


Supplement B

to Circular 03/04

Annexes to the Interim Audit Code of Practice



Learning+Skills Council

Contents

Supplement B to Circular 03/04

- Annex A: Mandatory Audit Requirements on Further Education Colleges
- Annex B: Minimum Terms of Reference for a Further Education College Audit Committee
- Annex C: Business Areas for Inclusion in Internal Audit Strategic Plans
- Annex D: Minimum Terms of Reference for Further Education College Internal Audit Services
- Annex E: Minimum Content for a Further Education College Internal Audit Annual Report
- Annex F: Funding Audit Arrangements
- Annex G: Accountability of Local Authorities and Audit Commission Certification of Auditors

Definition of Key Terms

Further information

For further information, please contact:
National Provider Financial Assurance Division
Learning and Skills Council
Cheylesmore House
Quinton Road
Coventry
CV1 2WT

Tel: 024 7649 3975

Website: www.lsc.gov.uk within documents and provider financial assurance

Assurance managers

Ian Mason
Learning and Skills Council
Cheylesmore House
Quinton Road
Coventry
CV1 2WT

Tel: 024 7682 3993

Email: ian.mason@lsc.gov.uk

Phil Eames
Learning and Skills Council West of England
St Lawrence House
29–31 Broad Street
Bristol
BS99 7HR

Tel: 0117 372 6517

Email: phil.eames@lsc.gov.uk

Annex A: Mandatory Audit Requirements on Further Education Colleges

The index references the mandatory requirements for FE colleges and their auditors. Audit requirements of the articles of government and the financial memorandum are given in paragraphs 30 and 31.

Paragraph numbers

Audit Committee

Approval of financial statements	35
Attendance by auditors	41
Business cycle	43
Confidential session	40
Consideration of audit findings	42
Fraud	103
Membership	37, 38
Performance of auditors	44
Right to obtain information	36
Terms of reference	33, 34

Internal Audit Service

Access rights of IAS	97, 98
Access to IAS and working papers	91
Additional services	99, 100
Annual plans	61
Annual report	66
Appointment	82, 83, 84
Approach	63
Assignment plans	62
Assignment report	65

Code of Ethics for Internal Auditors	57
Compliance with GIAS	47
Due care and professional care	95, 96
Follow up work	64
Fraud	103, 104, 105
Funding auditor reliance	52
Independence	54
Liability	88, 89
Quality assurance	67, 68
Relationships	56
Removal and resignation	90
Resources	53
Rotation of the head of the IAS	85
Staffing	55, 58
Strategy	59, 60
Terms of reference	50
Financial Statements Auditor	
Access to financial statements auditors	93
Access rights of financial statements auditors	97, 98
Additional services	99, 100
Appointment	82, 83, 84
Audit report	69, 76, 77
Corporate governance	71
Due care and professional care	95, 96
Eligibility	79
Engagement letter	72, 73
Fraud	102, 104, 105
Funding auditor interaction	75
Liability	87, 89
Regularity and propriety	70
Rotation of partner	85

Funding Auditor

Access rights of funding auditor	97, 98
Additional services	99, 100, 101
Due care and professional care	95, 96
Fraud	105

Information to Be Sent to the Learning and Skills Council

The FE college must promptly send to the head of PFA at its local LSC:

Annual IAS report	66
Financial statements auditor's management letters	78
Tender proposals	83

The FE college must report to the LSC's chief executive, without delay:

Serious weaknesses, significant frauds, major accounting and other control breakdowns	105
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

Where applicable, the FE college must inform the head of PFA at its local LSC of:

Details of a significant change of audit service provider	86
Disagreement over the level of internal audit resources	53
Gaps in the audit service	82
Resignation statements of auditors	90

Where applicable, the financial statements auditors must inform the head of PFA at its local LSC of:

Qualifications in audit reports	77
---------------------------------	----

Annex B: Minimum Terms of Reference for a Further Education College Audit Committee

As a minimum the terms of reference for a FE college audit committee must include the following responsibilities:

- to advise the governing body on the adequacy and effectiveness of the FE college's systems of internal control and its arrangements for risk management, control and governance processes, and securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness (value for money);
- to advise the governing body on the appointment, reappointment, dismissal and remuneration of the financial statements auditor and the IAS;
- to advise the governing body on the scope and objectives of the work of the IAS, the financial statements auditor and the funding auditor;
- to ensure effective coordination between the IAS, the funding auditor and the financial statements auditor including whether the work of the funding auditor should be relied upon for internal audit purposes;
- to consider and advise the governing body on the audit strategy and annual internal audit plans for the IAS;
- to advise the governing body on internal audit assignment reports and annual reports and on control issues included in the management letters of the financial statements auditor and the funding auditor, and management's responses to these;
- to monitor, within an agreed timescale, the implementation of agreed recommendations relating to internal audit assignment reports, internal audit annual reports, the funding auditor's management letter and spot-check reports and the financial statements auditor's management letter;
- to consider and advise the governing body on relevant reports by the NAO, the LSC and other funding bodies, and where appropriate management's response to these;
- to establish, in conjunction with FE college management, relevant annual performance measures and indicators, and to monitor the effectiveness of the IAS and financial statements auditor through these measures and indicators and decide, based on this review, whether a competition for price and quality of the audit service is appropriate;
- to produce an annual report for the governing body and accounting officer, which should include the committee's advice on the effectiveness of the FE college's risk management, control and governance processes, and any significant matters arising from the work of the IAS, the funding auditors and the financial statements auditor;
- to ensure that all allegations of fraud and irregularity are properly followed up; and

- to be informed of all additional services undertaken by the IAS, the financial statements auditors and the funding auditors.

If the governing body decides to approve the financial statements on the advice of either the audit committee or the audit committee and the finance committee, the following term of reference can be added:

- to recommend the annual financial statements to the governing body for approval.

Annex C: Business Areas for Inclusion in Internal Audit Strategic Plans

To assist audit committees and internal audit providers, the business areas set out below must, where applicable, be included as a minimum within FE colleges' internal audit plans.

To be included in annual plans:

- the operation of governance processes; and
- the operation of risk management processes.

To be included in strategic (that is over a three to five-year period) plans:

- long term planning, including formulating bids for allocations from the LSC and non-LSC funding;
- learner numbers systems and funding claims, where the IAS and the audit committee decides not to accept the LSC statement or the LSC statement is not available;
- other control aspects of learner numbers systems and income streams not covered by the funding auditor;
- financial planning, budget setting, monitoring and forecasting;
- fundamental financial accounting and asset controls;
- significant partnerships including FE college subsidiary or associated companies, franchising, joint ventures, subcontracted direct learning provision and **learnirect** hubs where the FE college is the recipient college;

- procurement and payments;
- capital project planning, management and evaluation;
- security of the IT infrastructure and disaster recovery;
- contractors of the FE college who provide any service that handles or processes FE college finances or FE college management information in any form; and
- personnel, the appointment and departure of staff and senior postholders both full-time and part-time, the payment of salaries, wages and other staff payments.

Annex D: Minimum Terms of Reference for Further Education College Internal Audit Services

1 The IAS is responsible for conducting an independent appraisal of all the FE college's activities, financial and otherwise. It should provide a service to the whole FE college, including the governing body and all levels of FE college management. It is not an extension of, nor a substitute for, good FE college management, although it can have a role in advising FE college management. The IAS is responsible for giving assurance to the FE college's governing body and principal on the adequacy and effectiveness of the FE college's risk management, control and governance processes. The IAS assists FE college management by evaluating and reporting to it the effectiveness of the controls for which it is responsible. This work contributes to the opinion that the IAS provides on risk management, control and governance processes. It remains the duty of FE college management, not the IAS, to operate adequate systems of risk management, control and governance. It is for FE college management to determine whether or not to accept audit recommendations and to recognise and accept the risks of not taking action.

Appointment

2 The IAS is appointed by the governing body for a term of () years commencing (). This is subject to satisfactory annual performance evidenced by annual review by the audit committee and recommendation to the governing body. Remuneration shall be fixed by the governing body on the advice of the audit committee.

Scope

3 All the FE college's activities, funded from whatever source, fall within the remit of the IAS. The IAS may consider the adequacy of controls necessary to secure propriety, economy, efficiency and effectiveness in all areas. It will seek to confirm that FE college management has taken the necessary steps to achieve these objectives and manage the associated risks. The scope of the IAS's work shall cover operational and FE college management controls and should not be restricted to the audit of systems and controls necessary to form an opinion on the financial statements. As a minimum, the scope of work shall cover the business areas set out in Annex C to the Interim Audit Code of Practice. The IAS shall devote particular attention to any aspects of risk management, control and governance processes affected by material changes to the FE college's risk environment.

