# Millennium Cohort Study Sweep 3 

 Scotland Report
# MILLENNIUM COHORT STUDY SWEEP 3 SCOTLAND REPORT 

Edited by<br>Alice Sullivan and Shirley Dex<br>Centre for Longitudinal Studies Institute of Education, University of London

This report is available on the Scottish Government Social Research website only www.scotland.gov.uk/socialresearch.

## The views expressed in this report are those of the researcher and do not necessarily represent those of the Scottish Government or Scottish Ministers.

© Crown Copyright 2009
Limited extracts from the text may be produced provided the source is acknowledged. For more extensive reproduction, please contact the

Queens Printers of Scotland, Admail, ADM 4058,
Edinburgh EH1 1NG. Email: licensing@oqps.gov.uk

## Table of Contents

List of contributors ..... 4
Acknowledgements ..... 4
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY ..... 5
Introduction ..... 5
MCS3 data ..... 5
Family demographics ..... 5
Parenting ..... 6
Childcare ..... 6
School choice ..... 6
Teacher ratings of children's achievement ..... 7
Child behaviour and cognitive development ..... 7
Child health ..... 7
Parental health ..... 8
Parents' employment and education ..... 8
Income and poverty ..... 9
Housing, neighbourhood and residential mobility ..... 9
Religious observance ..... 9
1 INTRODUCTION ..... 10
Aim ..... 10
Plan of the report ..... 10
2 MCS3 DATA ..... 12
MCS3 response rate ..... 12
MCS longitudinal sample ..... 12
Analyses in this report ..... 13
3 FAMILY DEMOGRAPHICS ..... 14
Family type. ..... 14
Changes in family type ..... 14
Number of siblings ..... 15
Types of siblings ..... 16
Non-resident fathers ..... 17
4 PARENTING. ..... 18
Time spent with child ..... 18
Family activities. ..... 18
Discipline ..... 19
Ignoring child when naughty ..... 19
Smacking child ..... 19
Telling child off / reasoning with a naughty child ..... 19
Parenting competence ..... 19
Schedule regularity ..... 19
5 CHILDCARE ..... 20
6 SCHOOL CHOICE ..... 21
7 FOUNDATION STAGE PROFILE AND DEVOLVED ADMINISTRATION TEACHER SURVEY ..... 22
8 CHILD BEHAVIOUR AND COGNITIVE DEVELOPMENT ..... 24
9 CHILD HEALTH ..... 25
General Health ..... 25
Child obesity ..... 25
10 PARENTAL HEALTH ..... 27
General Health ..... 27
Smoking ..... 27
Alcohol ..... 27
Drug use ..... 28
Depression and serious anxiety ..... 28
Psychological distress ..... 28
Life satisfaction ..... 28
Body Mass Index ..... 29
11 PARENTS' EMPLOYMENT AND EDUCATION ..... 30
Mother's employment at MCS3 ..... 30
Mothers' employment by highest educational qualifications ..... 30
Mothers' employment by number of children ..... 30
Changes in employment as children aged from 3 to 5 ..... 30
Fathers' economic activity rates at MCS3 ..... 31
Family employment status at MCS3 ..... 31
Mothers working at atypical times ..... 31
Family-friendly working arrangements ..... 32
Mothers' reasons for not working ..... 32
Changes in MCS family economy and partnerships from age 3 to age 5 ..... 33
Parents' additional qualifications by MCS3 ..... 33
12 INCOME AND POVERTY ..... 34
Distribution of families over the equivalised income distribution ..... 34
Subjective and objective indicators of poverty ..... 34
Families below national 'poverty line' ..... 35
13 HOUSING, NEIGHBOURHOOD AND RESIDENTIAL MOBILITY ..... 36
Residential mobility MCS sweeps 2 to 3 ..... 36
Correlates of residential mobility ..... 36
Perceptions of the area. ..... 36
14 RELIGIOUS OBSERVANCE ..... 38
15 POTENTIAL FOR FURTHER RESEARCH ..... 39
REFERENCES ..... 42
ANNEX ..... 43

## List of contributors

Lisa Calderwood
Shirley Dex
Elizabeth Jones
Sos Ketende
Kate Smith
Alice Sullivan
Kelly Ward

## Acknowledgements

We are grateful for the co-operation of the children who form the Millennium Birth Cohort and their mothers, fathers and other family members, all entirely voluntary. We wish to acknowledge the initiation and funding of the survey by the Economic and Social Research Council, and its substantial supplementation by the consortium, led by the Office for National Statistics, of government departments: the Department for Education and Skills, the Department of Work and Pensions, the Department of Health and the governments of Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland.

The work could not have been accomplished without the involvement of a large number of advisors drawn from academics, policy makers and funders, who we consulted throughout the design of the surveys. Particular thanks to those who served on the MCS Advisory Committee and the MCS Advisory Groups for the second survey.

The survey and this document also benefited from the enthusiasm and expertise of:

- Researchers, programmers, field-managers and interviewers at NatCen.
- The staff of the H M Revenue and Customs (formerly the Department of Social Security) Information Centre at Newcastle.
- The members of the Centre for Longitudinal Studies (CLS) tracing, IT, database, survey and research teams.


## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Introduction

1. The aim of this report is to present the findings from the third survey of the UKwide Millennium Cohort Study (MCS3), focusing on Scottish data. Families living in Scotland are compared with families in the UK as a whole, and with families in each of the other countries in the UK where breakdowns are available.
2. Throughout the report, the findings presented relate to MCS families living in Scotland, unless stated otherwise. In addition, where no differences between Scotland and the rest of the UK are discussed, it can be assumed that findings for Scotland do not differ in a statistically significant way from those for the rest of the UK. All differences presented are statistically significant, unless stated otherwise. ${ }^{1}$

## MCS3 data

3. The Millennium Cohort Study provides large-scale information about children born into the $21^{\text {st }}$ century and the families who are bringing them up, for the four countries of the United Kingdom. The third sweep (MCS3) took place, mostly in 2006, when the children had reached age 5. It collected information from 15,246 families, including 1,814 families in Scotland. Previous surveys of the families had taken place when the children were aged 9 months, in 2001-2, and when they were three years old, mostly during 2004.

## Family demographics

4. Overall, the data present a picture of family life between 9 months and 5 years which was characterised by stability and dominated by natural parents. The proportion of families containing both natural parents fell from $85 \%$ at MCS1 to $79 \%$ at MCS3. This decline is explained almost entirely by a reduction in children living with cohabiting natural parents from one in four (25\%) to one in seven (16\%). Longitudinal analysis of family change between MCS1 and MCS3 showed that the most common change the children had experienced was the arrival, in four cases out of 10 , of a new sibling.
5. A minority of children gained a step-father in their household, and perhaps also a younger half-sibling as a result of their mother's new relationship. Step-father families increased from fewer than 1 in 500 ( $0.2 \%$ ) children living in this family type at age 9 months to $3.2 \%$ by age 5 . It is primarily children born to teenage mothers who have experienced these kinds of family changes.
6. There is evidence of continuing relationships between five-year-olds and their non-resident natural fathers. In the majority of families where the child's father

[^0]was not resident, continuing contact was taking place and was often very frequent. Contact patterns and maintenance payments were related to each other, with far fewer non-resident fathers who were not in any contact with their child making payments than those who were in frequent or less frequent contact (9\%, 59\% and 44\%, respectively).

## Parenting

7. The MCS3 parenting data cover a variety of aspects of behaviour and attitudes. Parenting activities (such as reading to or playing games with children) were found to vary with some parental characteristics, including employment, ethnicity and qualification level. Parents in Scotland (as in Northern Ireland) tended to engage in many of these activities more frequently than those in England and Wales.
8. Mothers reported engaging in all activities more often than did fathers, with the exception of playing sports or physically active games. Mothers reported reading to their children more frequently than any of the other activities. Parents with lower qualification levels engaged in education activities (such as reading to their children) less frequently than did parents with higher qualification levels.
9. Fathers in Scotland were more likely than those in England and the UK as a whole to report getting their child ready for / putting their child to bed several times a week, and looking after their child on their own several times a week.
10. In terms of discipline, mothers in Scotland were less likely than mothers in Northern Ireland, but more likely than mothers in Wales, to say that they smack their child when naughty at least some of the time. However, they were more likely to report that they tell their child off daily than mothers in either England or Wales.

## Childcare

11. Children in Scotland were more likely to be cared for by their grandparent, and had higher rates of being in any kind of formal care, any kind of non-parental care, and any kind of care overall, than children living in England and in the UK as a whole.

## School choice

12. In Scotland, within the state sector, parents generally expect to go to the local primary school but can apply to a different school using a placing request. In England parents are asked to give their first, second etc choices of school on a form sent to their Local Authority.
13. Among the majority choosing to send their children to state primary schools, most parents said they succeeded in securing their preferred school ( $94 \%$ in Scotland). Being the closest school was more important when choosing a school than exam performance. However, the most commonly identified factor was the child having
friends or siblings at the school, followed by 'other school characteristics', which included general impression of the school, class size and anti-bullying policy.
14. In Scotland, the proportion of parents who were fully satisfied with the school their child attends was higher among those who had requested a particular school than among those who had not ( $80 \%$ vs $74 \%$ ). In the other UK countries, it mattered little whether or not parents had requested the school.

## Teacher ratings of children's achievement

15. Data from the Foundation Stage Profile (FSP) were examined for children in England, and from the equivalent teacher assessments (Devolved Administration Teacher Survey - DATS) administered by MCS for children in Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland. These cover six areas of learning:

- Personal, social and learning development
- Communication, language and literacy
- Mathematical development
- Knowledge and understanding of the world
- Creative development
- Physical development

16. Cohort children in Scotland were rated higher on the DATS assessments by their teachers than the cohort members in Wales and Northern Ireland. Their scores were also higher than the equivalent FSP scores for children in England. However, England cannot strictly be compared to Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland due to the different instruments used.

## Child behaviour and cognitive development

17. Scores on indicators of cognitive development for children in Scotland were higher than those for children in England or Wales on Naming Vocabulary and lower than those for children from Northern Ireland on Pattern Construction.
18. The results showed a marked difference in children from advantaged versus disadvantaged backgrounds, as exemplified in higher cognitive ability and fewer behaviour problems reported for children from highly educated parents, and for children in families with two working parents. Children showing higher levels of cognitive skills and fewer behaviour problems at age 3 were likely to be in the same position two years later.

## Child health

19. The majority ( $88 \%$ ) of children were reported by their parents to be in excellent or very good health. Children were more likely to be reported to be in excellent health in Scotland (59\%) than in England (52\%) or the UK as a whole (53\%). A small proportion of children (8\%) had longstanding illness conditions at both ages 3 and 5.
20. The majority ( $80 \%$ ) of children had normal BMI values, although the relationship between living in poverty and higher child BMI values was more marked in

Scotland than in the UK as a whole. Overweight and obese children were less likely to be eating a daily breakfast than children with lower BMI values, and children's eating a daily breakfast was linked to parental employment status (with children of employed parents being more likely to eat breakfast daily than children of unemployed parents). There was also a strong association between the mother's BMI and that of her child.

## Parental health

21. Most parents, both mothers and fathers, were in good health, although $12 \%$ of mothers and $10 \%$ of fathers reported that their health was fair or poor. Mothers' and fathers' self-reported general health varied by employment status (employed parents less likely to report fair/poor health than not-employed parents) and education level (parents less likely to report fair/poor health the higher the level of their qualifications).
22. One in four mothers and one in five fathers reported having a longstanding illness, and $4 \%$ of mothers and $2 \%$ of fathers displayed high levels of psychological distress.
23. Mothers in Scotland were more likely than mothers in England to smoke ten or more cigarettes a day and to drink alcohol. However, fathers in Scotland were less likely to be frequent drinkers than fathers in England or Wales.

## Parents' employment and education

24. Rates of employment were higher among mothers in couples than lone mothers ( $62 \%$ vs $44 \%$ ), and part-time working was more common than full-time employment ( $45 \%$ vs $16 \%$ ). The extent of part-time work among mothers had increased since the child was 9-10 months old, although the percentage of mothers employed full time had hardly changed. Of employed mothers, 45\% regularly worked at an atypical time of day on a weekly basis, either after 6 pm , at night, or on Saturdays or Sundays.
25. Mothers with degree qualifications or those in the higher socio-economic groups were far more likely to be employed than those with lower or no qualifications. Rates of employment among mothers declined as their number of children increased. Fathers' rates of economic activity were far less variable than mothers' rates. The vast majority of fathers (92\%) were employed.
26. The single largest family economy, at approximately one third (35\%) of families, was the dual-earner family where the father worked full time and the mother worked part time. Traditional breadwinner families (father only working) constituted $22 \%$.
27. Statutory provisions within the workplace of having time off for family emergencies, which became an employee right in 2000, had been used by $41 \%$ of employed mothers and maternity leave by $37 \%$ of employed mothers. Use of other statutory provisions (adoptive and parental leave) and non-statutory provisions (e.g. workplace nursery, occasional home working) was very low by
comparison, and $32 \%$ of employed mothers responded that they were not using any of the list of statutory or non-statutory provisions asked about.
28. The most common experience was to stay in the same partnership and working arrangements at MCS3 as at MCS2, although changes did occur. The most stable arrangement was the new traditional family economy (father working fulltime, mother part-time), and non-employed lone parents were the next most stable group.

## Income and poverty

29. Family income and the age of the mother were positively associated. Couples where both were earning were over-represented in the top three of the five income groups, and very rare in the lowest income group. A gradient in family income by the education of each parent was visible.
30. Perceptions of how families thought they were managing financially were strongly linked to income. Those on lower incomes were more likely to say they were finding it difficult or very difficult to manage and those on higher incomes were more likely to say they were 'living comfortably'. There was also a relationship between income and levels of life satisfaction, with the better off tending to have higher life satisfaction scores.

## Housing, neighbourhood and residential mobility

31. While residential mobility between sweeps 2 and 3 was substantially lower than mobility between sweeps 1 and 2, it still remained an important feature of the lives of families with young children. Scotland (i.e. families resident in Scotland at MCS sweep 1) had higher levels of residential mobility between sweeps 2 and 3 than England, Wales or the UK as a whole.

## Religious observance

32. A majority of respondents (52\%) said they attended religious services rarely or never. Catholics were about twice as likely as Protestants to attend services at least weekly. Just over a third of mothers in the highest socio-economic group had no religion, compared to just over half of mothers in the lowest socioeconomic group, and those in the highest socio-economic category were substantially less likely than other mothers to attend religious services rarely or never.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 This report contains analyses of the Scottish families data from the Millennium Cohort Study sweep 3 (MCS3) when the cohort children were age 5 . These data are relevant to policy makers in Scotland, and aim to demonstrate the opportunities for MCS data exploitation in Scotland.
1.2 The Millennium Cohort Study offers large-scale information about children born into the $21^{\text {st }}$ century and the families who are bringing them up, for the four countries of the United Kingdom. The third sweep (MCS3) took place, mostly in 2006, when the children had reached age 5 . It collected information from 15,246 families, including 1,534 families in Scotland. Previous surveys of the families had taken place when the children were aged 9 months, in 2001-2, and when they were three years old, mostly during 2004.

## Aim

1.3 The aim of this report is to present the findings from the third survey of the UKwide Millennium Cohort Study (MCS3), focusing on Scottish data. Families living in Scotland are compared with families in the UK as a whole, and with families in each of the other countries in the UK where breakdowns are available.

## Plan of the report

1.4 In the rest of this report the sections examine the Millennium Sweep 3 data in themes largely following the divisions of MCS3 questionnaire. Section 2 considers the MCS3 response data. Section 3 focuses on family demographics. Section 4 considers parenting. Section 5 examines childcare, and Section 6 contains the data on parents' choices of primary school. In Section 7 data on assessments of children in their first year of school are presented, followed by data in Section 8 about assessments of children's cognitive levels and behaviour administered as part of the MCS3 survey. Section 9 contains information about cohort children's health with Section 10 focussing on parents' health. Section 11 considers parents' employment and education with Section 12 considering the household income and rates of poverty among MCS3 families. Section 13 examines the housing and residential mobility of MCS3 families and Section 14 considers their religious observance. The equivalent section in the UK User Guide also examined minority ethnic differences. However, this is not included in this report for Scotland due to sample sizes of minority ethnic groups being too small in Scotland for further analysis. Finally, in Section 15, some conclusions are presented about the potential for further research. All tables and figures referred to in this report are provided in the annex.
1.5 Throughout the report, the findings presented relate to MCS families living in Scotland, unless stated otherwise. In addition, where no differences between Scotland and the rest of the UK are discussed, it

## can be assumed that findings for Scotland do not differ in a statistically significant way from those for the rest of the UK. All differences presented are statistically significant, unless stated otherwise. ${ }^{2}$

[^1]
## 2 MCS3 DATA

2.1 The following definitions are used throughout this section:

- Productive: The families with some data from at least one of the data collection instruments other than data carried forward from previous sweeps.
- Ineligible: Emigrations and child deaths.
- Uncertain eligibility: Families who were away temporarily and those whose eligibility was uncertain, including untraced movers.
- Unproductive: Refusals (whether or not 'permanent'), non-contacts, other non-responses including language problems, ill/incapacitated, deleted/lost data (files lost in fieldwork).
2.2 The Sweep 3 sample of the Millennium Cohort Study (MCS3) includes all those who were productive cases at the MCS3 face-to-face survey including those who were productive at MCS1 but missing at MCS2, and new families interviewed at sweep 2, who were re-interviewed at MCS3 (Table 2.2).
2.3 At each following sweep child deaths and emigrations are excluded from the eligible population. After further exclusions of families who were judged to have refused permanently and some cases of sensitive family circumstances, the UK-wide sample issued for fieldwork at MCS sweep 3 was 18,528 families (see Ketende, 2008, and Hansen, 2008, for more details).


## MCS3 response rate

2.4 There were 15,246 productive families in the UK sample at sweep 3 including 1,814 families in Scotland. This was 344 fewer UK families than at sweep 2. This relatively small difference was because of a productive response at MCS3 from 1,444 families who had been unproductive at sweep 2. This has kept the sweep 3 sample size at virtually the same level as sweep 2.
2.5 Table 2.1 shows those who were productive at MCS3. The productive sample has remained virtually the same between sweeps 2 and 3 , which is a remarkable achievement for the fieldwork team, the CLS tracing team and the cohort families themselves.
2.6 There are no statistically significant differences by UK country in productive responses.

## MCS longitudinal sample

2.7 The MCS longitudinal participation is presented in Table $2.2^{3}$, which shows that 1596 families in Scotland participated at all 3 sweeps of the MCS, and a further 218 families participated at sweep 3 although not at sweep 2. Across the UK as a whole 13,802 families (13,234 from sweep 1 and 568 who joined MCS at sweep 2) have participated in all sweeps that they were eligible for.

[^2]2.8 In most of the rest of this report the UK countries are broken down by the families' location at the sweep 3 interview. This was not necessarily the same as for previous surveys, as some families had moved. Table 2.3 shows that the number of movers was small, comparing country at the age 5 interview with that in which the family was sampled for sweep 1. A total of 1768 out of 1804 cases were still living in Scotland and 15,031 of the 15,241 UK cases were still in their original country. A small number of families moved from one UK country to another between sweeps 1 and $3 ; 46$ cases had moved out of Scotland and 36 cases had moved to Scotland by sweep 3 (a net loss of 10 families). The largest flows were in and out of England, which had the largest net gain of families productive at sweep $3(n=43)$. Wales had the largest net loss of 34 families, mostly moving to England.

## Analyses in this report

2.9 All analyses contained in this report were weighted using the appropriate sample and country weights. Further details on the sample weights available and weights used can be found in Hansen and Joshi (2008, pages 13-14). In addition, where cell sizes were under 30 cases, statistics are placed in parentheses to draw attention to the small cell sizes.
2.10 Some of the tables presented are of the separate cross-sectional waves of MCS data. It should be noted that comparisons across cross-sectional cohorts are not necessarily based on identical respondents. In other cases, longitudinal analyses are carried out where respondents are the same parents/children across more than one wave of data.

## 3 FAMILY DEMOGRAPHICS

## Family type

3.1 Table $3.1^{4}$ shows the overall cross-sectional prevalence of family type at MCS1 when the children were nine months old and at MCS3 when they were five years old. The cross-sectional proportion of families containing both natural parents fell from $85 \%$ at MCS1 to $79 \%$ at MCS3. This decline is explained almost entirely by a reduction in children living with cohabiting natural parents from one in four (25\%) to one in seven (16\%). Living with married natural parents was the most common family situation at both sweeps.
3.2 The proportion of families who were lone natural mother families increased from $14 \%$ at MCS1 to $17 \%$ at MCS3. This increase, along with the decline in cohabiting families, meant that lone natural mother families had overtaken cohabiting natural parents as the second most common family type by age 5. The overall proportion of all dependent children in the UK living in lone parent families in 2006 was 24\% (ONS, 2007). Children living in lone parent families is expected to rise as children get older, so it is not surprising that a slightly higher cross-sectional proportion of MCS families were lone mother families at age 5 than at 9 months.
3.3 There has been a marked increase in the proportion of natural mother and step-father families between MCS1 and MCS3. The proportion of children living in this family type was fewer than 1 in 500 ( $0.2 \%$ ) at 9 months, rising to $3.2 \%$ at age 5 .
3.4 Table 3.2 shows that family type at MCS3 was strongly related to the age of the main respondent ${ }^{5}$. Lone parenthood, cohabitation and families containing step-fathers were most common in younger age groups. The vast majority of families with a main respondents aged 30 and over were living as married natural parents. In the 25 to 29 age group, although married natural parents was the most common family type, this situation only accounted for just over a third of families (35\%). Almost half of families (43\%) with a main respondent aged 18 to 24 , and over a quarter (28\%) with a main respondent aged 25 to 29 , were lone mother families.

## Changes in family type

3.5 The sample for the analysis in this section is restricted to families who took part in both MCS1 and MCS3. The vast majority of children were living with the same parent or parents at 5 years as they were at 9 months (Table 3.4). Overall, $85 \%$ of children were in the same family type at MCS3 as at MCS1. This percentage is comprised of $77 \%$ living with both natural parents at both

[^3]MCS1 and MCS3 and 8\% living with their natural mother in a lone parent family at both surveys.
3.6 A significant minority of cohort children (15\%) were living in a different family type at MCS3 than at MCS1. This indicates that their household had either gained a parent between 9 months and 5 years (if they were a lone natural mother family at MCS1) or lost one between 9 months and 5 years (if they were living with both natural parents at MCS1). This is a conservative estimate of the proportion of children who experienced family change between 9 months and 5 years (eg. some children who were in the same family type at MCS3 as at MCS1 may have experienced family change at some point between the 9 months and 5 years surveys).
3.7 Children who were living with both natural parents at MCS1 were less likely to have experienced family change than those who were living with a lone natural mother at MCS1 (12\% compared with 38\%) (Table 3.5).
3.8 The stability of family life (or otherwise) was strongly related to the age of the main respondent (Table 3.8). In particular, cohort children of younger main respondents were the most likely to be living in a different family type at age 5 than at 9 months.
3.9 Table 3.10 shows, for families with both natural parents at MCS1, transitions between MCS1 and MCS3 were related to marital status at MCS1 and main respondent's age at MCS3. Approximately six in ten cohort children (61\%) who were living with both natural parents at 9 months were living with both natural parents at age 5 if the main respondent was 18 to 24 , compared with almost nine in ten overall (88\%). Correspondingly, one in three cohort children ( $31 \%$ ) living with both natural parents at 9 months was living with a lone natural mother at age 5 when the main respondent was 18 to 24 , compared with one in ten overall.
3.10 In all main respondent age groups, children living with both their natural parents at 9 months (Table 3.12) were more much likely still to be living with both natural parents at 5 years if their natural parents were married to each other at 9 months rather than cohabiting. However, the gap between cohabiting and married parents was smaller in older age groups.
3.11 Overall, a large minority of children were living in a different family type at 5 years than at 9 months. Groups of children more likely to be in a different family situation were those with younger parents and those living with lone natural mothers or cohabiting natural parents at 9 months.

## Number of siblings

3.12 The definition of sibling used in this section includes other kinds of siblings as well as natural siblings such as step, half, foster and adopted but excludes siblings who are part of a multiple birth. This is in order to gain insight into the extent of older or younger children living in the family. The definition of sibling
that is used excludes siblings living elsewhere and includes co-residential siblings of any age (even adults).
3.13 As Table 3.14 shows, over eight in ten MCS five-year-olds (81\%) had at least one older or younger sibling. This had increased from just less than six in ten (55\%) at 9 months. Most MCS five-year-olds who had a sibling had only one (50\%), so the most common number of children per family was two. A quarter (23\%) of children had two siblings and only in one in ten (9\%) had three or more. According to ONS (2007), 46\% of all UK children nationally are living in families with two children. This was very similar to the MCS3 statistics for Scotland and the UK as a whole (50\%).
3.14 As shown in Table 3.14, 19\% of families contained no siblings for the cohort child at age 5 , and the proportion of families with three or more siblings was $9 \%$. The number of siblings in the household varied with the main respondent's age (Table 3.15): in general, the older the parent, the more likely the children were to have brothers and sisters, and to have multiple brothers and sisters. The number of siblings also varied with family type (Table 3.17). Children in lone natural mother families ( $41 \%$ ) and families with a natural mother and a step-father (26\%) were both much more likely than children in families with married natural parents (12\%) or cohabiting natural parents ( $17 \%$ ) to have no siblings. They were also more likely to have three or more siblings. However, one sibling was still the most common experience for children in all of the major family types.

## Types of siblings

3.15 It is very complicated to map out all of the possible sibling relationships within households. This first step identifies the possible intra family 'sibling' relationships, but the definition used in this section uses only the relationship to the cohort member so does not distinguish which parent is the shared parent. ${ }^{6}$ However, as most children continue to live with their natural mother when their parents live apart, in most families these half-siblings would be the natural child of the cohort member's mother with a new partner (if they are a younger half-sibling) or previous partner (if they are an older half-sibling). Similarly, step-siblings can be the biological child of either a step-father or a step-mother.
3.16 Table 3.19 shows that the most common type of sibling was a natural sibling. At age 5, over three quarters of children had at least one natural sibling. This represents an increase from around half at age 9 months. The proportion of

[^4]children living with a half-sibling also increased from 8\% at the MCS1 crosssection to $10 \%$ at MCS3.
3.17 Table 3.20 shows how the prevalence of different types of siblings varied with the age of the main respondent. Overall, children with younger main respondents (18 to 24 -year-olds) were less likely to have natural siblings.
3.18 Living with different types of siblings varied with family type (Table 3.22). Children living with married natural parents (85\%) or cohabiting natural parents ( $73 \%$ ) were more likely to have natural siblings than children living with a lone natural mother ( $48 \%$ ) or a natural mother and a step-father ( $51 \%$ ).

## Non-resident fathers

3.19 At age 5, around one in five (22\%) non-resident fathers were in frequent contact, just less than half ( $46 \%$ ) were in less frequent contact, and around a third ( $32 \%$ ) were not in any contact. Regular maintenance payments were made by over a third (35\%) of non-resident fathers (Table 3.24). It should be noted that the evidence presented here is the mother's report of receiving child maintenance.
3.20 Contact patterns and maintenance payments were related to each other. Around 9\% of non-resident fathers who were not in any contact with their 5-year-old child still made regular or irregular maintenance payments (Table $3.25)$. Over half ( $59 \%$ ) of non-resident fathers who were in frequent contact paid regular maintenance and almost half (44\%) of non-resident fathers who were in less frequent contact also paid regular maintenance.
3.21 Frequent contact with the child was extremely common if the non-resident natural father was in a relationship with the natural mother (94\%) and extremely uncommon (8\%) if the natural mother had re-partnered and was living with a step-father (Table 3.29). Frequent contact with the child was also less common if the non-resident natural father was in a relationship with someone other than the natural mother (15\%) (Table 3.31).

## 4 PARENTING

## Time spent with child

4.1 Main and partner MCS3 respondents were asked how they felt about the amount of time they spent with their children (Table 4.1). Mothers said they spent more than enough time with their children in $24 \%$ of cases. It was far more common for fathers to think they did not have enough time to spend with the cohort child at age 5 (Table 4.3): 43\% of fathers thought they had 'not quite enough' time and a further 15\% had 'nowhere near enough' time.

## Family activities

4.2 Main and partner respondents were asked how often they engaged in a number of activities with their children. Responses were obtained from both parents on many questions. The activities that both main and partner respondents were asked about included reading to their child (Tables 4.5, 4.6, 4.7, 4.8); doing musical activities (Tables 4.9, 4.10); playing sports or physically active games (Tables 4.11, 4.12, 4.13, 4.14); playing with toys or games indoors (Tables 4.15, 4.16); and going to a park or outdoor playground with their children (Table 4.17, 4.18, 4.19, 4.20).
4.3 Parents in Scotland (as in Northern Ireland) tended to engage in many of these activities more frequently than those in England and Wales, although only some of these differences were statistically significant. The proportion of mothers who reported doing musical activities with their child every day was higher in Scotland than in England, and the proportion taking their child to the park or an outdoor playground every day was higher in Scotland than in England and the UK as a whole. The proportion of fathers who reported reading to their child every day was higher in Scotland than in England, Wales and the UK as a whole.
4.4 Mothers reported engaging in all activities more often than did fathers, with the exception of playing sports or physically active games. Mothers reported reading to their children more frequently than any of the other activities.
4.5 For almost every activity, parents with higher qualification levels consistently reported engaging in the activity more frequently than did parents with lower qualification levels. Higher rates both of engaging in activities every day and of never engaging in them were seen for parents who were not working.
4.6 Lone mothers tended to report engaging in activities more frequently than did mothers who had partners. This is consistent with lone mothers having reported greater satisfaction with the amount of time they spent with their children with their having lower rates of employment, and being the sole parent instead of two parents who can share these tasks.
4.7 Fathers in Scotland were more likely than those in England and the UK as a whole to report getting their child ready for / putting their child to bed several
times a week (52\% in Scotland, 45\% in England and 47\% in UK as a whole) and looking after their child on their own several times a week ( $33 \%$ in Scotland, 25\% in England and 28\% in UK as a whole).

## Discipline

4.8 Mothers used a variety of methods to discipline their children, some more frequently than others (Tables 4.25 to 4.33). There were notable differences in discipline methods between older and younger mothers and between those with higher qualifications and those with few or no qualifications.

## Ignoring child when naughty

4.9 Mothers were asked how often they ignored their children when they were naughty (Table 4.25 and 4.27). Around half of mothers did this rarely or never and about a third ignored bad behaviour only sometimes.

## Smacking child

4.10 Smacking was not a common form of punishment (Table 4.30): 43\% of mothers reported that they never smack their child when naughty, and a further $45 \%$ said that they do so rarely. The proportion of mothers who reported smacking their children when naughty at least some of the time was lower in Scotland (57\%) than in Northern Ireland (65\%), but higher than in Wales (51\%) (Table 4.30).

## Telling child off / reasoning with a naughty child

4.11 Nearly two thirds (63\%) of mothers reported telling their children off either daily or often (Table 4.33). The proportion of mothers who said they tell their children off daily was higher in Scotland (14\%) than in England (11\%) and Wales (10\%).

## Parenting competence

4.12 Mothers and fathers were asked to rate how they felt about being a parent (Table 4.34 to Table 4.39). The majority of both mothers and fathers thought they were better than average or very good parents, particularly the fathers.

## Schedule regularity

4.13 Main respondents were asked whether their children went to bed at regular times (Table 4.40 and 4.41). Overall, $91 \%$ of families in Scotland reported that their children went to bed at a regular time and $94 \%$ said that they ate meals at a regular time usually or always.

## 5 CHILDCARE

5.1 This section looks at the childcare arrangements being used at MCS3. Childcare questions were asked of the main respondent, most usually the mother.
5.2 Children in Scotland were more likely than those in England or the UK as a whole to be cared for by their grandparent ( $33 \%$ vs $25 \%$ and $29 \%$ ), and they had higher rates of being in any kind of formal care ( $19 \%$ vs $13 \%$ and $15 \%$ ), any kind of non-parental care ( $53 \%$ vs $43 \%$ and $47 \%$ ), and any kind of care overall (72\% vs 64\% and 68\%) (Table 5.1).
5.3 Employed lone parents in Scotland had the higher rates of using all kinds of care, with the exception of care by partners, than mothers in couples (Table 5.2). The mean hours of non-parental childcare used did not differ between employed and non-employed mothers, though the children of employed mothers spent more time being cared for by their mothers' partners (generally their fathers) (Table 5.4). Children of employed mothers also spent more time with childminders and less time in day nurseries than children whose mothers were not employed.
5.4 Rates of childcare use over the three sweeps are shown in Table 5.6 (Scotland). Use of informal care was low at MCS2 compared to MCS1 and MCS3, while rates of use of formal care, especially nursery school, day nursery, and playgroups, were high.
5.5 Table 5.8 shows that the amount of time children spent in different kinds of care changed across the sweeps. The big changes came between sweeps 2 and 3 after (i.e. between ages 3 , by age and 5 ). The number of hours spent in any kind of care dropped at MCS3, most likely because most children had started school. Grandparent care hours declined from 20 per week when the child was $9 / 10$ months old to 10 per week at age 5 . Similar falls in hours are visible for all the other carers.

## 6 SCHOOL CHOICE

6.1 It is worth noting that the process of securing a primary school place in the state sector for a child differs in Scotland from other parts of the UK. In Scotland, children are generally expected to attend their local school according to their address and the catchment area. In many rural areas there will be little alternative. However, parents in Scotland can apply to their Local Authority for a place at a different school if they wish, via a 'placing request'. If their application is unsuccessful, parents can then apply to another school. In effect they could express first, second or third choices via this route, although many parents will not go beyond applying to a single school. In England most parents will apply via their Local Authority, by filling in an application form on which they express specifically their first, second and third preferences of primary school.
6.2 Among the majority choosing to send their children to state primary schools, most parents in Scotland (97\%), as in England (94\%), said they gained their first-choice or preferred school.
6.3 In terms of the criteria that parents thought were most important in selecting a primary school (table 6.3), being the closest school (22\%) was a more important factor when choosing a school than exam performance (13\%). The most commonly identified factor was the child having friends or siblings at the school (31\%), followed by 'other school characteristics' (29\%), which included: good impression of the school; good school (other than results); strong antibullying policy; small class sizes; caters for special needs; offers specialist curriculum; good facilities; offers childcare; religious grounds; ethnic mix; teaches in language other than English; and single-sex. Whether parents applied to/requested a school appeared to matter little to the criteria for choosing that they identified as most important when choosing a school.
6.4 In Scotland, the proportion of parents saying they were fully satisfied with the school their child attended was higher among those who applied to/requested a particular school (80\%) than among those who did not (74\%). In the other UK countries, it mattered little whether or not parents had requested the school for parent satisfaction with the school.

## 7 TEACHER RATINGS OF CHILDREN'S ACHIEVEMENT

7.1 The Foundation Stage Profile was collected by the Department for Children, Schools and Families, and recorded the child's achievement as reported by their teacher at the end of the first year of school for children in state schools in England. The FSP covers six areas of learning:

1. Personal, social and emotional development

- Disposition and attitudes
- Social development
- Emotional development

2. Communication, language and literacy

- Language for communicating and thinking
- Linking sounds and letters
- Reading
- Writing

3. Mathematical development

- Numbers as labels and for counting
- Calculating
- Shape, space and measures

4. Knowledge and understanding of the world
5. Creative development
6. Physical development
7.2 In each of these areas teachers give a child a score of 1 to 9 for each category. If a child gets 9 this means their achievement is significantly beyond what is expected at this stage.
7.3 Teachers in Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland, which do not have the FSP, were sent a postal questionnaire aimed at replicating the FSP information. They were asked to measure a child's achievement in the same six areas using the same 1 to 9 ranking system.
7.4 Scotland had higher scores on most of the FSP/DATS measures than the other three UK countries (Table 7.1). However, only some of these differences were statistically significant, and it is important to remember that the scores for England cannot strictly be compared to those for Scotland because the instruments used were not identical.
7.5 Scotland had significantly higher average scores than both Wales and Northern Ireland on the following measures:

- DATS Total Score
- Personal, social, and emotional development subscale
- Communication, language, and literacy subscale
- Linking sounds and letters
- Writing
- Mathematical development subscale
- Numbers as labels and for counting
- Calculating
- Knowledge and understanding of the world
- Physical development
7.6 Scotland had significantly higher average scores than Wales (but not Northern Ireland) on the following measures:
- Disposition and attitudes
- Social development
- Emotional development
- Language for communication and thinking
- Reading
- Shape, space, and measures
7.7 Tables 7.2 to 7.14 show how the mean DATS total and subscale scores varied by family and child characteristics. Children from two-parent families tended to have higher scores than children from one-parent families. Children whose parents had higher qualification levels, who had at least one employed parent, and whose families were above the poverty level also had higher scores.


## 8 CHILD BEHAVIOUR AND COGNITIVE DEVELOPMENT

8.1 In this section, findings from the assessments of cognitive and behavioural adjustment of five-year-old children are presented. Details of the assessments carried out can be found in the UK User Guide (Hansen and Joshi, 2008).
8.2 British Ability Scales (BAS) scores for children in MCS3 families in Scotland were significantly higher than those for children in England and Wales on the Naming Vocabulary subscale and lower than those for children from Northern Ireland on the Pattern Construction subscale (Table 8.1).
8.3 Table 8.2 shows the BAS overall score by family and child characteristics, for only those families who were living in Scotland at MCS1 and MCS3. Scores tended to be higher for children whose parents had higher qualification levels, had at least one employed parent, and whose family income was above poverty level.
8.4 The SDQ behaviour mean scores for children at age 5 was 8.4 (Table 8.4). Table 8.5 shows the SDQ total difficulties and pro-social scales by family and child characteristics. Total difficulties scores tended to be lower for children who lived with both parents, whose parents had higher qualification levels, who had at least one working parent, and whose family income was above poverty level. Few patterns were evident in the means for the pro-social scale (Table 8.7).
8.5 The correlations among scales at MCS2 and MCS3 are shown in Table 8.9. There are moderate to strong correlations between scores at MCS2 and scores on cognitive and behaviour assessments two years later.

## 9 CHILD HEALTH

## General Health

9.1 The majority ( $88 \%$ ) of children were reported by their parents to be in excellent or very good health. Children in Scotland were more likely to be reported to be in excellent health in Scotland (59\%) than in England (52\%) or the UK as a whole ( $53 \%$ ) (Table 9.3). The slight advantage displayed by girls in terms of general health (Table 9.1) was less marked in Scotland than in the UK as a whole (Table 9.2), and this gender difference was not statistically significant in Scotland. Tables 9.4 and 9.5 show that general health of the child at age 5 was substantially and significantly linked to both parental employment status and poverty.
9.2 Long term health conditions (defined as 'any longstanding illness, disability or infirmity that may have troubled the child for a period of time, or is likely to affect him/her over a period of time') at ages 3 and 5 are shown in Table 9.8. A small proportion of children (8\%) had longstanding illness conditions at both ages 3 and 5.
9.3 Table 9.10 shows gender differences in a range of health and development problems in Scotland. Parents were significantly more likely to report the following for boys than for girls: asthma, hay fever, ADHD (attention deficit hyperactivity disorder), autism or Asperger's, bedwetting and concerns about speech; but there were no statistically significant differences in rates of hearing and eczema between boys and girls. In the UK (Table 9.11), all of these differences were found to be statistically significant by gender. The fact that fewer differences were statistically significantly in Scotland is probably due to the smaller Scottish sample size.
9.4 Table 9.12 shows selected child health conditions by parents' employment at age 5. In general, the children of two non-employed parents or a nonemployed lone-parent tended to be most disadvantaged in terms of their health. In Scotland, the following differences were statistically significant according to parents' employment status: toothache, eye-sight problems and epilepsy. In the UK, (Table 9.13) all of the conditions showed statistically significant differences by parent's employment.
9.5 Table 9.14 shows the incidence of infectious diseases by age 5, by parental employment status in Scotland. In the Scotland sample, only the differences in the incidence of chickenpox were statistically significant by parents' employment status. In the UK sample (Table 9.15), all of these differences were statistically significant by parents' employment status.

## Child obesity

9.6 Table 9.21 shows differences in child age 5 BMI by country. In Scotland, 80\% of age 5 MCS children had normal BMI values, $15 \%$ overweight values and $5 \%$ obese values. The relationship between living in poverty and higher child

BMI values at age 5 was more marked in Scotland (Table 9.19) than in the UK as a whole (UK Table 9.20).
9.7 Table 9.22 shows that most of the parents of obese age 5 children were concerned about their child's future weight ( $63 \%$ ). The link between the child's age 5 BMI category and parental concern was statistically significant. The link between asthma and BMI was also statistically significant (Table 9.22).
9.8 In Scotland no statistically significant relationships were found between children's BMI category and perceived general health at age 5 (Table 9.24; Figure 9.4 for the UK); between children's snack choices at age 5 and their BMI category (Table 9.25 for Scotland, Table 2.26 for the UK); and between portions of fruit eaten at age 5 and the child's BMI category (Table 9.27 for Scotland and Table 9.28 for the UK). However, each of the above associations were found to be statistically significant in the UK sample. The lack of statistically significant findings for Scotland may be due to its smaller sample size.
9.9 There was a strong statistically significant association between mother's BMI and the child's BMI at age 5 (Table 9.37). Time spent in front of the computer was also significantly associated with BMI (Table 9.33), although time spent watching TV or DVDs was not (Table 9.35), unlike in the UK as a whole (Table 9.36).
9.10 Overweight and obese children were less likely to be eating a daily breakfast at age 5 than children with lower BMI values, and children eating a daily breakfast at age 5 was significantly linked to parental employment status (Table 9.30): children in families with two employed parents were most likely to eat breakfast daily (95\%), whilst in families where neither parent was employed the proportion drops to $85 \%$ eating a daily breakfast.
9.11 There was a statistically significant association between 5-year old children eating breakfast and stability and change in BMI between ages 3 and 5 (Table 9.39). Children whose BMI changed from normal to overweight between ages 3 and 5 were less likely to eat breakfast daily at age 5 than those whose BMI stayed approximately stable, or changed in some other way.

## General Health

10.1 Self-assessed health has been shown to be a powerful predictor of life expectancy and social-psychological well-being that varies across socioeconomic groups. Most parents, both mothers and fathers, were in good health. However, $12 \%$ of mothers and $10 \%$ of father self-reported that their health was fair or poor (Table 10.1). Mothers' and fathers' self-reported general health varied by employment status (employed parents less likely to report fair/poor health than not-employed parents) and education level (parents less likely to report fair/poor health the higher the level of their qualifications) (Table 10.2).
10.2 One in four mothers (25\%) and one in five fathers (21\%) reported having a longstanding illness (Table 10.5), and having a longstanding illness was significantly associated with education level for mothers but not for fathers (Table 10.5). In the UK as a whole, both mothers' and fathers' education levels were significantly linked to their longstanding illness (Tables 10.6 and 10.7). Age was not significantly associated with mothers' and fathers' health, although this association was statistically significant in the UK as a whole.

## Smoking

10.3 Mothers in Scotland were significantly more likely than mothers in England to smoke ten or more cigarettes a day (Table 10.8). Although fathers in Scotland were also more likely than fathers in England to smoke ten or more cigarettes a day, this difference does not reach statistical significance.
10.4 Younger mothers and fathers were more likely to smoke than older parents, and parents in workless households were the most likely to smoke than those in families with some employment (Table 10.9). More highly qualified parents and married parents were also relatively unlikely to smoke.

## Alcohol

10.5 Current UK Government guidelines on alcohol consumption limits are 21 units per week for men, 14 for women. Women should not regularly drink more than 2-3 units of alcohol a day and men should not regularly drink more than 3-4 units of alcohol a day, and both should have two alcohol-free days per week.
10.6 The proportion of mothers who said that they never drink was lower in Scotland (13\%) than in England (19\%) (Table 10.12). However, fathers in Scotland were significantly less likely to be frequent drinkers (11\%) than fathers in England (17\%) or Wales (17\%).
10.7 Except for the under-25s, alcohol use generally increased with age. This was the case for both mothers and fathers (Table 10.13). Workless couple households had the highest rates of frequent drinking for both men and
women. Parents with higher levels of education had patterns of more frequent drinking.

## Drug use

10.8 A higher proportion of mothers in Scotland (6\%) than mothers in Northern Ireland (2\%) had used drugs in the previous year (Table 10.16). Drug use was significantly linked to employment status, being lowest where both partners, or the male partner only, were employed. Mothers with lower educational attainment reported higher levels of drug use (Table 10.16).
10.9 Prevalence of drug use among parents declined with age (Table 10.16).

## Depression and serious anxiety

10.10 Mothers and fathers were asked if they had been advised by a doctor at some time that they were suffering from depression or serious anxiety. Of the mothers, $44 \%$ said that they had, and $10 \%$ said that they had and that they were currently receiving treatment for depression or anxiety (Table 10.19). Of the fathers, $13 \%$ said that they had been diagnosed with depression or serious anxiety at some point, and $4 \%$ were being treated at the time of interview.
10.11 Mothers in two-earner couples were least likely to report that they had ever been diagnosed with depression or serious anxiety (Table 10.20). Parents having lower education levels was associated with higher diagnosed depression or serious anxiety, and mothers in married partnerships reported the lowest levels of depression.

## Psychological distress

10.12 According to scores on the Kessler 6 scale (Kessler et al., 2002), which is widely used in general-purpose health surveys to measure psychological distress, $72 \%$ of mothers and $73 \%$ of fathers had no or low distress, $24 \%$ of mothers and $25 \%$ of fathers had 'medium' levels of distress, and $4 \%$ of mothers and $2 \%$ of fathers displayed high levels of psychological distress (Table 10.22).
10.13 Mothers' and fathers' psychological distress measures were significantly associated with their age, education, employment status, and family type (Table 10.23). Distress was lowest where both partners were employed, and married natural parents also experienced the lowest levels of distress.

## Life satisfaction

10.14 Parents were asked a global question on current life satisfaction, ranging from 1 = completely dissatisfied to $10=$ completely satisfied. Life satisfaction scores for mothers and fathers were generally high ( $76 \%$ of mothers and $82 \%$ of fathers scoring 7 or more). Life satisfaction increased with age and with education, and married natural parents had greater life satisfaction than other family types (Table 10.26).

## Body Mass Index

10.15 The impact on children of their parents' attitudes, beliefs and behaviours regarding food, exercise and lifestyle choices may be far-reaching. Parents reported their height and weight, from which BMI was calculated.
10.16 Of the mothers, $58 \%$ had normal values of BMI, $27 \%$ had overweight values and $9 \%$ had obese values (Table 10.29). The likelihood of being overweight and obese increased with age (Table 10.30), and obesity was least prevalent among those with the highest educational levels (Table 10.31).
10.17 Fathers were classified as normal BMI in $38 \%$ of cases, $46 \%$ were overweight and $12 \%$ were obese (Table 10.32). The risk of overweight and obesity increased with age (Table 10.33).

## 11 PARENTS' EMPLOYMENT AND EDUCATION

## Mother's employment at MCS3

11.1 The overall employment rate for MCS mothers was $60 \%$, of which around a quarter ( $24 \%$ ) worked full-time and three quarters ( $76 \%$ ) worked part-time (Table 11.1). The employment rate was $65 \%$ for partnered mothers and $44 \%$ for lone mothers.
11.2 Just over one third of MCS mothers in Scotland (34\%) at the cross-sectional sweep 3 interview said they were not employed and were at home looking after the family. This was lower than the proportions looking after the home in England (39\%) and the UK as a whole (38\%).

## Mothers' employment by highest educational qualifications

11.3 Of those MCS3 mothers with a degree-level qualification (NVQ4 or 5), 21\% were employed full-time compared with only $5 \%$ of those with no qualifications (Table 11.2); $52 \%$ of mothers with a degree were employed part time compared with $21 \%$ of those without any qualifications. The share of full-time employment in the total employed was also highest for mothers with degrees at $28 \%$, compared with approximately one in five of those without any qualifications (Table 11.2).
11.4 Mothers in managerial and professional jobs were also far more likely to have degree-level qualifications ( $77 \%$, Figure 11.1) compared with those in intermediate occupations (35\%), small employer or self-employed (43\%), low supervisory and technical (21\%), and semi-routine and routine occupations (18\%).

## Mothers' employment by number of children

11.5 As expected, the rates of employment among mothers declined as their number of children increased (Table 11.4); 67\% of mothers were employed when they had only one child, compared with $49 \%$ when mothers had three children. The rates of looking after the home increased as their number of children increased.

## Changes in employment as children aged from 3 to 5

11.6 The percentage of mothers employed full time (Table 11.5) was $16 \%$ at both age $9 / 10$ months and age 5 . However, the proportion of mothers working part time increased from $37 \%$ at age $9 / 10$ months to $45 \%$ at age 5 .
11.7 Mothers in couples and lone parent mothers both increased their employment rates over time (Table 11.7). Lone parents' rates of working full time increased from $8 \%$ at MCS1, to $9 \%$ at MCS2, to $13 \%$ by MCS3. Partnered mothers' rates of full-time work did not increase to the same extent, possibly because they had more children over this period. Lone parents' rates of part-time
employment also increased from 21\% at MCS1 to $34 \%$ at MCS2 and $31 \%$ by MCS3. Partnered mothers' rates of part-time employment were much higher than their full-time rates and they increased over the sweeps.
11.8 When economic activity is broken down by highest level of educational qualifications, there is a striking association between mother's working full time and having a degree (Figure 11.3).

## Fathers' economic activity rates at MCS3

11.9 Overall, $92 \%$ of MCS fathers were employed at sweep $3-76 \%$ were employees and $16 \%$ were self-employed. A few had changed their economic activity status between sweeps 2 and 3 of MCS, especially if they had been unemployed or working part-time at sweep 2 (Figure 11.7). Fathers who had been in full-time employment at sweep 2 tended mainly to remain employed full time ( $94 \%$ ) at sweep 3, with the rest being divided equally between moving to work part time or becoming unemployed. However, only $44 \%$ of fathers who had worked part time at sweep 2 were still working part time at MCS3. Of those fathers who had been out of work at sweep $2,56 \%$ were still out of work at MCS3 and $36 \%$ had moved into full time work.

## Family employment status at MCS3

11.10 Table 11.10 shows that $11 \%$ of families were dual-earner full-time working families, $5 \%$ were no-earner couple families, and a further $13 \%$ were noearner lone parent families. The single largest family economy, at $35 \%$, was the dual-earner family where the father worked full time and the mother worked part time (Table 11.10). Traditional breadwinner families (father only working) constituted $22 \%$ of MCS3 families. The less traditional family economies where women worked more than men were very infrequent. Lone parents who were employed constituted 9\% of MCS3 families, and lone parents who were not employed made up 13\%.

## Mothers working at atypical times

11.11 Of the employed mothers (Table 11.11), 45\% regularly worked at an atypical time of day on a weekly basis, either after 6 pm , at night, or on Saturdays or Sundays. Of those who regularly worked atypical hours, 34\% of employed mothers worked after 6pm in the evening, $10 \%$ worked at nights, $23 \%$ worked on Saturdays and 15\% worked on Sundays. Patterns of working at atypical times varied considerably by mothers' socio-economic classifications (Table 11.11). For example, mothers employed in intermediate occupations were less likely than most other occupations to work after 6 pm; mothers working in semi routine or routine occupations, or in low supervisory or technical occupations, were more likely than those in the higher occupations to be working Saturdays or Sundays.

## Family-friendly working arrangements

11.12 Mothers were asked about their use of a set of family-friendly employer provisions, some of which were statutory entitlements for mothers who were eligible and others were non-statutory employer provided provisions. For a positive response, the mother would have had to have access to the provision and to be using it. (We do not know from MCS3 how many mothers had access to such arrangements but were not using them.) Of the employed mothers, $32 \%$ responded that they had not used in their current job any of the following list of statutory or non-statutory provisions asked about:

Statutory:

- Time off for family emergencies
- Maternity leave
- Adoptive leave
- Parental leave

Non-statutory:

- Financial help with childcare vouchers
- Workplace nursery or crèche
- Care for child after school hours or during school holidays
- Career breaks for personal reasons
- Job-sharing
- Working at or from home occasionally
- School term-time contracts
- Telephone to use for family reasons
11.13 Statutory provisions of having time off for family emergencies, which became an employee right in 2000, had been used by $41 \%$ of employed mothers, and maternity leave by $37 \%$ of MCS3 employed mothers (Table 11.13). Use of other statutory and non-statutory provisions were very low by comparison. The use of statutory family-friendly provisions varied considerably by mothers' socio-economic classification (Table 11.14). With the exception of time off for family emergencies, mothers in managerial and professional occupations had the highest usage of this set of statutory provisions; the gaps between socioeconomic groups' usage were very wide in the case of maternity leave but narrow or too few cases to measure in the less used provisions of parental leave and leave for adoption.
11.14 Use of non-statutory provisions offered by employers was much lower (Table 11.16). The proportions of employed mothers who used each single provision was mostly very small (for example, $6 \%$ had used financial help for childcare, $2 \%$ had used a workplace nursery or crèche, $4 \%$ had used after-school childcare, and 3\% had used career breaks).


## Mothers' reasons for not working

11.15 Mothers who were not working were asked about their reasons for not working and they could give more than one reason (Table 11.18). The most common reasons given were:

- Prefer to look after my children myself (50\%)
- Prefer to be at home with the family rather than working (48\%)
- No jobs with right hours for me (11\%)
- I cannot work because of poor health (9\%)
- I cannot earn enough to pay for childcare (8\%).


## Changes in MCS family economy and partnerships from age 3 to age 5

11.16 The most common experience was to stay in the same partnership and working arrangements at MCS3 as at MCS2, although sizeable changes did occur (Table 11.21). The most stable arrangements were:

- the new traditional family economy (father working full-time, mother part-time): $74 \%$ of those in this status at MCS2 stayed in the same status at MCS3
- non-employed lone parents: $70 \%$ of those in this status at MCS2 stayed in this status group at MCS3
- employed lone parents: $66 \%$ of those in this status at MCS2 stayed in this status at MCS3
- old traditional family (father employed mother not employed): 61\% of those in this status at MCS2 stayed in this status at MCS3
- in the case of no-earner MCS families at sweep $2,49 \%$ of those in this status at MCS2 were still in this position by sweep 3.
11.17 Therefore, flows out of being in one of the non-traditional family categories (i.e. partnerships where mothers did more paid work than fathers, or fathers worked part time while mothers worked full time) at sweep 2 were the largest in percentage terms.


## Parents' additional qualifications by MCS3

11.18 A sizeable minority of mothers (Table 11.23) and fathers (Table 11.24) indicated they had gained additional qualifications since they were last interviewed: $14 \%$ of mothers and $12 \%$ of fathers. The proportion of mothers in Scotland gaining additional qualifications was lower than the proportion (18\%) for the UK as a whole.

## 12 INCOME AND POVERTY

12.1 This section mostly relies on family income data that were collected in 18 bands at sweep 3 of the MCS. The calculation of poverty levels of income level relies on having a continuous measure of income. Estimates of a continuous measure of income from banded data usually rely on taking the mid point of the band as the family's income. This can lead to biases, if the distribution of incomes within the bands is not normal. We have made an effort to reduce the bias there may be from relying on the midpoint of grouped data when assigning cases to the poverty group. We have also sought to reduce biases due to the families who did not answer income questions or who did not respond at all. A full description of the adjustments made is described in the Appendix to the UK User Guide (Hansen and Joshi, 2008) which also documents the allowances made ('equivalisation') for varying numbers of children and adults in these families using the modified Organisation of Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) scale.
12.2 The threshold for income poverty used in most of this chapter, the equivalent of $£ 217$ net per week for a childless couple, corresponds to $60 \%$ of the national median in the official 2005-6 Households with Below Average Income (HBAI) tables.

## Distribution of families over the equivalised income distribution

12.3 The mean MCS3 incomes according to quintile ${ }^{7}$ are shown for Scotland (Table 12.1) and the UK (Table 12.2). Scotland appears to have somewhat more than a proportional share of families in the UK top fifth (22\%), although the differences between Scotland and the other UK countries are not statistically significant (Table 12.3).
12.4 Family income at MCS3 and the age of the mother were positively associated (Table 12.4). Couples where both were earning were over-represented in the top three quintiles, and very rare in the lowest fifth of family incomes (Table 12.6). A gradient in family income by the education of each parent was visible (Table 12.8).

## Subjective and objective indicators of poverty

12.5 Perceptions of how families thought they were managing financially were strongly linked to income (Table 12.12). Those on lower incomes were more likely to say they were finding it difficult or very difficult to manage and those on higher incomes were more likely to say they were 'living comfortably'. There was also a relationship between income and levels of life satisfaction (Table 12.12), with the better off tending to have higher life satisfaction scores.

[^5]
## Families below national 'poverty line’

12.6 The estimated rate of 'poverty' (without housing costs) for Scottish MCS3 families was $28 \%$ (Table 12.16). It should be noted that this is higher than the 2006 family poverty rate for Scotland cited in HBAI, which was $22 \%^{8}$. The difference between MCS and HBAI rates is related to differences in data collection of household income, which is done only approximately in MCS.
12.7 The families most likely to be below the 'poverty line' are those with the largest number of children (for whom the equivalence scale recognised more need). Families with only one parent also had very high chances of income poverty, approaching 70\% (Table 12.17). Dual-earner couples were at low risk of poverty and 'workless couples' at high risk (Table 12.19). Poverty was linked to health problems such that cohort children and their parents in families below the 'poverty line' are more likely than those who are not to suffer from longstanding and/or activity-limiting illness (Table 12.21).

## Income poverty over time

12.8 Around 7\% of families moved into poverty, and around 11\% out of poverty, between MCS sweeps 1 and 3 (Figure 12.1). Between sweeps 2 and 3, around $7 \%$ of families moved into poverty, and around $9 \%$ out of poverty (Figure 12.3).

[^6]
## 13 HOUSING, NEIGHBOURHOOD AND RESIDENTIAL MOBILITY

13.1 This section focuses on residential mobility between MCS2 and MCS3 and families' perceptions of their area in terms of whether it was a good area for raising children and how safe they felt the area was. Families with young children had relatively high rates of residential mobility (Plewis et al., forthcoming). The residentially mobile are more likely to be non-respondents, even after controlling for a range of background variables (Plewis et al., forthcoming). Residential mobility presents a major challenge for the fieldwork and analysis of longitudinal studies, especially for birth cohort studies such as the MCS, and poses questions about the representativeness of the study.

## Residential mobility MCS sweeps 2 to 3

13.2 Residential mobility (based on MCS address records) between sweeps 2 and 3 , when the cohort child was between around three years old and around five years old, respectively, was substantially lower than residential mobility between sweeps 1 and 2 ( $24 \%$ versus $38 \%$ ). However, mobility was higher in Scotland (28\%) than in England (23\%), Wales (19\%) and the UK as a whole (24\%) between MCS2 and MCS3 (Table 13.1).

## Correlates of residential mobility

13.3 Homeowners were less likely to move than tenants (Table 13.2). Just over half of those renting privately (52\%) moved, with those in social housing (renting from a local authority or housing association) less likely to move (28\%). Families in houses or bungalows were much less likely to move than those in a flat or maisonette or other type of accommodation, such as a studio flat, room or bedsit (Table 13.4).
13.4 Families where both the main respondent and their partner were employed, or where one or other parent was employed, were much less likely to move than families with no earner or where the main respondent (usually the mother) was a lone parent (either employed or not) (Table 13.6).

## Perceptions of the area

13.5 Few respondents (5\%) reported their current area was a poor or very poor area for raising children (Table 13.8). Parents in Scotland were significantly more likely than those in England to perceive the area they live in as 'excellent' for raising children.
13.6 Families where both the main respondent and their partner were not employed, or where a lone parent was not employed, were less likely to perceive their area as being excellent for raising children, compared to families where someone was employed or a lone parent was employed (Table 13.9).
13.7 Respondents were also asked "how safe do you feel this area is"? (Table 13.11). Respondents in Scotland ( $41 \%$ ) were more likely than those in England (31\%) to say they felt very safe, but less likely than those in Northern Ireland (55\%).

## 14 RELIGIOUS OBSERVANCE

14.1 The most commonly reported religious affiliations were Protestant (34\%), Catholic (18\%) and 'other Christian' (7\%), with 40\% of mothers saying that they had no religion. Just over a third (34\%) of mothers in the highest socioeconomic group said they had no religion, compared to just over half (53\%) of mothers in the lowest group (Table 14.2).
14.2 A majority of respondents (52\%) said they attended religious services rarely or never (Table 14.1). Catholics were about twice as likely as Protestants to attend services at least weekly (34\% vs 17\%) (Figure 14.1). Mothers in the highest socioeconomic category were substantially less likely than other mothers to attend religious services rarely or never (44\% compared to 60\% of mothers in the bottom category) (Table 14.4).

## 15 POTENTIAL FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

15.1 The basic analyses carried out for this report point to a number of ways in which families in Scotland appear to be distinctive from families in the rest of the UK. These are areas that could be investigated further, as listed below:

## Parenting

- Main and partner respondents were asked how often they engaged in a number of activities with their children. Parents in Scotland (as in Northern Ireland) tended to engage in many of these activities more frequently than those in England and Wales:
- Proportion of mothers reading to their child every day higher in Scotland than in Wales
- Proportion of fathers reading to their child every day higher in Scotland than in England, Wales and the UK as a whole
- Proportion of mothers doing musical activities with their child every day higher in Scotland than in England
- Proportion of mothers taking their child to the park or an outdoor playground every day higher in Scotland than in England and the UK as a whole
- Proportion of mothers playing sports or physically active games with their child every day lower than in Wales, Northern Ireland and the UK as a whole
- Proportion of fathers playing sports or physically active games with their child every day lower in Scotland than in Wales
- Fathers in Scotland were more likely than those in England and the UK as a whole to report getting their child ready for / putting their child to bed several times a week.
- Fathers in Scotland were more likely than those in England and the UK as a whole to report looking after their child on their own several times a week.
- The proportion of mothers who were likely to smack their children when naughty some of the time was lower in Scotland than in Northern Ireland, but higher in Scotland than in Wales.
- The proportion of mothers who said they tell their children off daily was higher in Scotland than in England and Wales.


## Childcare

- Children living in Scotland had higher rates of being in any kind of formal care, any kind of non-parental care, and any kind of care overall, than did children living in England and in the UK as a whole
- Children in Scotland were also more likely to be cared for by their grandparent than were children in England and in the UK as a whole.


## School choice

- In Scotland, the percentage of parents who were fully satisfied with the school their child attends was higher among those who had requested a particular school than among those who had not. In the other UK countries, it mattered little
whether or not parents had requested the school for parent satisfaction with the school.


## Teacher ratings of children's achievement

- Cohort children in Scotland have been rated higher on the DATS assessments by their teachers than the cohort members in Wales and Northern Ireland.

Children in Scotland were rated higher than both Wales and Northern Ireland in relation to:

- DATS Total Score
- Personal, Social, and Emotional Development subscale
- Communication, Language, and Literacy subscale
- Linking Sounds and Letters
- Writing
- Mathematical Development subscale
- Numbers as Labels and for Counting
- Calculating
- Knowledge and Understanding of the World
- Physical Development

Children in Scotland were rated higher than Wales (but not Northern Ireland) in relation to:

- Disposition and Attitudes
- Social Development
- Emotional Development
- Language for Communication and Thinking
- Reading
- Shape, Space, and Measures


## Child behaviour and cognitive development

- British Ability Scales (BAS) scores for children in Scotland were higher than those for children in England or Wales on Naming Vocabulary and lower than those for children from Northern Ireland on Pattern Construction.


## Child health

- Children in Scotland were more likely to be reported to be in excellent health compared to children in England or the UK as a whole.
- The relationship between living in poverty and higher child BMI values was more marked in Scotland than in the UK as a whole.


## Parental health

- Mothers in Scotland were more likely than mothers in England to smoke ten or more cigarettes a day.
- The proportion of mothers who said that they never drink was lower in Scotland than in England.
- Fathers in Scotland were less likely to be frequent drinkers than fathers in England or Wales.


## Parents' employment and education

- A lower proportion of mothers were not employed and at home looking after the family in Scotland than in England or the UK as a whole.
- A lower proportion of Scottish mothers had gained additional qualifications since they were last interviewed than mothers in the UK as a whole.


## Housing, neighbourhood and residential mobility

- Scotland (i.e. families resident in Scotland at MCS sweep 1) had higher levels of residential mobility between sweeps 2 and 3 than England, Wales or the UK as a whole
- Respondents in Scotland were more likely than respondents in England to perceive their area as an excellent place to bring up children
- Respondents in Scotland were more likely than respondents in England (but less likely than those in Northern Ireland) to perceive their area as very safe
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## ANNEX - TABLES AND FIGURES

Where cell sizes are under 30 cases, statistics are placed in parentheses to draw attention to the small cell sizes.

