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The TALIS Video Study

The Teaching and Learning International Survey (TALIS) Video Study, run by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), provides new information on the teaching of mathematics in secondary schools across the eight participating countries/economies: Biobío, Metropolitana and Valparaíso (Chile), Colombia, England (UK), Germany\(^1\), Kumagaya, Shizuoka and Toda (Japan), Madrid (Spain), Mexico, and Shanghai (China). Later in the report these regions are referred to by country name only. Data collection was conducted in England between October 2017 and October 2018.

The TALIS Video Study serves as a unique opportunity for policymakers, researchers and teachers themselves to understand teaching within different countries and compare patterns between them. The Study is able to describe classroom teaching in considerable detail across a range of settings thanks to its design and methodology, providing a rich learning opportunity for the education community at scale. – OECD, 2020a:9

The TALIS Video Study aimed to deepen understanding about the factors that lead to better student outcomes; identify what works in the classroom; get a more detailed view of what is taking place in classrooms and see how these things differ across geographies and jurisdictions. The study was designed to:

- Understand which aspects of teaching are related to student learning and student non-cognitive outcomes such as students’ self-efficacy and interest in mathematics
- Observe and document how the teachers from participating countries and economies teach
- Explore how various teaching practices are inter-related, and how contextual aspects of teaching are related to the student and teacher characteristics.

Importantly, the study is not a ranking exercise of countries or their teachers and it is not a comprehensive study of all aspects of teaching. It is a new and innovative attempt to capture “the richness, the complexity and the variety of teaching around the world to better understand teaching and learning”.\(^2\)

---

\(^1\) In Germany this constituted a convenience sample of volunteer schools.

\(^2\) OECD (2020a)
Documenting what has been learned from the TALIS Video Study

The OECD is releasing data from the TALIS Video Study as part of two international reports. One is a policy-focused report documenting the findings from all eight participating countries entitled *Global Teaching InSights A Video Study of Teaching*. The other is a technical report detailing how the study was undertaken.

There are also three DfE published reports.

The first report complements the OECD reports by providing a more focused and detailed analysis of the results in England and analysing differences within England across teachers and classes.

The second report documents the findings from a qualitative analysis of the videos, focusing on interesting practices measured by the study in England.

The third report is a technical report detailing how the study was conducted within England following the study protocol as set by the International Study Consortium and noting the approved deviations.

A suite of reports

All reports are written with the intention of reaching a wide audience including policy makers, schools and practitioners. A suite of research summaries based on the full reports have been produced specifically with schools and practitioners in mind. These reports contain the same material condensed and packaged for faster reading.

---

3 OECD (2020a)
4 Also referred to as the TALIS Video Study
5 OECD (2020b)
6 Ingram et al. (2020)
7 Ingram & Gorgen (2020)
8 The consortium managed the TALIS Video Study on behalf of the OECD.
9 McCann et al. (2020)
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Developing a deeper understanding of teaching quality

The TALIS Video Study was a substantial undertaking involving 533 schools, 700 teachers, and around 17,500 students across eight countries.

The Study offers a window into the classrooms of Biobío, Metropolitana and Valparaíso (Chile), Colombia, England (UK), Germany, Kumagaya, Shizuoka and Toda (Japan), Madrid (Spain), Mexico and Shanghai (China). These eight countries/economies feature a rich variety of classroom settings, pedagogical traditions, system-level policies and student achievement levels that contribute to the Study helping to build a deeper understanding of teaching at a global level. – OECD, 2020a:7

The Study was designed to focus on teaching quality. As the OECD report states:

Understanding the relationship between teaching and learning can provide insights to help raise student outcomes and to promote high quality, impactful teaching practices that ensure every child has the learning tools needed to succeed in school and life. – OECD, 2020a:3

The study extends and deepens earlier research on the topic of teaching quality.

[It] aims to move the education community towards a more detailed and robust understanding of teaching and learning. The Study's overarching goal and rationale is to trial new methodologies to deepen understanding of teaching and learning at an international scale. – OECD, 2020a:7

What did the study involve?

