Ofsted
raising standards
improving lives

Guidance

# Social care common inspection framework (SCCIF): residential provision of further education colleges

Updated 19 March 2021



Print this page

## Introduction

The social care common inspection framework (SCCIF) applies to inspections of:

- children's homes
- secure children's homes
- children's social care agencies
- boarding schools and residential special schools
- voluntary adoption agencies
- local authority fostering services
- residential family centres
- residential holiday schemes for disabled children
- residential provision in further education colleges

The SCCIF means that:

- there are the same judgement structure across the range of settings listed above
- the experiences and progress of children and other service users, wherever they live or learn, are central to inspection
- there are key areas of evidence that we usually expect in all each inspection

The SCCIF is not a 'one-size-fits-all' framework. Where necessary, the SCCIF sitters and addresses the unique and distinct aspects of each type of setting. However, the evaluation criteria we use to make judgements and the accompanying guidance are, wherever possible, consistent across all settings.

## The inspection principles

Ofsted's inspection principles outlines how we will carry out inspection and regulation that are:

- intelligent
- responsible
- focused

Our approach is further underpinned by the following 5 principles that apply to all social care inspections.

### To focus on the things that matter most to children's lives

We have reached a general consensus with the main social care stakeholders that social care inspection should be focused on children and young people's lives, their experiences and their outcomes, and the adults who look after them, and matters most about children's experiences and progress.

Using this to guide us, we focus the criteria for our judgements on the difference that providers are making to children's lives. Adults can only support children well if they in turn are well supported, so we also look closely at the quality of care for adults as part of the quality of the support that the adults who care for children receive.

### To be consistent in our expectations of providers

It is important for professionals and members of the public in a particular service that they know what to expect from inspection and what is expected of providers.

We use the same judgement structure and the same evaluation criteria, wherever possible, irrespective of where children live or receive help.

Our inspection methods and published guidance only differ where it is right to do so for good reason. This includes taking a similar approach to deciding on the frequency of inspections.

### To prioritise our work where improvement is needed most

We are committed to inspecting in a way that focuses our resources where they are needed most. If leaders and managers have shown that they can consistently deliver services for children well, we may decide to return less often or do a more proportionate inspection.

However, we always take into account the risk to children of inspecting too infrequently. We use a broad range of information to help us whether standards are being maintained. This enables us to go back to good and outstanding providers more quickly if we have concerns.

## The focus of inspections

The SCCIF has a clear and sharp focus on evaluating the impact of care and support on the experiences and progress of children, largely through case tracking and sampling. This means that:

- inspectors spend less time looking at policies and procedures and more time looking at the effectiveness of what providers do in practice
- we give the minimum notice of inspection, so that we can see settings as they are on a day to day basis, and so that the time providers may spend preparing for inspection is kept to a minimum. We do not ask providers to write self-evaluations. We have carried out settings that still have relatively lengthy notice arrangements
- we have set out as clearly as possible the details of the information required by inspectors before inspection, and we have worked with providers to produce their best evidence whenever we give notice of inspection

## How inspectors make judgements under the SCCIF

### Judgement structure

Our judgement structure shows how our first principle of inspection – to focus on the things that matter most to children's lives – and places the progress and experiences of children and other people who use children's services at the core of inspection.

RI/SCCIF inspections finishes the 4-point-scale (outstanding, good, requires improvement to be good and inadequate) judgements that relate to the overall experiences and progress of children, taking into account:

- how well children are helped and protected
- the effectiveness of leaders and managers

Inspections of adoption support agencies, voluntary adoption agencies and residential family centres also look at, as appropriate, the experiences of other service users.

The judgement about how well children are helped and protected is a limiting judgement. This means that inspectors cannot give a higher grade than the lowest-graded 'progress and experiences' judgement will always be inadequate.

The judgement of the effectiveness of leaders and managers is a graded judgement. If inspectors judge this area to be inadequate, this is likely to lead to a finding that is inadequate, and certainly no more than requires improvement, for 'overall experiences and progress'.

Inspectors will make the limiting and graded judgements first so that inspection can take these into account for the 'overall progress and experiences' judgement.

### How inspectors use the evaluation criteria

Inspectors will use the descriptors of what 'good' looks like as the benchmarks against which they will evaluate the quality of practice and the impact it has on children's lives. It is not a checklist. It is a professional evaluation of the effectiveness of the care and support provided on the experiences and progress of children. Failure to meet all of the descriptors would not automatically lead to a judgement that practice was inadequate or good.

Some criteria will have less relevance than others in some settings because of the nature of the setting and the children it provides.

Even when all the criteria are relevant, there is always a degree of professional judgement in weighing and balancing evidence to arrive at the inspection judgement.

The inspector judges a setting to be good if they conclude that the evidence sits most appropriately with this finding. We call this the 'best fit'.

The evaluation criteria for SCCIF inspections are broadly consistent across different types of provision. However, they have also been adapted to reflect the setting and unique nature of each type of provision.

### Required evidence

Inspectors look at several areas of required evidence for each judgement. Some areas are common to all SCCIF inspections, but others are specific to the specific type of provision. We set out the required evidence for each judgement in bold points at the beginning of the evaluation criteria for each judgement.

## Evaluation criteria

Inspectors use the following criteria to make judgements, including benchmarks of what good looks like.

### The overall experiences and progress of young people

Areas of required evidence are:

- the quality of individual care and support
- the quality of relationships between professionals and young people
- how well children are helped to develop positive health, education, and emotional, social and psychological skills
- how well their views are understood and taken into account
- how well they are helped to understand and manage risks, as appropriate
- how well young people are prepared for their future and how well transitions are managed

**Good**

The experiences and progress of children and parents are likely to be judged good if there is evidence of the following:

Young people are enabled to build trusted and secure relationships with the adults who look after them.

Residential staff know young people well, listen to them, spend time with them, and form close and positive bonds with them. Young people, including those with learning difficulties and disabilities, are helped to communicate their feelings. They make progress and have a range of positive experiences.

Young people, including those who communicate non-verbally, are given opportunities to express their views and influence how they are cared for. They are sensitively helped to understand where it may not be possible to do their wishes and why other action is taken that is in their best interests. Young people are helped to understand and manage risks, as appropriate, so independence and, where appropriate, an independent existence.

Young people know how to complain. The college's complaints policy is easy to understand and accessible. Young people understand what has happened as a result of their complaint. Where young people choose not to complain, they know how to do so. Urgent action is taken, and practice and services improve as a result.

Young people enjoy a range of social, educational and recreational opportunities. They are supported to engage in faith-based activities if they wish.

Young people are supported to develop independence according to their individual needs. They are shown how to protect themselves from being in unsafe situations or with unsafe people.

