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Summary Briefing

University Technical Colleges (UTCs) are schools introduced by the Conservative-Liberal Democrat Coalition Government, originally for 14-19 year olds, that work alongside employers and universities to deliver technical education and core curriculum subjects. Most students join UTCs aged 14, but it is expected that any new UTCs will run from 11 to 18. UTCs operate as a type of academy in England. This means that they do not have to follow the national curriculum or employ teachers with qualified teacher status (apart from in certain circumstances such as Special Educational Needs Coordinators).

In October 2019, The National Audit Office published its Investigation into University Technology Colleges. It provided a survey of UTCs in 2019:

**Number of UTCs**
- As of 1 October 2019, 48 UTCs were open across England. A total of 58 UTCs have opened since the first in September 2011, but 10 have subsequently closed. 17 UTCs were open by 2013/14, 37 by 2015/16, and 49 by 2017/18. One further UTC is due to open in September 2020 and one to close in August 2020 (pp. 14-15).
- Of the 10 UTCs that closed to October 2019, 6 were transferred to other academy trusts, 1 site returned to a local authority, 1 gifted to a university, and 2 were awaiting a decision (p.5).

**Department Spending**
- The Department for Education (DfE) spent £792 million on the UTC programme from 2010/11 to 2018/19, 85% of which was on capital grants (p.7).

**Student Profile**
- In January 2019 the 48 open UTCs were operating, on average, at 45% capacity, with 13,572 students. The 10 UTCs that have closed were around 25% full on average in the two years before closing (p.5).
- 72% of students in UTCs were male, compared with 50% in all secondary academies and free schools (p.11).

**Educational Performance**
- As at August 2019, Ofsted rated 52% of UTCs as good or outstanding, compared to 76% of all secondary schools. (p.8).
- After GCSEs or equivalent, 9% of UTC students progressed into sustained apprenticeship (national average was 5%), and 4% entered employment (national average 3%). After A Levels or equivalent, 21% of UTC students moved to a sustained apprenticeship (national average 6%), 20% moved to sustained employment (national average 22%) and 38% went on to higher education (national average 50%) (p. 8).
In response to the NAO report, the Baker-Dearing Trust stated that “more can be done to increase student numbers at some UTCs, [and] the strong track record of students destinations after leaving all UTCs is proof the programme is working”.

Commentary

In February 2017, the former Education Secretary Michael Gove stated that UTCs “had failed because of their lack of academic rigour” and dividing education at 14 had not worked (The Times, 10 February 2017). The former Chancellor, George Osborne, told the Education Select Committee in 2018 that the UTC model of starting at 14 “worked very well in some cases, but it clearly has not worked in others” (Education Select Committee, Oral Evidence: Education in the North, HC 819, 2 May 2018, Q11).

Improvement Plans since 2017

The DfE began a three-year improvement programme for UTCs in September 2017. This included allowing UTCs to apply to align their age range more closely with other secondary schools, if there is a need for additional school places in the area. Since 2017, local authorities have a statutory duty to raise awareness of schools with an atypical age range. From January 2018 schools have had a statutory duty to allow access of UTC representatives to their schools to inform pupils about technical education.

In February 2019, Lord Baker and the Minister for the Schools System Lord Agnew wrote to the leaders of UTCs recommending that they join multi-academy Trusts in order to aid recruitment and financial stability. 20 of the 50 UTCs in March 2019 were already part of multi-academy trusts (FE Week, 15 March 2019). Membership of a multi-academy trust formed part of the new Memorandum of Understanding between the DfE and the Baker Dearing Trust in June 2019.

Lord Baker also stated in October 2019 that “any new [UTCs] that come along will go from 11 to 18”, in an attempt to increase recruitment and close the gender gap.

The current Chair of the Baker-Dearing Trust stated his priority for the next three years was filling existing UTCs, rather than opening new ones (FE Week, 14 September 2019). Three new UTCs were proposed in November 2019, with the Government due to make a decision whether to approve next summer (FE Week, 29 November 2019)

The Baker-Dearing Trust

The Baker Dearing Trust is a charity which was set up by Lord Baker in 2010. The charity “assists with the selection of potential UTCs for DfE approval and then [helps] each UTC prepare for opening… in particular by liaising between the sponsors and the DfE”. It charges UTCs a membership fee of £10,000.

Charity Commission, Baker Dearing Educational Charity Trust
1. The development and role of UTCs

1.1 Conception

In 2009, the Labour Government expressed an intention through the National Skills Strategy to support the development of University Technical Colleges (UTCs). This followed proposals by the former Conservative Education Secretary, Lord Baker, and Lord Dearing, an ex-civil servant, in the late 2000s. The plans received support from both the then Labour Government and the Conservative opposition. The Baker Dearing Educational Trust now promotes and supports University Technical Colleges.

Subsequently, the Coalition Programme for Government, published on 20 May 2010, proposed ‘Technical Academies’ as part of the new Government’s plans to promote diversity in the school system and improve vocational education.

In the 2016 Government White Paper, Education Excellence Everywhere, the Government stated:

4.40 We are committed to ensuring there is a UTC within reach of every city so that increasing numbers of young people can benefit from this type of technical education. We will strengthen the programme through reforms to help UTCs with pupil recruitment and improve their educational and financial viability, including: targeting future UTC locations in areas without such provision within reach; flexibilities in admission arrangements; and an expectation that all UTCs should be part of strong partnerships with high performing secondary schools, such as MATs.

The Secretary of State for Education, Gavin Williamson, stated in October 2019 on a visit to Plymouth UTC, that:

We should never underestimate the importance and the power that technical qualifications have in terms of driving our economic performance. And UTCs, such as this one in Plymouth, are a perfect exemplar of what we need to be doing in the future.
1.2 What are UTCs?  
Purpose and Curriculum

The Department for Education (DfE) document on how to apply to open a UTC defines the purpose of UTCs as follows:

1.8 UTCs are all-ability and mixed sex state funded schools, independent of local authorities. They are not extensions of, or conversions from, existing provision, but new academies, typically with 500-800 pupils in Key stage 4 and Key stage 5. UTCs specialise in subjects that need modern, technical, industry-standard equipment, such as engineering and digital technologies, and teach these disciplines alongside business skills and a broad, general education.

1.9 Pupils integrate academic study with practical learning, studying core GCSEs alongside technical qualifications. The ethos and curriculum are designed with local and national employers who also provide support and work experience for pupils. UTCs are sponsored by a university and employers, and work in partnership with other educational providers, including those with strengths in the UTC’s specialist subject areas. UTCs should provide progression routes into higher education or further learning in work. This includes apprenticeships and higher apprenticeships; some UTCs may wish to consider offering apprenticeships themselves as they become established or may wish to work in partnership with apprenticeship providers. UTCs are funded on a comparable basis to other state funded schools.8

The overview of UTCs provided by the UTC website sets out the balance between “technical” and “general” education:

UTCs offer a curriculum that focuses on technical education (for 14-16 year-olds this is 60% general, 40% technical: for 16-18 year-olds it is 40% general and 60% technical). The curriculum is integrated so that academic subjects relate to and reinforce the technical specialism. In order to make time for this curriculum UTCs have a longer school day.9

The UTC Guide to UTCs Brochure describes the specialist focus of the colleges and the role of partnerships with employers and universities:

— A UTC curriculum includes one or two technical specialisms, which are linked to the skills gaps in the region. As well as their core academic subjects, students can study GCSEs, A Levels and technical qualifications matched to these specialisms (p.2).

— UTCs have a special focus on science, technology, engineering and maths subjects, and all their technical, academic and practical learning is designed to be applied in the workplace (p.2).

