Cyngor Cyllido Addysg Uwch Cymru Higher Education Funding Council for Wales



# The Funding Gap

2007/08

Noddir gan Lywodraeth Cynulliad Cymru Sponsored by Welsh Assembly Government



### The Funding Gap: 2007/08

#### Introduction

- 1 This report is the fifth in a series which considers the funding levels in Wales in relation to those in England and Scotland. The primary focus of the analysis is to monitor the funding gap between Wales and England.
- As in previous years, the main analysis has been based on the grant in aid (GIA) received by each funding council and the numbers of fundable students. This has allowed time series of <u>GIA per fundable full-time equivalent</u> (FTE) to be established for each country starting in 2000/01. The reports have then shown the corresponding funding gaps, based on the amount of additional funding required to enable students in Welsh higher education institutions (HEIs) to be funded at the same level as England and Scotland. Beyond this analysis, further GIA based analysis has enabled presentation of conclusions on <u>GIA per head of population</u> and <u>GIA per funded FTE</u>.
- 3 Analysis based on the amount of funding passing through (actually received by) institutions is also included.
- 4 There are no changes to the overall methodology from the 2006/07 report. The methodology is described in Annex A.

#### **Summary of Findings**

5 The main findings from the analysis undertaken (see paragraph 8 and Annex A for information on methodology) are:

Overall, taking into account the different analytical approaches detailed below, and their intrinsic limitations, the upper limit of the funding gap with England for the Academic Year from 1 August 2007 to 31 July 2008 (AY 2007/08), at £51-69 million, was slightly higher than for the prior year (AY 2006/07 £55-66million). This difference is not significant relative to the inherent limitations of the methodology.

In more detail:

- In AY 2007/08, there was an increase in the GIA per fundable FTE in Wales of 3.2 per cent in cash terms, compared to 2006/07. (Table 1). The equivalent increase in England was also 3.2 per cent and in Scotland a reduction of 1.7 per cent.
- The funding gap with England (based on GIA per fundable FTE) increased to £69 million for AY 2007/08, compared to £66 million in AY 2006/07 (Table 2).

- The funding gap with England for the Financial Year from 1 April 2008 to 31 March 2009 (FY 2008-09) is estimated to be £78 million – an increase of 13.0 per cent on FY 2007-08 (Table 3).
- Just under half (47 per cent) of the funding gap with England related to capital. This has decreased from AY 2006/07 when capital funding accounted for 51 per cent of the funding gap (Tables 4 and 4a).
- The GIA per head of population in Wales was £2.60 higher than the equivalent in England. This has increased from AY 2006/07 when the difference was £1.60 (Table 5).
- The funding gap with England for AY 2007/08 based on GIA per funded FTE was £51 million, a reduction of £4million from the previous year (Table 6).
- The HE sector in Wales continued to have lower income than England and Scotland in AY 2007/08, on the four measures monitored (HE grant, teaching grant, all grant plus fees and all income) (Table 7).

#### **Methodological Issues**

- 6 The report uses the same method as was adopted for the previous reports which is a top down approach based on the total GIA allocated to the funding councils in the year in question. Further analysis is also carried out on the funding received by the higher education institutions (HEIs). The top down approach draws on data from the published accounts of the funding councils and returns of fundable numbers to the councils by institutions while the analysis based on funding received by HEIs aggregates data from institutions' Higher Education Statistics Agency (HESA) returns.
- 7 The methods and sources are broadly as in previous years, and the same caveats apply. This information is set out in detail in the earlier reports with an updated extract provided at Annex A. Annex A also includes information on the methods of calculation for each table.
- 8 The main methodological issues are set out below.
  - Grant in aid

It has been necessary, as in previous reports, to adjust the GIA used in the main analysis to ensure comparability between the three countries: Training and Development Agency for Schools (TDA) funding (for Qualified Teacher Status (QTS)) is added to the HEFCE grant to give the GIA for England; access and hardship funding within the funding shown as GIA in the accounts for HEFCE is subtracted as the equivalent funding is shown separately in Wales; and Research Capital Investment Fund (RCIF), (formerly Science Research Investment Fund (SRIF) funding from the Office of Science and Technology(OST)) is added to the HEFCW GIA to bring the figure in line with other countries.

#### • Exclusions from HESA

The HESA Finance Statistics Record (FSR) excludes any funding topsliced by the funding council before allocation to institutions, treats capital in terms of the release of deferred capital grants and excludes HE at further education colleges, unless delivered on a franchised basis, so does not sum to the total GIA. Figures for Wales and England include funding for HE provision only, whilst the figures for Scotland include funding for both HE and FE as this cannot be separated out.