Young people are in good health, or are being helped to improve their health, as appropriate. Young people are helped to lead healthy lifestyles (including in their sexual and sexual health needs). They have access to local health services. Young people's physical and mental health needs are identified quickly and addressed effectively and well-coordinated. Young people benefit from consistent emotional independence wherever possible. Staff develop effective relationships with all health professionals to promote good health.

Specialist help is available according to the individual needs of young people. Young people's mental health needs are identified quickly. Help is put in place if it is necessary. If services are not available, or a young person is unwilling to try it, or long time for help, staff are proactive in challenging and escalating concerns with the statutory authority or other partners.

Young people who are new, including those with hurt families or in progress, are welcomed. Newcomers are received sensitively and with careful and considered planning.

Young people are treated with dignity and respect. They experience care that is sensitive to their individual needs, including in relation to their religion, race, ethnicity, faith or belief, gender, gender identity, language, sexual and sexual orientation. They are helped to develop a positive self-view. The care they receive helps them to understand and respect themselves and others. They develop resilience and develop a sense of their own identity. It helps them overcome any previous experiences of neglect and trauma.

Staff place the well-being of individual young people at the centre of their practice. Their achievements are celebrated. Their day-to-day needs are met, such as routine, privacy, personal space and nutritious food at regular mealtimes.

Staff encourage young people to have appropriate contact (direct or indirect) with their family, friends and others important to them. There are no unnecessary restrictions in place.

There are good opportunities for young people to express their views. In addition, they're able to take some responsibility for how their accommodation, pastoral support and social activities are run.

**Requires improvement to be good**

The experiences and progress of young people are likely to be judged requires improvement to be good if there is evidence of the following:

The college is not yet delivering good help and care for young people. The weaknesses identified need to be addressed to fully support their progress and experiences. However, there is no evidence that young people are being left at risk as a result of weaknesses in the overall effectiveness that result in their welfare not being safeguarded and promoted.

**Inadequate**

The experiences and progress of young people are likely to be judged inadequate if there is evidence of the following:

There are serious or widespread failures that mean young people are not adequately protected from harm, exploitation or abuse, or if their care and experiences are poor and they are not making progress.

**Outstanding**

The experiences and progress of young people are likely to be judged outstanding if, in addition to the requirements of good, there is evidence of the following:

Professional practice consistently exceeds the standard of good and results in sustained improvement to the lives of young people even when young people have complex needs and difficulties. There are examples of excellent practice that are worthy of wider dissemination.

There is significant evidence of change and improvement for young people because of the actions of the staff working at the college. The progress of young people is exceptional taking into account their starting points.

The experience of living at the college enhances young people's life chances. For young people with the most complex needs, staff are able to provide the sustained benefit they have had in making a difference to the lives of young people in their care.

Research-informed practice, some of which may be innovative, continues to improve the experiences of young people, making an exceptional difference to the lives and experiences of young people.

### How well young people are helped and protected

Areas of required evidence are:

- how well risks are identified, understood and managed, and whether the support and care provided help young people be increasingly safe
- how well children are helped and protected when they may go missing or be at risk of harm, including from exploitation, neglect, abuse, self-harm, bullying and radicalisation
- how well staff and managers challenge and escalate and whether clear and consistent thresholds for escalating a child's well-being and security for young people
- whether safeguarding arrangements to protect young people meet all statutory and other requirements and promote their welfare and prevent radicalisation and extremism

**Good**

The help and protection offered to young people are likely to be judged good if there is evidence of the following:

Young people feel protected and are protected from harm, including neglect, abuse, sexual exploitation, accidents, bullying and radicalisation. There is a strong and proactive response from all those working with young people that helps to keep them safe from harm. Staff are alert to the signs that children and young people may be at risk of harm. This includes regular and effective contact and planning with the young person's family or, if relevant, their abscond social worker.

Young people can identify a trusted adult they can talk to about any concerns. They report that adults listen to them, take their concerns seriously and respond appropriately.

Any risks associated with young people offending, misusing drugs or alcohol, self-harming, going missing, being affiliated with gangs or being sexually exploited are assessed and managed well. Staff are proactive in this area. They take action to risk assessments address any known vulnerabilities for each young person effectively and act what action should be taken to address the risks. Staff are alert to the signs that indicate the risk of harm and there is evidence that these risks are being minimised.

Young people with go missing from the residential provision experience well-managed return interviews. They have been in the risk of harm to them. Risks are well understood and minimised.

Staff are aware of, and implement in full, the requirements of the statutory guidance for young people who are missing. They know the specific risks that escalate concerns. Parents and carers are made aware of incidents where the young person has gone missing, unless this is inappropriate. Staff look for young people when they are missing.

Young people are protected, and helped to keep themselves safe, from bullying, homophobic behaviour, racism, sexual exploitation, radicalisation and discrimination. Any discriminatory behaviours are challenged. Support is given to young people about how to treat others with respect.

Young people receive help and support to manage their behaviour and feelings safely. Staff looking after them respond with clear boundaries about what is safe and acceptable and seek to understand the triggers for behaviour.

Positive behaviour is promoted consistently. Staff use effective de-escalation techniques and creative alternative strategies that are specific to the needs of each young person and designed in consultation with them where possible.

Restraint is used only in strict accordance with the legislative framework to prevent injury or serious damage to property. Alternatives to restraint are explored and monitored and the views of the young person are sought and understood.

Conflict management is effective and includes the appropriate use of restorative practices that minimise future interactions. Increase young people's sense of personal responsibility and reduce the need for formal police intervention.

Proactive and effective working relationships with the police help to support and protect young people.

Staff understand the risks that young the internet may pose for young people, such as bullying, sexual exploitation or child pornography. They have well-developed strategies in place to keep young people safe online and to support them in learning how to keep themselves safe.

Any searches of young people, their rooms and possessions are carried out lawfully, proportionately and respectfully, and are explained to young people. They need to be safe and to have their dignity and needs respected. All searches are appropriately recorded, including the reasons for the search, efforts to seek the young person's agreement to the assessment and management oversight of the decision to carry out a search.

Careful recruitment and regular monitoring of staff and volunteers prevent unsuitable people from working with young people. The leadership team ensures young people are in place them at risk. The relevant authorities are informed of any concerns about inappropriate adults.

Staff working within the residential provision, including the designated safeguarding lead, know and follow procedures for responding to concerns about young people's welfare. Staff are aware of the procedures to be immediately shared as a result of concerns with the local or placing local authority. A record of that referral is retained.

There is evidence that staff follow up the outcome of the referral quickly and persistently enough to ensure that young people are protected from harm as further harm. If the college is not satisfied with the response from other to take action to protect young people, there is evidence that it takes appropriate action, including escalating to the Director of Children's services (DCS) in the local authority paying the young person (if relevant).