— Each UTC is backed by employers and a local university who work with staff to develop an innovative curriculum that gives students first-hand experience of what life is like after school (p.2).

---

8 DfE, University Technical Colleges: How to Apply (October 2015), p. 6
UTCs are smaller than traditional secondary schools. They are not academically selective and charge no fees (p.2).

As part of their study, students participate in projects with the UTC’s employer partners in real working environments, where they can apply their technical skills and creative thinking (p.3).

Thanks to their partnerships with employers and universities, UTCs have the latest equipment and technology used by industry, enabling them to teach technical and scientific subjects in a whole new way and inspire the inventors, engineers, scientists and technicians of tomorrow (p.5).

UTCs are backed by more than 500 employers and nearly 50 universities (p.5).

The Government published a list of the sponsoring university and technical specialism for each open UTC in 2017, in response to a PQ (HL6508).

Subject Take-Up

In 2017/18, 99% of UTC students in the final year of Key Stage 4, took English Language GCSE and 99% took Maths GCSE. This was in line with the national state-funded mainstream average.10 A higher proportion of UTC students took Physics, Chemistry, Biology and computer science GCSE compared to the national state-funded mainstream average. While a lower proportion of UTC students took modern languages and History GCSE, compared to the national average.

Note: the number of GCSE entries in selected subjects as a percentage of pupils at the end of Key Stage 4.
Source: GCSE and Equivalent Results: 2017/18, DfE (Subject Tables, Table S7b)

---

10 Department for Education, GCSE and Equivalent Results (2017/18), Table S7b
Age Cohorts

University Technical Colleges were originally conceived to recruit students at age 14 and 16, providing technical education for 14-19 year olds. Since 2017, UTCs have been able to recruit from age 11. This followed the Government lobbying the Baker-Dearing Trust in October 2018 to adjust the entry age of UTCs.\(^{11}\) In October 2019, Lord Baker told the House of Lords that any new UTCs “will go from 11 to 18”.\(^{12}\)

In 2018, Warrington UTC allowed Year 9 students to join in the January before the 2018/19 academic year began in September, leading neighbouring schools to fear a loss of funding.\(^{13}\) The Government confirmed that no schools would lose funding for those Year 9 pupils who made an early transfer to Warrington UTC.\(^{14}\)

Academies

UTCs operate as a type of academy. The \textit{Academies Act 2010} makes provision for academies, as well as ‘additional schools’, such as free schools, UTCs and studio schools. Like other types of academy, UTCs operate in accordance with the terms of their funding agreement with the Secretary of State for Education.

As an academy, UTCs receive funding directly from the Government, and so do not have to follow the national curriculum, employ teachers with qualified teacher status (apart from in certain circumstances such as Special Educational Needs Coordinators), follow local authority term dates or standard school hours, or comply with the provisions of the School Teacher’s Pay and Conditions Document. Academies are inspected by Ofsted, and are judged using the same accountability system as other state-funded schools, though progress against Progress 8 and associated EBacc subjects are no longer seen by the Department for Education or Ofsted as useful measures for UTC performance.\(^{15}\)

1.3 Opening a New UTC

As the chart overleaf shows, there were 48 open UTCs in the academic year 2018/19, a slight fall from a peak of 49 in 2017/18. A total of 58 UTCs have opened since 2010/11, of which 10 have closed (as of 1 October 2019). One new UTC, in Doncaster, is due to open in 2020.\(^{16}\)

In 2017, the Chief Executive of the Baker Dearing Trust stated that the Government had put the UTCs programme on “pause”.\(^{17}\)

\(^{11}\) HL Deb, ‘Education and Training’, 15 October 2018, c 284.
\(^{12}\) HL Deb, Vocational Education and Training, 28 October 2019, c 843.
\(^{13}\) ‘Warrington UTC Enrages Neighbouring Schools by ’Poaching’ Pupils’, \textit{FE Week}, 27 January 2018.
\(^{14}\) PQ 122948, Schools: Warrington, 16 January 2018.
\(^{16}\) Doncaster UTC, ‘Consultation’ (accessed November 2019).
\(^{17}\) ‘Is the UTCs programme on “Pause”?’, \textit{Times Education Supplement}, 24 November 2017.
In September 2019, the new Chief Executive of the Baker Dearing Trust stated that his priority for the next three years is to "consolidate", increase recruitment and improve perceptions, rather than opening new UTCs at the rate of the previous decade.\(^{18}\)

In November 2019, new UTCs were proposed in Salford, Carlisle and Birmingham. The latter will be operated by the pre-existing WMG Academy Trust, and the two former by Energy Coast UTC. The DfE is due to decide whether to approve their opening in Summer 2020.\(^{19}\)

The DfE has published a list of UTC and studio school applications.

The DfE has published guidance for proposer groups on opening a UTC, including a pre-opening guide for groups whose application has been successful. A model funding agreement is also available.

The process involved in establishing a UTC can be compared to that for opening a free school or studio school. The October 2015 How to Apply Guidance sets out the criteria that are used when an application is considered:

1.2 We have been looking at the experience of the UTC programme in its first five years of operation. In doing so, Ministers have agreed a number of changes including: increasing expectations about partnership arrangements, secondary expertise embedded in leadership and governance, and better targeting of future locations for UTCs to support stronger pupil recruitment. These changes are aimed at ensuring that we establish high quality, popular UTCs that meet the needs of the local, regional and national economy, and of parents and pupils, and are able to attract sufficient pupils. As a result, Ministers place great emphasis on:

- the capacity and capability of the proposer group, particularly:
  - being part of a strong partnership including successful secondary schools (such as a multi-academy trust or MAT). Our experience to date with

---


\(^{19}\) ‘UTCs Back in the Market- But There’s a Catch’, FE Week, 30 November 2019.
UTCs and academies shows that being part of a formal partnership involving schools and, if appropriate colleges, can bring a range of benefits (see pages 8-9);

─ relevant secondary education expertise – experience shows this is crucial to developing a rigorous, coherent, integrated and balanced curriculum offer and education plan to deliver your education vision and secure a good or better judgement at the first Ofsted inspection;

─ the track record and level of commitment of those in the proposer group who run existing education provision;

─ expertise in school finances; and

─ employer and university expertise in the chosen specialism(s) and that this is linked to local, regional and/or national skills gaps/needs.

• the quality of the provision when the UTC is open, including

─ a demanding, rigorous and aspirational education offer;

─ secondary expertise embedded in the leadership and governance;

─ specialist expertise among the teaching staff in the chosen specialism;

─ strong governance structures and governing body;

─ strong financial management;

─ the likelihood of being graded good or better by Ofsted at the first inspection; and

─ strong evidence of need for the UTC in your chosen location including potential demand from pupils of the relevant age group (depending on the particular age range you chose) and their parents, and robust plans for pupil recruitment.

