

Official Statistics Release

Policy area:	Local authority children's services inspections and outcomes
Theme:	Education, children's services and skills
Published on:	29 September 2011
Coverage:	England
Period covered:	1 June 2009 to 30 June 2011
Status:	PROVISIONAL
Issued by:	Office for Standards in Education, Children's Services and Skills (Ofsted) 125 Kingsway London WC2B 6SE
Responsible director:	John Goldup
Statistician:	Adam King
Public enquiries:	enquiries@ofsted.gov.uk
Press enquiries:	pressenquiries@ofsted.gov.uk
Link to official statistics release web page:	www.ofsted.gov.uk/resources/official-statistics-local-authority-childrens-services- inspections-and-outcomes
Publication medium:	Ofsted website
Publication frequency:	Quarterly
Next publication date:	15-Dec-11

© Crown copyright

You may use and re-use this information To view this licence, visit: <u>http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/</u> Or write to the Information Policy Team, The National Archives, Kew, London, TW9 4DU Or email: <u>psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk</u>

Contents

Tables

- Table 1: Overall effectiveness and Capacity to improve grades for safeguarding and services for looked after children inspections carried out between 1 June 2009 and 30 June 2011
- Table 2: Overall effectiveness and Capacity to improve grades for safeguarding and services for looked after children inspections carried out between 1 June 2009 to 30 June 2011 by local authority.
- Table 3: All judgements given for safeguarding inspections taking place between 1 June 2009 to 30 June 2011
- Table 4: All judgements given for safeguarding inspections taking place between 1 November 2010 and 30 June 2011 by local authority.
- Table 5: All judgements given for services for looked after children inspections taking place between 1 November 2010 and 30 June 2011
- Table 6: All judgements given for services for looked after children inspections taking place between 1 November 2010 and 30 June 2011 by local authority.
- Table 7: Unannounced inspections carried out between 1 June 2009 and 30 June 2011
- Table 8: Unannounced inspections carried out between 1 June 2009 and 30 June 2011: by inspection year, publication date and whether any areas for priority action by local authority.
- Table 9: Children's Services Assessments for 2009 and 2010
- Table 10: Children's Services Assesments for 2009 and 2010 by local authority
- Chart 1: Overall judgements given for safeguarding and services for looked after children inspections taking place between 1 June 2009 and 30 June 2011

Chart 2: Children's Services Assessments for 2009 and 2010

Table 1: Overall effectiveness and Capacity to improve grades¹ for safeguarding and services for looked after children inspections carried out between 1 June 2009 and 30 June 2011^{2,3}

	Safeguardir	ng Services	Services for Looked After Children			
	Overall effectiveness	Capacity to improve	Overall effectiveness	Capacity to improve		
Outstanding	1	6	0	6		
Good	21	26	32	35		
Adequate	33	30	39	31		
Inadequate	18	11	2	1		
Total inspections	73	73	73	73		

Source: Ofsted inspections

1. Local authorities were given the following grades for the judgements shown: 1 Outstanding, 2 Good, 3 Adequate and 4 Inadequate.

2. Announced inspections of safeguarding and services for Looked After Children run on a three-year cycle. The first inspections took place in June 2009 and as at 30th June 2011 there had been 73 inspections completed, including two re-inspections.

3. The framework for the safeguarding and the looked after children inspections was reviewed during the three-year inspection cycle and a revised framework, incorporating minor changes, was introduced in November 2010. The first inspections took place under the revised framework from week beginning 27 November 2010.

Table 2: Overall effectiveness and Capacity to improve grades¹ for safeguarding and services for looked after children inspections carried out between 1 June 2009 and 30 June 2011^{2,3} by local authority

