 16 (2.0%)

Characteristics of children with hyperactivity disorders

- **Demographics:** The prevalence of hyperactivity disorders varied between ethnic groups, with rates highest in White British children (2.1%)
- **Health:** Children whose general health was rated as fair, bad or very bad had higher rates of hyperactivity disorders (2.9%) as did children with a recognised special educational need (11.9%). However in both instances, the hyperactivity disorder may have been the special educational need or an aspect of the child’s general health
- **Family:** Rates of hyperactivity disorders were higher in children in households with less healthy family functioning (3.4%) and in children of parents with poor mental health (3.2%)
- **Socioeconomics:** Children living with a parent in receipt of income-related benefits or disability benefits were more likely to have a hyperactivity disorder (2.6% and 5.8% respectively) than children living with parents who were not receiving these benefits (1.4% and 1.2% respectively)
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This report may be of interest to people working with children and young people in mental health, social care or educational settings, as well as to policy officials, commissioners of health and care services, and parents, young people and the general public. A profile of children and young people who are most likely to be affected by hyperactivity disorders is presented.
Acknowledgements

First of all, we thank all the children, young people, parents and teachers who so generously gave their time to participate in this survey.

Running a national survey relies on the expertise of many people. We thank the professional and committed interviewers, operations department, computing, statistical, and survey and data management staff based at the National Centre for Social Research (NatCen) and the Office for National Statistics (ONS).

In NatCen, we would like to thank Franziska Marcheselli, Dhriti Mandalia, Si Ning Yeoh, Laura Brown, Nikki Leftly, Helen Henderson, Emma Fenn, Susan Corbett, Matt Jonas, Sally Bridges, Rachel Craig, Richard Boreham and Gillian Prior.

In ONS, this survey would not have taken place without the work of Ellie Brodie, Jodie Davis, Charlotte Guinee, Steve Maurice, Simon Robinson, Mark Rowland, Adam White, Alexandra Pop, Salah Merad and Dean Fletcher.

We are also indebted to the team of ONS and NatCen interviewers who spent countless hours interviewing participants who took part in this survey.

Clinical raters undertook the enormous task of reviewing information on all the children and young people who took part. From the University of Exeter College of Medicine and Health these included Carmen Apostu, Pamela Bowman, Tamsin Newlove-Delgado, Oana Mitrofan and Eva Wooding. From Kings College London: Sophie Epstein, Andrew McWilliams, Helena Hamilton, Christine Kuhn. Thanks to Bruce Clark and the Body Dysmorphic Disorder team from South London and Maudsley Hospital for independently rating BDD diagnoses.

The survey benefited from an expert steering group, we would like to thank Miranda Wolpert, Peter Fonagy, Catherine Newsome, Lucy Heyes, Helen Duncan, Jessica Sharp, David Lockwood, Jeremy Clark, Alexandra Lazaro, and Nilum Patel.

NHS Digital commissioned the survey series with funding from the Department of Health and Social Care. We are particularly grateful to Dan Collinson, Alison Neave, Steven Webster, Jane Town, Ben Osborne and Kate Croft for their thoughtful engagement throughout.
Introduction

Major surveys of the mental health of children and young people in England were carried out in 1999 (Meltzer et al., 2000), 2004 (Green et al., 2005), and 2017. The latest survey was funded by the Department of Health and Social Care, commissioned by NHS Digital, and carried out by the National Centre for Social Research, the Office for National Statistics and Youthinmind.

In each of the three surveys, the Development and Well-Being Assessment (DAWBA) was administered to a stratified probability sample of children and young people and their parents and teachers (Goodman et al., 2000). Cases were reviewed by clinically-trained raters. While many surveys use brief tools to screen for nonspecific psychiatric distress or dissatisfaction, this series applied rigorous, detailed and consistent methods to assess for a range of different types of disorder according to International Classification of Disease (ICD-10) diagnostic criteria (WHO, 1992). Comparable data is available for 5 to 15 year olds living in England in 1999, 2004, and 2017. In keeping with broadening definitions of adolescence (Sawyer et al., 2018) the 2017 sample was the first in the series to include 17 to 19 year olds. Children aged 2 to 4 were also included in the sample, offering a rare insight into the prevalence of mental disorders in preschool aged children.