1.3 We will only fund UTCs that are proposed by the strongest groups in areas where these new schools are needed most by employers, parents and pupils. This guidance includes a list of priority urban locations for this application round which do not currently have a UTC within reach. We would particularly welcome applications from these locations. UTCs must be able to attract sufficient pupils and provide value for money, especially in periods when capital funding is limited.\(^{20}\)

On priority locations for UTCs, the DfE’s \textit{October 2015 Guidance} emphasises areas where existing schools are overcapacity or underperforming, and locations with good links to public transport, employers and universities:

2.29 The technical education offered by UTCs, with the strong involvement of employers and universities, is vital to meeting the skills needs of the economy. We are therefore committed to opening strong and successful UTCs, and to have one within the

\(^{20}\) DfE, \textit{University Technical Colleges: How to Apply} (October 2015), pp. 4-5.
reach of every city. Our experience suggests that UTCs will have a better chance of succeeding and attracting pupils if they are located in areas where:

- there is a large population of primary and secondary aged children and 16-19 pupils within the catchment area to ensure current and future viability;
- there is no other similar technical provision (including UTC provision) within reasonable travelling distance of the proposed site;
- public transport links are good; and
- existing secondary schools are at capacity and/or underperforming (underperforming schools are usually classed as schools rated as ‘requires improvement’ or ‘inadequate’ and/or have low pupil attainment and progression results).21

It is also necessary for new UTCs to sign a licence agreement with the Baker Dearing Educational Trust, agreeing the terms of use of the UTC brand and paying an annual subscription for access to the Trust’s services and support.22

1.4 Closure/Conversion of UTCs

The NAO reported that, as of October 2019, 10 of the 58 UTCs that opened, have subsequently closed. Of these 10 closures, 6 were transferred to other academy trusts, 1 site returned to a local authority, 1 gifted to a university, and 2 were awaiting a decision.23 South Wiltshire UTC is also due to close in 2020.24

In the event that a UTC closes, the Government states that it works with the local authority and academy trust to ensure that new school places are identified that meet the needs of each individual child.25 This has included the sponsors of UTCs offering a place for pupils to continue their course, converting the UTC to a mainstream academy, or waiting for all students to finish their course and not recruiting for earlier year groups before closing.26

List of Closed/Converted UTCs


The UTC closed after Ofsted twice found the school required improvement and that it was 33% full.27 The site was returned to Walsall Council in 2017.28

---

21 Ibid, pp. 16-17.
22 Ibid, p. 5.
23 NAO, Investigation into UTCs, p. 5.
24 ‘Get Information About Schools’ lists additional UTCs as closed. However, Derby Manufacturing UTC is now known as UTC Derby Pride Park; UTC Heathrow remains open; Medway UTC changed its name to Waterfront UTC; Sir Charles KAO UTC was renamed BMAT STEM Academy, and is supported by the Baker Trust as a UTC; UTC@MediaCityUK was renamed AldridgeUTC@MediaCityUK
25 PQ 292904, Wigan University Technical College, 1 October 2019
26 NAO, Investigation into UTCs, p. 23.
27 ‘Black Country UTC to Shut as Student Numbers Fail to Increase and Ofsted sees lack of improvement’, Schools Week, 14 April 2015.
2. **Hackney UTC, 2012-2015**

   The Government stated this closed after receiving 29 applications out of target of 75 for September 2015. In 2017, the site was being temporarily used for the Olive School, Hackney.

3. **Central Bedfordshire UTC, 2012-2016**

   This closed due to attracting insufficient student numbers, operating at 16.8% of capacity in the final year. The site was initially used by Bedford College to allow UTC students to complete their studies, and by the Academy of Central Bedfordshire.

4. **UTC Greenwich, 2013-2017**

   The UTC was converted into a Trust School, due to issues in recruitment for the UTC.

5. **UTC Lancashire, 2013-2017**

   The UTC was running at less than 20% capacity in its year previous to closing. Burnley Council intends the site to be used with the University of Central Lancashire.

6. **Daventry UTC, 2013-2017**

   Daventry UTC closed, following 151 students being enrolled in 2015/16 out a capacity of 600. The building became part of an academy.

7. **Greater Manchester UTC, 2014-2017**

   Greater Manchester UTC closed owing to low pupil numbers. The site was later used by Oasis Academy Oldham to educate Year 7 pupils who lacked a school place.

8. **UTC Tottenham, 2014-2017**

   The UTC closed due to low registration numbers, and the site was used by the London Academy of Excellence after the UTC’s closure.

9. **UTC@Harbourside [Newhaven], 2015-2019**

   The UTC stated that it had not been able to recruit enough students to become financially stable. In February 2019,
the DfE announced that Lewes and Eastbourne Councils and the East Sussex College Group were the “preferred partners” for the site.\footnote{42}{‘Delight as Rescue Package Revealed for Troubled Newhaven College’, Sussex Express, 26 February 2019.}

10. **Wigan UTC, 2013-2019**

Wigan UTC closed after recruiting 108 of the intended 500 students.\footnote{43}{‘Tenth UTC Closure Announced’, Schools Week, 4 April 2019.}

11. **South Wiltshire UTC, 2015-2020**

Low demand for places affected the financial viability of the UTC.\footnote{44}{UTC Salisbury, ‘Salisbury UTC to Close’, 16 July 2019.}

The Government has agreed in principle to close the UTC in August 2020.

### UTC projects cancelled prior to opening

Four proposed UTCs have been cancelled at stages prior to opening:

1. **Birkenhead UTC, 2013**

Cancelled during pre-opening stage.\footnote{45}{‘Government Spent £15m on UTCS that closed or Did Not Open’, TES, 18 September 2015.}

2. **UTC Liverpool: Engineering and Logistics, 2014**

Cancelled during pre-opening stage.\footnote{46}{DfE, ‘University Technical College Expenditure’, 31 January 2019.}

3. **Burton and South Derbyshire UTC, 2016**

Withdrawn following low pupil recruitment numbers.\footnote{47}{‘UTC Will Not Open Despite DfE Spending Over £8m’, FE Week, 7 September 2016.}

The site was instead used by an academy trust.\footnote{48}{‘Sixth Formers to Move to Site of UTC that never Opened’, Schools Week, 13 December 2017.}

4. **Guilford UTC, 2017**

Guilford UTC was cancelled in 2017 after the Government withdrew its support.\footnote{49}{‘Guilford UTC becomes latest UTC project to be abandoned’, TES, 13 March 2017.}
2. Expenditure and Financial Position of UTCs

Government Expenditure

From the financial year 2010/11 to 2018/19, the DfE spent £792 million on the UTC programme, in addition to the per pupil funding that UTCs and other schools receive. 86% (£680 million) of this additional expenditure was on capital grants for land, building and equipment.\(^{50}\)

This funding also included £38 million in revenue grants to cover the cost of UTCs as they built towards capacity, at which point running costs were expected to be met by core per-pupil funding.\(^{51}\)

Transitional funding has been used to reduce UTCs’ debts since 2016/17. The DfE attaches conditions to this, such as the UTC joining a multi-academy trust or working with external advisers to review its financial position. From the financial year 2016/17 to 2018/19, £11.7 million of the £28 million transition funding has been spent on reducing UTC debts.\(^{52}\)

The DfE published data for individual and proposed UTCs on:

- **Capital funding for UTCs**, to buy and rent land and build and refurbish school buildings.
- **Revenue spending on UTCs**, on project development grants and post-developments that cannot be met through usual funding.

Baker Dearing Educational Trust Income

The Baker-Dearing Trust, which promotes and supports new and existing UTCs, receives a grant from the DfE to provide advice and guidance to proposer groups developing applications to establish UTCs.

The DfE paid £893,000 to the Baker-Dearing Trust between the financial year 2010/11 and 2018/19 to support sponsors planning to open new UTCs.\(^{53}\)

UTCs also pay an annual licence fee to the Baker Dearing Educational Trust, which owns the UTC brand. In 2019/20, this annual fee was raised to £10,000, having previously been £5,500. The Trust’s Chief Executive said that the services that the licence fee covers have cost around £20,000 per college for the previous years. In the year ending December 2018, 14% of the Baker-Dearing Trust income came from UTC licence fees, at £272,079.\(^{54}\)


\(^{52}\) Ibid, pp. 21, 22.

\(^{53}\) Ibid, p. 8.