Investigations into allegations or suspicion of harm are shared with the appropriate agencies and are handled fairly, quickly and in accordance with statutory guidance. Staff who are subject to allegations are managed with a good duth full to the person making the allegation and the person who is the subject of the allegation.

Staff have effective links with local authorities, designated officers and other safeguarding agencies. There is good communication about attendance at case issues, such as any injuries sustained during restraints or allegations against staff. Staff are proactive in seeking support from other professionals and organisations that may be able to offer specialist support to young people in keeping themselves safe.

The physical environment for young people is safe and secure and protects them from harm or the risk of harm. Risk assessments for the physical environment are regularly reviewed and updated and comply with statutory requirements.

**Requires improvement to be good**

The help and protection offered to young people are likely to be judged requires improvement to be good if there is evidence of the following:

Young people are not yet receiving good help and protection, but there are no serious failures that leave them either being harmed or at risk of harm.

**Inadequate**

The help and protection offered to young people are likely to be judged inadequate if there is evidence of the following:

There are serious or widespread failures that mean young people are not protected. Young people's basic needs are not met and they do not receive support and experiences are poor and they are not making progress.

**Outstanding**

The help and protection offered to young people are likely to be judged outstanding if, in addition to the requirements for good, there is evidence of the following:

Highly effective planning manages and minimises risks inside and outside of the college.

Proactive and creative safeguarding practice means that all young people, including the most vulnerable, have a strong sense of safety and well-being and they are unlikely to be missing from the college on a regular basis.

Young people are involved in creating ways to de-escalate situations and finding creative alternative strategies that are effective.

Research-informed practice, some of which may be innovative, continues to flourish from a strong and confident base, making an exceptional difference to the lives and experiences of young people.

## The effectiveness of leaders and managers, including governors

Areas of required evidence are:

- whether leaders and managers show an ambitious vision, have high expectations for all young people, and have a track record of high standards of education and care
- the extent to which leaders and managers have a clear understanding of the progress that young people are making and how effective action when needed
- whether leaders and managers provide the right supportive environment for staff to ensure that they are motivated and have the skills to improve their practice and training programmes, tailored to the specific needs of the young people
- how well leaders and managers understand the provision's strengths and weaknesses and use this to improve the provision for young people over time
- whether the provision is achieving its stated aims and objectives
- the quality of professional relationships to ensure the best possible all-round support for young people, including effective partnerships
- whether leaders and managers actively challenge when the responses from other services are not effective
- the extent to which leaders and managers actively promote tolerance, equality and diversity.

**Good**

The effectiveness of leaders and managers is likely to be judged good if there is evidence of the following:

The residential provision is properly staffed and resourced to meet the needs of the young people in residence. The staff team is suitably vetted and has the training and skills to deliver high-quality services.

Leaders and managers maintain the progress that each young person makes and the quality of practice since the last inspection. The residential provision has had no or few significant weaknesses.

Leaders and managers ensure that plans for individual young people comprehensively address their needs. Leaders and staff work proactively and positively with other agencies and professionals.

Leaders and managers seek to build effective working relationships with parents and carers, placing social workers and independent reviewing officers, where they are located to secure positive outcomes for young people.

If young people are not settling into the residential provision, leaders and managers take effective action.

Leaders and managers monitor the progress that each young person makes and the quality of practice since the last inspection. The residential provision has had no or few significant weaknesses.

Managers and staff receive regular and effective supervision that is focused on young people's outcomes, needs, plans and feedback.

Staff and leaders receive effective support and challenge, including through regular management supervision. They have access to training that helps development results in the right environment for good practice to flourish. The emotional impact of the work on staff is recognised and managed well by leaders and managers.

Training, development and induction activities are focused on ensuring that the staff team has the skills to meet the needs of the young people. Staff practice is evaluated to ensure they lead to effective practice. Leaders, managers and staff are up to date with current practice in their specialist area.

Staff work collaboratively to provide consistency and stability. There are clear responsibilities and accountabilities and staff have a sense of ownership about its practice. Staff report that they are well led and managed and there is other evidence to support this.

Leaders and managers ensure the physical environment is maintained to a high standard and is safe, well furnished and decorated. It is a comfortable and welcoming home. Any damage or wear and tear is quickly and regularly repaired.

Volunteers who work with young people in residence are trained, supervised and appropriately deployed. Their contribution makes a high quality service that enhances the experience of young people.

The college ensures that information about significant events relating to welfare and protection is shared with the appropriate authorities and individuals. Leaders and managers take the necessary action following the information to ensure that young people's needs are met and that they are safe and protected.

The ethos and objectives of the residential provision is characterised by high aspirations and aspirations for all young people. This is demonstrated in practice.

Leaders and managers regularly review and act on any known risks to young people, taking advice and guidance from local partners and agencies.

**Requires improvement to be good**

The effectiveness of leaders and managers is likely to be judged requires improvement to be good if there is evidence of the following:

The characteristics of good leadership and management are not in place. Where there are weaknesses in practice, leaders and managers have identified the weaknesses and have plans in place to put them right. They are serious and there is capacity to take the necessary action.

**Inadequate**

The effectiveness of leaders and managers will be judged inadequate if there is evidence of the following:

The experiences, progress or protection of young people are inadequate, and leaders and managers do not have the strengths and weaknesses of the home, or the capacity and drive for prioritising, challenging and making improvements.

The college fails to work effectively in partnership with others in the best interests of young people.

**Outstanding**

The effectiveness of leaders and managers is likely to be judged outstanding if, in addition to the requirements of a good judgement, there is evidence of the following:

Leaders and managers are inspirational, confident and ambitious for young people and influential in changing the lives of those in their care.

Leaders and managers create a culture of aspiration and positivity and have high expectations of their staff to change and improve the lives of the young people they are responsible for.

Leaders and managers lead by example. Innovate and generate creative ideas to sustain the highest quality care for young people.

Leaders and managers know their strengths and weaknesses well and can provide evidence of improvement over a sustained period.

Leaders and managers develop and maintain professional relationships between the agency and partner agencies that ensure the best possible care, experiences and futures for young people.

## Legal context

Under the Education and Inspections Act 2006, Ofsted carries out its work in ways that encourage the services it inspects and regulates to:

- improve
- be user-focused
- be efficient and effective in the use of resources

Section 97 of the Children Act 1989 and the National Care Standards Commission (Inspection of Schools and Colleges) Regulations 2002 will set the legal basis for the inspection of residential provision for children in schools.

The relevant residential provision of an independent special school may be subject to inspection by Ofsted in accordance with additional functions assigned by the Education and Inspections Act 2006. Ofsted will carry out its functions in relation to the guest agreement, provided it is not registered with the Care Quality Commission (CQC) as a care home. Inspection will be carried out in accordance with the SCCIF as set out throughout this guidance.