This topic report examines the:

- Prevalence of hyperactivity disorders in 5 to 19 year olds, by age and sex
- Health, social, and economic characteristics of children and young people with a hyperactivity disorder, compared to those without

Information on the prevalence of hyperactivity disorders for the preschool population (2 to 4 year olds) can be found in the Preschool Children topic report.

As well as a Summary Report, a series of other topic reports are available focusing on:

- Trends and characteristics
- Emotional disorders
- Behavioural disorders
- Autism spectrum, eating and other less common disorders
- Predictors of mental disorders (to be released at a later date)
- Multiple conditions and wellbeing
- Professional services, informal support and education
- Behaviours, lifestyles and identities
- Preschool children

Further information about the survey methods and can be found in the Methods and Definitions sections at the end of this report, as well as in the Survey Design and Methods Report. All reports are available at: https://digital.nhs.uk/pubs/mhcypsurvey17.
Background

Hyperactivity disorders start in childhood and are characterised by developmentally inappropriate patterns of inattention, impulsivity, and hyperactivity. Children with hyperactivity disorders may find it hard to sit still, may act without thinking first, and tend to start but not finish things. While most children behave like this sometimes, for those with hyperactivity disorders these symptoms are marked, persistent and cause problems in more than one setting, such as school, home or social situations.

Hyperactivity disorders can affect children and young people throughout their lives, disrupting relationships and making everyday life difficult (NICE, 2018). In addition, hyperactivity disorders in adulthood have been linked to being economically inactive, having no qualifications, and having a substance abuse disorder (Jotangia & Brugha, 2009).

Children who have a hyperactivity disorder are at greater risk of developing dysfunctional personality traits such as delinquency and antisocial behaviour (Taylor et al., 2004). In addition, the inattentive and restless behaviour associated with hyperactivity disorders persists into adolescence and adult life. Analysis of follow-up studies of children diagnosed with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) has indicated that about 15% of children diagnosed with ADHD retained the diagnosis at age 25. A further 50% of children with ADHD were in partial remission by age 25, meaning they still experienced some impairing symptoms (Faraone et al., 2006). More recent follow-up studies of children with ADHD attending child mental health services found higher persistence rates into adulthood (van Lieshout et al., 2016).

This topic report splits hyperactivity disorders into two categories:

- **Hyperkinetic disorder** - Symptoms of inattention, hyperactivity and impulsivity are present and lead to impairment in several settings such as school or work, home life and leisure activities. Symptoms are evident by seven years old, and can be identified retrospectively

- **Other hyperactivity disorders** - A child or young person fails to meet all the criteria for hyperkinetic disorder, but still experiences significant impairment due to overactivity, inattention and impulsivity. For example, the age of onset was reported as being after the age of seven years
Hyperactivity and Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD)

Hyperactivity disorders can be identified by two official sets of diagnostic criteria: the International Classification of Diseases 10th Revision (ICD-10) (WHO, 1992) and the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders fifth edition (DSM-5) (APA, 2013). The results in this survey are based on the ICD-10 classification of hyperactivity disorders. ICD-10 is the official classification system in the UK, and has been used in the 1999 and 2004 surveys of the mental health of children and young people in England.

The ICD-10 classification of hyperkinetic disorder is similar to the DSM-5 classification of ADHD. Both classification systems require symptoms to present themselves in several settings such as school or work, home life and leisure activities (NICE, 2018). However, the ICD-10 criteria for hyperkinetic disorder tends to be more restrictive than the DSM-5 criteria for ADHD in identification of hyperactivity disorders (Lahey et al., 2006). For example, an ADHD diagnosis requires symptoms to be present by twelve years of age while symptoms of hyperkinetic disorder must be present by the age of seven. As a result, the rates of hyperactivity disorders presented in this report (based on ICD-10 criteria for hyperkinetic disorder) are likely to be lower compared to other surveys which utilise DSM-5 criteria (ADHD).

Terminology

In this report, the words ‘children’, ‘boys’ and ‘girls’ are used, even when 17 to 19 year olds are included in the group. This is to avoid the text becoming cumbersome.