Financial Position of UTCs

Because most UTCs are under-subscribed (see section below), a significant proportion of UTC academy trusts have reported in-year and cumulative revenue deficits each year, the latter growing between the financial year 2014/15 and 2016/17, but falling in 2017/18 when four UTCs closed.\(^55\)

In 2017/18, 14 of the 32 UTC academy trusts reported a cumulative revenue deficit of £7.7 million.\(^56\)

The Education and Skills Funding Agency (ESFA) judges financial risk in schools. As of July 2019, the ESFA had significant concerns about 13 UTCs, 12 due their financial position and 1 due to compliance issues. This was an improvement from the previous year, when the ESFA had concerns regarding 15 UTCs.\(^57\)

The ESFA has issued financial notices to 8 UTCs: in October 2019, 4 of these remained in place, 2 had been lifted, and 2 UTCs that had been issued financial notices closed.\(^58\)

Student Numbers

As of January 2019, there were 48 open UTCs with 13,572 students representing 45% of their combined maximum capacity.\(^59\) Student numbers were 6% higher than the previous year, where 12,765 students attended UTCs.

The chart below shows that the rate of growth in UTC pupil numbers has slowed since 2016.

---

**The number of pupils attending UTCs has increased**

Thousands of pupils, England

Note: Student figures rounded to the nearest ten before 2018. The school census is taken in January each year.

---

\(^{55}\) NAO, [Investigation into UTCs](https://www急m), p.16.

\(^{56}\) NAO, [Investigation into UTCs](https://www急m), p.16.

\(^{57}\) Ibid, p. 20.

\(^{58}\) Ibid, p. 21.

\(^{59}\) Ibid, p.16.
Most UTCs are currently under-subscribed, in part reflecting that most recruit in years 10 and 12 only. In January 2019, the number of unfilled places as a proportion of total places in the UTCs where data was available was 53%. This compares to the 2018/19 national secondary school average of 17%.  

Occupancy rates of UTCs where data was available averaged 45% in January 2019, and ranged from 10% to 101%. In September 2019, occupancy rates had risen slightly and averaged 47%, ranging from 12% in Plymouth UTC to 101% in Ashton University Engineering Academy. UTCs had an average capacity of 620 pupils in September 2019, and an average of 283 pupils on roll.

Occupancy rates at the 10 UTCs that have closed (as of October 2019) ranged between 23% and 26% in the two years before closure. The two-longest opened UTCs, were 96% and 101% occupied respectively in January 2019.

A FoI by FE Week had shown that learner numbers dropped in two-thirds of 20 UTCs that opened between 2010 and 2014 in 2016/17 compared to 2015/16.

The chart overleaf shows that the length of time that a UTC has been open does appear to have some impact on occupancy rates. UTCs tend to recruit students in years 10 and 12, so UTCs take time to build up student numbers. The range in occupancy rates between UTCs that have been opened for the same length of time suggest that some have struggled to attract students to the same degree as other UTCs.

---

60 DfE, School Capacity: 2017/18, Main Tables Table A1
61 3 of the 48 open UTCs did not report capacity data. Gov.uk, ‘Get Information About Schools’. 
62 Gov.uk, ‘Get Information About Schools’. 
63 NAO, Investigation into UTCs, p.16. 
64 ‘Crisis Deepens As Learners Drop At Two Thirds Of UTCs’, FE Week, 28 April 2017.
UTC occupancy rates tend to increase with years in operation
September 2019

Source: Gov.uk, "Get Information About Schools"
3. Pupil Profile

UTCs are intended to have a broadly comprehensive intake. This means that they should recruit a mix of girls and boys at 14 from a broad mix of prior attainment levels and backgrounds.

The Institute for Policy Research (IPPR) analysed information from the DfE’s National Pupil Database. For the year 2015, it found that UTC intake at aged 14 was:

- More likely to be boys with high attainment in maths and low attainment in English (p.4)
- Joined from a school that had an adverse Ofsted rating (‘requires improvement’ or ‘inadequate’). (p.4)
- Drawn equally from both affluent and deprived neighbourhoods (p.13)
- Found to have levels of prior attainment in maths at ages 7 and 11 that are identical to the national average (p.14)
- Pupils at UTCs are most likely to be predicted to achieve the middle grades (B–D) at GCSE (p.15)

3.1 Free School Meals

In September 2019, 17% of UTC pupils were eligible for Free School Meals (FSM), compared to the national average of 14% in January 2019. The proportion of pupils eligible for FSM at the UTC level ranged widely from 6% (BMAT STEM Academy, at 94% capacity) to 43% (Simon Milton UTC, 45% capacity).

3.2 Special Educational Needs

In January 2019, 13.8% of UTC pupils had Special Educational Needs (SEN) support, and 1.5% had a statement of SEN or EHC plan. This was slightly below the national average of 14.9% of pupils with SEN, and 3.1% of pupils with a statement of SEN or EHC plan.

3.3 Gender

The chart overleaf shows that girls have consistently formed a minority of students at UTCs. In January 2014, 21% of students were female, compared to the national average of 50%. This has increased over time, reaching a peak of 27% in 2018.

In October 2019, Lord Baker argued that recruiting at 11 had meant the UTC in Dartford had “many more girls than boys at that stage”.

---

65 Gov.uk ‘Get Information About Schools’
67 Gov.uk ‘Get Information About Schools’
70 DfE, ‘Schools Pupils and their Characteristics 2018- Underlying Data’, Table: Schools Pupils UD, 10 June 2019.
71 HL Deb, Vocational Education and Training, 28 October 2019, c 843.
In May 2014, the Baker Dearing Educational Trust published survey results of 735 girls at UTCs and mainstream schools. The survey found that 43% of girls at mainstream schools thought they had the same opportunities as boys, compared to 65% at UTCs. The survey found 3% of girls in mainstream schools would consider a career in engineering, compared to 65% of girls in UTCs.72

In November 2018, 52% of teachers in UTCs were male, and 48% were female.73 This compares to 37% of teachers being male on average in state-funded secondary schools and 66% being female.74

---

72 The Guardian, 17 May 2014.
74 DfE, ‘School Workforce in England’, Main tables: Table 4, November 2018.
4. UTCs: Performance and Ofsted Reports

It is important to note that in assessing exam results of UTC pupils, most would have spent the majority of their secondary years in schools that were not UTCs.

In August 2018, the DfE announced, following discussions with the Association of Colleges and the Baker Dearing Trust, that UTCs and other institutions that focus on professional education and have an atypical age-range, will be assessed by measuring pupil destinations, in addition to Progress 8 measures. UTCs, most of which offer education for 14-18 year olds, do not cover the full five years measured by Progress 8. The additional guidance now reads:

Progress 8 is not the most appropriate performance measure for university technical colleges, studio schools and some further education colleges. These establishments typically start educating pupils at age 14, with a focus on preparing pupils for their future careers by providing an integrated academic and professional education. Other headline measures, particularly pupil destinations, are more important for these establishments.

4.1 Pupil Attendance

In 2018/19, out of the 50 schools with the highest overall absences, 8 were UTCs. In contrast, none of the 50 schools with the lowest overall absences were UTCs.

In 2018/19 the average overall absence in UTCs where data was available, was 8.1%. This exceeds the national secondary school average of 5.5%. Overall absences at the UTC level (where data was available) ranged widely, from 3.1% to 18.3%.

The 2017 report by the National Foundation for Education Research found “a significant gap in absence rates between UTC students and the comparison group [of other schools]” during key stage 3 and 4, suggesting that “UTC students may have faced some challenges in terms of engagement in school”. At post-16 level, UTCs had the lowest retention rate of pupils in 2016 and the second lowest retention rate in 2018 (76%).