Table 2.1: MCS 3 response by UK country and ward type at entry sample

| UK country | Productive $\%(n)$ | Refusal $\%(\mathrm{n})$ | $\qquad$ | Untraced movers $\%(n)$ | Ineligible $\%(n)$ | No contact \%(n) | Total $\%(n)$ | Country total (n) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| England |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nondisadvantaged | $\begin{array}{r} 84.3 \\ (4069) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.7 \\ (466) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.8 \\ (38) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.3 \\ (112) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.5 \\ (72) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.5 \\ (71) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \\ (4828) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 12,225 |
| Disadvantaged | $\begin{array}{r} 78.2 \\ (3759) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.7 \\ (564) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.7 \\ (83) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.1 \\ (52) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.3 \\ (160) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.9 \\ (188) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \\ (4806) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| Ethnic minority | $\begin{array}{r} 72.9 \\ (1889) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.9 \\ (359) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.0 \\ (78) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.1 \\ (28) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.2 \\ (135) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.9 \\ (102) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \\ (2591) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| Wales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nondisadvantaged | $\begin{array}{r} 80.4 \\ (669) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.2 \\ (110) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $0.7$ (6) | $\begin{array}{r} 1.3 \\ (11) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.3 \\ (11) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.0 \\ (25) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \\ (832) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2,760 |
| Disadvantaged | $\begin{array}{r} 78.4 \\ (1512) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.91 \\ & (249) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.2 \\ (24) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.93 \\ & (18) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.8 \\ (53) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.7 \\ (72) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \\ (1928) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| Scotland |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nondisadvantaged | $\begin{array}{r} 80.1 \\ (917) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.1 \\ (138) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.8 \\ (9) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.4 \\ (39) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.6 \\ (30) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.1 \\ (12) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \\ (1145) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2,336 |
| Disadvantaged | $\begin{array}{r} 75.3 \\ (897) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.1 \\ (168) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.9 \\ (22) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.7 \\ (20) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.53 \\ & (42) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.5 \\ (42) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \\ (1191) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| Northern Ireland |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nondisadvantaged | $\begin{array}{r} 82.2 \\ (594) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.9 \\ & (93) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \\ & (7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.7 \\ (12) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.5 \\ (11) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8 \\ & (6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \\ (723) \end{array}$ | 1,923 |
| Disadvantaged | $\begin{array}{r} 78.3 \\ (940) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.0 \\ (168) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.9 \\ (23) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7 \\ & (8) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.8 \\ (33) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.3 \\ (28) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \\ (1200) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| Total (N) | 15246 | 2315 | 290 | 547 | 300 | 546 | 19244 |  |

Table 2.2: Longitudinal perspective of the MCS productive sample

| Response Description | MCS sweep response pattern |  |  | MCS sample | Breakdown by country at MCS1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sweep 1 | Sweep <br> 2 | Sweep 3 |  | England | Wales | Scotland | Northern Ireland |
| Productive at all sweeps | Y | Y | Y | 13234 | 8314 | 2002 | 1596 | 1322 |
| Productive at sweeps 1 and 2 but not 3 | Y | Y | X | 1664 | 1044 | 259 | 218 | 143 |
| Productive at sweeps 1 and 3 but not 2 | Y | X | Y | 1444 | 835 | 179 | 218 | 212 |
| Productive at sweep 1 only | Y | X | X | 2210 | 1340 | 320 | 304 | 246 |
| New families: Productive at sweeps 2 and 3 | X | Y | Y | 568 | 568 | NA | NA | NA |
| New families: Productive at sweep 2 only | X | Y | X | 124 | 124 | NA | NA | NA |
| MCS cohort (MCS 1 productive+ productive new families) | 18552 | 15590 | 15246 | 19244 | 12225 | 2760 | 2336 | 1923 |

Notes: Productive families are families with some data from at least one survey instrument at either sweep.
$\mathrm{Y}=$ productive, $\mathrm{X}=$ un-productive, $\mathrm{NA}=$ not applicable

Table 2.3: Movements of families between UK countries productive at MCS 3

| Country sampled <br> at MCS 1 | Country of MCS 3 interview |  |  | Gross moves |  | Net <br> moves |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | England | Wales | Scotland | Northern <br> Ireland | Moves <br> out | Moves <br> in |  |
| England | 9639 | 35 | 29 | 13 | 77 | 120 | 43 |
| Wales | 69 | 2105 | 3 | 0 | 72 | 38 | -34 |
| Scotland | 40 | 3 | 1768 | 3 | 46 | 36 | -10 |
| Northern Ireland | 11 | 0 | 4 | 1519 | 15 | 16 | 1 |
| All MCS 3 families | 9759 | 2143 | 1804 | 1535 | 210 | 210 | 0 |

Notes: Unweighted sample numbers; country of interview was missing in 5 cases: one was in England and four were in Wales at sweep 1

Table 3.1: Family type by UK country at MCS 1 and MCS 3

|  | Country at MCS 1 |  |  |  |  | Country at MCS 3 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family type | England | Wales | Scotland | Northern Ireland | UK | England | Wales | Scotland | Northern Ireland | UK |
| Both natural parents | $\begin{array}{r} 86.2 \\ {[85.1,} \\ 87.3] \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 81.8 \\ {[79.4,} \\ 84.1] \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 85.3 \\ {[83.1,} \\ 87.3] \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 83.2 \\ {[80.5,} \\ 85.6] \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 85.8 \\ {[84.8,} \\ 86.7] \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76.8 \\ {[75.4,} \\ 78.1] \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76.2 \\ {[73.6,} \\ 78.6] \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 78.7 \\ {[76.3,} \\ 81.0] \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 78.9 \\ {[76.1,} \\ 81.4] \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 77.0 \\ {[75.7,} \\ 78.1] \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| - Married | $\begin{array}{r} 61.6 \\ {[59.6,} \\ 63.5] \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57.1 \\ {[54.0} \\ 60.1] \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60.0 \\ {[56.3,} \\ 63.5] \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 68.3 \\ {[64.1,} \\ 72.3] \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61.4 \\ {[59.7,} \\ 63.0] \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62.5 \\ {[60.7} \\ 64.2] \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60.3 \\ {[56.9} \\ 63.7] \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62.9 \\ {[59.4,} \\ 66.3] \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 68.9 \\ {[65.0,} \\ 72.6] \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62.5 \\ {[61.0} \\ 64.0] \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| - Cohabiting | $\begin{array}{r} 24.3 \\ {[22.9,} \\ 25.8] \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.3 \\ {[22.6,} \\ 26.1] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.8 \\ {[22.4,} \\ 27.3] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.0 \\ {[11.7,} \\ 16.6] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.0 \\ {[22.8,} \\ 25.2] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.0 \\ {[13.1,} \\ 15.0] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.7 \\ {[13.9,} \\ 17.7] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.7 \\ {[13.9} \\ 17.7] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.7 \\ {[7.8,} \\ 12.1] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.2 \\ {[13.4,} \\ 15.0] \end{gathered}$ |
| - Other or unknown relationship | $\begin{array}{r} 0.4 \\ {[0.3,} \\ 0.6] \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} (0.5) \\ {[0.3,} \\ 0.8] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (0.6) \\ {[0.3,} \\ 1.1] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (0.9) \\ {[0.5,} \\ 1.5] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.4 \\ {[0.3,} \\ 0.6] \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.3 \\ {[0.2,} \\ 0.4] \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (0.1) \\ {[0.03,} \\ 0.5] \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (0.2) \\ {[0.05,} \\ 0.5] \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} (0.3) \\ (0.0 \\ 0.7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.3 \\ {[0.2,} \\ 0.4] \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Natural mother and step-father | $\begin{gathered} \hline(0.2) \\ {[0.1} \\ 0.3] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline(0.4) \\ {[0.2,} \\ 0.7] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline(0.2) \\ {[0.1} \\ 0.4] \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2 \\ {[0.1,} \\ 0.3] \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.8 \\ {[3.4,} \\ 4.3] \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.8 \\ {[3.8,} \\ 6.0] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.2 \\ {[2.5,} \\ 4.1] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline(1.8) \\ {[1.3,} \\ 2.6] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.7 \\ {[3.4,} \\ 4.1] \end{array}$ |
| Lone natural mother | $\begin{array}{r} 13.3 \\ {[12.2,} \\ 14.4] \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.6 \\ {[15.5,} \\ 20.0] \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.3 \\ {[12.3,} \\ 16.5] \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.7 \\ {[14.3} \\ 19.4] \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.7 \\ {[12.9} \\ 14.7] \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.2 \\ {[16.1,} \\ 18.4] \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.0 \\ {[15.0} \\ 19.2] \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.6 \\ {[14.6} \\ 18.8] \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.1 \\ {[15.8,} \\ 20.7] \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.2 \\ {[16.3,} \\ 18.3] \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Other family type | $\begin{array}{r} 0.3 \\ {[0.2} \\ 0.4] \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (0.1) \\ {[0.06} \\ 0.3] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} (0.2) \\ {[0.1,} \\ 0.5] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (0.1) \\ {[0.03} \\ 0.5] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} (0.3) \\ {[0.2,} \\ 0.4] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (2.2) \\ {[1.9,} \\ 2.5] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (2.1) \\ {[1.6,} \\ 2.7] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (1.5) \\ {[1.1,} \\ 2.1] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (1.1) \\ {[0.8,} \\ 1.7] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (2.1) \\ {[1.8,} \\ 2.4] \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Observations | 11532 | 2760 | 2337 | 1923 | 18552 | 9759 | 2143 | 1804 | 1535 | 15241 |
| Sign. (excluding marital status) | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ |  |  |  |  |
| Sign. (including marital status) | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |

Sample: All families. 5 observations are excluded from MCS 3 sub-table due to missing data on country. Table displays unweighted observations, weighted percentages and 95\% confidence intervals (country totals using weight1 and UK totals using weight2).

Table 3.2: Family type by main respondent's age in Scotland

| Family type | 18-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40 plus | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Both natural parents | $\begin{array}{r} 39.8 \\ {[31.5,48.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61.6 \\ {[55.0,67.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 78.2 \\ {[74.1,81.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 88.5 \\ {[85.7,90.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 87.8 \\ {[84.2,90.1]} \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} 78.7 \\ {[76.2,81.0]} \end{array}\right\|$ |
| - Married | $\begin{array}{r} 12.2 \\ {[7.4,19.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35.2 \\ {[29.3,41.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62.3 \\ {[56.4,67.9} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76.5 \\ {[72.3,80.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 77.0 \\ {[71.9,81.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62.9 \\ {[59.4,66.3]} \end{array}$ |
| - Cohabiting | $\begin{array}{r} 27.5 \\ {[20.5,35.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26.3 \\ {[22.3,30.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.5 \\ {[11.9,20.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.9 \\ {[9.7,14.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.6 \\ {[7.5,14.7]} \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} 15.7 \\ {[13.9,17.7]} \end{array}\right\|$ |
| - Other or unknown relationship |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} (0.3) \\ {[0.04,2.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (0.1) \\ {[0.02,0.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (0.2) \\ {[0.03,1.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (0.2) \\ {[0.05,0.5]} \end{array}$ |
| Natural mother and step-father | $\begin{array}{r} (13.1) \\ {[8.5,19.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (6.8) \\ {[4.0,11.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (3.4) \\ {[2.1,5.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (1.5) \\ {[0.8,2.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (0.2) \\ {[0.03,1.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (3.2) \\ {[2.5,4.1]} \end{array}$ |
| Lone natural mother | $\begin{array}{r} 43.0 \\ {[34.1,52.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28.2 \\ {[23.6,33.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.4 \\ {[14.5,20.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.3 \\ {[7.1,12.0)} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.0 \\ {[8.2,14.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.6 \\ ([4.6,18.8] \end{array}$ |
| Other family type | $\begin{array}{r} (4.1) \\ {[1.7,9.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (3.5) \\ {[1.9,6.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline(1.0) \\ {[0.4,2.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline(0.8) \\ {[0.3,1.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (1.0) \\ {[0.4,2.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (1.5) \\ {[1.1,2.1]} \end{array}$ |
| Total Observations | 129 | 289 | 431 | 585 | 370 | 1804 |
| Sign. (excluding marital status) | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sign. (including marital status) | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: All families. Table displays unweighted observations, weighted percentages and 95\% confidence intervals (using weight1).

Table 3.3: Family type by main respondent's age UK sample

| Family type | $\mathbf{1 8 - 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 - 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 - 3 9}$ | 40 plus | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Both natural <br> parents | 35.4 | 59.4 | 78.6 | 86.1 | 85.3 | 77.0 |  |
| - Married | 11.7 | 36.2 | 64.1 | 75.7 | 73.3 | 62.5 |  |
| - Cohabiting | 23.3 | 22.8 | 14.2 | 10.2 | 11.8 | 14.2 |  |
| - Other or unknown <br> relationship | $(0.4)$ | $(0.4)$ | $(0.3)$ | $(0.2)$ | $(0.2)$ | 0.3 |  |
| Natural mother and <br> step-father | 14.0 | 8.4 | 3.2 | 1.6 | $(1.2)$ | 3.7 |  |
| Lone natural mother | 47.6 | 28.9 | 16.6 | 11.2 | 10.3 | 17.3 |  |
| Other family type | 3.0 | 3.3 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 3.2 | 2.1 |  |
| Total Observations | 1082 | 2646 | 4138 | 4615 | 2765 | 15246 |  |
| Sign. (excluding <br> marital status) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sign. (including <br> marital status) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: All families. Table displays unweighted observations and weighted percentages (using weight2).

Table 3.4: Any change in family type between MCS1 and MCS 3 by UK country

| Family type | England | Wales | Scotland | Northern Ireland | UK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Both natural parents at MCS1 and MCS3 | $\begin{array}{r} 77.5 \\ {[76.0,78.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 74.6 \\ {[71.5,77.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 77.0 \\ {[74.1,79.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75.6 \\ {[72.5,78.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 77.2 \\ {[75.9,77.4]} \end{array}$ |
| Lone natural mother at MCS1 and MCS3 | $\begin{array}{r} 7.9 \\ {[7.0,8.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.4 \\ {[8.1,10.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.0 \\ {[6.5,9.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.9 \\ {[9.0,13.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.1 \\ {[7.4,8.8]} \end{array}$ |
| Different family type | $\begin{array}{r} 14.7 \\ {[13.7,15.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.0 \\ {[13.9,18.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.0 \\ {[13.4,16.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.5 \\ {[11.6,15.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.7 \\ {[13.9,15.6]} \end{array}$ |
| Total Observations | 8996 | 2091 | 1770 | 1513 | 14370 |
| Sign. | $\mathrm{P}=0.037$ |  |  |  |  |

Sample: All families responding at both MCS 1 and MCS 3 where family type at MCS 1 was either both natural parents or lone natural mother. 5 observations are excluded due to missing data on country. Table displays unweighted observations, weighted percentages and $95 \%$ confidence intervals (country totals using weight1 and UK total using weight2).

Table 3.5: Type of change in family type between MCS1 and MCS3 by UK country

| Family type at MCS 1 | Family type at MCS 3 | England | Wales | Scotland | Northern Ireland | UK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Both natural parents | Both natural parents | $\begin{array}{r} 87.4 \\ {[86.3,88.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 88.0 \\ {[85.9,89.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 88.4 \\ {[86.6,90.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 90.0 \\ {[88.2,91.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 87.6 \\ {[86.7,88.5]} \end{array}$ |
|  | Lone natural mother | $\begin{array}{r} 10.2 \\ {[9.4,11.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.2 \\ {[7.5,11.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.1 \\ {[8.8,11.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.9 \\ {[7.5,10.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.2 \\ {[9.4,11.0]} \end{array}$ |
|  | Lone natural mother and step-father | $\begin{array}{r} (2.4) \\ {[2.0,2.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (2.8) \\ {[2.0,3.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (1.5) \\ {[0.9,2.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (1.1) \\ {[0.7,1.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.2 \\ {[1.9,2.6]} \end{array}$ |
| Total observations |  | 7746 | 1696 | 1514 | 1229 | 12185 |
| Sign. |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.026$ |  |  |  |  |
| Lone natural mother | Lone natural mother | $\begin{array}{r} 69.1 \\ {[66.0,72.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61.0 \\ {[55.6,66.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61.9 \\ {[55.1,67.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 68.3 \\ 62.3,73.9] \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 67.9 \\ {[65.3,70.4]} \end{array}$ |
|  | Both natural parents | $\begin{array}{r} 18.6 \\ {[16.1,21.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.2 \\ {[18.0,27.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.7 \\ {[19.6,28.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26.4 \\ {[31.3,32.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.7 \\ {[17.6,22.0]} \end{array}$ |
|  | - Married | $\begin{array}{r} 6.6 \\ {[5.3,8.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (6.1) \\ {[3.7,10.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (5.2) \\ {[3.0,9.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.4 \\ {[8.3,15.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.6 \\ {[5.5,7.9]} \end{array}$ |
|  | - Cohabiting | $\begin{array}{r} 11.7 \\ {[9.9,13.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.5 \\ {[12.3,19.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.4 \\ {[14.8,22.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (15.0 \\ {[10.9,20.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (12.9 \\ {[11.3,14.7]} \end{array}$ |
|  | - Other or unknown relationship | $\begin{array}{r} (0.2) \\ {[0.08,0.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (0.6) \\ {[0.08,3.7]} \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} (0.2) \\ {[0.1,0.6]} \end{array}$ |
|  | Lone natural mother and step-father | $\begin{array}{r} 12.3 \\ {[10.3,14.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.1 \\ {[12.3,20.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.4 \\ {[10.6,19.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (5.2) \\ {[3.3,8.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.4 \\ {[10.7,14.3]} \end{array}$ |
| Total observations |  | 1250 | 395 | 256 | 284 | 2185 |
| Sign. (excluding marital status) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |
| Sign. (including marital status) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ |  |  |  |  |

Sample: All families responding at both MCS 1 and MCS 3 where family type at MCS 1 was either both natural parents or lone natural mother. 5 observations are excluded due to missing data on country. Table displays unweighted observations, weighted percentages and $95 \%$ confidence intervals (country totals using weight1 and UK total using weight2).

Table 3.6: Type of change in family type between MCS 1 and MCS 3 by marital status of natural parents at MCS1 Scotland

| Family type at MCS 1 | Family type at MCS 3 | Married at MCS 1 | Cohabiting at MCS 1 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Both natural parents | Both natural parents | $\begin{array}{r} 93.9 \\ {[92.4,95.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 73.9 \\ {[69.7,77.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 88.6 \\ {[86.7,90.4]} \end{array}$ |
|  | Lone natural mother | $\begin{array}{r} 5.0 \\ {[4.1,6.8)} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.6 \\ {[19.3,26.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.9 \\ {[8.4,11.6]} \end{array}$ |
|  | Lone natural mother and step-father | $\begin{array}{r} \hline(0.8) \\ {[0.4,1.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline(3.5) \\ {[2.1,5.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (1.5) \\ {[0.9,2.4]} \end{array}$ |
| Total observations |  | 1081 | 425 | 1506 |
| Sign. |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |

Sample: All families responding at both MCS 1 and MCS 3 where family type at MCS 1 was both natural parents and their marital status was not other or unknown. Table displays unweighted observations, weighted percentages and 95\% confidence intervals (using weight1).

Table 3.7: Type of change in family type between MCS 1 and MCS 3 by marital status of natural parents at MCS1 in UK

| Family type at MCS 1 | Family type at MCS 3 | Married at <br> MCS 1 | Cohabiting at <br> MCS 1 | Total |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Both natural parents | Both natural parents | 92.0 | 76.1 | 87.8 |
|  | Lone natural mother | 6.7 | 19.4 | 10.0 |
|  | Lone natural mother and <br> step-father | 1.4 | 4.5 | 2.2 |
| Total observations |  | 8865 | 3252 | 12117 |
| Sign. |  |  |  |  |

Sample: All families responding at both MCS 1 and MCS 3 where family type at MCS 1 was both natural parents and their marital status was not other or unknown. Table displays unweighted observations and weighted percentages (using weight2).

Table 3.8: Any change in family type between MCS 1 and MCS 3 by main respondent's age at MCS 3 Scotland

| Family type | 18-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40 plus | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Both natural parents at MCS 1 and MCS 3 | $\begin{array}{r} 30.7 \\ {[22.9,39.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 56.0 \\ {[50.2,61.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76.7 \\ {[72.3,80.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 88.1 \\ {[85.1,90.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 87.1 \\ {[83.5,90.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 77.0 \\ {[74.1,79.6]} \end{array}$ |
| Lone natural mother at MCS1 and MCS3 | $\begin{array}{r} 29.9 \\ {[22.4,38.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.9 \\ {[10.6,18.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.9 \\ {[5.7,11.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (4.1) \\ {[2.6,6.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (4.0) \\ {[2.6,6.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.9 \\ {[6.5,9.8]} \end{array}$ |
| Change in family type | $\begin{array}{r} 39.4 \\ {[31.5,47.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30.1 \\ {[24.8,35.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.4 \\ {[12.4,18.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.8 \\ {[6.0,10.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.9 \\ {[6.6,12.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.0 \\ {[13.4,16.7]} \end{array}$ |
| Total observations | 121 | 278 | 426 | 579 | 366 | 1770 |
| Sign. | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: All families responding at both MCS 1 and MCS 3 where family type at MCS 1 was either both natural parents or lone natural mother. Table displays unweighted observations, weighted percentages and $95 \%$ confidence intervals (using weight1).

Table 3.9: Any change in family type between MCS 1 and MCS 3 by main respondent's age at MCS 3 in UK

| Family type | $\mathbf{1 8 - 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 - 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 - 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 0}$ plus | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Both natural parents at <br> MCS 1 and MCS 3 | 29.7 | 57.4 | 78.4 | 86.4 | 87.2 | 77.2 |
| Lone natural mother at <br> MCS1 and MCS3 | 31.7 | 14.9 | 7.1 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 8.1 |
| Change in family type | 38.6 | 27.7 | 14.6 | 9.4 | 8.2 | 14.8 |
| Total observations | 958 | 2452 | 3940 | 4440 | 2585 | 14375 |
| Sign. |  |  | P=0.000 |  |  |  |

Sample: All families responding at both MCS 1 and MCS 3 where family type at MCS 1 was either both natural parents or lone natural mother. Table displays unweighted observations and weighted percentages (using weight2).

Table 3.10: Type of change in family type between MCS 1 and MCS 3 by main respondent's age at MCS 3 Scotland

| Family type at MCS 1 | Family type at MCS 3 | 18-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40 plus | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Both natural parents | Both natural parents | $\begin{array}{\|r} 60.9 \\ {[47.0,73.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75.2 \\ {[68.9,80.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 87.5 \\ {[84.1,90.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 93.6 \\ {[91.5,95.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 92.4 \\ {[89.4,94.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 88.4 \\ {[86.6,90.0]} \end{array}$ |
|  | Lone natural mother | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline(30.5) \\ {[19.0,45.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.7 \\ {[15.6,27.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.0 \\ {[8.5,14.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.6 \\ {[4.1,7.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (7.6) \\ {[5.4,10.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.1 \\ {[8.8,11.7]} \end{array}$ |
|  | Lone natural mother and stepfather | $\begin{array}{r} (8.6) \\ {[3.8,18.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (4.0) \\ {[1.7,9.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline(1.5) \\ {[0.7,3.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (0.8) \\ {[0.4,2.0]} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} (1.5) \\ {[0.9,2.4]} \end{array}$ |
| Total observations |  | 60 | 201 | 369 | 540 | 344 | 1514 |
| Sign. |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |
| Lone natural mother | Lone natural mother | $\begin{array}{r} 60.4 \\ {[48.2,71.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 54.4 \\ {[43.7,64.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 64.6 \\ {[52.8,74.8]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (69.7) \\ {[53.4,82.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (69.3) \\ {[46.1,85.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61.9 \\ {[56.1,67.3]} \end{array}$ |
|  | Both natural parents | $\begin{array}{\|r} (21.4) \\ {[13.0,33.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r} \hline(30.9) \\ {[22.4,41.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (18.2) \\ {[10.2,30.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (19.0) \\ {[9.6,34.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (27.3) \\ {[12.8,49.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.7 \\ {[19.6,28.3]} \end{array}$ |
|  | - Married | $\begin{array}{r} \hline(2.8) \\ {[0.8,9.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (10.5) \\ {[4.8,21.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (1.5) \\ {[0.2,9.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (4.2) \\ {[1.0,15.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (5.7) \\ {[0.8,30.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (5.2) \\ {[3.0,9.0]} \end{array}$ |
|  | - Cohabiting | $\begin{array}{\|r} (18.6) \\ {[10.5,30.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r} \hline(20.4) \\ {[13.8,29.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (16.8) \\ {[8.8,29.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (14.8) \\ {[6.7,29.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (21.6) \\ {[9.2,42.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.4 \\ {[14.8,22.8]} \end{array}$ |
|  | - Other or unknown relationship |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lone natural mother and stepfather | $\begin{array}{r} (18.1) \\ {[9.8,31.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (14.7) \\ {[8.5,24.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (17.2) \\ {[8.9,30.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (11.3) \\ {[4.1,27.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (3.4) \\ {[0.5,18.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.5 \\ {[10.6,19.5]} \end{array}$ |
| Total observations |  | 61 | 77 | 57 | 39 | 22 | 256 |
| Sign. (excluding marital status) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.485$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sign. (including marital status) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.487$ |  |  |  |  |  |

[^7]Table 3.11: Type of change in family type between MCS 1 and MCS 3 by main respondent's age at MCS 3 in UK

| Family type at MCS 1 | Family type at MCS 3 | 18-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ \text { plus } \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Both natural parents | Both natural parents | 57.6 | 75.3 | 87.1 | 91.7 | 92.9 | 87.6 |
|  | Lone natural mother | 32.5 | 19.3 | 10.5 | 7.2 | 6.2 | 10.2 |
|  | Lone natural mother and step-father | 9.9 | 5.4 | 2.4 | 1.1 | (0.9) | 2.2 |
| Total observations |  | 460 | 1790 | 3465 | 4101 | 2374 | 12190 |
| Sign. |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lone natural mother | Lone natural mother | 65.5 | 62.6 | 70.4 | 73.2 | 73.9 | 67.9 |
|  | Both natural parents | 16.3 | 22.8 | 20.8 | 17.5 | 19.7 | 19.7 |
|  | - Married | (3.8) | (7.0) | 7.0 | 8.2 | (8.2) | 6.6 |
|  | - Cohabiting | 12.0 | 15.8 | 13.6 | 9.1 | (11.5) | 12.9 |
|  | - Other or unknown relationship | (0.6) |  | (0.3) | (0.2) |  | (0.2) |
|  | Lone natural mother and step-father | 18.1 | 14.6 | 8.7 | (9.4) | (6.4) | 12.4 |
| Total observations |  | 498 | 662 | 475 | 339 | 211 | 2185 |
| Sign. (excluding marital status) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sign. (including marital status) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: All families responding at both MCS 1 and MCS 3 where family type at MCS 1 was either both natural parents or lone natural mother. Table displays unweighted observations and weighted percentages (using weight2).

Table 3.12: Type of change in family type between MCS 1 and MCS 3 by marital status of natural parents at MCS 1 and main respondent's age at MCS 3 in Scotland

| Family type at MCS 1 | Family type at MCS 3 | 18-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40 plus | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Both natural parents - married | Both natural parents | $\begin{array}{r} (84.4) \\ {[38.4,97.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 83.7 \\ {[74.3,90.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 93.3 \\ {[90.1,95.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 95.4 \\ {[93.1,96.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 95.1 \\ {[92.1,97.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 93.9 \\ {[92.4,95.2]} \end{array}$ |
|  | Lone natural mother |  | $\begin{array}{r} (11.8) \\ {[6.4,20.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (6.2) \\ {[4.1,9.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (4.0) \\ {[2.6,6.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (4.9) \\ {[3.0,7.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.3 \\ {[4.1,6.8]} \end{array}$ |
|  | Lone natural mother and stepfather | $\begin{array}{r} (15.6) \\ {[2.1,36.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (4.5) \\ {[1.7,11.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (0.5) \\ {[0.1,3.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (0.6) \\ {[0.2,1.8]} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} (0.8) \\ {[0.4,1.5]} \end{array}$ |
| Total observations |  | 8 | 77 | 264 | 440 | 292 | 1081 |
| Sign. |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both natural parents cohabiting | Both natural parents | $\begin{array}{r} (56.9) \\ {[42.2,70.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r} (71.0) \\ {[62.4,78.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 71.7 \\ {[64.0,} \\ 78.3] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 86.1 \\ {[78.5,} \\ 91.4] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 77.5 \\ {[63.5,87.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 73.9 \\ {[69.7,77.7]} \end{array}$ |
|  | - Married | $\begin{array}{r} (10.1) \\ {[4.2,22.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (21.1) \\ {[13.6,31.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (16.4) \\ {[9.9,25.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (18.8) \\ {[12.6,27.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (8.2) \\ {[3.0,20.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.5 \\ {[12.9,21.0]} \end{array}$ |
|  | - Cohabiting | $\begin{array}{r} (46.8) \\ {[33.6,60.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50.0 \\ {[41.8,58.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.3 \\ {[45.5,64.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 67.4 \\ {[58.9,74.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 69.3 \\ {[53.9,81.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57.4 \\ {[52.7,62.0]} \end{array}$ |
|  | - Other or unknown relationship |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lone natural mother | $\begin{array}{r} (35.7) \\ {[22.8,51.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25.2 \\ {[18.0,34.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (24.0) \\ {[17.7,31.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (11.8) \\ {[7.0,19.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline(22.5) \\ {[12.8,36.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.6 \\ {[19.3,26.3]} \end{array}$ |
|  | Lone natural mother and stepfather | $\begin{array}{r} (7.5) \\ {[2.9,18.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (3.8) \\ {[1.5,9.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (4.3) \\ {[1.8,10.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline(2.1) \\ {[0.5,7.8]} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} (3.5) \\ {[2.1,5.6]} \end{array}$ |
| Total observations |  | 52 | 122 | 104 | 97 | 50 | 425 |
| Sign. (excluding marital status) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.020$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sign. (including marital status) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.027$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: All families responding at both MCS 1 and MCS 3 where family type at MCS 1 was both natural parents and their marital status was not other or unknown. Table displays unweighted observations, weighted percentages and $95 \%$ confidence intervals (using weight1).

Table 3.13: Type of change in family type between MCS 1 and MCS 3 by marital status of natural parents at MCS 1 and main respondent's age at MCS 3 in UK

| Family type at MCS 1 | Family type at MCS 3 | 18-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ \text { plus } \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Both natural parents married | Both natural parents | 71.3 | 84.2 | 90.2 | 93.5 | 94.7 | 92.0 |
|  | Lone natural mother | (21.5) | 12.4 | 8.2 | 5.5 | 4.5 | 6.7 |
|  | Lone natural mother and step-father | (7.2) | (3.4) | 1.6 | (1.0) | (0.8) | 1.4 |
| Total observations |  | 92 | 889 | 2547 | 3402 | 1935 | 8865 |
| Sign. |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both natural parents cohabiting | Both natural parents | 55.8 | 68.2 | 79.3 | 82.8 | 86.1 | 76.1 |
|  | - Married | 10.5 | 19.1 | 26.4 | 23.6 | 21.9 | 21.8 |
|  | - Cohabiting | 45.0 | 48.5 | 52.4 | 59.2 | 63.8 | 54.0 |
|  | - Other or unknown relationship | (0.3) | (0.6) | (0.5) | (0.0) | (0.4) | (0.4) |
|  | Lone natural mother | (34.1 | 24.8 | 16.2 | 15.7 | 12.7 | 19.4 |
|  | Lone natural mother and step-father | 10.1 | 7.0 | (4.5) | (1.5) | (1.3) | 4.5 |
| Total observations |  | 346 | 884 | 906 | 688 | 428 | 3252 |
| Sign. (excluding marital status) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sign. (including marital status) |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: All families responding at both MCS 1 and MCS 3 where family type at MCS 1 was both natural parents and their marital status was not 'other' or unknown. Table displays unweighted observations and weighted percentages (using weight2).

Table 3.14: Number of siblings by UK country at MCS 1 and MCS 3

|  | Country at MCS 1 |  |  |  |  | Country at MCS 3 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of siblings | England | Wales | Scotland | Northern Ireland | UK | England | Wales | Scotland | Northern Ireland | UK |
| None | $\begin{array}{r} 42.7 \\ {[41.4,44.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42.5 \\ {[40.1,45.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45.3 \\ {[43.2,47.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39.1 \\ {[36.3,41.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42.8 \\ {[41.7,44.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} 16.5 \\ {[15.6,17.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.8 \\ {[15.2,20.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.5 \\ {[16.9,20.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} 14.8 \\ {[12.9,16.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.7 \\ {[15.9,17.5]} \end{array}$ |
| One | $\begin{array}{r} 36.2 \\ {[35.0,37.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36.8 \\ {[34.2,39.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35.7 \\ {[33.9,37.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32.6 \\ {[30.3,34.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36.0 \\ {[35.0,37.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 49.4 \\ {[48.2,50.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 49.5 \\ {[46.6,52.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50.2 \\ {[47.7,52.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 41.0 \\ {[37.7,44.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 49.2 \\ {[48.1,50.3]} \end{array}$ |
| Two | $\begin{array}{r} 14.5 \\ {[13.7,15.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.3 \\ {[13.0,15.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.1 \\ {[12.6,15.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.0 \\ {[16.3,19.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.6 \\ {[13.9,15.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.1 \\ {[22.2,24.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.5 \\ {[20.6,24.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.5 \\ {[20.6,24.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27.1 \\ {[24.8,29.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.1 \\ {[22.3,24.0]} \end{array}$ |
| Three or more | $\begin{array}{r} 6.6 \\ {[6.0,7.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.5 \\ {[5.5,7.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.9 \\ {[4.0,6.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.3 \\ {[9.0,11.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.6 \\ {[6.1,7.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.0 \\ {[10.2,11.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.2 \\ {[8.6,11.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.8 \\ {[7.2,10.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.1 \\ {[14.9,19.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.0 \\ {[10.3,11.7]} \end{array}$ |
| Total observations | 11532 | 2760 | 2337 | 1923 | 18552 | 9759 | 2143 | 1804 | 1535 | 15241 |
| Sign. | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |

Sample: All families. 5 observations are excluded from MCS 3 sub-table due to missing data on country. Table displays unweighted observations, weighted percentages and $95 \%$ confidence intervals (country totals using weight1 and UK totals using weight2).

Table 3.15: Number of siblings by main respondent's age in Scotland

| Number of siblings | 18-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40 plus | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None | $\begin{array}{r} 42.8 \\ {[35.9,50.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26.4 \\ {[21.3,32.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.2 \\ {[13.0,20.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.4 \\ {[9.9,15.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.0 \\ {[14.4,21.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.5 \\ {[16.9,20.2]} \end{array}$ |
| One | $\begin{array}{r} 40.9 \\ {[34.3,47.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44.6 \\ {[38.2,51.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 54.1 \\ {[49.3,58.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.4 \\ (51.4-59.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44.7 \\ {[38.1,51.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50.2 \\ {[47.7,52.8]} \end{array}$ |
| Two | $\begin{array}{r} 12.9 \\ {[8.5,19.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.3 \\ {[18,2,27.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.8 \\ {[17.2-24.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.1 \\ {[21.0-27.5)} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.8 \\ {[20.0,30.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.5 \\ {[20.6,24.5]} \end{array}$ |
| Three or more | $\begin{array}{r} (3.4) \\ {[1.3,8.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline(6.7) \\ {[3.9,11.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.9 \\ {[6.4-12.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.1 \\ {[6.0-10.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.8 \\ {[10.0,16.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.8 \\ {[7.2,10.7]} \end{array}$ |
| Total observations | 129 | 289 | 431 | 585 | 370 | 1804 |
| Sign. |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |

Sample: All families. Table displays unweighted observations, weighted percentages and 95\% confidence intervals (using weight1).

Table 3.16: Number of siblings by main respondent's age in UK

| Number of siblings | $\mathbf{1 8 - 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 - 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 - 3 9}$ | 40 plus | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| None | 37.5 | 21.8 | 15.1 | 11.9 | 16.7 | 16.7 |
| One | 42.2 | 47.6 | 51.9 | 52.0 | 44.3 | 49.2 |
| Two | 17.0 | 21.0 | 22.4 | 24.6 | 25.2 | 23.1 |
| Three or more | 3.3 | 9.6 | 10.6 | 11.6 | 13.9 | 11.0 |
| Total observations | 1082 | 2646 | 4138 | 4615 | 2765 | 15246 |
| Sign. |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |

Sample: All families. Table displays unweighted observations and weighted percentages (using weight2).

Table 3.17: Number of siblings by family type in Scotland

| Number of siblings | Married natural parents | Cohabiting natural parents | Natural parents (other/un known) | Natural mother and step-father | Lone natural mother | Other family type | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None | $\begin{array}{r} 12.0 \\ {[10.4,13.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.0 \\ {[12.9,22.1]} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} (25.8) \\ {[16.6,37.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 41.2 \\ {[36.1,46.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (40.0) \\ {[25.2,56.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.5 \\ {[16.9,20.2]} \end{array}$ |
| One | $\begin{array}{r} 54.7 \\ {[51.8,57.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 49.9 \\ {[44.3,55.6]} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} (37.1) \\ {[26.0,49.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37.5 \\ {[32.1,43.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (36.7) \\ {[21.9,54.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50.3 \\ {[47.7,52.8]} \end{array}$ |
| Two | $\begin{array}{r} 25.0 \\ {[22.3,27.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.8 \\ {[19.5,28.8]} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} (25.8) \\ {[16.4,38.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.3 \\ {[8.3,15.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (17.7) \\ {[8.1,34.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.5 \\ {[20.6,24.5]} \end{array}$ |
| Three or more | $\begin{array}{r} 8.3 \\ {[6.6,10.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (9.2) \\ {[6.6,12.8]} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} (11.3) \\ {[4.9,24.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.0 \\ {[7.2,13.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (5.6) \\ {[1.5,19.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.8 \\ {[7.2,10.7]} \end{array}$ |
| Total observations | 1085 | 300 | 3 | 63 | 324 | 39 | 1804 |
| Sign. | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3.18: Number of siblings by family type in UK

| Number of <br> siblings | Married <br> natural <br> parents | Cohabiting <br> natural <br> parents | Natural parents <br> (other/unknown) | Natural <br> mother and <br> step-father | Lone <br> natural <br> mother | Other <br> family <br> type | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| None | 10.6 | 18.6 | $(6.5)$ | 23.2 | 33.2 | 39.7 | 16.7 |
| One | 53.7 | 49.5 | $(45.4)$ | 37.0 | 37.0 | 32.7 | 49.2 |
| Two | 25.1 | 21.4 | $(14.6)$ | 24.6 | 18.1 | 15.4 | 23.1 |
| Three or <br> more | 10.6 | 10.5 | $(33.4)$ | 15.2 | 11.6 | 12.2 | 11.0 |
| Total <br> observations | 9209 | 2189 | 46 | 561 | 2938 | 303 | 15246 |
| Sign. |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: All families. Table displays unweighted observations and weighted percentages (using weight2).

Table 3.19: Type of siblings by UK country and MCS sweep

|  | Country at MCS 1 |  |  |  | Country at MCS 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of siblings | England | Wales | Scotland | Northern Ireland | UK | England | Wales | Scotland | Northern Ireland | UK |
| Any natural sibling | $\begin{array}{r} 49.9 \\ {[48.5,51.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 49.1 \\ {[46.4,51.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 47.7 \\ {[45.5,49.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (56.8) \\ {[53.7,59.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 49.8 \\ {[48.7,51.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76.4 \\ {[75.2,77.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 74.5 \\ {[(71.9,77.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75.3 \\ {[73.2,77.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 81.7 \\ {[79.4,83.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76.4 \\ {[75.4,77.5]} \end{array}$ |
| Older natural sibling | $\begin{array}{r} 49.8 \\ {[48.5,51.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 49.0 \\ {[46.4,51.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 47.6 \\ (45.4,49.8] \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (56.8) \\ {[53.7,59.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 49.8 \\ {[48.7,50.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 48.9 \\ {[47.6,50.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 48.5 \\ {[46.1,50.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 47.5 \\ {[44.9,50.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 56.1 \\ {[52.8,59.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 49.0 \\ {[47.8,50.1]} \end{array}$ |
| Younger natural sibling | $\begin{array}{r} (0.06) \\ {[0.03,0.1]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (0.02 \\ {[0.004,0.1]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (0.2) \\ {[0.05,0.5]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} (0.1) \\ {[0.04,0.1]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38.9 \\ {[37.4,40.4]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35.8 \\ {[33.9,37.9]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38.1 \\ {[36.0,40.3]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42.8 \\ {[40.0,45.7]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38.8 \\ {[37.6,40.1]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Any half sibling | $\begin{array}{r} 9.4 \\ {[8.7,10.3]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.2 \\ {[9.6,13.1]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.4 \\ {[7.1,9.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.6 \\ {[4.5,7.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.3 \\ {[8.6,10.0]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.2 \\ {[11.3,13.2]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.2 \\ {[11.5,15.2]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.4 \\ {[8.8,12.3]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.0 \\ {[4.8,7.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.9 \\ {[11.1,12.8)} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Older half sibling | $\begin{array}{r} 9.4 \\ {[8.7,10.3]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.2 \\ {[9.5,13.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.4 \\ {[7.1,9.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.6 \\ {[4.5,7.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.3 \\ {[8.6,10.0]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.2 \\ {[9.4,11.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.3 \\ {[9.6,13.2]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.1 \\ {[7.7,10.9]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.7 \\ {[3.7,6.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 10.0 \\ {[9.3,10.7)} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Younger half sibling |  | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1 \\ {[0.01,0.4]} \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} (0.003) \\ {[0.00,0.02]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.5 \\ {[2.2,3.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.4 \\ {[1.8-3.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.5 \\ {[1.0,2.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.5 \\ {[1.0,2.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.4 \\ {[2.0,2.7]} \end{array}$ |
| Any step sibling | $\begin{array}{r} 0.8 \\ {[0.6,1.1]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (0.7) \\ {[0.5,1.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.9 \\ {[0.6,1.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.3 \\ {[0.2,0.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.8 \\ {[0.6,1.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.1 \\ {[0.9,1.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.2 \\ {[0.8-1.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (1.7) \\ {[1.1,2.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (0.8) \\ {[0.5,1.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.1 \\ {[0.9,1.3]} \end{array}$ |
| Older step sibling | $\begin{array}{r} 0.8 \\ (0.6,1.1] \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.7 \\ {[0.5,1.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.9 \\ {[0.6,1.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline(0.3) \\ {[0.2,1.7]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.8 \\ {[0.6,1.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.0 \\ {[0.8,1.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.1 \\ {[0.7-1.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (1.4) \\ {[0.9,2.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline(0.6) \\ {[0.4,1.1]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.0 \\ {[0.8,1.2]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Younger step sibling |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline(0.2) \\ (0.1,0.3] \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (0.2 \\ {[0.1-0.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline(0.3) \\ {[0.1,0.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline(0.2) \\ {[0.1,0.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2 \\ {[0.1,0.3]} \end{array}$ |
| Any foster or adoptive sibling | $\begin{array}{r} \hline(0.05) \\ {[0.02,0.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (0.1) \\ {[0.02,0.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (0.1) \\ {[0.03,0.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline(0.1) \\ {[0.03,0.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (0.06) \\ {[0.03,0.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (0.2) \\ {[0.1,0.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (0.1) \\ {[0.03-0.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (0.1) \\ {[0.03,0.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline(0.3) \\ {[0.1,0.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2 \\ {[0.1,0.3]} \end{array}$ |
| Total observations | 11532 | 2760 | 2337 | 1923 | 18552 | 9759 | 2143 | 1804 | 1535 | 15241 |

Sample: All families. 5 observations are excluded from MCS 3 sub-table due to missing data on country. Table displays unweighted observations, weighted percentages and $95 \%$ confidence intervals (country totals using weight1 and UK totals using weight2). Significant relationships by country at MCS1 p<0.05 for any natural siblings; older natural siblings; any half siblings; older half siblings. Insignificant relationships by country at MCS1 p> 0.05 for younger natural siblings; young half siblings; any step sibling, older step sibling, any foster or adoptive sibling. Significant relationships by country at MCS3 p<0.05 for any natural siblings; older natural siblings; younger natural siblings; any half siblings; older half siblings; younger half siblings. Insignificant relationships by country at MCS3 p>0.05 for any step sibling, older step sibling, younger step sibling, any foster or adoptive sibling.

Table 3.20: Type of siblings by main respondent's age in Scotland

| Type of siblings | 18-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40 plus | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Any natural sibling | $\begin{array}{r} 51.2 \\ {[44.0,58.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline 67.5 \\ {[61.4,73.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r\|} \hline 75.5 \\ {[71.7,79.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 82.1 \\ {[79.1,84.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 77.3 \\ {[73.2,81.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75.3 \\ {[73.2,77.3]} \end{array}$ |
| Older natural sibling | $\begin{array}{r} (7.1) \\ {[3.8,12.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37.8 \\ {[33.1,42.7]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40.5 \\ {[36.5,44.7]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 53.1 \\ {[49.4,56.7]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 65.0 \\ {[59.5,70.1]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 47.5 \\ {[44.9,50.0]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Younger natural sibling | $\begin{array}{r} 47.9 \\ {[40.5,55.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42.9 \\ {[36.7,49.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45.3 \\ {[41.3,49.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40.1 \\ {[36.5,45.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.9 \\ {[16.6,23.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38.1 \\ {[36.0,40.3]} \end{array}$ |
| Any half sibling | $\begin{array}{r} (10.8) \\ {[6.3,17.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (8.8) \\ {[5.7,13.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.0 \\ {[10.6,18.4]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.6 \\ {[6.7,11.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.3 \\ {[7.9,13.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.4 \\ {[8.8,12.3]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Older half sibling | $\begin{array}{r} (3.4) \\ {[1.3,8.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (6.1) \\ {[3.6,10.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.9 \\ {[9.6,14.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.3 \\ {[6.3,10.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.3 \\ {[7.9,13.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.1 \\ {[7.7,10.9]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Younger half sibling | $\begin{array}{r} (7.3) \\ {[3.8,13.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline(3.4) \\ {[1.4,8.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline(1.4) \\ {[0.6,3.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline(0.6) \\ {[0.2,1.5]} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} (1.5) \\ {[1.0,2.4]} \end{array}$ |
| Any step sibling | $\begin{array}{r} (2.8) \\ {[0.9,8.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (1.7) \\ {[0.6,4.2]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (2.0) \\ {[1.0,4.0]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (1.5) \\ {[0.8,2.8]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (1.2) \\ {[0.5,2.8]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (1.7) \\ {[1.1,2.5]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Older step sibling | $\begin{array}{r} (1.7) \\ {[0.4,6.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (0.7) \\ {[0.2,3.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (2.0) \\ {[1.0,4.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (1.3) \\ {[0.6,2.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (1.2) \\ {[0.5,2.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (1.4) \\ {[0.9,2.1]} \end{array}$ |
| Younger step sibling | $\begin{array}{r} (1.1) \\ {[0.2,7.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (0.9) \\ {[0.2,3.4]} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} (0.2) \\ {[0.03,1.4]} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} (0.3) \\ {[0.1,0.7]} \end{array}$ |
| Any foster or adoptive sibling |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} (0.3) \\ {[0.1,1.3]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} (0.1) \\ {[0.03,0.5]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Total observations | 129 | 289 | 431 | 585 | 370 | 1804 |
|  | Sign. (Any natural sibling) |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |
|  | Sign. (Older natural sibling) |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |
|  | Sign. (Younger natural sibling) |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |
|  | Sign. (Any half sibling) |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.063$ |
|  | Sign. (Older half sibling) |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ |
|  | Sign. (Younger half sibling) |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |
|  | Sign. (Any step sibling) |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.724$ |
|  | Sign. (Older step sibling) |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.645$ |
|  | Sign. (Younger step sibling) |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.221$ |
|  | Sign. (Any foster or adoptive sibling) |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.592$ |

Sample: All families. Table displays unweighted observations, weighted percentages and 95\% confidence intervals (using weight1).

Table 3.21: Type of siblings by main respondent's age in UK

| Type of siblings | 18-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40 plus | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Any natural sibling | 49.9 | 71.1 | 78.8 | 82.6 | 75.2 | 76.4 |
| Older natural sibling | 8.9 | 37.6 | 45.3 | 56.3 | 62.3 | 48.9 |
| Younger natural sibling | 44.8 | 47.7 | 45.9 | 38.9 | 20.9 | 38.8 |
| Any half- sibling | 15.6 | 13.6 | 11.3 | 10.1 | 13.3 | 11.9 |
| Older half- sibling | 3.9 | 8.7 | 10.1 | 9.7 | 13.1 | 10.0 |
| Younger half- sibling | 12.3 | 5.8 | 1.6 | 0.8 | (0.3) | 2.4 |
| Any step- sibling | (1.5) | (1.1) | 1.3 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| Older step- sibling | (1.1) | (0.7) | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.0 |
| Younger step- sibling | (0.4) | (0.5) | (0.2) | (0.0) |  | (0.2) |
| Any foster or adoptive sibling |  | (0.0) | (0.1) | (0.1) | (0.6) | (0.2) |
| Total observations | 1082 | 2646 | 4138 | 4615 | 2765 | 15246 |
| Sign. (Any natural sibling) |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |
| Sign. (Older natural sibling) |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |
| Sign. (Younger natural sibling) |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |
| Sign. (Any half-sibling) |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |
| Sign. (Older half-sibling) |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |
| Sign. (Younger half-sibling) |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |
| Sign. (Any step- sibling) |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.150$ |
| Sign. (Older step-sibling) |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.139$ |
| Sign. (Younger step-sibling) |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |
| Sign. (Any foster or adoptive sibling) |  |  |  |  |  | $P=0.000$ |

Sample: All families. Table displays unweighted observations and weighted percentages (using weight2).

Table 3.22: Type of siblings by family type in Scotland

| Type of siblings | Married natural parents | Cohabiting natural parents | Natural parents otherl unknown | Natural mother and step-father | Lone natural mother | Other family type | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Any natural sibling | $\begin{array}{r} 84.6 \\ {[82.5,86.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 73.3 \\ {[69.7,77.5]} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 51.2 \\ {[36.8,66.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (48.4) \\ {[43.7,53.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (52.6) \\ {[37.1,67.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75.3 \\ {[73.2,77.3]} \end{array}$ |
| Older natural sibling | $\begin{array}{r} 54.3 \\ {[50.9,57.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35.7 \\ {[30.6,41.1]} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} (31.5) \\ {[20.3,45.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35.7 \\ {[30.9,40.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (42.0) \\ {[26.9,58.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 47.5 \\ {[44.9,50.0]} \end{array}$ |
| Younger natural sibling | $\begin{array}{r} 42.0 \\ {[39.1,44.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 49.4 \\ {[44.6,54.2]} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} (21.4) \\ {[12.9,33.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.1 \\ {[13.7,21.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (20.8) \\ {[10.5,37.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38.1 \\ {[36.0,40.3]} \end{array}$ |
| Any half sibling | $\begin{array}{r} 6.3 \\ {[5.1,7.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.1 \\ {[14.2,22.9]} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline(30.9) \\ {[19.9,44.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.7 \\ {[10.7,19.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (13.1) \\ {[4.2,34.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.4 \\ {[8.8,12.3]} \end{array}$ |
| Older half sibling | $\begin{array}{r} 6.3 \\ {[5.1,7.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.1 \\ {[14.2,22.9]} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} (10.5) \\ (4.3-23.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.3 \\ {[7.5,16.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (10.2) \\ {[3.3,27.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.1 \\ {[7.7,10.9]} \end{array}$ |
| Younger half sibling |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} (23.1) \\ {[14.1,35.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (4.0) \\ {[2.2,7.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline(7.4) \\ {[1.9,25.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (1.5) \\ {[1.0,2.4]} \end{array}$ |
| Any step sibling | $\begin{array}{r} \hline(1.3) \\ {[0.8,2.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline(1.4) \\ {[0.5,3.7]} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} (13.5) \\ {[6.3,26.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (1.2) \\ {[0.4,3.5]} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} (1.6) \\ {[1.1,2.5]} \end{array}$ |
| Older step sibling | $\begin{array}{r} (1.1) \\ {[0.6,1.8]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (1.4) \\ {[0.5,3.7]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} (9.1) \\ {[4.1,19.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (1.2) \\ {[0.4,3.5]} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} (1.4) \\ {[0.9,2.1]} \end{array}$ |
| Younger step sibling | $\begin{array}{r} (0.2) \\ {[0.1,0.9]} \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} (4.3) \\ {[1.2,14.3]} \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} (0.3) \\ {[0.1,0.8]} \end{array}$ |
| Any foster or adoptive sibling | $\begin{array}{r} \hline(0.2) \\ {[0.04,0.7]} \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} (0.1) \\ {[0.03,0.5]} \end{array}$ |
| Total observations | 1085 | 300 | 3 | 63 | 324 | 29 | 1804 |
|  | Sign. (Any natural sibling) $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sign. (Older natural sibling) $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sign. (Younger natural sibling) $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sign. (Any half sibling) $P=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sign. (Older half sibling) $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sign. (Younger half sibling) $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sign. (Any step sibling) $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sign. (Older step sibling) $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sign. (Younger step sibling) $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sign. (Any foster or adoptive sibling) P=0.927 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: All families. Table displays unweighted observations, weighted percentages and $95 \%$ confidence intervals (using weight1)

Table 3.23: Type of siblings by family type in UK

| Type of siblings | Married natural parents | Cohabiting natural parents | Natural parents (otherl unknown) | Natural mother and step-father | Lone natural mother | Other family type | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Any natural sibling | 86.3 | 71.1 | 88.3 | 47.8 | 54.3 | 48.7 | 76.4 |
| Older natural sibling | 55.4 | 37.2 | (50.5) | 37.3 | 39.0 | 37.1 | 48.9 |
| Younger natural sibling | 43.7 | 45.5 | (56.9) | 16.9 | 22.2 | 19.1 | 38.8 |
| Any halfsibling | 6.4 | 17.7 | (11.4) | 44.3 | 20.0 | 13.6 | 11.9 |
| Older halfsibling | 6.4 | 17.5 | (11.4) | 13.8 | 16.1 | (9.3) | 10.0 |
| Younger halfsibling | (0.0) | (0.2) |  | 34.6 | 5.1 | (6.1) | 2.4 |
| Any stepsibling | 0.7 | (0.9) |  | 7.7 | (0.9) | (3.8) | 1.1 |
| Older stepsibling | 0.7 | (0.9) |  | 5.6 | (0.7) | (3.0) | 1.0 |
| Younger stepsibling | (0.0) |  |  | (2.6) | (0.2) | (1.2) | (0.2) |
| Any foster or adoptive sibling | (0.1) | (0.1) |  |  | (0.1) | (3.7) | (0.2) |
| Total observations | 9209 | 2189 | 46 | 561 | 2938 | 303 | 15246 |
| Sign. (Any natural sibling) |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |
| Sign. (Older natural sibling) |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |
| Sign. (Younger natural sibling) |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |
| Sign. (Any half-sibling) |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |
| Sign. (Older half-sibling) |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |
| Sign. (Younger half-sibling) |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |
| Sign. (Any step-sibling) |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |
| Sign. (Older step-sibling) |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |
| Sign. (Younger step-sibling) |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |
| Sign. (Any foster or adoptive sibling) |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |

Sample: All families. Table displays unweighted observations and weighted percentages (using weight2).

Table 3.24: Contact and maintenance payments by non-resident natural father by country

| Contact and maintenance payments by non-resident natural father | England | Wales | Scotland | Northern Ireland | UK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contact |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frequent (three or more times a week) | $\begin{array}{r} 20.3 \\ {[18.2,22.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.9 \\ {[18.9,27.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.1 \\ {[17.7,27.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30.0 \\ {[25.9,34.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21.1 \\ {[19.2,23.0]} \end{array}$ |
| Less frequent (weekly or less often) | $\begin{array}{r} 52.4 \\ {[49.8,54.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45.0 \\ {[41.4,48.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46.3 \\ {[39.9,52.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36.9 \\ {[32.8,41.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50.9 \\ {[48.7,53.2]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| None | $\begin{array}{r} 27.3 \\ {[25.1,29.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32.1 \\ {[27.7,36.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31.5 \\ {[26.5,37.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33.1 \\ {[28.6,38.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28.0 \\ {[26.1,30.0]} \end{array}$ |
| Maintenance payments |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regular | $\begin{array}{r} 37.7 \\ {[35.1,40.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30.9 \\ {[26.5,35.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35.3 \\ {[30.1,40.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29.9 \\ {[24.9,35.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36.6 \\ {[34.5,38.9]} \end{array}$ |
| Irregular | $\begin{array}{r} 9.0 \\ {[7.7,10.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.2 \\ {[5.9,11.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (6.6) \\ {[4.4,9.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (8.4) \\ {[5.1,13.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.9 \\ {[7.7,10.2]} \end{array}$ |
| None | $\begin{array}{r} 53.3 \\ {[50.1,56.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61.0 \\ {[56.4,65.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58.1 \\ {[53.2,62.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61.7 \\ {[55.8,66.3]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 54.5 \\ {[52.1,56.8]} \end{array}$ |
| Total observations | 2073 | 507 | 366 | 321 | 3267 |
| Sign. (contact) | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |
| Sign. (maintenance) | $\mathrm{P}=0.037$ |  |  |  |  |

Sample: Lone natural mother families and lone natural mother and step-father families. 5 observations are excluded due to missing data on country. Table displays unweighted observations, weighted percentages and $95 \%$ confidence intervals (country totals using weight1 and UK total using weight2).

Table 3.25: Maintenance payments by non-resident natural father by contact with non-resident natural father in Scotland

| Maintenance <br> payments by non- <br> resident natural <br> father | Frequent (three or <br> more times a week) | Less frequent <br> (weekly or less <br> often) | None | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Regular | $[46.8,70.6]$ | $(11.1)$ | 43.8 | $(5.9)$ |
| [5.3,21.7] | $(29.0,50.9]$ | $[2.9,11.8]$ | $[30.1,40.8]$ |  |
| Irregular | $[7.0)$ | $(2.9)$ | $(6.6)$ |  |
|  | $[21.0,40.0]$ | $[4.4,14.1]$ | 49.1 | $[4.2,6.6]$ |

Sample: Lone natural mother families and lone natural mother and step-father families. Table displays unweighted observations, weighted percentages and $95 \%$ confidence intervals (using weight1).

Table 3.26: Maintenance payments UK by non-resident natural father by contact with non-resident natural father in UK

| Maintenance <br> payments by non- <br> resident natural <br> father | Frequent (three or <br> more times a week) | Less frequent <br> (weekly or less <br> often) | None | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Regular | 51.8 | 44.4 | 11.3 | 36.7 |
| Irregular | 11.1 | 10.8 | 3.8 | 8.9 |
| None | 37.2 | 44.8 | 84.9 | 54.4 |
| Total observations | 746 | 1529 | 996 | 3271 |
| Sign. | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |

Sample: Lone natural mother families and lone natural mother and step-father families. 228 observations are excluded because of missing data on contact and/or maintenance. Table displays unweighted observations and weighted percentages (using weight2).

Table 3.27: Contact and maintenance payments by non-resident natural father by natural mother's age in Scotland

| Contact and maintenance payments by nonresident natural father | 18-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40 plus | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contact |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frequent (three or more times a week) | $\begin{array}{r} (29.2) \\ {[18.3,43.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (17.0) \\ {[10.3,26.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (29.6) \\ {[20.4,40.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline(14.7) \\ {[8.5,24.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (19.0) \\ {[8.7,36.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (22.1) \\ {[17.7,27.3]} \end{array}$ |
| Less frequent (weekly or less often) | $\begin{array}{r} (38.0) \\ {[26.6,50.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44.3 \\ {[33.8,55.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 41.2 \\ {[45.4,67.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 56.6 \\ {[45.4,67.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (57.8) \\ {[42.0,72.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46.4 \\ {[39.9,52.9]} \end{array}$ |
| None | $\begin{array}{r} (32.9) \\ {[20.6,48.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38.7 \\ {[30.2,47.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (29.2) \\ {[20.6,39.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (28.6) \\ {[20.1,39.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (23.2) \\ {[13.5,36.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31.5 \\ {[26.5,37.0]} \end{array}$ |
| Maintenance payments |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regular | $\begin{array}{r} (36.5) \\ {[23.0,52.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (28.7) \\ {[20.8,38.2]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 41.4 \\ {[30.9,52.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (44.0) \\ {[33.8,54.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (22.0) \\ {[13.6,33.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35.3 \\ {[30.1,40.8]} \end{array}$ |
| Irregular | $\begin{array}{r} \hline(4.5) \\ {[1.5,12.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline(7.1) \\ {[4.0,16.0} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline(5.2) \\ {[2.2,11.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (4.4) \\ {[1.5,12.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline(12.8) \\ {[5.6,26.7)} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline(6.6) \\ {[4.4,10.0]} \end{array}$ |
| None | $\begin{array}{r} 59.0 \\ {[44.0,72.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 63.1 \\ {[55.2,70.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 53.4 \\ {[43.2,63.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 51.7 \\ {[40.3,62.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (65.2) \\ {[51.1,77.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58.1 \\ {[53.2,62.8]} \end{array}$ |
| Total observations | 68 | 98 | 90 | 68 | 42 | 366 |
| Sign. (contact) | $\mathrm{P}=0.113$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sign. <br> (maintenance) | $\mathrm{P}=0.169$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: Lone natural mother families and lone natural mother and step-father families. Table displays unweighted observations, weighted percentages and $95 \%$ confidence intervals (using weight1).

Table 3.28: Contact and maintenance payments by non-resident natural father by natural mother's age in UK

| Contact and <br> maintenance payments <br> by non-resident natural <br> father | $\mathbf{1 8 - 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 - 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 - 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 0}$ plus | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Contact |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frequent (three or more <br> times a week) | 20.0 | 16.6 | 24.8 | 21.3 | 24.7 | 21.1 |  |
| Less frequent (weekly or <br> less often) | 41.6 | 50.0 | 52.9 | 55.8 | 55.3 | 50.9 |  |
| None | 38.4 | 33.4 | 22.4 | 22.9 | 20.1 | 28.0 |  |
| Maintenance payments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regular | 27.7 | 26.0 | 46.4 | 46.1 | 36.9 | 36.7 |  |
| Irregular | 6.6 | 10.6 | 8.4 | 7.9 | 11.8 | 8.9 |  |
| None | 65.6 | 63.4 | 45.3 | 46.0 | 51.3 | 54.4 |  |
| Total observations | 629 | 899 | 817 | 593 | 333 | 3271 |  |
| Sign. (contact) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sign. (maintenance) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: Lone natural mother families and lone natural mother and step-father families. 228 observations are excluded because of missing data on contact and/or maintenance. Table displays unweighted observations and weighted percentages (using weight2).

Table 3.29: Contact and maintenance payments by non-resident natural father by natural mother's relationship status in Scotland

| Contact and maintenance payments by nonresident natural father | Living with step-father | Lone mother, in a relationship with nonresident natural father | Lone mother, in a relationship | Lone mother, not in a relationship | Lone mother, relationship status not known | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contact |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frequent (three or more times a week) | $\begin{array}{r} \hline(8.2) \\ {[3.1,19.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (93.7) \\ {[69.7,99.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (20.1) \\ {[13.3,29.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.4 \\ {[14.1,28.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (41.4) \\ {[20.6,65.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.1 \\ {[17.7,27.3]} \end{array}$ |
| Less frequent (weekly or less often) | $\begin{array}{r} (44.1) \\ {[29.5,59.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (6.3) \\ {[1.0,30.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 47.3 \\ {[36.0,58.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50.4 \\ {[42.3,58.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (35.1) \\ {[18.0,57.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46.4 \\ {[39.9,52.9]} \end{array}$ |
| None | $\begin{array}{r} (47.7) \\ {[32.1,63.7]} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} (32.6) \\ {[23.6,43.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29.1 \\ {[22.9,36.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (23.5) \\ {[9.9,46.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31.5 \\ {[26.5,37.0]} \end{array}$ |
| Maintenance payments |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regular | $\begin{array}{r} (43.2) \\ {[30.1,57.2]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (54.0) \\ {[26.1,79.7]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (30.3) \\ {[21.4,41.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34.5 \\ {[27.8,41.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (29.4) \\ {[13.0,53.7]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35.3 \\ {[30.1,40.8]} \end{array}$ |
| Irregular | $\begin{array}{r} \hline(1.5) \\ {[0.2,8.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (23.0) \\ {[7.2,53.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline(7.1) \\ {[3.5,14.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline(6.0) \\ (2.8,12.3) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline(14.6) \\ {[3.8,42.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline(6.6) \\ {[4.4,10.0]} \end{array}$ |
| None | $\begin{array}{r} 55.4 \\ {[42.0,68.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (23.0) \\ {[6.1,57.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62.5 \\ {[52.4,71.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 59.5 \\ (52.0,66.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (56.0) \\ {[31.5,77.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58.1 \\ {[53.2,62.8]} \end{array}$ |
| Total observations | 56 | 12 | 91 | 189 | 18 | 366 |
| Sign. (contact) | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sign. (maintenance) | $\mathrm{P}=0.100$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: Lone natural mother families and lone natural mother and step-father families. Table displays unweighted observations, weighted percentages and $95 \%$ confidence intervals (using weight1).