The TALIS Video Study focused on the teaching and learning of a single mathematics topic, quadratic equations. The focus on one common topic was important; it enabled the collection of comparable data across countries. The focal topic had to be one that was taught in all participating countries and economies and that was taught to students of a similar age. The study used new measurement tools specifically designed for the analysis of videos of mathematics teaching and the lesson artefacts accompanying that teaching. The study design was longitudinal, capturing the outcome measures before and after a sequence of lessons that included quadratic equations. The procedures for data
The TALIS Video Study required two lessons from the quadratic equations unit of work to be filmed. One of the lessons occurred during the first half of the unit and the second lesson occurred later in the unit.

The TALIS Video Study also required all participating teachers and students to complete two questionnaires.

Students took a pre-test focused on their general mathematics knowledge two weeks before the start of the unit of work that included quadratic equations. They then took a post-test within two weeks of the conclusion of the unit of work. The post-test had a narrower focus than the pre-test in order to provide more precise measures of students’ knowledge and understanding of quadratic equations.

In addition to the tests, questionnaires, and the videos of lessons, artefacts from those lessons and the lessons that followed were also collected. These artefacts included lesson plans, handouts and worksheets, textbook pages, visual materials such as the projected slides shown, and/or any homework set, where they were available.

The videos of teaching were analysed by a team of raters who had been trained to look for common aspects of teaching – so they were all looking for the same behaviours and practices no matter which country the videos were from. Their ratings (of the video and artefact data within the study) were measured on a scale of 1 to 4 (or 1 to 3 for artefacts), where a higher rating represented a higher quality or higher frequency of particular behaviours. A framework was designed specifically for the study to guide this. It focused on practices known from previous research to be related to student achievement as well as practices that were highly valued by the Mathematics Experts in each of the participating countries.

After the ratings were complete, analyses were possible. These analyses explored the variation, frequency, and prevalence of teaching practices and the relationships between teaching practices, student outcomes and teachers’ and students’ perceptions of learning quadratic equations.

The features of the Study’s methodology are presented in Figure 1 below.
Whilst there have been other studies that have used video to study teaching before, the TALIS Video Study is among the largest, with high numbers of teachers and countries participating. It is also among the more elaborate studies of this nature in that it includes common evaluation methods; a common focal topic; and collection of teaching materials, student questionnaires, teacher questionnaires, teacher logs, and student tests. Most other video studies have some but not all of these components.

**What was the TALIS Video Study looking for?**

During the early phases of the project the International Study Consortium developed a conceptualisation of teaching quality which underpinned the development of the common data collection tools. This integrated three things:

- Country conceptualisations of teaching quality
- TALIS and Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) frameworks
- A review of international literature on teaching.
The resulting conceptualisation of teaching led to the development of a framework which essentially set out what was being looked for in the videos. It had three domains of teaching (categories of teaching practice) including:

- **classroom management** (routine, monitoring, disruptions, time on task, activity structure and frequency, time of lesson)
- **social-emotional support** (respect, encouragement and warmth, requests for public sharing and persistence)
- **instruction**, which had subdomains including:
  - **discourse** (nature of discourse, questioning, explanations, discussion opportunities)
  - **quality of subject matter** (explicit connections, explicit patterns and generalisations, explicit learning goals, accuracy, real-world connections, connecting mathematical topics, mathematical summary, types of representations, organisation of procedural instruction)
  - **student cognitive engagement** (engagement in cognitively demanding subject matter, multiple approaches to/perspectives on reasoning, understanding of subject matter procedures and processes, metacognition, repetitive use opportunities, technology for understanding, classroom technology, student technology, software use for learning)
  - **assessment of and responses to student understanding** (eliciting student thinking, teacher feedback, aligning instruction to present student thinking).

Greater detail about how the conceptualisation of teaching was used to underpin the tools for data collection and the precise meaning of terms can be found in the OECD policy report and the OECD technical report.

In the analysis, the teaching practices or behaviours (known in the language of the Study as components and indicators) were rated for quality or frequency in the videos and in the classroom artefacts. These data were then used along with the survey and test data to look for patterns and relationships that might reveal more about how teaching practice was associated with a range of other factors.

**There are challenges when seeking replication of study design in multiple countries**

Some of the features of the methodology (for example, the common focal topic and the longitudinal design) act to increase robustness or complexity of study and improve the
quality of the data to allow for international and comparative analysis. They also introduce limitations.

