磁 GOV.UK

Q

→ Coronavirus (COVID-19) | National lockdown: stay at home → Brexit | Check what you need to do

Home > Parenting, childcare and children's services > Safeguarding and social care for children

> Looked-after children and children in care > Data collection for looked-after children > Children in need and looked after children statistics: proposed changes

Department for Education

Contents

Policy paper

outcomes statistics Updated 11 March 2021

Proposed changes - CIN and CLA

What will happen next What we're asking you to

feedback on Changes to cohorts Changes to breakdowns Changes to outcomes Contact Print this page

What will happen next

What we're asking you to feedback on

The Department for Education (DfE) asked users to submit feedback on these

The feedback is now being analysed and will be published at a later date at Children in

proposals between 24 September 2020 and 29 October 2020.

need and looked after children statistics: proposed changes.

We are requesting user feedback on proposals to change the annual children in children in need (CIN) and children looked after by local authorities (CLA) outcomes statistics

based on both the commitment made at the end of the review of children in need to

improve the annual CIN and CLA outcomes publications and on previous user feedback.

This includes recommendations to improve the consistency of definitions and the range

of children reported on. For example, we currently do not report outcomes on the whole CIN cohort and we only report on children looked after for at least 12 months at 31

March – the impact on outcomes for those looked after for shorter durations is not currently reported on. This request for user feedback on proposed changes provides DfE with the opportunity to check with users whether our proposals meet these aims. As part of the review of these National Statistics, an ad-hoc statistics release: outcomes of children in need

including looked after children has been published. This ad-hoc publication includes changes to current cohorts, as well as additional cohorts. As part of this process, we are also reviewing the outcomes we publish and the outcomes of previously looked after children official statistics. We propose producing a single publication, bringing together the CIN and CLA outcomes statistics into one place. We plan to publish a 4-year time series for each

outcome at national level where possible and also provide local authority level data.

In addition to our proposed changes to the content of the future publication, we are

also asking you to give your feedback on using Explore Education Statistics (EES), the new way in which we publish DfE statistics. The ad-hoc release was published using EES and will be used for future CIN, CLA and outcomes statistics. Changes to cohorts

Background Currently we publish statistics on:

children on a child protection plan at 31 March (for absence only)

• for attainment measures we publish a non-looked after children cohort for

CIN at 31 March excluding looked after children but including looked after children

children looked after continuously for at least 12 months at 31 March • children who were previously looked after and left care through adoption, special guardianship order or child arrangements order (for key stage 2 and key stage 4 only)

Proposal and rationale

a child protection plan)

CLA for at least 12 months at 31 March

CLA at 31 March

removed)

after)

small.

• Ever CIN – last 6 years

• Ever CLA – last 6 years

that are on a child protection plan (CPP)

comparison purposes We've previously received feedback that the cohorts are not clear and there is also a

group of children looked after that are not captured in the above cohorts (those children looked after for less than 12 months at 31 March that are not on a child

- protection plan).
- We propose to include the cohorts from the ad-hoc publication in the new publication (CINP is 'children in need and other plans' defined below): • CIN at 31 March (all CIN at 31 March without any cohorts removed)

• CINP at 31 March (all CIN at 31 March excluding children looked after and children on

 CLA for less than 12 months at 31 March • CIN at any point in the year (all CIN at any point in the year without any cohorts

• CINP at any point in the year (all CIN at any point in the year excluding children

CPP at any point in the year (all CPP at any point in the year excluding children looked

• CPP at 31 March (all CPP at 31 March excluding children looked after)

• CLA at any point in the year

looked after and children on a child protection plan)

but will focus on specific cohorts in the commentary and at local authority level (see proposal on changes to breakdowns). We are interested in your views on publishing these cohorts at national level.

In addition, we are proposing a new methodology for matching data based on that used

in the ad-hoc publication, which means we would not be including a non-looked after

We propose publishing the majority of these cohorts as underlying data at national level

children cohort for attainment but instead will make comparisons with all children using the relevant national level figures released in DfE's statistics publications. These publications can have different coverage but historic comparisons have shown that the

differences in results between the non-looked after children group and all children are

Information on children who were previously looked after and left care through

published, see proposal on changes to outcomes).

Changes to breakdowns

adoption, special guardianship order or child arrangements order will continue to be

You may find it helpful to consider these questions when providing feedback:

• do you think that the proposed changes to the definition of the CIN cohort and the inclusion of new cohorts will be an improvement on the existing statistics? • if we were to reduce the number of cohorts we publish from the above list, which of the cohorts would be of most use to you at national level? • will the removal of the non-looked after children cohort for attainment at national level cause any issues?

Background

needs or gender breakdowns).

Proposal and rationale

local authority figures. We propose to only publish local authority breakdowns for a

number of specific cohorts and we are suggesting the following cohorts:

• CIN at 31 March (all CIN at 31 March without any cohorts removed)

• CPP at 31 March (all CPP at 31 March excluding children looked after)

It is important for us to understand which cohorts are most useful and beneficial for our

• CINP at 31 March (all CIN at 31 March excluding children looked after and children on

If we publish cohorts at 31 March, this removes duplicates where a child has moved

months at 31 March' cohort may be too small to be published at local authority level for

stage 4 at national level but to remove the gender breakdowns for key stage 1, key stage 2 and key stage 4 in order to improve efficiency - the gender breakdowns are considered secondary analysis. the ad-hoc publication, which means that the same age filters will be used for all cohorts. In the current publications there are some small variations between the cohorts detailed in the footnotes due to historic differences in methodologies.