Table 3.30: Contact and maintenance payments by non-resident natural father by natural mother's relationship status in UK

| Contact and maintenance payments by non-resident natural father | Living with stepfather | Lone mother, in a relationship with nonresident natural father | Lone mother, in a relationship | Lone mother, not in a relationship | Lone mother, relationship status not known | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contact |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frequent (three or more times a week) | 6.2 | 79.5 | 17.4 | 21.3 | 31.8 | 21.1 |
| Less frequent (weekly or less often) | 54.3 | (20.5) | 53.8 | 52.3 | 43.3 | 50.9 |
| None | 39.5 |  | 28.8 | 26.5 | 24.9 | 28.0 |
| Maintenance payments |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regular | 40.8 | 44.5 | 36.4 | 35.2 | 33.0 | 36.7 |
| Irregular | 7.8 | (17.8) | 8.5 | 8.4 | 10.9 | 8.9 |
| None | 51.4 | 37.7 | 55.1 | 56.4 | 56.2 | 54.4 |
| Total observations | 517 | 157 | 732 | 1563 | 302 | 3271 |
| Sign. (contact) | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sign. (maintenance) | $\mathrm{P}=0.002$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: Lone natural mother families and lone natural mother and step-father families. 228 observations are excluded due to missing data on contact and/or maintenance. Table displays unweighted observations and weighted percentages (using weight2).

Table 3.31: Contact and maintenance payments by non-resident natural father by non-resident natural father's relationship status in Scotland

| Contact and maintenance payments by nonresident natural father | In a relationship with lone natural mother | In a relationship | Not in a relationship | Relationship status not known | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contact |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frequent (three or more times a week) | $\begin{array}{r} (93.7) \\ {[69.7,99.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.1 \\ {[8.7,24.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44.4 \\ {[34.4,54.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (8.4) \\ {[4.8,14.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.1 \\ {[17.7,27.3]} \end{array}$ |
| Less frequent (weekly or less often) | $\begin{array}{r} (6.3) \\ {[1.0,30.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 84.7 \\ {[75.1,91.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.7 \\ {[45.2,65.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.9 \\ {[14.3,29.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46.4 \\ {[39.9,52.9]} \end{array}$ |
| None |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 70.8 \\ {[62.4,77.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31.5 \\ {[26.5,37.0]} \end{array}$ |
| Maintenance payments |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regular | $\begin{array}{r} (54.0) \\ {[26.1,79.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.6 \\ {[47.0,63.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 48.8 \\ {[37.2,60.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (13.9) \\ {[9.1,20.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35.3 \\ {[30.1,40.8]} \end{array}$ |
| Irregular | $\begin{array}{r} (23.0) \\ {[7.2,53.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline(5.2) \\ {[1.6,15.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline(9.6) \\ {[5.1,17.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (4.5) \\ {[2.3,8.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (58.1) \\ {[53.2,62.8]} \end{array}$ |
| None | $\begin{array}{r} (23.0) \\ {[6.1,57.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39.3 \\ {[31.6,47.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 41.6 \\ {[31.7,52.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 81.6 \\ {[75.0,86.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58.1 \\ {[53.2,62.8]} \end{array}$ |
| Total observations | 12 | 101 | 88 | 165 | 366 |
| Sign. (contact) | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |
| Sign. (maintenance) | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |

Sample: Lone natural mother families and lone natural mother and step-father families. Table displays unweighted observations, weighted percentages and $95 \%$ confidence intervals (using weight1).

Table 3.32: Contact and maintenance payments by non-resident natural father by non-resident natural father's relationship status in UK

| Contact and maintenance payments by non-resident natural father | In a relationship with lone natural mother | In a relationshi p | Not in a relationshi p | Relationship status not known | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contact |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frequent (three or more times a week) | 79.5 | 15.0 | 37.9 | 8.7 | 21.1 |
| Less frequent (weekly or less often) | (20.5) | 85.0 | 62.1 | 21.8 | 50.9 |
| None |  |  |  | 69.6 | 28.0 |
| Maintenance payments |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regular | 44.5 | 50.8 | 47.6 | 18.5 | 36.7 |
| Irregular | (17.8) | 10.0 | 10.6 | 5.9 | 8.9 |
| None | 37.7 | 39.1 | 41.8 | 75.6 | 54.4 |
| Total observations | 157 | 872 | 799 | 1443 | 3271 |
| Sign. (contact) | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |
| Sign. (maintenance) | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |

Sample: Lone natural mother families and lone natural mother and step-father families. 228 observations are excluded because of missing data on contact and/or maintenance. Table displays unweighted observations and weighted percentages (using weight2).

Table 4.1: How do you feel about the amount of time you have available to spend with your child? Mothers by UK country

|  | England |  | Wales |  | Scotland |  | Northern Ireland |  | Total |  | Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | CI | \% | CI | \% | CI | \% | CI | \% | CI |  |
| Too much | 2.2 | [1.8,2.5] | 2.2 | [1.7,2.9] | 2.0 | [1.4,2.7] | 1.9 | [1.3,2.8] | 2.1 | [1.9,2.4] | 429 |
| More than enough | 21.2 | [20.1,22.4] | 22.7 | [20.3,25.3] | 24.4 | [22.4,26.4] | 28.9 | [26.0,31.9] | 22.7 | [21.8,23.6] | 3,685 |
| Just enough | 44.7 | [43.4,45.9] | 41.9 | [39.5,44.4] | 42.8 | [40.6,45.1] | 44.3 | [41.6,47.0] | 44.0 | [43.1,44.9] | 6,593 |
| Not quite enough | 25.9 | [24.8,27.0] | 26.6 | [24.8,28.4] | 25.7 | [23.4,28.0] | 19.4 | [17.4,21.6] | 25.2 | [24.5,26.1] | 3,587 |
| Nowhere near enough | 6.1 | [5.5,6.7] | 6.6 | [5.6,7.8] | 5.1 | [4.2,6.3] | 5.5 | [4.3,6.9] | 6.0 | [5.5,6.4] | 838 |
| Total | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 15,132 |
| N | 9680 |  | 2126 |  | 1798 |  | 1528 |  |  |  |  |

Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(12) $=74.7360$
Design-based $\mathrm{F}(10.80,4202.31)=4.7157 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$
Sample includes all MCS3 mothers responding to question. 16 responses of 'not sure' have been excluded.

Table 4.2: How do you feel about the amount of time you have available to spend with your child? Mothers in Scotland

|  | Too much | More than enough | Just enough | Not quite enough | Nowhere near enough | Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |
| Mother's age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 to 29 | (3.5) | 28.5 | 42.5 | 22.0 | (3.5) | 413 |
| 30 to 39 | (1.5) | 22.4 | 42.2 | 28.2 | 5.7 | 1,019 |
| 40 plus | (1.5) | 26.3 | 45.0 | 21.7 | (5.5) | 374 |
| Total | 1.9 | 24.6 | 42.9 | 25.4 | 5.2 | 1,806 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(8) $=21.5173$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(6.45, 386.93) = 2.5674 Pr $=0.016$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mother's employment status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not in work | 4.0 | 36.7 | 43.3 | 13.4 | (2.6) | 659 |
| Working | (0.8) | 17.9 | 42.6 | 32.1 | 6.6 | 1,147 |
| Total | 1.9 | 24.6 | 42.8 | 25.4 | 5.2 | 1,806 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(4) = 151.9951 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(3.63, 217.90) $=42.5796 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mother's highest qualifications |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No qualifications | (7.3) | 38.1 | 35.5 | 14.8 | (4.2) | 168 |
| NVQ Level 1 | (4.9) | (30.7) | (37.6) | (23.1) | (3.7) | 67 |
| NVQ Level 2 | (2.5) | 25.2 | 44.2 | 22.7 | (5.4) | 429 |
| NVQ Level 3 | (1.5) | 27.1 | 39.9 | 26.3 | (5.3) | 372 |
| NVQ Level 4 | (0.8) | 20.3 | 45.4 | 27.6 | 5.9 | 577 |
| NVQ Level 5 | (0.0) | 17.5 | 45.2 | 35.2 | (2.1) | 160 |
| Total | 2.0 | 24.4 | 42.9 | 25.6 | 5.1 | 1,773 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(20) $=77.6319$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based $\mathrm{F}(13.17,790.18)=4.2931 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| two parents | (1.6) | 24.1 | 43.6 | 25.7 | 5.1 | 1,474 |
| 1 parent | (3.7) | 27.3 | 39.2 | 24.1 | (5.7) | 333 |
| Total | 1.9 | 24.6 | 42.8 | 25.4 | 5.2 | 1,807 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(4) = 8.6220 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(3.61, 216.60) $=2.6016 \mathrm{Pr}=0.043$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample includes all MCS3 mothers resident in Scotland at MCS1 responding to question. 16 responses of 'not sure' have been excluded.

Table 4.3: How do you feel about the amount of time you have available to spend with your child? Fathers by country


Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(12) = 49.5691
Design-based F(10.40, 4044.78) $=3.2511 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$
Sample includes all MCS3 fathers responding to question. 18 responses of 'not sure' have been excluded.

Table 4.4: How do you feel about the amount of time you have available to spend with your child? Fathers in Scotland

|  | Too much | More than enough | Just enough | Not quite enough | Nowhere near enough | Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |
| Father's age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 to 29 | (1.0) | (15.2) | 36.5 | 35.0 | (12.3) | 134 |
| 30 to 39 | (0.4) | 8.6 | 29.7 | 45.7 | 15.6 | 688 |
| 40 plus | (0.7) | 11.1 | 31.3 | 40.9 | 16.0 | 456 |
| Total | (0.6) | 10.1 | 30.9 | 42.9 | 15.4 | 1,278 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(8) = 11.9321 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(6.73, 403.58) = 1.6433 Pr $=0.125$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Father's employment status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not in work | (3.3) | 41.1 | 44.3 | (9.8) | (1.5) | 94 |
| Working | 0.4 | 8.0 | 30.0 | 45.2 | 16.4 | 1,184 |
| Total | 0.6 | 10.1 | 30.9 | 42.9 | 15.4 | 1,278 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2 $(4)=133.3443$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(3.82, 229.28) $=31.3642 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Father's highest qualifications |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No qualifications | (6.0) | (19.2) | 34.9 | 25.8 | (14.0) | 110 |
| NVQ Level 1 | (0.0) | (25.4) | (23.9) | (36.1) | (14.6) | 36 |
| NVQ Level 2 | (0.3) | (8.2) | 33.8 | 40.7 | 17.0 | 305 |
| NVQ Level 3 | (0.0) | (11.6) | 33.0 | 39.4 | 16.0 | 239 |
| NVQ Level 4 | (0.0) | (6.2) | 27.7 | 51.1 | 15.0 | 353 |
| NVQ Level 5 | (0.0) | (10.7) | 28.3 | 46.3 | (14.6) | 167 |
| Total | (0.5) | 10.0 | 30.8 | 43.1 | 15.6 | 1,210 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(20) $=100.4324$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(13.24, 794.15) $=5.0278 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample includes all MCS3 fathers resident in Scotland at MCS1 who responded to the question. 18 responses of 'don't know' were excluded.

Table 4.5: How often do you read to your child? Mothers by country

|  | England |  | Wales |  | Scotland |  | Northern Ireland |  | Total |  | Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | CI | \% | CI | \% | CI | \% | CI | \% | CI |  |
| Every day | 51.6 | [49.9,53.2] | 50.0 | [47.4,52.6] | 55.2 | [52.7,57.7] | 60.5 | [57.6,63.4] | 52.8 | [51.6,53.9] | 7,697 |
| Several times a week | 29.4 | [28.2,30.6] | 27.9 | [25.9,29.9] | 27.2 | [25.1,29.5] | 23.9 | [21.7,26.2] | 28.3 | [27.4,29.1] | 4,295 |
| Once or twice a week | 14.1 | [13.2,15.0] | 16.6 | [14.4,19.0] | 12.9 | [11.3,14.7] | 11.3 | [9.8,12.9] | 14.0 | [13.3,14.7] | 2,263 |
| Once or twice a month | 2.5 | [2.2,2.9] | 2.7 | [2.1,3.4] | 2.5 | [1.9,3.3] | 2.3 | [1.7,3.2] | 2.5 | [2.3,2.8] | 410 |
| Less often | 1.4 | [1.1,1.6] | 1.8 | [1.3,2.4] | 1.4 | [1.0,2.0] | 1.0 | [0.6,1.7] | 1.4 | [1.2,1.6] | 241 |
| Not at all | 1.1 | [0.9,1.5] | 1.1 | [0.6,1.8] | 0.7 | [0.4,1.1] | 1.1 | [0.7,1.6] | 1.1 | [0.9,1.3] | 261 |
| Total | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 15,167 |
|  | 9705 |  | 2132 |  | 1800 |  | 1530 |  |  |  |  |

Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(15) = 73.9550
Design-based F(12.69, 4935.53) $=3.9361 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$
Sample includes all MCS3 mothers responding to question.

Table 4.6: How often do you read to your child? Mothers in Scotland

|  | every day | several times a week | once or twice a week | once or twice a month | less often | not at all | Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |
| Mother's age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 to 29 | 49.3 | 28.1 | 16.1 | (3.0) | (2.1) | (1.4) | 415 |
| 30 to 39 | 57.7 | 26.8 | 11.7 | (2.2) | (1.2) | (0.4) | 1,020 |
| 40 plus | 56.3 | 27.1 | 12.5 | (2.3) | (1.1) | (0.7) | 374 |
| Total | 55.6 | 27.1 | 12.8 | 2.4 | (1.4) | (0.7) | 1,809 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(10) = 14.8677 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(8.00, 480.03) $=1.6062 \mathrm{Pr}=0.120$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mother's employment status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not in work | 56.5 | 25.1 | 13.2 | (2.1) | (2.2) | (0.9) | 660 |
| Working | 55.0 | 28.3 | 12.6 | (2.6) | (0.9) | (0.6) | 1,149 |
| Total | 55.6 | 27.1 | 12.8 | 2.4 | (1.4) | (0.7) | 1,809 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(5) $=7.9190$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(4.56, 273.40) $=1.7581 \mathrm{Pr}=0.128$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mother's highest qualifications |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No qualifications | 45.1 | 22.8 | 22.9 | (1.8) | (5.4) | (2.0) | 170 |
| NVQ Level 1 | 47.8 | (25.5) | (21.0) | (2.4) | (0.0) | (3.2) | 67 |
| NVQ Level 2 | 50.3 | 26.8 | 18.4 | (2.2) | (1.3) | (1.1) | 430 |
| NVQ Level 3 | 52.9 | 28.5 | 11.6 | (4.1) | (2.3) | (0.6) | 372 |
| NVQ Level 4 | 61.9 | 28.4 | 8.2 | (1.2) | (0.3) | (0.0) | 577 |
| NVQ Level 5 | 66.2 | 24.4 | (6.3) | (3.1) | (0.0) | (0.0) | 160 |
| Total | 55.8 | 27.1 | 12.8 | 2.3 | (1.3) | (0.7) | 1,776 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(25) = 111.6807 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(15.73, 943.52) $=4.7301 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Two parents | 56.4 | 26.8 | 12.5 | 2.7 | (1.2) | (0.4) | 1,475 |
| 1 parent | 51.7 | 29.0 | 14.5 | (0.9) | (2.0) | (1.9) | 335 |
| Total | 55.6 | 27.1 | 12.8 | 2.4 | (1.4) | (0.7) | 1,810 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(5) $=15.5752$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(4.77, 285.92) $=3.2542 \mathrm{Pr}=0.008$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample includes all MCS3 mothers resident in Scotland at MCS1 responding to question.

Table 4.7: How often do you read to your child? Fathers by country

|  | England |  | Wales |  | Scotland |  | Northern Ireland |  | Total |  | Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | Cl | \% | CI | \% | CI | \% | CI | \% | CI |  |
| Every day | 15.4 | [14.5,16.3] | 15.3 | [13.4,17.3] | 20.5 | [18.1,23.2] | 20.7 | [18.2,23.5] | 16.6 | [15.8,17.4] | 1,713 |
| Several times a week | 34.4 | [33.1,35.8] | 30.8 | [27.5,34.4] | 35.7 | [32.5,39.1] | 33.0 | [29.2,37.1] | 33.9 | [32.7,35.1] | 3,376 |
| Once or twice a week | 32.3 | [31.0,33.6] | 31.2 | [27.8,34.8] | 28.6 | [25.8,31.5] | 27.6 | [24.7,30.6] | 31.2 | [30.1,32.3] | 3,316 |
| Once or twice a month | 10.0 | [9.1,10.9] | 12.5 | [10.5,14.9] | 8.7 | [7.2,10.4] | 10.6 | [8.8,12.7] | 10.3 | [9.6,11.0] | 1,077 |
| Less often | 4.6 | [4.1,5.2] | 5.5 | [4.3,7.0] | 4.1 | [3.1,5.2] | 5.1 | [4.1,6.5] | 4.7 | [4.3,5.2] | 568 |
| Not at all | 3.3 | [2.8,3.8] | 4.7 | [3.7,5.9] | 2.5 | [1.7,3.5] | 2.9 | [2.1,4.0] | 3.4 | [3.0,3.8] | 436 |
| Total | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 10,486 |
|  | 6713 |  | 1484 |  | 1270 |  | 1019 |  |  |  |  |

Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(15) = 76.2962
Design-based F(12.47, 4849.88) $=3.8331 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$
Sample includes all MCS3 fathers responding to question.

Table 4.8: How often do you read to your child? Fathers in Scotland

|  | Every day | Several times a week | Once or twice a week | Once or twice a month | Less often | Not at all |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | Obs |
| Father's age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 to 29 | (12.5) | 22.9 | 41.1 | (13.6) | (5.8) | (4.1) | 134 |
| 30 to 39 | 20.4 | 38.4 | 28.0 | 7.3 | (3.1) | (2.7) | 688 |
| 40 plus | 21.8 | 36.8 | 25.7 | 9.5 | (4.7) | (1.5) | 456 |
| Total | 20.2 | 36.4 | 28.4 | 8.7 | 4.0 | (2.4) | 1,278 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(10) $=30.4500$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(8.37, 502.24) $=3.1522 \mathrm{Pr}=0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Father's employment status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not in work | (23.8) | (27.6) | 33.4 | (10.1) | (1.8) | (3.3) | 94 |
| Working | 20.0 | 37.0 | 28.0 | 8.6 | 4.1 | (2.3) | 1,184 |
| Total | 20.2 | 36.4 | 28.4 | 8.7 | 4.0 | (2.4) | 1,278 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(5) $=4.7128$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(4.63, 278.06) $=1.1815 \mathrm{Pr}=0.319$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Father's highest qualifications |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No qualifications | (14.4) | (21.3) | 38.5 | (11.5) | (8.0) | (6.3) | 110 |
| NVQ Level 1 | (11.6) | (25.4) | (38.3) | (18.2) | (6.6) | (0.0) | 36 |
| NVQ Level 2 | 18.2 | 24.7 | 37.5 | 9.7 | (5.8) | (4.1) | 305 |
| NVQ Level 3 | 19.0 | 37.1 | 25.3 | (12.5) | (4.9) | (1.3) | 239 |
| NVQ Level 4 | 22.3 | 48.0 | 21.8 | (5.3) | (1.7) | (0.9) | 353 |
| NVQ Level 5 | 26.8 | 45.1 | (19.9) | (6.0) | (0.7) | (1.4) | 167 |
| Total | 20.3 | 37.0 | 27.8 | 8.7 | 3.8 | (2.3) | 1,210 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(25) $=116.5811$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(14.81, 888.46) $=4.7400 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample includes all MCS3 mothers resident in Scotland at MCS1 responding to question.

Table 4.9: How often do you do musical activities with your child? Mothers by country

|  | England |  | Wales |  | Scotland |  | Northern Ireland |  | Total |  | Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | CI | \% | CI | \% | CI | \% | CI | \% | CI |  |
| Every day | 36.0 | [34.6,37.4] | 39.4 | [36.7,42.2] | 40.3 | [38.3,42.3] | 41.2 | [38.2,44.2] | 37.6 | [36.6,38.7] | 5,633 |
| Several times a week | 28.2 | [27.1,29.3] | 29.4 | [27.3,31.6] | 28.9 | [27.1,30.8] | 29.7 | [27.6,31.8] | 28.6 | [27.8,29.4] | 4,246 |
| Once or twice a week | 21.8 | [20.8,22.8] | 20.0 | [18.2,21.8] | 19.7 | [18.2,21.3] | 18.8 | [16.6,21.2] | 20.9 | [20.2,21.7] | 3,142 |
| Once or twice a month | 7.5 | [6.9,8.2] | 6.0 | [5.0,7.2] | 7.0 | [5.8,8.4] | 5.6 | [4.6,6.7] | 7.0 | [6.6,7.5] | 1,028 |
| Less often | 4.0 | [3.5,4.5] | 3.8 | [3.1,4.7] | 2.5 | [1.8,3.3] | 2.7 | [2.0,3.6] | 3.6 | [3.3,4.0] | 622 |
| Not at all | 2.5 | [2.1,3.0] | 1.4 | [1.0,1.8] | 1.7 | [1.2,2.4] | 2.1 | [1.6,2.8] | 2.2 | [1.9,2.5] | 493 |
| Total | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 15,164 |
|  | 9702 |  | 2132 |  | 1800 |  | 1530 |  |  |  |  |

Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(15) = 70.8551
Design-based $\mathrm{F}(13.25,5152.73)=4.1099 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$
Sample includes all MCS3 mothers responding to question.

Table 4.10: How often do you do musical activities with your child? Mothers Scotland

|  | Every day | Several times a week | Once or twice a week | Once or twice a month | Less often | Not at all | Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |
| Mother's age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 to 29 | 47.9 | 27.8 | 17.0 | (3.0) | (2.8) | (1.5) | 415 |
| 30 to 39 | 41.9 | 29.5 | 19.3 | 6.5 | (1.6) | (1.1) | 1,020 |
| 40 plus | 28.4 | 29.6 | 22.5 | 12.1 | (4.6) | (2.7) | 374 |
| Total | 40.3 | 29.2 | 19.5 | 7.0 | 2.5 | (1.6) | 1,809 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(10) $=62.3155$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(8.28, 496.72) $=6.3948 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mother's employment status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not in work | 42.6 | 30.5 | 16.8 | 6.1 | (2.2) | (1.7) | 660 |
| Working | 39.0 | 28.4 | 21.0 | 7.5 | (2.7) | (1.5) | 1,149 |
| Total | 40.3 | 29.2 | 19.5 | 7.0 | 2.5 | (1.6) | 1,809 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(5) $=7.2394$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(4.66, 279.86) $=1.5370 \mathrm{Pr}=0.183$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mother's highest qualifications |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No qualifications | 46.0 | 24.4 | (17.9) | (5.9) | (3.4) | (2.5) | 170 |
| NVQ Level 1 | 51.8 | (20.7) | (21.0) | (3.2) | (2.0) | (1.2) | 67 |
| NVQ Level 2 | 43.4 | 30.3 | 19.3 | (3.6) | (2.5) | (0.9) | 430 |
| NVQ Level 3 | 39.4 | 30.7 | 20.5 | (6.3) | (2.2) | (0.9) | 372 |
| NVQ Level 4 | 36.6 | 30.6 | 19.0 | 9.8 | (2.2) | (1.7) | 577 |
| NVQ Level 5 | 38.6 | 26.7 | 22.7 | (8.1) | (2.4) | (1.5) | 160 |
| Total | 40.3 | 29.3 | 19.7 | 6.9 | 2.4 | (1.4) | 1,776 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(25) = 32.1733 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(15.42, 925.36) $=1.1920 \mathrm{Pr}=0.270$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Two parents | 38.7 | 29.8 | 19.8 | 7.7 | 2.3 | (1.7) | 1,475 |
| 1 parent | 47.8 | 26.2 | 17.9 | (3.6) | (3.7) | (0.7) | 335 |
| Total | 40.2 | 29.2 | 19.5 | 7.0 | 2.5 | (1.6) | 1,810 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(5) = 16.7626 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(4.54, 272.53) $=3.7871 \mathrm{Pr}=0.003$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample includes all MCS3 mothers resident in Scotland at MCS1 responding to question.

Table 4.11: How often do you play sports or physically active games with your child? Mothers - by country

|  | England |  | Wales |  | Scotland |  | Northern Ireland |  | Total |  | Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | CI | \% | CI | \% | CI | \% | CI | \% | CI |  |
| Every day | 5.9 | [5.4,6.5] | 8.3 | [7.1,9.6] | 5.0 | [4.0,6.1] | 9.2 | [7.6,11.1] | 6.5 | [6.1,7.0] | 1,018 |
| Several times a week | 17.5 | [16.4,18.6] | 18.8 | [17.2,20.5] | 17.9 | [15.9,20.1] | 22.5 | [20.5,24.7] | 18.3 | [17.5,19.1] | 2,693 |
| Once or twice a week | 36.1 | [34.9,37.2] | 38.1 | [36.3,39.9] | 38.7 | [36.5,40.8] | 33.5 | [31.3,35.9] | 36.4 | [35.6,37.3] | 5,339 |
| Once or twice a month | 18.7 | [17.7,19.7] | 16.0 | [14.3,17.7] | 18.4 | [16.5,20.4] | 15.4 | [13.8,17.1] | 17.9 | [17.2,18.6] | 2,612 |
| Less often | 13.8 | [12.9,14.7] | 12.3 | [11.0,13.7] | 13.3 | [11.9,14.8] | 12.1 | [10.5,13.8] | 13.3 | [12.7,14.0] | 2,090 |
| Not at all | 8.1 | [7.3,8.9] | 6.6 | [5.4,8.1] | 6.7 | [5.8,7.8] | 7.3 | [6.0,8.9] | 7.6 | [7.0,8.2] | 1,412 |
| Total | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 15,164 |
|  | 9704 |  | 2132 |  | 1798 |  | 1530 |  |  |  |  |

Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(15) = 97.3949
Design-based $\mathrm{F}(13.14,5110.05)=5.2705 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$
Sample includes all MCS3 mothers responding to question

Table 4.12: How often do you play sports or physically active games with your child? Mothers in Scotland

|  | Every day | Several times a week | Once or twice a week | Once or twice a month | Less often | Not at all | Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |
| Mother's age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 to 29 | 5.2 | 19.9 | 35.5 | 17.2 | 14.8 | 7.3 | 415 |
| 30 to 39 | 5.6 | 17.8 | 39.4 | 19.3 | 12.4 | 5.6 | 1,018 |
| 40 plus | (4.2) | 16.6 | 38.0 | 19.2 | 13.7 | (8.3) | 374 |
| Total | 5.2 | 18.0 | 38.2 | 18.8 | 13.2 | 6.6 | 1,807 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(10) $=9.0217$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based $\mathrm{F}(8.53,512.03)=0.9265 \mathrm{Pr}=0.498$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mother's employment status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not in work | 6.0 | 17.5 | 36.8 | 15.1 | 15.3 | 9.3 | 659 |
| Working | 4.7 | 18.2 | 39.0 | 20.9 | 12.1 | 5.1 | 1,148 |
| Total | 5.2 | 18.0 | 38.2 | 18.8 | 13.2 | 6.6 | 1,807 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2 $(5)=23.3174$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(4.24, 254.43) $=4.9956 \mathrm{Pr}=0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mother's highest qualifications |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No qualifications | (7.9) | (11.5) | 30.8 | (14.5) | (18.1) | (17.2) | 169 |
| NVQ Level 1 | (4.5) | (14.1) | (37.2) | (21.8) | (15.5) | (6.9) | 67 |
| NVQ Level 2 | (6.3) | 17.6 | 33.8 | 18.7 | 15.9 | 7.7 | 430 |
| NVQ Level 3 | (4.5) | 18.8 | 39.4 | 17.6 | 14.6 | (5.1) | 372 |
| NVQ Level 4 | (4.3) | 21.3 | 38.5 | 21.8 | 9.8 | (4.3) | 576 |
| NVQ Level 5 | (5.5) | (13.0) | 51.5 | (13.5) | (11.8) | (4.6) | 160 |
| Total | 5.2 | 18.1 | 38.1 | 18.8 | 13.3 | 6.5 | 1,774 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(25) = 79.1137 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based $\mathrm{F}(16.70,1001.86)=3.3709 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Two parents | 5.1 | 18.2 | 38.9 | 18.9 | 12.8 | 6.1 | 1,474 |
| 1 parent | (5.5) | 16.6 | 35.2 | 18.3 | 15.3 | 9.2 | 334 |
| Total | 5.2 | 18.0 | 38.2 | 18.8 | 13.2 | 6.6 | 1,808 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2 $(5)=6.3974$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(4.50, 270.02) = 1.2556 Pr $=0.286$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample includes all MCS3 mothers resident in Scotland at MCS1 responding to question.

Table 4.13: How often do you play sports or physically active games with your child? Fathers by country

|  | England |  | Wales |  | Scotland |  | Northern Ireland |  | Total |  | Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | CI | \% | CI | \% | CI | \% | CI | \% | CI |  |
| Every day | 12.2 | [11.2,13.2] | 17.1 | [14.6,19.9] | 11.1 | [9.3,13.3] | 15.3 | [13.2,17.6] | 13.1 | [12.3,14.0] | 1,386 |
| Several times a week | 26.0 | [24.7,27.3] | 28.0 | [25.6,30.5] | 28.5 | [26.0,31.2] | 31.6 | [28.7,34.6] | 27.2 | [26.2,28.2] | 2,781 |
| Once or twice a week | 39.5 | [38.1,41.0] | 36.2 | [33.4,39.1] | 39.6 | [37.0,42.2] | 35.0 | [32.2,37.8] | 38.5 | [37.5,39.6] | 3,944 |
| Once or twice a month | 13.5 | [12.6,14.4] | 10.5 | [9.0,12.2] | 14.5 | [12.9,16.3] | 10.6 | [8.6,13.0] | 12.8 | [12.2,13.6] | 1,342 |
| Less often | 5.9 | [5.3,6.7] | 5.7 | [4.6,7.2] | 4.4 | [3.5,5.5] | 5.3 | [4.0,7.0] | 5.6 | [5.1,6.2] | 658 |
| Not at all | 2.9 | [2.5,3.4] | 2.5 | [1.7,3.6] | 1.8 | [1.3,2.4] | 2.3 | [1.5,3.5] | 2.7 | [2.3,3.0] | 372 |
| Total | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 10,483 |
|  | 6710 |  | 1484 |  | 1270 |  | 1019 |  |  |  |  |

Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(15) = 80.2826
Design-based $\mathrm{F}(13.33,5184.53)=4.4451 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$
Sample includes all MCS3 fathers responding to question

Table 4.14: How often do you play sports or physically active games with your child? Fathers in Scotland

|  | Every day | Several times a week | Once or twice a week | Once or twice a month | Less often | Not at all | Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |
| Father's age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 to 29 | (18.8) | 29.7 | 31.4 | (11.1) | (5.7) | (3.2) | 134 |
| 30 to 39 | 11.8 | 28.8 | 38.9 | 14.8 | (4.2) | (1.5) | 688 |
| 40 plus | 7.5 | 29.5 | 41.9 | 14.6 | (4.8) | (1.8) | 456 |
| Total | 10.9 | 29.1 | 39.3 | 14.4 | 4.5 | (1.8) | 1,278 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(10) $=18.5891$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(8.72, 523.34) $=1.9805 \mathrm{Pr}=0.041$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Father's employment status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not in work | (27.4) | (26.6) | (27.3) | (6.5) | (7.4) | (4.7) | 94 |
| Working | 9.8 | 29.2 | 40.2 | 14.9 | 4.3 | (1.5) | 1,184 |
| Total | 10.9 | 29.1 | 39.3 | 14.4 | 4.5 | (1.8) | 1,278 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(5) $=35.1276$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(4.94, 296.40) $=8.1514 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Father's highest qualifications |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No qualifications | (14.6) | 29.1 | 31.4 | (13.3) | (7.5) | (4.0) | 110 |
| NVQ Level 1 | (6.6) | (13.8) | (48.5) | (8.0) | (17.4) | (5.8) | 36 |
| NVQ Level 2 | 15.6 | 22.3 | 39.8 | 15.8 | (5.4) | (1.2) | 305 |
| NVQ Level 3 | (8.6) | 32.6 | 36.4 | 14.7 | (5.0) | (2.6) | 239 |
| NVQ Level 4 | 9.0 | 33.5 | 41.2 | 13.0 | (2.3) | (1.0) | 353 |
| NVQ Level 5 | (9.5) | 27.9 | 41.7 | (17.5) | (3.4) | (0.0) | 167 |
| Total | 11.0 | 28.9 | 39.4 | 14.5 | 4.6 | (1.6) | 1,210 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(25) = 59.8349 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(15.29, 917.55) $=2.5341 \mathrm{Pr}=0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample includes all MCS3 fathers in households resident in Scotland at MCS1 responding to question.

Table 4.15: How often do you play with toys or games indoors with your child? Fathers by country


Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(15) = 41.8660
Design-based $\mathrm{F}(12.94,5035.60)=2.3353 \mathrm{Pr}=0.004$
Sample includes all MCS3 fathers responding to question

Table 4.16: How often do you play with toys or games indoors with your child? Fathers in Scotland

|  | Every day | Several times a week | Once or twice a week | Once or twice a month | Less often | Not at all | Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |
| Father's age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 to 29 | (24.1) | 31.2 | 31.0 | (8.5) | (5.3) | (0.0) | 134 |
| 30 to 39 | 16.4 | 38.6 | 33.7 | 7.3 | (3.2) | (0.8) | 688 |
| 40 plus | 17.1 | 36.6 | 31.8 | 10.1 | (3.2) | (1.2) | 456 |
| Total | 17.4 | 37.2 | 32.7 | 8.4 | 3.4 | (0.9) | 1,278 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(10) $=11.1960$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(7.89, 473.10) $=1.2236 \mathrm{Pr}=0.284$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Father's employment status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not in work | 29.5 | 41.3 | (20.8) | (5.1) | (1.8) | (1.5) | 94 |
| Working | 16.6 | 36.9 | 33.5 | 8.7 | 3.5 | (0.9) | 1,184 |
| Total | 17.5 | 37.2 | 32.7 | 8.4 | 3.4 | (0.9) | 1,278 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(5) = 13.6621 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(4.45, 266.90) = 2.7512 Pr $=0.024$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Father's highest qualifications |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No qualifications | (19.6) | 29.9 | 31.4 | (12.4) | (2.3) | (4.5) | 110 |
| NVQ Level 1 | (23.9) | (31.8) | (23.9) | (16.0) | (4.4) | (0.0) | 36 |
| NVQ Level 2 | 15.4 | 35.4 | 34.0 | (8.6) | (5.0) | (1.6) | 305 |
| NVQ Level 3 | 19.3 | 36.7 | 32.3 | (7.2) | (4.5) | (0.0) | 239 |
| NVQ Level 4 | 14.9 | 44.4 | 32.0 | (7.0) | (1.4) | (0.3) | 353 |
| NVQ Level 5 | 20.1 | 33.1 | 33.9 | (8.0) | (4.2) | (0.7) | 167 |
| Total | 17.3 | 37.6 | 32.5 | 8.3 | 3.4 | (0.9) | 1,210 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(25) $=45.3642$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(16.65, 999.02) $=1.8796 \mathrm{Pr}=0.017$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample includes all MCS3 fathers resident in Scotland at MCS1 responding to question.

Table 4.17: How often do you take your child to the park or an outdoor playground? Mothers by country

|  | England |  | Wales |  | Scotland |  | Northern Ireland |  | Total |  | Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | Cl | \% | Cl | \% | Cl | \% | Cl | \% | Cl |  |
| Every day | 2.8 | [2.4,3.2] | 3.8 | [2.9,4.9] | 4.5 | [3.7,5.6] | 3.4 | [2.6,4.5] | 3.2 | [2.9,3.6] | 520 |
| Several times a week | 14.2 | [13.2,15.3] | 15.6 | [13.9,17.6] | 16.5 | [14.7,18.4] | 15.6 | [13.3,18.3] | 14.9 | [14.1,15.7] | 2,320 |
| Once or twice a week | 43.0 | [41.7,44.3] | 44.4 | [41.0,47.8] | 46.4 | [44.3,48.6] | 42.7 | [39.2,46.2] | 43.6 | [42.5,44.7] | 6,598 |
| Once or twice a month | 28.7 | [27.2,30.2] | 25.1 | [22.8,27.6] | 24.6 | [22.5,26.9] | 26.8 | [23.6,30.3] | 27.4 | [26.3,28.5] | 3,973 |
| Less often | 8.2 | [7.5,9.0] | 8.2 | [6.5,10.3] | 5.6 | [4.6,6.8] | 8.5 | [7.3,9.9] | 7.9 | [7.4,8.5] | 1,247 |
| Not at all | 3.1 | [2.7,3.6] | 3.0 | [2.1,4.2] | 2.4 | [1.7,3.3] | 2.9 | [2.2,3.8] | 3.0 | [2.6,3.3] | 501 |
| Total | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 15,159 |
|  | 9700 |  | 2132 |  | 1797 |  | 1530 |  |  |  |  |

Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(15) $=65.4278$
Design-based $\mathrm{F}(10.95,4257.98)=2.6309 \mathrm{Pr}=0.002$
Sample includes all MCS3 mothers responding to question

Table 4.18: How often do you take your child to the park or an outdoor playground? Mothers in Scotland

|  | Every day | Several times a week | Once or twice a week | Once or twice a month | Less often | Not at all | Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |
| Mother's age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 to 29 | (4.5) | 21.3 | 43.7 | 21.0 | (5.9) | (3.6) | 415 |
| 30 to 39 | 4.8 | 14.3 | 47.7 | 26.4 | 4.8 | (1.9) | 1,018 |
| 40 plus | (4.2) | 17.2 | 45.2 | 22.9 | (7.6) | (2.8) | 373 |
| Total | 4.6 | 16.4 | 46.3 | 24.5 | 5.6 | 2.5 | 1,806 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(10) $=21.2022$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(8.91 534.40) $=2.1806 \mathrm{Pr}=0.022$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mother's employment status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not in work | 6.3 | 16.7 | 46.6 | 19.1 | 6.7 | 4.6 | 659 |
| Working | 3.7 | 16.3 | 46.1 | 27.5 | 5.1 | 1.3 | 1,147 |
| Total | 4.6 | 16.4 | 46.3 | 24.5 | 5.6 | 2.5 | 1,806 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(5) $=38.3589$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(4.47, 268.05) $=8.4486 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mother's highest qualifications |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No qualifications | (7.1) | (14.3) | 46.0 | (17.9) | (7.3) | (7.4) | 169 |
| NVQ Level 1 | (1.2) | (20.3) | (36.9) | (26.7) | (9.7) | (5.2) | 67 |
| NVQ Level 2 | (5.5) | 17.5 | 46.2 | 21.7 | (7.0) | (2.0) | 430 |
| NVQ Level 3 | (3.6) | 16.9 | 47.4 | 23.9 | (5.3) | (2.9) | 372 |
| NVQ Level 4 | (3.8) | 15.0 | 46.7 | 29.2 | (3.7) | (1.5) | 575 |
| NVQ Level 5 | (6.6) | (16.9) | 46.4 | 22.3 | (6.6) | (1.2) | 160 |
| Total | 4.6 | 16.3 | 46.3 | 24.7 | 5.6 | 2.5 | 1,773 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(25) = 49.2510 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(15.80, 948.03) $=1.9886 \mathrm{Pr}=0.012$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Two parents | 4.8 | 15.5 | 46.3 | 25.5 | 5.4 | 2.5 | 1,473 |
| 1 parent | (3.7) | 21.2 | 46.3 | 19.3 | (7.0) | (2.4) | 334 |
| Total | 4.6 | 16.4 | 46.3 | 24.5 | 5.6 | 2.5 | 1,807 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(5) = 11.1069 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(4.61, 276.87) $=2.1974 \mathrm{Pr}=0.060$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample includes all MCS3 mothers resident in Scotland at MCS1 responding to question.

Table 4.19: How often do you take your child to the park or an outdoor playground? Fathers by country


Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(15) = 54.7015
Design-based $\mathrm{F}(11.35,4415.34)=2.6495 \mathrm{Pr}=0.002$
Sample includes all MCS3 fathers responding to question

Table 4.20: How often do you take your child to the park or an outdoor playground? Fathers in Scotland

|  | Every day | Several times a week | Once or twice a week | Once or twice a month | Less often | Not at all | Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |
| Father's age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 to 29 | (3.0) | (14.0) | 42.9 | 29.6 | (8.9) | (1.6) | 134 |
| 30 to 39 | (1.9) | 10.8 | 42.9 | 32.2 | 9.9 | (2.3) | 688 |
| 40 plus | (1.9) | 9.3 | 43.5 | 32.4 | 11.1 | (1.8) | 456 |
| Total | (2.0) | 10.6 | 43.1 | 32.0 | 10.2 | (2.1) | 1,278 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2 $(10)=4.0093$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(8.01, 480.47) $=0.3622 \mathrm{Pr}=0.940$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Father's employment status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not in work | (5.6) | (24.7) | 34.3 | (22.0) | (11.0) | (2.4) | 94 |
| Working | (1.8) | 9.6 | 43.8 | 32.7 | 10.2 | (2.0) | 1,184 |
| Total | (2.0) | 10.6 | 43.2 | 32.0 | 10.2 | (2.1) | 1,278 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(5) $=26.8666$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(4.52, 271.15) $=6.0076 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Father's highest qualifications |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No qualifications | (3.2) | (15.6) | 32.7 | (26.7) | (11.3) | (10.5) | 110 |
| NVQ Level 1 | (0.0) | (21.8) | (38.3) | (23.9) | (12.4) | (3.6) | 36 |
| NVQ Level 2 | (1.7) | 11.2 | 41.8 | 30.5 | 12.7 | (2.1) | 305 |
| NVQ Level 3 | (2.3) | (8.4) | 46.2 | 31.0 | (10.0) | (2.1) | 239 |
| NVQ Level 4 | (1.7) | (9.2) | 47.4 | 32.3 | (9.0) | (0.3) | 353 |
| NVQ Level 5 | (2.6) | (8.6) | 39.4 | 41.9 | (7.6) | (0.0) | 167 |
| Total | (2.0) | 10.3 | 43.2 | 32.2 | 10.2 | (2.0) | 1,210 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(25) $=71.4685$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based $\mathrm{F}(15.85,950.76)=2.8239 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample includes all MCS3 fathers resident in Scotland at MCS1 responding to question.

Table 4.21: How often do you get your child ready for bed or put your child to bed? Fathers by country

|  | England |  | Wales |  | Scotland |  | Northern Ireland |  | Total |  | Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | Cl | \% | CI | \% | Cl | \% | CI | \% | Cl |  |
| Every day | 19.3 | [18.1,20.7] | 23.3 | [21.3,25.4] | 18.9 | [16.8,21.2] | 15.9 | [13.8,18.3] | 19.5 | [18.6,20.5] | 2,062 |
| Several times a week | 45.3 | [43.8,46.7] | 45.1 | [43.0,47.3] | 52.0 | [49.2,54.8] | 49.6 | [46.6,52.5] | 46.5 | [45.5,47.6] | 4,625 |
| Once or twice a week | 22.3 | [20.9,23.7] | 21.5 | [19.4,23.7] | 20.1 | [17.8,22.6] | 24.9 | [22.1,27.9] | 22.2 | [21.2,23.2] | 2,308 |
| Once or twice a month | 5.0 | [4.5,5.6] | 4.4 | [3.4,5.6] | 4.2 | [3.2,5.4] | 4.2 | [3.1,5.8] | 4.7 | [4.3,5.2] | 534 |
| Less often | 4.1 | [3.6,4.7] | 4.0 | [3.2,5.1] | 3.0 | [2.1,4.4] | 3.2 | [2.3,4.5] | 3.9 | [3.5,4.3] | 491 |
| Not at all | 4.0 | [3.4,4.6] | 1.7 | [1.3,2.3] | 1.8 | [1.2,2.7] | 2.2 | [1.5,3.2] | 3.2 | [2.8,3.6] | 463 |
| Total | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 10,483 |
|  | 6710 |  | 1484 |  | 1270 |  | 1019 |  |  |  |  |

Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(15) = 81.8437
Design-based F(13.52, 5258.44) $=4.8751 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$
Sample includes all MCS3 fathers responding to question

Table 4.22: How often do you get your child ready for bed or put your child to bed? Fathers in Scotland

|  | Every day | Several times a week | Once or twice a week | Once or twice a month | Less often | Not at all | Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |
| Father's age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 to 29 | (12.9) | 54.5 | 23.3 | (4.1) | (4.2) | (1.0) | 134 |
| 30 to 39 | 20.3 | 51.4 | 20.2 | (3.6) | (3.0) | (1.4) | 688 |
| 40 plus | 18.7 | 51.6 | 19.3 | (4.9) | (2.9) | (2.6) | 456 |
| Total | 19.0 | 51.7 | 20.2 | 4.1 | 3.1 | (1.8) | 1,278 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(10) $=8.4781$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F $(8.54,512.35)=0.8214 \mathrm{Pr}=0.591$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Father's employment status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not in work | (28.9) | 39.3 | (18.2) | (1.8) | (5.3) | (6.5) | 94 |
| Working | 18.4 | 52.5 | 20.3 | 4.3 | 3.0 | (1.5) | 1,184 |
| Total | 19.1 | 51.7 | 20.2 | 4.1 | 3.1 | (1.8) | 1,278 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2 $(5)=20.5767$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(4.63, 277.98) $=4.1942 \mathrm{Pr}=0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Father's highest qualifications |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No qualifications | (21.7) | 37.4 | (23.1) | (4.6) | (6.8) | (6.5) | 110 |
| NVQ Level 1 | (13.8) | (49.3) | (23.2) | (4.4) | (9.4) | (0.0) | 36 |
| NVQ Level 2 | 15.7 | 51.0 | 23.5 | (4.1) | (3.6) | (1.9) | 305 |
| NVQ Level 3 | 23.0 | 45.9 | 19.3 | (7.1) | (3.6) | (1.2) | 239 |
| NVQ Level 4 | 19.5 | 57.3 | 17.5 | (3.8) | (1.0) | (0.8) | 353 |
| NVQ Level 5 | 20.8 | 56.3 | 18.1 | (0.9) | (1.4) | (2.6) | 167 |
| Total | 19.5 | 51.6 | 20.0 | 4.2 | 2.9 | (1.9) | 1,210 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(25) $=58.0238$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(16.28, 976.50) $=2.3582 \mathrm{Pr}=0.002$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample includes all MCS3 mothers resident in Scotland at MCS1 responding to question.

Table 4.23: How often do you look after your child on your own? Fathers by country

|  | England |  | Wales |  | Scotland |  | Northern Ireland |  | Total |  | Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | Cl | \% | CI | \% | CI | \% | Cl | \% | Cl |  |
| Every day | 8.2 | [7.4,9.0] | 9.0 | [8.0,10.1] | 7.6 | [6.3,9.2] | 8.1 | [6.3,10.5] | 8.2 | [7.7,8.8] | 1,014 |
| Several times a week | 25.4 | [24.2,26.7] | 29.7 | [27.3,32.3] | 32.6 | [30.2,35.1] | 36.4 | [33.1,39.7] | 28.2 | [27.2,29.1] | 2,918 |
| Once or twice a week | 36.1 | [34.9,37.3] | 36.7 | [33.9,39.5] | 36.2 | [33.4,39.1] | 38.0 | [34.9,41.2] | 36.4 | [35.4,37.4] | 3,663 |
| Once or twice a month | 19.6 | [18.4,20.8] | 16.0 | [14.0,18.3] | 17.8 | [15.6,20.3] | 12.2 | [10.5,14.2] | 18.0 | [17.2,18.9] | 1,795 |
| Less often | 8.3 | [7.6,9.2] | 6.9 | [5.8,8.1] | 4.8 | [4.0,5.8] | 4.7 | [3.6,6.1] | 7.3 | [6.8,7.8] | 844 |
| Not at all | 2.4 | [2.0,2.8] | 1.7 | [1.0,2.8] | 1.0 | [0.6,1.6] | 0.6 | [0.3,1.3] | 1.9 | [1.6,2.2] | 249 |
| Total | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 10,483 |
|  | 6710 |  | 1484 |  | 1270 |  |  |  | 1019 |  |  |

Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(15) = 144.7394
Design-based $\mathrm{F}(13.22,5144.00)=8.4570 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$
Sample includes all MCS3 fathers responding to question

Table 4.24: How often do you look after your child on your own? Fathers in Scotland

|  | every day | several times a week | once or twice a week | once or twice a month | less often | not at all | Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |
| Father's age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 to 29 | (7.7) | 37.6 | 34.0 | (14.8) | (3.6) | (2.2) | 134 |
| 30 to 39 | 8.5 | 32.3 | 35.8 | 17.0 | 5.0 | (1.3) | 688 |
| 40 plus | 6.8 | 32.1 | 36.7 | 19.6 | (4.1) | (0.7) | 456 |
| Total | 7.8 | 32.7 | 36.0 | 17.7 | 4.6 | (1.2) | 1,278 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2 $(10)=6.8758$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(7.61, 456.71) $=0.6900 \mathrm{Pr}=0.693$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Father's employment status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not in work | (23.5) | 32.5 | 32.1 | (6.5) | (2.9) | (2.4) | 94 |
| Working | 6.8 | 32.8 | 36.2 | 18.5 | 4.7 | (1.1) | 1,184 |
| Total | 7.8 | 32.7 | 36.0 | 17.7 | 4.6 | (1.2) | 1,278 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(5) = 35.8897 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(4.66, 279.89) $=$ 7.1457 $\mathrm{Pr}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Father's highest qualifications |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No qualifications | (14.1) | 32.7 | 33.2 | (10.1) | (8.5) | (1.5) | 110 |
| NVQ Level 1 | (11.6) | (35.5) | (34.7) | (10.2) | (5.8) | (2.2) | 36 |
| NVQ Level 2 | (9.7) | 33.8 | 34.2 | 17.4 | (3.3) | (1.6) | 305 |
| NVQ Level 3 | (9.8) | 32.3 | 35.8 | 17.2 | (4.1) | (0.8) | 239 |
| NVQ Level 4 | (5.4) | 32.0 | 35.6 | 22.4 | (3.9) | (0.7) | 353 |
| NVQ Level 5 | (5.0) | 28.4 | 41.6 | (18.1) | (6.1) | (0.7) | 167 |
| Total | 8.1 | 32.1 | 35.9 | 18.2 | 4.5 | (1.1) | 1,210 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(25) $=32.0300$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(16.85, 1010.93) $=1.3664 \mathrm{Pr}=0.146$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample includes all MCS3 fathers resident in Scotland at MCS1 responding to question.

Table 4.25: How often mothers in Scotland ignore child when naughty by age, highest qualification, mother's employment situation and family type

|  | Weighted Percentage (Unweighted Observations) |  |  |  |  |  | Total Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Never \% | Rarely \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Some } \\ \text { Times } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Often \% | Daily \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Can't say } \\ \% / \end{gathered}$ |  |
| All Responding Mothers in Scotland | 17.9 | 33.0 | 29.8 | 15.4 | 2.8 | (1.0) | 1761 |
| Mother's Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 30 | 17.6 | 31.2 | 29.9 | 18.1 | (4.4) | (1.8) | 408 |
| 30-39 | 17.4 | 32.9 | 30.4 | 15.5 | 3.2 | (<1) | 998 |
| 40+ | 19.6 | 35.2 | 31.2 | 12.2 | (<1) | (1.5) | 355 |
| ( $\mathrm{p}=0.026, \mathrm{~F}=1.97$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mother's Highest Qualification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No qualifications | 23.7 | 29.5 | 24.4 | (17.5) | (3.8) | (1.1) | 157 |
| NVQ1 | (15.0) | (28.7) | (31.2) | (14.6) | (8.5) | (2.0) | 67 |
| NVQ2 | 16.2 | 32.7 | 29.7 | 16.8 | (3.4) | (1.2) | 420 |
| NVQ3 | 17.0 | 33.0 | 30.0 | 16.7 | (1.9) | (1.4) | 364 |
| NVQ4 | 19.6 | 33.4 | 30.1 | 13.4 | (2.6) | (0.8) | 566 |
| NVQ5 | (13.6) | 37.9 | 33.7 | (13.9) | (0.9) |  | 156 |
| ( $\mathrm{p}=0.27, \mathrm{~F}=1.19$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mother's Employment Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not employed | 19.1 | 35.7 | 24.8 | 15.8 | (3.4) | (1.3) | 636 |
| Employed | 17.3 | 31.6 | 32.4 | 15.2 | (2.5) | (1.0) | 1124 |
| ( $p=0.092, F=1.98$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Two-parent/caregiver | 18.3 | 33.1 | 30.2 | 15.0 | 2.4 | (1.0) | 1442 |
| Lone parent/caregiver | 16.2 | 32.6 | 27.6 | 17.7 | (5.0) | (0.9) | 319 |
| ( $p=0.109, F=1.84$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: includes all MCS3 main respondents in Scotland who were mothers answering the question on ignoring child when naughty who also had valid data on the control variables
Unweighted observations, weighted percentages (using weight 1).

Tables 4.26: How often mothers in UK ignore child when naughty by age, highest qualification, mother's employment situation and family type

|  | Weighted Percentage (Unweighted Observations) |  |  |  |  | Total Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Never | Rarely | Sometimes | Often | Daily |  |
| All Responding Mothers | 19.6 (2870) | 28.3 (3970) | 32.3 (4369) | 15.9 (2203) | 2.7 (407) | 13819 |
| Mother's Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 30 | 18.1 (661) | 27.1 (940) | 30.2 (1024) | 18.1 (600) | 4.8 (165) | 3395 |
| 30 to 39 | 19.7 (1671) | 28.2 (2290) | 32.5 (2546) | 16.2 (1284) | 2.4 (212) | 8003 |
| 40 and above | 20.6 (536) | 29.9 (740) | 34.4 (798) | 12.6 (317) | 1.3 (30) | 2421 |
|  | $F=10.62, p=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mother's Employment Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not employed | 20.4 (1228) | 27.6 (1630) | 29.7 (1662) | 17.0 (960) | 3.7 (234) | 5714 |
| Employed | 19.0 (1642) | 28.8 (2340) | 34.0 (2706) | 15.2 (1243) | 2.1 (173) | 8104 |
|  | $\mathrm{F}=12.89, \mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mother's Highest Qualification |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No qualifications | 24.7 (364) | 26.7 (395) | 25.4 (359) | 14.6 (224) | 5.5 (70) | 1412 |
| NVQ1 | 19.3 (226) | 27.6 (292) | 30.8 (318) | 16.4 (175) | 4.5 (47) | 1058 |
| NVQ2 | 20.8 (848) | 28.7 (1127) | 30.2 (1166) | 16.0 (635) | 3.1 (129) | 3905 |
| NVQ3 | 18.4 (406) | 29.4 (622) | 33.3 (700) | 15.9 (327) | 2.2 (56) | 2111 |
| NVQ4 | 18.2 (742) | 28.2 (1136) | 34.7 (1320) | 16.2 (619) | 1.9 (79) | 3896 |
| NVQ5 | 17.8 (206) | 28.4 (308) | 37.2 (404) | 14.7 (167) | [0.9 (16)] | 1101 |
|  | $F=5.49, p=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Two-parent/caregiver | 19.4 (2289) | 28.6 (3215) | 33.0 (3594) | 15.6 (1724) | 2.3 (287) | 11109 |
| Lone parent/caregiver | 20.3 (581) | 27.0 (755) | 29.1 (775) | 17.7 (479) | 4.5 (120) | 2710 |
|  | $F=12.29, p=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Significant | $\mathrm{P}>\mathrm{F}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample includes all MCS3 mothers completing self-completion instrument \& responding to the question. 173 observations excluded who responded 'can't say' to question on ignoring child when naughty. Table displays unweighted observations and weighted percentages (country totals using weight 1, UK totals using weight 2).

Table 4.27: How often mothers in UK ignore child when naughty by country

|  | Country of interview |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | England |  | Wales |  | Scotland |  | Northern Ireland |  |
|  | \% | CI | \% | CI | \% | CI | \% | CI |
| Never | 19.8 | [18.9,20.8] | 22.5 | [20.9,24.2] | 18.0 | [16.1,20.1] | 22.0 | [20.1,24.0] |
| Rarely | 27.8 | [26.6,29.0] | 26.7 | [24.4,29.2] | 32.8 | [30.8,34.9] | 30.1 | [27.5,32.9] |
| Sometimes | 32.7 | [31.7,33.8] | 31.9 | [29.8,34.0] | 29.8 | [27.3,32.4] | 29.7 | [27.0,32.4] |
| Often | 15.9 | [15.0,16.8] | 14.9 | [13.2,16.9] | 15.5 | [14.0,17.2] | 14.7 | [12.8,16.9] |
| Daily | 2.6 | [2.2,3.0] | 2.8 | [2.0,3.9] | 2.8 | [2.2,3.6] | 2.4 | [1.7,3.4] |
| Can't say | 1.2 | [1.0,1.5] | 1.3 | [0.8,1.7] | 1.1 | [0.7,1.6] | 1.1 | [0.7,1.8] |
| Total | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  |
| Total Observations $\mathrm{N}=14003$ | 8719 |  | 2079 |  | 1752 |  | 1453 |  |

Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(15) $=41.5160$
Design-based F(13.15 5113.72) $=2.3161 \mathrm{Pr}=0.004$
Sample includes all MCS3 mothers responding to question

Tables 4.28: How often mothers in Scotland smack child when naughty by age, highest qualification, mother's employment situation and family type

|  | Weighted Percentage (Unweighted Observations) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Never \% | Rarely \% | Some <br> Times \% | Often \% | Daily \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Can't say } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Total Obs |
| All Responding Mothers in Scotland | 42.5 | 45.1 | 10.2 | (1.5) | (0.1) | (0.5) | 1761 |
| Mother's Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 30 | 43.4 | 41.3 | 11.8 | (2.6) | (0.2) | (0.2) | 408 |
| 30-39 | 41.1 | 45.9 | 10.7 | (1.5) | (0.2) | (0.6) | 998 |
| 40+ | 44.9 | 47.2 | (7.3) | (0.2) | (0) | (0.4) | 355 |
| ( $\mathrm{p}=0.000, \mathrm{~F}=10.59$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mother's Highest Qualification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No qualifications | 42.1 | 47.0 | (7.8) | (2.0) | (0.6) | (0.6) | 157 |
| NVQ1 | (27.5) | 62.0 | (7.2) | (3.2) | (0) | (0) | 67 |
| NVQ2 | 36.2 | 47.0 | 13.0 | (2.7) | (0) | (0.6) | 420 |
| NVQ3 | 43.9 | 43.7 | 10.6 | (1.7) | (0.2) | (0) | 364 |
| NVQ4 | 45.4 | 44.6 | 9.0 | (0.5) | (0) | (0.5) | 566 |
| NVQ5 | 52.3 | 37.9 | (8.9) | (0.5) | (0.5) | (0) | 156 |
| ( $\mathrm{p}=0.055, \mathrm{~F}=1.70$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mother's Employment status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not employed | 43.4 | 44.7 | 9.3 | (1.6) | (0.2) | (0.8) | 636 |
| Employed | 42.0 | 45.4 | 10.8 | (1.4) | (0.1) | (0.4) | 1124 |
| ( $\mathrm{p}=0.663, \mathrm{~F}=0.63$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Two-parent/caregiver | 42.2 | 45.3 | 10.8 | (1.1) | (0.1) | (0.6) | 1442 |
| Lone parent/caregiver | 44.2 | 44.6 | (7.7) | (3.3) | (0) | (0.3) | 319 |
| ( $\mathrm{p}=0.021, \mathrm{~F}=2.77$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample includes all MCS3 main respondents who were mothers in Scotland answering the question on smacking child when naughty who also had valid data on the control variables Unweighted observations, weighted percentages (using weight 1).

Tables 4.29: How often mothers in UK smack child when naughty by age, highest qualification, mother's employment situation and family type

|  | Weighted Percentage (Unweighted Observations) |  |  |  |  | Total Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Never | Rarely | Sometimes | Often | Daily |  |
| All Responding Mothers | 44.7 | 43.7 | 9.6 | 1.2 | (0.1) | 13915 |
| Mother's Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 30 | 45.9 | 41.4 | 10.0 | 1.7 | (0.2) | 3424 |
| 30 to 39 | 43.5 | 44.7 | 10.0 | 1.2 | (0.1) | 8051 |
| 40 and above | 47.5 | 43.1 | 8.1 | (0.9) |  | 2440 |
|  | $F=3.42, p=0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mother's Employment Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not employed | 45.0 | 42.6 | 10.0 | 1.5 | (0.2) | 5766 |
| Employed | 44.6 | 44.4 | 9.4 | 1.1 | (0.0) | 8148 |
|  | $F=3.23, p=0.013$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mother's Highest Qualification |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No qualifications | 46.7 | 39.8 | 9.8 | 2.2 | (0.3) | 1438 |
| NVQ1 | 40.6 | 47.6 | 9.9 | (1.3) | (0.3) | 1071 |
| NVQ2 | 40.2 | 47.5 | 10.2 | 1.3 | (0.0) | 3932 |
| NVQ3 | 43.5 | 45.0 | 9.3 | 1.5 | (0.2) | 2118 |
| NVQ4 | 47.8 | 41.7 | 9.1 | 0.9 | (0.0) | 3908 |
| NVQ5 | 54.3 | 37.2 | 7.6 | (0.7) | (0.0) | 1107 |
|  | $F=5.78, p=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Two-parent/caregiver | 45.0 | 43.5 | 9.8 | 1.2 | (0.1) | 11184 |
| Lone parent/caregiver | 43.5 | 45.0 | 8.9 | 1.6 | (0.2) | 2731 |
|  | $\mathrm{F}=2.41, \mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Significant | $\mathrm{P}>\mathrm{F}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample includes all MCS3 mothers completing self-completion instrument \& responding to the question. 93 observations excluded who responded 'can't say' to question on smacking child when naughty. Table displays unweighted observations and weighted percentages (country totals using weight 1, UK totals using weight 2).