#### <u>Full-time equivalent fundable students</u>

Fundable, rather than funded, FTEs are used for the main analyses because, while all fundable students are classified as funded in England, there are fees only students (i.e. there are more students who meet the fundability criteria than there are funded places) in both Scotland and Wales. The FTEs are converted to a common basis for the three countries but this process requires some estimation. HE students in both higher and further education institutions are included in the analysis based on GIA with the FTE figures being drawn from the councils' funding data returns.

The HESA based analysis includes only HE FTEs at HE institutions, including those franchised to FE colleges. The Scottish figures are on a slightly different basis because Scottish HEIs are funded by the Scottish Funding Council for all provision, both HE and FE. Therefore FE FTEs of students enrolled at Scottish HE institutions are included in the Scottish denominators.

<u>Capital</u>

Analysis has been carried out with capital shown separately, and is consistent with the methodology used in the 2006/07 report.

#### Findings

9 Wales remains behind England and Scotland in terms of the amount of government funding per student. Between the academic years 2006/07 and 2007/08, there was slight growth in the GIA per fundable full-time equivalent student in Wales, rising to £5,623 from £5,450, at the same rate of growth as in England (3.2 per cent). However, this level of funding per student is still well below that for England (£6,485) or Scotland (£7,899). Scotland experienced a slight fall of 1.7 per cent over the year to 2007/08. Since 2000/01, the growth in GIA per fundable student FTE has been only 31 per cent in Wales compared with 50 per cent in England and 55 per cent in Scotland. Wales and England were funded at comparable levels until 2001/02 but Scotland has been better funded consistently over the eight year period. Comparisons with Scotland are less secure than those with England because of fundamental differences between the funding of higher education in Scotland and elsewhere in Britain. (Table 1)

						======	<u> </u>	
	2000/01	2001/02	2002/03	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08
	£	£	£	£	£	£	£	£
HEFCW	4,307	4,649	4,687	4,942	5,138	5,158	5,450	5,623
HEFCE +TDA	4,327	4,601	4,908	5,285	5,672	6,045	6,287	6,485
SHEFC	5,087	5,401	5,643	5,946	6,369	7,078	8,040	7,899
SHEFC	5,087	5,401	5,643	5,946	6,369	7,078	8,040	7,8

Table 1: Grant in Aid per Fundable FTE: AY 2000/01 to 2007/08

Between 2002/03 and 2005/06, the funding gaps for Wales with both England 10 and Scotland increased steadily. The gap with England increased by between 55 per cent and 73 per cent each year, rising to nearly £70 million by 2005/06. This increase is partly a reflection of the inclusion of the Open University in Wales for the first time in 2005/06. The effect of including the Open University is estimated to be an increase in the funding gap of around £9 million. However, in 2006/07, the gap between Wales and England narrowed slightly to around £66 million, a 5.6 per cent decrease compared to 2005/06. The estimated gap between Wales and Scotland continued to rise, reaching over £200 million in 2006/07. 2007/08 has seen a £2.8m (4.2 per cent) rise in the gap with England to £69million; £0.9m of the increase is due to the increase in fundable student numbers in Wales across the year, and the balance (£1.9m) is due to the increase in funding per student in England being slightly higher than the increase in funding per student in Wales. The estimated gap with Scotland has decreased sharply (11 per cent) albeit to £181million (Table 2).

Table 2: Fundir	ng Gaps v	vith Engla	nd and Sc	otland: A	Y 2000/01	to 2007/0	8

	2000/01	2001/02	2002/03	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08
	£	£	£	£	£	£	£	£
Wales and England Wales and Scotland	1,395,358 54,819,741	-3,402,678 53,662,916	16,349,630 70,724,163	25,384,334 74,215,585	40,158,382 92,688,833	69,616,228 150,740,855	65,748,091 203,255,651	68,530,344 181,032,302
Movement in year -%	W&E W&S			55% 5%	58% 25%	73% 63%	-6% 35%	4% -11%

Based on:

End of year FTEs Wales and Scotland; HESES England (columns 1+2 for HEFCW and HEFCE ie drop out not excluded). Funding Council accounts with adjustments for Access and Hardship (excluded for HEFCE) and OST SRIF (added for HEFCW).