We propose to continue publishing special education needs for key stage 2 and key

Background The following changes are due to coronavirus (COVID-19) and are expected to be temporary:

Questions

We currently publish special education needs breakdowns at national level for attainment at key stage 2 and key stage 4. We also publish gender breakdowns for attainment at key stage 1, key stage 2 and key stage 4. For the headline measures, we

currently publish local authority breakdowns (these do not include special educational

local authority during the year. However, if we publish 'at any point in the year' and 'Ever - last 6 years' cohorts at local authority level, the same child can appear in more than one local authority. At national level this makes little difference but it may have more of an impact on local authority figures. In addition, the numbers in the 'CLA for less than 12

Questions

level?

all outcomes.

a child protection plan)

CLA for at least 12 months at 31 March

• CLA for less than 12 months at 31 March

In addition, we are proposing a new methodology for age filters based on that used in

You may find it helpful to consider these questions when providing feedback:

what are your views on the proposed cohorts for local authority level data?

Which cohorts would you consider to be the most useful at local authority

• if we were to publish 'at any point in the year' or 'Ever – last 6 years' cohorts

they have been in during the year be acceptable for outcomes statistics?

• will the removal of gender breakdowns for attainment cause any significant

at local authority level, would a child appearing in all of the local authorities

issues? If it does, what would be the impact of removing the gender breakdown?

Changes to outcomes

• there is no key stage 1 data available for 2020

• there is no key stage 2 data available for 2020

proposals to be introduced when data is next available):

• special education needs – see 2019 national tables:

• at key stage 4 - see 2019 national tables:

Proposal and rationale

national tables)

2019 national tables)

national tables)

2019):

In the ad-hoc publication we have included for the first time data on the early years foundation stage profile (EYFSP). There is no EYFSP data available for 2020 due to coronavirus (COVID-19). Furthermore, the EYFSP assessment is changing, with early adopters taking up the new profile in 2021 ahead of a statutory roll out in 2022. As this process progresses, we'll review how best to incorporate EYFSP data into future outcomes publications.

To improve the efficiency of the publication by focusing on the most useful statistics, we

propose that the following longer term changes are made (key stage 2 and key stage 4

• remove primary type of special educational needs (only published for CLA at

• remove or reduce the number of EBACC headline measures (only published at

national level), as this is considered secondary analysis (see table 2b in the 2019

national level for CLA but at national and local authority level for CIN), as numbers

are too small to publish at local authority level for some of the proposed cohorts

and generally other measures are considered a better gauge (see table 5a in the

• remove Progress 8 length of most recent period of care (only published at national

level), as the general result from this breakdown does not appear to change year

• remove Progress 8 school type breakdown (only published at national level), as

this breakdown does not appear to be widely used (see table 5d in the 2019

• include statistics on previously looked after children (official statistics) – see 2019

We did not include progress measures at key stage 2 in the ad-hoc publication but we

do intend to continue publishing progress measures at key stage 2 when data is next

You may find it helpful to consider these questions when providing feedback:

will there be any significant issues if we make the proposed changes at key

• do you find the data on school type included in the ad-hoc publication useful

and would it be beneficial for us to include in future? If so, would it be

beneficial to provide this data at national level and local authority level?

will there be any significant issues if we remove primary type of SEN

additional tables in outcomes for children looked after by local authorities (31 March

• continue to include key stage 2 and key stage 4 (only published at national level) –

• key stage 4 data availability for 2020 will be confirmed in due course

on year (see Table 5e in the 2019 national tables) • school type – see ad-hoc statistics release: outcomes of children in need including looked after children: • add school type – (see underlying data in the ad-hoc publication)

add exclusions at national level

statistics?

stage 4?

Contact

No

Yes

Is this page useful?

Coronavirus (COVID-19): quidance and support

Services and information

Business and self-employed

Childcare and parenting

Crime, justice and the law

Disabled people

Driving and transport

Benefits

available. In addition, we did not include destinations measures in the ad-hoc but we intend to continue publishing this at national level. **Questions**

see tables A1, A2, B1, B2 and B3 in the 2019 additional tables.

- will it be beneficial to add in exclusions data for previously looked after children?

There is something wrong with this page

Check what you need to do

Research and statistics

releases

Policy papers and consultations

Transparency and freedom of information

Departments and policy

If you have any questions about this request, email <u>cla.stats@education.gov.uk</u>.

Coronavirus (COVID-19) Brexit

Education and learning How government works Births, deaths, marriages and care Employing people **Departments Environment and countryside** Worldwide

Housing and local services <u>Services</u> Guidance and regulation Citizenship and living in the UK Money and tax Passports, travel and living abroad News and communications

Help Privacy Cookies Contact Accessibility statement Terms and conditions Rhestr o Wasanaethau Cymraeg Built by the Government Digital Service

All content is available under the Open Government Licence v3.0, except where otherwise stated

Visas and immigration

Working, jobs and pensions

© Crown copyright