Table 4.30: How often mothers in UK smack child when naughty by country

|  | Country of interview |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | England |  | Wales |  | Scotland |  | Northern Ireland |  |
|  | \% | Cl | \% | CI | \% | CI | \% | CI |
| Never | 45.2 | [43.9,46.6] | 48.9 | [46.7,51.2] | 42.7 | [39.7,45.7] | 35.2 | [32.8,37.7] |
| Rarely | 43.4 | [42.2,44.6] | 41.2 | [39.0,43.4] | 45.0 | [42.3,47.7] | 48.1 | [45.7,50.5] |
| Sometimes | 9.5 | [8.8,10.2] | 8.8 | [7.5,10.3] | 10.3 | [9.0,11.7] | 13.1 | [11.3,15.1] |
| Often | 1.2 | [0.9,1.4] | 0.9 | [0.6,1.5] | 1.5 | [1.0,2.1] | 3.1 | [2.4,4.0] |
| Daily | 0.1 | [0.1,0.2] |  |  | 0.1 | [0.04,0.4] | 0.2 | [0.1,0.6] |
| Can't say | 0.7 | [0.5,0.9] | 0.2 | [0.1,0.4] | 0.5 | [0.2,1.0] | 0.3 | [0.1,0.8] |
| Total | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  |
| Total observations $\mathrm{N}=14003$ | 8719 |  | 2079 |  | 1752 |  | 1453 |  |

Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(15) = 129.1194
Design-based $\mathrm{F}(13.375199 .39)=7.9494 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$
Sample includes all MCS3 mothers responding to question

Tables 4.31: How often mothers in Scotland tell child off when naughty by age, highest qualification, mother's employment situation and family type

|  | Weighted Percentage (Unweighted Observations) |  |  |  |  |  | Total Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Never \% | Rarely \% | Some Times \% | Often \% | Daily \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Can't } \\ \text { say } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| All Responding Mothers in Scotland | (0.4) | 8.8 | 27.0 | 48.8 | 14.5 | (0.5) | 1761 |
| Mother's Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 30 | (0.2) | 13.2 | 25.8 | 42.7 | 18.0 | (0) | 408 |
| 30-39 | (0.4) | 7.4 | 25.4 | 52.1 | 14.1 | (0.5) | 998 |
| 40+ | (0.4) | 8.3 | 32.4 | 46.2 | 11.9 | (0.7) | 355 |
| ( $p=0.000, F=13.60$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mother's Highest Qualification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No qualifications | (1.6) | 18.1 | 22.9 | 44.5 | (12.2) | (0.5) | 157 |
| NVQ1 | (0) | (6.9) | (19.8) | 51 | (22.3) | (0) | 67 |
| NVQ2 | (0) | 11.3 | 26.7 | 44.3 | 17.1 | (0.6) | 420 |
| NVQ3 | (0) | 8.6 | 27.7 | 47.2 | 16.1 | (0.4) | 364 |
| NVQ4 | (0.7) | 6.9 | 28.4 | 51.6 | 12.5 | (0) | 566 |
| NVQ5 | (0) | (3.2) | 27.2 | 56.8 | (12.7) | (0) | 156 |
| ( $p=0.004, F=2.26$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mother's Employment Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not employed | (0.5) | 11.1 | 24.3 | 49.1 | 14.6 | (0.4) | 636 |
| Employed | (0.3) | 7.6 | 28.5 | 48.7 | 14.4 | (0.5) | 1124 |
| $(p=0.144, F=1.69)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Two-parent/caregiver | (0.3) | 7.9 | 27.7 | 50.1 | 13.5 | (0.5) | 1442 |
| Lone parent/caregiver | (0.5) | 13.8 | 23.2 | 42.4 | 19.4 | (0.7) | 319 |
| ( $p=0.000, F=4.84$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: includes all MCS3 main respondents who were mothers living in Scotland at MCS1 answering the question on telling child off when naughty who also had valid data on the control variables

Tables 4.32: How often mothers in UK tell child off when naughty by age, highest qualification, mother's employment situation and family type

|  | Weighted Percentage (Unweighted Observations) |  |  |  |  | Total Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Never | Rarely | Sometimes | Often | Daily |  |
| All Responding Mothers | 0.5 | 10.1 | 30.55 | 46.7 | 11.7 | 13931 |
| Mother's Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 30 | (0.5) | 14.7 | 29.4 | 40.1 | 14.7 | 3433 |
| 30 to 39 | 0.4 | 9.2 | 29.8 | 48.4 | 11.6 | 8058 |
| 40 and above | (0.6) | 8.0 | 33.9 | 48.5 | 8.6 | 2440 |
|  | $\mathrm{F}=17.12, \mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mother's Employment Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not employed | 0.7 | 12.2 | 29.4 | 43.6 | 13.5 | 5781 |
| Employed | 0.4 | 8.8 | 31.3 | 48.7 | 10.5 | 8149 |
|  | $\mathrm{F}=18.70, \mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mother's Highest Qualification |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No qualifications | (1.5) | 21.5 | 30.4 | 32.7 | 13.1 | 1442 |
| NVQ1 | (0.5) | 13.1 | 33.0 | 38.1 | 14.9 | 1071 |
| NVQ2 | (0.2) | 11.1 | 30.9 | 45.1 | 12.1 | 3935 |
| NVQ3 | (0.4) | 9.4 | 29.5 | 48.5 | 11.9 | 2122 |
| NVQ4 | (0.3) | 6.2 | 30.1 | 52.4 | 10.8 | 3916 |
| NVQ5 | (1.0) | 8.7 | 29.9 | 50.6 | 9.5 | 1108 |
|  | $\mathrm{F}=15.47, \mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Two-parent/caregiver | 0.5 | 9.3 | 30.6 | 47.9 | 11.3 | 11198 |
| Lone parent/caregiver | (0.6) | 13.8 | 30.4 | 41.4 | 13.3 | 2733 |
|  | $\mathrm{F}=13.97, \mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Significant | $\mathrm{P}>\mathrm{F}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample includes all MCS3 mothers completing self-completion instrument \& responding to the question. 77 observations excluded who responded 'can't say' to question on ignoring child when naughty. Table displays unweighted observations and weighted percentages (country totals using weight 1, UK totals using weight 2). |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.33: How often mothers in UK tell child off when naughty by country

|  | England |  | Wales |  | Scotland |  | Northern Ireland |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | CI | \% | CI | \% | CI | \% | CI |
| Never | 0.5 | [0.39,0.71] | 0.4 | [0.23,0.80] | 0.4 | [0.23,0.81] | 0.7 | [0.40,1.21] |
| Rarely | 10.3 | [9.52,11.04] | 10.8 | [9.58,12.06] | 9.2 | [7.94,10.57] | 10.5 | [8.82,12.45] |
| Sometimes | 30.9 | [29.70,32.18] | 32.0 | [29.96,34.16] | 26.7 | [24.49,28.98] | 30.8 | [27.93,33.73] |
| Often | 46.4 | [45.05,47.74] | 46.5 | [44.24,48.79] | 49.2 | [46.89,51.26] | 45.3 | [42.24,48.36] |
| Daily | 11.4 | [10.65,12.13] | 10.1 | [8.70,11.77] | 14.2 | [12.73,15.73] | 12.4 | [10.81,14.13] |
| Can't say | 0.5 | [0.40,0.69] | 0.2 | [0.07,0.34] | 0.5 | [0.24,0.94] | 0.4 | [0.20,0.78] |
| Total | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  |
| Total observations $\mathrm{N}=14003$ | 8719 |  | 2079 |  | 1752 |  | 1453 |  |

Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(15) $=39.9328$
Design-based $\mathrm{F}(12.875004 .82)=2.4664 \mathrm{Pr}=0.003$
Sample includes all MCS3 mothers responding to question

Tables 4.34: How mothers in Scotland feel as a parent by age, highest qualification, mother's employment situation and family type

|  | Weighted Percentage (Unweighted Observations) |  |  |  |  |  | Total Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Not very good \% | Has some problems \% | Aver <br> Age <br> \% | Better than average \% | A very good parent \% | Can't say \% |  |
| All Responding Mothers in Scotland | (0.5) | 3.1 | 36.3 | 30.2 | 29.3 | (0.5) | 1761 |
| Mother's Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 30 | (1.0) | (4.6) | 37.6 | 22.2 | 33.3 | (1.2) | 408 |
| 30-39 | (0.3) | (2.7) | 34.8 | 33.2 | 28.8 | (0.2) | 998 |
| 40+ | (0.5) | (3.0) | 39.2 | 30.0 | 26.6 | (0.7) | 355 |
| ( $p=0.017, F=2.1$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mother's Highest Qualification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No qualifications | (0.5) | (6.2) | 45.7 | (15.7) | 31.3 | (0.5) | 157 |
| NVQ1 | (1.2) | (3.7) | 50.6 | (21.0) | (23.5) |  | 67 |
| NVQ2 | (0.6) | (4.6) | 40.1 | 21.2 | 32.9 | (0.5) | 420 |
| NVQ3 | (0.9) | (3.2) | 36.3 | 32.1 | 27.3 | (0.2) | 364 |
| NVQ4 | (0.3) | (1.7) | 32.4 | 35.9 | 29.1 | (0.6) | 566 |
| NVQ5 |  | (1.7) | 28.4 | 44.8 | 23.5 | (1.5) | 156 |
| $(p=0.000, F=3.39)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mother's Employment Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not employed | (1.0) | (3.5) | 38.0 | 26.2 | 30.8 | (0.4) | 636 |
| Employed | (0.2) | 3.0 | 35.4 | 32.4 | 28.5 | (0.6) | 1124 |
| $(p=0.030, F=2.55)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Two-parent/caregiver | (0.6) | 2.5 | 36.3 | 31.5 | 28.7 | (0.5) | 1442 |
| Lone parent/caregiver | (0.3) | (6.6) | 36.1 | 24.0 | 32.2 | (0.8) | 319 |
| ( $p=0.002, F=4.09$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: includes all MCS3 main respondents who were mothers in Scotland at MCS1 answering the question on parenting competence who also had valid data on the control variables

Table 4.35: How mothers in UK feel as a parent by age, highest qualification, mother's employment situation and family type


Sample includes all MCS3 mothers completing self-completion instrument \& responding to the question. 102 observations excluded who responded 'can't say' to question on parenting competence. Table displays unweighted observations and weighted percentages (country totals using weight 1, UK totals using weight 2).

Table 4.36: How mothers in UK feel as a parent by country

|  | Country of interview |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | England |  | Wales |  | Scotland |  | Northern Ireland |  |
|  | \% | Cl | \% | CI | \% | CI | \% | CI |
| Not very good | 0.4 | [0.3,0.5] | 0.3 | [0.2,0.7] | 0.5 | [0.3,0.9] | 0.5 | [0.3,0.9] |
| Has some trouble | 3.3 | [2.9,3.8] | 2.8 | [2.1,3.7] | 3.2 | [2.6,4.0] | 2.2 | [1.7,3.0] |
| Average | 35.6 | [34.3,36.9] | 36.6 | [33.7,39.6] | 36.8 | [34.6,39.0] | 36.8 | [34.1,39.5] |
| Better than average | 29.1 | [27.9,30.2] | 25.7 | [23.5,28.1] | 29.8 | [27.6,32.0] | 26.9 | [24.5,29.6] |
| Very good | 31.0 | [29.8,32.2] | 33.8 | [31.8,35.9] | 29.2 | [26.8,31.7] | 33.0 | [30.2,36.0] |
| Can't say | 0.7 | [0.6,0.9] | 0.8 | [0.4,1.5] | 0.5 | [0.3,0.9] | 0.5 | [0.3,1.0] |
| Total | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  |
| Total Observations $\mathrm{N}=14004$ | 8719 |  | 2079 |  | 1752 |  | 1453 |  |

Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(15) $=27.8699$
Design-based F(12.484853.60) $=1.5658 \mathrm{Pr}=0.091$
Sample includes all MCS3 mothers responding to question

Tables 4.37: How fathers in Scotland feel as a parent by age, highest qualification and employment situation

|  | Weighted Percentage (Unweighted Observations) |  |  |  |  |  | Total Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Not very good \% | Has some problems \% | Average \% | Better than average \% | A very good parent \% | Can't say \% |  |
| All Responding Fathers in Scotland | (0.3) | 2.9 | 27.4 | 34.4 | 34.3 | (0.7) | 1238 |
| Father's Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 29 | (0.4) | (3.8) | 31.1 | 27.7 | 37.0 |  | 214 |
| 30-39 | (0.3) | (2.0) | 26.4 | 36.1 | 34.2 | (1.0) | 742 |
| 40+ | (0.4) | (4.3) | 27.4 | 34.7 | 32.8 | (0.4) | 282 |
| ( $p=0.58, F=0.85$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Father's Highest Qualification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No qualifications |  | (5.7) | 35.6 | (17.9) | 38.1 | (2.7) | 102 |
| NVQ1 |  |  | (43.7) | (22.2) | (31.8) | (2.2) | 35 |
| NVQ2 | (0.5) | (2.2) | 34.4 | 28.6 | 34.0 | (0.3) | 294 |
| NVQ3 | (0) | (2.6) | 28.1 | 36.7 | 32.1 | (0.5) | 236 |
| NVQ4 | (0.3) | (2.9) | 20.8 | 40.9 | 34.5 | (0.5) | 344 |
| NVQ5 | (0.7) | (3.1) | 20.4 | 38.3 | 37.0 | (0.4) | 161 |
| $(p=0.006, F=2.17)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Father's Employment Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not employed | (1.0) | (4.1) | 39.4 | (21.8) | (31.1) | (2.6) | 88 |
| Employed | (0.3) | 2.8 | 26.6 | 35.3 | 34.5 | (0.6) | 1149 |
| ( $p=0.007, F=3.36$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^8]Tables 4.38: How fathers in UK feel as a parent by age, highest qualification and employment situation


[^9]Table 4.39: How fathers in UK feel as a parent by country

|  | Country of interview |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | England |  | Wales |  | Scotland |  | N. Ireland |  |
|  | \% | Cl | \% | Cl | \% | Cl | \% | Cl |
| Not very good | 1.0 | [0.8,1.3] | 0.9 | [0.5,1.5] | 0.4 | [0.2,0.9] | 0.6 | [0.3,1.3] |
| Have some trouble | 3.3 | [2.9,3.7] | 2.1 | [1.5,3.0] | 2.8 | [1.9,4.1] | 1.9 | [1.2,2.8] |
| Average | 26.3 | [25.0,27.6] | 27.4 | [25.2,29.7] | 27.6 | [25.4,29.9] | 32.3 | [29.4,35.2] |
| Better than average | 33.6 | [32.3,35.0] | 30.8 | [27.9,33.6] | 34.8 | [32.1,37.6] | 33.6 | [30.7,36.5] |
| Very good | 35.3 | [34.0,36.6] | 38.3 | [35.3,41.4] | 33.7 | [31.0,36.5] | 31.3 | [28.9,33.9] |
| Can't say | 0.52 | [0.4,0.7] | 0.6 | [0.3,1.1] | 0.7 | [0.3,1.6] | 0.4 | [0.1,0.9] |
| Total | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  |
| Total observations $\mathrm{N}=9716$ | 6094 |  | 1416 |  | 1228 |  | 978 |  |

Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(15) $=42.2236$
Design-based F(13.065081.27) $=2.6069 \mathrm{Pr}=0.001$
Sample includes all MCS3 fathers responding to question

Table 4.40: On weekdays during term times, does your child go to bed at a regular time? Mothers by country

|  | England |  | Wales |  | Scotland |  | Northern Ireland |  | Total |  | Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | Cl | \% | Cl | \% | Cl | \% | Cl | \% | CI |  |
| No, never or almost never | 4.4 | [3.9,5.0] | 5.9 | [5.0,7.0] | 3.9 | [2.9,5.1] | 4.3 | [3.5,5.4] | 4.6 | [4.2,5.0] | 767 |
| Yes, sometimes | 4.5 | [4.1,5.1] | 5.4 | [4.2,6.8] | 5.6 | [4.5,6.9] | 6.1 | [5.0,7.3] | 5.0 | [4.6,5.4] | 864 |
| Yes, usually | 26.9 | [25.7,28.2] | 30.2 | [27.0,33.6] | 27.3 | [25.2,29.5] | 28.2 | [25.7,30.9] | 27.6 | [26.6,28.6] | 4,179 |
| Yes, always | 64.1 | [62.7,65.4] | 58.6 | [55.2,61.9] | 63.3 | [60.6,65.9] | 61.4 | [58.5,64.2] | 62.8 | [61.7,63.9] | 9,359 |
| Total | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 15,169 |

Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(9) $=38.4962$
Design-based $\mathrm{F}(7.84,3051.12)=2.7705 \mathrm{Pr}=0.005$
Sample includes all MCS3 mothers responding to question

Table 4.41: On weekdays during term times, does your child go to bed at a regular time? Mothers in Scotland

|  | No, never or almost never | Yes, sometimes | Yes, usually | Yes, always | Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |
| Mother's age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 to 29 | (5.2) | (6.4) | 21.8 | 66.5 | 415 |
| 30 to 39 | 3.6 | 5.4 | 28.5 | 62.5 | 1,020 |
| 40 plus | (2.9) | (4.9) | 30.5 | 61.7 | 374 |
| Total | 3.8 | 5.5 | 27.5 | 63.2 | 1,809 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(6) = 11.0552 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based $\mathrm{F}(5.04,302.57)=2.1953 \mathrm{Pr}=0.054$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mother's employment status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not in work | 5.3 | 6.9 | 25.5 | 62.2 | 660 |
| Working | 3.0 | 4.7 | 28.6 | 63.7 | 1,149 |
| Total | 3.8 | 5.5 | 27.5 | 63.2 | 1,809 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(3) = 11.3639 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(2.90, 174.03) $=3.7414 \mathrm{Pr}=0.013$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mother's highest qualifications |  |  |  |  |  |
| No qualifications | (7.0) | (15.7) | 27.8 | 49.5 | 170 |
| NVQ Level 1 | (10.9) | (7.7) | (30.0) | 51.4 | 67 |
| NVQ Level 2 | (4.6) | 7.1 | 25.6 | 62.7 | 430 |
| NVQ Level 3 | (3.2) | (6.4) | 25.6 | 64.8 | 372 |
| NVQ Level 4 | (2.3) | (1.9) | 29.3 | 66.4 | 577 |
| NVQ Level 5 | (1.2) | (3.8) | 27.9 | 67.2 | 160 |
| Total | 3.6 | 5.6 | 27.4 | 63.3 | 1,776 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(15) $=75.1683$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(10.95, 657.24) $=$ 5.2816 $\operatorname{Pr}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family type |  |  |  |  |  |
| two parents | 3.5 | 4.9 | 27.6 | 64.0 | 1,475 |
| 1 parent | (5.4) | (8.3) | 27.0 | 59.3 | 335 |
| Total | 3.8 | 5.5 | 27.5 | 63.2 | 1,810 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(3) $=8.5498$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(2.96, 177.71) = 3.0314 Pr = 0.031 |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample includes all MCS3 mothers resident in Scotland at MCS1 responding to question.

Table 5.1: Childcare use rates at MCS 3 - by country

|  |  | England | Wales | Scotland | Northern Ireland | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Partner | \% | 50.7 | 59.5 | 55.5 | 62.9 | 54.0 |
|  | Cl | [49.0,52.3] | [57.0,62.0] | [52.0,59.0] | [60.0,65.6] | [52.8,55.2] |
|  | Obs | 7,882 | 1,721 | 1,492 | 1,233 | 12,328 |
| Grandparent | \% | 25.1 | 35.3 | 33.4 | 34.2 | 28.7 |
|  | Cl | [23.4,26.8] | [32.3,38.4] | [30.4,36.5] | [31.7,36.7] | [27.5,30.0] |
|  | Obs | 9,838 | 2,163 | 1,826 | 1,556 | 15,383 |
| Other Relative | \% | 7.8 | 8.4 | 8.6 | 8.1 | 8.0 |
|  | Cl | [7.1,8.4] | [7.3,9.6] | [7.4,10.0] | [6.8,9.6] | [7.5,8.5] |
|  | Obs | 9,838 | 2,163 | 1,826 | 1,556 | 15,383 |
| Non-Relative | \% | 7.5 | 6.6 | 7.6 | 4.4 | 7.0 |
|  | Cl | [6.4,8.8] | [5.6,7.8] | [6.3,9.2] | [3.3,5.8] | [6.3,7.9] |
|  | Obs | 9,838 | 2,163 | 1,826 | 1,556 | 15,383 |
| Childminder | \% | 4.7 | 3.7 | 4.9 | 12.6 | 5.5 |
|  | Cl | [4.1,5.4] | [2.7,5.0] | [3.9,6.3] | [10.2,15.5] | [4.9,6.0] |
|  | Obs | 9,839 | 2,163 | 1,826 | 1,556 | 15,384 |
| After-School Club | \% | 7.6 | 12.0 | 10.3 | 6.0 | 8.4 |
|  | Cl | [6.7,8.6] | [9.1,15.5] | [8.5,12.4] | [4.6,7.7] | [7.7,9.2] |
|  | Obs | 9,401 | 2,042 | 1,717 | 1,470 | 14,630 |
| Formal Care | \% | 12.6 | 15.8 | 18.6 | 19.8 | 14.6 |
|  | Cl | [11.6,13.7] | [12.8,19.4] | [16.1,21.4] | [16.9,23.0] | [13.7,15.6] |
|  | Obs | 9,839 | 2,163 | 1,826 | 1,556 | 15,384 |
| Any Non-Parental Care | \% | 42.7 | 52.1 | 52.6 | 55.8 | 46.8 |
|  | Cl | [41.1,44.3] | [48.8,55.4] | [49.7,55.5] | [52.7,58.9] | [45.6,48.1] |
|  | Obs | 9,890 | 2,173 | 1,830 | 1,562 | 15,455 |
| Any Care | \% | 64.4 | 73.6 | 72.2 | 76.9 | 68.2 |
|  | Cl | [63.0,65.8] | [71.2,75.9] | [69.8,74.4] | [74.7,79.0] | [67.1,69.2] |
|  | Obs | 9,838 | 2,163 | 1,826 | 1,556 | 15,383 |

Sample: unweighted number of MCS3 main respondents who answered the question.

Table 5.2: Childcare use rates at MCS 3 in Scotland

|  | Partner |  | Grand parents |  | Other Relatives |  | Non- <br> Relatives |  | Child minder |  | After-School Club |  | Formal Care |  | Any NonParental Care |  | Any Care |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | Obs | \% | Obs | \% | Obs | \% | Obs | \% | Obs | \% | Obs | \% | Obs | \% | Obs | \% | Obs |
| Main respondent employment status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not in work | 43.6 | 469 | 16.5 | 642 | 5.8 | 642 | 5.8 | 642 | 1.2 | 642 | 2.8 | 597 | 6.8 | 642 | 26.2 | 645 | 49.2 | 642 |
| Working | 61.5 | 991 | 43.2 | 1,147 | 10.3 | 1,147 | 8.7 | 1,147 | 7.1 | 1,147 | 14.5 | 1,084 | 25.3 | 1,147 | 67.9 | 1,148 | 85.3 | 1150 |
| Total | 55.8 | 1,460 | 33.9 | 1,789 | 8.7 | 1,789 | 7.7 | 1,789 | 5.0 | 1,789 | 10.5 | 1,681 | 18.8 | 1,789 | 53.3 | 1,793 | 72.7 | 1789 |
| Highest parental qualification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No qualifications | 70.9 | 39 | 20.7 | 39 | 13.0 | 39 | 2.3 | 39 | 0.0 | 39 | 0.0 | 39 | 0.0 | 39 | 26.8 | 39 | 75.5 | 39 |
| NVQ Level 1 | (73.9) | 17 | (9.9) | 17 | (14.9) | 17 | (16.0 | 17 | 0.0 | 17 | (0.0) | 14 | (23.0) | 17 | (45.9) | 17 | (82.0) | 17 |
| NVQ Level 2 | 56.1 | 195 | 29.0 | 195 | 10.2 | 195 | 5.5 | 195 | 0.4 | 195 | 4.1 | 181 | 9.8 | 195 | 42.3 | 196 | 72.6 | 195 |
| NVQ Level 3 | 57.6 | 287 | 37.0 | 287 | 6.8 | 287 | 7.0 | 287 | 2.6 | 287 | 5.7 | 268 | 11.5 | 287 | 50.7 | 288 | 77.5 | 287 |
| NVQ Level 4 | 52.9 | 513 | 36.4 | 513 | 5.6 | 513 | 7.9 | 513 | 7.2 | 513 | 16.1 | 476 | 26.9 | 513 | 59.8 | 515 | 77.1 | 513 |
| NVQ Level 5 | 53.2 | 258 | 29.6 | 259 | 5.7 | 259 | 8.9 | 259 | 6.3 | 259 | 16.4 | 244 | 25.2 | 259 | 54.8 | 259 | 75.0 | 259 |
| Total | 55.1 | 1,309 | 33.4 | 1,310 | 6.8 | 1,310 | 7.6 | 1,310 | 4.9 | 1,310 | 11.7 | 1,222 | 20.2 | 1,310 | 53.5 | 1,314 | 76.2 | 1,310 |
| Family type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both parents | 55.9 | 1,378 | 33.4 | 1,378 | 6.7 | 1,378 | 7.3 | 1,378 | 4.6 | 1,378 | 11 | 1,282 | 19.4 | 1,378 | 52.7 | 1,382 | 76.3 | 1,378 |
| Lone parent | -- | -- | 36.1 | 327 | 17.5 | 327 | 7.3 | 327 | 6.0 | 327 | 8.7 | 317 | 16.3 | 327 | 55.5 | 327 | 55.9 | 327 |
| Total | 55.9 | 1,378 | 33.9 | 1,705 | 8.6 | 1,705 | 7.3 | 1,705 | 4.8 | 1,705 | 10.6 | 1,599 | 18.8 | 1,705 | 53.2 | 1,709 | 72.7 | 1,705 |
| Family type and employment status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-parent, at least one working | 56.3 | 1,313 | 35.1 | 1,314 | 7.3 | 1,314 | 7.5 | 1,314 | 5.1 | 1,314 | 11.6 | 1,226 | 20.7 | 1,314 | 55.4 | 1,316 | 77.3 | 1,314 |
| 2-parent, neither working | 71.7 | 64 | 12.6 | 64 | 3.6 | 64 | 4.5 | 64 | 0.0 | 64 | 2.4 | 60 | 3.6 | 64 | 19.8 | 64 | 76.6 | 64 |
| Lone, working | -- | -- | 54.0 | 156 | 25.1 | 156 | 10. | 156 | 10. | 156 | 13.1 | 152 | 26.1 | 156 | 82.2 | 156 | 83 | 156 |


|  | Partner |  | Grand parents |  | Other <br> Relatives |  | Non- <br> Relatives |  | Child minder |  | After-School Club |  | Formal Care |  | Any NonParental Care |  | Any Care |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lone, not working | -- | -- | 19.0 | 171 | 10.3 | 171 | 4.7 | 171 | 1.8 | 171 | 4.4 | 165 | 6.8 | 171 | 30.1 | 171 | 30.1 | 171 |
| Total | 56.9 | 1,377 | 34.6 | 1,705 | 9.0 | 1,705 | 7.4 | 1,705 | 5.1 | 1,705 | 10.8 | 1,603 | 19.4 | 1,705 | 54.2 | 1,707 | 73.5 | 1,705 |

Sample includes all MCS3 main respondents in households resident in Scotland at MCS1 responding to question.

Table 5.3: Childcare use rates at MCS 3 in UK

|  |  | Partner | Grandparents | Other Relatives | NonRelatives | Childminder | AfterSchool Club | Formal Care | Any NonParental Care | Any Care |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Responding Families | Observations Percentage | $\begin{array}{r} 6593 \\ 51.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 4177 \\ 26.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1292 \\ 7.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 971 \\ 7.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 720 \\ 5.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1060 \\ 7.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1969 \\ 13.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 6775 \\ 44.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10056 \\ 65.7 \end{array}$ |
| Country |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | Observations Percentage | $\begin{array}{r} 3978 \\ 50.2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2301 \\ 25.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 809 \\ 7.7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 646 \\ 7.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 387 \\ 4.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 610 \\ 7.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1065 \\ 12.4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3900 \\ 42.7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6044 \\ 64.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Wales | Observations Percentage | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 1010 \\ 58.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 759 \\ 35.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 196 \\ 8.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 129 \\ 6.8 \end{array}$ | 72 3.5 | $\begin{array}{r} 199 \\ 12.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 290 \\ 15.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 1088 \\ 52.1 \end{array}$ | 1544 73.2 |
| Scotland | Observations Percentage | $\begin{array}{r} 830 \\ 55.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 602 \\ 33.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 159 \\ 8.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 129 \\ 7.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 86 \\ 5.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 169 \\ 10.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 331 \\ 19.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 950 \\ 52.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1306 \\ 72.5 \end{array}$ |
| Northern Ireland | Observations Percentage | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 775 \\ 62.4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 515 \\ 34.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 128 \\ 7.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|} \hline 67 \\ 4.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 175 \\ 12.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 82 \\ 5.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 283 \\ 19.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 837 \\ 55.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r\|} \hline 1162 \\ 76.6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Main Respondent Employment Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not employed | Observations Percentage | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline 2102 \\ 38.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 6514 \\ 14.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 457 \\ 6.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 267 \\ 4.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37 \\ 0.6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 97 1.4 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 220 \\ 2.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1628 \\ 23.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 3129 \\ 44.0 \end{array}$ |
| Employed | Observations | 4490 | 8426 | 831 | 703 | 682 | 963 | 1748 | 5141 | 6921 |
|  | Percentage | 59.1 | 35.2 | 8.8 | 9.4 | 8.0 | 12.1 | 20.4 | 58.8 | 80.1 |
| Highest Qualification of Parents ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No qualifications | Observations Percentage | $\begin{array}{r\|} \hline 273 \\ 60.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 70 \\ 13.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline 43 \\ 8.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ (2.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ (0.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (0.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ (1.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline 108 \\ 21.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}306 \\ 66.2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| NVQ1 | Observations Percentage | $\begin{array}{r} 176 \\ 49.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 64 \\ 18.4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \\ (5.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ (1.5) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (0.3) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ (1.9) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ (3.2) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 91 \\ 25.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}206 \\ 58.8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| NVQ2 | Observations Percentage | $\begin{array}{r} 1152 \\ 52.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 569 \\ 26.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 166 \\ 7.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 96 \\ 4.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 54 2.5 | 69 3.3 | 153 6.8 | $\begin{array}{r} 809 \\ 36.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1440 \\ 65.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| NVQ3 | Observations Percentage | $\begin{array}{r} 1002 \\ 53.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 567 \\ 31.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 134 \\ 7.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 94 \\ 5.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 55 3.2 | 83 4.8 | 158 8.5 | 779 42.6 | $\begin{array}{r} 1287 \\ 69.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| NVQ4 | Observations | 2094 | 1197 | 210 | 283 | 259 | 340 | 656 | 1923 | 2845 |


|  |  | Partner | Grandparents | Other Relatives | Non- <br> Relatives | Childminder | AfterSchool Club | Formal Care | Any NonParental Care | Any Care |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage | 50.3 | 28.8 | 4.5 | 8.0 | 6.6 | 9.2 | 16.3 | 47.5 | 69.9 |
| NVQ5 | Observations Percentage | $\begin{array}{r} 1047 \\ 52.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 511 \\ 23.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 105 \\ 4.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 204 \\ 12.6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 187 \\ 8.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 265 \\ 14.7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 463 \\ 23.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1045 \\ 53.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1457 \\ 75.3 \end{array}$ |
| Family Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Two-parent/caregiver | Observations Percentage | $\begin{array}{r\|} \hline 6593 \\ 51.6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r\|} \hline 3361 \\ 26.6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 830 \\ 6.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 768 \\ 7.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 607 \\ 5.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 828 \\ 7.6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1584 \\ 13.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 5363 \\ 43.6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8634 \\ 69.2 \end{array}$ |
| At least one working | Unweighted Obs Percentage | $\begin{array}{r} 6015 \\ 52.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3147 \\ 27.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 754 \\ 5.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 719 \\ 7.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 601 \\ 5.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 815 \\ 8.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1555 \\ 14.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5056 \\ 45.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7902 \\ 70.5 \end{array}$ |
| Neither working | Unweighted Obs Percentage | $\begin{array}{r} 510 \\ 62.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 95 \\ 12.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 43 \\ 6.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16 \\ (2.2) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ (0.2) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (0.2) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 9 $(1.0)$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 541 \\ 67.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Lone parent/caregiver | Observations <br> Percentage | -- | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 816 \\ 27.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 462 \\ 16.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 203 \\ 8.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 113 \\ 4.4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 232 \\ 9.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 385 \\ 14.4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1412 \\ 48.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1442 \\ 49.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Working | Unweighted Obs Percentage |  | $\begin{array}{r} 532 \\ 42.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 277 \\ 22.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 111 \\ 10.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 105 \\ 9.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 192 \\ 18.4 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 316 \\ 27.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 904 \\ 73.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 910 \\ 74.2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Not working | Unweighted Obs Percentage | -- | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 314 \\ 16.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 194 \\ 10.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 95 \\ 6.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ (0.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 52 \\ 2.5 \end{array}$ | 87 4.2 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 549 \\ 29.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 553 \\ 30.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

Note. Observations unweighted. Percentages weighted with weight 2. Sample: All MCS3 main respondents
${ }^{a}$ NVQ = National Vocational Qualification. Levels range from 1 (basic work activities that are routine and predictable) to 5 (senior management). Also includes academic qualifications, with NVQ1 being equivalent to some basic school-leaving qualifications and NVQ5 being equivalent to a postgraduate qualification or higher degree. Variable is qualification level of whichever parent has the higher qualification.

Table 5.4: Hours of childcare per week at MCS 3 by mother's work status in Scotland

| Carer |  | Non-Working Mothers | Working Mothers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Partner | Mean Hours | 7.7 | 12.7 |
|  | SE | -0.7 | -0.6 |
|  | Obs | 645 | 1148 |
| Grandparents | Mean Hours | 10.0 | 10.1 |
|  | SE | -0.8 | -0.4 |
|  | Obs | 645 | 1148 |
| Other Relative | Mean Hours | 13.4 | 12.2 |
|  | SE | -2.1 | -1.2 |
|  | Obs | 645 | 1148 |
| Non-Relative | Mean Hours | 3.0 | 5.4 |
|  | SE | -0.3 | -0.8 |
|  | Obs | 645 | 1148 |
| Childminder | Mean Hours | 3.7 | 8.9 |
|  | SE | -1.0 | -1.0 |
|  | Obs | 645 | 1148 |
| Day Nursery | Mean Hours | 16.7 | 10.5 |
|  | SE | -1.4 | -1.4 |
|  | Obs | 645 | 1148 |
| Total Non-Parental Care | Mean Hours | 12.8 | 12.8 |
|  | SE | -1.0 | -0.4 |
|  | Obs | 645 | 1148 |

Sample includes all MCS3 mothers resident in Scotland at MCS1 responding to question.

Table 5.5: Hours of childcare per week at MCS 3 by UK mother's work
Status

|  |  | Non-Working <br> Mothers | Working <br> Mothers |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Partner | Mean Hours | 7.7 | 10.6 |
|  | Standard Error | 0.3 | 0.2 |
|  | Observations | 2008 | 4405 |
| Grandparents | Mean Hours | 9.3 | 8.2 |
|  | Standard Error | 0.3 | 0.1 |
|  | Observations | 1720 | 3871 |
| Other Relative | Mean Hours | 13.8 | 13.3 |
|  | Standard Error | 0.7 | 0.5 |
|  | Observations | 890 | 1272 |
| Non-Relative | Mean Hours | 4.5 | 6.0 |
|  | Standard Error | 0.3 | 0.5 |
|  | Observations | 342 | 782 |
| Childminder | Mean Hours | 5.2 | 8.6 |
|  | Standard Error | 0.7 | 0.3 |
|  | Observations | 41 | 678 |
| Day Nursery | Mean Hours | $(7.5)$ | 7.3 |
|  | Standard Error | 2.1 | 0.6 |
|  | Observations | 15 | 82 |
| Total Non-Parental | Mean Hours | 12.3 | 15.0 |
| Care | Standard Error | 0.3 | 0.2 |
|  | Observations | 3652 | 6990 |

Notes: Observations unweighted. Mean hours weighted with weight 2. Total non-parental care does not include partner care.
Sample includes all MCS3 mothers resident in Scotland at MCS1 responding to question

Table 5.6: Childcare use rates at MCS 1 through MCS 3 in Scotland

|  | MCS 1 |  | MCS 2 |  | MCs 3 |  | At Any Time |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\%$ | Obs | $\%$ | Obs | $\%$ | Obs | $\%$ | Obs |
| Self | 3.2 | 49 | $(1.6)$ | 25 | -- | -- | 3.9 | 62 |
| Partner | 26.0 | 413 | 11.0 | 174 | 56.0 | 750 | 60.5 | 958 |
| Grandparents | 36.8 | 588 | 21.8 | 347 | 34.0 | 542 | 53.6 | 860 |
| Other Relatives | 9.8 | 161 | 4.3 | 72 | 8.1 | 131 | 17.9 | 293 |
| Non-Relatives | 3.7 | 56 | $(1.5)$ | 24 | 8.0 | 116 | 11.9 | 176 |
| Childminder | 10.3 | 148 | 7.8 | 114 | 5.4 | 81 | 20.9 | 309 |
| Day Nursery | 13.4 | 203 | 21.1 | 328 | $(1.4$ | 21 | 29.2 | 456 |
| Nursery School/Class | 5.6 | 87 | 47.7 | 772 | 3.4 | 54 | 85.3 | 1,356 |
| Playgroup | 4.0 | 63 | 21.6 | 316 | $(0.3)$ | 5 | 37.0 | 549 |
| Pre-School | $(0.3)$ | 4 | 2.3 | 35 | $(1.3)$ | 18 | 16.1 | 240 |
| Other Care | $1.0)$ | 15 | $(0.6)$ | 10 | $(0.5)$ | 8 | 2.2 | 34 |
| Any Informal Care | 55.9 | 890 | 35.4 | 562 | 68.5 | 1,085 | 82.9 | 1,313 |
| Any Formal Care | 27.7 | 417 | 80.4 | 1,261 | 19.9 | 301 | 83.6 | 1,312 |
| Any Non-Parental Care | 58.8 | 924 | 84.5 | 1,333 | 54.1 | 851 | 93.2 | 1,470 |
| Any Care | 69.2 | 1,092 | 86.6 | 1,362 | 74.0 | 1,168 | 97.1 | 1,533 |

Sample includes all MCS3 main respondents resident in Scotland at MCS1 responding to question.

Table 5.7: Childcare use rates at MCS 1 through MCS 3 in UK

| Care Type | Statistic | At MCS1 | At MCS2 | At MCS3 | At Any <br> Time |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Self* | Observations | 455 | 202 | -- | 558 |
|  | Percentage | 3.6 | 1.8 | -- | 4.3 |
| Partner | Observations | 3197 | 1312 | 5904 | 7517 |
|  | Percentage | 25.0 | 10.8 | 51.7 | 56.2 |
| Grandparents | Observations | 4229 | 2137 | 3738 | 6297 |
|  | Percentage | 31.4 | 16.7 | 27.4 | 46.4 |
| Other Relative | Observations | 1039 | 380 | 1087 | 2215 |
|  | Percentage | 7.9 | 2.8 | 7.4 | 15.9 |
| Non-Relative | Observations | 502 | 172 | 874 | 1436 |
|  | Percentage | 4.6 | 1.4 | 7.6 | 12.5 |
| Childminder | Observations | 1218 | 846 | 673 | 2338 |
|  | Percentage | 10.5 | 7.3 | 5.3 | 20.0 |
| Day Nursery | Observations | 1472 | 2358 | 126 | 3534 |
|  | Percentage | 13.0 | 19.9 | 0.9 | 29.3 |
| Nursery | Observations | 290 | 4098 | 114 | 8985 |
| School/Class** | Percentage | 2.7 | 30.8 | 0.8 | 63.3 |
| Playgroup** | Observations | 511 | 3113 | 26 | 4887 |
|  | Percentage | 4.2 | 25.5 | $(0.2)$ | 37.4 |
| Pre-School** | Observations | 20 | 1439 | 29 | 2647 |
|  | Percentage | $(0.2)$ | 16.5 | $(0.2)$ | 27.0 |
| Other | Observations | 88 | 89 | 53 | 287 |
|  | Percentage | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 2.4 |
| Any Informal Care | Observations | 6781 | 3744 | 8351 | 10361 |
|  | Percentage | 51.6 | 29.6 | 62.4 | 78.2 |
| Any Formal Care | Observations | 3048 | 9503 | 1772 | 10003 |
|  | Percentage | 26.1 | 78.3 | 13.8 | 81.6 |
| Any Non-Parental | Observations | 6882 | 10085 | 6012 | 11645 |
| Care | 53.8 | 81.8 | 45.2 | 91.2 |  |
| Any Type of Care | Percentage | Observations | 8284 | 10354 | 8905 |
|  | Percentage | 64.0 | 83.7 | 66.7 | 12392 |
|  | 95.9 |  |  |  |  |

[^10]Table 5.8: Hours of childcare per week at MCS 1, MCS 2, and MCS 3 in Scotland

| Carer |  | MCS 1 | MCS 2 | MCS 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Self | Mean Hours | 30.6 | 30.4 | -- |
|  | SE | -3.9 | -3.9 | - |
|  | Obs | 1,585 | 1,585 | - |
| Partner | Mean Hours | 21.9 | 21.6 | 11.2 |
|  | SE | -1.4 | -1.3 | -0.5 |
|  | Obs | 1,585 | 1,585 | 1,585 |
| Grandparents | Mean Hours | 19.9 | 19 | 9.8 |
|  | SE | -0.7 | -0.9 | -0.4 |
|  | Obs | 1,585 | 1,585 | 1,585 |
| Other Relative | Mean Hours | 23.6 | 20.8 | 11.8 |
|  | SE | -1.5 | -1.7 | -1.1 |
|  | Obs | 1,585 | 1,585 | 1,585 |
| Non-Relative | Mean Hours | 21.2 | 11.6 | 4.8 |
|  | SE | -2.8 | -2.8 | -0.7 |
|  | Obs | 1,585 | 1,585 | 1,585 |
| Childminder | Mean Hours | 23.9 | 23.1 | 8.3 |
|  | SE | -1 | -1.3 | -0.9 |
|  | Obs | 1,585 | 1,585 | 1,585 |
| Day Nursery | Mean Hours | 25.1 | 23.9 | 11.2 |
|  | SE | -0.7 | -0.8 | -1.6 |
|  | Obs | 1,585 | 1,585 | 1,585 |
| Nursery School/Class | Mean Hours | -- | 15.2 | - |
|  | SE | -- | -1.3 | -- |
|  | Obs | -- | 1,585 | -- |
| Playgroup | Mean Hours | -- | 7.1 | - |
|  | SE | -- | -1.1 | -- |
|  | Obs | -- | 1,585 | -- |
| All Non-Parental Care | Mean Hours | 19.5 | 21.3 | 12.2 |
|  | SE | 0 | -0.7 | -0.5 |
|  | Obs | 1,585 | 1,585 | 1,585 |

Sample includes all MCS3 main respondents resident in Scotland at MCS1 responding to question

Table 5.9: Hours of childcare per week at MCS 1, MCS 2 and MCS 3 in UK

| Carer | Statistic | At MCS 1 | At MCS 2 | At MCS 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Self* | Mean Hours | 34.5 | 35.3 | -- |
|  | Standard Error | 3.3 | 3.9 | -- |
|  | Observations | 109 | 95 | -- |
| Partner | Mean Hours | 19.8 | 19.5 | 10.4 |
|  | Standard Error | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
|  | Observations | 1239 | 1011 | 5955 |
| Grandparents | Mean Hours | 18.9 | 18.4 | 8.3 |
|  | Standard Error | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
|  | Observations | 1977 | 1638 | 5049 |
| Other Relative | Mean Hours | 20.7 | 20.0 | 12.9 |
|  | Standard Error | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.4 |
|  | Observations | 328 | 233 | 1870 |
| Non-Relative | Mean Hours | 19.4 | 17.4 | 5.5 |
|  | Standard Error | 1.5 | 1.8 | 0.4 |
|  | Observations | 134 | 92 | 1018 |
| Childminder | Mean Hours | 26.2 | 24.4 | 8.4 |
|  | Standard Error | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
|  | Observations | 749 | 507 | 683 |
| Day Nursery | Mean Hours | 25.8 | 23.6 | 7.3 |
|  | Standard Error | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.7 |
|  | Observations | 1040 | 1164 | 82 |
| Nursery School/Class** | Mean Hours | - | 11.2 | -- |
|  | Standard Error | -- | 0.4 | -- |
|  | Observations | -- | 358 | -- |
| Playgroup** | Mean Hours | - | 7.4 | -- |
|  | Standard Error | -- | 0.2 | -- |
|  | Observations | - | 205 | -- |
| All Non- <br> Parental Care | Mean Hours | 22.7 | 20.1 | 11.2 |
|  | Standard Error | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
|  | Observations | 4219 | 4224 | 6925 |

Notes: Observations unweighted. Mean hours weighted with weight 2.
Sample: Includes only those MCS families who took part in all three sweeps. *Not asked at MCS 3. **Not asked at MCS 1.

Table 6.1: MCS3 families where cohort children attending fee-paying schools by UK country

|  | Country |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | England | Wales | Northern Ireland | Scotland |
| Children Attending Fee-paying School, \% | 4.8 | 1.9 | 2.8 | $(1.9)$ |
| Total Observations | 9538 | 2160 | 1510 | 1647 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: All MCS3 families (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families). 323 observations are excluded due to missing data on school choice variables. Table displays unweighted observations, weighted observations and weighted percentages in parenthesis (using weight1).

Table 6.2: MCS3 families applying through LEA/ELB form for child's school or requesting a place in Scotland by UK country

|  | Country |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | England | Wales | Northern <br> Ireland | Scotland |
| Applying for a Place through LEA/ELB form or <br> Requesting a place, \% | 75.0 | 58.3 | 88.8 | 38.1 |
| Total Observations | 9538 | 2160 | 1511 | 1647 |
| Significance | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |

Sample: All MCS3 families (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families). 323 observations are excluded due to missing data on school choice variables. Table displays unweighted observations, and weighted percentages in parenthesis (using weight1).

Figure 6.1 Percentage of MCS3 families where children attending fee-paying school given parents did not applylrequest a school place by UK country


Sample: All MCS3 families (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families). 323 observations are excluded due to missing data on school choice variables. Figure displays weighted percentages (using weight1).

Figure 6.2 Percentage distribution of number of schools applied by MCS3 parents for on LEA/ELB form or requested by UK country


Sample: All MCS3 families (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families). 323 observations are excluded due to missing data on school choice variables. Figure displays weighted percentages (using weight1).

Figure 6.3 Percentage of MCS families gaining their parental choice of school
england

Sample: All MCS3 families (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families). 323 observations are excluded due to missing data on school choice variables. Figure displays weighted percentages (using weight1).

Table 6.3: Most important factor for MCS3 parents' school choice by UK country and whether school was applied to/requested or not

| Per cents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | England |  | Wales |  | Northern Ireland |  | Scotland |  |
|  | Applied/Requested School |  | Applied/Requested School |  | Applied/Requested School |  | Applied/Requested School |  |
|  | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| Closest School | 23.4 | 20.4 | 27.3 | 23.2 | 32.5 | (30.0) | 21.9 | 41.8 |
| Friends or Sibling attend the School | 29.1 | 28.4 | 27.8 | 27.5 | 32.8 | 29.9 | 31.2 | 21.8 |
| School Performance | 18.6 | 17.6 | 17.1 | 14.5 | 10.4 | (4.9) | 12.8 | 13.2 |
| Other School Characteristics | 26.4 | 32.1 | 25.6 | 33.3 | 21.8 | 33.4 | 29.0 | 22.2 |
| Other Reason | 2.5 | 1.4 | (2.3) | (1.6) | (2.4) | (1.8) | (5.2) | (1.0) |
| Total Observations | 6004 | 2133 | 1043 | 825 | 1130 | 127 | 539 | 903 |
| Sign. (Applied=Yes) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |
| Sign. (Applied=No) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |

Sample: All MCS3 families (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families). 323 observations are excluded due to missing data on school choice
variables. Table displays unweighted observations, and weighted percentages (using weight1)

Table 6.4: MCS3 Parents Report of Child School Enjoyment and Parental Satisfaction with School by UK Country and Whether they Applied/Request a School Place.

|  | England |  | Wales |  | Northern Ireland |  | Scotland |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Applied/Requested School |  | Applied/Requested School |  | Applied/Requested School |  | Applied/Requested School |  |
|  | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| Parents report that the Child Always Enjoys School \% | 70.9 | 72.5 | 72.3 | 72.2 | 78.4 | 81.2 | 75.8 | 75.5 |
| Total Observations | 6875 | 2663 | 1189 | 893 | 1334 | 177 | 638 | 1009 |
| Significance | $\mathrm{P}=0.153$ |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.975$ |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.399$ |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.869$ |  |
| Parents report of Full Satisfaction with the School their Child Attends \% | 73.8 | 73.6 | 78.2 | 75.2 | 83.0 | 86.5 | 79.8 | 73.7 |
| Total Observations | 6875 | 2663 | 1189 | 971 | 1334 | 177 | 638 | 1009 |
| Significance | $\mathrm{P}=0.872$ |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.149$ |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.319$ |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.013$ |  |

Sample: All MCS3 families (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families). 323 observations are excluded due to missing data on school choice variables. Table displays unweighted observations, and weighted percentages in parenthesis (using weight1).

Table 7.1: Mean Achievement Scores as Recorded by Teachers for Different Areas of Learning by UK country

|  | FSP | DATS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | England | Wales | Scotland | Northern Ireland |
| Total Score |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 87.7 | 95.6 | 103.3 | 97.6 |
| Cl | [86.8,88.5] | [93.2,97.9] | [101.6,104.9] | [95.7,99.5] |
| N | 9,890 | 2,173 | 1,830 | 1,562 |
| 1. Personal, Social, and Emotional Development |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 21.1 | 23.3 | 24.2 | 24.0 |
| Cl | [20.9,21.3] | [22.9,23.8] | [23.9,24.5] | [23.6,24.3] |
| N | 9,890 | 2,173 | 1,830 | 1,562 |
| 1a. Disposition and Attitudes |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 7.3 | 7.6 | 7.8 | 7.9 |
| Cl | [7.3,7.4] | [7.4,7.7] | [7.7,8.0] | [7.0,8.0] |
| N | 9,890 | 2,173 | 1,830 | 1,562 |
| 1b. Social Development |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 6.9 | 7.9 | 8.2 | 8.1 |
| Cl | [6.8,6.9] | [7.7,8.1] | [8.1,8.3] | [7.9,8.2] |
| N | 9,890 | 2,173 | 1,830 | 1,562 |
| 1c. Emotional Development |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 6.9 | 7.8 | 8.0 | 7.9 |
| Cl | [6.8,7.0] | [7.6,7.9] | [7.9,8.2] | [7.8,8.0] |
| N | 9,890 | 2,173 | 1,830 | 1,562 |
| 2. Communication, Language, and Literacy |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 25.4 | 27.1 | 30.5 | 28.3 |
| Cl | [25.1,25.7] | [26.2,27.3] | [29.9,31.1] | [27.6,29.0] |
| N | 9,890 | 2,173 | 1,830 | 1,562 |
| 2b. Language for Communication and Thinking |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 6.8 | 7.1 | 7.5 | 7.4 |
| Cl | [6.7,6.9] | [6.8,7.3] | [7.4,7.7] | [7.3,7.6] |
| N | 9,890 | 2,173 | 1,830 | 1,562 |
| 2c. Linking Sounds and Letters |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 6.2 | 6.7 | 8.0 | 6.6 |
| Cl | [6.1,6.3] | [6.5,7.0] | [7.8,8.1] | [6.4,6.9] |
| N | 9,890 | 2,173 | 1,830 | 1,562 |
| 2d. Reading |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 6.5 | 6.9 | 7.6 | 7.5 |
| Cl | [6.4,6.6] | [6.7,7.1] | [7.5,7.8] | [7.4,7.7] |
| N | 9,890 | 2,173 | 1,830 | 1,562 |
| 2e. Writing |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 5.9 | 6.5 | 7.4 | 6.8 |
| Cl | [5.8,6.0] | [6.3,6.7] | [7.3,7.6] | [6.6,6.9] |


| N | 9,890 | 2,173 | 1,830 | 1,562 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3. Mathematical Development |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 20.5 | 22.7 | 24.1 | 22.5 |
| Cl | [20.3,20.7] | [22.3,23.2] | [23.7,24.5] | [22.1,22.8] |
| N | 9,890 | 2,173 | 1,830 | 1,562 |
| 3a. Numbers as Labels and for Counting |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 7.3 | 7.9 | 8.3 | 7.9 |
| Cl | [7.2,7.3] | [7.8,8.1] | [8.2,8.4] | [7.8,8.0] |
| N | 9,890 | 2,173 | 1,830 | 1,562 |
| 3b. Calculating |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 6.4 | 7.0 | 7.6 | 6.5 |
| Cl | [6.3,6.5] | [6.8,7.2] | [7.4,7.8] | [6.3,6.7] |
| N | 9,890 | 2,173 | 1,830 | 1,562 |
| 3c. Shape, Space, and Measures |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 6.8 | 7.9 | 8.3 | 8.1 |
| Cl | [6.8,6.9] | [7.7,8.0] | [8.1,8.4] | [7.9,8.2] |
| N | 9,890 | 2,173 | 1,830 | 1,562 |
| 4. Knowledge and Understanding of the World |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 6.8 | 7.1 | 7.5 | 7.0 |
| Cl | [6.7,6.8] | [6.9,7.3] | [7.3,7.7] | [6.8,7.2] |
| N | 9,890 | 2,173 | 1,830 | 1,562 |
| 5. Creative Development |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 7.3 | 8.1 | 8.3 | 8.3 |
| Cl | [7.2,7.3] | [8.0,8.3] | [8.2,8.4] | [8.2,8.4] |
| N | 9,890 | 2,173 | 1,830 | 1,562 |
| 6. Physical Development |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 6.7 | 7.4 | 7.9 | 7.5 |
| Cl | [6.6,6.8] | [7.2,7.6] | [7.8,8.1] | [7.3,7.6] |
| N | 9,890 | 2,173 | 1,830 | 1,562 |

Sample includes all MCS3 children (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families). 3,466 observations are excluded because of missing data on FSP or DATS. Table displays weighted mean (using weight1), weighted standard errors, and unweighted observations.

Table 7.2: Mean total achievement scores by child and family characteristics in Scotland

|  | Mean | Confidence Interval | Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group at assessment |  |  |  |
| 58 to 60 | -- | -- | 1 |
| 61 to 63 | 103.9 | [99.3,108.5] | 102 |
| 64 to 66 | 100.0 | [96.5,103.5] | 227 |
| 67 to 69 | 104.6 | [102.4,106.9] | 305 |
| 70 months or older | 104.2 | [102.0,106.3] | 388 |
| Total | 103.4 | [101.7,105.0] | 1,023 |
| Family type |  |  |  |
| two parents | 104.2 | [102.6,105.9] | 1,466 |
| 1 parent | 99.0 | [95.2,102.8] | 328 |
| Total | 103.2 | [101.6,104.9] | 1,794 |
| Highest parental qualification |  |  |  |
| No qualifications | 87.3 | [79.7,94.8] | 119 |
| NVQ Level 1 | 95.2 | [87.6,102.7] | 39 |
| NVQ Level 2 | 101.6 | [98.5,104.7] | 339 |
| NVQ Level 3 | 103.3 | [100.8,105.8] | 373 |
| NVQ Level 4 | 105.5 | [103.1,107.9] | 604 |
| NVQ Level 5 | 105.9 | [103.2,108.6] | 289 |
| Total | 103.2 | [101.6,104.9] | 1,763 |
| Parental work status |  |  |  |
| No carers working | 95.0 | [90.1,99.9] | 236 |
| One carer working | 104.6 | [102.7,106.4] | 531 |
| Two carers working | 105.4 | [103.4,107.3] | 847 |
| Total | 103.7 | [102.0,105.4] | 1,614 |
| Family poverty status |  |  |  |
| Above poverty level | 105.4 | [103.7,107.0] | 1,199 |
| Below poverty level | 96.7 | [92.6,100.9] | 432 |
| Total | 103.4 | [101.7,105.1] | 1,631 |

Sample includes all MCS3 children (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families) resident in Scotland at MCS1 and MCS3.

Table 7.3: Mean total achievement scores by child and family characteristics

|  | FSP |  |  | DATS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | England |  |  | Wales |  |  | Scotland |  |  | Northern Ireland |  |  |
|  | Mean | SE | Obs | Mean | SE | Obs | Mean | SE | Obs | Mean | SE | Obs |
| All respondents | 87.7 | 0.4 | 8563 | 95.6 | 1.2 | 862 | 103.3 | 0.8 | 653 | 97.4 | 1.0 | 705 |
| Age group at assessment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 57 months or younger | 80.1 | 1.2 | 342 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- | 0 |
| 58 to 60 months | 82.2 | 0.6 | 2198 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- | 1 | -- | -- | 2 |
| 61 to 63 months | 86.7 | 0.6 | 2126 | 89.6 | 3.9 | 74 | 103.9 | 2.3 | 60 | 96.5 | 1.9 | 99 |
| 64 to 66 months | 90.7 | 0.5 | 2167 | 89.0 | 1.4 | 160 | 100.0 | 1.8 | 134 | 97.7 | 1.1 | 251 |
| 67 to 69 months | 93.8 | 0.5 | 1730 | 96.0 | 1.4 | 217 | 104.6 | 1.1 | 198 | 98.4 | 1.4 | 213 |
| 70 months or older | -- | -- | 0 | 99.4 | 1.3 | 384 | 104.2 | 1.0 | 243 | 97.4 | 2.4 | 100 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.159$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.513$ |
| Family structure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Two parents | 89.1 | 0.4 | 6850 | 97.2 | 1.5 | 691 | 104.3 | 0.8 | 518 | 99.1 | 1.0 | 586 |
| Lone parent | 81.2 | 0.6 | 1713 | 88.2 | 2.2 | 171 | 99.0 | 1.9 | 135 | 88.4 | 1.7 | 119 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.002$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.008$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |

Table 7.3 (continued) Mean total achievement scores by child and family characteristics

|  | FSP |  |  | DATS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Wales |  |  | Scotland |  |  | Northern Ireland |  |  |
|  | Mean | SE | Obs | Mean | SE | Obs | Mean | SE | Obs | Mean | SE | Obs |
| Highest parental qualification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No qualifications | 74.1 | 0.9 | 809 | 79.5 | 3.8 | 69 | 87.3 | 3.8 | 41 | 84.0 | 2.4 | 56 |
| NVQ Level 1 | 77.6 | 0.9 | 504 | 86.7 | 3.1 | 49 | 95.9 | 3.6 | 15 | 84.8 | 3.8 | 36 |
| NVQ Level 2 | 83.9 | 0.6 | 2057 | 93.8 | 1.4 | 201 | 101.6 | 1.5 | 123 | 97.1 | 1.4 | 153 |
| NVQ Level 3 | 87.3 | 0.6 | 1277 | 97.5 | 1.9 | 140 | 103.3 | 1.3 | 140 | 93.9 | 2.3 | 118 |
| NVQ Level 4 | 92.5 | 0.4 | 2506 | 98.5 | 1.4 | 281 | 105.3 | 1.2 | 210 | 100.9 | 1.4 | 230 |
| NVQ Level 5 | 94.4 | 0.6 | 1099 | 101.1 | 2.0 | 103 | 106.2 | 1.3 | 113 | 102.9 | 1.3 | 99 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |
| Parental work status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No parents working | 76.6 | 0.8 | 1518 | 79.8 | 2.8 | 147 | 94.9 | 2.4 | 91 | 84.3 | 1.9 | 81 |
| One parent working | 87.1 | 0.5 | 2889 | 94.1 | 2.2 | 243 | 104.8 | 0.9 | 211 | 94.0 | 1.3 | 197 |
| Two parents working | 92.2 | 0.4 | 3437 | 101.0 | 1.1 | 413 | 105.3 | 1.0 | 295 | 101.3 | 1.2 | 338 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.001$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |
| Family poverty status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above poverty level | 90.9 | 0.4 | 5068 | 99.5 | 1.3 | 532 | 105.3 | 0.8 | 439 | 99.8 | 1.0 | 418 |
| Below poverty level | 80.2 | 0.6 | 2653 | 86.8 | 1.7 | 272 | 96.9 | 2.1 | 149 | 90.8 | 1.3 | 189 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |

Notes: Sample includes all MCS3 children (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families). 3,466 observations are excluded due to missing data on FSP or DATS. Table displays weighted mean (using weight1), weighted standard errors, and unweighted observations. Maximum possible score on scale is 117.

Table 7.4: Mean scores for personal, social, and emotional development by child and family characteristics in Scotland

|  | Mean | Confidence Interval | Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group at assessment |  |  |  |
| 58 to 60 | -- | -- | 1 |
| 61 to 63 | 24.7 | [23.8,25.7] | 102 |
| 64 to 66 | 23.8 | [23.2,24.5] | 227 |
| 67 to 69 | 24.5 | [23.9,25.1] | 305 |
| 70 mos or older | 24.1 | [23.6,24.6] | 388 |
| Total | 24.2 | [23.9,24.6] | 1,023 |
| Family type |  |  |  |
| two parents | 24.4 | [24.1,24.8] | 1,466 |
| 1 parent | 23.1 | [22.2,23.9] | 328 |
| Total | 24.2 | [23.9,24.5] | 1,794 |
| Highest parental qualification |  |  |  |
| No qualifications | 21.2 | [19.5,22.8] | 119 |
| NVQ Level 1 | 23.4 | [21.7,25.0] | 39 |
| NVQ Level 2 | 23.9 | [23.1,24.7] | 339 |
| NVQ Level 3 | 24.0 | [23.3,24.8] | 373 |
| NVQ Level 4 | 24.7 | [24.2,25.2] | 604 |
| NVQ Level 5 | 24.7 | [24.0,25.5] | 289 |
| Total | 24.2 | [23.9,24.5] | 1,763 |
| Parental work status |  |  |  |
| No carers working | 22.2 | [21.1,23.4] | 236 |
| One carer working | 24.1 | [23.5,24.7] | 531 |
| Two carers working | 24.7 | [24.3,25.1] | 847 |
| Total | 24.2 | [23.9,24.6] | 1,614 |
| Family poverty status |  |  |  |
| Above poverty level | 24.5 | [24.1,24.9] | 1,199 |
| Below poverty level | 22.9 | [22.1,23.7] | 432 |
| Total | 24.1 | [23.8,24.5] | 1,631 |

Sample includes all MCS3 children in Scotland at MCS1 and MCS3 (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 7.5: Mean scores for personal, social, and emotional development by child and family characteristics


Table 7.5 (continued) Mean scores for personal, social, and emotional development by child and family characteristics

|  | FSP |  |  | DATS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | England |  |  | Wales |  |  | Scotland |  |  | Northern Ireland |  |  |
|  | Mean | SE | Obs | Mean | SE | Obs | Mean | SE | Obs | Mean | SE | Obs |
| Highest parental qualification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No qualifications | 18.8 | 0.2 | 809 | 20.2 | 0.9 | 79 | 21.2 | 0.8 | 52 | 20.8 | 0.6 | 66 |
| NVQ Level 1 | 19.3 | 0.2 | 504 | 22.2 | 0.7 | 60 | 23.5 | 0.8 | 19 | 22.0 | 0.9 | 44 |
| NVQ Level 2 | 20.4 | 0.2 | 2057 | 23.2 | 0.2 | 236 | 23.9 | 0.4 | 155 | 24.2 | 0.3 | 190 |
| NVQ Level 3 | 21.0 | 0.2 | 1276 | 23.9 | 0.3 | 162 | 24.0 | 0.4 | 170 | 23.0 | 0.5 | 139 |
| NVQ Level 4 | 22.0 | 0.1 | 2506 | 23.7 | 0.3 | 330 | 24.6 | 0.2 | 284 | 24.7 | 0.3 | 275 |
| NVQ Level 5 | 22.3 | 0.1 | 1099 | 23.9 | 0.6 | 129 | 24.8 | 0.4 | 142 | 24.5 | 0.3 | 120 |
|  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.001$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Parental work status |  |  |
| No parents working | 19.0 | 0.2 | 1517 | 20.3 | 0.5 | 169 | 22.2 | 0.5 | 110 | 21.6 | 0.5 | 101 |
| One parent working | 21.0 | 0.1 | 2889 | 22.9 | 0.4 | 282 | 24.2 | 0.3 | 250 | 23.2 | 0.3 | 244 |
| Two parents working | 22.0 | 0.1 | 3437 | 24.4 | 0.2 | 493 | 24.7 | 0.2 | 402 | 24.6 | 0.2 | 400 |
|  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Family poverty status |  |  |
| Above poverty level | 21.7 | 0.1 | 5068 | 24.1 | 0.2 | 627 | 24.5 | 0.2 | 568 | 24.2 | 0.2 | 504 |
| Below poverty level | 19.7 | 0.2 | 2652 | 21.6 | 0.3 | 320 | 22.9 | 0.4 | 191 | 22.8 | 0.4 | 228 |
|  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.001$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.001$ |  |  |

Sample includes all MCS3 children (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families). 3,466 observations are excluded due to missing data on FSP or DATS.
Table displays weighted mean (using weight1), weighted standard errors, and unweighted observations. Maximum possible score on scale is 27.

Table 7.6: Mean scores for communication, language, and literacy by child and family characteristics in Scotland

|  | Mean | Confidence Interval | Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group at assessment |  |  |  |
| 58 to 60 | -- | -- | 1 |
| 61 to 63 | 30.7 | [29.2,32.2] | 102 |
| 64 to 66 | 29.5 | [28.3,30.8] | 227 |
| 67 to 69 | 31.1 | [30.3,32.0] | 305 |
| 70 mos or older | 30.7 | [29.9,31.5] | 388 |
| Total | 30.6 | [30.0,31.2] | 1,023 |
| Family type |  |  |  |
| two parents | 30.9 | [30.3,31.5] | 1,466 |
| 1 parent | 28.6 | [27.5,29.7] | 328 |
| Total | 30.5 | [29.9,31.1] | 1,794 |
| Highest parental qualification |  |  |  |
| No qualifications | 25.6 | [23.6,27.7] | 119 |
| NVQ Level 1 | 26.7 | [23.4,29.9] | 39 |
| NVQ Level 2 | 29.3 | [28.3,30.3] | 339 |
| NVQ Level 3 | 30.6 | [29.6,31.5] | 373 |
| NVQ Level 4 | 31.3 | [30.4,32.2] | 604 |
| NVQ Level 5 | 31.7 | [30.8,32.7] | 289 |
| Total | 30.5 | [29.9,31.1] | 1,763 |
| Parental work status |  |  |  |
| No carers working | 27.4 | [26.1,28.8] | 236 |
| One carer working | 30.5 | [29.7,31.2] | 531 |
| Two carers working | 31.4 | [30.7,32.2] | 847 |
| Total | 30.6 | [30.0,31.2] | 1,614 |
| Family poverty status |  |  |  |
| Above poverty level | 31.3 | [30.7,32.0] | 1,199 |
| Below poverty level | 28.0 | [26.9,29.1] | 432 |
| Total | 30.6 | [30.0,31.2] | 1,631 |

Sample includes all MCS3 children in Scotland at MCS1 and MCS3 (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 7.7: Mean scores for communication, language, and literacy by child and family characteristics

|  | FSP |  |  | DATS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | England |  |  | Wales |  |  | Scotland |  |  | Northern Ireland |  |  |
|  | Mean | SE | Obs | Mean | SE | Obs | Mean | SE | Obs | Mean | SE | Obs |
| All respondents | 25.4 | 0.2 | 8562 | 27.1 | 0.4 | 1003 | 30.5 | 0.3 | 839 | 28.3 | 0.3 | 872 |
| Age group at assessment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 57 months or younger | 22.4 | 0.4 | 342 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- | 0 |
| 58 through 60 months | 23.2 | 0.2 | 2198 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- | 1 | -- | -- | 2 |
| 61 through 63 months | . 4.9 | 0.2 | 2126 | 24.9 | 1.2 | 86 | 30.7 | 0.7 | 78 | 27.6 | 0.7 | 112 |
| 64 through 66 months | 26.5 | 0.2 | 2166 | 25.0 | 0.6 | 195 | 29.5 | 0.6 | 173 | 28.3 | 0.4 | 309 |
| 67 through 69 months | 27.8 | 0.2 | 1730 | 26.0 | 0.5 | 251 | 31.1 | 0.4 | 249 | 28.7 | 0.4 | 274 |
| 70 months or older | -- | -- | 0 | 28.6 | 0.5 | 439 | 30.7 | 0.4 | 310 | 29.1 | 0.8 | 122 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.287$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.057$ |
| Family structure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Two parents | 25.9 | 0.2 | 6850 | 27.7 | 0.5 | 801 | 30.9 | 0.3 | 673 | 28.8 | 0.3 | 720 |
| Lone parent | 22.9 | 0.2 | 1712 | 24.3 | 0.7 | 202 | 28.6 | 0.5 | 166 | 25.3 | 0.6 | 151 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |

Table 7.7 (continued) Mean scores for communication, language, and literacy by child and family characteristics

|  | FSP |  |  | DATS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | England |  |  | Wales |  |  | Scotland |  |  | Northern Ireland |  |  |
|  | Mean | SE | Obs | Mean | SE | Obs | Mean | SE | Obs | Mean | SE | Obs |
| Highest parental qualification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No qualifications | 20.0 | 0.3 | 809 | 21.2 | 1.2 | 79 | 25.6 | 1.0 | 56 | 22.6 | 0.7 | 68 |
| NVQ Level 1 | 21.5 | 0.3 | 504 | 23.0 | 1.2 | 58 | 27.0 | 1.6 | 16 | 22.9 | 1.3 | 42 |
| NVQ Level 2 | 23.9 | 0.2 | 2057 | 25.6 | 0.6 | 240 | 29.3 | 0.5 | 156 | 28.1 | 0.5 | 197 |
| NVQ Level 3 | 25.1 | 0.2 | 1276 | 27.8 | 0.8 | 158 | 30.5 | 0.5 | 177 | 27.2 | 0.8 | 142 |
| NVQ Level 4 | 27.2 | 0.2 | 2506 | 28.5 | 0.5 | 326 | 31.3 | 0.4 | 278 | 29.5 | 0.5 | 281 |
| NVQ Level 5 | 28.0 | 0.2 | 1099 | 29.2 | 0.7 | 120 | 31.7 | 0.5 | 143 | 30.5 | 0.5 | 123 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |
| Parental work status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No parents working | 21.2 | 0.3 | 1517 | 21.4 | 0.8 | 172 | 27.4 | 0.7 | 116 | 23.1 | 0.7 | 106 |
| One parent working | 25.2 | 0.2 | 2889 | 26.3 | 0.9 | 283 | 30.6 | 0.4 | 259 | 27.6 | 0.4 | 239 |
| Two parents working | 27.1 | 0.2 | 3437 | 29.1 | 0.4 | 483 | 31.4 | 0.4 | 392 | 29.6 | 0.4 | 421 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |
| Family poverty status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above poverty level | 26.6 | 0.2 | 5068 | 28.6 | 0.5 | 617 | 31.3 | 0.3 | 562 | 29.0 | 0.4 | 521 |
| Below poverty level | 22.5 | 0.2 | 2652 | 23.8 | 0.6 | 321 | 28.1 | 0.5 | 193 | 26.1 | 0.5 | 233 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |

Sample includes all MCS3 children (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families). 3,466 observations are excluded because of missing data on FSP or
DATS. Table displays weighted mean (using weight1), weighted standard errors, and unweighted observations. Maximum possible score on scale is 36.