11 Analysis carried out in 2006- 07suggested that on a **financial year basis** some stabilisation might be emerging of the funding gap with England (and this is supported by the relatively small increase in the 2007/08 academic year). However, the 2008-09 **financial year gap** is estimated to increase to £78million. The increase of £9m in the gap on a **financial year basis** is attributable to an increase in student numbers in Wales year on year(£1m) and an increase in funding per student in England compared to the prior financial year (£8m) (Table 3).

	2001-02 £	2002-03 £	2003-04	2004-05 ۶	2005-06 ۶	2006-07 ۶	2007-08 ۶	2008-09 £
Wales: Grant per FTE England: Grant per FTE	4,601 4,497	4,671 4,783	4,832 5,180	5,101 5,523	5,130 5,960	5,357 6,201	5,584 6,457	5,685 6,657
Funding Gap	-7,389,066	8,207,410	25,711,636	31,634,391	64,224,864	66,231,305	69,158,860	78,188,137

#### Table 3: Grant in Aid and Funding Gap: FY 2001-02 to 2008-09

2008-09 estimate for Wales is provisional

12 As in previous years, the funding gaps are analysed according to capital and revenue GIA. For 2006/07 the gap was 49:51 revenue:capital. For 2007/08, capital accounted for 47 per cent of the gap. The gap with Scotland remains largely due to revenue. (Tables 4 and 4a).

Table 4: Grant in Aid Separately for Revenue and Capital AY 2007/08

		Grant in Aid £000s	Fundable FTEs	Grant per FTE £	Funding Gap for Wales £	Proportion attributable
Wales	Revenue	410,886	79,527	5,167		
	Capital	36,300		456		
England	Revenue	6,546,014	1,164,731	5,620	36,071,484	53%
	Capital	1,007,029		865	32,458,860	47%
Scotland	Revenue	1,004,856	144,509	6,954	142,112,483	79%
	Capital	136,683		946	38,919,819	21%

#### Table 4a: Grant in aid separately for revenue and capital: AY 2006/07

		Grant in aid	Fundable FTEs	Grant per FTE	Funding gap for Wales	Proportion attributable
		£000s		£	£	
Wales	Revenue	392,654	78,470	5,004		
	Capital	34,975		446		
England	Revenue	6,247,589	1,153,066	5,418	32,513,936	49%
	Capital	1,002,289		869	33,234,156	51%
Scotland	Revenue	995,303	140,157	7,101	164,587,349	81%
	Capital	131,536		938	38,668,303	19%

13 In the past it has been argued that the gap in funding is an effect of having a higher proportion of students relative to the population in Wales than in England. In 2006/07 the funding per head of population in Wales was £1.60 higher than in England; in 2007/08 this difference had increased to £2.60 per head. This increase is due to movements in funding and population in both countries across the two years (see Tables 5 and 5a).

	Grant in Aid £000s	Mid Year Population	Grant per Head £	Funding Gap for Wales £
Wales	447,186	2,993,400	149.4	
England	7,553,043	51,446,200	146.8	-7,711,774
Scotland	1,141,539	5,168,500	220.9	213,950,469

#### Table 5: Grant in Aid per Head of Population: AY 2007/08

Table 5a: Grant in aid per head of population: AY 2006/07

	Grant in aid			
		Mid year population	Grant per head	Funding gap for Wales
	£000s		£	£
Wales	427,628	2,980,000	143.5	
England	7,249,877	51,092,000	141.9	-4,770,848
Scotland	1,126,839	5,144,200	219.1	225,141,626

14 It has also been suggested that the Welsh grant per fundable FTE is lower because of the fees-only students enrolled beyond the agreed number of funded places. In 2006-07, the effect was moderate, with the funding gap being reduced to £55 million when the comparison was made with funded numbers in Wales and England using the numbers which meet each council's own criteria for funding. For 2007/08, the reduction is similar; however, the gap calculated in this way is still large at £51 million (Table 6). Although this method provides a closer approximation to the funded numbers for each country, the estimates of funding per FTE are not on comparable bases so the difference between the two does not provide a robust method of estimating the funding gap. See Annex A, paragraph 5 for details.

#### Table 6: Grant in Aid per Funded FTE: AY 2007/08

	Grant in Aid £000s	Funded FTEs	Grant per funded FTE £	Funding Gap for Wales £
Wales	447,186	69,588	6,426	
England	7,553,043	1,055,233	7,158	50,904,379

Based on col 4 of HESES. Excludes PGR students

15 The levels of income on four measures (HE grant, teaching grant, all grant plus fees and all income) have been monitored in this and our preceding reports, using HESA data. Wales has been consistently below the other countries on all measures and showed lower levels of growth for all measures than either England or Scotland between 2005/06 and 2007/08 (Table 7).

