## 🗯 GOV.UK

#### ✓ Topics ✓ Gover

Q

#### → Coronavirus (COVID-19) | Latest updates and guidance

<u>Home</u> > <u>Education</u>, training and skills > <u>Running and managing a school</u> > <u>School buildings and land</u>

> <u>School buildings: construction framework 2021</u>

Department for Education

## Guidance Appendix I – pricing guidance

Published 30 November 2021

#### Contents

High value band - Route A1 (PITT) High value band - Route A1 (ITT) High value band - Route A2 (ITT)

Medium/low value band – Route A3 (ITT)

Print this page

The following sets out the pricing assessment related to each value band. For each value band listed panel members are required to provide:

## High value band - Route A1 (PITT)

- an unqualified commitment to delivering within the budget (based on the available information). This will be assessed as a pass/fail criterion.
- a written response to the price qualitative question on 'whole life and operational cost'. This will be assessed in the same criterion as outlined in Appendix H, with the maximum score weighted as 10% of the overall bid submission.

## High value band - Route A1 (ITT)

- a realistic pricing proposal for the scheme in the form of a completed pricing schedule, the cost proposal is required to bid within the budget in order to be compliant. This will be assessed as outlined in the scoring grid as below, with the maximum score weighted as 19% of the overall bid submission.
- a completed DfE Life Cycle Cost Model (LCC). This will be assessed on the Panel Members outline submission against defined DfE metrics (see LCC model for detail), with the maximum score weighted as 2% of the overall bid

submission.

- a written response providing 'commentary with supporting evidence demonstrating that your pricing proposal for this scheme is realistic and achievable'. This will be assessed in the same criterion as outlined in Appendix H, with the maximum score weighted as 4.5% of the overall bid submission.
- a written response outlining the panel members 'robust management of identified risk'. This will be assessed in the same criterion as outlined in Appendix H, with the maximum score weighted as 4.5% of the overall bid submission.

## High value band - Route A2 (ITT)

- an unqualified commitment to delivering within the budget (based on the available information). This will be assessed as a pass/fail criterion.
- a written response to the price qualitative question on 'Whole Life and Operational Cost'. This will be assessed in the same criterion as outlined in Appendix H, with the maximum score weighted as 8% of the overall bid submission.
- a completed DfE Life Cycle Cost Model (LCC). This will be assessed on the Panel Members outline submission against defined DfE metrics (see LCC model for detail), with the maximum score weighted as 2% of the overall bid submission.

## Medium/low value band – Route A3 (ITT)

- a realistic pricing proposal for the scheme in the form of a completed pricing schedule. This will be assessed as outlined in the scoring grid as below, with the maximum score weighted as 20% of the overall bid submission.
- a completed DfE Life Cycle Cost Model (LCC). This will be assessed on the Panel Members outline submission against defined DfE metrics (see LCC model for detail), with the maximum score weighted as 2% of the overall Bid submission.
- a written response providing 'commentary with supporting evidence demonstrating that your pricing proposal for this Scheme is realistic and achievable'. This will be assessed in the same criterion as outlined in Appendix H, with the maximum score weighted as 18% of the overall Bid submission.

# All value bands - price schedule submission scoring matrix

The following guidance and scoring matrix will be used to assess the bidders price schedule (£) submission made at ITT:

### High value band

Bidders will be scored by assessing their compliant overall bid solution against the DfE funding. By way of:

- Step 1: % Difference Between bidders price vs. DfE funding = x
- Step 2: x will then be banded accordingly in accordance with the price assessment matrix (below):
- Step 3: An average percentage will then be taken from all the bidders in Band A.
- Step 4: A calculated average will then be generated. The 'calculated average is the average of all bids in Band A (or the value at 2.5% below DfE funding, if the average arising out of Step 3 is more than 2.5% below DfE Funding)'
- Step 5: Bidders will have the appropriate score allocated based:
  - a) Band A: Variance to calculated average
  - b) Other Bands: Variance to DfE Funding