		Safeguarding	g Services	Services for Look	ked After Childre
Local Authority	Publication date	Overall effectiveness	Capacity to improve	Overall effectiveness	Capacity to improve
Birmingham	16/07/2010	4	4	3	3
Blackpool	04/12/2009	3	3	2	2
Bournemouth	08/04/2011	2	2	2	2
Brighton & Hove	12/05/2011	3	2	3	2
Bristol	24/05/2010	2	2	2	2
Bromley	24/05/2010	3	3	3	3
Buckinghamshire	24/01/2011	2	2	2	2
Calderdale	26/02/2010	4	3	3	3
Cambridgeshire	23/10/2009	3	3	3	2
Cheshire West and Chester	10/12/2010	4	4	3	3
Derby City	27/06/2011	3	3	3	3
Cornwall	23/10/2009	4	4	4	4
Cornwall (Re-inspection)	25/02/2011	4	3	3	3
Coventry	12/05/2011	2	2	3	2
Derbyshire	06/05/2011	2	2	2	2
Devon	07/08/2009	3	3	2	2
Doncaster	12/05/2011	3	3	3	3
Ealing	27/06/2011	2	1	2	1
East Sussex	24/01/2011	2	2	2	1
Enfield	21/06/2010	2	2	2	2
Essex	06/08/2010	4	3	3	2
Gateshead	11/03/2011	3	3	3	3
Gloucestershire	24/01/2011	4	3	3	3
Greenwich	06/08/2010	3	3	3	2
Halton	25/03/2011	2	1	2	1
Hammersmith & Fulham	22/07/2011	2	1	2	1
Haringey	25/02/2011	3	2	3	2
Hartlepool	16/07/2010	2	2	2	2
Herefordshire	22/10/2010	3	3	2	2
Hertfordshire	19/11/2010	3	3	3	3
Hillingdon	04/12/2009	2	2	2	2
Hounslow	06/11/2009	2	2	2	2
sle of Wight	18/10/2010	3	3	3	3
Kent	19/11/2010	4	4	4	3
Knowsley	12/03/2010	2	1	2	1
Leeds	07/01/2010	4	3	3	3
Lincolnshire	21/06/2010	1	1	2	1
Liverpool	08/04/2011	2	2	2	2
Vanchester	17/12/2010	3	2	3	2
Viddlesbrough	22/07/2011	3	2	3	3
Vorfolk	22/07/2011	3	3	3	3
North Yorkshire	23/10/2009	3	3	2	2
Northamptonshire	06/05/2011	3	3	3	3
Nottingham City	24/01/2011	2	2	2	2
Nottinghamshire	21/05/2010	4	4	2	2
Oxfordshire	06/05/2011	2	2	2	2
Peterborough	21/05/2010	4	3	2	2
Plymouth	21/05/2010	4	2	2	2
Portsmouth	27/06/2011	2 3	2	2	2
	05/03/2010	3	2 3	2 3	2 3
Redbridge	06/08/2010	3	3	3	3
Rochdale				3	
Rotherham	27/08/2010	3	3		3
Salford	21/06/2010	4	4	3	3
Sandwell	13/01/2010	4	4	3	3
Sefton	27/06/2011	3	2	2	2
Sheffield	16/11/2010	3	2	3	2
Shropshire	25/03/2011	3	3	2	2
Slough	01/06/2011	4	4	3	3
Staffordshire	07/08/2009	3	2	3	3
Stockton-on-Tees	22/10/2010	3	3	2	2
Suffolk	10/12/2010	3	2	3	3
Surrey	18/10/2010	3	3	3	3
Swindon	07/01/2010	2	1	2	2
Torbay	22/10/2010	4	4	3	3
Trafford	24/05/2010	2	2	2	2
Wakefield	26/01/2011	3	3	2	2
Warrington	06/11/2009	4	4	3	3
Warrington (Re-inspection)	25/02/2011	3	3	3	3

		Safeguardin	g Services	Services for Looked After Children		
Local Authority	Publication date	Overall effectiveness	Capacity to improve	Overall effectiveness	Capacity to improve	
West Sussex	17/12/2010	4	4	3	3	
Wirral	11/03/2011	2	2	2	2	
Wokingham	27/08/2010	3	3	3	3	
Wolverhampton	22/07/2011	3	2	2	2	
Worcestershire	12/11/2010	4	3	3	3	

Source: Ofsted inspections

1. Local authorities were given the following grades for the judgements shown: 1 Outstanding, 2 Good, 3 Adequate and 4 Inadequate.

2. Announced inspections of safeguarding and services for looked after children run on a three-year cycle. The first inspections took place in June 2009 and as at 30th June 2011 there had been 73 inspections completed, including two re-inspections.

3. The framework for the safeguarding and the looked after children inspections was reviewed during the three-year inspection cycle and a revised framework, incorporating minor changes, was introduced in November 2010. The first inspections took place under the revised framework from week beginning 27 November 2010. The judgements for Overall effectiveness and Capacity to improve are comparable and judgements for both inspection frameworks are therefore included in the table.