Interpreting overall absences

The DfE defines overall absences as the number of days missed as a proportion of the total number of days it was possible for pupils to attend.

---

75 Progress 8 measures student’s progress between key stage 2 and key stage 4. It is a points score calculated from a pupil’s best 8 grades across 3 subject-based categories. For more information, see the Library Briefing Paper, Changes to School Accountability and ‘League Tables’ in England in 2016 (2016), p. 14.
77 DfE, Pupil Absence in Schools in England: Autumn Term 2018, Underlying Data
78 DfE, ‘Compare School Performance’. This refers to 42 of the 48 UTCs.
DfE figures show that an average of 75.5% of UTC students aged 16 to 18 completed their main study programme in 2018, compared to 92.5% in all state funded schools.  

4.2 Pupil Outcomes: Progress 8

In 2017/18, UTCs on average had a progress 8 score of -0.80. This means pupils at UTCs on average achieved 0.80 of a grade less per subject than other pupils with similar starting points.

In 2017/18, 17% of UTCs achieved a Progress 8 score that was ‘well below average’, meaning that they fell within the bottom 10 per cent of schools nationally.

4.3 GCSE results

In 2017/18, 51% of UTC students achieved a Grade 4 or above (broadly comparable to A*-C grades) in English and Maths GCSEs, compared to 66% nationally in state funded mainstream schools.

4.4 Pupil Outcomes: Destinations

The Baker-Dearing Foundation Trust argues that the success of UTCs should be measured by employment outcomes, and that UTC students leave education in a more appealing position to employers compared to other school leavers.

The CBI/Pearson report Educating for the Modern World (2018) stated on business demands of school leavers and graduates:

The evidence for this is clear in the survey findings, over half of employers (60%) value broader skills, such as listening and problem-solving, as one of their three most important considerations when recruiting school and college leavers. Furthermore, almost half (45%) of businesses rank readiness for work as the single most important factor. Even when considering the value of qualifications, nearly three quarters (74%) of the businesses say they prefer a mixture of academic and technical qualifications, or that they view all qualifications equally.

Lord Baker in February 2019 criticised the Department of Education’s data on school-leavers destinations in an article in FE Week as incomplete and published in a delayed fashion, particularly significant for UTCs as the oldest was only established in 2011. Lord Baker stated that the Baker-Dearing’s UTC Hub captured 98% of all UTC leavers in 2018, which captures destination “starts” rather than the DfE’s “sustained destinations” over 6 months. In 2018, UTC Hub found that

---

81 DfE, ‘Compare Selected Schools and Colleges’ for 48 UTCs.
82 DfE, GCSE and equivalent results: 2017 to 2018 (National tables, Table 2a)
83 DfE, ‘Compare Selected Schools and Colleges’ for 48 UTCs.
84 DfE, ‘Compare Selected Schools and Colleges’ for 48 UTCs.
27% of 18-year-old UTC leavers started an apprenticeship and 47% started at university, with 80% choosing a STEM course at university.\(^{86}\)

In 2016/17, after Key Stage 4 (aged 14-16), 9% of UTC students entered a sustained apprenticeship (5% national average) and 4% employment (3% national average), with around 94% in education or work (in line with the national average).\(^ {87}\) “Sustained” means that the student was recorded in sustained participation for at least 6 months in the year after leaving education. In 2017/18, the UTC figures were 9% and 5%, respectively.\(^ {88}\)

After A Levels or equivalent, 21% of UTC students moved to a sustained apprenticeship, higher than the national average of 6% in 2016/17.\(^ {89}\) In 2016/17, 38% of UTC students went into higher education, compared to 51% nationally.\(^ {90}\)

### 4.5 Ofsted Reports

From the academic year 2012/13 to 2016/17, 6 of 28 (21%) UTCs were deemed “inadequate” on first inspection by Ofsted, compared to 15% of studio schools, 8% of local authority-maintained schools and 7% of all academies.\(^ {91}\)

Inspections of UTC apprenticeship provision were introduced in 2018 and are separate from the general inspection of UTCs by Ofsted. In 2019, London Design and Engineering UTC was found to be making “insufficient progress” in its apprenticeship program and it was reported that it was expected to have its apprenticeship recruitment suspended by Ofsted.\(^ {92}\)

In November 2019, the DfE said it was encouraging UTCs to join multi-academy trusts as the “best way to ensure they improve educationally”.\(^ {93}\)

### New Ofsted Inspection Framework

A revised Ofsted Inspection Framework was introduced in 2019. Inspectors will now take account of measures such as students’ destinations when they leave UTCs, in addition to national performance data. On measuring Progress 8 in UTCs, the new guidance states:

> The progress 8 accountability measure is not the most appropriate performance indicator for UTCs and studio schools. These establishments typically start educating pupils at age 14 and have

---

\(^{86}\) Lord Baker, *The Official UTC Figures Don’t Show the Full Picture*, *FE Week*, 3 February 2019.

\(^{87}\) DfE, *Destinations of Key Stage 4 and Key Stage 5 Students, England, 2016/17* (October 2018), p. 15.


\(^{89}\) NAO, *Investigation into UTCs*, p. 8.

\(^{90}\) DfE, *Destinations of Key Stage 4 and Key Stage 5 Students, England, 2016/17* (October 2018), pp. 2, 22, 32.

\(^{91}\) HM Chief Inspector of Schools to Lucy Powell MP, 8 February 2018.

\(^{92}\) *UTC Faces Apprentice Recruitment Freeze After Ofsted Criticism*, *Schools Week*, 17 October 2019.

a focus on preparing pupils for their future careers. Inspectors will pay attention to other measures, particularly pupils’ destinations when they leave the UTC or studio school.94

In response to the consultation on the new framework, the Baker Dearing Trust supported its “holistic approach to considering quality of education, and in particular the coherence of curriculum intent, implementation and impact” and the “disapplication of the EBacc requirements and the recognition of the unsuitability of the Progress 8 measure for 14-19 UCTs”.95

5. Commentary on UTCs

Sir Michael Wilshaw, 2016

In July 2016, HM Chief Inspector of Schools Sir Michael Wilshaw gave a speech at the Baker Dearing UTC conference, where he set out his belief that UTCs “have a pivotal role to play in raising both the status and the quality of technical education in this country.”

However, Sir Michael described current UTC performance as “patchy” and that their performance needed to be “significantly better” to be politically sustainable.

Sir Michael stated that Ofsted inspectors had found some common weaknesses in weaker UTCs:

- an often indistinctive and poorly thought-through curriculum
- low expectations of what pupils can achieve
- weak and inconsistent teaching, including of literacy and numeracy skills
- under-developed careers guidance and a failure to make best use of links with local employers.

Sir Michael also set out some common characteristics of UTCs that had been found to be good or outstanding:

- business-like ethos and culture of high aspirations and expectations for both staff and students
- carefully designed and specialised curriculum, with a strong focus on equipping students with the technical knowledge to meet local skills shortages
- robust tracking and assessment systems
- impressive destination data showing students have achieved the relevant qualifications to enable them to progress to the next stage of their education or into a job
- excellent links forged with local and national employers that offer students real world experience through well-planned work placements
- exemplary careers guidance;
- well-equipped laboratories and workshops
- strong literacy and numeracy development that underpins good progress in the technical aspects of the curriculum;
- strong feedback from business partners on the progress of students.96

Michael Gove, 2017

In February 2017, the former Education Secretary Michael Gove published an article in the Times, which argued that the time had come...
to accept that UTCs had not worked as an experiment, despite some successes. He attributed the weaknesses of the policy to several factors:

The first is the principle that admission should be at the age of 14. The originator of the UTC idea, Kenneth Baker, has argued that all students should be divided at 14 and given the option of either a technical, or artistic and creative, or academic education. He saw UTCs as the vanguard of this revolution. But other schools have seen them as destinations for underperforming children. Students whose poor academic prospects might hamper league table performance have been directed towards UTCs and higher-performing contemporaries have been warned off. On top of that, many parents and students themselves have felt that 14 is too young to opt for a narrowly specialist path.97

Lord Baker responded in the Telegraph in support of UTCs, and addressed in particular the recruitment issue:

Justine Greening has decided to help UTCs recruit at age 14 – something that has always been difficult for us – by changing the law to require all local authorities to write to parents of 13-year-old children about UTCs that might be attractive to their children. She is also going to change the law to allow principals of UTCs to visit local schools and tell students about some of the opportunities available at their colleges. This is a big step forward in improving careers advice.