## Table 7: Grant and Income per FTE by Country: AY 2007/08, 2006/07 and 2005/06

		HE Grant per fundable HE FTE	HE Teaching Grant per fundable taught HE FTE	All Grant and Fees per FTE	All Income per FTE
Wales	2007/08	£5,302	£3,706	£7,320	£11,224
	% increase	3.00%	-1.79%	5.58%	5.09%
	2006/07	£5,148	£3,774	£6,933	£10,680
	2005/06	£5,060	£3,624	£6,419	£10,149
	% increase	1.74%	4.12%	8.00%	5.23%
England	2007/08	£5,929	£4,117	£8,437	£13,369
	% increase	4.65%	2.88%	9.00%	9.01%
	2006/07	£5,666	£4,002	£7,740	£12,264
	2005/06	£5,411	£3,755	£7,077	£11,335
	% increase	4.70%	6.56%	9.37%	8.20%
Scotland	2007/08	£7,546	£5,083	£9,291	£15,093
	% increase	6.47%	3.27%	6.90%	8.63%
	2006/07	£7,087	£4,922	£8,692	£13,894
	2005/06	£6,578	£4,646	£8,014	£12,829
	% increase	7.74%	5.94%	8.46%	8.31%

Based on HESA Student and Finance Statistics Records.

The Open University is included within the English figures.

FE FTEs in all Scottish denominators and in denominators for grant plus fees and all income figures for all countries.

- 16 This analysis using HESA data presents some difficulties because of the funding it excludes (see paragraph 8) and the inclusion of the Open University as a single institution within the English figures. It has generally produced estimates of the gap slightly below those based on GIA, though the relatively small increase in the HE grant per fundable HE FTE seen for Wales between 2005/06 and 2007/08 suggests the gap is greater for 2007/08.
- 17 The limitations of the HESA-based analysis, especially the possibility of income being deferred and so not shown in the year it was allocated, and the potential differences in the counting of FTEs highlighted in Annex A paragraph 8, mean that this mode of analysis is not as robust as the GIA analysis. Its value lies in terms of the trends that it presents offering a plausibility check on the trend within GIA-based method. As in prior years, an overall summative estimate of the gap using the HESA data is not presented in this report.

#### Conclusions

- 18 We conclude that:
  - The estimated funding gap with England has increased slightly in AY 2007/08, and is estimated to increase further on a financial year basis in FY 2008-09.
  - The estimates suggest a value for the funding gap with England in the range £51-69 million, and around £180 million with Scotland for AY 2007/08, but with the important caveat previously noted about the difficulty of reliable comparison with Scotland.
  - There has been a similar increase of 3.2 per cent in the GIA per fundable FTE in Wales compared to England. However, the gap will not close unless the GIA per fundable FTE in Wales exceeds the equivalent in England for a sustained period.
  - Overall, taking into account the different analytical approaches, and their intrinsic limitations, the funding gap with England for AY 2007/08, at £51-69 million, is slightly, but not statistically significantly, greater than that for 2006/07, but with a reduced share of the gap driven by differences in capital spending.

#### Annex A

#### Methods of analysis and sources of data

#### Definition of fundable and funded students

Fundable FTE students are home and EC domiciled full-time equivalent students who are eligible for HEFCW mainstream funding. Funded FTE students are, of those fundable FTE students, the number funded by HEFCW; these will be less than the total number of fundable FTE students, with the remainder being recruited on a fees only basis.