Table 3: All judgements given for safeguarding inspections: between 1 November 2010 to 30 June 2011

Select period^{1,2}:

1 November 2010 to 30 June 2011

Outcome	Overall effectiveness	Capacity to improve	Children and young people are safe and feel safe	Quality of provision	The contribution of health agencies to keeping children and young people safe	Leadership and management	Ambition and prioritisation	Performance Management & Quality Assurance	Partnership Working	Equality and diversity
Outstanding	0	3	0	0	3	4	5	2	4	1
Good	12	15	18	9	12	12	14	11	14	18
Adequate	14	10	8	16	14	10	10	12	10	10
Inadequate	3	1	3	4	0	3	0	4	1	0
Total for 1 November 2010 to 30 June 2011	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	29

Source: Ofsted inspections

1. The framework for the safeguarding and the looked after children inspections was reviewed during the three-year inspection cycle and a revised framework, incorporating minor changes, was introduced in November 2010. The first inspections took place under the revised framework from week beginning 27 November 2010. Data in this table are based on this revised framework.

Table 4: All judgements given for safeguarding inspections taking place between 1 November 2010 and 30 June 2011^{1,2} by local authority

Local Authority	Overall Effectiveness	Capacity for improvement	Children and young people are safe and feel safe	Quality of provision	The contribution of health agencies to keeping children and young people safe	Leadership and management	prioritisation	Performance Management & Quality Assurance	Partnership Working	Equality and diversity
Bournemouth	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Brighton & Hove	3	2	2	3	2	3	2	2	2	3
Buckinghamshire	2	2	2	3	2	2	2	2	2	2
Derby City	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Cornwall	4	3	4	4	3	4	3	4	4	3
Coventry	2	2	2	2	3	2	2	1	2	2
Derbyshire	2	2	2	2	3	2	2	3	2	2
Doncaster	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Ealing	2	1	2	2	2	1	1	2	1	2
East Sussex	2	2	2	3	3	2	2	2	2	2
Gateshead	3	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3	3
Gloucestershire	4	3	4	4	2	3	3	4	3	3
Halton	2	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	2
Hammersmith & Fulham	2	1	2	2	2	1	1	2	1	1
Haringey	3	2	3	3	3	2	2	3	2	2
Liverpool	2	2	2	2	1	2	1	2	2	2
Middlesbrough	3	2	2	3	3	3	2	2	3	3
Norfolk	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Northamptonshire	3	3	2	3	2	3	3	3	3	2
Nottingham City	2	2	2	3	2	2	2	2	2	2
Oxfordshire	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Portsmouth	3	2	2	3	2	2	2	3	2	2
Sefton	3	2	2	3	1	2	2	3	2	2
Shropshire	3	3	2	3	3	2	2	3	2	2
Slough	4	4	4	4	2	4	3	4	3	2
Wakefield	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	2	2
Warrington	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Wirral	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	2	1	3
Wolverhampton	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2

Source: Ofsted inspections

1. Local authorities were given the following grades for the judgements shown: 1 Outstanding, 2 Good, 3 Adequate and 4 Inadequate

2. The framework for the safeguarding and the looked after children inspections was reviewed during the three-year inspection cycle and a revised framework, incorporating minor changes, was introduced in November 2010. The first inspections took place under the revised framework from week beginning 27 November 2010. Data in this table include all inspections that took place using the revised framework between November 2010 and 30th June 2011.

Table 5: All judgements given for services for looked after children inspections: between 1 November 2010 to 30 June 2011

Select period^{1,2}: 1 November 2010 to 30 June 2011

Outcome	Overall effectiveness	Capacity to improve	Being healthy	Staying safe	Enjoying and achieving	Making a positive contribution	Achieving Economic well- being	Quality of provision	Leadership and management	Ambition and prioritisation	Performance Management & Quality Assurance	Equality and diversity
Outstanding	0	4	3	1	4	5	1	0	4	6	1	2
Good	16	15	12	18	15	13	17	14	13	11	11	17
Adequate	13	10	10	10	10	11	8	15	12	12	17	10
Inadequate	0	0	4	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
Total for 1 November 2010 to 30 June 2011	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	29

Source: Ofsted inspections

1. The framework for the safeguarding and the looked after children inspections was reviewed during the three-year inspection cycle and a revised framework, incorporating minor changes, was introduced in November 2010. The first inspections took place under the revised framework from week beginning 27 November 2010. Data in this table include all inspections that took place using the revised framework between November 2010 and 30th June 2011.