When inspecting the residential provision, Ofsted takes into account the knowledge and understanding gained from previous inspections, and the:

- Children Act 1989
- National minimum standards (NMS) for the accommodation of students under 18 by boarding schools in England.

The NMS apply to further education colleges as defined in section 91 of the Further and Higher Education Act 1992, and to 16 to 19 academies.

This also applies to the relevant residential provision of any independent specialist college that is registered with the DfE as a care home but is not registered with the CQC as a care service.

Ofsted does not regulate residential provision in further education colleges. This means that, unlike some other types of social care or welfare provision, Ofsted does not inspect residential provision in colleges against a set of regulations or care actions. Ofsted inspects the college as a whole.

The inspection will determine if:

- the care and welfare of the inspection
- the names of the lead inspector, and other inspectors if applicable
- brief information about the inspection process, how to obtain documents from our website and how to contact Ofsted if there are concerns.

As the college is a private sector, it is not necessary to provide a reason for the inspection to work. Inspectors may never need to navigate the system if records are kept up to date. Ofsted will not inspect the residential provision at the same time as the college, although inspectors may ask for specific reports or documents as necessary.

Immediately following the phone call, we email to the provider the letter of notice, which confirms the above details mentioned during the phone call. We attach to the notice letter, the college's and EYFS requesting for information for the inspection.

## Request for information at an inspection

When the lead inspector notifies the college of an inspection, they will send a form for the college to complete that gives information to support the inspection.

Inspectors also request:

- a plan of meetings that will occur during the inspection that the inspector may be able to influence
- information about the young people who are resident at the college, as the inspector will not have time to read individual files in detail. This information will help the progress and experience of the young people staying at the college.

The college can update any data previously given to Ofsted. Inspectors accept any evaluation the college has made of its provision, in whatever form they choose to present it. This does not need to be sent to Ofsted before the announcement of the inspection.

The inspector will also provide a letter, which is for the college to send to all staff who work in the residential provision at the college. It provides contact details of the inspector and explains how staff can contact them during the inspection.

A record of the information is stored by Ofsted to take analysis purposes. No personal data will be stored.

## Scheduling and the inspection team

### Frequency of inspection

Ofsted usually carries out an inspection of the residential provision of further education colleges at least once every 3 years.

If provision has previously been judged either inadequate or requires improvement to be good, it is usually inspected more frequently and normally within 2 years.

Ofsted reserves the right to carry out unannounced inspections or monitoring visits without notifying in advance.

The frequency of inspections of residential provision in colleges is not prescribed by law. This is a matter of policy for Ofsted.

### Scheduling

The scheduling of inspections takes into account:

- previous inspection findings
- complaints and concerns about the service
- questionnaire responses from young people, parents, social workers and other professionals
- information given, or concerns raised, by a funding body, employers, parents, carers or learners
- any serious incidents
- published data.

Where possible, the same inspectors will not inspect the residential provision of a college for more than 3 consecutive inspection cycles. However, in certain circumstances, for example, if Ofsted is carrying out monitoring inspections, it may be important for continuity purposes to retain the same inspectors until the monitoring inspections have ceased.

### Length of inspection

For a full inspection of a further education college's residential provision, up to 2 inspectors usually spend a total of 2 days on site, spread over 3 days.

The lead inspector and the Regional Schools Inspection Service (RSIS) should decide how long the inspection will take. Factors to consider when making this decision include:

- the inspector spending additional days on site
- more inspectors being brought in for the inspection
- fewer inspectors being brought on the inspection, particularly if there are fewer than 10 students living on site.

Inspectors should determine whether:

- the amount of time on site should be reduced for inspections of provision with a very small number of young people resident at the college
- the inspection should be carried out by inspectors over a more time, should be arranged for larger residential colleges, colleges with a wide geographical spread or when there are specific issues such as a serious incident to consider.

### Deferrals

We will grant deferrals in line with our deferral policy.

## Timeframe

| Day | Full inspection activity |
|---|---|
| 1 | Preparation |
| 2 | Notice – site visit |
| 3 | Site visit |
| 4 | Site visit |
| 5 | Report writing – inspection evidence and report submitted for quality assurance |
| 6 | Draft report sent to the college to be corrected within a maximum of 10 working days of the end of the inspection |
| 7 | College returns the report with its factual accuracy check |
| 14 | Final report sent to the college within 20 working days of the end of the inspection |
| 15 | College may submit a complaint about the inspection by the end of the first report |
| 42 | The final report will be published on the Ofsted inspection reports within a maximum of 30 working days of the end of the inspection |

## Preparing for an inspection

### Analysis and planning

Inspectors are allocated a full day to prepare for an inspection of the residential provision. Inspectors will analyse the college's self-evaluation form (SEF), the information Ofsted holds, and try to ensure that the fieldwork is focused and is planned to minimise disruption to the normal business.

Inspectors will look at the information that Ofsted already holds about the agency, including:

- previous inspection reports
- any concerns and complaints received
- the lead inspector's pre-inspection analysis of evidence – this may include, but is not limited to, the college's self-evaluation, improvement plans, performance and development/quality improvement plan submitted by the college
- where available, published performance data for the previous 3 years such as achievement and attainment rates, any value-added data, destination data and employment rates
- completed questionnaires from young people and other stakeholders
- the college's own website, which may contain relevant policies and procedures and other information about the college
- outcomes of any monitoring visits
- information from the local authority's designated officer about any child protection enquiries or concerns that are ongoing or that have occurred at the college
- any other relevant information about the local area economic and social context relevant to the college.

Some of this information is drawn together in the provider information portal.

The inspector should familiarise themself with relevant national and local statistical information such as the most recent inspection of the social authority where the college is located. This is to understand the local education provision's expectations and for an Ofsted or any other inspectors.

The inspector analyses the available evidence and information and must record their planning notes on the inspection database.

The plan for the inspection should identify:

- lines of enquiry
- areas of apparent weakness or significant strength
- areas where further evidence needs to be gathered

The focus of the inspection may change during its course as further evidence emerges.

### Questionnaires

Each year, Ofsted uses online questionnaires to gather a range of views about different types of setting. Where relevant, this includes the views of:

- young people
- staff and carers
- staff
- foster carers
- adopters.
- adult service users
- social workers and parents, such as placing social workers and independent reviewing officers.

We send links to the questionnaires annually to each college to email and ask them to distribute these links on our behalf. The responses are submitted directly to Ofsted.

The responses are shared with the inspector for the service or setting and are used to inform the planning and evaluating of inspections.

If there are no responses, this also forms a line of enquiry for the inspection.

## The on-site inspection

### The start of the inspection

At the start of the inspection, the inspector will confirm their identity by producing their Ofsted identity card. They will also be required to produce a valid enhanced Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) checks.