The term ‘mental disorder’ is also used, although we are sensitive to the negative connotations this word can have. It is used because the survey did not just screen for general mental health problems, but applied operationalised diagnostic criteria for specific disorders (see the Survey Design and Methods Report for detail).
Prevalence of hyperactivity disorders

Hyperactivity disorders by age and sex

About one in sixty (1.6%) of 5 to 19 year olds were identified as having a hyperactivity disorder. This is an estimate based on a sample. If all children in the population had participated, it is likely that the proportion identified with a hyperactivity disorder would have been between 1.4% and 1.9%. This range is referred to as the 95% confidence interval (CI). If the sample had been drawn twenty times, for nineteen of those we would expect the estimate to be in this range.

Hyperactivity disorders were more common in boys (2.6%) than girls (0.6%). Rates of hyperactivity disorders were lower in children aged 17 to 19 (0.8%) compared to children aged 11 to 16 (2.0%). (Figure 1; Table 1)

Figure 1: Any hyperactivity disorder by age and sex, 2017
Base: 5 to 19 year olds
Per cent

The majority of children with a hyperactivity disorder met the criteria for hyperkinetic disorder (1.4%), with 0.3% identified with other types of hyperactivity disorder.

---

1 See the Methods section of this report and the Survey Design and Methods Report for further confidence interval information for the estimates presented in this report.

2 These are estimates based on a sample, it is likely that the proportion of children with a hyperactivity disorder would have been between 2.1% and 3.1% for boys and between 0.4% and 0.8% for girls.

3 The proportion of children with a hyperkinetic disorder and other hyperactivity disorders do not sum to the proportion of children with a hyperactivity disorder due to rounding.
Children aged 5 to 10 years and 11 to 16 years had similar levels of hyperkinetic disorder (1.6% and 1.7% respectively) while children aged between 17 and 19 had the lowest rate (0.4%).

**Children and young people with a hyperactivity disorder**

**Hyperactivity disorders by ethnic group**

There was an association between ethnic group and the presence of a hyperactivity disorder in children. Hyperactivity disorders were most common in children who identified as White British (2.1%). (Figure 2; Table 2)

**Figure 2: Any hyperactivity disorder by ethnic group, 2017**

Base: 5 to 19 year olds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnic group</th>
<th>Per cent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White British</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Other</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian / Asian British</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed / Other</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NHS Digital

**Footnotes**

Due to the small sample sizes for children of black and minority ethnic backgrounds, this survey cannot confidently detect low prevalence rates. No children from Black / Black British ethnic backgrounds were assessed as having a hyperactivity disorder in this survey, and as a result are not presented in this chart.
Hyperactivity disorders by special educational needs

Children with recognised special educational needs were more likely to have a hyperactivity disorder (11.9%) than those without (0.8%). The survey did not establish whether the special educational needs that were recognised related directly to the disorder itself, but it is likely that this would sometimes have been the case. The kinds of disorders that can make it harder to cope in a school environment, like hyperactivity disorders, may be particularly likely to be recognised as special educational needs. (Figure 3; Table 3)

Figure 3: Any hyperactivity disorder by special educational needs, 2017
Base: 5 to 19 year olds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Special educational needs</th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Not present</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Per cent</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NHS Digital
Hyperactivity disorders by child’s general health

There was an association between children’s general health and the presence of a hyperactivity disorder. Figure 4 shows that hyperactivity disorders were least prevalent among children whose general health was rated as very good (1.0%) and most prevalent among children whose general health was rated as fair, bad or very bad (2.9%). (Figure 4; Table 4)

Figure 4: Any hyperactivity disorder by child’s general health, 2017
Base: 5 to 19 year olds
Per cent

It should be noted that when children, young people and their parents assessed general health they are likely to have considered both the child’s mental health and their physical health.
Hyperactivity disorders by parent’s mental health

There was an association between the mental health of a parent (indicated by the 12-item General Health Questionnaire (GHQ) score) and the prevalence of a hyperactivity disorder in children. Children of parents whose score indicated that they may have a common mental disorder (GHQ-12 score of 4 or more) had a hyperactivity disorder rate of 3.2%. In comparison, the rate was 1.5% among children whose parents were not considered to have a common mental disorder (GHQ score of 3 or less). (Figure 5; Table 5)

Figure 5: Any hyperactivity disorder by parent’s mental health, 2017

Base: 5 to 19 year olds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GHQ-12 score</th>
<th>Per cent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 to 2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 to 5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 to 8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 to 12</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 to 3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 or more</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NHS Digital