Local Authority	Overall effectiveness	Capacity for improvement	Being healthy	Staying safe	Enjoying and achieving	Making a positive contribution	Achieving Economic well- being	Quality of provision	Leadership and management	Ambition and prioritisation	Performance Management & Quality Assurance	Equality and diversity
Bournemouth	2	2	2	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Brighton & Hove	3	2	1	2	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	3
Buckinghamshire	2	2	2	2	1	3	2	2	2	2	2	2
Derby City	3	3	3	2	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	3
Cornwall	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	4	3	3	3	3
Coventry	3	2	4	2	2	2	3	3	2	2	2	2
Derbyshire	2	2	3	2	3	2	2	2	2	2	3	2
Doncaster	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Ealing	2	1	2	2	2	1	2	2	1	1	2	2
East Sussex	2	1	3	2	1	1	2	2	2	1	2	2
Gateshead	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Gloucestershire	3	3	4	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	2
Halton	2	1	2	1	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	2
Hammersmith & Fulham	2	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	2	1
Haringey	3	2	3	3	2	3	2	3	3	3	3	2
Liverpool	2	2	1	2	2	1	2	2	2	1	2	2
Middlesbrough	3	3	3	3	3	3	1	3	3	3	3	3
Norfolk	3	3	4	2	2	3	4	3	3	3	3	3
Northamptonshire	3	3	3	3	3	2	4	3	3	3	3	3
Nottingham City	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	2	2	2	2
Oxfordshire	2	2	2	2	3	1	2	2	2	2	2	2
Portsmouth	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	2
Sefton	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	2	2	2	3	2
Shropshire	2	2	3	2	1	2	3	2	2	2	2	2
Slough	3	3	2	3	3	2	2	3	3	3	3	1
Wakefield	2	2	2	3	2	2	2	3	2	2	3	2
Warrington	3	3	3	3	2	3	2	3	3	3	3	3
Wirral	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	1	1	2	3
Wolverhampton	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	3	3	2

Table 6: All judgements given for services for looked after children inspections taking place between 1 November 2010 and 30 June 2011^{1,2} by local authority

Source: Ofsted inspections

The framework for the safeguarding and the looked after children inspections was reviewed during the three-year inspection cycle and a revised framework, incorporating minor changes, was introduced in November 2010. The first inspections took place under the revised framework from week beginning 27 November 2010. Data in this table include all inspections that took place using the revised framework between November 2010 and 30th June 2011.
 Local authorities were given the following grades for the judgements shown: 1 Outstanding, 2 Good, 3 Adequate and 4 Inadequate

Table 7: Unannounced inspections carried out between 1 June 2009 and 30 June 2011¹

	Year 1 (2009-2010)	Year 2 (2010-2011)
Number of inspections ²	152	122
Number of local authorities with priority actions ³	n/a	3
		0 000 11 11

n/a = not applicable

Source: Ofsted inspections

1. Includes inspections carried out between 1 June 2009 and 30 June 2011 that have subsequently been published.

2. These data include the entire first cycle of inspections that took place between June 2009 and August 2010 and the inspections that have taken place in the, as yet incomplete, second cycle from September 2010 to June 2011.

3. The current definition of what constitutes a priority action was introduced on 1 April 2010 and data on priority actions are, therefore, not included for the first year of the inspection cycle.

Table 8: Unannounced inspections carried out between 1 June 2009 and 30 June 2011¹: by inspection year, publication date and whether any areas for priority action^{2,} by local authority

