

Developing New GCSEs, AS and A Levels for First Teaching in 2017 – Part 2

Regulatory Impact Assessment



February 2016

Ofqual/16/5829

Contents

1. Introduction	2
2. Changes to the assessment arrangements	3
Non-exam assessment	3
Tiering	4
Assessment objectives.....	4
3. Assessing costs and benefits of the changes to assessment arrangements	5
Non-exam assessment	5
Making assessment more similar across exam boards	6

1. Introduction

GCSEs, AS and A levels are being reformed. We are introducing regulatory requirements to achieve comparability for similar qualifications in the assessment arrangements across the exam boards. We regulate how these arrangements are implemented to secure standards over time.

This regulatory impact assessment considers the impact of the new assessment arrangements compared with the pre-reform assessment arrangements for the following qualifications:

- GCSE – ancient history, classical civilisation, electronics, film studies, media studies, statistics
- AS and A level – accounting, ancient history, archaeology, classical civilisation, electronics, film studies, law and media studies.¹

We previously set out our principles for tiering and assessment arrangements for all general qualifications. We considered whether it is appropriate to implement these changes and assessed the impacts relative to continuing with the existing approach.

¹ We also consulted on proposals for assessment arrangements in AS and A level Statistics. Following consultation the DfE content and our related assessment arrangements are being reviewed. AS and A level Statistics is therefore not included in this impact assessment and we will publish an impact assessment in due course.

2. Changes to the assessment arrangements

Non-exam assessment

We revised the percentage of non-exam assessment in each qualification in line with our following principles:

- Non-exam assessment should only be used when there is not a valid way to assess by exam.
- In most circumstances, the percentage of marks attributed through non-exam assessment should be the same across all exam boards.

Table1 sets out the changes we made.

Table 1. Comparison of current and proposed weightings of non-exam assessment

Subject	GCSE		A level		AS	
	Legacy weighting of non-exam assessment	Proposed weighting of non-exam assessment	Legacy weighting of non-exam assessment	Proposed weighting of non-exam assessment	Legacy weighting of non-exam assessment	Proposed weighting of non-exam assessment
Accounting			0%	0%	0%	0%
Ancient History	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Archaeology			20%	20%	0%	0%
Classical Civilisation	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Electronics	25%	20%	26-30%	20%	26-30%	20%
Law			0%	0%	0%	0%
Film Studies	50%	30%	45-50%	30%	40-50%	30%
Media Studies	60%	30%	40-50%	30%	40-50%	30%
Statistics	25%	0%				

* Where a range is stated these figures show the maximum weighting of a range allowed under our regulations. One or more boards currently use a lower weighting in their qualification.

Tiering

We set out our principle that tiering should only be used when it is not possible to adequately assess all students using the same exam questions. For qualifications in this impact assessment, this represents no change.

Assessment objectives

We revised assessment objectives for each subject. The changes are made on a subject-specific basis, and largely represent realigning assessment objectives with the changes made to the specification's content by the Department for Education.

Additionally, we propose to move from a situation where an assessment objective could make up a wide range of the marks for a subject, to one where it must make up the percentage set out by us or, for some AS and A levels, be within a narrow and prescribed range. This means, historically, exam boards could have a very different weighting between assessment objectives for the same qualification. The new system will reduce the differences between specifications for the same subject.

3. Assessing costs and benefits of the changes to assessment arrangements

Non-exam assessment

We are reducing the percentage of non-exam assessment in the following qualifications:

- GCSE ancient history
- GCSE classical civilisation
- GCSE electronics
- AS and A level electronics
- GCSE film studies.
- AS and A level film studies
- GCSE media studies
- AS and A level media studies
- GCSE statistics

In electronics, film studies and media studies we propose changes to the non-exam assessment arrangements to standardise the percentage of marks for exam and non-exam assessment across all exam boards' qualifications. For some exam boards, this will mean a change in the non-exam assessment of their qualification.

In total, these changes will affect about 190,000 entries annually²

While we have set out the reductions in weightings in non-exam assessment, we have not prescribed what this means for the future number or size of non-exam assessments or the length (or number) of exam papers. For subjects where the reduction in non-exam assessment is small, it is possible exam boards will continue to set a similar number of tasks, and their workload and costs will remain the same.

² Data from JCQ summer 2014, England only.

Exam boards will set the length of exam papers based on their assessment strategies. It is possible that, for some subjects, increasing the proportion of exam-based marks will mean increasing exam time. This would have cost implications for exam boards in preparing longer or additional papers, as well as additional costs of marking them.

It is difficult to predict the net impact of a reduced percentage of non-exam assessment on exam boards without being sure of the impact on overall length and mix of assessment. It seems likely that when exam boards set their assessment strategies, they will consider manageability and costs alongside standards, to deliver valid assessments.

For schools, a reduction in the number or size of non-exam assessments should reduce the time spent by teachers preparing students for the assessments and marking them. It is possible that teachers will use this time to undertake other tasks, including preparing students for exams.

The principal driver and main benefit of reducing the percentage of non-exam assessment is to improve the validity of the qualifications by ensuring that the nature of the assessment is appropriate to the skills, knowledge and understanding being assessed.

Making assessment more similar across exam boards

We moved from a situation where exam boards could, in many cases, choose the weighting of assessment objectives and non-exam assessment from a range, to one where each specification would be expected to have the same weighting. This will have benefits as it means the assessment arrangements are more similar across exam boards, so wider users of qualifications can be confident the same qualification is of a comparable standard, no matter which exam board or specification was used.

We wish to make our publications widely accessible. Please contact us at publications@ofqual.gov.uk if you have any specific accessibility requirements.



© Crown copyright 2016

This publication is licensed under the terms of the Open Government Licence v3.0 except where otherwise stated. To view this licence, visit <http://nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3> or write to the Information Policy Team, The National Archives, Kew, London TW9 4DU, or email: publications@ofqual.gov.uk.

Where we have identified any third party copyright information you will need to obtain permission from the copyright holders concerned.

This publication is available at www.gov.uk/ofqual.

Any enquiries regarding this publication should be sent to us at:

Office of Qualifications and Examinations Regulation

Spring Place
Coventry Business Park
Herald Avenue
Coventry CV5 6UB

2nd Floor
Glendinning House
6 Murray Street
Belfast BT1 6DN

Telephone 0300 303 3344
Textphone 0300 303 3345
Helpline 0300 303 3346