#### Analysis based on grant in aid

- 1 For the GIA based analysis, the total grant in aid income, excluding running costs, from the sponsoring bodies in Wales, England and Scotland is compared with the total number of fundable HE FTEs for the eight years from 2000/01 to 2007/08. The grant in aid is the amount shown in the published financial statements but converted to an academic year basis for the majority of the analysis. This approach ensures that all income allocated, including any that was announced after the grant letter, is taken into account, provided it is shown in the accounts. Certain sources of variation are adjusted to a common basis for all countries. This is necessary because of the different treatments of sources of income such as the Research Capital Investment Fund (RCIF)(formerly the Science Research Investment Fund (SRIF)); access and hardship funds; and the way initial teacher training is funded. The grant to English HEIs directly from the Training and Development Agency for Schools (TDA) is added (for QTS only). No adjustment is made for the notional cost of capital. In previous years, this was deducted from the GIA figures.
- 2 ITT Provider Funding is counted in the grant to English HEIs from the TDA. There are other streams of funding allocated by the TDA which may be comparable to funding allocated by HEFCW, however, these are small in comparison to the Provider Funding and it is not straightforward to identify the exact amounts allocated to HEIs. Therefore, they are not included in the analysis. If these streams of funding were to be included, the funding gap between Wales and England would increase, but not significantly.
- 3 The 2006/07 academic year Supplementary Income Stream (SIS) allocations paid out in financial years 2006-07 and 2007-08 are excluded from the Welsh GIA because the funding is a one-off compensation for the forgone fee income relative to England.
- Fundable, rather than funded FTEs, are used for the main analyses because, while all fundable students are classified as funded in England, there are fees only students (ie fundable students above the available number of funded places) in both Scotland and Wales. For the financial year analysis, FTEs are weighted (1/3 to 2/3) for the two academic years that fall within the financial year.

- 5 All students who meet the criteria for funding in their own country are included if they were enrolled on the census date or predicted to enrol before the end of the year. The rules here are broadly similar in the three countries. Differences between how non-completions are treated for funding purposes means that adjustments cannot be made for students who failed to complete the year of the course; any such adjustments would lead to comparisons which are influenced by the policy decisions on those students who could be counted as fundable by the councils. This leads to a slightly higher number of FTEs being included in the analysis than would be counted as fundable in either England or Wales. TDA FTEs are added to HEFCE's figures. The most accurate enrolment figures available have been used. For Wales and Scotland, end of year finalised figures are used. For England, Higher Education Early Student Statistics (HESES) data are used as HEFCE does not undertake a separate end of year data collection. The funding gap is calculated as the difference between the GIA per fundable HE FTE in England (or Scotland) and Wales multiplied by the number of Welsh fundable FTEs.
- 6 Two alternative bases for estimating the funding gap are also presented:
  - The first method is a comparison of GIA per head of population. It uses the mid year populations based on census data.
  - In addition, there is an analysis based on funded numbers. For this analysis, the FTEs for both England and Wales are based on enrolments after adjustment for non-completion using the methods which apply for funding purposes in each country. For England, TDA numbers are added to the HEFCE FTEs to give the numbers actually counted in the funding allocations (HEFCE and TDA separately). HEFCW funded credit values for 2006/07 and 2007/08 are converted into FTEs as for the main analysis. Although this method provides a closer approximation to the funded numbers for each country, the estimates of funding per FTE are not on comparable bases so the difference between the two does not provide a robust method of estimating the funding gap.
- 7 The estimate of the funding gap is also presented broken down into revenue and capital components. For the purposes of the analysis presented in this report, capital GIA is that allocated to the funding councils to be distributed as capital and includes all money for RCIF/ SRIF funding as well as capital funding approved from the Reaching Higher Reconfiguration and Collaboration Fund.

#### Analysis based on HE grant allocated to higher education institutions

8 The second method of analysis starts with the grant received by each institution as shown in the HESA FSR for 2007/08. This reflects the figures shown in the institutional financial statements. The HESA FSR excludes any funding top-sliced by funding councils before allocation to institutions; treats capital in terms of the release of deferred capital grants; and excludes HE at further education colleges, unless delivered on a franchised basis. It therefore does not sum to the total grant in aid used in the first set of comparisons. The coding manual for the record can be found at <u>www.hesa.ac.uk</u>. The analysis is based on HE students and funding only - FE funding and students at HEIs are excluded from the calculations (except for Scotland where funding relating to FE provision is not reported separately for HEIs).

- 9 HESA student data for 2007/08 are used for the calculation of home and EU fundable FTEs. Students are returned as fundable on the HESA Student Record according to funding council definitions. The FTE of the student includes all activity during the year but not all activities may be fundable. For example, repeat modules are not fundable in Wales but are included within the FTE of an otherwise fundable student. More significantly, students who drop-out part way through the year are included within the HESA FTE for the part of the year for which they were studying. In England, anyone who drops out is excluded from the numbers which count for the purposes of calculating funding; in Wales, those who partially complete (i.e. who attend for only part of the year) are counted for funding purposes though those who do not complete all required assessment activities are excluded. Counting the HESA fundable FTEs provides a common basis for comparisons but it does not reflect exactly the definitions adopted in England or Wales.
- 10 The HESA-based analysis compares Wales, England and Scotland at a sector level. Several different statistics are calculated to enable comparisons of teaching grant, all grant plus fees and all income as well as the total grant.
- 11 The main differences between the analysis based on GIA and that based on HESA data are: the GIA includes all funding (except running costs) while the grant reported by institutions in the HESA FSR includes only that which is allocated directly for HE in HEIs; the amounts shown in the HESA analysis exclude HE in FE colleges and all top-sliced funding; capital is shown as the release of deferred capital grant rather than the full amount allocated. It should be noted that although the Open University is funded by each of the three countries included in the analysis, funding received by the Open University cannot be disaggregated and assigned to each country separately.