	Year 1 (2009-2010)	Year 2 (2010-2011)			
Local Authority	Published date	Published date	Area for priority action		
Barking & Dagenham	17/12/2009	13/01/2011	No		
Barnet	17/12/2009	01/12/2010	No		
Barnsley	04/06/2010	10/02/2011	No		
Bath & North East Somerset	17/06/2010	09/02/2011	No		
Bedford Borough	03/08/2011	17/06/2011	No		
Bexley	18/01/2010	17/11/2010	No		
Birmingham	09/12/2009				
Blackburn with Darwen	31/03/2010	05/11/2010	No		
Blackpool	17/09/2009	17/02/2011	No		
Bolton	27/05/2010				
Bournemouth	10/06/2010				
Bracknell Forest	02/09/2010	13/07/2011	No		
Bradford	17/09/2009	22/12/2010	No		
Brent	10/12/2009	22/12/2010	No		
Brighton & Hove	05/08/2010				
Bristol	02/10/2009				
Bromley	24/07/2009	10/05/2011	No		
Buckinghamshire	22/07/2009	10/00/2011			
Bury	10/06/2010	07/04/2011	No		
Calderdale	05/08/2009	16/02/2011	No		
Cambridgeshire	05/08/2009	17/03/2011	No		
Cambridgeshire	30/10/2009	08/12/2010	No		
Central Bedfordshire	21/05/2010	06/05/2010			
			No		
Cheshire East	18/08/2010	10/05/2011	No		
Cheshire West and Chester	21/05/2010	21/10/2010	Yes		
City of London	21/05/2010				
Cornwall	20/08/2009				
Coventry	15/01/2010	13/01/2011	No		
Croydon	04/01/2010	06/04/2011	No		
Cumbria	04/06/2010	16/03/2011	No		
Darlington	05/02/2010	20/10/2010	No		
Derbyshire	25/11/2009	03/11/2010	No		
Derby City	08/02/2010	01/12/2010	No		
Devon	28/07/2010	14/07/2011	No		
Doncaster	05/02/2010				
Dorset	17/06/2010	10/05/2011	No		
Dudley	14/07/2010	10/03/2011	No		
Durham	21/05/2010	09/03/2011	No		
Ealing	04/01/2010	15/12/2010	No		
East Riding of Yorkshire	29/01/2010	11/11/2010	No		
East Sussex	05/08/2009				
Enfield	19/08/2009	30/03/2011	No		
Essex	12/11/2009	06/05/2011	No		
Gateshead	21/05/2010				
Gloucestershire	24/02/2010				
Greenwich	19/08/2009	21/04/2011	No		
Hackney	09/09/2010	09/06/2011	No		
Halton	04/06/2010	09/00/2011	110		
Hammersmith & Fulham	25/08/2009	13/10/2010	No		
lampshire	17/09/2009	02/12/2010	No		
laringey	16/09/2010	15/10/0010	N I _		
larrow	04/01/2010	15/12/2010	No		
lartlepool	23/12/2009	16/03/2011	No		
lavering	02/09/2010	30/06/2011	No		
lerefordshire	28/07/2010	20/07/2011	No		
lertfordshire	04/11/2009	16/06/2011	No		
Hillingdon	29/07/2009	16/02/2011	No		
lounslow	04/08/2009	14/07/2011	No		
sles of Scilly	18/06/2010				
sle of Wight	07/07/2010	13/07/2011	No		
slington	17/02/2010	01/12/2010	No		

	Year 1 (2009-2010)	Year 2 (2010-2011)			
Local Authority	Published date	Published date	Area for priority action		
Kent	09/09/2010				
Kingston Upon Hull	19/01/2010	10/11/2010	No		
Kingston upon Thames	17/02/2010	08/12/2010	No		
Kirklees	11/11/2009				
Inowsley	26/08/2009	17/05/2011	No		
ambeth	15/09/2009	20/10/2010	No		
ancashire	02/12/2009	31/12/2010	No		
_eeds	19/08/2009	16/02/2011	No		
eicester City	15/09/2009	15/12/2010	No		
eicestershire	18/08/2010	06/07/2011	No		
ewisham	31/03/2010	15/12/2010	No		
incolnshire	04/09/2009	23/02/2011	No		
iverpool	17/02/2010				
uton	17/02/2010	22/12/2010	No		
<i>N</i> anchester	16/12/2009	22/12/2010			
Aedway	24/02/2010	23/02/2011	No		
Aerton	04/06/2010	13/01/2011	No		
	15/01/2010	13/10/2010	No		
Aiddlesbrough	10/12/2009				
Ailton Keynes	24/02/2010	16/03/2011	No		
lewcastle upon Tyne		23/12/2010	No		
Newham	21/05/2010	14/04/2011	No		
lorfolk	04/06/2010	06/05/2011	No		
North East Lincolnshire	24/02/2010	24/11/2010	No		
North Lincolnshire	17/12/2009	08/12/2010	No		
Jorth Somerset	10/06/2010	06/04/2011	No		
lorth Tyneside	15/01/2010	14/10/2010	No		
lorth Yorkshire	29/07/2009	23/02/2011	No		
lorthamptonshire	17/09/2009	18/11/2010	No		
lorthumberland	28/07/2010	27/07/2011	No		
lottingham City	06/01/2010				
lottinghamshire	06/11/2009	09/03/2011	No		
Idham	11/06/2010	10/02/2011	No		
Dxfordshire	23/12/2009	22/12/2010	No		
Peterborough	03/09/2009	03/03/2011	No		
Plymouth	04/11/2009	20/07/2011	No		
Poole	16/09/2010	20/07/2011	No		
Portsmouth	09/12/2009	23/12/2010	No		
Reading	24/09/2009	17/11/2010	No		
Redbridge	29/07/2009	24/11/2010	No		
Redcar & Cleveland	24/02/2010	08/12/2010	No		
Richmond Upon Thames	23/12/2009	22/12/2010	No		
Rochdale	04/01/2010	23/03/2011	No		
Rotherham	04/09/2009	09/06/2011	No		
Rutland	09/09/2010	09/06/2011	No		
Salford	15/09/2009	02/03/2011	No		
	17/07/2009	30/03/2011			
andwell			No		
Sefton	22/10/2009	17/11/2010	No		
heffield	16/09/2009	06/07/2011	No		
hropshire	21/05/2010				
Slough	04/09/2009	11/11/2010	No		
Golihull	09/09/2010	18/05/2011	No		
Somerset	25/08/2010	03/06/2011	No		
South Gloucestershire	14/07/2010	17/06/2011	No		
outh Tyneside	29/01/2010	14/10/2010	No		
outhampton	11/08/2010	03/06/2011	No		
outhend	28/06/2010	17/05/2011	No		
outhwark	21/05/2010	02/03/2011	No		
it. Helens	17/06/2010	31/03/2011	No		
Staffordshire	11/08/2010	27/07/2011	Yes		
Stockport	21/05/2010	06/05/2011	No		
Stockton-on-Tees	29/01/2010	09/06/2011	No		
Stoke-on-Trent	01/07/2010	06/04/2011	No		
Suffolk	18/08/2010				
Sunderland	21/07/2010				
Surrey	18/09/2009				
Sutton	21/05/2010	10/05/2011	No		
	21/03/2010	10/03/2011	INU		