Table 7.8: Mean scores for mathematical development by child and family characteristics in Scotland

|  | Mean | Confidence Interval | Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group at assessment |  |  |  |
| 58 to 60 | -- | -- | 1 |
| 61 to 63 | 23.6 | [22.6,24.7] | 102 |
| 64 to 66 | 23.5 | [22.7,24.2] | 227 |
| 67 to 69 | 24.5 | [24.0,24.9] | 305 |
| 70 mos or older | 24.3 | [23.8,24.8] | 388 |
| Total | 24.1 | [23.7,24.5] | 1,023 |
| Family type |  |  |  |
| Two parents | 24.3 | [23.9,24.7] | 1,466 |
| 1 parent | 22.9 | [22.1,23.8] | 328 |
| Total | 24.1 | [23.6,24.5] | 1,794 |
| Highest parental qualification |  |  |  |
| No qualifications | 20.0 | [18.4,21.6] | 119 |
| NVQ Level 1 | 22.4 | [20.2,24.6] | 39 |
| NVQ Level 2 | 23.6 | [22.8,24.4] | 339 |
| NVQ Level 3 | 23.9 | [23.3,24.5] | 373 |
| NVQ Level 4 | 24.8 | [24.2,25.3] | 604 |
| NVQ Level 5 | 24.8 | [24.2,25.4] | 289 |
| Total | 24.1 | [23.6,24.5] | 1,763 |
| Parental work status |  |  |  |
| No carers working | 21.4 | [20.2,22.5] | 236 |
| One carer working | 24.2 | [23.8,24.7] | 531 |
| Two carers working | 24.8 | [24.4,25.1] | 847 |
| Total | 24.1 | [23.7,24.5] | 1,614 |
| Family poverty status |  |  |  |
| Above poverty level | 24.7 | [24.3,25.1] | 1,199 |
| Below poverty level | 22.3 | [21.4,23.2] | 432 |
| Total | 24.1 | [23.7,24.6] | 1,631 |

Sample includes all MCS3 children in Scotland at MCS1 and MCS3 (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 7.9: Mean scores for mathematical development by child and family characteristics

|  | FSP |  |  | DATS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | England |  |  | Wales |  |  | Scotland |  |  | Northern Ireland |  |  |
|  | Mean | SE | Obs | Mean | SE | Obs | Mean | SE | Obs | Mean | SE | Obs |
| All respondents | 20.5 | 0.1 | 8562 | 22.7 | 0.2 | 1075 | 24.1 | 0.2 | 929 | 22.4 | 0.2 | 937 |
| Age group at assessment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 57 months or younger | 18.6 | 0.3 | 342 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- | 0 |
| 58 through 60 months | 19.1 | 0.2 | 2198 | - | -- | 0 | -- | -- | 1 | -- | -- | 3 |
| 61 through 63 months | 20.2 | 0.2 | 2126 | 21.0 | 0.8 | 91 | 23.6 | 0.5 | 81 | 21.6 | 0.4 | 124 |
| 64 through 66 months | 21.2 | 0.1 | 2166 | 21.5 | 0.4 | 206 | 23.5 | 0.4 | 188 | 22.3 | 0.3 | 322 |
| 67 through 69 months | 21.9 | 0.1 | 1730 | 22.8 | 0.3 | 272 | 24.5 | 0.2 | 282 | 22.8 | 0.3 | 303 |
| 70 months or older | -- | -- | 0 | 23.6 | 0.2 | 475 | 24.4 | 0.2 | 349 | 24.4 | 0.5 | 128 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.024$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.006$ |
| Family structure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Two parents | 20.8 | 0.1 | 6850 | 23.1 | 0.3 | 863 | 24.3 | 0.2 | 753 | 22.8 | 0.2 | 780 |
| Lone parent | 19.1 | 0.2 | 1712 | 21.1 | 0.5 | 212 | 23.0 | 0.4 | 176 | 20.6 | 0.4 | 156 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.002$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.001$ |  |  | $p=0.000$ |

Table 7.9 (continued) Mean scores for mathematical development by child and family characteristics

|  | FSP |  |  | DATS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Wales |  |  | Scotland |  |  | Northern Ireland |  |  |
|  | Mean | SE | Obs | Mean | SE | Obs | Mean | SE | Obs | Mean | SE | Obs |
| Highest parental qualification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No qualifications | 17.1 | 0.2 | 809 | 19.4 | 0.8 | 84 | 20.0 | 0.8 | 61 | 19.5 | 0.7 | 75 |
| NVQ Level 1 | 17.9 | 0.2 | 504 | 21.1 | 0.8 | 62 | 22.4 | 1.1 | 20 | 19.6 | 0.8 | 48 |
| NVQ Level 2 | 19.6 | 0.2 | 2057 | 22.0 | 0.4 | 256 | 23.6 | 0.4 | 172 | 22.1 | 0.3 | 209 |
| NVQ Level 3 | 20.5 | 0.2 | 1276 | 23.2 | 0.4 | 168 | 24.0 | 0.3 | 189 | 21.8 | 0.5 | 151 |
| NVQ Level 4 | 21.6 | 0.1 | 2506 | 23.4 | 0.3 | 344 | 24.8 | 0.3 | 312 | 23.3 | 0.2 | 297 |
| NVQ Level 5 | 22.1 | 0.1 | 1099 | 24.0 | 0.4 | 137 | 24.9 | 0.3 | 159 | 23.6 | 0.3 | 136 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |
| Parental work status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No parents working | 17.8 | 0.2 | 1517 | 19.3 | 0.6 | 181 | 21.4 | 0.6 | 124 | 19.3 | 0.6 | 112 |
| One parent working | 20.4 | 0.1 | 2889 | 22.1 | 0.4 | 293 | 24.3 | 0.2 | 287 | 21.7 | 0.3 | 257 |
| Two parents working | 21.5 | 0.1 | 3437 | 23.9 | 0.2 | 528 | 24.8 | 0.2 | 434 | 23.4 | 0.2 | 459 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |
| Family poverty status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above poverty level | 21.2 | 0.1 | 5068 | 23.6 | 0.3 | 665 | 24.7 | 0.2 | 626 | 22.9 | 0.2 | 564 |
| Below poverty level | 18.8 | 0.2 | 2652 | 20.7 | 0.4 | 339 | 22.3 | 0.4 | 212 | 21.3 | 0.4 | 246 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |

Sample includes all MCS3 children (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families). 3,466 observations are excluded because of missing data on FSP or
DATS. Table displays weighted mean (using weight1), standard errors, and unweighted observations. Maximum possible score on scale is 27.

Table 7.10: Mean scores for knowledge and understanding of the world by child and family characteristics in Scotland

|  | Mean | Confidence Interval | Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group at assessment |  |  |  |
| 58 to 60 | -- | -- | 1 |
| 61 to 63 | 7.3 | [6.9,7.8] | 102 |
| 64 to 66 | 7.2 | [6.8,7.6] | 227 |
| 67 to 69 | 7.5 | [7.3,7.8] | 305 |
| 70 mos or older | 7.6 | [7.4,7.9] | 388 |
| Total | 7.5 | [7.3,7.7] | 1,023 |
| Family type |  |  |  |
| two parents | 7.5 | [7.3,7.7] | 1,466 |
| 1 parent | 7.1 | [6.7,7.5] | 328 |
| Total | 7.5 | [7.2,7.7] | 1,794 |
| Highest parental qualification |  |  |  |
| No qualifications | 5.7 | [5.1,6.3] | 119 |
| NVQ Level 1 | 6.8 | [6.1,7.6] | 39 |
| NVQ Level 2 | 7.2 | [6.9,7.6] | 339 |
| NVQ Level 3 | 7.6 | [7.2,7.9] | 373 |
| NVQ Level 4 | 7.7 | [7.4,8.0] | 604 |
| NVQ Level 5 | 7.7 | [7.3,8.0] | 289 |
| Total | 7.5 | [7.2,7.7] | 1,763 |
| Parental work status |  |  |  |
| No carers working | 6.5 | [5.9,7.0] | 236 |
| One carer working | 7.5 | [7.2,7.8] | 531 |
| Two carers working | 7.8 | [7.6,7.9] | 847 |
| Total | 7.5 | [7.3,7.7] | 1,614 |
| Family poverty status |  |  |  |
| Above poverty level | 7.7 | [7.5,7.9] | 1,199 |
| Below poverty level | 6.9 | [6.4,7.3] | 432 |
| Total | 7.5 | [7.3,7.7] | 1,631 |

Table 7.10 (continued) Mean scores for knowledge and understanding of the world by child and family characteristics

|  | FSP |  |  | DATS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | England |  |  | Wales |  |  | Scotland |  |  | Northern Ireland |  |  |
|  | Mean | SE | Obs | Mean | SE | Obs | Mean | SE | Obs | Mean | SE | Obs |
| All respondents | 6.7 | 0.0 | 8563 | 7.0 | 0.1 | 1093 | 7.5 | 0.1 | 924 | 7.0 | 0.1 | 934 |
| Age group at assessment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 57 months or younger | 6.2 | 0.1 | 342 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- | 0 |
| 58 through 60 months | 6.4 | 0.1 | 2198 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- | 1 | -- | -- | 3 |
| 61 through 63 months | 6.7 | 0.1 | 2126 | 6.5 | 0.3 | 99 | 7.3 | 0.2 | 87 | 6.8 | 0.2 | 125 |
| 64 through 66 months | 6.9 | 0.0 | 2167 | 6.7 | 0.2 | 212 | 7.2 | 0.2 | 185 | 7.0 | 0.1 | 324 |
| 67 through 69 months | 7.2 | 0.0 | 1730 | 7.0 | 0.2 | 276 | 7.5 | 0.1 | 270 | 7.2 | 0.1 | 296 |
| 70 months or older | -- | -- | 0 | 7.4 | 0.1 | 473 | 7.6 | 0.1 | 353 | 7.1 | 0.2 | 131 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.029$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.180$ |
| Family structure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Two parents | 6.9 | 0.0 | 6850 | 7.2 | 0.1 | 878 | 7.5 | 0.1 | 747 | 7.1 | 0.1 | 770 |
| Lone parent | 6.3 | 0.1 | 1713 | 6.5 | 0.2 | 215 | 7.1 | 0.2 | 177 | 6.3 | 0.2 | 163 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.021$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |

Table 7.10 (continued) Mean scores for knowledge and understanding of the world by child and family characteristics

|  | FSP |  |  | DATS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | England |  |  | Wales |  |  | Scotland |  |  | Northern Ireland |  |  |
|  | Mean | SE | Obs | Mean | SE | Obs | Mean | SE | Obs | Mean | SE | Obs |
| Highest parental qualification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No qualifications | 5.7 | 0.1 | 809 | 5.7 | 0.3 | 86 | 5.7 | 0.3 | 61 | 5.9 | 0.2 | 77 |
| NVQ Level 1 | 6.0 | 0.1 | 504 | 6.4 | 0.2 | 64 | 6.9 | 0.4 | 21 | 5.8 | 0.3 | 47 |
| NVQ Level 2 | 6.5 | 0.1 | 2057 | 6.7 | 0.1 | 256 | 7.3 | 0.2 | 173 | 7.0 | 0.1 | 211 |
| NVQ Level 3 | 6.7 | 0.1 | 1277 | 7.2 | 0.1 | 173 | 7.6 | 0.2 | 185 | 6.6 | 0.3 | 151 |
| NVQ Level 4 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 2506 | 7.3 | 0.1 | 357 | 7.7 | 0.1 | 311 | 7.3 | 0.2 | 287 |
| NVQ Level 5 | 7.2 | 0.1 | 1099 | 7.5 | 0.2 | 134 | 7.7 | 0.2 | 156 | 7.6 | 0.1 | 141 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |
| Parental work status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No parents working | 5.9 | 0.1 | 1518 | 5.7 | 0.2 | 189 | 6.5 | 0.3 | 120 | 5.8 | 0.2 | 116 |
| One parent working | 6.7 | 0.0 | 2889 | 7.0 | 0.2 | 299 | 7.5 | 0.1 | 284 | 6.7 | 0.2 | 265 |
| Two parents working | 7.1 | 0.0 | 3437 | 7.5 | 0.1 | 531 | 7.7 | 0.1 | 434 | 7.4 | 0.1 | 265 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |
| Family poverty status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above poverty level | 7.0 | 0.0 | 5068 | 7.4 | 0.1 | 673 | 7.7 | 0.1 | 621 | 7.2 | 0.1 | 550 |
| Below poverty level | 6.2 | 0.1 | 2653 | 6.3 | 0.1 | 348 | 6.9 | 0.2 | 211 | 6.6 | 0.1 | 251 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.001$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |

Sample includes all MCS3 children (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families). 3,466 observations are excluded because of missing data on FSP or
DATS. Table displays weighted mean (using weight1), weighted standard errors, and unweighted observations. Maximum possible score on scale is 9.

Table 7.11: Mean scores for creative development by child and family characteristics in Scotland

|  | Mean | Confidence Interval | Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group at assessment |  |  |  |
| 58 to 60 | -- | -- | 1 |
| 61 to 63 | 7.9 | [7.5,8.4] | 102 |
| 64 to 66 | 7.7 | [7.4,7.9] | 227 |
| 67 to 69 | 8.1 | [7.8,8.3] | 305 |
| 70 mos or older | 8.0 | [7.8,8.2] | 388 |
| Total | 7.9 | [7.8,8.1] | 1,023 |
| Family type |  |  |  |
| Two parents | 8.0 | [7.8,8.1] | 1,466 |
| 1 parent | 7.7 | [7.2,8.2] | 328 |
| Total | 7.9 | [7.8,8.1] | 1,794 |
| Highest parental qualification |  |  |  |
| No qualifications | 6.9 | [6.1,7.6] | 119 |
| NVQ Level 1 | 7.0 | [6.1,7.9] | 39 |
| NVQ Level 2 | 7.9 | [7.6,8.3] | 339 |
| NVQ Level 3 | 8.0 | [7.8,8.3] | 373 |
| NVQ Level 4 | 8.0 | [7.8,8.3] | 604 |
| NVQ Level 5 | 8.1 | [7.8,8.4] | 289 |
| Total | 7.9 | [7.8,8.1] | 1,763 |
| Parental work status |  |  |  |
| No carers working | 7.1 | [6.5,7.8] | 236 |
| One carer working | 8.0 | [7.8,8.2] | 531 |
| Two carers working | 8.2 | [8.0,8.3] | 847 |
| Total | 8.0 | [7.8,8.1] | 1,614 |
| Family poverty status |  |  |  |
| Above poverty level | 8.1 | [8.0,8.3] | 1,199 |
| Below poverty level | 7.4 | [6.9,7.9] | 432 |
| Total | 8.0 | [7.8,8.1] | 1,631 |

Sample includes all MCS3 children in Scotland at MCS1 and MCS3 (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 7.12: Mean scores for creative development by child and family characteristics

|  | FSP |  |  | DATS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | England |  |  | Wales |  |  | Scotland |  |  | Northern Ireland |  |  |
|  | Mean | SE | Obs | Mean | SE | Obs | Mean | SE | Obs | Mean | SE | Obs |
| All respondents | 6.7 | 0.0 | 8561 | 7.4 | 0.1 | 1093 | 7.9 | 0.1 | 954 | 7.5 | 0.1 | 940 |
| Age group at assessment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 57 months or younger | 6.3 | 0.1 | 342 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- | 0 |
| 58 through 60 months | 6.4 | 0.1 | 2197 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- | 1 | -- | -- | 3 |
| 61 through 63 months | 6.6 | 0.1 | 2125 | 7.1 | 0.3 | 95 | 7.9 | 0.2 | 88 | 7.5 | 0.2 | 122 |
| 64 through 66 months | 6.9 | 0.0 | 2167 | 7.2 | 0.2 | 212 | 7.7 | 0.1 | 194 | 7.5 | 0.1 | 332 |
| 67 through 69 months | 7.1 | 0.0 | 1730 | 7.4 | 0.1 | 278 | 8.0 | 0.1 | 282 | 7.5 | 0.1 | 298 |
| 70 months or older | -- | -- | 0 | 7.6 | 0.1 | 475 | 7.6 | 0.1 | 361 | 7.2 | 0.2 | 128 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.103$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.187$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.370$ |
| Family structure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Two parents | 6.8 | 0.0 | 6849 | 7.5 | 0.1 | 876 | 8.0 | 0.1 | 768 | 7.6 | 0.1 | 779 |
| Lone parent | 6.3 | 0.1 | 1712 | 6.9 | 0.2 | 217 | 7.7 | 0.2 | 186 | 6.9 | 0.2 | 161 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.010$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.263$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |

Table 7.12 (continued) Mean scores for creative development by child and family characteristics

|  | FSP |  |  | DATS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Wales |  |  | Scotland |  |  | Northern Ireland |  |  |
|  | Mean | SE | Obs | Mean | SE | Obs | Mean | SE | Obs | Mean | SE | Obs |
| Highest parental qualification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No qualifications | 5.9 | 0.1 | 809 | 6.4 | 0.3 | 87 | 6.9 | 0.4 | 63 | 6.2 | 0.2 | 73 |
| NVQ Level 1 | 6.2 | 0.1 | 503 | 6.8 | 0.3 | 63 | 7.0 | 0.4 | 22 | 6.5 | 0.3 | 45 |
| NVQ Level 2 | 6.5 | 0.1 | 2056 | 7.0 | 0.1 | 257 | 7.9 | 0.2 | 175 | 7.4 | 0.1 | 213 |
| NVQ Level 3 | 6.7 | 0.1 | 1277 | 7.7 | 0.1 | 175 | 8.0 | 0.1 | 196 | 7.2 | 0.2 | 152 |
| NVQ Level 4 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 2506 | 7.6 | 0.2 | 355 | 8.0 | 0.1 | 321 | 7.7 | 0.1 | 297 |
| NVQ Level 5 | 7.1 | 0.1 | 1099 | 8.0 | 0.2 | 133 | 8.0 | 0.2 | 161 | 7.9 | 0.1 | 139 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.010$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |
| Parental work status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No parents working | 6.0 | 0.1 | 1516 | 6.3 | 0.3 | 186 | 7.1 | 0.3 | 129 | 6.4 | 0.2 | 113 |
| One parent working | 6.7 | 0.0 | 2889 | 7.2 | 0.2 | 301 | 8.0 | 0.1 | 288 | 7.2 | 0.1 | 265 |
| Two parents working | 7.0 | 0.0 | 3437 | 7.8 | 0.1 | 533 | 8.1 | 0.1 | 449 | 7.7 | 0.1 | 449 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.005$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |
| Family poverty status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above poverty level | 6.9 | 0.0 | 5068 | 7.7 | 0.1 | 672 | 8.1 | 0.1 | 643 | 7.6 | 0.1 | 558 |
| Below poverty level | 6.2 | 0.1 | 2651 | 6.7 | 0.1 | 348 | 7.4 | 0.2 | 221 | 7.1 | 0.1 | 250 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.007$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |

Sample includes all MCS3 children (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families). 3,466 observations are excluded due to missing data on FSP or DATS.
Table displays weighted mean (using weight1), standard errors, and unweighted observations. Maximum possible score on scale is 9 .

Table 7.13: Mean scores for physical development by child and family characteristics in Scotland

|  | Mean | Confidence Interval | Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group at assessment |  |  |  |
| 58 to 60 | -- | -- | 1 |
| 61 to 63 | 8.2 | [7.8,8.6] | 102 |
| 64 to 66 | 8.3 | [8.1,8.5] | 227 |
| 67 to 69 | 8.4 | [8.3,8.6] | 305 |
| 70 or older | 8.3 | [8.2,8.5] | 388 |
| Total | 8.3 | [8.2,8.4] | 1,023 |
| Family type |  |  |  |
| two parents | 8.3 | [8.2,8.5] | 1,466 |
| 1 parent | 8.2 | [8.0,8.4] | 328 |
| Total | 8.3 | [8.2,8.4] | 1,794 |
| Highest parental qualification |  |  |  |
| No qualifications | 7.9 | [7.3,8.4] | 119 |
| NVQ Level 1 | 8.5 | [8.1,8.9] | 39 |
| NVQ Level 2 | 8.4 | [8.3,8.6] | 339 |
| NVQ Level 3 | 8.3 | [8.1,8.5] | 373 |
| NVQ Level 4 | 8.3 | [8.1,8.5] | 604 |
| NVQ Level 5 | 8.4 | [8.1,8.7] | 289 |
| Total | 8.3 | [8.2,8.4] | 1,763 |
| Parental work status |  |  |  |
| No carers working | 7.8 | [7.4,8.1] | 236 |
| One carer working | 8.4 | [8.2,8.5] | 531 |
| Two carers working | 8.4 | [8.3,8.6] | 847 |
| Total | 8.3 | [8.2,8.4] | 1,614 |
| Family poverty status |  |  |  |
| Above poverty level | 8.4 | [8.3,8.5] | 1,199 |
| Below poverty level | 8.1 | [7.8,8.3] | 432 |
| Total | 8.3 | [8.2,8.4] | 1,631 |

Sample includes all MCS3 children in Scotland at MCS1 and MCS3 (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 7.14: Mean scores for physical development by child and family characteristics

|  | FSP |  |  | DATS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | England |  |  | Wales |  |  | Scotland |  |  | Northern Ireland |  |  |
|  | Mean | SE | Obs | Mean | SE | Obs | Mean | SE | Obs | Mean | SE | Obs |
| All respondents | 7.3 | 0.0 | 8563 | 8.2 | 0.1 | 1118 | 8.3 | 0.1 | 1010 | 8.3 | 0.1 | 980 |
| Age group at assessment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 57 months or younger | 6.7 | 0.1 | 342 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- | 0 |
| 58 through 60 months | 7.0 | 0.0 | 2198 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- | 1 | -- | -- | 3 |
| 61 through 63 months | 7.2 | 0.0 | 2126 | 8.2 | 0.2 | 94 | 8.2 | 0.2 | 97 | 8.3 | 0.1 | 127 |
| 64 through 66 months | 7.4 | 0.0 | 2167 | 8.3 | 0.1 | 212 | 8.3 | 0.1 | 207 | 8.2 | 0.1 | 342 |
| 67 through 69 months | 7.6 | 0.0 | 1730 | 8.2 | 0.1 | 281 | 8.4 | 0.1 | 294 | 8.4 | 0.1 | 316 |
| 70 months or older | -- | -- | 0 | 8.3 | 0.1 | 495 | 8.3 | 0.1 | 380 | 8.2 | 0.1 | 134 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.011$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.575$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.540$ |
| Family structure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Two parents | 7.3 | 0.0 | 6850 | 8.2 | 0.1 | 897 | 8.3 | 0.1 | 817 | 8.4 | 0.1 | 809 |
| Lone parent | 6.9 | 0.0 | 1713 | 7.8 | 0.2 | 221 | 8.2 | 0.1 | 193 | 7.9 | 0.1 | 170 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.035$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.277$ |  |  | $p=0.000$ |

Table 7.14 (continued) Mean scores for physical development by child and family characteristics

|  | FSP |  |  | DATS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Wales |  |  | Scotland |  |  | Northern Ireland |  |  |
|  | Mean | SE | Obs | Mean | SE | Obs | Mean | SE | Obs | Mean | SE | Obs |
| Highest parental qualification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No qualifications | 6.6 | 0.1 | 809 | 7.4 | 0.3 | 87 | 7.9 | 0.3 | 67 | 7.5 | 0.2 | 75 |
| NVQ Level 1 | 6.7 | 0.1 | 504 | 8.0 | 0.2 | 64 | 8.5 | 0.2 | 21 | 7.6 | 0.2 | 49 |
| NVQ Level 2 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 2057 | 8.0 | 0.1 | 260 | 8.4 | 0.1 | 187 | 8.3 | 0.1 | 222 |
| NVQ Level 3 | 7.2 | 0.1 | 1277 | 8.3 | 0.1 | 175 | 8.3 | 0.1 | 204 | 8.2 | 0.2 | 157 |
| NVQ Level 4 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 2506 | 8.2 | 0.1 | 366 | 8.3 | 0.1 | 336 | 8.5 | 0.1 | 311 |
| NVQ Level 5 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 1099 | 8.4 | 0.1 | 142 | 8.4 | 0.1 | 177 | 8.6 | 0.1 | 145 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.001$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.303$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |
| Parental work status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No parents working | 6.7 | 0.1 | 1518 | 7.3 | 0.2 | 186 | 7.8 | 0.2 | 131 | 7.7 | 0.1 | 117 |
| One parent working | 7.2 | 0.0 | 2889 | 8.1 | 0.1 | 309 | 8.4 | 0.1 | 309 | 8.2 | 0.1 | 275 |
| Two parents working | 7.5 | 0.0 | 3437 | 8.4 | 0.1 | 546 | 8.4 | 0.1 | 479 | 8.5 | 0.1 | 475 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.012$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |
| Family poverty status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above poverty level | 7.4 | 0.0 | 5068 | 8.4 | 0.1 | 690 | 8.4 | 0.1 | 684 | 8.4 | 0.1 | 588 |
| Below poverty level | 6.9 | 0.0 | 2653 | 7.7 | 0.1 | 353 | 8.1 | 0.1 | 229 | 8.1 | 0.1 | 257 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.025$ |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=0.006$ |

Sample includes all MCS3 children (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families). 3,466 observations are excluded due to missing data on FSP or DATS.
Table displays weighted mean (using weight1), weighted standard errors, and unweighted observations. Maximum possible score on scale is 9 .

Table 8.1: Means, confidence intervals, and centiles of BAS scales by country

|  | Mean | Cl | 10th <br> Percentile | 25th <br> Percentile | 50th Percentile | 75th Percentile | 90th Percentile | Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BAS Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 101.3 | [100.6,102.0] | 83.0 | 92.1 | 101.7 | 111.1 | 119.1 | 9,890 |
| Wales | 100.7 | [99.5,101.8] | 84.1 | 92.1 | 100.3 | 109.7 | 117.4 | 2,173 |
| Scotland | 101.0 | [99.7,102.3] | 93.0 | 92.1 | 101.8 | 110.0 | 118.6 | 1,830 |
| Northern Ireland | 104.5 | [103.1,106.0] | 84.9 | 93.7 | 104.2 | 114.5 | 126.2 | 1,562 |
| BAS Picture Similarities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 55.6 | [55.1,56.0] | 44 | 49 | 55 | 61 | 68 | 9,890 |
| Wales | 55.9 | [55.2,56.6] | 44 | 49 | 55 | 61 | 70 | 2,173 |
| Scotland | 55.1 | [54.4,55.8] | 43 | 48 | 54 | 61 | 68 | 1,830 |
| Northern Ireland | 58.7 | [57.8,59.6] | 45 | 50 | 57 | 67 | 80 | 1,562 |
| BAS Naming Vocabulary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 55.2 | [54.7,55.7] | 41 | 48 | 57 | 62 | 69 | 9,890 |
| Wales | 54.2 | [53.6,54.8] | 42 | 48 | 54 | 60 | 65 | 2,173 |
| Scotland | 56.6 | [55.8,57.3] | 43 | 49 | 57 | 63 | 69 | 1,830 |
| Northern Ireland | 56.1 | [55.1,57.1] | 43 | 49 | 57 | 62 | 71 | 1,562 |
| BAS Pattern Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 51.3 | [50.9,51.7] | 41 | 46 | 51 | 57 | 63 | 9,890 |
| Wales | 51.2 | [50.4,52.0] | 41 | 46 | 51 | 56 | 62 | 2,173 |
| Scotland | 50.2 | [49.2,51.2] | 40 | 45 | 50 | 56 | 61 | 1,830 |
| Northern Ireland | 52.3 | [51.5,53.0] | 42 | 47 | 52 | 58 | 64 | 1,562 |

Sample includes all MCS3 children (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 8.2: Means, standard errors, and centiles of BAS overall score in Scotland

|  | Mean | SE | 10th <br> Percentile | $\begin{gathered} \text { 25th } \\ \text { Percentile } \end{gathered}$ | 50th Percentile | 75th Percentile | 90th Percentile | Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Child's Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 99.9 | -0.8 | 79.6 | 90.8 | 100.7 | 109.1 | 119.1 | 914 |
| Female | 102.0 | -0.8 | 85.8 | 93.2 | 102.7 | 110.9 | 118.3 | 880 |
| Languages Spoken in the Home |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| English only | 101.0 | -0.7 | 83.0 | 92.1 | 101.8 | 110.0 | 118.6 | 1,745 |
| English and other | 98.2 | -2.0 | 81.8 | 89.9 | 97.2 | 109.9 | 117.3 | 45 |
| Other only | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 3 |
| Family Structure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both parents | 101.9 | -0.7 | 84.3 | 93.9 | 102.3 | 111.3 | 119.4 | 1,382 |
| Lone parent | 97.0 | -1.0 | 76.5 | 87.2 | 97.9 | 106.7 | 113.7 | 327 |
| Step family | 96.0 | -1.6 | 78.2 | 88.9 | 96.0 | 104.8 | 112.1 | 67 |
| Other | 104.4 | -3.3 | 89.6 | 96.8 | 103.0 | 109.4 | 116.4 | 18 |
| Highest Parental Qualification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No qualifications | 90.1 | -1.4 | 68.6 | 79.1 | 91.5 | 100.4 | 110.6 | 119 |
| NVQ Level 1 | 93.8 | -2.4 | 76.3 | 85.7 | 93.9 | 103.5 | 107.0 | 39 |
| NVQ Level 2 | 97.2 | -0.9 | 79.8 | 88.9 | 97.2 | 105.9 | 113.7 | 339 |
| NVQ Level 3 | 99.2 | -0.9 | 81.8 | 90.5 | 99.4 | 107.4 | 117.0 | 373 |
| NVQ Level 4 | 103.7 | -0.8 | 87.1 | 95.8 | 104.0 | 112.1 | 119.7 | 604 |
| NVQ Level 5 | 105.9 | -1.1 | 89.5 | 98.0 | 106.9 | 115.9 | 123.0 | 289 |
| Parental Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No carers working | 92.8 | -1.2 | 72.2 | 82.7 | 92.3 | 104.0 | 110.6 | 236 |
| One carer working | 101.2 | -0.8 | 83.0 | 92.1 | 101.9 | 110.4 | 117.9 | 531 |
| Two carers working | 102.9 | -0.8 | 86.6 | 93.8 | 102.8 | 111.8 | 120.0 | 847 |
| Poverty Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above 60\% | 102.6 | -0.8 | 85.4 | 93.7 | 102.8 | 111.6 | 119.5 | 1,199 |
| Below 60\% | 95.8 | -0.9 | 76.3 | 87.2 | 96.3 | 105.7 | 112.8 | 432 |

Sample includes all MCS3 children in Scotland at MCS1 and MCS3 (excluding second and third children in
twin and triplet families).

Table 8.3: UK means, standard errors, and centiles of BAS overall score

|  | Mean | Standard Error | 10th Percentile | 25th <br> Percentile | 50th Percentile | 75th Percentile | 90th Percentile | Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All | 101.4 | 0.3 | 83.2 | 92.2 | 101.8 | 111.0 | 119.2 | 14841 |
| Country |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 101.3 | 0.4 | 83.1 | 92.2 | 101.8 | 111.1 | 119.1 | 9469 |
| Wales | 100.6 | 0.6 | 83.9 | 92.0 | 100.1 | 109.4 | 117.8 | 2121 |
| Scotland | 101.1 | 0.7 | 82.8 | 92.1 | 101.9 | 110.3 | 118.5 | 1762 |
| Northern Ireland | 104.4 | 0.7 | 84.9 | 93.7 | 104.1 | 114.3 | 125.9 | 1489 |


| Child's Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Male | 100.3 | 0.3 | 81.1 | 90.9 | 100.8 | 110.4 | 119.0 | 7527 |
| Female | 102.4 | 0.3 | 85.6 | 93.5 | 102.6 | 111.7 | 119.5 | 7314 |

Languages Spoken in the Home

| English <br> only | 102.1 | 0.3 | 84.6 | 93.0 | 102.4 | 111.5 | 119.7 | 12723 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| English <br> and other <br> language | 93.2 | 0.8 | 73.3 | 82.5 | 93.5 | 103.9 | 113.3 | 2039 |
| Other <br> language <br> only | 89.3 | 1.5 | 72.5 | 82.2 | 88.5 | 99.1 | 108.0 | 78 |

Family Structure

| Two <br> natural <br> parents | 102.5 | 0.3 | 84.6 | 93.3 | 102.8 | 112.1 | 120.1 | 11219 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lone <br> parent | 97.6 | 0.4 | 79.6 | 88.5 | 97.8 | 107.0 | 115.5 | 2862 |
| Step <br> family | 96.9 | 0.7 | 79.6 | 88.5 | 97.4 | 106.2 | 113.0 | 592 |

Highest Parental Qualification ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| No <br> qualifications | 90.6 | 0.7 | 71.3 | 80.9 | 91.1 | 100.2 | 109.9 | 1280 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NVQ1 | 93.9 | 0.7 | 73.2 | 84.9 | 94.1 | 104.0 | 111.3 | 781 |
| NVQ2 | 98.4 | 0.4 | 81.4 | 89.5 | 98.4 | 107.3 | 115.2 | 3364 |
| NVQ3 | 100.5 | 0.4 | 83.2 | 91.7 | 100.8 | 109.7 | 117.2 | 2335 |
| NVQ4 | 104.9 | 0.3 | 88.4 | 96.1 | 104.7 | 113.9 | 122.1 | 4524 |
| NVQ5 | 106.1 | 0.4 | 89.5 | 97.4 | 106.5 | 114.8 | 122.6 | 2087 |

Parental Employment

| No parent <br> employed | 94.2 | 0.5 | 74.7 | 84.7 | 94.4 | 104.0 | 113.2 | 2434 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| One <br> parent <br> employed | 101.2 | 0.4 | 82.8 | 91.9 | 101.5 | 110.9 | 119.4 | 4787 |
| Two <br> parents <br> employed | 104.0 | 0.3 | 87.5 | 95.1 | 104.2 | 112.6 | 120.7 | 6259 |

Poverty Status+

| Above <br> $60 \%$ median | 103.57 | 0.30 | 86.8 | 94.8 | 103.7 | 112.6 | 120.5 | 8907 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Below <br> $60 \%$ median | 95.81 | 0.41 | 77.0 | 86.5 | 96.0 | 105.7 | 114.6 | 4410 |

Sample includes MCS3 children, excluding second and third children in families with twins or triplets. Means, standard errors, and centiles are weighted using weight1 for country-specific numbers and weight2 for all others.
${ }^{a}$ NVQ $=$ National Vocational Qualification. Levels range from 1 (basic work activities that are routine and predictable) to
5 (senior management). Also includes academic qualifications, with NVQ1 being equivalent to some basic schoolleaving qualifications and NVQ5 being equivalent to a postgraduate qualification or higher degree. Variable is qualification level of whichever parent has the higher qualification.

+ Poverty status calculated on those reporting income, not including imputations for income

Table 8.4: Means, confidence intervals, and centiles of SDQ scales by country

|  | Mean | Cl | 10th Percentile | 25th <br> Percentile | 50th Percentile | 75th Percentile | 90th Percentile | Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SDQ Pro-Social |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 8.4 | [8.4,8.5] | 6 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 9,890 |
| Wales | 8.6 | [8.5,8.7] | 6 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 2,173 |
| Scotland | 8.4 | [8.3,8.5] | 6 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 1,830 |
| Northern Ireland | 8.4 | [8.3,8.5] | 6 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 1,562 |
| SDQ Total Difficulties |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 6.7 | [6.6,6.9] | 2 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 13 | 9,890 |
| Wales | 6.7 | [6.4,7.0] | 2 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 13 | 2,173 |
| Scotland | 6.4 | [6.1,6.6] | 1 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 12 | 1,830 |
| Northern Ireland | 6.2 | [5.9,6.5] | 1 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 12 | 1,562 |
| SDQ Hyperactivity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 3.2 | [3.1,3.3] | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 9,890 |
| Wales | 3.2 | [3.1,3.3] | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 2,173 |
| Scotland | 3.1 | [2.9,3.2] | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 1,830 |
| Northern Ireland | 2.9 | [2.8,3.1] | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 1,562 |
| SDQ Emotional Symptoms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 1.3 | [1.3,1.4] | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 9,890 |
| Wales | 1.2 | [1.1,1.3] | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2,173 |
| Scotland | 1.2 | [1.1,1.3] | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1,830 |
| Northern Ireland | 1.2 | [1.1,1.3] | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1,562 |
| SDQ Conduct Problems |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 1.4 | [1.4,1.4] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 9,890 |
| Wales | 1.4 | [1.4,1.5] | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2,173 |
| Scotland | 1.4 | [1.3,1.5] | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1,830 |
| Northern Ireland | 1.3 | [1.3,1.4] | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1,562 |
| SDQ Peer Problems |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 1.0 | [1.0,1.1] | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 9,890 |
| Wales | 1.0 | [0.9,1.1] | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2,173 |
| Scotland | 0.9 | [0.9,1.0] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1,830 |
| Northern Ireland | 1.0 | [0.9,1.1] | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1,562 |

Sample includes all MCS3 children (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 8.5: Means, standard errors, and centiles of SDQ total difficulties scale in Scotland

|  | Mean | SE | 10th Percentile | $\begin{gathered} \text { 25th } \\ \text { Percentile } \end{gathered}$ | 50th Percentile | 75th Percentile | 90th Percentile | Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Child's Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 6.8 | -0.2 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 13 | 914 |
| Female | 6.0 | -0.2 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 12 | 880 |
| Languages Spoken in the Home |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| English only | 6.4 | -0.1 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 12 | 1,745 |
| English and other | 5.4 | -1.0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 10 | 45 |
| Other only | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 3 |
| Family Structure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both parents | 6.0 | -0.1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 11 | 1,382 |
| Lone parent | 7.6 | -0.3 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 327 |
| Step family | 8.3 | -0.8 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 15 | 67 |
| Other | 8.7 | -1.3 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 12 | 15 | 18 |
| Highest Parental Qualification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No qualifications | 9.0 | -0.5 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 11 | 16 | 119 |
| NVQ Level 1 | 9.9 | -0.8 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 13 | 19 | 39 |
| NVQ Level 2 | 7.5 | -0.3 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 14 | 339 |
| NVQ Level 3 | 6.8 | -0.2 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 13 | 373 |
| NVQ Level 4 | 5.5 | -0.2 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 604 |
| NVQ Level 5 | 5.3 | -0.3 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 289 |
| Parental Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No carers working | 8.6 | -0.4 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 11 | 16 | 236 |
| One carer working | 6.5 | -0.2 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 12 | 531 |
| Two carers working | 5.8 | -0.2 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 11 | 847 |
| Poverty Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above 60\% | 5.9 | -0.1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 11 | 1,199 |
| Below 60\% | 8.1 | -0.3 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 16 | 432 |

Sample includes all MCS3 children in Scotland at MCS1 and MCS3 (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 8.6: Means, standard errors, and centiles of SDQ total difficulties scale

|  | Mean | S E | 10th Percentile | 25th <br> Percentile | 50th Percentile | 75th Percentile | 90th Percentile | Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All | 6.7 | 0.1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 13 | 12511 |
| Country |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 6.7 | 0.1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 13 | 7347 |
| Wales | 6.7 | 0.2 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 13 | 1783 |
| Scotland | 6.4 | 0.1 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 12 | 1519 |
| Northern Ireland | 6.2 | 0.2 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 12 | 1203 |
| Child's Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 7.2 | 0.1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 6038 |
| Female | 6.1 | 0.1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 12 | 5814 |
| Languages Spoken in the Home |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| English only | 6.6 | 0.1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 13 | 10602 |
| English and other language | 7.3 | 0.2 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 10 | 14 | 1208 |
| Other language only | 8.4 | 0.6 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 11 | 12 | 41 |
| Family Structure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Two natural parents | 6.2 | 0.1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 12 | 9028 |
| Lone parent | 8.3 | 0.1 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 12 | 15 | 2230 |
| Step family | 8.5 | 0.3 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 11 | 16 | 463 |

Highest Parental Qualification

| No <br> qualifications | 9.7 | 0.2 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 13 | 17 | 867 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NVQ1 | 8.6 | 0.2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 12 | 16 | 553 |
| NVQ2 | 7.7 | 0.1 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 14 | 2687 |
| NVQ3 | 6.7 | 0.1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 9 | 13 | 1923 |
| NVQ4 | 5.9 | 0.1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 11 | 3783 |
| NVQ5 | 5.4 | 0.1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 11 | 1760 |

Parental Employment

| No parent employed | 9.1 | 0.1 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 12 | 17 | 1762 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One parent employed | 6.8 | 0.1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 13 | 3776 |
| Two parents employed | 5.9 | 0.1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 11 | 5276 |
| Poverty Status+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above 60\% median | 6.13 | 0.07 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 12 | 7531 |
| Below 60\% median | 8.15 | 0.12 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 15 | 3230 |

Sample includes all MCS3 children excluding second and third children in families with twins or triplets. Means, standard errors, and centiles are weighted using weight1 for country-specific numbers and weight2 for all others.

+ Poverty status calculated on those reporting income, not including imputations for income

Table 8.7: Means, standard errors, and centiles of SDQ pro-social scale in Scotland

|  | Mean | SE | 10th Percent | 25th Percent | 50th Percent | 75th Percent | 90th Percent | Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Child's Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 8.2 | -0.1 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 914 |
| Female | 8.6 | 0.0 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 880 |
| Languages Spoken in the Home |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| English only | 8.4 | 0.0 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 1,745 |
| English and other | 8.4 | -0.2 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 45 |
| Other only | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 3 |
| Family Structure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both parents | 8.4 | 0.0 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 1,382 |
| Lone parent | 8.4 | -0.1 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 327 |
| Step family | 8.2 | -0.2 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 67 |
| Other | (8.1) | (-0.3) | (6) | (8) | (8) | (9) | (10) | 18 |
| Highest Parental Qualification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No qualificatio ns | 8.2 | -0.1 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 119 |
| NVQ Level 1 | 7.6 | -0.2 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 39 |
| NVQ Level 2 | 8.3 | -0.1 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 339 |
| NVQ Level $3$ | 8.4 | -0.1 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 373 |
| NVQ Level $4$ | 8.4 | -0.1 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 604 |
| NVQ Level 5 | 8.5 | -0.1 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 289 |
| Parental Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No carers working | 8.3 | -0.1 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 236 |
| One carer working | 8.3 | -0.1 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 531 |
| Two carers working | 8.4 | -0.1 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 847 |
| Poverty Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above 60\% | 8.4 | 0.0 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 1,199 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Below } \\ & 60 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 8.2 | -0.1 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 432 |

Sample includes all MCS3 children in Scotland at MCS1 and MCS3 (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 8.8 Means, standard errors, and centiles of SDQ pro-social scale

|  | Mean | Standard Error | 10th Percentile | $\begin{gathered} \text { 25th } \\ \text { Percentile } \end{gathered}$ | 50th Percentile | 75th Percentile | 90th Percentile | Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All | 8.4 | 0.0 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 14375 |
| Country |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 8.4 | 0.0 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 9039 |
| Wales | 8.6 | 0.0 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 2122 |
| Scotland | 8.4 | 0.0 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 1765 |
| Northern Ireland | 8.4 | 0.0 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 1449 |


| Child's Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Male | 8.2 | 0.0 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 7292 |
| Female | 8.7 | 0.0 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 7083 |

Languages Spoken in the Home

| English <br> only | 8.4 | 0.0 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 12648 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| English <br> and other <br> language | 8.5 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other <br> language <br> only |  |  | 6 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 1668 |

Family Structure

| Two <br> natural <br> parents | 8.5 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lone <br> parent | 8.4 | 0.0 | 6 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10829 |  |
| Step <br> family | 8.3 | 0.1 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 2795 |

Highest Parental Qualification

| No <br> qualifications | 8.2 | 0.1 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 1106 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NVQ1 | 8.3 | 0.1 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 739 |
| NVQ2 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 3310 |
| NVQ3 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 2310 |
| NVQ4 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 4471 |
| NVQ5 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 2048 |

## Parental Employment

| No parent employed | 8.3 | 0.1 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 2298 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One parent employed | 8.4 | 0.0 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 4596 |
| Two parents employed | 8.5 | 0.0 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 6189 |
| Poverty Status+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above 60\% median | 8.48 | 0.02 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 8856 |
| Below 60\% median | 8.36 | 0.03 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 4160 |

Sample includes all MCS3 children excluding second and third children in families with twins or triplets. Means, standard errors, and centiles are weighted using weight1 for country-specific numbers and weight2 for all others.

+ Poverty status calculated on those reporting income, not including imputations for income

Table 8.9: Correlations among MCS 3 and MCS 2 BAS, SDQ, and Bracken School Readiness in Scotland

|  | MCS 3 |  |  |  |  |  | MCS 2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BAS Overall | BAS PS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BAS } \\ & \text { NV } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BAS } \\ & \text { PC } \end{aligned}$ | SDQ ProSocial | SDQ Total Difficulties | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { BAS } \\ & \text { NV } \end{aligned}$ | Bracken | SDQ ProSocial |
| BAS Overall, MCS 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BAS Picture Similarities, MCS 3 | 0.70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BAS Naming Vocabulary, MCS 3 | 0.67 | 0.26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BAS Pattern Construction, MCS 3 | 0.76 | 0.27 | 0.32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SDQ Pro-Social, MCS 3 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SDQ Total Difficulties, MCS 3 | -0.20 | -0.11 | -0.16 | -0.16 | -0.38 |  |  |  |  |
| BAS Naming Vocabulary, MCS 2 | 0.37 | 0.18 | 0.46 | 0.20 | 0.05 | -0.21 |  |  |  |
| Bracken School Readiness | 0.44 | 0.25 | 0.47 | 0.26 | 0.01 | -0.23 | 0.53 |  |  |
| SDQ Pro-Social, MCS 2 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.43 | -0.24 | 0.09 | 0.04 |  |
| SDQ Total Difficulties, MCS 2 | -0.17 | -0.09 | -0.14 | -0.14 | -0.28 | 0.67 | -0.20 | -0.25 | -0.36 |

Sample includes all MCS3 children in Scotland at MCS1 and MCS3 (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 8.10: UK Correlations between assessments at age 3 and age 5

|  | MCS 3 |  |  |  |  |  | MCS 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BAS Overall | BAS PS | BAS <br> NV | BAS PC | SDQ ProSocial | SDQ Total Difficulties | BAS <br> NV | Bracken | SDQ ProSocial | SDQ Total Difficulties |
| BAS Overall, MCS 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BAS Picture Similarities, MCS 3 | 0.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BAS Naming Vocabulary, MCS 3 | 0.71 | 0.29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BAS Pattern Construction, MCS 3 | 0.75 | 0.31 | 0.31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SDQ Pro-Social, MCS 3 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SDQ Total Difficulties, MCS 3 | -0.22 | -0.13 | -0.18 | -0.17 | -0.37 |  |  |  |  |  |
| BAS Naming Vocabulary, MCS 2 | 0.42 | 0.19 | 0.51 | 0.23 | 0.08 | -0.20 |  |  |  |  |
| Bracken School Readiness | 0.44 | 0.22 | 0.47 | 0.28 | 0.09 | -0.25 | 0.54 |  |  |  |
| SDQ Pro-Social, MCS 2 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.43 | -0.22 | 0.08 | 0.09 |  |  |
| SDQ Total Difficulties, MCS 2 | -0.21 | -0.14 | -0.18 | -0.15 | -0.28 | 0.62 | -0.21 | -0.28 | -0.36 |  |

[^11]Table 9.1 Cohort child's general health in Scotland

| General level of health | Boy | Girl | Total | N |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |  |
| $\ldots$ Excellent, | 58.2 | 58.9 | 58.5 | 1,040 |
| Very good, | 29.7 | 28.2 | 29.0 | 534 |
| Good, | 9.0 | 9.3 | 9.2 | 172 |
| Fair, | $(2.6)$ | $(3.2)$ | 2.9 | 55 |
| Or, poor? | $(0.5)$ | $(0.4)$ | $(0.4)$ | 9 |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1,810 |
| N | 926 | 884 | 1,810 |  |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(4) = 1.0619 |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(3.83 |  |  |  |  |

Sample all MCS3 main respondents in Scotland at MCS1 (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 9.2: Cohort child's general health in UK

| Health |  | Boy | Girl | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent | \% | 51.1 | 54.3 | 52.7 |
|  | Unweighted Obs | 3825 | 3962 | 7787 |
| Very good | \% | 31.5 | 30.6 | 31.1 |
|  | Unweighted Obs | 2459 | 2260 | 4719 |
| Good | \% | 13.2 | 11.6 | 12.4 |
|  | Unweighted Obs | 1074 | 891 | 1965 |
| Fair | \% | 3.7 | 2.9 | 3.3 |
|  | Unweighted Obs | 341 | 251 | 592 |
| Poor | \% | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
|  | Unweighted Obs | 57 | 50 | 107 |
| Total | \% | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | Unweighted Obs | 7756 | 7414 | 15170 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{P}>\mathrm{F}=0.0022$ |  |  |

Note. Weights are sampling weight2 unless otherwise noted.
Tables in chapter display weighted percentage, unweighted cell size followed by weighted cell size unless otherwise specified.
Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 9.3: Child's General Health by UK Country at MCS3

|  | General level of health |  |  |  | Good | Good | Fair | Fair | Poor | Poor | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MCS3 country | Excellent | Excellent, | Very good | Very good |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% | CI | \% | CI | \% | CI | \% | Cl | \% | Cl | \% |
| England (n=9,704) | 51.5 | [50.0,53.0] | 31.6 | [30.5,32.7] | 13.0 | [12.2,13.8] | 3.4 | [3.0,3.9] | 0.6 | [0.4,0.7] | 100 |
| Wales ( $\mathrm{n}=2,135$ ) | 58.5 | [56.3,60.8] | 27.0 | [25.0,29.2] | 10.7 | [9.1,12.6] | 3.1 | [2.3,4.0] | 0.6 | [0.4,1.1] | 100 |
| Scotland ( $\mathrm{n}=1,800$ ) | 58.9 | [56.1,61.6] | 29.0 | [26.6,31.6] | 8.9 | [7.7,10.3] | 2.8 | [2.0,3.7] | 0.4 | [0.2,0.8] | 100 |
| Northern Ireland ( $\mathrm{n}=1,530$ ) | 56.4 | [53.6,59.2] | 29.5 | [26.9,32.3] | 10.1 | [8.7,11.8] | 3.4 | [2.7,4.4] | 0.6 | [0.3,1.0] | 100 |
| Total ( $\mathrm{n}=15,169$ ) | 52.6 | [51.4,53.9] | 31.1 | [30.1,32.0] | 12.4 | [11.7,13.1] | 3.3 | [3.0,3.7] | 0.6 | [0.5,0.7] | 100 |

Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 9.4: Child's general health by employment of parents in Scotland (UK Figure 9.1)

|  | Combined labour market status of main and partner respondents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both employed | Main employed | Partner employed | Neither employed | Single employed | Single not employed | Total | N |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |
| ... Excellent, | 62.3 | (49.6) | 61.6 | (36.4) | 55.4 | 48.8 | 58.5 | 1,038 |
| Very good, | 27.8 | (42.2) | 25.6 | (46.0) | 29.9 | 32.2 | 29.0 | 534 |
| Good, | 8.0 | (4.5) | (8.0) | (9.7) | 13.7 | 10.9 | 9.2 | 172 |
| Fair, | (1.6) | (3.7) | (4.4) | (7.8) | (1.1) | (6.4) | 2.9 | 55 |
| Or, poor? | (0.3) |  | (0.4) |  |  | (1.7) | (0.4) | 9 |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1,808 |
| N | 849 | 35 | 352 | 63 | 265 | 244 | 1,808 |  |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(20) $=66.4220$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Design-based } \\ & \text { F(13.57 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 814.16) $=3.5481 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Figure 9.1: UK Child's general health by employment of parents and by income band


Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 9.5: Child's general health by income band in Scotland (UK Figure 9.1)

|  | Poverty (OECD) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General level of health | Above 60\% median | Below 60\% median | Total | N |
|  | \% | \% | \% |  |
| ... Excellent, | 61.8 | 47.5 | 58.5 | 1,039 |
| Very good, | 27.8 | 33.0 | 29.0 | 534 |
| Good, | 8.0 | 1.0 | 9.2 | 172 |
| Fair, | 2.2 | (5.1) | 2.9 | 55 |
| Or, poor? | (0.1) | (1.4) | (0.4) | 9 |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1,809 |
| N | 1,353 | 456 | 1,809 |  |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(4) $=43.9449$ |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(3.82 | 229.08) $=11.5765 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$ |  |  |  |

Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 9.6: Child's longstanding Illness by gender in Scotland

|  | Boy | Girl | Total | N |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | \% | \% | \% |  |
| No illness | 79.8 | 83.4 | 81.5 | 1,472 |
| Illness not limiting | 13.0 | 12.6 | 12.8 | 232 |
| Limiting illness | 7.2 | 4.0 | 5.6 | 105 |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1,809 |
| N | 926 | 883 | 1,809 |  |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(2) $=8.6714$ |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based <br> F(2.00 | $119.80)=4.6805$ Pr $=0.011$ |  |  |  |

Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 9.7: Child's longstanding illness by gender in UK

|  |  | Boy | Girl | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No illness | \% | 78.2 | 82.9 | 80.5 |
|  | Unweighted Obs | 6040 | 6142 | 12182 |
| Illness, not limiting | \% | 15.0 | 12.4 | 13.7 |
|  | Unweighted Obs | 1125 | 901 | 2026 |
| Limiting illness | \% | 6.8 | 4.7 | 5.8 |
|  | Unweighted Obs | 585 | 364 | 949 |
| Total | \% | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | Unweighted Obs | 7750 | 7407 | 15157 |
| ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( 0.0000 |  |  |  |  |

Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 9.8 Child's longitudinal long-term conditions at 3 and 5 in Scotland

|  | Whether child has longstanding illness age 5 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Does child have any longstanding health conditions age 3 | Yes | No | Total | N |
|  | \% | \% | \% |  |
| Yes | (8.1) | 7.8 | 15.5 | 253 |
| No | (10.4) | 73.7 | 84.5 | 1,334 |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1,587 |
| N | 294 | 1,293 | 1,587 |  |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(1) = 208.1153 |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(1.00 | 60.00 | 192.984 | = 0.000 |  |

Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 9.9 Child's longitudinal long-term conditions at 3 and 5 in UK

| Longstanding <br> (diagnosed) health <br> condition at age 3 | Longstanding illness at age 5 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Yes | No | All |  |
| Yes | $\%$ | 7.8 | 8.0 | 15.9 |  |
|  | Unweighted Obs | 1071 | 1062 | 2133 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | $\%$ | 11.5 | 72.6 | 84.1 |  |
|  | Unweighted Obs | 1578 | 9926 | 11504 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All | $\%$ | 19.3 | 80.7 | 100.0 |  |
|  | Unweighted Obs | 2649 | 10988 | 13637 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $P=0.0000$ |

Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).
Weighted percentages of the total sample who were observed at both surveys

Table 9.10: Selected child health and development problems where significant gender differences were found in Scotland

|  | Child gender |  |  | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Whether child ever had hearing problems | Boy | Girl | Total |  |
|  | \% | \% | \% |  |
| Yes | 10.4 | 10.0 | 10.2 | 182 |
| No | 89.6 | 90.0 | 89.8 | 1,624 |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1,806 |
| N | 925 | 881 | 1,806 |  |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(1) $=0.0869$ |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(1.00 | $60.00)=0.0658 \mathrm{Pr}=0.798$ |  |  |  |
| Concerns about speech |  |  |  |  |
| None | 82.1 | 91.0 | 86.4 | 1,565 |
| Yes | 17.9 | 9.0 | 13.6 | 245 |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1,810 |
| N | 926 | 884 | 1,810 |  |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(1) = 30.4803 |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(1.00 | 60.00) $=41.5758 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$ |  |  |  |
| Whether child ever had asthma |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 13.9 | 8.8 | 11.4 | 214 |
| No | 86.1 | 91.2 | 88.6 | 1,588 |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1,802 |
| N | 924 | 878 | 1,802 |  |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(1) = 11.5978 |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(1.00 | $60.00)=16.4947 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$ |  |  |  |
| Whether cm ever had eczema |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 33.7 | 32.4 | 33.1 | 595 |
| No | 66.3 | 67.6 | 66.9 | 1,213 |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1,808 |
| N | 926 | 882 | 1,808 |  |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(1) $=0.3670$ |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(1.00 | $60.00)=0.5236 \mathrm{Pr}=0.472$ |  |  |  |
| Whether child ever had hayfever |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 12.2 | 7.4 | 9.9 | 183 |
| No | 87.8 | 92.6 | 90.1 | 1,616 |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1,799 |
| N | 918 | 881 | 1,799 |  |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2 $(1)=11.7210$ |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(1.00 | $60.00)=10.3347 \operatorname{Pr}=0.002$ |  |  |  |
| Whether doc diagnosed autism/asperger's |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | (1.3) | (0.2) | (0.8) | 14 |
| No | 98.7 | 99.8 | 99.2 | 1,796 |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1,810 |
| N | 926 | 884 | 1,810 |  |


|  | Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(1) = 8.0657 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Design-based F(1.00 | 60.00) | 775 P | 0.001 |  |
| Wets during the night |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never |  | 70.9 | 82.1 | 76.4 | 1,381 |
| Sometimes |  | 29.1 | 17.9 | 23.6 | 417 |
| Total \% |  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1,798 |
| N |  | 917 | 881 | 1,798 |  |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(1) $=31.5002$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Design-based F(1.00 | 60.00) $=26.6642 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$ |  |  |  |

Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 9.11: Percentage answering yes to selected child health and development problems where significant gender differences were found in UK

| Problem | Boys | Girls | Total | Significance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weighted percentages |  |  |  |
| Hearing \% | 14.3 | 11.8 | 13.1 |  |
| Unweighted Base Nos | 7749 | 7404 | 15153 | $\mathrm{P}=0.0001$ |
| Any concerns about speech \% | 16.9 | 9.5 | 13.3 |  |
| Unweighted Base Nos | 7756 | 7415 | 15171 | $P=0.0000$ |
| Ever had Asthma \% | 17.0 | 11.8 | 14.5 |  |
| Unweighted Base Nos | 7734 | 7384 | 15118 | $P=0.0000$ |
| Ever had Eczema \% | 36.7 | 33.9 | 35.3 |  |
| Unweighted Base Nos | 7750 | 7411 | 15161 | $P=0.0020$ |
| Ever had Hay Fever \% | 11.7 | 9.2 | 10.48 |  |
| Unweighted Base Nos | 7711 | 7392 | 15103 | $P=0.0000$ |
| ADHD diagnosed $\%$ | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0.8 |  |
| Unweighted Base Nos | 7739 | 7412 | 15151 | $P=0.0000$ |
| Autism or Asperger's diagnosed \% | 1.4 | 0.3 | 0.9 |  |
| Weighted Base Nos | 7928 | 7576 | 15504 | $P=0.0000$ |
| Wets the bed at night (occasionally or more often) | 32.1 | 20.0 | 26.2 |  |
| Unweighted Base Nos | 7707 | 7374 | 15081 | $\mathrm{P}=0.0000$ |

Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 9.12 Selected child health conditions by parents' employment at age 5 in Scotland


| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(5) = 6.9837 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Design- } \\ & \text { based } \\ & \text { F(4.72 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 282.91) $=1.6965 \mathrm{Pr}=0.140$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Epilepsy or fits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| none | 96.8 | 100 | 95.9 | 92.2 | 97.0 | 92.3 | 96 | 1,733 |
| epilepsy or fits | 3.2 |  | (4.1) | (7.8) | (3.0) | 7.7 | 4.0 | 74 |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1,807 |
| N | 849 | 35 | 352 | 63 | 265 | 243 | 1,807 |  |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(5) = 13.5943 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Design- } \\ & \text { based } \\ & \text { F(4.49 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $=3.110$ | 0.012 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asthma |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| yes | 10.1 | (7.4) | 12.4 | (17.6) | 11.5 | 14.2 | 11.4 | 214 |
| no | 89.9 | 92.6 | 87.6 | 82.4 | 88.5 | 85.8 | 88.6 | 1,586 |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1,800 |
| N | 846 | 35 | 349 | 63 | 264 | 243 | 1,800 |  |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(5) = 6.1669 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design- <br> based $F(4.50$ | $270.19)=1.2708 \mathrm{Pr}=0.279$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eczema |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| yes | 35.4 | (27.4) | 32.9 | (23.4) | 31.5 | 29.5 | 33.1 | 595 |
| no | 64.6 | (72.6) | 67.1 | 76.6 | 68.5 | 70.5 | 66.9 | 1,211 |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1,806 |
| N | 848 | 35 | 352 | 62 | 265 | 244 | 1,806 |  |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(5) = 6.4270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Design- } \\ & \text { based } \\ & \text { F(4.56 } \end{aligned}$ | $273.62)=1.4254 \mathrm{Pr}=0.220$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hayfever |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| yes | 8.6 | (9.6) | 9.0 | (13.6) | (11.2) | 14.0 | 9.9 | 183 |
| no | 91.4 | 90.4 | 91.0 | 86.4 | 88.8 | 86.0 | 90.1 | 1,614 |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1,797 |
| N | 842 | 35 | 350 | 62 | 265 | 243 | 1,797 |  |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(5) = 7.6004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Design- } \\ & \text { based } \\ & \text { F(4.41 } \end{aligned}$ | 264.87) $=1.9528 \mathrm{Pr}=0.095$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ADHD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| yes |  |  |  | (3.8) | (1.3) | (0.9) | 0.4 | 7 |
| no | 100 | 100 | 100 | 96.2 | 98.7 | 99.1 | 99.6 | 1,798 |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1,805 |
| N | 849 | 35 | 352 | 61 | 265 | 243 | 1,805 |  |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(5) = 26.3764 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Designbased | $245.99)=4.9368 \mathrm{Pr}=0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $F(4.10$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Wets during the day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| never | 93.1 | 93.3 | 93.7 | 92.2 | 94.4 | 94.5 | 93.6 |
| sometim <br> es | 6.9 | $(6.7)$ | $(6.3)$ | $(7.8)$ | $(5.6)$ | $(5.5)$ | 6.4 |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 10 |
| N | 849 | 35 | 352 | 63 | 265 | 244 | 1,808 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(5) $=1.0584$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 9.13: Selected child health conditions by parents' employment at age 5 in UK

|  | Couples |  |  |  | Lone Parents |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both working | Main working | Partner working | Neither working | Working (single) | Not working (single) |  |
| Any Longstanding Illness \% | 17.8 | 19.6 | 19.1 | 25.5 | 22.5 | 24.3 | 19.5 |
| Limiting illness \% | 4.2 | 8.5 | 6.2 | 9.2 | 6.0 | 8.6 | 5.8 |
| Unweighted Base | 6914 | 366 | 3942 | 915 | 1191 | 1823 | 15151 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.9786, P | $=0.0000$ |
| Toothache \% | 8.9 | 10.8 | 11.1 | 21.5 | 13.7 | 16.9 | 11.3 |
| Unweighted Base | 6916 | 366 | 3944 | 916 | 1192 | 1821 | 15155 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{F}=28.90$, P | = 0.0000 |
| Eyesight problems \% | 9.5 | 11.7 | 11.2 | 14.5 | 11.7 | 14.3 | 10.9 |
| Unweighted Base | 6912 | 367 | 3945 | 916 | 1191 | 1822 | 15153 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F =5.53 | = 0.0001 |
| Speech problems \% | 11.1 | 14.0 | 14.8 | 21.6 | 12.4 | 17.2 | 13.3 |
| Unweighted Base | 6915 | 367 | 3945 | 917 | 1192 | 1824 | 15160 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{F}=15.70$ | $=0.0000$ |
| Ever had fits, convulsions/epilepsy \% | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 5.9 | 6.1 | 6.8 | 4.8 |
| Unweighted Base | 6916 | 367 | 3941 | 915 | 1192 | 1822 | 15153 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{F}=2.35$ | = 0.0003 |
| Ever had Asthma | 12.6 | 10.6 | 14.1 | 17.4 | 18.0 | 21.6 | 14.5 |
| Weighted Base | 7665 | 308.7 | 3989.3 | 718.4 | 1224.7 | 1542.2 | 15448.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{F}=15.34$ | = 0.0000 |
| Ever had Eczema \% | 36.5 | 32.3 | 35.4 | 30.4 | 36.6 | 31.2 | 35.3 |
| Unweighted Base | 6913 | 367 | 3943 | 914 | 1191 | 1822 | 15150 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{F}=4.60$ | $=0.0004$ |
| Ever Hay fever \% | 9.6 | 6.3 | 10.8 | 11.8 | 12.3 | 12.9 | 10.5 |
| Weighted Base | 7648.8 | 308.7 | 3982.8 | 719.4 | 1221.3 | 1543.3 | 15424.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F=5.00 | = 0.0002 |
| ADHD diagnosis \% | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 0.8 |
| Unweighted Base | 6910 | 367 | 3941 | 910 | 1191 | 1821 | 15140 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{F}=10.70, \mathrm{P}>$ | $=0.0000$ |
| Wets sometimes during day $\%$ | 7.6 | 10.2 | 7.8 | 12.4 | 6.7 | 9.4 | 8.1 |
| Unweighted Base | 6916 | 367 | 3945 | 916 | 1192 | 1823 | 15159 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{F}=4.04$ | = 0.0011 |

Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 9.14: Incidence of infectious diseases by age 5, by parental employment status in Scotland

|  | Combined labour market status of main and partner respondents |  |  |  |  |  |  | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both employed | Main employed | Partner employed | Neither employed | Single employed | Single not employed | Total |  |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |
| Whether child ever had measles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | (2.5) | (3.7) | (1.2) |  | (2.8) | (2.7) | 2.3 | 41 |
| No | 97.5 | 96.3 | 98.8 | 100 | 97.2 | 97.3 | 97.7 | 1,763 |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1,804 |
| N | 847 | 35 | 352 | 63 | 264 | 243 | 1,804 |  |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(5) = 4.1350 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(4.45 | 267.05) $=0.8090 \mathrm{Pr}=0.532$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Whether child ever had chickenpox |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| yes | 80.0 | (61.4) | 75.3 | 51.2 | 75.0 | 65.9 | 75.4 | 1,343 |
| no | 20.0 | (38.6) | 24.7 | (48.8) | 25.0 | 34.1 | 24.6 | 462 |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1,805 |
| N | 847 | 35 | 351 | 63 | 265 | 244 | 1,805 |  |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(5) $=41.6578$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(4.18 | $250.86)=8.5599 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Whether child ever had whooping cough |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| yes | (0.6) |  | (1.2) | (1.4) | (0.9) | (0.7) | (0.8) | 16 |
| no | 99.4 | 100 | 98.8 | 98.6 | 99.1 | 99.3 | 99.2 | 1,792 |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1,808 |
| N | 849 | 35 | 352 | 63 | 265 | 244 | 1,808 |  |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(5) $=1.7590$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(4.51 | 270.78) $=0.3659 \mathrm{Pr}=0.854$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 9.15: Incidence in UK of infectious diseases by age 5, by parental employment status

|  | Couples |  |  |  | Lone Parents |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both working | Main working | Partner working | Neither working | Working (single) | Not working (single) |  |
| Ever had Chickenpox \% | 80.9 | 74.1 | 73.4 | 64.6 | 74.5 | 62.2 | 75.7 |
| Unweighted Base | 6903 | 367 | 3932 | 911 | 1189 | 1819 | 15121 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $=0.0000$ |
| Measles \% | 2.5 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 3.7 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 3.0 |
| Unweighted Base | 6904 | 366 | 3939 | 911 | 1187 | 1818 | 15125 |
| Weighted Base | 7688.1 | 308.3 | 3995.2 | 720.4 | 1221.8 | 1541.5 | 15475.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $=0.0001$ |
| Whooping Cough \% | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.6 | 1.4 | 3.0 | 1.5 |
| Unweighted Base | 6915 | 367 | 3943 | 915 | 1191 | 1823 | 15154 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $=0.0000$ |

Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 9.16: Any hospital admissions since last interview, comparison of UK strata

| Stratum within country | Illness |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | Cl | \% |
| England - non-disadvantaged ( $\mathrm{n}=4,055$ ) | 11.2 | [10.1,12.4] | 100 |
| England - disadvantaged ( $\mathrm{n}=3,737$ ) | 12.1 | [11.1,13.3] | 100 |
| England - ethnic ( $\mathrm{n}=1,866$ ) | 12.9 | [10.9,15.1] | 100 |
| Wales - non-disadvantaged ( $\mathrm{n}=665$ ) | 13.4 | [10.6,16.7] | 100 |
| Wales - disadvantaged ( $\mathrm{n}=1,503$ ) | 17.4 | [15.0,20.0] | 100 |
| Scotland - non-disadvantaged ( $\mathrm{n}=916$ ) | 12.0 | [10.3,14.0] | 100 |
| Scotland - disadvantaged ( $\mathrm{n}=894$ ) | 13.4 | [11.2,16.1] | 100 |
| Northern Ireland - non-disadvantaged ( $\mathrm{n}=593$ ) | 12.1 | [10.0,14.7] | 100 |
| Northern Ireland - disadvantaged ( $\mathrm{n}=936$ ) | 14.0 | [12.3,15.9] | 100 |
| Total ( $\mathrm{n}=15,165$ ) | 11.9 | [11.2,12.6] | 100 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Stratum within country |  | dent | Total |
|  | \% | CI | \% |
| England - non-disadvantaged (n=4,053) | 26.9 | [25.7,28.2] | 100 |
| England - disadvantaged ( $\mathrm{n}=3,734$ ) | 30.7 | [28.9,32.5] | 100 |
| England - ethnic ( $\mathrm{n}=1,866$ ) | 21.3 | [19.5,23.3] | 100 |
| Wales - non-disadvantaged ( $\mathrm{n}=664$ ) | 31.6 | [28.2,35.3] | 100 |
| Wales - disadvantaged ( $\mathrm{n}=1,503$ ) | 33.7 | [31.8,35.6] | 100 |
| Scotland - non-disadvantaged ( $\mathrm{n}=916$ ) | 27.0 | [24.3,29.8] | 100 |
| Scotland - disadvantaged ( $\mathrm{n}=894$ ) | 31.2 | [27.8,34.8] | 100 |
| Northern Ireland - non-disadvantaged ( $\mathrm{n}=593$ ) | 22.1 | [18.8,25.8] | 100 |
| Northern Ireland - disadvantaged ( $\mathrm{n}=936$ ) | 25.9 | [23.6,28.3] | 100 |
| Total ( $\mathrm{n}=15,159$ ) | 28.2 | [27.4,29.0] | 100 |

Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 9.17: Child BMI by parents' employment in Scotland

|  | Combined labour market status of main and partner respondents |  |  |  |  |  |  | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MCS3 obesity flag | Both employed | Main employed | Partner employed | Neither employed | Single employed | Single not employed | Total |  |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |
| Normal | 81.2 | (80.3) | 79.8 | 76.6 | 78.1 | 76.1 | 79.7 | 1,411 |
| Overweight | 14.2 | (8.7) | 15.0 | (19.2) | 14.4 | 17.9 | 14.9 | 274 |
| Obese | 4.5 | (11.1) | (5.1) | (4.2) | (7.5) | (6.0) | 5.4 | 99 |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1,784 |
| N | 846 | 33 | 348 | 62 | 259 | 236 | 1,784 |  |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(10) $=9.3102$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design- <br> based $F(7.91$ | $474.84)=1.0056 \mathrm{Pr}=0.430$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 9.18: Child's BMI by mother's qualifications in Scotland

|  | Main respondent NVQ highest level (across all sweeps) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MCS3 obesity flag | No qualifications | NVQ1 | NVQ2 | NVQ3 | NVQ4 | NVQ5 | Total | N |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |
| Normal | 76.0 | 75.3 | 77.4 | 77.0 | 84.1 | 79.1 | 79.6 | 1,383 |
| Overweight | 18.2 | (20.3) | 16.5 | 15.9 | 11.7 | (17.1) | 15.0 | 271 |
| Obese | (5.9) | (4.5) | (6.2) | (7.1) | (4.2) | (3.8) | 5.4 | 98 |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1,752 |
| N | 165 | 67 | 424 | 366 | 571 | 159 | 1,752 |  |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(10) = 15.1774 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(8.26 | $495.63)=1.5209 \mathrm{Pr}=0.145$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Figure 9.2: Child BMI by parents' employment in UK


Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families). Figures show unweighted base numbers of percentages

Figure 9.3: Child's BMI by mother's highest qualification in UK


Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families). Figures show unweighted base numbers of percentages

Table 9.19: BMI and Poverty in Scotland

|  | Poverty OECD |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MCS3 obesity <br> flag | Above 60\% <br> median | Below 60\% <br> median | Total | N |
|  | $\%$ |  | \% | \% |$|$

Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 9.20: BMI and poverty in UK

|  | Above poverty line* | Below poverty line* | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Normal | 80.0 | 78.1 | 79.5 |
|  | 7856 | 3865 | 11721 |
| Overweight | 15.2 | 15.3 | 15.3 |
|  | 1570 | 771 | 2341 |
| Obese | 4.7 | 6.6 | 5.2 |
|  | 526 | 333 | 859 |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 8960 | 4472 | 13432 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.0013$ |

Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 9.21 BMI by UK country at MCS3

| MCS3 country | Normal | Normal | Overweight | Overweight | Obese | Obese | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | CI | \% | CI | \% | CI | \% |
| England ( $\mathrm{n}=9,597$ ) | 79.8 | [78.9,80.6] | 15.0 | [14.3,15.8] | 5.2 | [4.7,5.7] | 100 |
| Wales ( $\mathrm{n}=2,103$ ) | 77.3 | [75.3,79.2] | 17.3 | [15.9,18.7] | 5.4 | [4.1,7.2] | 100 |
| Scotland ( $\mathrm{n}=1,777$ ) | 79.5 | [77.3,81.6] | 15.1 | [13.4,17.0] | 5.4 | [4.4,6.5] | 100 |
| Northern Ireland ( $\mathrm{n}=1,510$ ) | 75.4 | [73.0,77.6] | 17.9 | [15.8,20.1] | 6.8 | [5.7,8.0] | 100 |
| Total ( $\mathrm{n}=14,987$ ) | 79.5 | [78.7,80.2] | 15.3 | [14.6,16.0] | 5.3 | [4.8,5.7] | 100 |

Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 9.22: Overweight concern by parents and asthma incidence in Scotland

|  | Normal | Overweight | Obese | Total | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MCS3 obesity flag | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |
| Main respondent concerned about child's weight |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not concerned | 81.6 | 58.5 | 36.7 | 75.7 | 1,360 |
| Concerned | 18.4 | 41.5 | 63.3 | 24.3 | 424 |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1,784 |
| N | 1,412 | 273 | 99 | 1,784 |  |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(2) = 149.1468 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(1.95 | $117.29)=71.8371 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |
| Whether child ever had asthma |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 10.2 | 13.5 | (18.9) | 11.2 | 207 |
| No | 89.8 | 86.5 | 81.1 | 88.8 | 1,571 |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1,778 |
| N | 1,408 | 272 | 98 | 1,778 |  |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(2) = 8.5726 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(2.00 |  | 87) $=4.6575$ | $=0.011$ |  |  |

Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 9.23: Overweight concern by UK parents and asthma incidence

|  | Normal | Overweight | Obese | Total |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Main respondent concerned about child's weight |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weighted \% | 21.8 | 43.8 | 70.6 | 27.7 |  |
| obs | 2436 | 975 | 576 | 3987 |  |
| Unweighted base | 11718 | 2344 | 861 | 14923 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Child ever had Asthma |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weighted \% | 14.0 | 15.4 | 17.4 | 14.4 |  |
| obs | 1708 | 391 | 161 | 2260 |  |
| Unweighted base | 11689 | 2331 | 859 | 14879 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 9.24: Child's general health by obesity in Scotland

| MCS3 obesity flag |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Child's general level of health | Normal | Overweight | Obese | Total | N |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |
| ... Excellent, | 59.7 | 57.6 | 49.4 | 58.8 | 1,030 |
| Very good, | 28.7 | 29.0 | 33.4 | 29.0 | 527 |
| Good, | 9.0 | (9.3) | (9.7) | 9.1 | 167 |
| Fair, | 2.4 | (3.6) | (6.8) | 2.8 | 53 |
| Or, poor? | (0.2) | (0.6) | (0.8) | (0.3) | 7 |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1,784 |
| N | 1,412 | 273 | 99 | 1,784 |  |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(8) = 10.7822 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(6.64 | $398.36)=1.4449 \mathrm{Pr}=0.189$ |  |  |  |  |

Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Figure 9.4: UK Child's general health by obesity


Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 9.25: Percent of MCS3 families choosing snack by BMI in Scotland

| MCS3 obesity flag |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| What child mainly eats between meals | Normal | Overweight | Obese | Total | N |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |
| Crisps, sweets, biscuits and cake | 35.4 | 33.4 | 32.4 | 35.0 | 552 |
| Cereal, bread, crackers | 8.4 | (7.1) | 11.7 | 8.4 | 127 |
| Fruit and veg | 43.8 | 46.8 | 36.5 | 43.9 | 674 |
| Yoghourt and dairy | 11.3 | (11.7) | (16.7) | 11.6 | 184 |
| Other | (1.0) | (1.0) | (2.7) | (1.1) | 19 |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1,556 |
| N | 1,242 | 237 | 77 | 1,556 |  |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(8) = 6.6922 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(6.89 | $413.31)=0.7807 \mathrm{Pr}=0.602$ |  |  |  |  |

Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 9.26: Percent of MCS3 families choosing snack by BMI in UK

|  | Normal | Overweight | Obese | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Crisps, sweets, <br> chocolates, cake, \% | 37.8 | 35.2 | 40.6 | 37.6 |
| Obs | 3919 | 715 | 291 | 4925 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Cereal and starch,\% | 6.9 | 6.1 | 6.9 | 6.8 |
| Obs | 715 | 132 | 51 | 898 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Fruit and veg \% | 43.3 | 45.6 | 35.5 | 43.3 |
| Obs | 4123 | 859 | 246 | 5228 |
|  | 10.0 | 11.0 | 14.6 | 10.4 |
| Dairy \% | 1094 | 242 | 105 | 1441 |
| Obs | 1.9 |  |  |  |
|  | 192 | 2.1 | $(2.5)$ | 2.0 |
| Other \% | 42 | 16 | 250 |  |
| Obs | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 10043 | 1990 | 709 | 12742 |
| Total \% |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.0026$ |
| Total, N |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 9.27: Percent of MCS families' portions of fruit and BMI in Scotland

| MCS3 obesity flag |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| How many portions of fruit per day | Normal | Overweight | Obese | Total | N |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |
| None | 4.0 | (4.5) | (5.8) | 4.2 | 78 |
| One | 16.7 | 16.2 | (18.3) | 16.7 | 312 |
| Two | 25.5 | 27.9 | (24.5) | 25.8 | 467 |
| Three or more | 53.8 | 51.3 | 51.4 | 53.3 | 927 |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1,784 |
| N | 1,412 | 273 | 99 | 1,784 |  |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(6) = 1.8232 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(4.78 | 286.75) $=0.3307 \mathrm{Pr}=0.887$ |  |  |  |  |

Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 9.28 Percent of MCS families' portions of fruit and BMI in UK

|  | Normal | Overweight | Obese | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None | 3.9 | 3.5 | 4.9 | 3.9 |
|  | 499 | 93 | 44 | 636 |
| One | 15.0 | 13.6 | 17.7 | 15.0 |
|  | 2091 | 380 | 175 | 2646 |
| Two | 27.2 | 26.5 | 29.2 | 27.2 |
|  | 3340 | 649 | 260 | 4249 |
| Three | 53.9 | 56.4 | 48.2 | 54 |
|  | 5783 | 1222 | 382 | 7387 |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total | 11713 | 2344 | 861 | 14918 |
|  | $\mathrm{P}=0.0279$ |  |  |  |

Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 9.29: Daily breakfast, lunch at school and BMI in Scotland

| MCS3 obesity flag |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Normal | Overweight | Obese | Total | N |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |
| Eats breakfast daily |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 93.6 | 89.0 | 91.6 | 92.8 | 1,642 |
| No | 6.4 | (11.0) | (8.4) | 7.2 | 142 |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1,784 |
| N | 1,412 | 273 | 99 | 1,784 |  |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(2) $=7.4338$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(1.98 | 118.66) $=4.8977 \mathrm{Pr}=0.009$ |  |  |  |  |
| Usually has midday meal provided by school |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 40.5 | 42.5 | 52.5 | 41.4 | 720 |
| No | 59.5 | 57.5 | 47.5 | 58.6 | 981 |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1,701 |
| N | 1,341 | 266 | 94 | 1,701 |  |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(2) = 5.1903 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(1.84 | 110.41) $=2.5070 \mathrm{Pr}=0.091$ |  |  |  |  |

Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

## Table 9.30: Daily breakfast and parental employment in Scotland

| Eats breakfast daily | Combined labour market status of main and partner respondents |  |  |  |  |  |  | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both employed | Main employed | Partner employed | Neither employed | Single employed | Single not employed | Total |  |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |
| Yes | 95.0 | 93.3 | 93.3 | 84.3 | 90.0 | 87.5 | 92.7 | 1,661 |
| No | 5.0 | (6.7) | 6.7 | (15.7) | (10.0) | 12.5 | 7.3 | 147 |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1,808 |
| N | 849 | 35 | 352 | 63 | 265 | 244 | 1,808 |  |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(5) = 24.1117 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(4.40 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 264.14)=5.9850 \mathrm{Pr}= \\ 0.000 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 9.31: Percent of families having daily breakfast, lunch at school and BMI in UK

|  | Normal | Overweight | Obese | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Eats breakfast daily, \% | 93.6 | 90.7 | 87.6 | 92.8 |
| Obs | 11717 | 2345 | 861 | 14923 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}>\mathrm{F}=0.0000$ |  |
| Eats lunch at school,\% | 43.9 | 43.6 | 46.5 | 44.0 |
| Obs | 5535 | 1140 | 438 | 7113 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total obs | 11569 | 2327 | 850 | 14746 |
| $\mathrm{P}=0.4534$ |  |  |  |  |

Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).
Table 9.32: Percent of families having daily breakfast by parental employment in UK

|  | Both <br> working | Main <br> working | Partner <br> working | Neither <br> working | Working <br> (single) | Not <br> working <br> (single) | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 94.9 | 90.7 | 93.4 | 87.4 | 90.8 | 85.6 | 92.8 |
| Obs | 6511 | 335 | 3624 | 782 | 1072 | 1549 | 13873 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 5.1 | 9.3 | 6.6 | 12.6 | 9.2 | 14.4 | 7.2 |
| Obs | 403 | 32 | 316 | 133 | 120 | 272 | 1276 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Obs | 6914 | 367 | 3940 | 915 | 1192 | 1821 | 15149 |
|  | 10000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).
Table 9.33: Computer use: weekday hours and BMI in Scotland

| MCS3 obesity flag |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weekday hours on computer | Normal | Overweight | Obese | Total | N |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |
| None | 32.4 | 33.1 | (29.7) | 32.3 | 578 |
| Less than 1 | 44.7 | 41.0 | 42.9 | 44.0 | 766 |
| 1-3 | 19.6 | 24.8 | (19.3) | 20.4 | 378 |
| 3+ | 3.3 | (1.1) | (8.1) | 3.3 | 61 |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1,783 |
| N | 1,412 | 273 | 98 | 1,783 |  |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(6) = 14.5451 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(5.05 | $302.74)=2.7304 \mathrm{Pr}=0.019$ |  |  |  |  |

Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 9.34: Computer use: weekday hours and BMI in UK Per cent

| Computer hours | Normal | Overweight | Obese | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None | 32.8 | 31.3 | 33.2 | 32.6 |
|  | 3794 | 734 | 273 | 4801 |
| Less than 1 | 46.1 | 45.4 | 40.6 | 45.7 |
|  | 5173 | 1044 | 342 | 6559 |
| 1-3 hours | 18.6 | 20.5 | 22.1 | 19.1 |
|  | 2414 | 495 | 210 | 3119 |
| 3+ hours | 2.5 | 2.8 | 4.1 | 2.7 |
|  | 342 | 71 | 35 | 448 |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total N | 11723 | 2344 | 860 | 14927 |
|  | $\mathrm{P}>\mathrm{F}=0.0132$ |  |  |  |

Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 9.35: Child's TVIDVD weekday hours at age 5 and BMI in Scotland

| MCS3 obesity flag |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weekday TV or DVD viewing | Normal | Overweight | Obese | Total | N |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |
| Less than 1 hour | 23.6 | 21.4 | (13.9) | 22.7 | 395 |
| 1-3 | 63.2 | 63.6 | 66.0 | 63.4 | 1,128 |
| 3-5 | 8.0 | (10.4) | (11.3) | 8.5 | 164 |
| 5+ | 5.3 | (4.5) | (8.9) | 5.4 | 97 |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1,784 |
| N | 1,412 | 273 | 99 | 1,784 |  |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(6) = 9.0956 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(5.36 | $321.44)=1.6170 \mathrm{Pr}=0.150$ |  |  |  |  |

Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 9.36: Child's TVIDVD weekday hours at age 5 and BMI in UK Per cents

| Viewing | Normal | Overweight | Obese | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Less <br> than 1 | 22.7 | 18.7 | 15.1 | 21.7 |
|  | 2554 | 447 | 131 | 3132 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 to 3 | 63.6 | 65.7 | 65.9 | 64.1 |
|  | 7453 | 1520 | 553 | 9526 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 to 5 | 8.7 | 10.2 | 12.7 | 9.1 |
|  | 1127 | 266 | 118 | 1511 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $5+$ | 5.0 | 5.4 | 6.3 | 5.1 |
|  | 588 | 111 | 59 | 758 |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total \% | 1122 | 2344 | 861 | 14927 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | P>F = 0.0000 |  |  |

Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 9.37: Mother's and child's BMI by gender in Scotland

|  | Child's BMI: Girls |  |  |  | Child's BMI: Boys |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mother's BMI | Normal | Overweight | Obese | Total\% | Normal | Overweight | Obese | Total\% |
| Underweight | (84.1) | (15.9) | (0) | (100) | (87.9) | (12.1) | (0) | 100 |
| N | 16 | 4 | 0 | 20 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 12 |
| Normal weight | 84.9 | 11.2 | (3.9) | 100 | 88.9 | 8.4 | (2.7) | 100 |
| N | 339 | 48 | 17 | 404 | 392 | 40 | 13 | 445 |
| Overweight | 73.8 | 17.7 | (8.5) | 100 | 77.5 | 17.0 | (5.4) | 100 |
| N | 153 | 36 | 17 | 206 | 163 | 35 | 11 | 209 |
| Obese | 65.9 | (24.4) | (9.7) | 100 | 79.2 | (15.4) | (5.3) | 100 |
| N | 48 | 19 | 8 | 75 | 57 | 11 | 3 | 71 |
| Morbidly obese | (48.7) | (34.6) | (16.6) | 100 | (55.1) | (28.5) | (16.4) | 100 |
| N | 15 | 10 | 6 | 31 | 16 | 9 | 5 | 30 |
| Total | 78.4 | 15.4 | 6.2 | 100 | 83.7 | 12.2 | 4.1 | 100 |
| N | 571 | 117 | 48 | 736 | 638 | 97 | 32 | 767 |
|  | chi2 $=36.9866 \mathrm{P}=0.0001$ |  |  |  | chi2 $=35.7242 \mathrm{P}=0.0001$ |  |  |  |

Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 9.38: Child's BMI at age 5 by BMI at age 3 in Scotland

|  | MCS 3 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MCS 2 | Normal | Overweight | Obese | Total | N |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |
| Normal weight | 91.3 | (7.3) | (1.4) | 100 | 1,098 |
| Overweight | 50.9 | 36.6 | (12.5) | 100 | 273 |
| Obese | 31.7 | 32.5 | (35.9) | 100 | 90 |
| Total | 80.2 | 14.2 | (5.5) | 100 | 1,461 |
| N | 1,162 | 215 | 84 | 1,461 |  |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(4) = 425.3034 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(3.59 | $215.57)=83.9862 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |

Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 9.39: BMI change age 3 to age 5 and daily breakfast in Scotland

| Eats breakfast daily | $\begin{gathered} \text { Normal } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { normal } \end{gathered}$ | Normal to overweight | Normal to obese | Overweight to overweight | Overweight to obese | Overweight to normal | $\begin{gathered} \text { Obese } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { obese } \end{gathered}$ | Obese to overweight | $\begin{gathered} \text { Obese } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { normal } \end{gathered}$ | Total | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |
| Yes | 94.3 | 81.8 | 90.6 | 96 | 97.8 | 93.7 | 88.7 | (97.3) | (94.5) | 93.7 | 1,360 |
| No | 5.7 | (18.2) | (9.4) | (4.0) | (2.2) | (6.3) | (11.3) | (2.7) | (5.5) | 6.3 | 99 |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1,459 |
| N | 995 | 84 | 18 | 101 | 35 | 136 | 31 | 29 | 30 | 1,459 |  |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(8) = 23.9305 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Design-based } \\ & \text { F(7.20 } \end{aligned}$ | $432.27)=3.4844 \mathrm{Pr}=0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 9.40: UK mother's and child's BMI by gender

| Mother's BMI | Child's BMI : Girls |  |  |  | Child's BMI : Boys |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Normal | Overweight | Obese | Total, girls | Normal | Overweight | Obese | Total, boys |
| Underweight | 91.4 | (8.1) | (0.5) | 100 | 89.4 | (6.6) | (4.0) | 100 |
|  | 143 | 17 | 2 | 162 | 137 | 11 | 5 | 153 |
| Normal weight | 84.0 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 100 | 86.1 | 10.7 | 3.2 | 100 |
|  | 2758 | 459 | 108 | 3325 | 2961 | 389 | 123 | 3473 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| Overweight | 73.3 | 19.7 | 7.0 | 100 | 78.9 | 16.2 | 4.9 | 100 |
|  | 1213 | 332 | 132 | 1677 | 1310 | 272 | 93 | 1675 |
| Obese | 64.5 | 24.9 | 10.6 | 100 | 73.7 | 17.4 | 8.9 | 100 |
|  | 435 | 159 | 69 | 663 | 543 | 125 | 67 | 735 |
| Morbidly obese | 61.9 | 27.0 | 11.2 | 100 | 67.8 | 18.3 | 13.9 | 100 |
|  | 201 | 93 | 49 | 343 | 227 | 62 | 46 | 335 |
| Total | 78.2 | 16.6 | 5.2 | 100 | 82.1 | 13.1 | 4.8 | 100 |
| N | 4750 | 1060 | 360 | 6170 | 5178 | 859 | 334 | 6371 |
|  | $\mathrm{P}=0.0000$ |  |  |  |  |  | $P=0.0000$ |  |

Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 9.41: UK child's BMI at age 5 by BMI at age 3

|  | MCS 3 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MCS 2 | Normal | Overweight | Obese | Total \% |
| Normal | 91.2 | 7.6 | 1.2 | 100 |
|  | 8729 | 768 | 138 | 9635 |
| Overweight | 45.7 | 44.4 | 9.9 | 100 |
|  | 1026 | 980 | 246 | 2252 |
| Obese | 20.1 | 32.8 | 47.1 | 100 |
|  | 153 | 234 | 316 | 703 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |
| $\%$ | 79.6 | 15.4 | 5.1 | 100 |
|  | 9908 | 1982 | 700 | 12590 |
|  | $\mathrm{P}=0.0000$ |  |  |  |

Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

Table 9.42: BMI change age 3 to age 5 and Daily Breakfast in UK

| Breakfast MCS 3 | Normal to normal | Normal to overweight | Normal to obese | Overweight to overweight | Overweight to obese | Overweight to normal | $\begin{gathered} \text { Obese } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { obese } \end{gathered}$ | Obese to overweight | $\begin{gathered} \text { Obese } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { normal } \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 93.9 | 89.4 | 87.3 | 92.2 | 91.5 | 94.2 | 85.5 | 92.7 | 93.6 | 93.2 |
| No | 6.1 | 10.6 | (12.7) | 7.8 | (8.5) | 5.8 | 14.5 | (7.3) | (6.4) | 6.8 |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Obs | 8695 | 766 | 136 | 978 | 246 | 1021 | 316 | 232 | 152 | 12542 |
|  | $P=0.0000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^12]Table 10.1: Mothers' and fathers' general health fair or poor by country

|  | Mother's general self reported health |  | Father's general self reported health |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | Observed sample numbers | \% | Observed Sample numbers |
| Country |  | [95\% CI] |  | [95\% CI] |
| England | 14.0 | [13.0,15.0] | 11.2 | [10.2,12.2] |
| Wales | 13.6 | [12.0,15.2] | 9.4 | [7.6,11.1] |
| Scotland | 12.4 | [10.4,14.4] | 10.1 | [8.0,12.2] |
| Northern Ireland | 12.5 | [10.3,14.7] | 9.5 | [7.6,11.5] |

Sample: All MCS3 mothers who were main respondents and fathers who were partners at MCS1.

Table 10.2: Mothers' and fathers' general health fair or poor in Scotland

|  | Mother's general self reported health |  | Father's general self reported health |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | Observed sample numbers | \% | Observed Sample numbers |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| Under 25 | (8.6) | 127 | (26.0) | 19 |
| 25 to 29 | 17.4 | 285 | (19.7) | 115 |
| 30 to 34 | 12.4 | 432 | (7.5) | 247 |
| 35 to 39 | 11.2 | 573 | 7.8 | 430 |
| 40 and over | 11.2 | 362 | 10.3 | 451 |
| Total | 12.4 | 1779 | 10.1 | 1262 |
| Uncorrected | Chi2(4)=10.39 | $\mathrm{P}=0.0931$ | Chi2(4)=2 | 417, P=0.0071 |
| Employment |  |  |  |  |
| Both partners employed | 6.3 | 930 | 8.0 | 826 |
| Main employed, partner not employed | (6.3) | 31 | (50.5) | 31 |
| Partner employed, main not employed | 16.1 | 415 | (8.4) | 343 |
| Neither employed | (28.5) | 74 | (27.4) | 62 |
| Lone parent employed | (8.5) | 155 | . |  |
| Lone parent not employed | 29.8 | 173 | . |  |
| Total | 12.4 | 1778 | 10.1 | 1262 |
| Uncorrected | Chi2(5)=113, | 001 | Chi2(3)=8 | 51, $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Education level |  |  |  |  |
| NVQ 1 | (28.2) | 67 | (5.9) | 36 |
| NVQ 2 | 17.6 | 424 | 13.1 | 302 |
| NVQ 3 | 9.8 | 367 | (10.3) | 238 |
| NVQ 4 | (5.7) | 569 | (4.9) | 345 |
| NVQ 5 | (2.6) | 156 | (8.2) | 164 |
| Other/overseas qualifications | (14.0) | 30 | (9.3) | 30 |
| None of the above | 26.3 | 164 | (23.0) | 108 |
| Total | 12.4 | 1777 | 10.2 | 1223 |
| Uncorrected | Chi2(6)=98, P | 001 | Chi2(6)=3 | <0.001 |
| Family type |  |  |  |  |
| Married natural parents | 8.4 | 1,090 | 8.1 | 965 |
| Cohabiting natural parents | 16.4 | 361 | 16.4 | 296 |
| Lone natural mother | 20.3 | 328 |  |  |
| Total | 12.4 | 1,779 | 10.1 | 1,261 |
| Uncorrected | Chi2(2)=98, P<0.001 |  | Chi2(1)=18, $\mathrm{P}=0.0019$ |  |

Sample: All MCS3 mothers who were main respondents and fathers who were partners in Scotland at MCS1.

Table 10.3: UK mothers' general health fair or poor

|  | Observed sample n | Per cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |
| Overall | 14,754 | 13.8 |
| Under 25 | 1,063 | 19.0 |
| 25 to 29 | 2,570 | 17.6 |
| 30 to 34 | 4,018 | 13.6 |
| 35 to 39 | 4,483 | 10.5 |
| 40 and over | 2,620 | 14.1 |
| $F=16.66, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |
|  | Observed sample nos | Per cent |
| Country |  |  |
| England | 9,328 | 14.0 |
| Wales | 2,142 | 13.6 |
| Scotland | 1,779 | 12.4 |
| N. Ireland | 1,505 | 12.5 |
| $\mathrm{F}=1.04, \mathrm{P}=0.374$ |  |  |
| Employment |  |  |
| Both partners employed | 6,822 | 8.8 |
| Main employed, partner not employed | 256 | (12.6) |
| Partner employed, main not employed | 3,880 | 14.4 |
| Neither employed | 853 | 29.9 |
| Lone parent employed | 1,153 | 13.2 |
| Lone parent not employed | 1,781 | 26.0 |
| $F=85.16, P<0.001$ |  |  |
| Education Level |  |  |
| NVQ 1 | 1,110 | 17.8 |
| NVQ 2 | 4,040 | 15.4 |
| NVQ 3 | 2,185 | 11.4 |
| NVQ 4 | 3,984 | 8.8 |
| NVQ 5 | 1,125 | 7.1 |
| Other/overseas qualifications | 428 | 19.3 |
| None of the above | 1,856 | 25.7 |
| $\mathrm{F}=47.79, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |
|  | Observed sample nos | Per cent |
| Family Type |  |  |
| Married natural parents | 9,090 | 10.6 |
| Cohabiting natural parents | 2,727 | 16.7 |
| Lone natural mother | 2,934 | 20.8 |
| $F=76.05, P<0.001$ |  |  |

[^13]Table 10.4: UK fathers' general health fair or poor

|  | Observed sample nos | Per cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |
| Overall | 10,205 | 11.0 |
| Under 25 | 169 | (15.5) |
| 25 to 29 | 920 | 15.0 |
| 30 to 34 | 2,176 | 11.0 |
| 35 to 39 | 3,458 | 8.8 |
| 40 and over | 3,480 | 11.9 |
| $\mathrm{F}=6.60, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |
| Country |  |  |
| England | 6,448 | 11.2 |
| Wales | 1,488 | 9.4 |
| Scotland | 1,262 | 10.1 |
| N. Ireland | 1,007 | 9.5 |
| $\mathrm{F}=1.45, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |
| Employment |  |  |
| Both partners employed | 6,084 | 8.2 |
| Main employed, partner not employed | 256 | 28.3 |
| Partner employed, main not employed | 3,180 | 9.7 |
| Neither employed | 685 | 38.3 |
| $F=141.63, P<0.001$ |  |  |
| Education Level |  |  |
| NVQ 1 | 592 | 13.4 |
| NVQ 2 | 2,565 | 12.8 |
| NVQ 3 | 1,509 | 9.3 |
| NVQ 4 | 2,637 | 6.9 |
| NVQ 5 | 1,168 | 5.3 |
| Other/overseas qualifications | 414 | 21.0 |
| None of the above | 1,046 | 21.9 |
| $F=31.35, P<0.001$ |  |  |
| Family Type |  |  |
| Married natural parents | 7,974 | 9.6 |
| Cohabiting natural parents | 2,211 | 15.5 |
| $F=65.18, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |

Sample: All MCS3 fathers (including step-fathers) who were partner respondents. Table displays unweighted observations and percentages. Weight1 was used for analyses by country. Weight 2 was used for all other analyses. Unit nonresponse weights were also used.

Table 10.5: Mothers' and fathers' longstanding illness in Scotland


[^14]Table 10.6: UK mothers' longstanding illness


[^15]Table 10.7: UK fathers' longstanding illness

|  | Observed sample nos | Per cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |
| Overall | 10,200 | 23.9 |
| Under 25 | 169 | 21.3 |
| 25 to 29 | 920 | 24.5 |
| 30 to 34 | 2,175 | 22.3 |
| 35 to 39 | 3,454 | 21.0 |
| 40 and over | 3,480 | 27.7 |
| $\mathrm{F}=7.15, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |
| Country |  |  |
| England | 6,445 | 24.3 |
| Wales | 1,487 | 24.4 |
| Scotland | 1,261 | 21.4 |
| N. Ireland | 1,007 | 20.9 |
| $F=2.46, P=0.065$ |  |  |
| Employment |  |  |
| Both partners employed | 6,081 | 21.3 |
| Main employed, partner not employed | 256 | 42.4 |
| Partner employed, main not employed | 3,180 | 21.6 |
| Neither employed | 683 | 55.5 |
| $\mathrm{F}=94.03, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |
|  | Observed sample nos | Per cent |
| Education Level |  |  |
| NVQ 1 | 593 | 24.0 |
| NVQ 2 | 2,564 | 25.1 |
| NVQ 3 | 1,509 | 21.8 |
| NVQ 4 | 2,634 | 22.6 |
| NVQ 5 | 1,168 | 22.1 |
| Other/ overseas qualifications | 414 | 25.3 |
| None of the above | 1,044 | 30.7 |
| $\mathrm{F}=4.62, \mathrm{P}=0.002$ |  |  |
| Family Type |  |  |
| Married natural parents | 7,970 | 23.3 |
| Cohabiting natural parents | 2,210 | 26.0 |
| $\mathrm{F}=4.33, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |

Sample: All MCS3 fathers (including step-fathers) who were partner respondents. Table displays unweighted observations and percentages. Weight1 was used for analyses by country. Weight2 was used for all other analyses. Unit nonresponse weights were also used.

Table 10.8: Mothers' and fathers smoking by country

| Country | Mothers |  |  |  | Fathers |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% non-smoker |  | \% smoking 10+ cigarettes per day inc roll-ups |  | \% non-smoker |  | \% smoking 10+ cigarettes per day inc roll-ups |  |
|  | \% | [95\% CI] | \% | [95\% CI] | \% | [95\% CI] | \% | [95\% CI] |
| England $\mathrm{N}=9327$ | 73.0 | [71.4,74.5] | 17.3 | [16.0,18.6] | 70.8 | [69.4,72.2] | 19.6 | [18.3,20.9] |
| Wales $\mathrm{N}=2142$ | 66.2 | [62.5,69.9] | 23.0 | [19.8,26.1] | 69.3 | [66.3,72.2] | 22.0 | [19.4,24.6] |
| Scotland $\mathrm{N}=1779$ | 69.7 | [66.6,72.7] | 21.6 | [18.6,24.6] | 67.8 | [64.2,71.3] | 25.5 | [21.7,29.2] |
| Northern Ireland $\mathrm{N}=1506$ | 67.0 | [63.4,70.6] | 24.5 | [21.4,27.6] | 71.1 | [67.4,74.7] | 23.3 | [19.7,26.8] |

Sample: All MCS3 mothers who were main respondents and fathers who were partners.

Table 10.9 Mothers' and fathers' smoking in Scotland

|  | Mothers |  |  | Fathers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Observed sample numbers | \% nonsmoker | \% smoking 10+ cigarettes per day inc roll-ups | Observed sample numbers | \% nonsmoker | \% smoking 10+ <br> cigarettes per day inc roll-ups |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 25 | 127 | 42.0 | 45.0 | 19 | (53.2) | (30.8) |
| 25 to 29 | 285 | 57.3 | 34.2 | 115 | 34.6 | 59.3 |
| 30 to 34 | 432 | 67.8 | 22.3 | 247 | 64.0 | 28.7 |
| 35 to 39 | 573 | 78.5 | 13.0 | 430 | 73.5 | 19.3 |
| 40 and over | 362 | 80.8 | 13.5 | 451 | 74.9 | 19.4 |
| Total | 1779 | 69.7 | 21.7 | 1262 | 67.8 | 25.5 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both partners employed | 930 | 79.7 | 12.2 | 826 | 73.7 | 19.0 |
| Main employed, partner not employed | 31 | (65.5) | (21.3) | 31 | (44.6) | (50.4) |
| Partner employed, main not employed | 415 | 70.1 | 21.4 | 343 | 65.6 | 28.5 |
| Neither employed | 74 | 40.2 | 59.0 | 62 | (18.5) | 76.7 |
| Lone parent employed | 155 | 57.2 | 33.1 |  | . |  |
| Lone parent not employed | 173 | 44.1 | 42.2 |  |  |  |
| Total | 1778 | 69.7 | 21.7 | 1262 | 67.8 | 25.5 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Education level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NVQ 1 | 67 | 54.5 | (35.1) | 36 | (45.7) | (43.8) |
| NVQ 2 | 424 | 58.9 | 31.3 | 302 | 57.3 | 32.2 |
| NVQ 3 | 367 | 72.0 | 18.3 | 238 | 67.6 | 27.2 |
| NVQ 4 | 569 | 84.6 | 8.1 | 345 | 84.1 | 10.9 |
| NVQ 5 | 156 | 84.5 | 8.2 | 164 | 83.1 | 9.8 |
| Other/overseas qualifications | 30 | (58.1) | (33.7) | 30 | (60.1) | (38.3) |
| None of the above | 164 | 41.5 | 49.8 | 108 | 40.9 | 56.1 |
| Total | 1777 | 69.6 | 21.7 | 1223 | 68.4 | 25.0 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Family type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married natural parents | 1090 | 81.6 | 12.0 | 965 | 75.4 | 19.0 |
| Cohabiting natural parents | 361 | 53.4 | 34.4 | 296 | 43.8 | 46.0 |
| Lone natural mother | 328 | 49.9 | 38.1 |  |  |  |
| Total | 1779 | 69.7 | 21.7 | 1261 | 67.8 | 25.5 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |

[^16]Table 10.10: UK mothers' smoking

|  | Observed sample nos | Per cent Non-Smoker | Per cent <br> 10+ Cigarettes Per <br> Day inc roll-ups |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |
| Overall | 14,754 | 72.1 | 18.3 |
| Under 25 | 1,063 | 43.0 | 39.7 |
| 25 to 29 | 2,570 | 57.2 | 29.4 |
| 30 to 34 | 4,018 | 72.3 | 17.9 |
| 35 to 39 | 4,483 | 79.7 | 12.5 |
| 40 and over | 2,620 | 83.8 | 10.4 |
| $\mathrm{F}=180.51, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  | $\mathrm{F}=134.78, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Country |  |  |  |
| England | 9,327 | 73.0 | 17.3 |
| Wales | 2,142 | 66.3 | 23.0 |
| Scotland | 1,779 | 69.7 | 21.7 |
| N. Ireland | 1,506 | 66.9 | 24.6 |
| $\mathrm{F}=59.37, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  | $\mathrm{F}=9.53, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Employment |  |  |  |
| Both partners employed | 6,822 | 81.7 | 10.3 |
| Main employed, partner not employed | 256 | 68.4 | 22.5 |
| Partner employed, main not employed | 3,880 | 76.5 | 15.7 |
| Neither employed | 853 | 53.7 | 37.1 |
| Lone parent employed | 1,153 | 56.8 | 26.0 |
| Lone parent not employed | 1,781 | 42.1 | 42.6 |
| $\mathrm{F}=188.16, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  | $F=172.86, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Education Level |  |  |  |
| NVQ 1 | 1,110 | 56.1 | 33.1 |
| NVQ 2 | 4,041 | 65.0 | 23 |
| NVQ 3 | 2,185 | 74.4 | 15.1 |
| NVQ 4 | 3,984 | 86.3 | 6.9 |
| NVQ 5 | 1,125 | 87.6 | 6.3 |
| Other/ overseas qualifications | 428 | 72.0 | 19.9 |
| None of the above | 1,855 | 51.9 | 37.1 |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline F=128.22, \\ P<0.001 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{F}=122.57, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Family Type |  |  |  |
| Married natural parents | 9,090 | 84.4 | 9.2 |
| Cohabiting natural parents | 2,727 | 57.5 | 29.4 |
| Lone natural mother | 2,934 | 48.2 | 35.8 |
| $\mathrm{F}=575.6, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  | $\mathrm{F}=434.82, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |

Sample: All MCS3 mothers (including very small numbers of step-mothers and foster mothers) who were main respondents. Table displays unweighted observations and percentages. Weight1 was used for analyses by country. Weight2 was used for all other analyses. Unit non-response weights were also used.

Table 10.11: UK fathers' smoking

|  | Observed sample nos | Per cent Non-Smoker | Per cent 10+ Cigarettes Per Day inc roll ups |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |
| Overall | 10,205 | 70.5 | 20.4 |
| Under 25 | 169 | 41.2 | 44.0 |
| 25 to 29 | 920 | 44.9 | 40.5 |
| 30 to 34 | 2,175 | 64.1 | 25.0 |
| 35 to 39 | 3,458 | 76.5 | 15.7 |
| 40 and over | 3,481 | 75.9 | 16.3 |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline F=92.04 \\ P<0.001 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{F}=72.28, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Country |  |  |  |
| England | 6,448 | 70.9 | 19.6 |
| Wales | 1,488 | 69.3 | 22.0 |
| Scotland | 1,262 | 67.8 | 25.5 |
| N. Ireland | 1007 | 71.0 | 23.3 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & F=1.08, \\ & P<0.001 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{F}=4.54, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Employment |  |  |  |
| Both partners employed | 6,083 | 75.4 | 16.0 |
| Main employed, partner not employed | 256 | 54.2 | 30.3 |
| Partner employed, main not employed | 3,181 | 68.5 | 22.5 |
| Neither employed | 685 | 36.8 | 50.3 |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline F=111.87, P \\ <0.001 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{F}=107.14, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Education Level |  |  |  |
| NVQ 1 | 593 | 62.4 | 29.8 |
| NVQ 2 | 2,565 | 63.9 | 26.2 |
| NVQ 3 | 1,509 | 70.4 | 20.8 |
| NVQ 4 | 2,637 | 82.2 | 9.8 |
| NVQ 5 | 1,168 | 84.9 | 7.7 |
| Other/ overseas qualifications | 413 | 63.6 | 25.9 |
| None of the above | 1,046 | 46.4 | 40.6 |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline F=77.16, \\ P<0.001 \end{array}$ | $F=75.32, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Family Type |  |  |  |
| Married natural parents | 7,973 | 76.2 | 15.8 |
| Cohabiting natural parents | 2,212 | 50.9 | 36.0 |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} F=501.01, \\ P<0.001 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{F}=391.73, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |

[^17]Table 10.12: Mothers' and fathers' frequency of alcohol use, UK country


Sample: All MCS3 mothers/partners who were main respondents and fathers who were partners.

Table 10.13 Mothers' and fathers' frequency of alcohol use in Scotland

|  | Mothers' |  |  | Fathers' |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% <br> Never |  | N | \% Never | Per cent 5 times $+$ per week |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 25 | 127 | (13.1) | (1.1) | 19 | (5.0) | (3.2) |
| 25 to 29 | 285 | 17.3 | (1.8) | 115 | (12.5) | (4.8) |
| 30 to 34 | 432 | 15.2 | (2.8) | 247 | (11.1) | (5.8) |
| 35 to 39 | 573 | 10.9 | 5.8 | 429 | 6.5 | 12.1 |
| 40 and over | 362 | 9.4 | (7.5) | 451 | (5.5) | 14.1 |
| Total | 1779 | 13.0 | 4.3 | 1261 | 7.7 | 10.6 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.0019$ |  |
| Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both partners employed | 930 | 8.5 | 5.9 | 826 | 5.4 | 12.6 |
| Main employed, partner not employed | 31 | (21.5) | (3.0) | 31 |  | (7.6) |
| Partner employed, main not employed | 415 | 16.7 | (3.4) | 342 | 9.6 | 7.9 |
| Neither employed | 74 | (30.1) | (0.7) | 62 | (28.1) | (2.9) |
| Lone parent employed | 155 | (10.8) | (2.5) |  |  |  |
| Lone parent not employed | 173 | (19.3) | (2.3) |  |  |  |
| Total | 1778 | 13.0 | 4.3 | 1261 | 7.7 | 10.6 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.0002$ |
| Education level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NVQ 1 | 67 | (24.4) | (5.0) | 36 | (12.9) | (4.4) |
| NVQ 2 | 424 | 14.4 | (1.7) | 302 | (7.5) | (4.6) |
| NVQ 3 | 367 | 9.9 | (1.3) | 238 | (6.7) | (10.5) |
| NVQ 4 | 569 | 10.1 | 8.4 | 345 | (4.9) | 14.8 |
| NVQ 5 | 156 | (7.9) | (8.4) | 164 | (5.1) | (19.1) |
| Other/overseas qualifications | 30 | (18.2) | (8.6) | 30 | (22.5) | (6.6) |
| None of the above | 164 | 23.6 | (0.3) | 107 | (16.1) | (8.2) |
| Total | 1777 | 13.0 | 4.3 | 1222 | 7.6 | 10.8 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |
| Family type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married natural parents | 1090 | 11.6 | 5.6 | 964 | 7.0 | 11.5 |
| Cohabiting natural parents | 361 | 14.6 | (2.4) | 296 | 9.8 | 7.8 |
| Lone natural mother | 328 | 15.5 | (2.4) |  |  |  |
| Total | 1779 | 13.0 | 4.3 | 1260 | 7.7 | 10.6 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.488$ |

Sample: All MCS3 mothers/fathers who were partners in Scotland at MCS1.

Table 10.14: UK mothers' frequency of alcohol use

|  | Observed sample nos | Per cent Never | Per cent 5 times + per week |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |
| Overall | 14,752 | 17.9 | 7.8 |
| Under 25 | 1,063 | 19.0 | 1.2 |
| 25 to 29 | 2,570 | 24.9 | 3.1 |
| 30 to 34 | 4,018 | 19.9 | 5.2 |
| 35 to 39 | 4,482 | 14.1 | 10.9 |
| 40 and over | 2,619 | 14.4 | 13.3 |
| $F=30.3817, P<0.001$ |  |  |  |
| Country |  |  |  |
| England | 9,325 | 18.7 | 8.6 |
| Wales | 2,142 | 13.7 | 5.9 |
| Scotland | 1,779 | 13.0 | 4.3 |
| N. Ireland | 1,506 | 16.0 | 1.8 |
| $\mathrm{F}=11.92, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |
| Employment |  |  |  |
| Both partners employed | 6,822 | 11.0 | 9.4 |
| Main employed, partner not employed | 256 | 18.6 | (9.3) |
| Partner employed, main not employed | 3,879 | 25.3 | 7.6 |
| Neither employed | 853 | 40.6 | (3.0) |
| Lone parent employed | 1,153 | 9.2 | 7.9 |
| Lone parent not employed | 1,780 | 25.0 | 3.3 |
| $\mathrm{F}=32.73, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |
| Education Level |  |  |  |
| NVQ 1 | 1,110 | 20.8 | 4.4 |
| NVQ 2 | 4,040 | 15.2 | 6.4 |
| NVQ 3 | 2,185 | 14.2 | 7.6 |
| NVQ 4 | 3,984 | 12.1 | 11.2 |
| NVQ 5 | 1,125 | 12.5 | 13.0 |
| Other/ overseas qualifications | 428 | 47.4 | (5.2) |
| None of the above | 1,854 | 38.8 | 2.2 |
| $\mathrm{F}=35.15, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |
| Family Type |  |  |  |
| Married natural parents | 9,089 | 18.2 | 8.9 |
| Cohabiting natural parents | 2,727 | 16.0 | 7.1 |
| Lone natural mother | 2,933 | 18.4 | 5.2 |
| $\mathrm{F}=11.76, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |

[^18]Table 10.15: UK fathers' frequency of alcohol use

|  | Observed sample nos | Per cent Never | Per cent 5 times + per week |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |
| Overall | 10,203 | 10.3 | 16.2 |
| Under 25 | 169 | (11.2) | (9.1) |
| 25 to 29 | 920 | 15.9 | 8.9 |
| 30 to 34 | 2,174 | 12.1 | 12.3 |
| 35 to 39 | 3,457 | 9.1 | 15.8 |
| 40 and over | 3,481 | 9.0 | 21.0 |
| $F=9.33, P<0.001$ |  |  |  |
| Country |  |  |  |
| England | 6,448 | 10.7 | 17.4 |
| Wales | 1,487 | 7.7 | 16.7 |
| Scotland | 1,261 | 7.7 | 10.6 |
| N. Ireland | 1007 | 9.8 | 3.7 |
| $F=12.68, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |
| Employment |  |  |  |
| Both partners employed | 6,082 | 5.7 | 17.2 |
| Main employed, partner not employed | 256 | (12.7) | 17.1 |
| Partner employed, main not employed | 3,180 | 14.8 | 15.8 |
| Neither employed | 685 | 33.2 | 8.4 |
| $\mathrm{F}=37.13, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |
| Education Level |  |  |  |
| NVQ 1 | 593 | 11.6 | 14.7 |
| NVQ 2 | 2,565 | 7.9 | 12.5 |
| NVQ 3 | 1,509 | 7.3 | 16.5 |
| NVQ 4 | 2,636 | 6.1 | 19.8 |
| NVQ 5 | 1,168 | 9.5 | 22.6 |
| Other/ overseas qualifications | 413 | 27.9 | 10.6 |
| None of the above | 1,045 | 26.1 | 12.0 |
| $F=22.10, P<0.001$ |  |  |  |
| Family Type |  |  |  |
| Married natural parents | 7,971 | 10.8 | 16.4 |
| Cohabiting natural parents | 2,212 | 8.7 | 15.8 |
| $\mathrm{F}=7.47, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |

Sample: All MCS3 fathers (including step-fathers) who were partner respondents. Table displays unweighted observations and percentages. Weight1 was used for analyses by country. Weight2 was used for all other analyses. Unit nonresponse weights were also used.

Table 10.16: Mothers' and father's drug use in the previous year in Scotland

|  | Mothers |  | Fathers |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Observed numbers | Percent | Observed numbers | Percent |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| Under 25 | 126 | (13.3) | 19 | (19.9) |
| 25 to 29 | 282 | (10.6) | 113 | (25.9) |
| 30 to 34 | 429 | (4.7) | 244 | 15.7 |
| 35 to 39 | 568 | (4.0) | 424 | 7.6 |
| 40 and over | 357 | (1.6) | 445 | (6.6) |
| Total | 1762 | 5.6 | 1245 | 11.0 |
|  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |
| Country | \% | [95\% CI] | \% | [95\% CI] |
| England | 4.7 | [4.1,5.2] | 9.2 | [8.3,10.2] |
| Wales | 3.5 | [2.8,4.2] | 9.2 | [7.6,10.8] |
| Scotland | 5.6 | [4.1,7.1] | 11.0 | [9.0,12.9] |
| Northern Ireland | 1.9 | [1.0,2.7] | 4.7 | [3.1,6.3] |
| Employment |  |  |  |  |
| Both partners employed | 925 | 2.8 | 819 | 9.9 |
| Main employed, partner not employed | 31 | (16.4) | 28 | (24.6) |
| Partner employed, main not employed | 411 | (5.4) | 336 | (7.0) |
| Neither employed | 74 | (16.3) | 62 | 39.6 |
| Lone parent employed | 155 | (10.7) |  |  |
| Lone parent not employed | 165 | (9.2) |  |  |
| Total | 1761 | 5.6 | 1245 | 11.0 |
|  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |
| Education leveL |  |  |  |  |
| NVQ 1 | 67 | (9.4) | 35 | (22.2) |
| NVQ 2 | 421 | (6.2) | 296 | (12.7) |
| NVQ 3 | 364 | (4.2) | 237 | (8.1) |
| NVQ 4 | 566 | (4.1) | 344 | (6.5) |
| NVQ 5 | 156 | (5.1) | 163 | (8.1) |
| Other/overseas qualifications | 29 | (10.1) | 28 | (26.6) |
| Non of the above | 157 | (9.9) | 104 | (22.2) |
| Total | 1760 | 5.6 | 1207 | 10.9 |
|  | $\mathrm{P}=0.2664$ |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.4895$ |  |
| Family type |  |  |  |  |
| Married natural parents | 1082 | 2.6 | 954 | 7.0 |
| Cohabiting natural parents | 360 | 10.4 | 290 | 23.6 |
| Lone natural mother | 320 | 9.9 | . | . |
| Total | 1762 | 5.6 | 1244 | 11.0 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |

Sample: All MCS3 mothers who were main respondents and fathers who were partners.

Table 10.17: UK mothers' drug use in previous year

|  | Observed sample nos | Per cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |
| Overall | 14,010 | 4.6 |
| Under 25 | 1,026 | 8.6 |
| 25 to 29 | 2,421 | 7.9 |
| 30 to 34 | 3,807 | 4.7 |
| 35 to 39 | 4,285 | 3.2 |
| 40 and over | 2,471 | 2.2 |
| $F=16.16, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |
| Country |  |  |
| England | 8,678 | 4.6 |
| Wales | 2,123 | 3.5 |
| Scotland | 1,762 | 5.6 |
| N. Ireland | 1,447 | 1.9 |
| $F=4.95, \mathrm{P}=0.374$ |  |  |
| Employment |  |  |
| Both partners employed | 6,721 | 3.3 |
| Main employed, partner not employed | 250 | (4.1) |
| Partner employed, main not employed | 3,557 | 3.6 |
| Neither employed | 720 | 8.9 |
| Lone parent employed | 1,140 | 8.1 |
| Lone parent not employed | 1,616 | 7.9 |
| $F=18.15, P<0.001$ |  |  |
| Education Level |  |  |
| NVQ 1 | 1,075 | 5.2 |
| NVQ 2 | 3,956 | 4.8 |
| NVQ 3 | 2,132 | 4.7 |
| NVQ 4 | 3,926 | 4.1 |
| NVQ 5 | 1,111 | 4.4 |
| Other/ overseas qualifications | 329 | (4.8) |
| None of the above | 1,462 | 4.9 |
| $\mathrm{F}=3.90, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |
| Family Type |  |  |
| Married natural parents | 8,581 | 2.4 |
| Cohabiting natural parents | 2,671 | 8.1 |
| Lone natural mother | 2,756 | 8.0 |
| $\mathrm{F}=56.82, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |

Sample All MCS3 mothers (including very small numbers of adoptive, step-mothers and foster mothers) who were main respondents. Table displays unweighted observations and percentages. Weight1 was used for analyses by country. Weight2 was used for all other analyses. Unit nonresponse weights were also used.

Table 10.18: UK fathers' drug use in previous year

|  | Observed sample n | Per cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |
| Overall | 9,785 | 9.2 |
| Under 25 | 165 | 20.6 |
| 25 to 29 | 870 | 20.4 |
| 30 to 34 | 2,079 | 12.2 |
| 35 to 39 | 3,345 | 7.6 |
| 40 and over | 3,325 | 5.9 |
| $\mathrm{F}=29.67, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |
| Country |  |  |
| England | 6,104 | 9.2 |
| Wales | 1,461 | 9.2 |
| Scotland | 1,245 | 11.0 |
| N. Ireland | 975 | 4.7 |
| $\mathrm{F}=4.61, \mathrm{P}=0.374$ |  |  |
| Employment |  |  |
| Both partners employed | 5,999 | 8.0 |
| Main employed, partner not employed | 237 | (17.0) |
| Partner employed, main not employed | 2,968 | 9.0 |
| Neither employed | 581 | 20.9 |
| $\mathrm{F}=18.15, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |
| Education Level |  |  |
| NVQ 1 | 561 | 11.8 |
| NVQ 2 | 2,506 | 11.0 |
| NVQ 3 | 1,484 | 9.5 |
| NVQ 4 | 2,619 | 6.3 |
| NVQ 5 | 1,152 | 6.1 |
| Other overseas qualifications | 357 | 12.0 |
| None of the above | 856 | 13.4 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{F}=7.57, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Family Type |  |  |
| Married natural parents | 7,619 | 6.6 |
| Cohabiting natural parents | 2,150 | 18.3 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{F}=117.80, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |

Sample: All MCS3 fathers (including step-fathers) who were partner respondents. Table displays unweighted observations and percentages. Weight1 was used for analyses by country. Weight2 was used for all other analyses. Unit nonresponse weights were also used.

Table 10.19: Fathers' and mothers' diagnosed depression and serious anxiety by country

| Country | Mothers |  |  |  | Fathers |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ever diagnosed and not currently being treated |  | Ever diagnosed and currently being treated |  | Ever diagnosed and not currently being treated |  | Ever diagnosed and currently being treated |  |
|  | \% | [95\% CI] | \% | [95\% CI] | \% | [95\% CI] | \% | [95\% CI] |
| England | 31.5 | [30.1,32.8] | 7.4 | [6.7,8.2] | 11.6 | [10..4,12.6] | 3.1 | [2.7,3.5] |
| $\mathrm{N}=9340$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wales | 32.4 | [30.1,34.8] | 10.2 | [8.9,11.4] | 11.1 | [9.1,13.6] | 3.9 | [3.1,4.8] |
| $\mathrm{N}=2154$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scotland | 34.1 | [32.2,36.0] | 9.8 | [8.2,11.4] | 9.7 | [7.8,12.0] | 4.3 | [3.3,5.6] |
| $\mathrm{N}=1780$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northern Ireland | 28.5 | [25.7,31.3] | 11.8 | [10.0,13.5] | 9.4 | [7.8,11.4] | 3.5 | [2.5,5.0] |
| N=1506 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: All MCS3 mothers who were main respondents and fathers who were partners.