The lead inspector always meets with the head of college or the member of staff in charge of residential provision at the beginning to:

- outline the plan for the inspection, including any meetings or events during the inspection that inspectors may wish to attend or observe
- ask for any outstanding information or documents
- give the college a chance to share information or personal issues relating to any young people or members of staff that inspectors need to know during the inspection
- ask for the names of any young people who are not resident, including children, adult editions and contact telephone numbers for the provision
- arrange the approximate time that verbal feedback will be given and to whom.
- ask for the name of the person who will be acting as the nominee in the case of a change of residential provision, additional person staff leaves by the college who attend at the discretion of the lead inspector, if agreed in advance

### Case tracking and sampling

Evaluating the experiences and progress of young people resident at the college is a fundamental activity. This is largely based on evidence from case tracking and sampling.

For tracked cases, inspectors take an in-depth look at the quality of the help, care and protection that individual young people have experienced. For sampled cases, the inspector's look at elements of practice within individual cases, usually to follow lines of enquiry.

inspection. We recognise that even slight progress in a particular aspect of a young person's life may represent significant improvement.

Young people's overall experiences and progress are partly a result of how well they are supported by their family, friends, carers and professionals working with them. We consider the 'help and protection' and 'leadership and management' judgements carefully so they can take these into account when reaching the 'overall experiences and progress' judgement.

Inspectors track the experiences and progress of at least 5 young people. If there are fewer than 4 young people resident, inspectors track the experiences and progress of all young people who are resident at the children's home.

Inspectors also sample elements of other cases to follow specific lines of enquiry.

The size of the provision and the nature of any lines of enquiry that inspectors have may cause any sampled

Tracked and sampled cases should be selected by the inspector from the case list they request from the provider at the start of the inspection. The tracked cases should be representative of the current group of resident young people and may include:

- students from both peer groups
- a student who lives in lodgings, where applicable
- a student who has complex disabilities or health needs
- a student who has recently arrived at the provision
- a student who has been resident for a relatively short time or the other gender
- a student who is also a student at the college or a child placed who is in a looked-after need plan

Inspectors may look at evidence from students who have recently turned 18 years old, particularly if the number of students aged 16 to 18 is low, in order to gain sufficient evidence to make judgements about the provision.

Inspectors also usually evaluate the management of a report and how it achieves (where relevant), so that they can understand:

- how the staff team responds to complex and difficult circumstances
- whether the actions of leaders, managers and staff are focused on promoting and safeguarding the welfare of young people

Written records are only one aspect of how/why the young person's journey. Inspectors should not rely on written records as the young person's experience through sessions from other sources, such as:

- observing college activities
- the young person
- the college
- the young person's (with family, where appropriate
- other practitioners, for example tutors and members, involved in their care

When tracking the case of a looked-after young person, the inspector must always consult the independent reviewing officer and the placing social worker.

Inspectors examine, discuss and evaluate cases in line with the evaluation criteria. They do this by considering the information gathered by the college to show the impact of supervision, and progress of young people and through discussions with staff about making a difference to young people's lives.

The detail of activities carried out and discussions held varies depending on the lines of enquiry for each individual inspection.

Inspectors must record the entries in the young people's progress records as they have been tracked, sampled and/or interviewed as part of an inspection within the evidence base.

## Listening and talking to young people

The views of young people who are resident at the college provide important evidence of their experiences and progress.

Inspectors always have well the college consults with resident young people. Young people's views that have been gathered by the college are taken into account as part of the inspection evidence.

Inspectors must meet with young people during the inspection. Inspectors may make alternative arrangements to speak to young people, such as telephone calls or a pre-arranged time. Sometimes, inspectors will spend time observing activities and discussions between young people and staff to help them understand how communication with them. This is to limit any stress caused to young people when approaches will be discussed throughout the inspection as necessary.

These discussions take place in the form of a more informal chat as well it is essential that inspectors record the evidence.

Inspectors should involve young people in inspection activity wherever they can. Opportunities to gather the views and experiences of young people include the following:

- asking young people to show inspectors around some of the residential provision or lodgings
- meeting groups of young people
- speaking to individual young people
- spending time observing and talking informally to young people in the residential provision
- young people participating in recreational activities that the young people take part in after the end of the college day

Young people, including those with limited or no verbal communication, may wish to share their views in a letter to the inspector.

### Communication methods

Inspectors should bear in mind the limits of verbal communication with some young people, particularly those who are disabled or have complex health care needs, and those who have English as an additional language. Inspectors will ask the college to suggest to see appropriate alternative means of gathering young people's views and evidence and discuss them with feedback about the impact of their consultation.

Inspectors take into account the specific communication needs of individuals. For some young people, inspection may request the assistance of staff, or an independent person who is familiar with the young person's method of communication, to support inspectors' participation so that it is unique to the young person's circumstances. It is not appropriate for inspectors to spend time observing young people and how they interact with staff who are familiar with their communication.

Inspectors can request the services of an interpreter to join the inspection. This is helpful when young people or staff are fluent in British Sign Language. Inspectors should not arrange through the inspection support team and give 2 weeks' notice where possible.

### Practice when gathering the views of young people

Inspectors demonstrate safe and sensitive practice by:

- taking staff when and where conversations with young people are taking place and with their consent
- being sensitive to the fact that some young people may not want to be involved in the inspection
- explaining to young people that they will not include comments that will identify them in feedback to the college, unless they have specific permission to do so
- ensuring that staff are aware of any arranged meetings with young people and that young people may leave the meeting at any time
- not asking young people to share personal or sensitive information suggesting that they are at risk of harm will be passed by the inspector to someone able to take the right action

## Observing activities

Inspectors can use the college's scheduled activities as opportunities for observing and talking to young people. These activities could include:

- staff briefings
- leisure activities
- mealtimes

If catering is provided, inspectors are likely to join young people during mealtimes. This gives inspectors evidence of the catering provision and arrangements. It is an opportunity to observe how staff support young people to eat and drink and to have communal seating.

Inspectors evaluate how individual dietary needs are met. Inspectors speak to the catering manager and ask to see a sample of menus if this is a specific line of enquiry. If inspectors are unable to eat with young people, they should speak to the students and staff and observe how they support and assist the quality of the arrangements.

Inspectors respect the privacy and confidentiality of personal information at all times. They always review the college's day sessions about the involvement of young people in the inspection.

Inspectors always try to strike a balance between the time it takes to observe an activity with the significance of the likely evidence to be gained.

## Inspecting the accommodation

Inspectors evaluate the suitability of the college's premises and residential accommodation, if possible, they will visit all the residential units, but the amount of time spent in each will depend on the size of the provision. Inspectors will look at cleanliness and may speak to staff or children if any young people they meet while looking at the premises.

Inspectors may see a number of extra-curricular or leisure activities and spend time talking to young people about their experiences, including what they enjoy and dislikes. Inspectors evaluate the quality of study or recreation areas and how these support young people's learning.