	Year 1 (2009-2010)	Year 2 (2010-2011)			
Local Authority	Published date	Published date	Area for priority action		
Swindon	06/08/2009	16/03/2011	No		
Tameside	28/07/2010	24/03/2011	No		
Telford & Wrekin	17/06/2010	06/05/2011	No		
Thurrock	02/12/2009	08/12/2010	No		
Torbay	17/06/2010				
Tower Hamlets	24/02/2010	14/01/2011	No		
Trafford	15/10/2009	18/05/2011	No		
Wakefield	28/07/2010				
Walsall	17/02/2010	31/12/2010	No		
Waltham Forest	25/08/2010	30/06/2011	Yes		
Wandsworth	14/07/2010	07/07/2011	No		
Warrington	22/07/2009				
Warwickshire	14/07/2010	20/07/2011	No		
West Berkshire	05/02/2010	15/12/2010	No		
West Sussex	11/11/2009				
Westminster	16/09/2010	27/07/2011	No		
Wigan	05/02/2010	25/11/2010	No		
Wiltshire	26/08/2010	27/07/2011	No		
Windsor and Maidenhead	28/05/2010				
Wirral	18/08/2010				
Wokingham	01/10/2009	13/04/2011	No		
Wolverhampton	22/07/2009	13/10/2010	No		
Worcestershire	04/08/2010	14/07/2011	No		
York	21/05/2010	15/12/2010	No		

Source: Ofsted inspections

1. Inspections are carried out on an annual cycle and the table includes all inspections taking place up to 30 June 2011 and that have subsequently been published. These data include the first cycle of 152 inspections of LAs that took place between June 2009 and August

2. The current definition of what constitutes a priority action was introduced on 1 April 2010. There are, therefore, no data on priority actions for the first year of the inspection cycle.

Table 9: Children's Services Assessments for 2009 and 2010¹

Outcome	Children's Services Assessment (2009)	Children's Services Assessment (2010)
Performs excellently	10	20
Performs well	93	78
Performs adequately	40	39
Performs poorly	9	15
		Course Of to d in a stimu

Source: Ofsted inspections

1. The 2009 rating formed part of the wider Comprehensive Area Assessment, lead by the Audit Commission. The CAA was discontinued in spring 2010. The 2010 assessment is a stand-alone Ofsted assessment of LA children's services.