Table 10.20: Fathers' and mothers' diagnosed depression and serious anxiety in Scotland


## Age

| Under 25 | 127 | 39.8 | $(7.9)$ | 20 | $(12.6)$ | $(5.3)$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 to 29 | 286 | 40.6 | 14.3 | 115 | $(11.2)$ | $(12.4)$ |  |  |  |
| 30 to 34 | 432 | 37.6 | $(8.1)$ | 249 | $(10.6)$ | $(2.5)$ |  |  |  |
| 35 to 39 | 573 | 29.0 | 8.5 | 432 | 9.2 | $(4.3)$ |  |  |  |
| 40 and over | 362 | 29.5 | 10.7 | 453 | 9.0 | $(2.9)$ |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 7 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.0005$ |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.1978$ |  |  |  |  |


| Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Both partners employed | 930 | 29.4 | 5.2 | 828 | 9.0 | (1.9) |
| Main employed, partner not employed | 31 | (52.2) | (5.8) | 31 | (21.3) | (26.6) |
| Partner employed, main not employed | 416 | 34.3 | 11.2 | 347 | (8.6) | (3.6) |
| Neither employed | 74 | (41.3) | (19.0) | 63 | (18.1) | (26.8) |
| Lone parent employed | 155 | 39.3 | (13.9) |  |  |  |
| Lone parent not employed | 173 | 46.2 | 20.9 | . |  |  |
| Total | 1779 | 34.1 | 9.7 | 1269 | 9.7 | 4.3 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  | <0.001 |
| Education level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NVQ 1 | 67 | (37.3) | (12.9) | 37 | (13.0) | (9.3) |
| NVQ 2 | 424 | 34.7 | 13.7 | 304 | (11.9) | (5.4) |
| NVQ 3 | 367 | 33.7 | 9.1 | 239 | (11.9) | (3.1) |
| NVQ 4 | 569 | 30.3 | (4.9) | 346 | (6.0) | (2.1) |
| NVQ 5 | 156 | 28.8 | (5.1) | 164 | (7.9) | (1.3) |
| Other/overseas qualifications | 30 | (35.4) | (12.9) | 30 | (10.2) | (3.7) |
| None of the above | 165 | 48.6 | (17.7) | 109 | 11.4 | 14.1 |
| Total | 1778 | 34.2 | 9.7 | 1229 | 9.7 | 4.4 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  | 0.0018 |

## Family type

| Married natural <br> parents | 1090 | 28.7 | 7.2 | 967 | 8.7 | 3.6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cohabiting natural <br> parents | 362 | 41.5 | $(9.8)$ | 301 | 12.7 | $(6.5)$ |
| Lone natural mother | 328 | 43.1 | 17.7 |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 7 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ |

[^19]Table 10.21: UK Mothers' diagnosed depression or serious anxiety

|  | Observed sample numbers | Per cent Ever Diagnosed, Not Currently Being Treated | Per cent Ever Diagnosed \& Currently Being Treated ${ }^{\dagger}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |
| Overall | 14,771 | 31.6 | 8.0 |
| Under 25 | 1,064 | 39.4 | 9.5 |
| 25 to 29 | 2,578 | 37.7 | 9.5 |
| 30 to 34 | 4,019 | 33.2 | 8.5 |
| 35 to 39 | 4,487 | 27.7 | 6.8 |
| 40 and over | 2,623 | 27.4 | 7.1 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Country |  |  |  |
| England | 9,340 | 31.5 | 7.4 |
| Wales | 2,145 | 32.4 | 10.2 |
| Scotland | 1,780 | 34.1 | 9.8 |
| N. Ireland | 1,506 | 28.5 | 11.8 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Employment |  |  |  |
| Both partners employed | 6,823 | 27.1 | 4.9 |
| Main employed, partner not employed | 256 | 38.2 | (6.4) |
| Partner employed, main not employed | 3,883 | 30.3 | 8.3 |
| Neither employed | 854 | 35.9 | 14.5 |
| Lone parent employed | 1,153 | 40.6 | 10.4 |
| Lone parent not employed | 1,783 | 43.5 | 15.4 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Education Level |  |  |  |
| NVQ 1 | 1,113 | 37.7 | 10.5 |
| NVQ 2 | 4,042 | 35.5 | 9.6 |
| NVQ 3 | 2,190 | 30.2 | 8.0 |
| NVQ 4 | 3,984 | 26.7 | 5.3 |
| NVQ 5 | 1,125 | 24.0 | 4.1 |
| Other/ overseas qualifications | 428 | 30.8 | (6.8) |
| None of the above | 1,862 | 37.3 | 11.3 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Family Type |  |  |  |
| Married natural parents | 9,093 | 26.5 | 6.1 |
| Cohabiting natural parents | 2,728 | 36.7 | 8.3 |
| Lone natural mother | 2,936 | 42.3 | 13.3 |
| + Groups are mutually exclusive. |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\dagger}$ Groups are mutually exclusive. Sample:: All MCS3 mothers (including a respondents. Table displays unweighted ob (Wave 2) used. | doptive, stepations and we | nothers and foster m ghted percentages. U | thers) who were mai nit non-response wei |

Table 10.22: Mothers' and fathers' psychological distress by country

| Country | Mothers |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | None or low |  | Medium |  | High |  |
|  | \% | [95\% CI] | \% | [95\% CI] | \% | [95\% CI] |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { England } \\ & \mathrm{N}=8685 \end{aligned}$ | 67.0 | [65.8,68.2] | 29.7 | [28.6,30.9] | 3.3 | [2.8,3.7] |
| Wales $\mathrm{N}=2123$ | 67.2 | [64.7,69.7] | 29.1 | [26.6,31.6] | 3.7 | [3.0,4.4] |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scotland } \\ & \mathrm{N}=1762 \end{aligned}$ | 72.0 | [69.6,74.5] | 24.2 | [22.1,26.2] | 3.8 | [2.9,4.7] |
| Northern Ireland $N=1449$ | 72.4 | [69.9,74.9] | 24.7 | [22.1,27.4] | 2.8 | [1.8,3.8] |
|  | Fathers |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { England } \\ & \mathrm{N}=8685 \end{aligned}$ | 68.1 | [66.8,69.4] | 29.7 | [28.4,30.9] | 2.3 | [1.9,2.7] |
| Wales $\mathrm{N}=2123$ | 68.3 | [65.0,71.5] | 30.0 | [26.6,33.3] | 1.8 | [1.2,2.4] |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scotland } \\ & \mathrm{N}=1762 \end{aligned}$ | 73.0 | [70.6,75.3] | 25.2 | [23.0,27.4] | 1.8 | [1.0,2.6] |
| Northern Ireland $N=1449$ | 71.7 | [69.3,74.1] | 26.8 | [24.2,29.4] | 1.5 | [0.7,2.3] |

Sample: All MCS3 mothers who were main respondents and fathers who were partners.

Table 10.23: Mothers' and fathers' psychological distress in Scotland

|  | Mothers |  |  |  | Fathers |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Observed | No or low | Medium | High | Observed | No or low | Medium | High |
|  | No. | \% | \% | \% | No. | \% | \% | \% |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 25 | 126 | 73.3 | (19.1) | (7.6) | 19 | (70.1) | (25.6) | (4.3) |
| 25 to 29 | 282 | 65.7 | 28.4 | (6.0) | 113 | 71.6 | (25.2) | (3.1) |
| 30 to 34 | 429 | 75.1 | 21.7 | (3.2) | 244 | 77.3 | 20.4 | (2.3) |
| 35 to 39 | 568 | 70.3 | 27.1 | (2.6) | 425 | 68.9 | 29.5 | (1.7) |
| 40 and over | 357 | 76.1 | 20.9 | (3.0) | 445 | 75.0 | 23.9 | (1.2) |
| Total | 1762 | 72.0 | 24.2 | 3.8 | 1246 | 73.0 | 25.2 | (1.8) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.0126$ |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.0003$ |  |  |
| Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both partners employed | 925 | 79.2 | 20.2 | (0.6) | 820 | 73.8 | 25.5 | (0.6) |
| Main employed, partner not employed | 31 | (59.8) | (29.9) | (10.3) | 28 | (50.6) | (35.6) | (13.8) |
| Partner employed, main not employed | 411 | 72.3 | 22.2 | (5.5) | 336 | 76.6 | 22.4 | (1.0) |
| Neither employed | 74 | 57.1 | (28.2) | (14.7) | 62 | 51.9 | (32.4) | (15.7) |
| Lone parent employed | 155 | 66.7 | 29.7 | (3.6) |  |  |  |  |
| Lone parent not employed | 165 | 48.9 | 41.0 | 10.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1761 | 72.0 | 24.2 | (3.8) | 1246 | 73.0 | 25.2 | (1.8) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |
| Education level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NVQ 1 | 67 | 70.0 | (21.2) | (8.8) | 35 | (72.2) | (25.6) | (2.2) |
| NVQ 2 | 421 | 68.6 | 25.4 | (6.0) | 296 | 70.5 | 26.5 | (2.9) |
| NVQ 3 | 364 | 73.9 | 23.2 | (2.9) | 237 | 73.0 | 25.5 | (1.5) |
| NVQ 4 | 566 | 76.7 | 22.5 | (0.7) | 344 | 81.1 | 18.3 | (0.6) |
| NVQ 5 | 156 | 78.7 | 19.9 | (1.4) | 164 | 70.1 | 29.9 | (0.0) |


| Other/overseas qualifications | 29 | (57.6) | (34.1) | (8.2) | 28 | (67.0) | (28.8) | (4.2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None of the above | 157 | 60.7 | 31.0 | (8.3) | 104 | 61.0 | 32.9 | (6.1) |
| Total | 1760 | 72.1 | 24.1 | 3.8 | 1208 | 73.1 | 25.0 | (1.9) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.0001$ |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.0155$ |  |  |
| Family type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married natural parents | 1082 | 78.1 | 20.1 | (1.8) | 955 | 74.9 | 23.6 | (1.5) |
| Cohabiting natural parents | 360 | 68.2 | 25.2 | (6.6) | 290 | 66.9 | 30.4 | (2.8) |
| Lone natural mother | 320 | 57.1 | 35.8 | (7.1) |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1762 | 72.0 | 24.2 | 3.8 | 1245 | 73.0 | 25.2 | (1.8) |
|  |  |  |  | <0.001 |  |  |  | P0.001 |

Sample: All MCS3 mothers who were main respondents and fathers who were partners in Scotland at MCS1.

Table 10.24: UK mothers' psychological distress

|  | Observed sample nos | Per cent 0-3 <br> No or Low | Per cent 4-12 <br> Medium | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Per cent } \\ 13+ \\ \text { High } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| Overall | 14,019 | 67.7 | 29.0 | 3.3 |
| Under 25 | 1,027 | 60.5 | 33.7 | 5.8 |
| 25 to 29 | 2,422 | 61.1 | 33.2 | 5.8 |
| 30 to 34 | 3,808 | 67.9 | 29.5 | 2.6 |
| 35 to 39 | 4,289 | 71.2 | 26.0 | 2.8 |
| 40 and over | 2,473 | 70.1 | 27.6 | 2.3 |
| $\mathrm{F}=12.22, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |
| Country |  |  |  |  |
| England | 8,685 | 67.0 | 29.7 | 3.3 |
| Wales | 2,123 | 67.2 | 29.1 | 3.7 |
| Scotland | 1,762 | 72.0 | 24.2 | 3.8 |
| N. Ireland | 1,449 | 72.4 | 24.8 | 2.9 |
| F 4.85, P = 0.374 |  |  |  |  |
| Employment |  |  |  |  |
| Both partners employed | 6,723 | 75.3 | 23.3 | 1.4 |
| Main employed, partner not employed | 251 | 64.8 | 33.1 | (2.1) |
| Partner employed, main not employed | 3,559 | 66.7 | 30.1 | 3.2 |
| Neither employed | 722 | 53.8 | 35.2 | 10.9 |
| Lone parent employed | 1,141 | 58.8 | 38.5 | 2.7 |
| Lone parent not employed | 1,617 | 49.4 | 41.1 | 9.4 |
| $\mathrm{F}=56.99, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 10.24 (continued) UK mothers' psychological distress

|  | Observed sample nos | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Per cent } \\ 0-3 \\ \text { No or Low } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Per cent 4-12 <br> Medium | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Per cent } \\ 13+ \\ \text { High } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Education Level |  |  |  |  |
| NVQ 1 | 1,075 | 62.4 | 32.1 | 5.5 |
| NVQ 2 | 3,961 | 66.0 | 30.4 | 3.7 |
| NVQ 3 | 2,132 | 68.5 | 28.6 | 2.9 |
| NVQ 4 | 3,929 | 74.0 | 24.6 | 1.4 |
| NVQ 5 | 1,112 | 73.3 | 25.4 | 1.3 |
| Other/ overseas qualifications | 329 | 54.3 | 38.0 | (7.7) |
| None of the above | 1,461 | 55.4 | 37.0 | 7.6 |
| F 19.71, P<0.001 |  |  |  |  |
| Family Type |  |  |  |  |
| Married natural parents | 8,586 | 73.3 | 24.6 | 2.1 |
| Cohabiting natural parents | 2,673 | 64.3 | 31.8 | 3.9 |
| Lone natural mother | 2,758 | 53.4 | 40.0 | 6.6 |
| $\mathrm{F}=82.16, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |

Sample: All MCS3 mothers (including very small numbers of adoptive, step-mothers and foster mothers) who were main respondents. Table displays unweighted observations and percentages. Weight1 was used for analyses by country. Weight2 was used for all other analyses. Unit nonresponse weights were also used.

Table 10.25: UK fathers' psychological distress

|  | Observed <br> sample nos | Per cent <br> 0-3 <br> No or Low | Per cent <br> 4-12 <br> Medium | Per cent <br> 13+ <br> high |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age | 9,789 | 68.7 | 29.2 | 2.2 |
| Overall | 165 | 61.3 | 35.1 | $(3.6)$ |
| Under 25 | 871 | 64.8 | 32.8 | $(2.4)$ |
| 25 to 29 | 2,079 | 68.7 | 28.8 | 2.4 |
| 30 to 34 | 3,347 | 68.8 | 29.5 | 1.7 |
| 35 to 39 | 3,326 | 69.7 | 27.8 | 2.5 |
| 40 and over | F $=1.6295, \mathrm{P}=0.12$ |  |  |  |

Table 10.25 (continued) UK fathers' psychological distress

|  | Observed sample nos | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per cent } \\ 0-3 \\ \text { No or Low } \end{gathered}$ | Per cent 4-12 <br> Medium | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Per cent } \\ 13+ \\ \text { high } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country |  |  |  |  |
| England | 6,106 | 68.1 | 29.6 | 2.3 |
| Wales | 1,461 | 68.3 | 30.0 | 1.8 |
| Scotland | 1,246 | 73.0 | 25.2 | 1.8 |
| N. Ireland | 976 | 71.7 | 26.8 | 1.5 |
| F 2.51, P = 0.374 |  |  |  |  |
| Employment |  |  |  |  |
| Both partners employed | 6,001 | 71.2 | 27.5 | 1.3 |
| Main employed, partner not employed | 237 | 53.7 | 38.4 | (7.9) |
| Partner employed, main not employed | 2,970 | 69.0 | 29.4 | 1.6 |
| Neither employed | 581 | 43.9 | 42.6 | 13.5 |
| $\mathrm{F}=58.39, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |
| Education Level |  |  |  |  |
| NVQ 1 | 562 | 67.4 | 29.8 | 2.8 |
| NVQ 2 | 2,507 | 69.7 | 28.0 | 2.3 |
| NVQ 3 | 1,485 | 69.4 | 29.0 | (1.6) |
| NVQ 4 | 2,619 | 70.1 | 28.6 | (1.3) |
| NVQ 5 | 1,153 | 70.2 | 28.8 | (1.0) |
| Other/ overseas qualifications | 357 | 62.1 | 33.9 | (3.9) |
| None of the above | 856 | 61.2 | 32.1 | 6.7 |
| F 7.09, P<0.001 |  |  |  |  |
| Family Type |  |  |  |  |
| Married natural parents | 7,621 | 69.5 | 28.7 | 1.9 |
| Cohabiting natural parents | 2,151 | 66.0 | 30.7 | 3.2 |
| $\mathrm{F}=6.95, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |

Sample: All MCS3 fathers (including step-fathers) who were partner respondents. Table displays unweighted observations and percentages. Weight1 was used for analyses by country. Weight2 was used for all other analyses. Unit nonresponse weights were also used.

Table 10.26: Mothers' and fathers' life satisfaction in Scotland

|  | Mothers |  | Fathers |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Observed | Score=7+ | Observed | Score=7+ |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| Under 25 | 126 | 67.0 | 19 | (72.6) |
| 25 to 29 | 282 | 67.2 | 113 | 75.8 |
| 30 to 34 | 429 | 79.6 | 244 | 80.8 |
| 35 to 39 | 568 | 78.5 | 425 | 83.0 |
| 40 and over | 357 | 80.3 | 445 | 84.3 |
| Total | 1762 | 76.3 | 1246 | 82.1 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.0002$ |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.3083$ |
| Country | \% | [95\% CI] | \% | [95\% CI] |
| England | 74.8 | [73.7,76.0] | 78.9 | [77.8,80.1] |
| Wales | 75.0 | [72.4,77.7] | 81.2 | [78.8,83.5] |
| Scotland | 76.2 | [74.1,78.3] | 82.1 | [79.6,84.6] |
| Northern Ireland | 78.7 | [76.5,80.9] | 82.3 | [79.9,84.7] |
| Employment |  |  |  |  |
| Both partners employed | 925 | 85.3 | 820 | 84.2 |
| Main employed, partner not employed | 31 | (69.7) | 28 | (62.4) |
| Partner employed, main not employed | 411 | 77.2 | 336 | 84.5 |
| Neither employed | 74 | 60.7 | 62 | 52.5 |
| Lone parent employed | 155 | 52.6 |  |  |
| Lone parent not employed | 165 | 57.3 | . |  |
| Total | 1761 | 76.2 | 1246 | 82.1 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Education level |  |  |  |  |
| NVQ 1 | 67 | 67.8 | 35 | (76.5) |
| NVQ 2 | 421 | 70.9 | 296 | 80.9 |
| NVQ 3 | 364 | 73.4 | 237 | 78.8 |
| NVQ 4 | 566 | 85.4 | 344 | 86.2 |
| NVQ 5 | 156 | 85.7 | 164 | 88.0 |
| Other/overseas qualifications | 29 | (72.0) | 28 | (82.7) |
| None of the above | 157 | 63.2 | 104 | 73.5 |
| Total | 1760 | 76.3 | 1208 | 82.2 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.0302$ |
| Family type |  |  |  |  |
| Married natural parents | 1082 | 85.9 | 955 | 87.3 |
| Cohabiting natural parents | 360 | 67.8 | 290 | 65.8 |
| Lone natural mother | 320 | 55.2 |  |  |
| Total | 1762 | 76.3 | 1245 | 82.1 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |

Sample: All MCS3 mothers who were main respondents and fathers who were partners in Scotland at MCS1.

Table 10.27: UK mothers' life satisfaction

|  | Observed sample nos | Per cent Score = 7 + |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |
| Overall | 14,008 | 75.1 |
| Under 25 | 1,025 | 62.7 |
| 25 to 29 | 2,419 | 68.3 |
| 30 to 34 | 3,807 | 76.9 |
| 35 to 39 | 4,287 | 78.9 |
| 40 and over | 2,470 | 77.0 |
| $\mathrm{F}=33.45, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |
| Country |  |  |
| England | 8,678 | 74.9 |
| Wales | 2,123 | 75.1 |
| Scotland | 1,762 | 76.3 |
| N. Ireland | 1,445 | 78.7 |
| $F=2.72, P=0.374$ |  |  |
| Employment |  |  |
| Both partners employed | 6,721 | 83.4 |
| Main employed, partner not employed | 251 | 72.0 |
| Partner employed, main not employed | 3,557 | 79.8 |
| Neither employed | 718 | 66.8 |
| Lone parent employed | 1,139 | 52.0 |
| Lone parent not employed | 1,616 | 49.3 |
| $\mathrm{F}=172.49, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |
| Education Level |  |  |
| NVQ 1 | 1,074 | 69.3 |
| NVQ 2 | 3,955 | 71.9 |
| NVQ 3 | 2,131 | 74.7 |
| NVQ 4 | 3,926 | 81.7 |
| NVQ 5 | 1,112 | 81.1 |
| Other/ overseas qualifications | 329 | 72.2 |
| None of the above | 1,462 | 66.0 |
| $F=26.84, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |
| Family Type |  |  |
| Married natural parents | 8,580 | 84.0 |
| Cohabiting natural parents | 2,671 | 71.8 |
| Lone natural mother | 2,755 | 50.5 |
| $\mathrm{F}=440.39, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |

Sample All MCS3 mothers (including very small numbers of adoptive, step-mothers and foster mothers) who were main respondents. Table displays unweighted observations and percentages. Weight1 was used for analyses by country. Weight2 was used for all other analyses. Unit nonresponse weights were also used.

Table 10.28: UK fathers' life satisfaction

|  | Observed sample nos | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per cent } \\ \text { Score }=7+ \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |
| Overall | 9,786 | 79.4 |
| Under 25 | 165 | 75.0 |
| 25 to 29 | 870 | 76.5 |
| 30 to 34 | 2,079 | 79.3 |
| 35 to 39 | 3,346 | 81.4 |
| 40 and over | 3,325 | 78.5 |
| $\mathrm{F}=2.89, \mathrm{P}=0.02$ |  |  |
| Country |  |  |
| England | 6,104 | 78.9 |
| Wales | 1,461 | 81.2 |
| Scotland | 1,246 | 82.1 |
| N. Ireland | 975 | 82.3 |
| $\mathrm{F}=13.10, \mathrm{P}=0.023$ |  |  |
| Employment |  |  |
| Both partners employed | 6,000 | 81.2 |
| Main employed, partner not employed | 237 | 70.0 |
| Partner employed, main not employed | 2,968 | 80.2 |
| Neither employed | 581 | 59.3 |
| $\mathrm{F}=43.58, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |
| Education Level |  |  |
| NVQ 1 | 561 | 71.6 |
| NVQ 2 | 2,506 | 78.8 |
| NVQ 3 | 1,484 | 78.3 |
| NVQ 4 | 2,619 | 82.9 |
| NVQ 5 | 1,153 | 84.7 |
| Other/ overseas qualifications | 357 | 73.6 |
| None of the above | 856 | 73.1 |
| $F=10.40, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |
|  | Observed sample nos | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per cent } \\ \text { Score }=7+ \end{gathered}$ |
| Family Type |  |  |
| Married natural parents | 7,620 | 82.0 |
| Cohabiting natural parents | 2,150 | 70.7 |
| $\mathrm{F}=94.40, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |

Sample: All MCS3 fathers (including step-fathers) who were partner respondents. Table displays unweighted observations and percentages. Weight1 was used for analyses by country. Weight2 was used for all other analyses. Unit nonresponse weights were also used.

Table 10.29: Mothers' Body Mass Index by UK country

| Country | Mothers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Under weight |  | Normal |  | Over weight |  | Obese |  | Morbidly obese |  |
|  | \% | [95\% CI] | \% | [95\% CI] | \% | [95\% CI] | \% | [95\% CI] | \% | [95\% CI] |
| England $\mathrm{N}=7831=100 \%$ | 2.7 | [2.4,3.1] | 56.2 | [54.6,57.7] | 25.3 | [24.2,26.4] | 10.6 | [9.8,11.4] | 5.2 | [4.6,5.8] |
| Wales $\mathrm{N}=1803=100 \%$ | 2.3 | [1.6,3.0] | 55.2 | [52.5,57.9] | 27.2 | [25.0,29.5] | 10.3 | [8.7,11.8] | 5.0 | [4.0,6.0] |
| Scotland $\mathrm{N}=1492=100 \%$ | 2.3 | [1.6,3.0] | 57.5 | [54.6,60.3] | 27.0 | [25.1,29.0] | 9.3 | [7.6,11.0] | 3.9 | [2.8,5.0] |
| Northern Ireland $\mathrm{N}=1239=100 \%$ | 1.7 | [1.1,2.2] | 55.8 | [52.6,59.1] | 29.6 | [26.8,32.4] | 9.2 | [7.4,11.1] | 3.7 | [2.6,4.8] |

Sample: Al MCS3 mothers who were main respondents and fathers who were partners.

Table 10.30: Mothers' Body Mass Index in Scotland


Sample: All MCS3 mothers who were main respondents and fathers who were partners in Scotland at MCS1.

Table 10.31: UK mothers' Body Mass Index

|  | Observed sample nos | Per cent <18.5 <br> Under weight | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per cent } \\ & \text { 18.5-25 } \\ & \text { Normal } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Per cent } \\ 25-30 \\ \text { Over } \\ \text { weight } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per cent } \\ & 30-35 \\ & \text { Obese } \end{aligned}$ | Per cent >35 <br> Morbidly Obese |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall | 12,365 | 2.6 | 56.2 | 25.7 | 10.4 | 5.0 |
| Under 25 | 799 | 4.2 | 60.2 | 22.5 | 9.7 | (3.5) |
| 25 to 29 | 2,010 | 4.6 | 53.0 | 25.6 | 11.2 | 5.6 |
| 30 to 34 | 3,356 | 3.1 | 53.8 | 25.9 | 12.0 | 5.2 |
| 35 to 39 | 3,892 | 1.6 | 58.5 | 26.3 | 9.2 | 4.5 |
| 40 and over | 2,308 | 1.7 | 57.2 | 25.8 | 9.7 | 5.6 |
| $\mathrm{F}=4.84, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Country |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 7,831 | 2.7 | 56.2 | 25.3 | 10.5 | 5.2 |
| Wales | 1,803 | 2.3 | 55.2 | 27.3 | 10.3 | 5.0 |
| Scotland | 1,492 | 2.3 | 57.5 | 27.0 | 9.3 | 3.9 |
| N. Ireland | 1,239 | 1.7 | 55.8 | 29.6 | 9.3 | 3.7 |
| $\mathrm{F}=1.98, \mathrm{P}=0.028$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 10.31 (continued) Mothers' Body Mass Index

|  | Observed sample nos | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per cent } \\ <18.5 \\ \text { Under } \\ \text { weight } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per cent } \\ & 18.5-25 \\ & \text { Normal } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per cent } \\ 25-30 \\ \text { Over } \\ \text { weight } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per cent } \\ & 30-35 \\ & \text { Obese } \end{aligned}$ | Per cent $>35$ <br> Morbidly Obese |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both partners employed | 5,953 | 1.7 | 57.6 | 26.7 | 9.8 | 4.1 |
| Main employed, partner not employed | 217 | (4.9) | 50.5 | 27.6 | (10.2) | (6.8) |
| Partner employed, main not employed | 3,174 | 2.6 | 56.2 | 25.9 | 10.1 | 5.3 |
| Neither employed | 629 | 5.6 | 43.0 | 24.6 | 16.9 | 10.0 |
| Lone parent employed | 1,003 | 4.1 | 58.1 | 23.2 | 10.2 | 4.4 |
| Lone parent not employed | 1,381 | 4.4 | 55.1 | 23.0 | 11.1 | 6.5 |
| $F=6.32, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Education Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NVQ 1 | 875 | 3.6 | 52.7 | 26.4 | 11.5 | 5.8 |
| NVQ 2 | 3,431 | 2.6 | 53.6 | 26.9 | 11.4 | 5.5 |
| NVQ 3 | 1,849 | 2.5 | 54.7 | 26.9 | 10.6 | 5.2 |
| NVQ 4 | 3,440 | 1.7 | 62.3 | 24.1 | 8.1 | 3.9 |
| NVQ 5 | 988 | 2.4 | 64.8 | 22.5 | 7.8 | (2.5) |
| Other/ overseas qualifications | 342 | (4.1) | 53.6 | 25.0 | 11.3 | (6.0) |
| None of the above | 1,419 | 5.0 | 45.4 | 27 | 14.9 | 7.7 |
| $\mathrm{F}=6.99, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married natural parents | 7,778 | 1.9 | 56.8 | 26.4 | 10.0 | 4.9 |
| Cohabiting natural parents | 2,200 | 3.4 | 54.3 | 26.1 | 11.3 | 4.9 |
| Lone natural mother | 2,384 | 4.3 | 56.4 | 23.1 | 10.7 | 5.6 |
| $\mathrm{F}=5.45, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*Excludes mothers who were pregnant at interview.
Sample: All MCS3 mothers (including very small numbers of adoptive, step-mothers and foster mothers) who were main respondents. Table displays unweighted observations and percentages. Weight1 was used for analyses by country.

## Table 10.32: Fathers' Body Mass Index by country

| Country | Fathers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Under weight |  | Normal |  | Over weight |  | Obese |  | Morbidly obese |  |
|  | \% | [95\% CI] | \% | [95\% CI] | \% | [95\% CI] | \% | [95\% CI] | \% | [95\% CI] |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { England } \\ & \mathrm{N}=6166 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0.4 | [0.3,0.6] | 35.0 | [33.6,36.5] | 46.4 | [45.1,47.8] | 14.2 | [13.1,15.3] | 3.9 | [3.4,4.4] |
| Wales $N=1435$ | 0.4 | [0.1,0.8] | 29.8 | [27.4,32.3] | 49.9 | [47.5,52.2] | 16.7 | [14.6,18.9] | 3.1 | [2.2,4.0] |
| Scotland $\mathrm{N}=1210$ | 0.5 | [0.0,0.9] | 37.9 | [35.2,40.7] | 45.7 | [42.5,48.8] | 12.3 | [10.2,14.3] | 3.7 | [2.7,4.6] |
| Northern Ireland $\mathrm{N}=950$ | 0.6 | [0.0,1.1] | 29.9 | [27.4,32.5] | 50.2 | [47.4,52.9] | 15.6 | [13.9,17.2] | 3.8 | [2.5,5.0] |

Sample: All MCS3 fathers who were partners.

Table 10.33: Fathers' Body Mass Index in Scotland

|  | Total | Under weight | Normal | Over weight | Obese | Morbidly obese |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 25 | 17 | (8.4) | (52.8) | (26.3) | (12.5) |  |
| 25 to 29 | 110 | (3.4) | 59.4 | 31.0 | (6.1) |  |
| 30 to 34 | 235 |  | 41.9 | 43.0 | (10.6) | (4.5) |
| 35 to 39 | 417 |  | 31.6 | 49.7 | 14.3 | (4.4) |
| 40 and over | 431 |  | 34.9 | 48.4 | 13.0 | (3.7) |
| Total | 1210 | 0.5 | 37.9 | 45.7 | 12.3 | 3.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both partners employed | 794 |  | 36.1 | 46.8 | 12.9 | 4.2 |
| Main employed, partner not employed | 27 |  | (38.1) | (48.5) | (6.8) | (6.5) |
| Partner employed, main not employed | 332 |  | 39.9 | 46.1 | 11.5 | (2.5) |
| Neither employed | 57 | (9.1) | (49.1) | (27.8) | (11.0) | (3.1) |
| Total | 1210 | 0.5 | 37.9 | 45.7 | 12.3 | 3.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Education level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NVQ 1 | 35 |  | (37.4) | (37.0) | (12.1) | (13.4) |
| NVQ 2 | 293 | (0.3) | 38.5 | 45.5 | 13.0 | (2.7) |
| NVQ 3 | 226 |  | 32.3 | 46.8 | 15.5 | (5.4) |
| NVQ 4 | 338 |  | 33.7 | 50.8 | 12.7 | (2.8) |
| NVQ 5 | 158 |  | 48.9 | 44.0 | (5.3) | (1.8) |
| Other/overseas qualifications | 25 |  | 42.5 | 45.8 | 11.7 |  |
| None of the above | 103 | (3.4) | 41.7 | 35.8 | (14.4) | (4.8) |
| Total | 1178 | 0.4 | 37.6 | 45.9 | 12.5 | 3.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.0013$ |
| Family type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married natural parents | 930 |  | 36.7 | 47.7 | 11.7 | 4.0 |
| Cohabiting natural parents | 279 | (1.9) | 42.0 | 39.2 | 14.2 | (2.7) |
| Total | 1209 | 0.5 | 37.9 | 45.7 | 12.3 | 3.7 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.0007$ |  |  |  |  |

Sample: All MCS3 fathers who were partners in Scotland at MCS1.

Table 10.34: UK fathers' Body Mass Index

|  | Observed sample nos | Per cent <18.5 <br> Under weight | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per cent } \\ & 18.5-25 \\ & \text { Normal } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per cent } \\ & 25-30 \\ & \text { Over } \\ & \text { weight } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per cent } \\ & 30-35 \\ & \text { Obese } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per cent } \\ >35 \end{gathered}$ <br> Morbidly obese |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall | 9,761 | 0.4 | 34.9 | 46.7 | 14.2 | 3.8 |
| Under 25 | 158 | (3.1) | 53.9 | 30.6 | (10.0) | (2.4) |
| 25 to 29 | 883 | (1.8) | 46.3 | 36.3 | 10.8 | 4.8 |
| 30 to 34 | 2,070 | 0.6 | 35.5 | 44.5 | 14.9 | 4.4 |
| 35 to 39 | 3,332 | 0.1 | 31.8 | 49.8 | 14.6 | 3.6 |
| 40 and over | 3,316 | 0.2 | 33.8 | 48.1 | 14.5 | 3.5 |
| $\mathrm{F}=9.11, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Country |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 6,166 | 0.4 | 35.0 | 46.4 | 14.2 | 3.9 |
| Wales | 1,435 | 0.4 | 29.8 | 49.9 | 16.7 | 3.1 |
| Scotland | 1,210 | 0.5 | 37.9 | 45.7 | 12.3 | 3.7 |
| N. Ireland | 950 | 0.5 | 30.0 | 50.2 | 15.6 | 3.8 |
| $F=2.93, P<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both partners employed | 5,867 | 0.2 | 33.0 | 48.5 | 15.0 | 3.3 |
| Main employed, partner not employed | 242 | (2.4) | 34.2 | 44.9 | (13.5) | (5.0) |
| Partner employed, main not employed | 3,028 | 0.3 | 36.9 | 45.6 | 13.0 | 4.2 |
| Neither employed | 624 | (3.2) | 43.6 | 33.5 | 13.0 | (6.7) |
| $F=13.02, P<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table $\mathbf{1 0 . 3 4}$ (continued) UK fathers' Body Mass Index

|  | Observed sample nos | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Per cent } \\ <18.5 \\ \text { Under } \\ \text { weight } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Per cent } \\ & 18.5-25 \\ & \text { Normal } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per cent } \\ & 25-30 \\ & \text { Over } \\ & \text { weight } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per cent } \\ & 30-35 \\ & \text { Obese } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per cent } \\ >35 \end{gathered}$ <br> Morbidly obese |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Education Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NVQ 1 | 574 | (1.8) | 33.9 | 40.8 | 15.8 | 7.8 |
| NVQ 2 | 2,469 | 0.3 | 32.0 | 46.6 | 17.5 | 3.6 |
| NVQ 3 | 1,449 | (0.2) | 32.6 | 49.5 | 14.0 | 3.8 |
| NVQ 4 | 2,573 | 0.2 | 35.3 | 47.8 | 13.6 | 3.0 |
| NVQ 5 | 1,124 | (0.2) | 39.4 | 48.1 | 9.8 | 2.4 |
| Other/ overseas qualifications | 384 | 1.4 | 38.3 | 44.4 | 11.9 | 4.0 |
| None of the above | 977 | 0.6 | 38.3 | 41.4 | 13.8 | 5.9 |
| $\mathrm{F}=4.90, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married natural parents | 7,641 | 0.3 | 34.0 | 48.0 | 14.1 | 3.7 |
| Cohabiting natural parents | 2,103 | 1.0 | 37.9 | 42.0 | 14.7 | 4.3 |
| ( $\mathrm{F}=8.34, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: All MCS3 fathers (including step-fathers) who were partner respondents. Table displays unweighted observations and percentages. Weight1 was used for analyses by country. Weight2 was used for all other analyses. Unit nonresponse weights were also used.

Table 11.1: Mothers' economic activity status by UK country at MCS 3

| Mothers' economic activity status | Country at sweep 3 |  |  |  | All UK total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | England | Wales | Scotland | Northern Ireland |  |
| Currently working fulltime | $\begin{array}{r} 13.8 \\ {[12.7,14.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.9 \\ {[15.6,18.6]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.5 \\ {[13.7,18.1]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.9 \\ {[17.1,21.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.3 \\ {[13.4,15.3]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Currently working parttime | $\begin{array}{r} 43.4 \\ {[41.3,44.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44.2 \\ {[41.0,47.7]} \end{array}$ | 44.8 $[42.4,48.3]$ | $\begin{array}{r} 41.8 \\ {[39.2,45.3]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 43.5 \\ {[41.8,44.6]} \end{array}$ |
| Looking after family and home | $\begin{array}{r} 38.6 \\ {[37.2,40.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33.5 \\ {[29.9,36.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33.5 \\ {[30.0,35.8]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36.8 \\ {[32.7,39.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37.9 \\ {[36.6,39.7]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Not employed and seeking work* | $\begin{array}{r} 3.0 \\ {[2.6,3.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.1 \\ {[2.5,4.0]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.8 \\ {[2.8,4.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (1.2) \\ {[0.8,2.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.0 \\ {[2.6,3.4]} \end{array}$ |
| In education or government training scheme | $\begin{array}{r} 1.2 \\ {[1.0,1.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.2 \\ {[1.6,3.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.4 \\ {[1.7,3.2]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (1.3) \\ {[0.9,1.9]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.4 \\ {[1.2,1.6]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Total per cent ** | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Unweighted sample size | 8993 | 2113 | 1777 | 1513 | 14396 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |
| Of current employees |  |  |  |  |  |
| Works full-time | $\begin{array}{r} 24.1 \\ {[22.3,26.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27.1 \\ {[24.5,30.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.4 \\ {[21.1,28.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30.7 \\ {[27.8,34.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.6 \\ {[23.1,26.2]} \end{array}$ |
| Works part-time | $\begin{array}{r} 75.9 \\ {[74.0,77.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 72.9 \\ {[70.0,75.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75.6 \\ {[71.7,78.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 69.3 \\ {[66.0,72.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75.4 \\ {[73.8,76.9]} \end{array}$ |
| Total per cent | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Unweighted sample size | 4345 | 1140 | 1029 | 842 | 7356 |

Sample: All MCS 3 mothers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) who completed the main or partner interview. This table excludes any mothers who were eligible but not interviewed and any fathers or grandparents who completed the interview. *'Not employed and seeking work' also includes the very small number who had retired. ** self employed included in 'currently working full-time or part-time'. Table displays unweighted observations, weighted observations and weighted percentages in parenthesis (using weight1, within country and using weight2 for All UK). Unit non-response weight also used.

Table 11.2: Mothers' current economic activity by highest educational achievement at MCS 3 in Scotland

| Mothers' economic activity status | Mothers' highest education qualification (academic or vocational) at sweep 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NVQ 4/5 Degree + | NVQ 3 A-level | NVQ $1 / 2$ O- level/GCSE | Overseas and other unclassified qualifications | None of these | Total \% |
| Currently working full-time | 21.1 | 19.0 | 10.2 | (15.3) | (5.0) | 15.8 |
| Currently working part-time | 51.9 | 49.0 | 42.4 | (46.3) | 21.3 | 45.4 |
| Looking after family and home | 21.3 | 27.0 | 41.0 | (19.5) | 67.4 | 32.8 |
| Not employed and seeking work* | (2.7) | (2.4) | (4.7) | (18.9) | (4.8) | 3.7 |
| In education or government training scheme | (2.9) | (2.6) | (1.7) | (0.0) | (1.6) | 2.3 |
| Total \% ** | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Unweighted N | 724 | 369 | 490 | 29 | 167 | 1779 |
| Of current employees: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Works full-time | 27.5 | 25.8 | 18.6 | (28.3) | (19.0) | 24.5 |
| Works part-time | 72.5 | 74.2 | 81.4 | (71.7) | 81.0 | 75.5 |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Unweighted N | 488 | 226 | 253 | 17 | 47 | 1031 |

Sample: All MCS 3 mothers living in Scotland (natural, adoptive, foster and step) who completed the
main or partner interview. This table excludes any mothers who were eligible but not interviewed and any fathers or grandparents who completed the interview. * 'Not employed and seeking work' also includes the very small number who had retired. ** self employed included in 'currently working full-time or part-time'.
Table displays weighted percentages (using weight1) and unweighted sample numbers.

Table 11.3: UK mothers' current economic activity by highest educational achievement at sweep 3

| Mothers' economic activity status | Mothers' highest education qualification (academic or vocational) at sweep 3 |  |  |  |  | All UK total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NVQ 4/5 Degree + | NVQ 3 <br> A-level | NVQ $1 / 2$ O- level/GCSE | Overseas and other unclassified qualifications | None of these |  |
| Currently working full-time | 1195 | 353 | 526 | 25 | 77 | 2176 |
|  | 23.2 | 16.1 | 10.7 | (6.8) | 4.7 | 14.3 |
| Currently working part-time | 2528 | 1025 | 2054 | 86 | 298 | 5991 |
|  | 52.0 | 48.7 | 42.3 | 22.8 | 18.4 | 43.5 |
| Looking after family and home | 1129 | 670 | 2174 | 272 | 1331 | 5576 |
|  | 21.1 | 30.3 | 42.2 | 62.5 | 72.8 | 37.8 |
| Not employed and seeking work* | 112 | 49 | 171 | 22 | 56 | 410 |
|  | 2.2 | 2.3 | 3.4 | (6.8) | 3.5 | 3.0 |
| In education or government training scheme | 82 | 54 | 69 | 3 | 13 | 221 |
|  | 1.5 | 2.6 | 1.4 | (1.2) | (0.7) | 1.4 |
| Total per cent | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Unweighted sample size | 5046 | 2151 | 4994 | 408 | 1775 | 14374 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |
| Of those currently employed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Works full-time | 1074 | 302 | 466 | 26 | 89 | 1957 |
|  | 31.0 | 24.0 | 19.3 | (25.7) | 21.5 | 25.5 |
| Works part-time | 2227 | 927 | 1892 | 74 | 280 | 5400 |
|  | 69.0 | 76.0 | 80.7 | 74.3 | 78.5 | 4.5 |
| Total per cent | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Unweighted sample size | 3301 | 1229 | 2358 | 100 | 369 | 7357 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |

Sample: All MCS 3 mothers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) who completed the main or partner interview. This table excludes any mothers who were eligible but not interviewed and any fathers or grandparents who completed the interview. *'Not employed and seeking work' also includes the very small number who had retired. Table displays unweighted observations, weighted observations and weighted percentages in parenthesis (using weight2). Unit non-response weight also used.

Figure 11.1: MCS3 Employed mothers' NS-SEC (5) by highest education level at MCS 3 in Scotland


Figure 11.2: UK MCS3 employed mothers' NS-SEC (5) by highest education level at MCS 3


Sample: All employed MCS 3 mothers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) who completed the main or partner interview (excluding any others who completed these interviews). This table excludes any mothers who were eligible but not interviewed. *Overseas qualification includes other unclassified qualifications. Figure displays weighted percentages (using weight2). Unit non-response weight also used.
$F=135.26, P=0.000$
Figure 11.3: MCS mothers' economic activity status by educational achievements, at each sweep in Scotland


Figure 11.4: UK MCS mothers' economic activity status by educational achievements, at each sweep


Sample: * All MCS1 mothers (natural, adoptive, foster and step). F=1607.04, P=0.000 ** All MCS 2 mothers (natural, adoptive, foster and step). $\mathrm{F}=2204.76, \mathrm{P}=0.000$ *** All MCS 3 mothers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) who completed the main or partner interview (excluding any others who completed these interviews). $\mathrm{F}=106.70, \mathrm{P}=0.000$. Figure displays weighted percentages in parenthesis (using weight2). Unit non-response weight also used.

Table 11.4: Mothers' economic activity status by number of children living in household at MCS 3 in Scotland

| Mothers' economic activity <br> status | Number of children living in household |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Cohort child <br> only | Two <br> children | Three or <br> more <br> children | Total \% |

Sample: All MCS 3 mothers living in Scotland (natural, adoptive, foster and step) who completed the main or partner interview (excluding any others who completed these interviews). This table excludes any mothers who were eligible but not interviewed and any fathers or grandparents who completed the interview. *'Not employed and seeking work' also includes the very small number who had retired. ** self employed included in 'currently working full-time or part-time'.
Table displays weighted percentages (using weight1) and unweighted sample numbers.

Table 11.5: Mothers' economic activity status by MCS sweep in Scotland

| Mothers' economic activity <br> status | Sweep of MCS - Total \% |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | MCS 1 | MCS 2 | MCS 3 |
| Currently working full-time | 15.5 | 13.9 | 15.8 |
| Currently working part-time | 37.2 | 45.6 | 45.4 |
| Looking after family and home | 45.5 | 35.9 | 32.8 |
| Not employed and seeking work* | $(0.5)$ | 2.5 | 3.7 |
| In education or government <br> training scheme | $(1.2)$ | 2.2 | 2.3 |
| Total \% ** | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Unweighted N | 2470 | 1803 | 1781 |

Sample: All MCS 1 mothers living in Scotland (natural, adoptive, foster and step). All MCS 2 mothers living in Scotland (natural, adoptive, foster and step). All MCS 3 mothers living in Scotland (natural, adoptive, foster and step) who completed the main or partner interview (excluding any others who completed these interviews). Unit non-response weight also used. * 'Not employed and seeking work' also includes the very small number who had retired. ** self employed included in 'currently working fulltime or part-time'.
Note: At MCS 1 the questions on which these codes are based were in a different section of the questionnaire (childcare section) which we know to have produced slightly different responses from those in the MCS 1 employment section. The main variable used here is NWRK (if not in paid work last week) - at MCS 1.

Table 11.6: UK mothers' economic activity status by number of children living in household at sweep 3


Sample: All MCS 3 mothers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) who completed the main or partner interview (excluding any others who completed these interviews). This table excludes any mothers who were eligible but not interviewed and any fathers or grandparents who completed the interview. * 'Not employed and seeking work' also includes the very small number who had retired. Table displays unweighted observations, weighted observations and weighted percentages in parenthesis (using weight2). Unit non-response weight also used.

Table 11.7: Mothers' economic activity by sweep and by partnership status in Scotland

| Mothers' economic activity <br> status | Sweep of MCS - Total \% |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MCS 1 | MCS 2 | MCS 3 |  |  |  |
| Couple Mothers | 17.0 | 14.7 | 16.4 |  |  |  |
| Currently working full-time* | 40.0 | 47.7 | 48.8 |  |  |  |
| Currently working part-time* | 43.0 | 37.6 | 34.8 |  |  |  |
| Currently not working | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |
| Total \% ** | 2100 | 1544 | 1457 |  |  |  |
| Unweighted N |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lone Mothers | $(7.5)$ | $(9.4)$ | 13.1 |  |  |  |
| Currently working full-time* | 21.8 | 34.4 | 31.1 |  |  |  |
| Currently working part-time* | 70.7 | 56.2 | 55.8 |  |  |  |
| Currently not working | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |
| Total \% ** | 372 | 256 | 324 |  |  |  |
| Unweighted N |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: All MCS 1 mothers living in Scotland (natural, adoptive, foster and step). All MCS 2 mothers living in Scotland (natural, adoptive, foster and step). All MCS 3 mothers living in Scotland (natural, adoptive, foster and step) who completed the main or partner interview (excluding any others who completed these interviews). Unit non-response weight also used. * self employed included in 'currently working full-time or part-time'. ** The other categories of not working are missed from the table but cell per cents are based on total sample.

Table 11.8: UK mothers' economic activity status by MCS sweep

| Mothers' economic activity status | Sweep of MCS - UK per cent |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | MCS 1 | MCS 2 | MCS 3 |
| Currently working full-time | 2440 | 2058 | 2175 |
|  | 13.0 | 13.2 | 14.3 |
| Currently working part-time | 5815 | 5747 | 5990 |
|  | 35.0 | 41.1 | 43.5 |
| Looking after family and home | 9890 | 6799 | 5600 |
|  | 51.1 | 42.0 | 37.9 |
| Not employed and seeking work* | 101 | 401 | 410 |
|  | 0.4 | 1.2 | 3.0 |
| In education or government training | 146 | 225 | 221 |
| scheme | 0.7 | 1.2 | 1.4 |
| Total per cent ** | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Unweighted sample size | 18392 | 15230 | 14396 |

Sample: All MCS1,2,3 mothers at interview

Table 11.9: Fathers' economic activity status by UK country at MCS 3

| Fathers' economic activity status | Country |  |  |  | All UK total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | England | Wales | Scotland | Northern Ireland |  |
| Employee | $\begin{array}{r} 71.7 \\ {[70.0,72.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 73.3 \\ {[70.2,76.3]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75.5 \\ {[72.9,78.1]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 68.0 \\ {[64.1,72.1]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 72.0 \\ {[70.4,73.0]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Self-employed | $\begin{array}{r} 19.2 \\ {[18.1,20.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.6 \\ {[15.0,20.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.2 \\ {[14.3,18.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.9 \\ {[21.3,28.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.2 \\ {[18.2,20.2]} \end{array}$ |
| Non-employed | $\begin{array}{r} 9.0 \\ {[8.4,10.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.2 \\ {[7.2,11.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.4 \\ {[6.5,10.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.1 \\ {[5.2,9.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.9 \\ {[8.3,9.9]} \end{array}$ |
| Total per cent | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Unweighted sample size | 6459 | 1474 | 1275 | 1027 | 10235 |
| Sign. (Applied=Yes) |  |  |  | $\mathrm{F}=3.73, \mathrm{P}=0.001$ |  |
| Of those currently employee or self employed* |  |  |  |  |  |
| Works full-time | $\begin{array}{r} 93.6 \\ {[93.0,94.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 94.4 \\ {[93.1,95.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 94.3 \\ {[92.9,95.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 95.7 \\ {[94.2,96.8]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 93.8 \\ {[93.3,94.6]} \end{array}$ |
| Works part-time | $\begin{array}{r} 6.4 \\ {[5.5,7.0]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.6 \\ {[4.6,6.9]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.7 \\ {[4.5,7.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.4 \\ {[3.2,5.8]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.2 \\ {[5.4,6.7]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Total per cent | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Unweighted sample size | 5726 | 1299 | 1157 | 946 | 9128 |
| $\mathrm{P}=0.066$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: All MCS 3 fathers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) who completed the main or partner interview. This table excludes any fathers who were eligible but not interviewed (approximately 1,225 cases) and any mothers or grandparents who completed the interview. *Results are shown for those currently employed or self-employed and who provided hours of work. Table displays unweighted observations, weighted observations and weighted percentages in parenthesis (using weight1 within country and weight2 for All UK total). Unit non-response weight also used.

Figure 11.5: Employed fathers' NS-SEC status by highest educational qualification at MCS 3 in Scotland


Figure 11.6: UK employed fathers' NS-SEC status by highest educational qualification at sweep 3


NS-SEC
Sample: All MCS 3 fathers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) who completed the main or partner interview. This figure excludes any fathers who were eligible but not interviewed (approximately 1,225 cases) and any mothers or grandparents who completed the interview. Figure displays weighted percentages (using weight2). Unit non-response weight also used.
$\mathrm{F}=106.51, \mathrm{P}>\mathrm{F}=0.066$

Figure 11.7: Changes in fathers' employment status when child aged 3 to 5 in Scotland


Table 11.10: Parents' partnership and economic status by UK country at MCS3

| Parents' partnership and economic status | Country |  |  |  | All UK total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | England | Wales | Scotland | Northern Ireland |  |
| Both employed full-time | $\begin{array}{r} 10.1 \\ {[9.2,11.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.1 \\ {[11.7,14.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.7 \\ {[9.1,13.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.3 \\ {[13.3,18.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.5 \\ {[9.7,11.4]} \end{array}$ |
| Both employed, father ft and mother pt | $\begin{array}{r} 34.6 \\ {[32.5,35.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35.4 \\ {[32.5,38.8]} \end{array}$ | 35.4 $[33.0,38.9]$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32.1 \\ {[29.5,35.9]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34.5 \\ {[32.8,35.6]} \end{array}$ |
| Both employed, father pt and mother ft | $\begin{array}{r} 2.6 \\ {[2.2,3.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.9 \\ {[2.1,3.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.7 \\ {[2.1,3.7]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (2.2) \\ {[1.6,3.1]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.6 \\ {[2.3,2.9]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Mother employed, father not employed | $\begin{array}{r} 2.2 \\ {[1.9,2.6]} \end{array}$ | 2.1 $[1.6,2.8]$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.0 \\ {[1.4,2.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (1.5) \\ {[1.1,2.3]} \end{array}$ | 2.1 $[2.0,2.5]$ |
| Father employed, mother not employed | $\begin{array}{r} 24.2 \\ {[23.1,25.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.7 \\ {[18.1,21.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21.7 \\ {[19.4,24.5]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.9 \\ {[18.7,23.6]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.6 \\ {[22.6,24.8]} \end{array}$ |
| Both not employed | $\begin{array}{r} 4.7 \\ {[4.3,5.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.4 \\ {[4.1,6.9]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.6 \\ {[3.4,5.8]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.5 \\ {[2.4,4.8]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.7 \\ {[4.3,5.3]} \end{array}$ |
| Lone parent employed | $\begin{array}{r} 9.0 \\ {[8.3,9.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.4 \\ {[7.1,9.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.8 \\ {[8.3,11.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.8 \\ {[8.3,11.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.1 \\ {[8.5,9.8]} \end{array}$ |
| Lone parent not employed | $\begin{array}{r} 12.7 \\ {[11.6,14.0]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.1 \\ {[10.8,15.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.1 \\ {[10.2,14.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.6 \\ {[11.4,16.6]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.9 \\ {[11.9,13.9]} \end{array}$ |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Unweighted sample sizes | 8086 | 1905 | 1585 | 1331 | 12907 |
| $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: All MCS 3 mothers and fathers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) who completed the main or partner interview. This table excludes any mothers or fathers who were eligible but not interviewed (approximately 50 mothers and 1,225 fathers) and any others who answered the main or partner interview. Mothers who were on leave are counted as 'employed'. 'Table displays unweighted observations, weighted observations and weighted percentages in parenthesis (using weight1 within country and weight2 for ALL UK). Unit non-response weight also used.

Table 11.11: Employed mothers working atypical hours on a weekly basis by NS-SEC at MCS 3 in Scotland

| Mothers' atypical working patterns | Mothers' NS-SEC |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Managerial \& professional | Intermediate | Small employer \& selfemployed | Low supervisory \& technical | Semiroutine \& routine | Total \% |
| Works after 6pm | $\begin{array}{r} 35.7 \\ {[31.2,40.4]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.3 \\ {[13.2,22.3]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (37.9) \\ {[27.1,49.9]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (34.0) \\ {[21.4,49.4]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45.6 \\ {[39.5,51.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34.4 \\ {[31.6,37.4]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Works nights | $\begin{array}{r} 8.6 \\ {[6.2,12.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (6.0) \\ {[3.8,9.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (5.1) \\ {[1.7,14.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (12.1) \\ {[5.5,24.5]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.8 \\ {[12.7,21.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.3 \\ {[8.6,12.4]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Works Saturdays | $\begin{array}{r} 11.4 \\ {[8.5,15.1]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.6 \\ {[10.5,20.0]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (32.9) \\ {[21.6,46.5]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (35.0) \\ {[21.4,51.6]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39.7 \\ {[34.4,45.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.6 \\ {[19.6,25.9]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Works Sundays | $\begin{array}{r} 8.9 \\ {[6.5,12.1]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (7.9) \\ {[4.8,12.7]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (12.9) \\ {[6.2,24.9]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (28.7) \\ {[15.4,47.0]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28.0 \\ {[22.9,33.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.3 \\ {[12.8,18.1]} \end{array}$ |
| Works at any atypical time (any of the above) | $\begin{array}{r} 39.8 \\ {[35.1,44.5]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.7 \\ {[18.1,28.1]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.3 \\ {[40.5,69.3]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (51.1) \\ {[34.6,67.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 65.0 \\ {[58.5,70.9]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44.6 \\ {[41.1,48.1]} \end{array}$ |
| Maximum unweighted N | 419 | 246 | 65 | 37 | 302 | 1069 |

Sample: All employed MCS 3 mothers living in Scotland (natural, adoptive, foster and step) who completed the main or partner interview. This table excludes any mothers who were eligible but not interviewed and any fathers or grandparents who completed the interview. Columns do not add up to 100 per cent as multiple responses allowed. Table displays weighted percentages (using weight1) and unweighted sample numbers. Mothers included if they said they worked at these times on a weekly basis.

Table 11.12: UK employed mothers working atypical hours on a weekly basis by NS-SEC at sweep 3

| Mothers' atypical working patterns | Mothers' NS-SEC |  |  |  |  | All UK total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Managerial } \\ \& \\ \text { professional } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Intermediate | Small employer \& selfemployed | Low supervisory \& technical | Semiroutine \& routine |  |
| Works after 6pm | 1037 | 302 | 259 | 144 | 792 | 2534 |
|  | 36.6 | 17.9 | 43.7 | 45.4 | 36.1 | 33.2 |
| $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Works nights | 292 | 101 | 60 | 70 | 291 | 814 |
|  | 10.0 | 5.4 | 9.8 | 20.3 | 13.6 | 10.4 |
| $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Works Saturdays | 429 | 218 | 200 | 118 | 703 | 1668 |
|  | 14.6 | 12.5 | 31.3 | 35.7 | 31.1 | 21.0 |
| $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Works Sundays | 323 | 117 | 81 | 91 | 498 | 1110 |
|  | 11.3 | 6.1 | 12.9 | 27.9 | 21.6 | 13.8 |
| $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Works at any atypical time (any of the above) | 1193 | 416 | 342 | 190 | 1176 | 3317 |
|  | 42.1 | 24.4 | 56.6 | 59.7 | 53.2 | 43.2 |
| $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum unweighted sample size | 2871 | 1728 | 620 | 323 | 2207 | 7749 |

Sample: All employed MCS 3 mothers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) who completed the main or partner interview. This table excludes any mothers who were eligible but not interviewed and any fathers or grandparents who completed the interview. Columns do not add up to 100 per cent as multiple responses allowed. Table displays unweighted observations, weighted observations and weighted percentages in parenthesis (using weight2). Unit non-response weight also used.
Mothers included if they said they worked at these times on a weekly basis.

Table 11.13: Percentage of employed mothers in each UK country who reported using at MCS 3 statutory arrangements

| Flexible working arrangements | Country |  |  |  | All UK total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | England | Wales | Scotland | Northern Ireland |  |
| Time off for family emergencies | $\begin{array}{r} 38.5 \\ {[36.9,40.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40.8 \\ {[37.6,44.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40.9 \\ {[38.1,43.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38.5 \\ {[34.8,42.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38.9 \\ {[37.5,40.4]} \end{array}$ |
| $\mathrm{P}=0.458$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maternity leave | $\begin{array}{r} 34.3 \\ {[32.2,35.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37.2 \\ {[34.2,40.5]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38.0 \\ {[34.4,42.2]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46.3 \\ {[42.3,50.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35.4 \\ {[33.6,36.5]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adoptive leave | $\begin{array}{r} (0.2) \\ {[0.1,0.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (0.1) \\ {[0.01,0.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (0.1) \\ {[0.01,0.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (0.0) \\ {[0.0,0.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (0.1) \\ {[0.1,0.4]} \end{array}$ |
| $\mathrm{P}=0.643$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parental leave | $\begin{array}{r} 4.3 \\ {[3.8,5.2]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.6 \\ {[2.7,4.8]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.2 \\ {[4.7,7.9]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (3.0) \\ {[2.0,4.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.4 \\ {[4.0,5.1]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| $\mathrm{P}=0.006$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum unweighted sample sizes | 4284 | 1133 | 1030 | 840 | 7287 |

Question: Which if any of these arrangements have you made use of in your current job?
Note Sample: All employee MCS 3 mothers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) who completed the main or partner interview. This table excludes any grandparents or fathers who answered these questions. This table is based only on mothers who were employed, therefore does not include self-employed mothers. Employees were asked 'which, if any, of these arrangements have you made use of in your current main job?'. *Workplace nursery or crèche also includes other nurseries supported by employer and help with finding childcare facilities away from the workplace. Table displays unweighted observations, weighted observations and weighted percentages in parenthesis (using weight2) and weight 1 for within-country columns of Table 11.13. Unit non-response weight also used.

Table 11.14: Percentage of employed mothers in each NS-SEC group who reported at MCS 3 they were using statutory arrangements in Scotland

| Flexible working arrangements | Mothers' NS-SEC |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Managerial } \\ \& \\ \text { professional } \end{gathered}$ | Intermediate | Small employer/selfemployed/low supervisory/technical | Semiroutine \& routine | Total \% |
| Time off for family emergencies | $\begin{array}{r} 46.6 \\ {[41.8,51.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45.7 \\ {[39.6,52.0]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (47.4) \\ {[34.1,61.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27.8 \\ {[23.6,32.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40.5 \\ {[37.5,43.5]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Maternity leave | $\begin{array}{r} 51.2 \\ {[45.8,56.4]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39.9 \\ 34.4,45.7] \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (42.1) \\ {[26.1,59.9]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.2 \\ {[11.4,20.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36.7 \\ {[33.1,40.4]} \end{array}$ |
| Adoptive leave | $\begin{array}{r} (0.3) \\ {[0.04,2.0]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | $\begin{array}{r} (0.1) \\ {[0.02,0.8]} \end{array}$ |
| Parental leave | $\begin{array}{r} 9.7 \\ {[7.0,13.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (6.5) \\ {[3.9,10.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (4.6) \\ {[1.2,16.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (1.4) \\ {[0.5,3.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.1 \\ {[4.7,7.9]} \end{array}$ |
| Maximum unweighted N | 406 | 246 | 42 | 301 | 995 |

Question: Which if any of these arrangements have you made use of in your current job?
Note: All employee MCS 3 mothers living in Scotland (natural, adoptive, foster and step) who completed the main or partner interview. This table excludes any grandparents or fathers who answered these questions. This table is based only on mothers who were employed, therefore does not include self-employed mothers. Employees were asked 'which, if any, of these arrangements have you made use of in your current main job?'. Table displays weighted percentages (using weight1) and unweighted sample numbers.

Table 11.15: Percentage of UK employed mothers in each NS-SEC group who reported using flexible working patterns at MCS3


Table 11.16: Percentage of employed mothers by country who reported using at MCS 3 non-statutory flexible arrangements


Question: Which if any of these arrangements have you made use of in your current job?
Notes. Sample: All employee MCS 3 mothers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) who completed the main or partner interview. This table excludes any grandparents or fathers who answered these questions. This table is based only on mothers who were employed, therefore does not include self-employed mothers. Employees were asked 'which, if any, of these arrangements have you made use of in your current main job?'. *Workplace nursery or crèche also includes other nurseries supported by employer and help with finding childcare facilities away from the workplace. Table displays unweighted observations, weighted observations and weighted percentages in parenthesis (using weight2 ore weight 1 for within-country columns of Table 11.15). Unit non-response weight also used.

Table 11.17: Percentage of employed mothers in each NS-SEC group who reported using non-statutory flexible working arrangements and provisions at MCS 3 in Scotland

| Flexible working arrangements | Mothers' NS-SEC |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Managerial } \\ \& \\ \text { professional } \end{gathered}$ | Intermediate | Small employer/self- employed/low supervisory/technical | $\qquad$ | Total \% |
| Financial help with childcare vouchers | $\begin{array}{r} 9.0 \\ {[6.1,13.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (5.1) \\ {[2.9,8.8]} \end{array}$ | (0.0) | $\begin{array}{r} (0.4) \\ {[0.06,2.9]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.0 \\ {[3.4,7.2]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Workplace nursery or crèche* | $\begin{array}{r} (3.0) \\ {[1.7,5.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (2.3) \\ {[1.0,5.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (2.7) \\ {[0.4,16.6]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (0.7) \\ {[0.2,2.6]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (2.1) \\ {[1.4,3.1]} \end{array}$ |
| Care for child after school hours or during school holiday | $\begin{array}{r} (5.0) \\ {[2.5,9.9]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (3.8) \\ {[1.7,8.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (10.7) \\ {[4.1,24.9]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (1.8) \\ {[0.9,3.8]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.0 \\ {[2.6,6.0]} \end{array}$ |
| Career breaks for personal reasons | $\begin{array}{r} (3.1) \\ {[1.8,5.4]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (5.4) \\ {[3.0,9.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (2.8) \\ {[0.7,10.6]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (1.9) \\ {[0.8,4.6]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.3 \\ {[2.3,4.6]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Job-sharing | $\begin{array}{r} 15.9 \\ {[12.8,19.6]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (11.5) \\ {[7.9,16.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (13.2) \\ {[5.9,26.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (1.9) \\ {[0.9,4.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.3 \\ {[8.3,12.7]} \end{array}$ |
| Working at or from home occasionally | $\begin{array}{r} 20.6 \\ {[15.6,26.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (8.8) \\ {[5.5,13.8]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (2.6) \\ {[0.4,16.4]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (0.7) \\ {[0.2,1.9]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.6 \\ {[8.0,14.1]} \end{array}$ |
| School term-time contracts | $\begin{array}{r} (5.4) \\ {[3.7,8.0]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (5.3) \\ {[3.1,8.7]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (5.5) \\ {[1.5,18.3]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (7.7) \\ {[5.3,11.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.1 \\ {[4.7,8.0]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Telephone to use for family reasons | $\begin{array}{r} 32.4 \\ {[28.1,37.0]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29.3 \\ {[24.4,34.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (54.6) \\ {[37.9,70.2]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.6 \\ {[14.5,23.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28.3 \\ {[25.5,31.1]} \end{array}$ |
| None of the statutory or nonstatutory arrangements used | $\begin{array}{r} 19.1 \\ {[15.8,22.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.9 \\ {[20.3,30.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (28.4) \\ {[16.2,44.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.3 \\ {[49.3,61.0]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32.3 \\ {[28.7,36.1]} \end{array}$ |
| Maximum unweighted N | 406 | 246 | 42 | 301 | 995 |

Question: Which if any of these arrangements have you made use of in your current job?
Notes. Sample: All employee MCS 3 mothers living in Scotland (natural, adoptive, foster and step) who completed the main or partner interview. This table excludes any grandparents or fathers who answered these questions. This table is based only on mothers who were employed, therefore does not include self-employed mothers. Employees were asked 'which, if any, of these arrangements have you made use of in your current main job?'. *Workplace nursery or crèche also includes other nurseries supported by employer and help with finding childcare facilities away from the workplace. Table displays weighted percentages (using weight1) and unweighted sample numbers.