UTCs take in some youngsters who are totally disengaged – some with personal difficulties, who have largely written off their education.98

George Osborne, 2018

Appearing before the Education Committee in 2018 to discuss the “Northern Powerhouse” George Osborne said that UTCs should allow pupils to start earlier than aged 14:

George Osborne: To be honest, the history of the UTC was it started right at the end of the Blair/Brown Government, and there was a trust called the Baker Dearing Educational Trust that oversaw it. Ken Baker is passionate about it, and we expanded it under the Conservative coalition Administration that I was part of. There was a question mark about starting it at 14. There is an argument, which I was digging into before I left office, which is moving school at 14 is not always the easiest thing for people to do and they are reluctant to do it. So in terms of whether the Government should allow more flexibility in the UTC model, and perhaps have kids starting earlier, I do not doubt it has worked very well in some cases, but it clearly has not worked in others. If I was back at the Treasury, I would be looking at that.99

Lord Baker, in an interview with Schools Week, responded that recruitment was increasing and the implementation of the “Baker Clause” (see below) would tackle the recruitment gap further. He also

99 Education Select Committee, Oral Evidence: Education in the North, HC 819, 2 May 2018, Q11).
stated the Trust would be content to change the starting age from 14 to 13.\footnote{\textit{Special Interview: Lord Baker Defends UTCs as Problems Pile Up}, \textit{Schools Week}, 11 May 2018.}
6. Policy Developments post-2015

6.1 2015 Pause in UTC Programme

On 9 June 2015, the local MP for the Black Country UTC, David Winnick, raised the college’s closure in an adjournment debate. The skills Minister, Nick Boles, said that he was considering how best to take forward the UTC programme ahead of further expansion:

We want to ensure that the university technical college programme, to which the Government are firmly committed and which has great support from the main Opposition party, flourishes and creates institutions that are educationally and financially successful, so that they can recruit sufficient numbers of young people and give them a great education. […] I am looking at all the questions about how a UTC works; who it recruits and when it recruits them; what specialisms are involved; what its partnership and sponsorship arrangements are; and how it involves universities and employers, and which ones are getting involved. I am determined to ensure that the programme ends up producing fantastic institutions that offer great opportunities for young people to receive a technical education.

[...]

On the hon. Gentleman’s suggestion of a pause, there is a natural pause in a sense, because there are certain times at which we solicit bids for new university technical colleges. We are currently considering what the appropriate time will be to open up a bidding round, and I can assure him that there will be a number of months before that when we can consider all the lessons from this and other experiences.101

The DfE subsequently reopened UTC applications in October 2015, with the publication of new guidelines on applying to open new UTCs.

6.2 Requirement to inform parents

The School Information (England) (Amendment) Regulations 2017 required local authorities to write to parents of pupils due to move into year 10 to make them aware of schools with ‘atypical points of admission’ within reasonable travel distance from February 2017.102 Councils received funding of 40p per pupil for 2017/2018 in order to do this.103

The Baker Trust reported in 2017 that applications for Year 10 ran at nearly double the rate compared with 2016, citing evidence from three UTCs.104

6.3 ‘Baker Clause’

The “Baker Clause” was introduced as an amendment to the Technical and Further Education Act 2017 by Lord Baker, and requires every state

101 HC Deb, ‘Black Country UTC’, 9 June 2015, c1167
102 ‘Councils paid £100k to Write to Parents About UTCS’, Schools Week, 23 February 2017.
104 ‘UTC Applications Surge After Letters Sent to Parents’, Schools Week, 2 June 2017.
school from January 2018 to give training providers and colleges access to pupils aged 8 to 13 to discuss technical education and apprenticeships. The clause sought to overcome the disincentives for encouraging students to change schools at Year 10, given that schools are largely funded on a per-pupil basis. The amendment was accepted by the Government, and built upon a Business, Innovation and Skills and Education Committees 2016 Report on Careers Education, Information, Advice and Guidance (HC 205, 2016-17).

Under the clause, schools are required to publish a “provider access statement” of how they give access to alternative training providers. Inspectors are required to establish how effectively this is being delivered in schools.

*Schools Week* looked at the central 10 websites of the 10 largest academy trusts and 10% of their schools, to see if policy statements had been published by January 2018, finding that only 2 of the 10 Academy Trusts had complied with the new law. The IPPR Report ‘The Baker Clause One Year On’ (2019) found that only 37.6% of the 101 surveyed schools had published a provider access statement by January 2019. The research also found that 70% of 68 surveyed UTCs and FE colleges said it was difficult to access schools in their area.

Lord Baker called upon Ofsted inspectors to “condemn” schools that were not compliant with the law. In the wake of slow-implementation of the clause in 2018, the then-Skills and Apprenticeships Minister Anne Milton had warned of “direct intervention” by the DfE. A *Schools Week* FoI found that no action had been taken against schools between 2 January 2018 and 2 January 2019, but letters had been sent to 5 of the largest Academy Trusts to remind schools of the clause.

The House of Commons Education Committee 2018 Report *The Apprenticeships Ladder of Opportunity: Quality not Quantity* (HC 344, 2017-19) called upon the Government to enforce the clause:

> Too many students are still not receiving independent and impartial careers advice and guidance about the routes open to them, including apprenticeships. We recommend that the Government, with Ofsted’s support, properly enforces the Baker clause. In its response to this report it should set out how it plans to do this, and what penalties will be imposed on schools that flout their obligations.

---

105 Technical and Further Education Act 2017, Pt 1, ch 2.
109 Anne Milton, ‘Ensuring Young People Have the “Best Possible” Careers Advice’, *FE Week*, 7 August 2018.
In its response, the Government said that Ofsted would continue to look at the implementation of the Baker Clause, and a ministerial round-table had concluded that they would test ways to apply the legislation, including writing directly to parents or the school, or ultimately by using the Secretary of State’s legal powers to intervene.\[112\]

In May 2019, the then-Secretary of State for Education, Damian Hinds, stated academies could have their funding reduced if they fail to comply with the Baker clause, for breaching their funding agreement.\[113\]

In answer to a PQ in October 2019, the Government said it had contacted individual schools if it was reported to the DfE they were not complying with statutory duties in careers guidance.\[114\]

In response to a House of Lords Debate on Vocational Education and Training in October 2019, the Schools Minister Lord Agnew stated that the Baker Clause was being increasingly complied with:

> We surveyed a number of schools recently and 76% stated that the duty is being partially complied with. A further review this summer found that compliance, although patchy, is improving. In January of this year, a report from the IPPR contained similar findings: 70% of providers found it difficult to access schools in their area, but one in three said the situation had improved. I am not complacent, and we will continue to put pressure on schools to be more open to this.\[115\]

### 6.4 Membership of Multi-Academy Trusts

In February 2019, Lord Baker and the Minister for the Schools System Lord Agnew wrote to the leaders of UTCs recommending that they join multi-academy Trusts (MATs) in order to aid recruitment and financial stability.\[116\] The Government hoped, since guidance in 2015, that other academies could act as “feeder schools” for a UTC.\[117\]

Previously, Lord Baker had opposed UTCs participating in MATs. Schools Weekly reported the peer in 2018 as saying:

> We don’t want UTCs watered down, and that is the danger if they get into a MAT.