4 The systems of control subject to the IAS's work shall include those systems of control operated by:

- all FE college subsidiary or associated companies;
- the FE college's partners in franchised learning provision;
- joint ventures;
- **learndirect** hubs where the FE college is the recipient college;
- subcontracted direct learning providers; and

- contractors of the FE college who provide any service that handles or processes FE college finances or management information in any form.

5 It is not within the remit of the IAS to question the appropriateness of policy decisions. However, the IAS is required to examine the arrangements by which such decisions are made, monitored and reviewed.

6 (This paragraph should be inserted if the governing body agree). The IAS may also conduct any special reviews requested by the governing body, audit committee or principal, provided such reviews do not compromise the audit service's objectivity or independence, or the achievement of the approved audit plan.

Responsibilities

7 The head of the IAS is required to give an annual opinion to the governing body, through the audit committee, on the adequacy and effectiveness of the FE college's risk management, control and governance processes. He or she should also comment on other activities for which the governing body is responsible, and to which the IAS has access.

8 The IAS fulfils its terms of reference through review and evaluation of risk management, control and governance processes. These comprise the policies, procedures and operations in place to:

- establish and monitor the achievement of the FE college's objectives;
- identify, assess and manage the risks to achieving the FE college's objectives;
- advise on, formulate, and evaluate policy, within the responsibilities of the principal as accounting officer;
- ensure the economical, effective and efficient use of resources;
- ensure compliance with established policies, procedures, laws and regulations;

- safeguard the FE college's assets and interests from losses of all kinds including those arising from fraud, irregularity and corruption; and

- ensure the integrity and reliability of information, accounts and data, including internal and external reporting and accountability processes.

Standards and Approach

9 The IAS's work will be performed with due professional care, in accordance with the requirements of the LSC as detailed in the LSC's Interim Audit Code of Practice and the standards for the IAS promulgated by HM Treasury. The work shall comply in all material respects with GIAS. The IAS should also have regard to advice provided by professional auditing and accountancy bodies.

10 The head of the IAS shall implement measures to monitor the effectiveness of the service and compliance with standards. The head of the IAS should prepare a set of performance measures and criteria for the IAS function, which shall be agreed with the audit committee.

11 In achieving its objectives, the IAS shall develop and implement an audit strategy that assesses the FE college's risk management, control and governance processes.

Independence

12 The IAS has no executive role, nor does it have any responsibility for the development, implementation or operation of systems. However, it may provide independent and objective advice on risk management, control, governance processes and related matters, subject to resource constraints. For day to day administrative purposes only, the head of the IAS reports to a senior officer within the FE college and the reporting arrangements must take account of the nature of audit work undertaken. The head of the IAS has a right of direct access to the chair of the governing body, the chair of the audit committee and the principal.

13 The audit committee shall advise the governing body on all matters concerning internal control. Within the FE college, responsibility for the FE college's risk management, control and governance processes rests with the governing body and management, who shall ensure that appropriate and adequate arrangements exist without relying on the FE college's IAS. To preserve the objectivity and impartiality of the IAS's professional judgement, responsibility for implementing audit recommendations rests with FE college management.

Access and Liaison

14 The FE college IAS shall have unrestricted access to all documents, records, assets, personnel and premises of the FE college and its companies, and to relevant documents of franchise providers, and be authorised to obtain such information and explanations as they consider necessary to form their opinion. Where the FE college is a recipient of **learnirect** funding, the IAS shall have unrestricted access to all relevant documents, assets, personnel and premises that they consider necessary for their opinion.

15 In turn, the IAS agrees to comply with any requests from the financial statements auditors, the LSC, the DfES's internal auditors and the NAO for access to any information, files or working papers obtained or prepared during audit work that they need to discharge their responsibilities. The LSC seeks to place reliance upon the work of the FE college's IAS. Liaison is necessary for the LSC to form this opinion and shall not give rise to additional fees.

16 The IAS shall liaise with the financial statements auditor, the funding auditor and the LSC's national and local PFA teams to enhance the level of service it provides to the FE college management. The IAS and the audit committee may seek to place reasonable reliance on the work of the funding auditor based on the scope of the LSC's assurance procedures over the work of the funding auditor.