As a cross-sectional survey, these associations cannot explain causality. While the presence of a mental disorder in parents may contribute to the development of hyperactivity disorders in children, the presence of hyperactivity disorders in children may affect the mental health of parents.
Hyperactivity disorders by family functioning

There was an association between family functioning and prevalence of a hyperactivity disorder in children. Children whose family functioning was defined as unhealthy (a score of 2.01 or more) had a hyperactivity disorder rate of 3.4%. In comparison, the rate was 1.4% among children whose family functioning was considered healthy (a score of 2.00 and less). (Figure 6; Table 6)

As a cross-sectional survey, these associations cannot explain causality. While problems with family functioning may contribute to the onset of hyperactivity disorders, the presence of hyperactivity disorders could also lead to problems with family functioning.
Hyperactivity disorders by household income

Household income was not associated with the prevalence of hyperactivity disorders. (Table 7)

Hyperactivity disorders by benefits

Children who were living with a parent in receipt of disability benefits were more likely to have a hyperactivity disorder (5.8%) than children whose parents were not receiving disability benefits (1.2%). A smaller difference was found between children living with parents in receipt of low income benefits (2.6% with a hyperactivity disorder) and children whose parents were not receiving low income benefits (1.4% with a hyperactivity disorder). (Figure 7; Table 8)

Figure 7: Any hyperactivity disorder by parental receipt of low-income benefits or disability-related benefits, 2017
Base: 5 to 19 year olds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parental receipt of benefits</th>
<th>Income</th>
<th>Disability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Received</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not received</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NHS Digital
Hyperactivity disorders by neighbourhood deprivation

There was no association between neighbourhood deprivation (as measured using Index of Multiple Deprivation scores presented by quintiles) and prevalence of hyperactivity disorders among children. The rate of hyperactivity disorders was similar in the least deprived and most deprived areas. (Table 9)

Hyperactivity disorders by region

There was no association between region and prevalence of a hyperactivity disorder among children. (Table 10)

Trends in hyperactivity disorders in 5 to 15 year olds, 1999-2017

The prevalence of hyperactivity disorders has remained stable over time, at 1.5% in 1999 and 2004, and 1.9% in 2017. This stability has been evident both in boys and girls, and in those aged 5 to 10 and those aged 11 to 15.

For further information about trends in hyperactivity disorders see the Trends and Characteristics topic report.
Discussion

Hyperactivity disorders, whether defined as hyperkinetic disorder (ICD-10) or ADHD (DSM)\(^4\), can affect children and young people throughout their lives, disrupting relationships and making everyday life difficult (NICE, 2018). Research has shown that children with hyperactivity disorders have lower academic attainment (Loe & Feldman, 2007) as well as more disciplinary problems in school (Sikirica et al., 2015). Because of this, it is important to accurately estimate the proportion of children affected by hyperactivity disorders and their characteristics to plan and design mental health services for children and young people.

This survey showed that rates of hyperactivity disorders were lower in children aged 17 to 19 compared to children aged 11 to 16. This lower prevalence rate may occur because once young people leave compulsory education, they may no longer display hyperactivity symptoms in more than one setting and so do not meet the criteria for a diagnosis.

This survey also highlighted a higher prevalence of hyperactivity disorders in boys than in girls. This is consistent with much of the research on hyperactivity disorders which has found that these disorders are more prevalent among males than females (Skounti et al., 2007). Some research suggests this difference is because symptoms of hyperactivity disorders are expressed differently in girls than boys, making symptoms harder to identify in girls (Ohan & Johnston, 2005).

Parental receipt of welfare benefits was associated with the presence of hyperactivity disorders in this study while other measures of socioeconomic status such as household income and neighbourhood deprivation were not. However, other research has found associations between socioeconomic disadvantage and hyperactivity disorders in children (Russell et al., 2016).

This survey demonstrated that children in families with less healthy family functioning had higher rates of hyperactivity disorder than children in families with healthy family functioning. Children with hyperactivity disorders have been shown to be more likely to come from families with higher levels of dysfunction (Scahill et al., 1999) and family conflict (Biederman et al., 2001). While problems with family functioning may contribute to the onset of hyperactivity disorders in children, the presence of a hyperactivity disorder could also lead to problems with family functioning. Research has found that parents often wait several years to seek a hyperactivity diagnosis for children during which time they do not have access to support networks which also places additional stress on the family (Ford et al., 2008).