> […]

> We have a unique model and the reason why UTCs have survived is that we actually patented the model so the government and companies and universities can’t mess us around.\[118\]

---
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In the same report, Lord Baker stated that the Trust had “worked out an arrangement” with MATs so that if UTCs join, they will continue to have an independent chair and board.

20 of the 50 UTCs in March 2019 were already part of multi-academy trusts. Membership of a MAT formed part of the new Memorandum of Understanding between the DfE and the Baker Dearing Trust in June 2019:

The DfE and Baker Dearing share the belief that in most cases, membership of a strong Multi Academy Trust (MAT) is an important way to help UTCs succeed. This will help to ensure that a UTC has a strong educational offer, as well as aiding recruitment and financial stability.

The DfE shall inform and consult with Baker Dearing in respect of any proposals to transfer a UTC Trust to a MAT. Baker Dearing will work with the DfE, and the UTC Trust, to facilitate the successful and timely transfer of a UTC into a MAT.

The DfE expects a MAT into which a UTC transfers to understand and support the particular ethos of the UTC, and to be committed to safeguarding this. It expects nominees of the UTC’s employer and university sponsor to form the majority on the UTC’s Local Governing Body (LGB).120

6.5 Impact of EBacc

The Government’s ambition is to see 75% of pupils studying the EBacc subject combination at GCSE by 2022, and 90% by 2025. The EBacc combination is: English literature and language, maths, the sciences, geography or history, and a foreign language.121

After a consultation, UTCs have been excluded from the EBacc entry target, but the measure will still be included in the institution’s league table scores. The Government response recognised the specialist role of UTCs:

UTCs, studio schools and a small number of further education colleges offering key stage 4 to pupils aged 14-16, provide a specialist technical and professional education. Pupils attending these institutions choose to specialise in a technical or professional area at age 14. Each of these types of school should consider carefully whether its specialist curriculum is compatible with the full EBacc. Where it is, they should offer the EBacc subjects and should consider on a case-by-case basis whether pupils should be entered for them.122

Previously, Lord Baker had criticised the EBacc as too narrow, and a cause of disengagement and decrease of attendance.123

119 ‘Baker U-Turns by Telling all UTCs They Could Survive by Joining Multi-Academy Trusts’, FE Week, 15 March 2019.
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122 DfE, ‘Implementing the English Baccalaureate’, paragraph 41.
6.6 Introduction of T-Levels

In response to the Sainsbury Review of 2016 into technical education, the Government said it would introduce “T-Levels”, as the technical equivalent of A-Levels. They will taught through a mixture of classroom learning and industry placement. By 2020, 3 T-Level courses will be taught, a further 7 in September 2021, a further 15 courses rolled out by Autumn 2023.124 The Baker-Dearing Trust welcomed the introduction of T-Levels.125

To express an interest in delivering T-Levels, UTC providers must:

- Have an Ofsted rating of Good or Outstanding (or be able to demonstrate that they have an equivalent standard of quality […]
- Have at least satisfactory financial health, and
- Currently be delivering to a minimum of 10 qualifying students per T Level subject area they are applying to deliver; and
- Currently be delivering to a minimum of 50 qualifying students across all pathways they are applying to deliver.126

As of 1 October 2019, 1 UTC (Leigh) was to deliver T-Levels from 2020-2021,127 and an additional 2 UTCs from 2021-22.128

---

127 DfE, ‘Providers Selected to Deliver T Levels’, (1 October 2019).
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7. Reports on UTCs

7.1 NAO Report (2019)

The National Audit Office (NAO) Investigation into University Technical Colleges investigated the challenges to the viability of UTCs, given their issues of recruitment and concerns for the finances as a result. This followed the NAO’s 2018 report on Delivering STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics) Skills for the Economy.

On the performance of UTCs, the NAO report found:

3. UTC’s revenue deficits have grown and accounted for nearly 10% of the total cumulative revenue deficits reported by all academy trusts in 2017/18 (p.5)

4. At July 2019, the Education and Skills Funding Agency (the ESFA) had significant concerns about the finances of 13 UTCs (p.6).

9. Compared with other secondary schools, a higher proportion of students from UTCs progress into sustained apprenticeships and a lower proportion into education (p.8).

10. At August 2019, Ofsted had rated 52% of UTCs as good or outstanding, compared with 76% of all secondary schools (p.8).

11. UTCs have performed less well than other secondary schools against key measures of performance, but the Department considers that not all its metrics are appropriate for UTCs because of UTCs’ technical focus and age range (p.9)

Response

In response to the report, the DfE stated that it remained committed to UTCs and had taken action to raise their profile in order to encourage recruitment:

We’re committed to ensuring people have access to high-quality technical education across the country, and University Technical Colleges are helping to deliver on that, with 21% of pupils progressing into apprenticeships after completing their post 16 education, more than double the national average.

As this report recognises, we have taken significant action to support and raise the profile of University Technical Colleges to make sure they continue to play a role in our diverse education system and provide the skills that employers need.129

Lord Baker, Chair of the Baker Education Trust also published a response saying the DfE continued to back the programme and UTCs should be measured against student destinations:

This report records the price of everything and the value of nothing. UTCs should be judged by the success of their students becoming apprentices, studying STEM subjects at a University and getting a job as a technician or an engineer. For that, we have the best destination data of any schools in the country. Because of this, the Department has encouraged us to make applications for

---

new UTCs and we are working with local employers and universities for the next round in November.130

7.2 Education Policy Institute (2018)

The Education Policy Institute (EPI) published its Report UTCs: Are They Delivering for Young People and the Economy? in 2018. The Chair of the EPI is David Laws, who had served as Schools Minister from 2012 to 2015.

The report assessed UTCs against a number of measures, including:

**The performance of UTC students against Progress 8 Scores and EBacc components.** The report showed that 3% of UTC students achieved all EBacc components including a 9-5 pass in English and Maths GCSE in 2016/17, compared to 22% in all state-funded mainstream schools.131 The average attainment 8 score was also lower on average: 37.5 compared to 47.1 in other institutions.132 One potential reason for lower progress is that UTCs do not enter pupils into enough eligible subjects for Attainment 8: 65% of UTC pupils enter 10 eligible subjects in 2016/17 compared to 86% nationwide.133

The report also showed the lower levels of continuation to key stage 5 in UTC compared to other institutions. In 2015/16, 49% of pupils continued in a UTC, 29% in other education, 11% an apprenticeship and 12% were not in education.134

**The TechBacc,** a performance measure introduced by the Government designed to offer three A-levels in a vocational qualification, an approved mathematics qualification and an extended project, was found to have not been taken up by UTCs. Only 3% of UTC students achieved the TechBacc, though this was higher than the 0.1% nationally in 2016/17.135

In terms of post-Key Stage 5 destinations, in 2014/15 a lower proportion of UTC students moved into Higher Education (41% versus 50% in state funded mainstream), a similar proportion into employment (20% in both) and a higher proportion into apprenticeships (20% versus 7%).136

The report recommended:

**Consider moving UTC admissions to age 16**

In a number of countries, students make transitions before age 16, but this is not the case in England.Regardless of what the “right” age might be, the reality is England has a pre- and post-16 system. This means that admission at age 14 is not the norm and has failed to convince enough students, parents, carers and schools of its benefits, and there is no evidence that participation

---
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in UTCs at age 14 is likely to rise significantly without more fundamental changes to the education system. This has also made it difficult for many UTCs to remain viable. [...]