If the college arranges for young people to live in lodgings, inspectors will ask for arrangements 'Looking to live-in lodgings' to be discussed as part of the inspection. Inspectors may request to visit some lodgings if a sample of these is required.

Inspectors may consider a sample of the recruitment checks carried out for the host families to establish that appropriate procedures have been followed in line with the statutory guidance. This includes the application for a DBS enhanced certificate for the host family members aged 18 and over and checks with the police for any DBS or any other family members aged 16 to 18 may only be provided by the police for a named DBS check.

Inspectors may also consider if other appropriate checks are made before placing the young person. This may include:

- references (a face-to-face reference from employer and/or volunteer group) for those living in the home over 18
- interviews with those over 18 living in the home
- risk assessment of the environment the placement of the student
- agreement between the college and main carer concerning expectations in terms of behaviour, boundaries and sanctions for the young person and any other rules that apply in the care of lodger or older child.

Inspectors may also:

- spend time with the college's member of staff responsible for lodging
- examine the college's written guidance to host families
- examine the records of the visits between the college and adults providing lodgings
- discuss with the college's arrangements for monitoring its lodgings
- look at any records of monitoring and training of host families
- talk to lodging providers

Inspectors only visit lodgings if this is a specific line of enquiry.

## Gathering views of other professionals

Inspectors consult with professionals to inform their findings. This is usually done by phone and may not require on site. These professionals may include:

- social workers (where relevant)
- personal tutors
- college staff

Inspectors ask colleges for the relevant contact details.

Inspectors should always take account of privacy and confidentiality when on the phone during the inspection.

## Discussions with managers and staff

Individual interviews are held with the manager and other staff. The number of staff interviewed depends on the size of the residential provision, but will include a sample of permanent and agency staff working at the college during inspection.

The interviews with the manager usually address key lines of enquiry derived from pre-inspection information. Inspectors may also ask questions about the evidence they discuss progress from previous inspection recommendations.

Emerging findings about practice and weaknesses are shared with the manager at the end of the first day. The inspector is likely to set out any issues they intend to consider further during the inspection. They can prepare or present information to help information needed.

Shortfalls that could have an immediate impact on the safety of staff or young people are brought to the attention of the manager as soon as the inspector has identified the concern.

Inspectors want to establish that the college's monitoring systems are robust enough for managers to identify strengths and weaknesses in practice.

Inspectors do not spend time routinely examining medication or petty cash, carrying out vehicle checks, checking maintenance arrangements or fire safety. They may look at storage areas unless there are specific lines of enquiry.

Inspectors should be prepared to offer interview arrangements if staff have to attend to the needs of young people.

## Examining records, policies and procedures

Inspectors do not routinely examine all policies and procedures. Documents such as young people's records of staff's own should only be examined when they are part of case tracking or sampling or part of a line of enquiry for that individual inspection.

Inspectors focus on how documents such as risk assessments work in practice, rather than on the quality of the documents themselves. They do not routinely examine staff's training records. The inspection framework makes it clear that for young people, it is the decisions (personnel records are maintained at the college, the inspector may ask to see those records, if this is necessary within the lines of enquiry.

If the college uses the DBS update service, it should be able to demonstrate how it complies with the code of practice. Inspectors may look at a sample of the relevant documentation. The inspector may ask for a small sample of staff personal records to be available at the inspection start.

Inspectors may look at the college's record of undertakings (protection of employment) ("UPE") arrangements, we recognise that the new regulations are due in the previous employer for all recruitment records relating to these staff. Therefore, in some cases, inspectors may ask to see evidence that the college has made reasonable enquiries documents required by the regulations. If this is the case, we will expect the provider's employer to hold enough information to know that staff are suitable, including DBS checks or vetting records, and evidence to employment records such as appraisals.

## Implications of the Equality Act

The Equality Act 2010 came into effect on 1 October 2010. The Act makes it unlawful for an employer to ask a potential employee questions about health or disability at any time before they are offered employment, whether as a conditional or unconditional basis.

Throughout the inspection, inspectors maintain a record of their evidence. Electronic evidence is recorded in the inspection database. Summarised evidence must be recorded in the inspection database to support the inspection judgements. Inspectors must make sure that the college understands the evidence that supports any requirements or recommendations for improvement.

After the summarised evidence has been placed in the inspection database, inspectors should not destroy any duplicate handwritten evidence until at least 28 days after the inspection. In some circumstances, inspectors will be required to keep any handwritten evidence for longer than 28 days, for example, if there is a complaint or legal action, when legal action is anticipated about the judgements to keep evidence.

All handwritten evidence should be legible and dated. Handwritten evidence that has not been summarised forms part of the inspection evidence base and should therefore also be scanned and added into the inspection database within five working days of the end of the inspection.

Evidence may be scrutinised for quality assurance and will be considered in the event of any complaint.

## End of inspection and feedback

The inspector will give verbal feedback of the main findings and provisional judgements. This is usually delivered to the college's senior leaders and the manager at the end of the inspection as appropriate. Additional senior staff from the college may also attend, if agreed in advance with the inspector. In some circumstances, an inspector may feedback to the chair of the college's governing body or nominee after the feedback. The day of feedback is counted as the last day of the inspection.

The inspector should:

- cover the main findings of the inspection, including both strengths and weaknesses
- clearly communicate the likely judgements
- indicate likely recommendations, with clear reference to the relevant national minimum standard or quality standard, providing a clear direction for improvement
- give the college the opportunity and the evidence to clearly indicate how the evidence was gathered
- confirm when the report will be sent to the manager for comments.

Inspectors will not provide a written summary of the inspection or written feedback in advance of the inspection report being sent. Providers may choose to take their own notes at feedback.

## Feedback to young people

Inspectors should give feedback to young people, as appropriate to their age and understanding. Inspectors will make efforts to address matters raised by young people.

## Making recommendations

Inspectors make recommendations to improve practice.

In making a recommendation, inspectors should refer to the NMS for the Accommodation of students and guidance for further education colleges.

They should always give enough detail so the manager in charge is clear what to do to improve. Inspectors should not tell providers how to do it. Inspectors may make recommendations in relation to other relevant guidance, for example:

- Working together to safeguard children
- Statutory guidance for children who run away or go missing from home or care

If, during an inspection, the head of accommodation notifies a minor administrative error that does not have an impact on the quality of care provided, or on the welfare or safety of young people, an inspector may not need to make a recommendation about it. However, they must tell the head of the college about the error before they leave.

If a college has breached an NMS, then the inspector should send an email to enforcement@ofsted.gov.uk so that the service can serve a notice.

Inspectors may identify points for improvement.

Inspectors may also identify points for improvement when an NMS has been met but an aspect of practice could be improved. The report must be very points for improvement to the relevant NMS.