In England, the pre-post or longitudinal element was actually short (often only two weeks). This means there was actually very little time for learning or change in outcomes to happen. The reasons for the short time between pre- and post- data collection was dictated by the way the topic is taught in England. These differences are interesting in and of themselves.

The focal topic was essential to make the study work internationally but again imposes limitations on the learning that is possible more generally beyond this topic. There are also important differences to the way even a common focal topic is dealt with in different countries. Not all aspects of quadratic equations considered in the study were part of England’s foundation tier curriculum and in England quadratic equations and quadratic functions are often taught within the same topic, whereas they are separated in other countries.

Despite best intentions it was not possible to follow an identical process in each country, for good reason. For example, the protocols for sampling and recruiting schools could not be implemented by the book everywhere. The length of time between pre- and post-data collection matched what naturally occurred in each jurisdiction but varied between countries. All these factors affect how the findings should be interpreted.
What are the lessons from the TALIS Video Study?

This section of the report summarises the key findings in relation to each of the domains from the conceptualisation of teaching.

Classroom management

There was remarkable similarity across all countries when it came to classroom management. The approach to classroom management enabled:

- most time to be spent on learning
- organised and efficient routines
- lots of teacher monitoring of the whole class
- few disruptions

Whole-class instruction was observed in 88% of lesson segments\(^\text{10}\). The use of whole-class instruction often happened in combination with individual work in England (UK), Japan and Shanghai (China). Student collaboration was used in less than 22% of lesson segments.

---

\(^{10}\) A segment was a block of time with each video divided into a series of segments. Segmenting video in this way is often used to aid analysis of video data.
Students and teachers were asked about their perceptions of the teaching during the topic. The analysis shows they:

[...] generally reported high levels of teacher awareness, efficient handling of disruptions and a high disciplinary climate. However, students in most countries/economies perceived more noise and disorder than their teacher. – OECD, 2020a

Social-emotional support

The international report states:

Social-emotional support, the practices used by teachers and students to develop and maintain learning environments marked by trust, a sense of community, and feelings of belonging (among others), has an impact on student cognitive and non-cognitive outcomes. – OECD, 2020a (quoting Pianta, Hamre and Allen, 2012; Wang and Degol, 2016)
The act of observing social-emotional support in international classrooms is new and innovative and it is not easy. Observers looked for certain behaviours that suggest encouragement, respect and warmth – for example, laughter, smiling, manners appropriate to local culture, use of appropriate names – these things all counted.

Overall, across the eight countries, classrooms were deemed to be “moderately” socially and emotionally supportive – neither very warm nor very cold. Most were respectful and it was very rare to see threats or degrading behaviours.

**Figure 3: Summary of findings relating to the domain of social-emotional support**

![Summary of findings](source)

Analysis of classrooms in England alone suggested high levels of social-emotional support (see the TALIS Video Study National Report and the accompanying Summary Report).

**Instruction**

The instruction domain is complex and multi-faceted. It can be broken into practices related to:

- **subject matter** (for example, clarity of goals, the use of patterns and generalisations, connections, and types of mathematical representations)

- the depth of students’ **cognitive engagement** (for example, the level of cognitive demand, understanding of rationales, opportunities to practice, metacognition)
• teachers’ assessments of and responses to students’ understandings (for example, eliciting of student thinking, providing feedback to students on their thinking, and aligning instruction to that thinking)

• students’ participation in the classroom’s discourse (for example, who speaks, the nature of questions, the type of explanations).

For all countries, the overall rating for the quality of instruction was “low”. The range of mean scores from 1.74 to 2.24 (on a four-point scale) suggests there is room for improvement in some classrooms in every country or economy. However, England’s mean score (2.23) was toward the upper end of these scores (Japan (2.24), England (2.23), Germany (2.20), China (2.15), Spain (1.96), Mexico (1.92), Chile (1.85) and Colombia (1.74)).

In England, Chile, Germany, Spain, and China the overall quality of instruction was roughly similar across classrooms. In contrast, Japan, Mexico, and Colombia appear to have larger differences across classrooms in the quality of instruction within these countries/economies. In China’s classrooms, teachers and students engaged in similar practices at a similar level of quality no matter the classroom in which they participated.