Table 10: Children's Services Assessments for 2009 and 2010 by local authority^{1,2}

Local Authority	Children's Services Assessment (2009)	Children's Services Assessmen (2010)
Barking & Dagenham	Performs well	Performs adequately
Barnet	Performs well	Performs excellently
Barnsley	Performs well	Performs adequately
Bath & North East Somerset	Performs well	Performs excellently
Bedford Borough	Performs well	Performs adequately
Bexley	Performs adequately	Performs adequately
Birmingham	Performs poorly	Performs poorly
Blackburn with Darwen	Performs excellently	Performs well
Blackpool	Performs well	Performs well
Bolton	Performs well	Performs adequately
Bournemouth	Performs well	Performs well
Bracknell Forest	Performs well	Performs well
Bradford	Performs adequately	Performs adequately
Brent	Performs well	Performs well
Brighton and Hove	Performs well	Performs adequately
Bristol	Performs adequately	Performs adequately
Bromley	Performs well	Performs wel
Buckinghamshire	Performs well	Performs excellently
Bury	Performs well	Performs well
Calderdale	Performs adequately	Performs poorly
Cambridgeshire	Performs well	Performs well
Camden	Performs excellently	Performs wel
Central Bedfordshire	Performs adequately	Performs adequately
Cheshire East	Performs well	Performs wel
Cheshire West and Chester	Performs well	Performs poorly
City of London	Performs excellently	Performs excellently
Cornwall	Performs poorly	Performs poorly
Coventry	Performs well	Performs wel
Croydon	Performs adequately	Performs adequately
Cumbria	Performs adequately	Performs wel
	Performs well	Performs adequately
Darlington	Performs well	Performs well
Derbyshire		
Derby City	Performs well	Performs adequately
Devon	Performs adequately	Performs wel
Doncaster	Performs poorly	Performs poorly
Dorset	Performs well	Performs wel
Dudley	Performs well	Performs wel
Durham	Performs well	Performs adequately
aling	Performs well	Performs wel
East Riding of Yorkshire	Performs well	Performs wel
ast Sussex	Performs well	Performs wel
Enfield	Performs well	Performs wel
Essex	Performs poorly	Performs poorly
Gateshead	Performs well	Performs well
Gloucestershire	Performs well	Performs well
Greenwich	Performs adequately	Performs adequately
łackney	Performs well	Performs wel
lalton	Performs well	Performs wel
lammersmith & Fulham	Performs well	Performs excellently
lampshire	Performs well	Performs excellent
laringey	Performs poorly	Performs adequately
Harrow	Performs well	Performs wel
Hartlepool	Performs well	Performs wel
lavering	Performs well	Performs excellently
lerefordshire	Performs well	Performs adequately
lertfordshire	Performs adequately	Performs wel
fillingdon	Performs well	Performs we
lounslow	Performs well	Performs excellently
sles of Scilly	Performs adequately	Performs wel
5	Performs adequately	Performs adequately
sle of Wight	Performs adequately Performs well	Performs adequately Performs wel
slington		
Censington and Chelsea	Performs excellently	Performs excellently
ient	Performs well	Performs poorly
Kingston upon Hull	Performs adequately	Performs adequately
Kingston upon Thames	Performs excellently	Performs excellently
Kirklees	Performs adequately	Performs wel
Knowsley	Performs adequately	Performs wel
	Performs well	Performs wel
Lambeth	Perioritis weil	Fenorins wen