Table 11.18: Non-employed MCS3 mothers' reasons for not looking for paid employment by UK country

| Reasons why not looking for work | Country |  |  |  | All UK total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | England | Wales | Scotland | Northern Ireland |  |  |
| No jobs in right places for me | $\begin{array}{r} 6.2 \\ {[5.4,7.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.2 \\ {[3.9,7.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (4.5) \\ {[3.1,6.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (1.8) \\ {[0.9,3.7]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.8 \\ {[4.7,6.3]} \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |
| No jobs with right hours for me | $\begin{array}{r} 14.5 \\ {[13.5,16.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.3 \\ {[10.2,15.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.8 \\ {[8.2,14.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (6.3) \\ {[4.3,8.9]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.7 \\ {[11.9,14.0]} \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |
| No jobs available to me | $\begin{array}{r} 2.1 \\ {[1.8,2.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (1.5) \\ {[0.8,2.8]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (0.9) \\ {[0.3,2.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (1.3) \\ {[0.5,2.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.0 \\ {[0.5,2.7]} \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.197$ |
| In full-time education | $\begin{array}{r} 2.7 \\ {[2.3,3.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.7 \\ {[4.3,7.4]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.8 \\ {[4.9,9.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (2.2) \\ {[1.2,3.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.2 \\ {[3.1,4.1]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |
| On a training course | $\begin{array}{r} 2.8 \\ {[2.2,3.4]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (2.9) \\ {[1.9,4.3]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (3.2) \\ {[1.9,5.4]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (1.0) \\ {[0.5,2.0]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.8 \\ {[2.2,3.1]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.044$ |
| My family would lose benefits if I was earning | $\begin{array}{r} 6.2 \\ {[5.4,7.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.6 \\ {[4.9,8.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (5.8) \\ {[4.1,7.8]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (3.6) \\ {[2.4,5.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.0 \\ {[5.3,6.7]} \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.069$ |
| I am caring for an elderly or ill relative or friend | $\begin{array}{r} 3.0 \\ {[2.3,3.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (3.2) \\ {[2.2,4.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (2.9) \\ {[1.7,5.3]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (2.6) \\ {[1.4,4.4]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.9 \\ {[2.5,3.6]} \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.943$ |
| I cannot work because of poor health | $\begin{array}{r} 4.7 \\ {[4.0,5.7]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.0 \\ {[6.2,10.9]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.2 \\ {[6.0,12.3]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.0 \\ {[3.7,8.5]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.3 \\ {[5.1,6.5]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |
| I prefer not to work | $\begin{array}{r} 4.7 \\ {[3.9,5.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (2.0) \\ {[1.2,3.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (3.9) \\ {[2.6,6.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (2.7) \\ {[1.6,4.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.4 \\ {[3.4,4.7]} \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.008$ |
| Prefer to be at home with the family rather than working | 50.9 $[47.8,53.0]$ | 41.2 $[37.1,45.6]$ | 48.3 $[44.4,54.2]$ | 63.0 $[57.1,69.2]$ | 50.8 $[48.7,52.7]$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |
| I prefer to look after my children myself | $\begin{array}{r} 54.8 \\ {[52.0,56.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46.1 \\ {[41.5,50.8]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 49.9 \\ {[45.3,56.0]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40.5 \\ {[35.9,46.3]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 53.4 \\ {[49.2,53.1]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |
| I cannot earn enough to pay for childcare | $\begin{array}{r} 8.0 \\ {[7.2,9.1]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.7 \\ {[7.4,12.9]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.3 \\ {[6.3,11.7]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.9 \\ {[6.1,10.8]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.1 \\ {[7.6,9.3]} \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.598$ |
| I cannot find suitable childcare | $\begin{array}{r} 3.3 \\ {[2.8,4.2]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (3.9) \\ {[2.7,5.8]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (3.0) \\ {[2.0,4.8]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (2.4) \\ {[1.4,4.4]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.2 \\ {[2.9,3.9]} \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.598$ |
| My husband/partner disapproves | $\begin{array}{r} 1.9 \\ {[1.4,2.4]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (0.4) \\ {[0.1,1.5]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (0.7) \\ 10.3,1.2] \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (0.2) \\ {[0.03,1.0]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.6 \\ {[1.0,1.7]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |
| I have a new baby | 8.3 | 8.7 | 6.7 | (4.8) | 8.1 | $\mathrm{P}=0.055$ |
|  | [7.3, 9.4] | [6.7,11.7] | [4.8, 9.5] | [3.1, 7.4] | [7.0, 8.6] |  |
| Maximum unweighted sample sizes | 3364 | 699 | 513 | 515 | 5091 |  |

Multi-coded reasons
Sample: All MCS 3 mothers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) who were looking after the family and home or taking part in an apprenticeship course or in education; and were not currently looking for paid work. This table excludes any grandparents or fathers who answered these questions. Table displays unweighted observations, weighted observations and weighted percentages in parenthesis (using weight1 for within country and weight2 for ALL UK). Unit non-response weight also used.

Table 11.19: Non-employed MCS3 mothers' reasons for not looking for paid employment by income poverty status in Scotland


Sample: All MCS 3 mothers living in Scotland (natural, adoptive, foster and step) who were looking after the family and home or taking part in an apprenticeship course or in education; and were not currently looking for paid work. This table excludes any grandparents or fathers who answered these questions. Table displays weighted percentages (using weight1) and unweighted sample numbers.

Table 11.20: UK non-employed mothers' reasons for not looking for paid employment by income poverty status

| Reasons why not looking for work | Income poverty status |  | All UK total | $P$ value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Above 60\% } \\ \text { median income } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Below 60\% } \\ \text { median income } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| No jobs in right places for me | 130 | 97 | 227 | $P=0.002$ |
|  | 7.6 | 4.7 | 6.1 |  |
| No jobs with right hours for me | 311 | 240 | 551 | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |
|  | 18.0 | 11.0 | 14.4 |  |
| No jobs available to me | $\begin{array}{r} 32 \\ 1.9 \end{array}$ | 42 2.1 | 74 2.0 | $\mathrm{P}=0.780$ |
| In full-time education | 67 | 90 | 157 | $\mathrm{P}=0.731$ |
|  | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.3 |  |
| On a training course | 55 | 78 | 133 | $\mathrm{P}=0.137$ |
|  | 2.6 | 3.4 | 3.0 |  |
| My family would lose benefits if I was earning | $\begin{array}{r} 83 \\ 4.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 179 \\ 8.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 262 \\ 6.4 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |
| I am caring for an elderly or ill relative or friend | $\begin{gathered} 44 \\ 2.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 82 \\ 3.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 126 \\ 2.9 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.008$ |
| I cannot work because of poor health | $\begin{array}{r} 87 \\ 3.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 173 \\ 6.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 260 \\ 5.3 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |
| I prefer not to work | 112 | 63 | 175 | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |
|  | 6.3 | 2.7 | 4.5 |  |
| Prefer to be at home with the family rather than working | 1059 54.8 | 1201 46.1 | 2260 0.4 | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |
| I prefer to look after my children myself | 1049 | 1245 | 2294 | $\mathrm{P}=0.039$ |
|  | 56.0 | 51.7 | 53.8 |  |
| I cannot earn enough to pay for childcare | 153 | 201 | 354 | $\mathrm{P}=0.451$ |
|  | 8.2 | 8.9 | 8.6 |  |
| I cannot find suitable childcare | 55 | 80 | 135 | $\mathrm{P}=0.268$ |
|  | 2.8 | 3.5 | 3.2 |  |
| My husband/partner disapproves | $\begin{array}{r} 39 \\ 2.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20 \\ (0.6) \end{array}$ | 59 1.6 | $\mathrm{P}=0.000$ |
| I have a new baby | 165 | 191 | 356 | $\mathrm{P}=0.806$ |
|  | 8.5 | 8.2 | 8.3 |  |
| Maximum unweighted sample sizes | 1955 | 2471 | 4426 |  |

Sample: All MCS 3 mothers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) who were looking after the family and home or taking part in an apprenticeship course or in education; and were not currently looking for paid work. This table excludes any grandparents or fathers who answered these questions. Table displays unweighted observations, weighted observations and weighted percentages in parenthesis (using weight1 for within country and weight2 for ALL UK). Unit non-response weight also used.

Table 11.21: Parents' partnership and economic status when child aged 5 according to their status when child aged 3 in Scotland

| Parents' partnership and economic status at age 3 | Parents' partnership and economic status at age 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total \% | Unweighted N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both employed full-time | Both employed, father ft and mother pt | Both employed, father pt and mother ft | Mother employed, father not employed |  | Both not employed | Lone parent* employed | Lone parent* not employed |  |  |
| Both employed fulltime | 66.6 | 19.6 | (4.5) | (2.3) | (1.6) | (0.2) | (5.3) | (0.0) | 100 | 164 |
| Both employed, father ft and mother pt | (6.2) | 73.5 | (2.9) | (0.7) | 11.1 | (0.2) | (4.7) | (0.7) | 100 | 432 |
| Both employed, father pt and mother ft | (18.4) | (25.5) | (26.6) | (6.2) | (17.1) | (6.1) | (0.0) | (0.0) | 100 | 28 |
| Mother employed, father not employed | (4.2) | (18.4) | (10.0) | (26.6) | (8.0) | (14.5) | (10.1) | (8.2) | 100 | 36 |
| Father employed, mother not employed | (2.4) | 22.5 | (0.5) | (1.3) | 61.1 | (4.7) | (2.5) | (5.0) | 100 | 274 |
| Both not employed | (0.0) | (3.7) | (1.5) | (6.8) | (28.6) | (48.7) | (2.2) | (8.5) | 100 | 49 |
| Lone parent * employed | 4.8 | 7.7 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 66.0 | 13.0 | 100 | 86 |
| Lone parent * not employed | (0.0) | (3.2) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (3.7) | (5.4) | (17.4) | 70.3 | 100 | 110 |
| Total \% | 11.7 | 36.2 | 2.9 | (2.3) | 21.4 | 4.6 | 10.2 | 10.7 | 100 | 1179 |
| Sample: All MCS 3 mothers and fathers living in Scotland (natural, adoptive, foster and step) who completed the main or partner interview. This table excludes any mothers or fathers who were eligible but not interviewed and any grandparents who answered these questions. Mothers who were on leave were counted as employed. Table displays weighted percentages (using weight1) and unweighted sample numbers. * Lone parent includes lone mothers and lone fathers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 11.22: UK Parents' partnership and economic status when child aged 5 by status when child aged 3


[^20]Table 11.23: Whether MCS3 mothers had acquired new qualifications by sweep 3, by UK country

| Acquired new qualification <br> since last interview | Country |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | England | Wales | Scotland | Northern <br> Ireland | All UK <br> total |
| Yes | 17.9 | 20.3 | 14.4 | 16.7 |  |
|  | $[16.7,18.9]$ | $[18.8,21.9]$ | $[12.8,16.2]$ | $[14.8,18.8]$ | 17.6 |
| $[16.6,18.4]$ |  |  |  |  |  |$|$

Sample: All MCS 3 mothers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) who completed the main or partner interview. This table excludes any mothers who were eligible but not interviewed and any fathers or grandparents who completed the interview. Table displays unweighted observations, weighted observations and weighted percentages in parenthesis (using weight1 within country and weight2 for All UK). Unit non-response weight also used.

Table 11.24: Whether MCS3 fathers had acquired new qualifications by sweep 3, by UK country

| Acquired new qualification since last interview | Country |  |  |  | All UK total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | England | Wales | Scotland | Northern Ireland |  |
| Yes | $\begin{array}{r} 14.5 \\ {[13.4,15.8]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.7 \\ {[15.3,20.2]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.8 \\ {[9.8,13.9]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.1 \\ {[9.3,13.2]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.3 \\ {[13.3,15.3]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| No | $\begin{array}{r} 85.5 \\ {[84.2,86.6]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 82.3 \\ {[79.8,84.7]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 88.2 \\ {[86.1,90.2]} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 88.9 \\ {[86.8,90.7]} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 85.7 \\ 84.7,87.7] \end{array}$ |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Unweighted sample sizes | 5569 | 1289 | 1125 | 879 | 8862 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.004$ |

Sample: All MCS 3 fathers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) who completed the main or partner interview. This table excludes any fathers who were eligible but not interviewed (approximately 1,225 cases) and any mothers or grandparents who completed the interview. Table displays unweighted observations, weighted observations and weighted percentages in parenthesis (using weight1 within country and weight2 for All UK total). Unit non-
response weight also used.

Table 12.1: Quintile distribution of modified OECD equivalised weekly net income at MCS 3 in Scotland

| Quintiles | Weighted Percentage | [95\% CI] $]$ | Mean $£[95 \%$ CI] |  | Observed sample |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bottom | 20.0 | $[17.1,23.2]$ | 123.7 | $[120.1,127.2]$ | 327 |
| Second | 20.0 | $[17.4,22.9]$ | 228.2 | $[225.7,230.6]$ | 340 |
| Middle | 20.1 | $[17.6,22.7]$ | 327.3 | $[324.2,330.4]$ | 358 |
| Fourth | 19.9 | $[18.1,21.9]$ | 452.6 | $[447.3,457.8]$ | 380 |
| Top | 20.0 | $[15.9,24.8]$ | 723.6 | $[704.3,742.8]$ | 363 |
| Total \% | 100 | - | 371 | $[345.8,396.2]$ | 1768 |

Sample: MCS3 main respondents.

Table 12.2: UK Quintile distribution of modified OECD equivalised weekly net family income at MCS 3

| Quintiles | Weighted <br> percentages | $[95 \%$ CI] | Mean $£[95 \% \mathrm{CI}]$ |  | Observed <br> sample |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bottom | 20 | $[18.6,21.5]$ | 120.46 | $[118.9,121.9]$ | 3400 |
| Second | 20 | $[18.9,21.1]$ | 216.86 | $[215.5,218.2]$ | 3298 |
| Middle | 20 | $[19.0,21.0]$ | 318.50 | $[317.2,319.8]$ | 2964 |
| Fourth | 20 | $[19.0,21.1]$ | 443.54 | $[441.5,445.6]$ | 2901 |
| Top | 20 | $[18.2,21.9]$ | 734.61 | $[717.4,751.8]$ | 2665 |
| Total \% | 100 | - | 366.75 | $[354.0,379.5]$ | 15228 |

Sample: MCS3 main respondents in Scotland at MCS3.

Table 12.3: Distribution of equivalised net family income at MCS 3 by UK country of interview per cent

|  | Bottom |  | Second |  | Middle |  | Fourth |  | Top |  | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | [95\% CI] | \% | [95\% CI] | \% | [95\% CI] | \% | [95\% CI] | \% | [95\% CI] |  |
| England | 19.9 | [18.3,21.6] | 19.3 | [18.1,20.6] | 19.4 | [18.3,20.6] | 19.8 | [18.6,21.1] | 21.5 | [19.3,23.8] | 9746 |
| Wales | 21.0 | [18.6,23.7] | 21.5 | [19.6,23.4] | 19.0 | [17.1,20.9] | 22.1 | [19.9,24.5] | 16.5 | [13.9,19.4] | 2139 |
| Scotland | 19.3 | [16.6,22.3] | 18.7 | [16.5,21.0] | 20.2 | [18.0,22.7] | 19.7 | [17.9,21.7] | 22.2 | [18.0,27.0] | 1804 |
| Northern Ireland | 20.1 | [17.1,23.3] | 22.8 | [20.5,25.2] | 23.6 | [21.4,26.1] | 18.8 | [16.7,21.1] | 14.8 | [11.3,19.0] | 1534 |
| Total | 20.0 | [18.8,21.2] | 20 | [19.1,20.9] | 20.0 | [19.1,20.9] | 20.0 | [19.1,20.9] | 20.0 | [18.4,21.6] | 15223 |

Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(12) $=92.6430$
Design-based F(7.35, 2857.64) $=2.9720 \operatorname{Pr}=0.004$
Sample: MCS3 main respondents

Table 12.4: Distribution of modified OECD equivalised net family income at MCS3 by mother's age in Scotland

| Categories | Quintiles |  |  |  |  | Unweighted base |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Bottom | Second | Middle | Fourth | Top |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 45.2 | 32.9 | 16.0 | 3.7 | 2.3 | 176 |
|  | 30.4 | 28.9 | 22.9 | 11.0 | 6.6 | 291 |
|  | 15.5 | 19.9 | 20.8 | 23.7 | 20.2 | 481 |
| $36-40$ | 11.1 | 13.0 | 19.3 | 28.4 | 28.2 | 519 |
| 41 and above | 13.1 | 13.2 | 20.1 | 20.6 | 33.0 | 271 |
| Total | 20.0 | 20.1 | 20.1 | 20.0 | 19.9 | 1738 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: MCS3 main respondents in Scotland at MCS3.

Table 12.5: UK distribution of modified OECD equivalised net family income at MCS 3 by mother's age

|  | Quintiles |  |  |  |  | Unweighted <br> base | Weighted <br> base |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Bottom | Second | Middle | Fourth | Top |  |  |  |
| Mother's age at MCS 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 26 | 48.9 | 29.5 | 15.1 | 5.15 | 1.4 | 1565 | 2555 |  |
| $26-30$ | 30.3 | 28.7 | 21.2 | 13.8 | 6.1 | 2697 | 4455 |  |
| $31-35$ | 15.7 | 19.4 | 23.0 | 24.1 | 17.8 | 4442 | 4209 |  |
| $36-40$ | 10.8 | 13.3 | 20.0 | 24.8 | 31.2 | 4114 | 2046 |  |
| 41 and above | 11.6 | 15.9 | 16.7 | 21.4 | 34.3 | 1995 | 14819 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: MCS3 main respondents
Percentage weighted by weight2 and adjusted for unit non-response (bovwt2)

Table 12.6: Quintile of modified OECD equivalised net family income at MCS3 by parents' labour-market status in Scotland

|  | Quintiles |  |  |  |  | Unweighted base |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bottom | Second | Middle | Fourth | Top |  |
| Couple: Both in work or on leave | 3.7 | 12.4 | 25.6 | 28.9 | 29.5 | 925 |
| Couple: Main in work or on leave, partner not in work on leave | (16.9) | (62.4) | (9.3) | (7.5) | (3.8) | 33 |
| Couple: Partner in work or on leave, main not in work on leave | 13.1 | 29.5 | 20.9 | 17.3 | 19.2 | 407 |
| Couple: Both not in work or on leave | 81.2 | (14.2) | (1.2) | (3.5) |  | 75 |
| Lone parent: In work or on leave | 23.1 | 41.4 | 22.9 | (7.9) | (4.7) | 154 |
| Lone parent: Not in work or on leave | 84.3 | (12.7) |  | (2.1) | (1.0) | 172 |
| Total | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.1 | 19.9 | 20 | 1,766 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(28) $=1068.8607$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based $\mathrm{F}(13.89,833.41)=31.6198, \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: MCS3 main respondents in Scotland at MCS3.

Table 12.7: UK quintile distribution of modified OECD equivalised net family income at MCS 3 by parents' labour-market status
row percentages

| Categories | Quintiles |  |  |  |  | Unweighted base | Weighted base |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bottom | Second | Middle | Fourth | Top |  |  |
| Couple: Both in work or on leave | 2.9 | 10.6 | 23.7 | 30.8 | 31.9 | 6926 | 7094 |
| Couple: Main in work or on leave, partner not in work nor on leave | 27.2 | 44.0 | 14.6 | 6.3 | 7.8 | 367 | 314 |
| Couple: Partner in work or on leave, main not in work nor on leave | 12.1 | 31.2 | 23.3 | 16.6 | 16.8 | 3959 | 3925 |
| Couple: Both not in work nor on leave | 74.7 | 20.0 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 1.03 | 920 | 811 |
| Lone parent: working | 20.0 | 37.7 | 25.0 | 11.9 | 5.4 | 1191 | 1243 |
| Lone parent: not working | 80.6 | 16.4 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 1820 | 1753 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{F})<0.001$ |

Sample: MCS 3 main respondents
Percentage weighted by weight2 and adjusted for unit non-response (bovwt2)

Table 12.8: Quintile distribution of equivalised net family income at MCS3 by parents' education in Scotland

| Categories | Quintiles |  |  |  |  | Unweighted base |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bottom | Second | Middle | Fourth | Top |  |
| Father's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NVQ level 1 | (11.0) | (39.3) | (29.1) | (7.9) | (12.8) | 43 |
| NVQ level 2 | 10.1 | 25.1 | 32.1 | 23.3 | 9.5 | 339 |
| NVQ level 3 | (7.2) | 20.6 | 27.4 | 24.5 | 20.3 | 261 |
| NVQ level 4 | (2.3) | (5.8) | 17.1 | 30.7 | 44.1 | 362 |
| NVQ level 5 | (4.3) | (12.3) | (9.6) | 25.4 | 48.3 | 166 |
| Overseas and other qualifications | (23.7) | (21.9) | (14.4) | (33.7) | (6.2) | 35 |
| None of these | 39.6 | 33.5 | (13.9) | (7.6) | (5.5) | 118 |
| Total | 9.8 | 18.7 | 22.3 | 24.0 | 25.2 | 1324 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{F})<0.001$ |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NVQ level 1 | (33.8) | (41.2) | (21.4) | (3.6) |  | 62 |
| NVQ level 2 | 26.0 | 32.6 | 21.9 | 12.2 | (7.3) | 415 |
| NVQ level 3 | 14.0 | 21.9 | 27.2 | 24.0 | 12.9 | 366 |
| NVQ level 4 | 6.4 | 8.4 | 18.4 | 30.3 | 36.4 | 550 |
| NVQ level 5 | (8.9) | (4.9) | (15.3) | 24.0 | 46.9 | 152 |
| Overseas and other qualifications | 41.7 | 3.2 | 23.8 | 24.0 | (7.4) | 27 |
| None of these | 57.5 | 27.0 | (8.8) | (2.7) | (4.0) | 164 |
| Total | 19.9 | 20.1 | 20.1 | 20.0 | 19.9 | 1736 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{F})<0.001$ |

Sample: MCS3 main respondents in Scotland at MCS3.

Table 12.9: UK quintile distribution of equivalised net family income at MCS 3 by parents' education

|  |  | Quintiles |  |  |  |  | Unweighted base | Weighted base |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Bottom | Second | Middle | Fourth | Top |  |  |
| Father's education | NVQ level 1 | 15.9 | 30.4 | 25.0 | 19.5 | 9.2 | 661 | 679 |
|  | NVQ level 2 | 9.7 | 21.8 | 28.9 | 24.6 | 15.0 | 2861 | 2914 |
|  | NVQ level 3 | 8.1 | 18.4 | 24.6 | 29.7 | 19.2 | 1620 | 1657 |
|  | NVQ level 4 | 4.0 | 8.7 | 18.0 | 28.0 | 41.3 | 2845 | 3022 |
|  | NVQ level 5 | 4.1 | 7.8 | 13.8 | 23.8 | 50.5 | 1254 | 1285 |
|  | Overseas \& other qualifications | 22.2 | 28.8 | 23.8 | 14.3 | 10.8 | 473 | 415 |
|  | None of these | 32.6 | 30.5 | 18.8 | 13.5 | 4.54 | 1262 | 1089 |
|  | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{F})<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mother's education | NVQ level 1 | 32.9 | 32.7 | 19.1 | 10.7 | 4.7 | 1120 | 1131 |
|  | NVQ level 2 | 22.0 | 23.4 | 24.0 | 20.6 | 9.9 | 4050 | 4218 |
|  | NVQ level 3 | 13.7 | 20.9 | 25.6 | 25.2 | 14.6 | 2192 | 2150 |
|  | NVQ level 4 | 5.8 | 10.8 | 18.0 | 27.1 | 38.3 | 3991 | 4140 |
|  | NVQ level 5 | 6.6 | 8.3 | 14.9 | 20.8 | 49.3 | 1128 | 1123 |
|  | Overseas \& other qualifications | 38.1 | 30.1 | 18.2 | 8.3 | (5.3) | 433 | 388 |
|  | None of these | 52.5 | 28.5 | 12.9 | 3.9 | 2.2 | 1878 | 1648 |
|  | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{F})<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: MCS3 main (or partner) respondents
Percentage weighted by weight2 and adjusted for unit non-response (bovwt2)
Father's education excludes partner respondents who are not fathers of the cohort child, Mother's education excludes main respondents who are not mothers of the cohort child

Table 12.10: Selected sources of income by quintile of equivalised net family income at MCS 3 in Scotland

| Categories | Quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bottom | Second | Middle | Fourth | Top | N |
| Child tax credit |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 25.7 | 15.9 | 15.5 | 16.2 | 26.7 | 1004 |
| Yes | 12.0 | 25.9 | 26.3 | 24.9 | 10.8 | 737 |
| Total | 19.9 | 20.1 | 20.0 | 19.8 | 20 | 1741 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2 $(4)=155.0815$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(3.68, 221.02) $=30.1180 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Working tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 21.5 | 15.9 | 18.9 | 20.6 | 23.1 | 1439 |
| Yes | 13.0 | 39.4 | 25.1 | 16.3 | (6.2) | 302 |
| Total | 19.9 | 20.1 | 20.0 | 19.8 | 20.0 | 1741 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(4) = 122.8806 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(3.59, 215.19) $=28.7238 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income support |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 17.2 | 20.2 | 20.8 | 20.8 | 21.0 | 1663 |
| Yes | 76.7 | (18.9) | (4.4) |  |  | 78 |
| Total | 19.9 | 20.1 | 20.0 | 19.8 | 20.0 | 1741 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2 2 ) $=177.3300$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(2.93, 175.95) $=32.0414 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Housing benefit |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 17.0 | 19.9 | 20.9 | 21.0 | 21.2 | 1646 |
| Yes | 67.2 | (24.4) | (6.4) | (1.2) | (0.9) | 95 |
| Total | 19.9 | 20.1 | 20.0 | 19.8 | 20.0 | 1741 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(4) = 170.7970 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(2.95, 176.75) $=37.9173 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disability living/ attendance allowance/ incapacity benefit |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 19.5 | 19.5 | 20.2 | 20.1 | 20.7 | 1645 |
| yes | (26.8) | 31.2 | (17.7) | (14.9) | (9.5) | 96 |
| Total | 19.9 | 20.1 | 20.0 | 19.8 | 20.0 | 1741 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(4) $=15.6550$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(3.78, 226.51) $=3.3647 \mathrm{Pr}=0.012$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: MCS3 main respondents in Scotland at MCS3.

Table 12.11: Selected sources of income by quintile of UK equivalised net family income at MCS 3

| Type of benefit | Receipt | Quintiles |  |  |  |  | Unweighted base | Weighted base |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Bottom | Second | Middle | Fourth | Top |  |  |
| Main respondent receiving child tax credit | Yes | 13.7 | 25.0 | 26.0 | 23.8 | 11.4 | 6552 | 6466 |
|  | No | 24.9 | 16.3 | 15.4 | 17.4 | 26.4 | 8382 | 8489 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (F)<0.001 |
| Main respondent receiving working tax credit | Yes | 14.9 | 38.5 | 23.7 | 16.2 | 6.6 | 2883 | 2642 |
|  | No | 21.2 | 16.1 | 19.2 | 20.7 | 22.8 | 12045 | 12313 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (F) $<0.001$ |
| Main receiving income support | Yes | 70.9 | 24.8 | 3.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 710 | 598 |
|  | No | 17.9 | 19.9 | 20.7 | 20.8 | 20.8 | 14218 | 14357 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | P(F)<0.001 |
| Main receiving housing benefit | Yes | 62.3 | 30.6 | 6.0 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 971 | 874 |
|  | No | 17.4 | 19.4 | 20.8 | 21.1 | 21.2 | 13957 | 41081 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | P(F)<0.001 |
| Main receiving disability living /attendance allowance or incapacity benefit * | Yes | 27.4 | 30.9 | 21.0 | 13.5 | 7.3 | 940 | 873 |
|  | No | 19.6 | 19.4 | 19.9 | 20.4 | 20.7 | 13988 | 114082 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (F)<0.001 |

Sample: MCS3 main respondents who have a resident partner
Row percentage weighted by bovwt2 and which also adjusts for unit non-response

* The majority (75.8\%) of incapacity benefit recipients also receive disability living or attendance allowance

Table 12.12: Quintile of equivalised net family income in Scotland at MCS 3 by main respondent's reports on managing financially and by life satisfaction

| Variable categories | Quintiles |  |  |  |  | Unweighted Base |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bottom | Second | Middle | Fourth | Top |  |
| How well would you say you are managing financially these days? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ... Living comfortably, | (3.6) | (6.6) | 18.4 | 26.6 | 44.7 | 435 |
| Doing alright, | 13.2 | 23.4 | 22.4 | 22.4 | 18.6 | 699 |
| Just about getting by, | 34.3 | 25.7 | 18.5 | 15.2 | (6.3) | 446 |
| Finding it quite difficult, | 48.2 | 21.6 | (20.8) | (6.0) | (3.5) | 125 |
| Or, finding it very difficult? | (48.4) | (37.3) | (11.2) | (2.0) | (1.2) | 35 |
| Total | 19.9 | 20.1 | 20.1 | 19.9 | 20.1 | 1740 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(16) $=472.1731$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(11.34, 680.59) $=28.4136 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Life satisfaction:0-10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest Up to 6 | 34.4 | 28.8 | 18.2 | 9.9 | 8.7 | 425 |
| Medium 7-8 | 14.2 | 18.9 | 21.4 | 24.7 | 20.7 | 730 |
| High 9-10 | 14.6 | 15.5 | 20.3 | 21.0 | 28.6 | 551 |
| Total | 19.4 | 20.2 | 20.2 | 19.9 | 20.3 | 1706 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(8) = 166.1884 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(6.79, 407.66) $=18.0121 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: MCS3 main respondents in Scotland at MCS3.

Table 12.13: UK quintile of equivalised net family income at MCS 3 by main respondent's reports on managing financially and by life satisfaction

Row percentages with (Column percentages) in parentheses

| Categories | Quintiles |  |  |  |  | N | Weighte d base |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bottom | Second | Middle | Fourth | Top |  |  |
| How well would you say you (and your partner) are managing financially these days? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Living comfortably | $\begin{array}{r} 5.3 \\ (6.4) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.7 \\ (11.7) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.4 \\ (17.3) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.7 \\ (29.4) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46.0 \\ (54.9) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 3435 | 3549 |
| Doing all right | $\begin{array}{r} 14.2 \\ (27.0) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.6 \\ (37.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.9 \\ (44.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.4 \\ (45.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.0 \\ (33.5) \end{array}$ | 5622 | 5553 |
| Just about getting by | $\begin{array}{r} 30.2 \\ (42.5) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27.3 \\ (38.2) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.8 \\ (28.9) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.4 \\ (19.9) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.2 \\ (9.9) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 4128 | 4099 |
| Finding it quite difficult | $\begin{array}{r} 43.5 \\ (16.9) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25.0 \\ (9.7) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.5 \\ & (6.7) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.8 \\ (4.11) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.3 \\ (1.2) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1150 | 1135 |
| Finding it very difficult | $\begin{aligned} & 50.7 \\ & (7.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.4 \\ & (3.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.3 \\ & (2.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.6 \\ (1.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.0 \\ (0.4) \end{array}$ | 402 | 415 |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{F})<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Life satisfaction i. One to 10 scale, 10 is most satisfied |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest Up to 6 | $\begin{array}{r} 31.4 \\ (43.3) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.5 \\ (31.7) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.7 \\ (24.4) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.6 \\ (17.9) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.8 \\ (11.9) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 3633 | 3647 |
| Medium 7-8 | $\begin{array}{r} 14.4 \\ (32.5) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.6 \\ (39.6) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21.4 \\ (43.6) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.9 \\ (45.9) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.7 \\ (45.3) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 5764 | 5984 |
| High 9-10 | $\begin{array}{r} 13.5 \\ (24.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.0 \\ (28.7) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.7 \\ (31.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.7 \\ (36.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27.0 \\ (42.8) \end{array}$ | 4758 | 4752 |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{F})<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: MCS3 main respondents
Percentage weighted by weight2 and adjusted for unit non-response (bovwt2)

Table 12.14: Scotland poverty estimate at sweep 3: Band midpoint versus imputed continuous income

|  | Band midpoint |  |  | Interval regression Imputed income |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $[95 \% \mathrm{CI}]$ | $[95 \% \mathrm{CI}]$ | Unweighted <br> sample | Estimate(\%) | $[95 \% \mathrm{CI}]$ | Unweighted <br> sample |
| Above 60\% <br> median | 71.2 | $[68.8,75.9]$ | 1314 | 71.2 | $[67.2,75.0]$ | 1178 |
| Below 60\% <br> median | 28.2 | $[24.1,31.2]$ | 454 | 28.8 | $[25.0,32.8]$ | 431 |
| Total \%,N | 100 |  | 1768 | 100 |  | 1609 |

Sample: MCS3 main respondents in Scotland at MCS3.

Table 12.15: UK poverty estimates at sweep 3: Band midpoint versus imputed continuous income

|  | Band midpoint |  |  |  | Interval regression imputed income |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Estimate <br> (\%) | $[95 \% \mathrm{CI}]$ | Observed <br> sample | Estimate <br> (\%) | $[95 \% \mathrm{CI}]$ | Observed <br> sample <br> (weighted) |  |
| Above <br> 'poverty <br> line' | 69.3 | $[67.5,71.1]$ | 9031 | 69.6 | $[67.8,71.5]$ | 10102 |  |
| Below <br> 'poverty <br> line" | 30.7 | $[28.9,32.5]$ | 4586 | 30.4 | $[28.5,32.2]$ | 5126 |  |
| UK total \% | 100 | NA | 13617 | 100 | NA | 15228 |  |

Sample: MCS 3 main respondents
Percentage weighted by weight2 and adjusted for unit non-response (bovwt2)

Table 12.16: Prevalence of income poverty at sweep 3 by UK country

| UK country | Per cent below the <br> 'poverty line' income <br> (95\% CI) |  | Observed <br> sample below <br> poverty line | Observed base <br> (N) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Northern Ireland | 32.6 | $(29.6,36.7)$ | 519 | 1534 |
| Scotland | 27.7 | $(24.3,31.2)$ | 466 | 1804 |
| Wales | 31.4 | $(28.7,34.8)$ | 720 | 2139 |
| England | 30.3 | $(28.2,32.5)$ | 3420 | 9746 |

Sample: MCS3 main respondents.
Table 12.17: Incidence of income poverty by number of children and relationship between parents/carers in the household in Scotland

|  | Percent above poverty line | Percent below poverty line | Unweighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of children in household under 14 yrs old |  |  |  |
| One (Cohort member only) | 66.9 | 33.1 | 413 |
| Two | 78.3 | 21.7 | 925 |
| Three | 71.9 | 28.1 | 324 |
| Four or more | 48.9 | 51.1 | 106 |
| Total | 72.5 | 27.5 | 1768 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2 $(3)=55.2101$ |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(2.91, 174.86) $=16.1137 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$ |  |  |  |
| Relationship between parents/carers in household |  |  |  |
| Married | 88.7 | 11.3 | 1075 |
| Cohabiting | 64.4 | 35.6 | 364 |
| Lone parent | 31.2 | 68.8 | 326 |
| Total | 72.4 | 27.6 | 1765 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(2) = 443.9416 |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(1.94, 116.32) $=210.5932 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$ |  |  |  |

Sample: MCS3 main respondents in Scotland at MCS3.

Table 12.18: UK incidence of income poverty by number of children, number of parents and the marital status of couples

|  |  | Per cent below 'poverty line' | Observed sample below 'poverty line' | Observed base (N) | Weighted base |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of children under 14 years old | One (Cohort member only) | 30.7 | 959 | 3020 | 3006 |
|  | Two | 23.1 | 1377 | 7473 | 7617 |
|  | Three | 36.2 | 1377 | 3371 | 3310 |
|  | Four or more | 58.0 | 880 | 1364 | 1252 |
|  | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{F})<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |
| Partnership status at MCS 3 | Married | 17.1 | 1997 | 9385 | 9248 |
|  | Cohabiting | 31.8 | 935 | 2773 | 2887 |
|  | Lone parent | 69.8 | 2183 | 3021 | 3006 |
|  | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{F})<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |

Sample: MCS 3 main respondents
Percentage weighted by weight2 and adjusted for unit non-response (bovwt2)

Table 12.19: Incidence of poverty at MCS 3 by parent's labour market status, education, mother's age and housing tenure in Scotland

| Variables | Percent above 60\% median | Percent below 60\% median | Unweighted base |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Housing tenure |  |  |  |
| Own | 90.1 | 9.9 | 1192 |
| Private rent | 46.7 | 53.3 | 102 |
| Rent from LA or HA | 36.8 | 63.2 | 429 |
| Other | (50.4) | (49.6) | 40 |
| Total | 72.4 | 27.6 | 1763 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2 2 ) $=520.8364$ |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(2.84, 170.35) $=134.7345 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$ |  |  |  |
| Combined labour market status of main and partner respondents |  |  |  |
| Couple: Both in work or o n leave | 93.0 | 7.0 | 925 |
| Couple: Main in work or on leave, partner not in work on leave | (60.4) | (39.6) | 33 |
| Couple: Partner in work or on leave, main not in work on leave | 75.5 | 24.5 | 407 |
| Couple: Both not in work or on leave | (9.8) | 90.2 | 75 |
| Lone parent: In work or on leave | 59.5 | 40.5 | 154 |
| Lone parent: Not in work or on leave | (8.5) | 91.5 | 172 |
| Total | 72.5 | 27.5 | 1766 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(7) $=757.8632$ |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(6.29, 377.43) $=93.6071 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$ |  |  |  |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |
| NVQ level 1 | 53.9 | (46.1) | 62 |
| NVQ level 2 | 63.4 | 36.6 | 421 |
| NVQ level 3 | 76.2 | 23.8 | 371 |
| NVQ level 4 | 90.7 | 9.3 | 559 |
| NVQ level 5 | 90.0 | (10.0) | 156 |
| Overseas and other qualifications | (56.8) | (43.2) | 28 |
| None of these | 28.1 | 71.9 | 168 |
| Total | 72.5 | 27.5 | 1765 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(6) $=323.5116$ |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(5.22 | $313.11)=45.2173 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$ |  |  |
| Mother's age at MCS 3 |  |  |  |
| Under 26 | 39.1 | 60.9 | 177 |
| 26-30 | 60.4 | 39.6 | 293 |
| 31-35 | 77.6 | 22.4 | 486 |
| 36-40 | 83.6 | 16.4 | 530 |
| 41 and above | 81.5 | 18.5 | 282 |
| Total | 72.5 | 27.5 | 1768 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(4) = 183.1117 |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(3.26 | 195.37) $=40.9933 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$ |  |  |


| Father's education |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NVQ level 1 | (68.4) | (31.6) | 43 |
| NVQ level 2 | 81.1 | 18.9 | 339 |
| NVQ level 3 | 87.4 | (12.6) | 261 |
| NVQ level 4 | 96.4 | (3.6) | 362 |
| NVQ level 5 | 90.3 | (9.7) | 166 |
| Overseas and other qualifications | (72.6) | (27.4) | 35 |
| None of these | 46.7 | 53.3 | 118 |
| Total | 83.7 | 16.3 | 1324 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(6) $=233.3056$ |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(5.15, 309.26) $=26.3661 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$ |  |  |  |

Sample: MCS3 main respondents in Scotland at MCS3.

Table 12.20: UK Incidence of income poverty at MCS 3 by parent's labourmarket status, education, mother's age and housing tenure

| Categories | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per cent } \\ \text { below } \\ 60 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Observed sample (n) | Unweighted base (N) | Weighted base |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Combined labour-market status of the main and partner respondents |  |  |  |  |
| Couple: Both in work or on leave | 7.4 | 549 | 6926 | 7094 |
| Couple: Main in work or on leave, partner not in work nor on leave | 51.7 | 199 | 367 | 314 |
| Couple: Partner in work or on leave, main not in work nor on leave | 27.9 | 1353 | 3959 | 3925 |
| Couple: Both not in work nor on leave | 89.2 | 834 | 920 | 811 |
| Lone parent: working | 38.5 | 488 | 1191 | 1243 |
| Lone parent: not working | 92.4 | 1693 | 1820 | 1753 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{F})<0.001$ |  |
| Father's education (excluding non-father partner respondent) |  |  |  |  |
| NVQ level 1 | 30.5 | 230 | 661 | 679 |
| NVQ level 2 | 19.4 | 609 | 2861 | 2914 |
| NVQ level 3 | 16.1 | 298 | 1620 | 1657 |
| NVQ level 4 | (8.2) | 266 | 2845 | 3022 |
| NVQ level 5 | (8.0) | 134 | 1254 | 1285 |
| Overseas and other qualifications | 38.3 | 217 | 473 | 415 |
| None of these | 50.8 | 685 | 1262 | 1089 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{F})<0.001$ |  |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |
| NVQ level 1 | 49.6 | 600 | 1120 | 1131 |
| NVQ level 2 | 34.1 | 1483 | 4050 | 4218 |
| NVQ level 3 | 24.4 | 593 | 2192 | 2150 |
| NVQ level 4 | 10.5 | 492 | 3991 | 4140 |
| NVQ level 5 | (10.8) | 125 | 1128 | 1123 |
| Overseas and other qualifications | 56.6 | 271 | 433 | 388 |
| None of these | 69.2 | 1351 | 878 | 1648 |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{F})<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |
| Mother's age at MCS 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Under 26 | 66.0 | 1045 | 1565 | 1555 |
| 26-30 | 44.9 | 1279 | 2697 | 2555 |
| 31-35 | 25.7 | 1298 | 4442 | 4455 |
| 36-40 |  |  |  | 4209 |
| 41 and above | 19.5 | 431 | 1995 | 2046 |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{F})<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |
| Housing tenure |  |  |  |  |
| Own | 12.8 | 1532 | 9721 | 9777 |
| Rent privately | 52.5 | 761 | 1322 | 1329 |
| Rent from LA/HA* | 68.1 | 2596 | 3686 | 3605 |
| Other | 46.6 | 215 | 419 | 407 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{F})<0.001$ |  |

Sample: MCS 3 main respondents

* LA/HA: Local authority/Housing association

Percentage weighted by weight2 and adjusted for unit non-response (bovwt2)

Table 12.21: Poverty rates for families with health problems in Scotland

|  | Percent above 60\% median | Percent below 60\% median | Unweighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Whether cm has longstanding illness |  |  |  |
| Yes | 66.3 | 33.7 | 321 |
| No | 73.8 | 26.2 | 1442 |
| Total | 72.4 | 27.6 | 1763 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(1) = 7.5435 |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(1.00, 60.00) $=4.1630 \mathrm{Pr}=0.046$ |  |  |  |
| Whether cohort member's illnesses limit activity |  |  |  |
| Yes | 59.8 | 40.2 | 97 |
| No | 73.2 | 26.8 | 1666 |
| Total | 72.4 | 27.6 | 1763 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(1) = 8.3346 |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(1.00, 60.00) $=6.5960 \mathrm{Pr}=0.013$ |  |  |  |
| Main or partner has a longstanding illness limiting activity |  |  |  |
| No | 74.5 | 25.5 | 1407 |
| Yes | 64.5 | 35.5 | 358 |
| Total | 72.4 | 27.6 | 1765 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(1) = 14.2996 |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(1.00, 60.00) $=12.3948 \mathrm{Pr}=0.001$ |  |  |  |

Sample: MCS3 main respondents in Scotland at MCS3.

Table 12.22: UK poverty rates for families with health problems

| Categories | Per cent below 'poverty line' | Observed samplebase (N) | Weighted base |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Whether cohort child has longstanding illness |  |  |  |
| Yes | 34.6 | 2979 | 2972 |
| No | 29.4 | 12172 | 12145 |
| $P(F)<0.001$ |  |  |  |
| Whether cohort child's illness limits activity (subsample of families whose child has a longstanding illness) |  |  |  |
| Yes | 39.7 | 949 | 894 |
| No | 32.5 | 2030 | 2078 |
| $P(F)=0.0004$ |  |  |  |
| Main or partner has a longstanding illness limiting activity |  |  |  |
| Yes | 37.6 | 3178 | 3089 |
| No | 28.6 | 12002 | 12054 |
| $P(F)<0.001$ |  |  |  |

Sample: MCS 3 main respondents
Responses apply to the first cohort child in a family with twins or triplets
Percentage weighted by weight2 and adjusted for unit non-response (bovwt2)

Figure 12.1: Percentage of families above or below poverty line at both MCS1 and MCS 3 ( $n=1630$ ) in Scotland


Sample: MCS3 main respondents in Scotland at MCS3.

Figure 12.2: Percentage of all UK families above or below 60\% poverty line at both MCS 1 and MCS 3


Sample: MCS 3 main respondents also productive at MCS 1.

Figure 12.3: Percentage of families above or below poverty line at both MCS 2 and MCS 3 ( $n=1385$ ) in Scotland


Sample: MCS3 main respondents in Scotland at MCS3.

Figure 12.4: Percentage of all UK families above or below $60 \%$ poverty line at both MCS 2 and MCS 3


Sample: MCS 3 main respondents also productive at MCS 2.

Table 13.1: Residential mobility between MCS 2 and 3 by UK country of interview at MCS 1

| Country of interview | Non mover |  | Mover |  | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | Cl | \% | Cl |  |
| England | 76.6 | [75.3,77.8] | 23.4 | [22.2,24.7] | 9759 |
| Wales | 81.1 | [79.1,82.9] | 18.9 | [17.1,20.9] | 2143 |
| Scotland | 72.4 | [70.3,74.5] | 27.6 | [25.5,29.7] | 1804 |
| Northern Ireland | 70.3 | [62.5,77.1] | 29.7 | [22.9,37.5] | 1535 |
| Total | 75.9 | [74.6,77.2] | 24.1 | [22.8,25.4] | 15241 |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2 $(3)=81.6913$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(1.50, 582.48) $=5.7681$, $\mathrm{Pr}=0.007$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: MCS3 main respondents; five families were excluded because their country of interview was unknown.

Table 13.2: Residential mobility between MCS 2 and MCS 3 by family housing tenure at MCS 2 in Scotland

| Housing tenure | Not moved (\%) | Moved (\%) | Unweghted sample |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Own | 79.1 | 20.9 | 1224 |
| Renting privately | 37.4 | 62.6 | 109 |
| Renting from LA or HA | 65.3 | 34.7 | 433 |
| Other | $(57.7)$ | $(42.3)$ | 42 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 2 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 0 8}$ |
| Uncorrected chi2(3) |  |  | $=116.0670$ |
| Design-based $F(2.88,172.83)=31.4198$ |  |  |  |

Sample: MCS3 main respondents in Scotland at MCS1.

Table 13.3: UK residential mobility between MCS 2 and MCS 3 by family tenure at MCS 2

| Tenure | Mover \% (n) | Base (N) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Own | 17.8 | 9725 |
| Renting privately | 51.7 | 1322 |
| Renting social housing | 27.6 | 3688 |
| Other | 39.4 | 420 |
| Total | 23.7 | 15155 |
|  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |

Sample: MCS3 main respondents
Notes: Weighted percentages, (unweighted sample numbers), observed base numbers, 91 families were excluded because family tenure was not known, not applicable or the respondent refused to answer, *social housing is renting from a local authority or a housing association Weighting allows for unit non-response at sweep 2.

Table 13.4: Residential mobility between MCS 2 and MCS 3 by type of accommodation at MCS 2 in Scotland

| Type of accommodation | Not <br> moved | Moved | Unweighted <br> sample |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| House or bungalow | 75.0 | 25.0 | 1422 |
| Flat or maisonette | 63.2 | 36.8 | 374 |
| Studio, room, bedsit, other <br> answer | $(37.4)$ | $(62.6)$ | 10 |
| Total | 72.3 | 27.7 | 1806 |
| Uncorrected |  |  | chi2(2) |$=26.6025$

Sample: MCS3 main respondents in Scotland at MCS1.

Table 13.5: UK residential mobility between MCS 2 and MCS 3 by type of accommodation at MCS 2

| Tenure | Mover <br> \%(n) | Base <br> $(\mathbf{N})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| House or bungalow | 22.4 | 13602 |
| Flat or maisonette | 33.7 | 1442 |
| All other* | 45.8 | 79 |
| Total | 23.6 | 15123 |
|  | $P<0.001$ |  |

Sample: MCS3 main respondents: Weighted percentages, (unweighted sample numbers), observed base numbers, *All other includes studio flat, rooms or bedsit, etc. 123 families were excluded because type of accommodation was not known, not applicable or the respondent refused to answer. Weighting allows for unit non-response at sweep 2

Table 13.6: Residential mobility between MCS 2 and MCS 3 by combined labour-market status of the main respondent and partner at MCS 3 in Scotland

|  | Not moved | Moved | Unweighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Combined labour market status of main and partner respondents |  |  |  |
| Couple: Both in work or o n leave | 77.3 | 22.7 | 944 |
| Couple: Main in work or on leave, partner not in work on leave | (77.4) | (22.6) | 33 |
| Couple: Partner in work or on leave, main not in work on leave | 73.3 | 26.7 | 425 |
| Couple: Both not in work or on leave | 55.2 | 44.8 | 75 |
| Lone parent: In work or on leave | 65.6 | 34.4 | 159 |
| Lone parent: Not in work or on leave | 57.2 | 42.8 | 176 |
| Total | 72.2 | 27.8 | 1812 |
| Uncorrected chi2(5) |  |  | $=49.8875$ |
| Design-based $F(6.11,366.66)=$ |  |  | $5.8, P=0.0000$ |

Sample: MCS3 main and partner respondents in Scotland at MCS1.

Table 13.7: UK Residential mobility between MCS 2 and MCS 3 by combined labour-market status of main respondent and partner at MCS 3

| Combined labour-market status | Mover \% (n) | Base (N) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Couple - both in work or on leave | 18.7 | 6928 |
| Couple - main in work or on leave, partner not in work nor on leave | 23.6 | 367 |
| Couple - partner in work or on leave, main not in work nor on leave | 23.6 | 3962 |
| Couple - both not in work nor on leave | 29.0 | 921 |
| Lone parent, working | 32.7 | 1193 |
| Lone parent, not working | 34.9 | 1828 |
| Total | 23.7 | 15199 |
|  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |

Notes: Weighted percentages, (unweighted sample numbers), observed base numbers,
Sample: MCS3 main respondents; 47 families were excluded due to partner non-response or there was no parental interview. Weighting allows for unit non-response at sweep 2

Table 13.8: `Good area for raising children?' by UK country of interview at MCS 3

|  | England |  | Wales |  | Scotland |  | Northern Ireland |  | Total |  | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | CI | \% | CI | \% | CI | \% | CI | \% | CI |  |
| Excellent | 29.8 | [27.7,32.0] | 35.1 | [30.6,39.8] | 39.8 | [35.6,44.1] | 47.6 | [42.9,52.3] | 34.1 | [32.4,35.9] | 4541 |
| Good | 41.4 | [39.8,42.9] | 41.0 | [38.5,43.6] | 37.0 | [34.0,40.1] | 36.4 | [33.0,40.0] | 40.1 | [38.9,41.3] | 6108 |
| Average | 21.7 | [20.4,23.1] | 17.9 | [15.4,20.7] | 18.1 | [15.7,20.9] | 12.1 | [10.0,14.6] | 19.5 | [18.4,20.5] | 3335 |
| Poor | 4.9 | [4.3,5.6] | 4.7 | [3.7,6.0] | 3.7 | [2.7,5.2] | 2.9 | [2.1,3.9] | 4.5 | [4.0,5.0] | 820 |
| Very poor | 2.2 | [1.8,2.6] | 1.3 | [0.8,2.1] | 1.3 | [0.7,2.6] | 1.0 | [0.5,1.9] | 1.8 | [1.5,2.1] | 336 |
| Total | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 15140 |
| N | 9683 |  | 2129 |  | 1799 |  | 1529 |  |  |  |  |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(12) $=304.0814$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: MCS3 main respondents.
Table 13.9: ‘Good area for raising children?’ by combined labour market status of main and partner respondents at MCS 3 in Scotland

| Combined labour market status of main and partner respondents | Excellent | Good | Average | Poor | Very poor | Unweighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Couple: Both in work or on leave | 46.3 | 39.5 | 11.7 | (2.3) | (0.2) | 942 |
| Couple: Main in work or on leave, partner not in work on leave | (24.3) | (36.1) | (35.5) | (4.0) |  | 33 |
| Couple: Partner in work or on leave, main not in work on leave | 41.6 | 35.9 | 19.2 | 2.5 | 0.9 | 423 |
| Couple: Both not in work or on leave | (12.6) | (28.5) | (36.8) | (14.6) | (7.5) | 75 |
| Lone parent: In work or on leave | 34.7 | 31.6 | 28.9 | 3.8 | 1.0 | 158 |
| Lone parent: Not in work or on leave | 20.3 | 34.7 | 28.3 | (11.4) | (5.3) | 176 |
| Total | 39.5 | 36.9 | 18.3 | 4.0 | 1.3 | 1808 |
|  |  | Uncorrected chi2(20) |  |  |  | = 231.7922 |
|  |  | Design-based $F(14.23,853.54)=7.80$ |  |  |  | $15 \quad P=0.0000$ |

Sample: MCS3 main respondents in Scotland at MCS1.

Table 13.10: 'Good area for raising children' in UK by combined labour-market status of main respondent and partner at MCS 3

|  |  | Whether 'good area for raising children' |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Excellent | Good | Average | Poor | Very poor | Total \% (n) |
| Combined labour market | Both in work or on leave | 37.8 | 43.5 | 15.6 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 100 (6905) |
|  | Main in work or on leave, partner not in work nor on leave | 25.9 | 37.3 | 27.6 | (6.9) | (2.3) | 100 (367) |
|  | Partner in work or on leave, main not in work nor on leave | 32.6 | 40.8 | 20.7 | 3.9 | 1.6 | 100 (3940) |
|  | Both not in work nor on leave | 14.8 | 38.2 | 30.7 | 9.5 | 6.3 | 100 (913) |
|  | Lone parent, working | 26.9 | 36.8 | 25.3 | 6.9 | 3.7 | 100 (1189) |
|  | Lone parent, not working | 15.2 | 32.6 | 33.0 | 13.1 | 5.9 | 100 (1820) |
| Total |  | 31.4 | 40.5 | 20.8 | 4.8 | 2.0 | 100 (15134) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |

## Sample:MCS3 main respondents

Notes: Weighted percentages, (unweighted sample numbers), observed base numbers, 101 families were excluded because the respondent did not know or refused to answer, and a further 11 families were excluded because the partner was not resident. Weighting allows for unit non-response at sweep 2.

Table 13.11: How "safe you feel this area is" by UK country of interview at MCS 3

|  | England |  | Wales |  | Scotland |  | Northern Ireland |  | Total |  | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | Cl | \% | Cl | \% | Cl | \% | Cl | \% | Cl |  |
| Very safe | 31.4 | [29.7,33.2] | 39.6 | [35.9,43.5] | 40.7 | [37.2,44.3] | 54.7 | [51.0,58.4] | 33.6 | [32.1,35.1] | 5184 |
| Fairly safe | 54.0 | [52.6,55.5] | 48.5 | [45.6,51.5] | 49.3 | [46.3,52.3] | 39.2 | [36.1,42.4] | 52.8 | [51.5,54.0] | 7800 |
| Neither safe nor unsafe | 8.7 | [8.0,9.4] | 7.0 | [5.8,8.4] | 6.7 | [5.4,8.3] | 3.4 | [2.5,4.7] | 8.2 | [7.6,8.8] | 1261 |
| Fairly unsafe | 4.5 | [4.0,5.0] | 3.8 | [2.8,5.1] | 2.7 | [2.0,3.7] | 2.1 | [1.4,3.1] | 4.2 | [3.8,4.6] | 687 |
| Very unsafe | 1.4 | [1.1,1.7] | 1.1 | [0.7,1.7] | 0.5 | [0.3,1.1] | 0.6 | [0.3,1.2] | 1.3 | [1.1,1.5] | 213 |
| Total | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 15145 |
| N | 9688 |  | 2129 |  | 1799 |  | 1529 |  |  |  |  |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(12) $=206.8339$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(8.46, 3291.13) $=19.3093, \operatorname{Pr}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: MCS3 main respondents in Scotland at MCS1

Table 14.1: Mothers' attendance at religious services in Scotland

|  | How often attends religious services |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Religion main | Weekly | Monthly | Less than monthly | Rarely or never | Total | N |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |
| Protestant | 16.6 | 12.0 | 15.4 | 56.0 | 100 | 523 |
| Catholic | 34.1 | 13.2 | 11.5 | 41.2 | 100 | 324 |
| Christian - no denom and Other | (22.3) | (5.6) | (10.8) | 61.3 | 100 | 118 |
| Hindu | (0) | (0) | (0) | (100) | 100 | 2 |
| Jew | (0) | (0) | (100) | (0) | 100 | 1 |
| Muslim | (17.0) | (17.0) | (29.8) | (36.2) | 100 | 13 |
| Sikh | (38.3) | (23.4) | (38.3) | (0) | 100 | 3 |
| Buddhist | (0) | (100) | (0) | (0) | 100 | 1 |
| Other | (45.1) | (27.5) | (0) | (27.5) | 100 | 3 |
| Total | 22.7 | 11.8 | 13.9 | 51.6 | 100 | 988 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(24) $=71.6337$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(11.60 | $695.78)=3.0842 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: All MCS3 mothers in Scotland at MCS1.

Figure 14.1: Religious participation of UK mothers


Sample: All MCS3 mothers.

Table 14.2: Mother's NS-SEC and religious affiliation in Scotland

| NS-SEC 5 classes highest of main or partner | None | Protestant | Catholic | ```Christian -no denom and Other``` | Hindu | Jew | Muslim | Sikh | Buddhist | Other | Total | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |
| Managers and prof. | 33.6 | 38.6 | 19.5 | 7.3 | (0.2) | (0.3) | (0.2) |  | (0.2) | (0.2) | 100 | 724 |
| Intermediate | 45.4 | 29.8 | 14.1 | (10.3) |  |  | (0) |  |  | (0.4) | 100 | 203 |
| Small employers \& self-employed | 42.6 | 32.3 | (13.9) | (9.5) |  |  | (1.7) |  |  |  | 100 | 87 |
| Lower supervisory \& technical | 48.6 | 32.9 | (14.7) | (3.9) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 115 |
| Semi-routine and routine | 52.3 | 23.2 | 16.8 | (5.6) | (0.5) |  | (1.2) | (0.5) |  |  | 100 | 282 |
| Total | 40.4 | 33.7 | 17.5 | 7.3 | (0.2) | (0.2) | (0.4) | (0.1) | (0.1) | (0.1) | 100 | 1,411 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(36) $=67.8889$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Design-based } \\ & \text { F(14.69 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 881.52) $=2.3693 \mathrm{Pr}=0.003$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: All MCS3 mothers in Scotland at MCS1.

Table 14.3: UK mother's NS-SEC and religious affiliation

| Religion | Managerial and Professional | Intermediate | Small employer selfemployed |  | Semiroutine and routine | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None | 32.7 | 41.1 | 35.5 | 46.3 | 47.5 | 37.4 |
|  | 1676 | 561 | 380 | 368 | 915 | 3900 |
| Protestant | 38.6 | 33.7 | 33.7 | 30.5 | 25.0 | 34.7 |
|  | 1915 | 479 | 345 | 250 | 498 | 3487 |
| Catholic | 12.6 | 10.9 | 10.4 | 10.6 | 10.3 | 11.7 |
|  | 803 | 224 | 153 | 115 | 297 | 1592 |
| Christian | 11.9 | 9.9 | 10.0 | 7.5 | 9.4 | 10.7 |
|  | 611 | 145 | 110 | 61 | 181 | 1108 |
| Hindu | 1.1 | (1.2) | (1.4) | (0.7) | 1.6 | 1.2 |
|  | 81 | 29 | 24 | 12 | 43 | 189 |
| Jew | (0.3) | (0.4) | (0.2) | (0.4) | (0.1) | (0.3) |
|  | 18 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 29 |
| Muslim | 1.6 | 1.8 | 7.3 | 3.4 | 4.9 | 2.9 |
|  | 130 | 44 | 159 | 60 | 175 | 568 |
| Sikh | 0.6 | (0.8) | (1.1) | (0.4) | 0.9 | 0.7 |
|  | 41 | 14 | 15 | 5 | 32 | 107 |
| Buddhist |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (0.2) | (0.1) | (0.2) |  | (0.1) | (0.2) |
|  | 12 | 2 | 2 |  | 3 | 19 |
| Other | (0.3) | (0.2) | (0.3) | (0.3) |  | (0.2) |
|  | 16 | 2 | 5 | 2 |  | 25 |
| Total \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 5303 | 1505 | 1194 | 875 | 2147 | 11024 |
|  | $\mathrm{F}=9.47, \mathrm{P}>\mathrm{F}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: All MCS3 mothers.

Table 14.4: Mother's NS-SEC and attending religious services in Scotland

|  | How often attends religious services |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Religion main | Weekly | Monthly | Less than monthly | Rarely or never | Total | N |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |
| Protestant | 16.6 | 12.0 | 15.4 | 56.0 | 100 | 523 |
| Catholic | 34.1 | 13.2 | 11.5 | 41.2 | 100 | 324 |
| Christian - no denom and Other | (22.3) | (5.6) | (10.8) | 61.3 | 100 | 118 |
| Hindu | (0) | (0) | (0) | (100) | 100 | 2 |
| Jew | (0) | (0) | (100) | (0) | 100 | 1 |
| Muslim | 17.0 | 17.0 | (29.8) | (36.2) | 100 | 13 |
| Sikh | (38.3) | (23.4) | (38.3) | (0) | 100 | 3 |
| Buddhist | (0) | (100) | (0) | (0) | 100 | 1 |
| Other | (45.1) | (27.5) | (0) | (27.5) | 100 | 3 |
| Total | 22.7 | 11.8 | 13.9 | 51.6 | 100 | 988 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pearson: Uncorrected chi2(24) = 71.6337 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design-based F(11.60 | $695.78)=3.0842 \mathrm{Pr}=0.000$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Sample: All MCS3 mothers in Scotland at MCS1.

Figure 14.2: UK mother's NS-SEC and attending religious services


Sample: All MCS3 mothers.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In other words, results are only reported where we can be confident that any apparent differences are real, rather than due to chance in sampling. Survey data are based on a sample of people from the population of interest, and therefore can only provide estimates of the actual values for the population as a whole.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ A statistically significant difference is one that is unlikely to be due to chance. Survey data are based on a sample of people from the population of interest. To determine how well the data from the sample can estimate the actual values for the population as a whole, we examine the $95 \%$ confidence interval - a range of values around the survey estimate that we can expect to include the actual population value $95 \%$ of the time. The more precise the estimate, the narrower the confidence interval. All other things being equal, the larger the sample size, the narrower the confidence interval. If the $95 \%$ confidence intervals for two survey estimates (e.g. average cognitive test scores for children in England and Scotland) do not overlap, then we can be confident that any apparent difference between the estimates is statistically significant.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ All tables and figures referred to in this report are provided in the annex.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ All tables and figures referred to in this report are provided in the annex.
    ${ }^{5} 97 \%$ of main respondents at MCS3 were natural mothers of the cohort child.

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ A natural sibling is one with whom the cohort child shares both biological parents and a half-sibling is one with whom the cohort child shares one biological parent. No biological parents are shared between step-siblings, foster or adoptive siblings. However, unlike foster or adoptive siblings, one of the biological parents of a step-sibling usually still lives with them and is a step-parent to the cohort child. The shared natural parent of half-siblings may be either their natural mother or their natural father.

[^5]:    ${ }^{7}$ A quintile is a proportion of a set of data that has been ranked and divided into five equal groups (or bands), where each group contains an equal number of data items.

[^6]:    ${ }^{8}$ See
    http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Publications/2009/05/povertyfigures0708/Q/EditMode/on/ForceUpdate/on/
    Page/3 for poverty rate figures for Scotland.

[^7]:    Sample: All families responding at both MCS 1 and MCS 3 where family type at MCS 1 was either both natural parents or lone natural mother. Table displays unweighted observations, weighted percentages and 95\% confidence intervals (using weight1).

[^8]:    Sample includes all MCS3 partner respondents in Scotland who were fathers answering the question on parenting competence who also had valid data on the control variables
    Unweighted observations, weighted percentages (using weight 1).

[^9]:    Sample includes all MCS3 fathers completing self completion instrument \& responding to the question. 61 observations excluded who responded 'can't say' to question on parenting competence. Table displays unweighted observations and weighted percentages (country totals using weight 1 , UK totals using weight 2 ).

[^10]:    Notes: Observations unweighted. Mean hours weighted with weight 2.
    Sample MCS3 main respondents, Includes all families who took part in all three sweeps. *Not asked at MCS 3. **Not asked at MCS 1.

[^11]:    Sample includes all MCS3 children who completed the assessments.

[^12]:    Sample: all MCS3 main respondents (excluding second and third children in twin and triplet families).

[^13]:    Sample: All MCS3 mothers (including very small numbers of adoptive, step-mothers and foster mothers) who were main respondents. Table displays unweighted observations and percentages. Weight1 was used for analyses by country. Weight 2 was used for all other analyses. Unit nonresponse weights were also used.

[^14]:    Sample: All MCS3 mothers who were main respondents and fathers who were partners in Scotland at MCS1.

[^15]:    Sample: All MCS3 mothers (including very small numbers of step-mothers and foster mothers) who were main respondents. Table displays unweighted observations and percentages. Weight1 was used for analyses by country. Weight 2 was used for all other analyses. Unit non-response weights were also used.

[^16]:    Sample All MCS3 mothers who were main respondents and fathers who were partners in Scotland at MCS1.

[^17]:    Sample: All MCS3 fathers (including step-fathers) who were partner respondents. Table displays unweighted observations and percentages. Weight1 was used for analyses by country. Weight2 was used for all other analyses. Unit non-response weights were also used.

[^18]:    Sample: All MCS3 mothers (including very small numbers of adoptive, step-mothers and foster mothers) who were main respondents. Table displays unweighted observations and percentages. Weight1 was used for analyses by country. Weight2 was used for all other analyses. Unit nonresponse weights were also used.

[^19]:    Sample: All MCS3 mothers who were main respondents and fathers who were partners in Scotland at MCS1.

[^20]:    Sample: All MCS 3 mothers and fathers (natural, adoptive, foster and step) who completed the main or partner interview. This table excludes any mothers or fathers who were eligible but not interviewed (approximately 50 mothers and 1,225 fathers) and any grandparents who answered these questions. Table displays unweighted observations, weighted observations and weighted percentages in parenthesis (using weight1 within country and weight2 for ALL UK). Unit non-
    response weight also used.

    * Lone parent includes lone mothers and lone fathers