**UTCs could become flagship level 3 technical institutions**

[...] With their recruitment age set at 16, UTCs should focus on delivering high-quality existing technical qualifications and eventually T-levels relevant to local and national skill needs. With UTCs offering only Key Stage 5, there would be an opportunity for them to deliver a differentiated, high-quality level 3 technical provision.

[...]

**Better destinations measures are needed**

Currently, destination measures capture student destinations two terms after finishing Key Stage 5, but take no account of the educational pathway that young people have taken during Key Stage 5, nor their grades. This means it is not possible to differentiate between those institutions which have “good” destinations on account of their intake and those that are actually effective at leading young people into further education, training or suitable employment.

[...] (p.11)

The report also criticised pupil outcomes at Key Stages 4 and 5.

The report also raised concerns that half of UTC students do not continue from Key Stage 4 to Key Stage 5 in the same institution, despite the intention of UTCs to offer 14-19 education.137

**Response**

In response to the report, Lord Baker stated that UTCs should not be compared to “normal” schools. The Deputy Chief Executive of the Association of Colleges urged caution at the recommendations, describing the 16-18 sector as “already a chaotic and underfunded market”.138

### 7.3 IPPR (2017)

The IPPR think tank published *Tech Transitions: UTCs, Studio Schools, and Technical and Vocational Education in England’s Schools* in 2017. It argued that the 14-19 model of recruitment was holding UTCs from fulfilling their potential, and should be repurposed to provide specialist technical education to students aged 16-19. On UTCs, the report recommended:

UTCs should become high-quality providers of technical education for students aged 16–19. All new UTCs should open according to this revised remit. Existing UTCs should also largely convert to become 16–19 providers, with the exception of those with a record of high performance.

– UTCs should be made to align with STEM-focussed technical routes to be introduced as part of the government’s Post-16 Skills

138 “Scrap UTC 14-19 Model, Says Former Schools Minister”, *FE Week*, 11 October 2018.
Plan, and focus on the delivery of level 2 and 3 qualifications (including T levels) associated with up to two of these routes.

– They should retain their strong links with industry and university partners, and provide a high-quality pathway into university, work or an institute of technology.

– Only UTCs with a positive Ofsted rating and good pupil outcomes should be permitted to remain open as 14–19 free schools (p.5.)

The report found that on average UTCs filled around 60% of planned Year 10 places from 2013/14 to 2015/16. High recruitment in initial years was followed by significant falls in the following years: Daventry UTC filled 79% of planned places in 2013/14, falling to 33% in 2015/16.

On barriers to recruitment at aged 14, the report cited three:

The first and most important set of barriers to 14–19 institutions being able to recruit sufficient numbers of pupils is structural, and is driven by the desire among mainstream secondary schools to protect their budgets by preventing an outflow of pupils in year 10.

The system of per-pupil funding, by which schools receive government funding, creates a strong incentive for secondary schools to operate at full capacity, which requires the ability to attract sufficient numbers of new pupils at year 7 and retain as many as possible thereafter (p.21)

Because 14–19 institutions are legally defined as free schools, they do not require the approval of the local authority before they are established. Instead, the decision over whether or not to approve the opening of a new 14–19 institution is taken entirely by the DfE. This can lead, in some cases, to 14–19 institutions being set up without prior consultation and engagement locally. Consultation and engagement is vital if 14–19 institutions are to be able to generate positive relationships with key stakeholders, dispel myths, and embed themselves within local education markets. Where it is absent or minimal, though, adversarial relationships can be hardened, communication channels fail to materialise, and future cooperation is jeopardised (p.23).

The third set of barriers to 14–19 institutions being able to recruit sufficient numbers of pupils is societal, and is driven by the extent to which perceptions regarding the relative benefits of academic and technical/vocational education are embedded (p.25)

The report warned against a “cycle of decline”, where an inability to overcome low recruitment numbers in the immediate years after opening result in reduced funding from the Government, encouraging a dilution of both the UTC brand and intake as the school broadens its focus to attract a wider range of pupils.

In its response to the report, the Baker Dearing Trust re-iterated that it believed 14 was the right age to start at a UTC, and that legal requirements that local authorities should write to the parents of Year 9

---
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pupils informing them about UTCs and allowing UTC representatives to visit local schools from September 2017 “will lead to a further significant increase in applications at 14”.142

7.4 NFER Report (2017)
The National Foundation for Educational Research (NFER) published University Technical Colleges: Beneath the Headlines in June 2017. The report was critical of the accountability measures used to judge UTCs, because:

- Students attend a UTC for just two of the five years between Key Stages 2 and 4, but UTCs are held to account for their students’ progress over the full five years;
- Academic performance measures do not fully recognise the technical and vocational share of the UTCs’ curriculum or some of the qualifications that their employer sponsors wish their students to study;
- UTCs are set up with the explicit intention to devote a significant part of their curriculum to focusing on employability skills and employer-led projects, which the headline accountability measures do not take into account.143

The report made 6 recommendations, focusing on methods of accountability and increasing recruitment:

1. Independently assess students attending UTCs at the point of entry so that progress while in the institution can be properly measured and UTCs can be held to account for the time that the student attends the institution.

2. Urgently examine how well the current headline accountability measures fit with the curriculum and purpose of UTCs, with the aim of ensuring that they do not disadvantage UTCs (or their students). If this concludes the headline measures do not assess UTCs fairly, consideration should be given as to how the existing measures might be adapted or complemented with additional measures (for example, a greater focus on destination and employability skills measures) to better assess UTC performance.

3. Review the non-accredited technical and vocational qualifications on offer in UTCs and provide guidance about suitable accredited alternatives where they exist. If necessary, work with awarding bodies to develop suitable qualifications that can be accredited.

4. Conduct further work to review whether there are other disincentives in the system (for example, the impact on a school’s funding) which may be hindering UTCs from recruiting pupils, and take appropriate action to address these to ensure there is a level playing field.

5. Commission research into higher attaining UTCs to identify why they are more successful, and how they can be further
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supported so this can be replicated when future UTCs are set up.

6. Continue to carefully monitor the performance of UTCs, and investigate their performance based on more appropriate performance measures.

Unless UTCs get more support from Government to overcome some of the inherent challenges they face, we believe they will continue to struggle and be vulnerable to closure. Over time, this may damage the credibility of the technical / vocational sector. If the Government is not prepared to provide this support, it might be best for it to reconsider the rationale and purpose of UTCs.

Response

The Baker Dearing Education Trust broadly welcomed the report and called upon the DfE to take further action to strengthen UTCs, saying that:

The findings are encouraging and show that the UTC model is now starting to work, despite a number of barriers, some of which are reflected in the NFER analysis.

[...]

We urge the government to support the UTC programme in the following ways:

1. Review the accountability measures to ensure that students and parents are provided with a comparable set of information.
2. Collect data on the destinations of all students at the point they leave education.
3. Give greater recognition to all the skills students gain at UTCs.
4. Act to stop schools who encourage their students to transfer to a UTC without any assessment of whether this is the right move for those children.
5. Introduce a standardised measure of student attainment prior to entering a UTC.
6. Consider the introduction of a technical premium that recognises the funding challenges and reflects the longer teaching day and the higher capital maintenance cost of teaching a 14 to 19 vocational and technical education.144
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