The importance of inter-parental relationships as a protective factor for children’s and young people’s mental health (particularly for those experiencing socioeconomic disadvantage such as living in poverty) is highlighted in the Transforming Children and Young People’s Mental Health Provision Green Paper (DoH/DFE, 2017). This

---

\(^4\) The term “hyperactivity disorder” in this section includes research on ADHD (based on DSM) and hyperkinetic disorder (based on ICD).
paper also discusses the role local troubled families teams play in providing parenting support and improving family functioning to support improved mental health of children and young people.

Finally, the inattentive and restless behaviour associated with hyperactivity disorders persists into adolescence (in about 80% of cases), and sometimes adult life (Faraone et al., 2003). Hyperactivity disorders in adulthood have been linked to being economically inactive, being single, having no qualifications, and having a substance abuse disorder (Jotangia & Brugha, 2009). This highlights the importance of understanding how these conditions are developed in childhood, maintained in adolescence and continue into adulthood.
Methods

The Mental Health of Children and Young People (MHCYP) survey was conducted with 5 to 15 year olds living in Britain in 1999 and 5 to 16 year olds living in Britain in 2004. The 1999 and 2004 surveys sampled from Child Benefit records. For the 2017 survey a stratified multistage random probability sample of 18,029 children was drawn from NHS Patient Register in October 2016. Children and young people were eligible to take part if they were aged 2 to 19, lived in England, and were registered with a GP. Children, young people and their parents were interviewed face-to-face at home using a combination of Computer Assisted Personal Interview (CAPI) and Computer Assisted Self Interview (CASI), between January and October 2017. A short paper or online questionnaire was completed by a nominated teacher for children aged 5 to 16 years old. Data collection varied with the selected child’s age:

- 2 to 4 year olds: parent interview
- 5 to 10 year olds: parent interview and teacher interview
- 11 to 16 year olds: parent interview, child interview and teacher interview
- 17 to 19 year olds: young person interview and parent interview (if parent present at the same address)

Furthermore, prevalence estimates for 5 to 16 year olds were adjusted slightly upwards with a factor designed to take account of the fact that only some of this age group had data from teachers. See the Survey Design and Methods Report for detail about the calculation and application of adjustment factors.

Productive interviews (involving one or more participants in each household) were achieved for 9,117 children (1,463 2 to 4 year olds; 3,597 5 to 10 year olds; 3,121 11 to 16 year olds; 936 17 to 19 year olds), and 3,595 teachers (54% of eligible children). The survey included the detailed and comprehensive Development and Well-Being Assessment (DAWBA). This allowed the assessment of emotional, hyperactivity, behavioural and less common disorders, like autism. After interviews were complete, eleven trained clinical raters reviewed the data to reach disorder codings for each participant. Raters applied the diagnostic criteria for specific disorders set out in the tenth International Classification of Disease (ICD-10) (WHO, 1992) and the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM–5) (APA, 2013).

The 2017 survey was designed to be comparable with the 1999 and 2004 surveys. This included the continued use of the DAWBA, use of ICD-10, and consistent timing of data collection. However, some differences in design have taken place which may affect comparability with previous survey results, including that the 2017 survey:

- Sampled from the NHS Patient Register, whereas the 2004 and 1999 surveys sampled from Child Benefit records
- Included 2 to 4 and 17 to 19 year olds for the first time
- Response rate (52%) was lower than that for the previous surveys
• Covered England, while previous surveys in the series covered Britain. Analyses of 1999 and 2004 data presented in this report have been run on participants aged 5 to 15 years old living in England only to maintain comparability in trends.

The 2017 interviews and analyses are based on participants’ age at 31 August 2017, with participants grouped with their peers in terms of school year.

**Confidence intervals**

Information about confidence intervals are presented in the text and described as the range for which a value is likely to fall within had the whole population participated in this survey rather than a sample. This range was calculated based on 95% confidence interval and indicates the range we would expect estimates to fall within nineteen times in twenty, if the study was repeated with new samples.