## Inadequate judgements: next steps

When provision has been judged as inadequate or requiring improvement, we usually carry out a monitoring visit. The purpose of this visit is to evaluate the progress made in the areas for improvement identified in the inspection report. The report will bring and nature of subsequent inspection and monitoring visits, however, is determined on a case-by-case basis.

A judgement of inadequate for the overall experiences and progress of young people will result in a full inspection being carried out within 12 months of the date of the last full inspection. This is because, in such a case, the post-inspection debrief and feedback is an opportunity for them to ask for reconsideration before the inspection report is published. Inspectors will not change the judgements made during the inspection, but if the case review should be escalated to the risk and certainty to the HMI of Ofsted's regional team. The quality authority in this context is the authority funding the placement.

The case review must record:

- actions to be taken
- that the DCS of the authority where the college is based must be notified
- that the ESFA has been notified (the inspector should email ESFA.Enquiries@education.gov.uk with the provider's name and UKPRN)

The DCS has the power to ask the local authorities that have funded placements at concerns that have been identified – if a large number of placing authorities from the DCS may decide to call a meeting to discuss the inspection findings with the leadership of the placing authorities from the college, and plans for Ofsted to make contact with each one.

The DCS, as the local authority, and of any placing local authorities, will be emailed about the provision's poor judgement of the inspection, including the concerns, in line with the feedback given to the college.

The indicative judgement of the inspection feedback should be sent to the DCS by the end of the working day following the case review. The college must be sent a copy of the indicative letter. The DCS should be informed that they will be sent the final inspection report when it is published. In this circumstance, the DCS will not be instructed to use the information sensitively and share with others based on their knowledge of the inspection findings.

Details of the emails must be recorded on the inspection database by the inspector.

Parents are responsible for identifying and funding some placements. This may include placements for young people who have been placed there by other local authorities. Ofsted is here to inform the local authority where the young person would normally live or it is within the local area of the arrangements.

If young people have been placed by parents, the case review decides whether Ofsted should inform the local authority where the college is based that the college's DCS has raised to have been finished. Ofsted asks the college to confirm the date that it has sent the inspection report to all parents within its post-inspection action plan.

## The inspection report

The report should be succinct and unambiguous. Inspectors' analysis must include clear evidence for their professional judgements.

In most instances, each inspection judgement section of the report should be no more than 2 or 3 paragraphs, and the whole report no more than 12 pages long. The report must state that it was based on evidence from all that have been judged substantially. It must also state that the inspection was carried out under section 135 of the Act. In the main issues only. Inspectors should ensure that the reports are long enough to say what needs to be said and no longer.

### Content of the SCCIF report

| Element | Details |
|---|---|
| Information about the service | Brief contextual information about the service |
| The main judgement of inspection | The date and overall judgement of the inspection |
| Enforcement action since the last inspection (registered providers only) | A brief summary of any enforcement action taken since the last inspection |
| Inspection judgements | The judgements made and accompanying text |
| Key improvements | Areas recommendations and requirements (where relevant) |
| Information about the inspection | What we have looked at and information about the inspectors for the inspection |
| Service details | Information on the provider running the service |

## Quality assurance and arrangements for publishing the report

The inspector is responsible for the quality of the report. The inspector will check the completed draft report carefully before submitting it to their manager for pre-publication checks and quality assurance by the regional team.

Any proposed change of judgement from the provisional judgements given at verbal feedback during the inspection will be discussed by the appropriate managers within Ofsted. The inspector will not be involved in discussions about any changes to the final judgements and provide reasons for the changes before the provider receives the report.

We will send the draft inspection report to the college within 15 working days of the end of the inspection.

The college will have 5 working days to comment on the draft report. process and provide feedback.

We will consider all comments and we will respond to the comments when we share the final report with the college. This will include a response to all comments made by the inspection.

Following the inspection, we will ask providers for feedback about the inspection through a post inspection survey. This is sent to the provider at the same time as when we send the draft report to the provider for their report. Feedback from providers will be used to improve the quality of inspections.

## Conduct during inspections

It is important that inspectors can promote a positive and maintain a positive working relationship based on courteous and professional behaviour. Both must follow guidance on Ofsted's conduct during inspections policy.

## Concerns or complaints about an inspection

### Concerns

Most of Ofsted's work is carried out smoothly and without incident. It concerns do arise from time to time. Providers should raise any concerns they have about the way in which during the inspection visit. This provides an opportunity to resolve the matter before the inspection is finished.

If the provider is unable to resolve the matter with the inspector, they should contact the inspector's HMI for further discussion.

Providers also have another opportunity to raise concerns about the draft inspection report, process and findings when they receive the draft report.

### Complaints

If it has not been possible to resolve concerns, a formal complaint can be raised under Ofsted's complaints procedure before the final inspection report is published.

If the provider wishes to make a formal complaint, it will have until the end of the fifth working day after receiving the final report to do so.

## Monitoring visits

Monitoring visits are carried out according to the general principles of the SCCIF.

## Timing and frequency

We carry out a monitoring visit if the residential provision has been judged as requires improvement to be good or as inadequate. The visit assesses the college's progress against the areas for improvement identified in the inspection report. It usually takes place within 6 months of the inspection.

Residential provision in further education colleges is usually inspected at least once in a 3-year inspection cycle. If it has been judged as requires improvement to be good or inadequate following an inspection, the next inspection usually takes place within 2 years.

The monitoring visit helps to determine when the college should be re-inspected based on the risks assessed.

## Arranging monitoring visits

The inspector gives 24 hours' notice that they intend to carry out a monitoring visit. During the initial call, the inspector and appropriate leader at the college will agree the parameters of the monitoring visit and how it will be carried out.

Preparing for the monitoring visit

When preparing for a monitoring visit, inspectors take into account:

- the last inspection report
- recommendations set at the last inspection report
- letters from previous monitoring visits
- any action plan provided by the college
- any information recorded on the inspection database, including information from other sources, such as the police, designated officers and any complaints or whistle-blowing

## How inspectors carry out monitoring visits

The monitoring visit should:

- determine the impact of any completed recommendations on the welfare and outcomes for young people
- identify whether any additional concerns exist
- determine the capacity of the head of college/head of boarding to sustain the changes required
- consider any further action that may need to be taken

The inspector must notify either the head of college or head of accommodation when they arrive on site.

The inspector should judge how effective the improvement is and how, by tackling the recommendations or issues, the college has improved the experiences and progress of young people.

To demonstrate the necessary improvement, the college needs to show that its actions have had a significant impact in achieving clear and sustainable progress. Good intentions and an aspirational outlook, or a recent change of leader following a period of poor leadership, do not in themselves provide sufficient proof of the ability of the college to sustain improvement.