ocal Authority	Children's Services Assessment (2009)	Children's Services Assessment (2010)	
eeds	Performs poorly	Performs poorly	
eicester City	Performs adequately	Performs adequately	
eicestershire	Performs well	Performs wel	
ewisham	Performs excellently	Performs excellently	
incolnshire	Performs well	Performs excellently	
iverpool	Performs well	Performs wel	
uton	Performs well	Performs wel	
lanchester	Performs adequately	Performs adequately	
ledway	Performs adequately	Performs wel	
lerton	Performs well	Performs adequately	
liddlesbrough	Performs adequately	Performs adequately	
lilton Keynes	Performs adequately	Performs wel	
ewcastle upon Tyne	Performs adequately	Performs wel	
lewham	Performs well	Performs wel	
orfolk	Performs well	Performs adequately	
orth East Lincolnshire	Performs well	Performs adequately	
orth Lincolnshire	Performs well	Performs adequately	
orth Somerset	Performs well	Performs excellently	
orth Tyneside	Performs well	Performs excellently	
orth Yorkshire	Performs well	Performs wel	
orthamptonshire	Performs adequately	Performs adequately	
orthumberland	Performs well	Performs well	
ottingham City	Performs well	Performs adequately	
5	Performs adequately	Performs poorly	
lottinghamshire	1 3		
Ildham Ixfordshiro	Performs well	Performs excellently	
externa constant and the second s	Performs well	Performs we	
eterborough	Performs adequately	Performs poorl	
lymouth	Performs adequately	Performs we	
oole	Performs well	Performs we	
ortsmouth	Performs well	Performs adequately	
eading	Performs adequately	Performs we	
edbridge	Performs adequately	Performs wel	
edcar & Cleveland	Performs well	Performs we	
ichmond upon Thames	Performs excellently	Performs excellently	
ochdale	Performs well	Performs we	
otherham	Performs poorly	Performs adequatel	
utland	Performs well	Performs we	
alford	Performs adequately	Performs poorly	
andwell	Performs adequately	Performs poorly	
efton	Performs well	Performs we	
heffield	Performs adequately	Performs we	
hropshire	Performs well	Performs we	
lough	Performs well	Performs we	
olihull	Performs well	Performs we	
omerset	Performs well	Performs we	
outh Gloucestershire	Performs well	Performs adequately	
outh Tyneside	Performs well	Performs adequately	
outhampton	Performs adequately	Performs adequately	
outhend	Performs well	Performs we	
outhwark t. Helens	Performs well	Performs adequatel	
	Performs well	Performs wel Performs we	
taffordshire	Performs well	Performs we	
tockport	Performs well		
tockton-on-Tees	Performs well	Performs well	
toke	Performs adequately	Performs adequately	
uffolk	Performs well	Performs we	
underland	Performs well	Performs we	
urrey	Performs adequately	Performs we	
utton	Performs well	Performs excellently	
windon	Performs well	Performs we	
ameside	Performs well	Performs adequatel	
elford & Wrekin	Performs adequately	Performs we	
hurrock	Performs adequately	Performs adequately	
orbay	Performs well	Performs poorly	
ower Hamlets	Performs excellently	Performs we	
rafford	Performs well	Performs excellently	
/akefield	Performs well	Performs we	
/alsall	Performs adequately	Performs adequately	
/altham Forest	Performs adequately	Performs adequately	
/andsworth	Performs excellently	Performs excellently	
/arrington	Performs poorly	Performs adequately	
	Performs well	Performs well	
/arwickshire		renums we	
/arwickshire /est Berkshire	Performs well	Performs we	

Local Authority	Children's Services Assessment (2009)	Children's Services Assessment (2010)
Westminster	Performs well	Performs well
Wigan	Performs well	Performs well
Wiltshire	Performs well	Performs well
Windsor and Maidenhead	Performs well	Performs well
Wirral	Performs well	Performs well
Wokingham	Performs poorly	Performs well
Wolverhampton	Performs well	Performs well
Worcestershire	Performs adequately	Performs poorly
York	Performs excellently	Performs excellently

Source: Ofsted inspections

1. The grading system for the Children's Services Assessment (CSA) is different to that used in inspections of local authorities' children's services. The grading is reverse in the CSA so that 4 is 'Performs excellently', 3 is 'Performs well', 2 is 'Performs adequately' and 1 is 'Performs poorly'.

2. The 2009 rating formed part of the wider Comprehensive Area Assessment, lead by the Audit Commission. The CAA was discontinued in spring 2010. The 2010 assessment is a stand-alone Ofsted assessment of LA children's services.

Chart 1: Overall judgements given for safeguarding and services for looked after children inspections taking place between 1 June 2009 and 30 June 2011 (by number)

	Overall effectiveness: safeguarding services	Capacity to improve: safeguarding services	Overall effectiveness: services for looked after children	Capacity to improve: services for looked after children
Outstanding	1	6	0	6
Good	21	26	32	35
Adequate	33	30	39	31
Inadequate	18	11	2	1

Overall effectiveness: 21 18 safeguarding services Capacity to improve: 26 11 safeguarding services Overall effectiveness: services 2 32 for looked after children Capacity to improve: services 1 35 for looked after children Outstanding Good Adequate Inadequate

Source: Ofsted inspections

Chart 2: Children's Services Assessments for 2009 and 2010 (by number)¹

	Children's Services Assessment (2009)	Children's Services Assessment (2010)
Performs excellently	10	20
Performs well	93	78
Performs adequately	40	39
Performs poorly	9	15

Source: Ofsted inspections

1. The 2009 rating formed part of the wider Comprehensive Area Assessment, lead by the Audit Commission. The CAA was discontinued in spring 2010. The 2010 rating is a stand-alone Ofsted assessment of LA children's services.