For further information on methodology, confidence interval and standard error information, see the Survey Design and Methods Report.
Definitions

Mental disorder

Mental disorders were identified on the survey according to the standardised diagnostic criteria in the tenth edition of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10). Specific mental disorders were grouped into four broad categories: emotional, behavioural, hyperactivity and other less common disorders. While some of the symptoms covered in this report may be present in many children, to count as a disorder they had to be sufficiently severe to cause distress to the child or impair their functioning (WHO, 1993).

Figure 8: Disorders included and excluded in trend measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Any mental disorder</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disorder categories</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disorder subgroups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific disorders (included in trend measures)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalised anxiety disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obsessive compulsive disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific phobia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agoraphobia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-traumatic stress disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific disorders (added since 1999, so excluded from trend measures)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Body dysmorphic disorder was assessed using the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM) version 5 criteria.
Trends and 2017 measures

Trends over time are based on samples, methods, and disorders that are as comparable as possible. The 1999 and 2004 samples have been reanalysed based on participants resident in England only, and the 2004 and 2017 samples are restricted to those aged 5 to 15 for these analyses. For each survey only those interviewed in English are retained. Some disorders (such as attachment disorder and body dysmorphic disorder) were only included after the 1999 survey had been completed. To ensure estimates are comparable across surveys these additional disorders were not included in the 2017 trend measures. See the Survey Design and Methods Report for details.

Hyperactivity disorders

These are characterised by developmentally inappropriate patterns of inattention, impulsivity, and hyperactivity.

Hyperkinetic disorder

In hyperkinetic disorder, children have levels of inattention, hyperactivity and impulsivity lead to impairment in several settings such as school/work, home life and leisure activities. Symptoms are evident by age seven years, and can be identified retrospectively.

Other hyperactivity disorders

Other hyperactivity disorders are diagnosed if a child or young person met nearly all the criteria for hyperkinetic disorder, but just miss the full diagnostic criteria and are unable to function. For example, they have display five rather than six difficulties with attention or an age of onset after the age of seven.
Analysis variables

Ethnic group

Ethnic group was self-reported directly by children and young people aged 11 or more, and by parents for children aged 10 or under.

Special educational needs

Presence of special educational needs was based on information provided by the interviewed parent for children aged 2 to 16 and for young people aged 17 to 19.

Child’s general health

Young people aged 17 and over rated their own general health. For children aged 16 and under, the interviewed parent rated their child's general health.

Parental mental health

The mental health of the interviewed parent or guardian (usually the mother), was assessed using the GHQ-12. Scores range from 0 (no psychological distress) to 12 (severe psychological distress). A score of 4 or more is generally considered indicative of the presence of a common mental disorder.

Family functioning

Family functioning was measured using the General Functioning Scale of the McMaster Family Activity Device (FAD). It comprises 12 statements that parents rate on a four point scale. A score was derived. A score above 2 was considered to indicate ‘unhealthy’ family functioning.

Equivalised household income

An estimate of overall household income was established by means of a showcard, and was adjusted to reflect the number and ages of people living in the household. For further details please refer to the Survey Design and Methods Report.

Welfare benefits

A household was classified as in receipt of ‘low income benefits’ if any resident adult with parental responsibility for the child reported being in receipt of any of the following: Housing Benefit, Working Tax Credit, Income Support, Universal Credit (UC), Job Seekers’ Allowance, or Pension Credit. Child Tax Credit did not count as the eligible income threshold for this is higher. While UC could be received for disability-related reasons this was not distinguishable in the data collected.

A household was classified as in receipt of 'disability-related benefits' if an adult with parental responsibility for the sample child received any of: Disability Living Allowance, Carer’s Allowance, Employment and Support Allowance, Personal Independence Payment, Industrial Injuries Disablement Benefit, Severe Disablement
Allowance, Incapacity Benefit, Armed Forces Compensation Scheme, or Attendance Allowance.

**Neighbourhood deprivation**

The Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) 2015 combines a number of indicators, chosen to cover a range of economic, social and housing issues, into a single deprivation score for each small area (or as described here neighbourhoods) in England. This allows each neighbourhood to be ranked relative to others according to their level of deprivation. In this report quintiles of IMD are used to give an area-level measure of socioeconomic status, as opposed to a household-level measure. For further details about IMD please refer to the Survey Design and Methods Report.

**Region**

The regional measure in this topic report was based on the former Government Office Regions. They were identified as being the most local level of geography possible for statistical analysis due to the survey design.
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