#### Accuracy of the Data

- 12 While the funding data are consistent with the audited accounts, there are minor issues surrounding the conversion of the grant in aid from financial to academic years and some rounding of the HESA figures. However, it is thought that these factors are unlikely to have introduced significant uncertainty into the calculations.
- 13 More serious uncertainties surround the fundable student numbers and their conversion to full-time equivalents (FTEs):
  - For the GIA based calculations in Tables 1-6, the measure of activity is based on finalised figures for Wales and Scotland. However, some uncertainty is introduced by the need to convert Welsh credit values to FTEs, and to exclude the FTEs of dropouts in Table 6. The English figures are based on the Higher Education Student Early Statistics (HESES) return. This involves making predictions. However, HEFCE

statisticians have indicated that the figures at a sector level provide good estimates.

- For the analysis based on allocations to HEIs, HESA data are used. These are recorded at the year end on an individual student basis using common definitions. However, it must be anticipated that the figures include errors particularly for the Welsh student FTEs as, unlike HEFCE, which uses FTEs for funding purposes, HEFCW has not placed particular emphasis on improving the accuracy of these figures as we fund on the basis of credit value. There may be quite large errors for individual institutions but the impact is less when the whole sector is under consideration.
- 14 It is worth noting that the FTEs used are the FTEs of home and EU higher education students, generally including postgraduate research students, which are fundable for mainstream activities. The funding council concerned may not count part or all of the activity of these students as fundable. For example, if the student drops out during the year without completing all assessment processes, he/she would be shown as fundable in the HESA data but would be excluded from the English funding calculations; he/she may only be fundable for part of the year in the Welsh funding model if a semester had been completed. The volume as measured by these FTEs does not correspond exactly with the definitions used by either funding council but provides a common basis for calculating the funding per fundable FTE.

#### Methods of calculation for individual tables

15 The methods used for each table are summarised below.

**Table 1**: GIA is adjusted as set out in paragraph 1 above and transformed to an academic year basis by taking two thirds of the financial year plus one third of the following financial year amounts. GIA per fundable FTE is calculated by dividing the adjusted GIA by the fundable FTE for each country for each academic year.

**Table 2**: the Funding Gap is calculated as the difference between the WelshGIA per fundable FTE and that for England or Scotland (as in Table 1)multiplied by the fundable students at Welsh HEIs.

**Table 3**: GIA per fundable FTE calculated on a financial year basis. The TDA funding and all the FTEs are weighted using one third of the previous academic year's figures to two thirds of the relevant year's figures to give estimates of financial year figures. The funding gap is calculated as the difference between Welsh and English GIA per weighted fundable FTE multiplied by the weighted Welsh fundable FTEs.

**Table 4:** the GIA per fundable FTE and the funding gap are calculatedseparately for revenue and capital using the same methods as for Tables 1and 2.

**Table 5**: GIA per head of population is calculated by dividing the GIA by the mid year population estimate; the funding gap is the difference between the Welsh and English or Scottish GIA per head multiplied by the mid year population of Wales.

**Table 6**: GIA per funded FTE is calculated as GIA divided by the number of FTEs which are regarded as funded. The funding gap is difference between the GIA per funded FTE in Wales and England multiplied by the number of funded FTEs in Wales.

**Table 7:** Four sector-wide comparisons including all institutions are calculated using HESA data:

- i) HE grant per fundable HE FTE (ie excluding FE)
- ii) HE teaching grant per fundable taught HE FTE (ie excluding FE and PGR)
- iii) All grant and fee income per FTE (no exclusions)
- iv) Total income of institutions from all sources (public and private) per FTE (no exclusions)

FE FTEs at HEIs are also included within the Scottish denominators For these comparisons, the supplementary income stream allocations are excluded from the grant figures and included in the fee income and total income figures.