In England, many classrooms were quite alike on assessment and discourse but differed considerably on cognitive engagement and quality of subject matter. In Colombia and Germany, classrooms were alike on quality of subject matter and discourse respectively but differed on other practices. The lack of clear patterns suggests significant “within-country” variation.

Within the practices that compose the overall instructional domain, the lowest-rated practices – in every country/economy – were quality of subject matter and cognitive engagement. But all aspects of the instructional domain were below the social-emotional support scores and well below those for classroom management.
Figure 4: Summary of instruction domain findings

Students were not engaged in cognitively engaging work

- It was rare for students to
  - regularly be asked to take on cognitively demanding tasks
  - use more than one method to solve problems
  - be asked to articulate the rationale for mathematical procedures
  - be asked to engage in discussion, metacognition and self assessment

Rare mathematical practices

- It was rare for students to make connections between aspects of mathematics
- Classrooms had few opportunities to make connections to real-world contexts
- Students were rarely asked to notice patterns or make generalisations

Commonalities in instruction practices

- It was common to learn quadratics through equations and sometimes graphs and drawings

Figure 5: Instruction and technology

Technology that could have been used:

- Non-graphing and graphing calculators
- Tablets
- Mobile phones
- Computers

Software designed to carry out simulations, instructional games or interactive graphing tasks

Students in all countries “almost never” used technology

Teachers’ use of technology where this was observed was most often to aid communication – e.g. interactive whiteboards
Figure 6: Instruction and opportunity to learn\textsuperscript{11}

- With the exception of Germany, Japan, England and China, teachers spend less time teaching quadratic equations than the time assigned in the official curriculum.

- Large differences between countries in the total teaching time reported in the unit.

- Teachers encouraged conceptual reasoning in Chile, Spain and China.
- Teachers engaged with graphical representations in England, Colombia and Germany.
- In Japan and China graphical materials were almost non-existent.

- Teachers sequenced material in different ways.
  - In Germany and Colombia, teachers introduced quadratic functions early on.
  - In Chile teachers introduced quadratic functions later.

- Cognitively demanding material.
  - “Completing the square” was relatively popular in Germany, Japan and China but otherwise rarely seen.
  - “Finding roots in quadratic functions” was used only in Germany, England and Colombia.
  - In Spain and Mexico both were rare.

---

\textsuperscript{11} England has been added to the list of countries under ‘opportunity to learn’ as a result of the England analysis.
There is excitement about this innovative approach, so what happens next?

There is a great deal of information in the reports published as a result of the TALIS Video Study. So, what next? What can be done with all of this information?

The final chapters of the OECD International Policy Report conclude with a strong message about how the TALIS Video Study lays foundations for a new future. They call for:

- More integration of teachers into the process of studying teaching and learning – the TALIS Video Study supports and provokes reflection and discussion and the debate needs to find more “ways to pool expertise from a range of sources, backgrounds and contexts in order to foster a global dialogue centred on professional growth.” – OECD, 2020a

- New ways to build a range of communities of practice and to use the technology at our disposal to support a global dialogue about teaching and learning.

  “A global teaching community could help to establish a common deposit of professional knowledge that stretches across the world, like that of other professions such as economics, medicine or architecture.’ – OECD, 2020a

- The development of a global teaching language to overcome barriers to teacher collaboration:

  “The TALIS Video Study shows that a shared language and a common framework around teaching can be established across cultures. The fact that a large group of observers from different countries and a diverse set of backgrounds could be collectively trained to accurately unpack and analyse teaching provides promising evidence that dialogue around teaching can be robust and evidence-based across countries and cultures.” – OECD, 2020a

It is important to note also that for the OECD there is a key “sister” project of the TALIS Video Study called Global Teaching InSights. Video examples have been included in this resource only with participants’ consent.

*Global Teaching InSights* will be a digital platform that connects teachers, educators, and researchers around the world. It will use video examples, drawn from the TALIS Video Study, to illustrate teaching practices in a tangible and authentic way. It will hence offer stakeholders common video definitions of each practice, around which dialogue, learning and collaboration can occur. – OECD, 2020a
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