#### **Price Assessment Matrix HVB**

Variance to calculated average	Minimum marks (%)	Maximum Marks (%)
exceeding Plus 2%	15	15
Plus 0.5% to 2%	16	16
Plus/minus 0.5%	17	17
Minus 0.5% to 2%	18	18
exceeding minus 2%	19	19
	exceeding Plus 2%Plus 0.5% to 2%Plus/minus 0.5%Minus 0.5% to 2%	calculated averagemarks (%)exceeding Plus 2%15Plus 0.5% to 2%16Plus/minus 0.5%17Minus 0.5% to 2%18

band	funding	(%)	(%)
В	6% below DfE funding	18	18
В	7% below DfE funding	16	16
В	8% below DfE funding	15	15
В	9% below DfE funding	13	13
В	10% below DfE funding	12	12
В	11% below DfE funding	10	10
В	12% below DfE funding	9	9
В	13% below DfE funding	7	7
В	14% below DfE funding	6	6
В	More 15% below DfE funding	5	5
В	Above DfE funding	0	0

#### Medium/low value band

Bidders will be scored by assessing their compliant overall bid solution against the DfE funding. By way of:

- Step 1: % Difference between bidders price vs. DfE funding = x
- Step 2: x will then be banded accordingly in accordance with the Price Assessment Matrix (below):
- Step 3: An average percentage will then be taken from all the bidders in Band A.
- Step 4: A calculated average will then be generated. The 'calculated average is the average of all bids in Band A (or the value at 2.5% below DfE funding, if the average arising out of Step 3 is more than 2.5% below DfE Funding)'
- Step 5: Bidders will have the appropriate score allocated based:
  - a) Band A: Variance to calculated average
  - b) Other bands: Variance to DfE Funding

### Price assessment matrix (MVB/LVB)

Scoring band	Variance to calculated average	Minimum marks (%)	Maximum Marks (%)
A (Between 2% above & 5% below DfE funding)	exceeding plus 2%	16	16
A (Between 2% above & 5% below DfE funding)	Plus 0.5% to 2%	17	17
A (Between 2% above & 5% below DfE funding)	Plus/minus 0.5%	18	18
A (Between 2% above & 5% below DfE funding)	Minus 0.5% to 2%	19	19
A (Between 2% above & 5% below DfE funding)	exceeding minus 2%	20	20

Scoring band	Variance to DfE funding	Minimum marks (%)	Mark
В	6% below DfE funding	19	19
В	7% below DfE funding	17	17
В	8% below DfE funding	16	16
В	9% below DfE funding	14	14
В	10% below DfE funding	13	13
В	11% below DfE funding	11	11
В	12% below DfE funding	10	10
В	13% below DfE funding	8	8
В	14% below DfE funding	7	7
В	More 15% below DfE funding	5	5
С	More than 2% above DfE funding	16	16
С	More than 3% above DfE funding	13	13
С	More than 4% above DfE funding	10	10

С	More than 5% above DfE	7	7	
	funding			

Is this page useful? Yes No	Report a problem with this page
Coronavirus (COVID-19)	Brexit
Coronavirus (COVID-19): guidance and support	Check what you need to do
Services and information	Departments and policy
Benefits	How government works
Births, deaths, marriages and care	Departments
Business and self-employed	Worldwide
Childcare and parenting	Services
Citizenship and living in the UK	Guidance and regulation
Crime, justice and the law	News and communications
Disabled people	Research and statistics
Driving and transport	Policy papers and consultations
Education and learning	Transparency and freedom of information
Employing people	releases
Environment and countryside	
Housing and local services	
Money and tax	
Passports, travel and living abroad	
Visas and immigration	
Working, jobs and pensions	

HelpPrivacyCookiesAccessibility statementContactTerms and conditionsRhestr o Wasanaethau CymraegGovernment Digital Service



**OGL** All content is available under the <u>Open Government Licence v3.0</u>, except where otherwise stated

© Crown copyright