## Finding further concerns during monitoring visits

If it becomes clear that there are new or further issues of concern, or that in tackling the actions from the last inspection the college has let other aspects slip so that young people are at risk of harm or are not making sufficient progress, then the inspector should consider what further action needs to be taken. This includes considering new recommendations or notification to the ESFA.

If the inspector is concerned or unsure about any aspect of the visit, they can contact their manager or a social care compliance inspector.

## How inspectors gather evidence in a monitoring visit

The inspectors will question how effective the college's actions are in:

- meeting the recommendations set at the last inspection
- improving the experiences and progress of the young people

Evidence should be recorded in the inspection database.

The evidence should reflect the areas for improvement that were identified in the inspection report. This section should include evidence of the most significant strengths and weaknesses and any new areas of improvement or breaches of NMS that need to be taken forward. The inspector must decide whether the college has let other aspects slip so that there is now cause for concern in different areas.

Inspectors must decide whether the college demonstrates its capacity to sustain any improvements it has made. Inspectors should also decide whether the improvements are having a marked and sustained impact on all areas of weakness.

## Feedback at the end of the monitoring visit

The inspector provides verbal feedback to the college at the end of the visit. The inspector must:

- make clear any new issues to take forward
- ensure that the college is clear about the outcome of the visit and what the next steps will be, especially if a new issue has arisen or improvement is inadequate
- be clear with the college when the next steps will be confirmed if the inspector requires further advice
- explain that the outcome of a monitoring visit is usually published in the form of a report on the Ofsted reports site alongside the last report
- make clear that the text of the report may differ slightly from the oral feedback, but that the substance of the issues will not change
- ensure that the college understands that the overall judgement of inadequate has not changed (where relevant), although progress and improvements may have been made

## Monitoring reports

We will usually publish all monitoring reports on our reports website, although RIMs can decide not to publish monitoring reports in exceptional circumstances.

Monitoring reports should outline any significant developments and evidence of progress that has occurred since the last visit. They should clearly explain the action the college has taken to address the previous recommendations and the impact of any improvement on the experiences and progress of young people.

The report must:

- set out the reason for the visit
- evaluate where progress has been made and where progress has not been made
- clearly state the impact of continued concerns on young people, alongside any action that Ofsted will be taking to notify placing local authorities (if relevant) to protect young people
- set out clearly where and what further action is needed

Inspectors must use clear language to indicate the level of concern, for example, 'this visit has raised serious concerns about care and practice in the [college]'.

Inspectors can clearly state that the college is likely to be subject to further action when this is the case.

If the inspector carrying out the monitoring visit judges that the college is not making sufficient progress, for instance with respect to safeguarding matters, relevant authorities, including funding bodies may be notified and the reinspection may be brought forward.

## Review of the monitoring report and evidence base

The monitoring visit report and evidence base will be reviewed by the inspector's manager before the draft report is sent to the college for comment. This is to ensure that they accurately reflect the improvements made and that the evidence base supports any further action we may wish to take.

The draft monitoring report is shared with the college and finalised using the same process and timescales as a standard inspection report (see the 'Quality assurance and arrangements for publishing the report' section).

## Other monitoring visits

We may also carry out a monitoring visit when we have a cause for concern. If this is the case, the monitoring visit may be unannounced.

# Incomplete inspections

We will apply Ofsted's policy on incomplete inspections where appropriate.

# Safeguarding and child protection concerns

If serious issues of concern arise during the inspection, such as a failure to follow child protection procedures or if a young person is discovered to be at immediate risk of harm, the inspector must notify the responsible individual (where relevant) or the person in charge as soon as possible. If that may compromise a young person or adult's safety, the inspector must ensure that the appropriate authorities are notified immediately.

Inspectors should always follow Ofsted's safeguarding policy.

Inspectors should contact their manager or regional social care compliance inspector if they need advice. The inspector ensures that the referral is made to the relevant local authority children's services and the child's allocated social worker and/or the relevant local authority adults' services and, where appropriate, the vulnerable adult's allocated social worker. You can find further guidance in Safeguarding concerns: guidance for inspectors. If the concerns relate to allegations against staff, they are referred to the designated officer.

Inspectors must ensure that concerns about the safety and welfare of a young person are communicated immediately to the DCS for the responsible placing local authority, where this is relevant. A record that this has been done must be kept. The regional Senior HMI should follow up the action that has been taken by the local authority.

# The 'Prevent' duty

Extremism is unlikely to be a routine line of enquiry during SCCIF inspections. Inspectors should, however, be alert to signs of risks of extremism, such as literature, posters, videos or DVDs, or regular visitors to the setting when the purpose of their visit is not clear. Initial enquiries about the possibility of extremism must be directed to the manager or person in charge.

Inspectors should note the detail of any relevant concerns or referrals made by the responsible individual and how effective the multi-agency response has been. The DfE has published advice for schools and childcare providers on the 'Prevent' duty, and inspectors should note where this applies to the type of setting inspected.

Inspectors can contact their RIM, who may seek specialist advice. If inspectors are unable to contact their RIM and remain concerned, they should follow Ofsted's safeguarding policy.

## Female genital mutilation: the duty to notify police

Since 31 October 2015, when section 74 of the Serious Crime Act 2015 inserted new section 5B into the Female Genital Mutilation Act 2003, specified regulated professionals (including social workers) must report to the police any cases of female genital mutilation in girls under 18 that they come across in their work.

The duty applies when the professional either:

- is informed by the girl that an act of female genital mutilation has been carried out on her
- observes physical signs that appear to show an act of female genital mutilation has carried out and has no reason to believe that the act was necessary for the girl's physical or mental health or for purposes connected with labour or birth

If a child or young person discloses information regarding female genital mutilation to an inspector, the inspector should follow Ofsted's guidance for inspectors on safeguarding concerns.

## Reporting concerns about the administration and management of controlled drugs

If inspectors come across concerns or incidents about the safe management of controlled drugs during their normal inspection duties, or receive information through any other source, they should email an outline of the concern and action taken should be referred to the social care policy team: socialcare@ofsted.gov.uk.

This action is in addition to any regulatory action or recommendations made as a result of the concern. Referrals should be made even when no requirements or recommendations are to be made. The social care policy team will collate all these referrals and share them with the CQC's Controlled Drugs National Group.

The CQC provides detailed information about controlled drugs (examples include morphine, pethidine, methadone and Ritalin).

# Use of personal data

As part of our inspection activities under the SCCIF, we may gather personal data that is necessary to help us evaluate children's social care services.

Our personal information charter sets out the standards you can expect from Ofsted when we collect, hold or use personal information, and that we will follow all applicable data protection legislation in how we treat personal information.

Our privacy notice for social care sets out in more detail what data we collect and our powers to do so, what we do with it, how long we keep it for and people's rights under data protection legislation.