Reporting

17 The IAS shall prepare, before the beginning of the year, a long-term strategy document supported by an assessment of resource needs and an annual audit plan. These shall be submitted to the governing body for approval following consultation with relevant managers and the principal, and on the recommendation of the audit committee.

18 The IAS produces its reports, in writing, usually within one month of completion of each audit, giving an opinion on the area reviewed and making recommendations where appropriate. Recommendations should be prioritised. All reports are provided to the principal and should be copied to the audit committee. Heads of department or services shall be required to respond to each audit report, usually within one month of issue. In their response, they should state, for agreed recommendations, their proposed action, the person responsible for implementation and a date by which action will be completed. Material recommendations will usually be followed up some six to twelve months later. In addition the audit committee shall monitor the implementation of audit recommendations by FE college management.

19 The head of the IAS submits an annual report to the governing body and principal through the audit committee, based on the FE college's financial year. This gives an opinion on the adequacy and effectiveness of the FE college's risk management, control and governance processes and on the arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness.

20 The IAS's annual report to the governing body includes:

- a statement of the overall adequacy and effectiveness of the FE college's risk management, control and governance processes;
- any qualifications to that opinion, together with the reasons for the qualification;

- a notice of any issues that the head of the IAS judges relevant to the preparation of the Statement of the Responsibilities of the Members of the Governing Body, the Corporate Governance Statement and the Statement on the System of Internal Financial Control such as an analysis of common or significant weaknesses or details of any major internal audit findings where action appears to be desirable but has not been taken;
- a comparison of the IAS's activity during the year with that planned, placed in the context of the IAS need;
- a summary of the performance of the IAS function against its performance measures and criteria;
- comment on compliance with the GIAS; and
- the results of the IAS quality assurance programme.

21 The minimum content for an IAS's annual report is set out as Annex E.

22 The head of the IAS reviews the annual report with the principal and audit committee prior to publication. A copy of the annual report is sent without delay to the local LSC's head of PFA by the FE college, after it has been received by the governing body on the advice of the audit committee. Copies of other reports and responses to them should not routinely be sent to the local LSC's head of PFA but the local LSC's head of PFA may exceptionally request them.

23 The head of the IAS is accountable to the principal and the governing body through the audit committee for the performance of the service. The IAS also reports audit findings to the heads of department or services within the FE college. The head of the IAS shall keep the principal informed of audit results and draw the attention of the audit committee to significant findings and recommendations. This may be done by providing the audit committee with copies of all reports, or by

reporting by exception, or by providing a summary of key issues.

Irregularities, Including Fraud and Corruption

24 The IAS shall without delay report to the LSC's chief executive, and copy to the local executive director, serious weaknesses, significant fraud, major accounting and other breakdowns.

25 The head of the IAS shall be informed when evidence of potential irregularity, including fraud, corruption or any impropriety, is discovered so that he or she can consider the adequacy of the relevant controls, evaluate the implication of the fraud on the risk management, control and governance processes and consider making recommendations as appropriate. The role of the IAS is not to investigate the irregularity unless commissioned to do so.

Ownership of Papers

26 The IAS's papers are the property of the FE college. In the event of a change of the IAS provider, the outgoing IAS provider may, with the agreement of the FE college, surrender all audit working papers which demonstrate compliance with the GIAS. Arrangements should be made for the outgoing IAS provider to meet the incoming IAS provider where appropriate.

27 (If the governing body agree that the working papers remain the property of the IAS provider, the wording of Appendix C of the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales Technical Release 2/98 Access to the Working Papers of Internal and External Auditors of FE colleges should be followed).

Electronic Communication

28 During the engagement the IAS and the FE college may from time to time communicate electronically with each other. However, the electronic transmission of information cannot be guaranteed to be secure or free of viruses or error and such

information could arrive late or incomplete, be intercepted, corrupted, lost, destroyed, or otherwise be adversely affected or unsafe to use. No systems or procedures can guarantee that transmissions will be unaffected by such hazards.

29 The IAS and the FE college confirm that each accepts the risks of electronic communications between each other. Each agrees to use commercially reasonable procedures to check for the most commonly known viruses before sending information electronically. Each shall be responsible for protecting its own systems and interests in using electronic communications. The IAS and the FE college shall have no liability to each other on any basis, whether in contract, tort (including negligence) or otherwise, in respect of any error, damage, loss or omission arising from or in connection with the electronic communication of information between each other and the reliance on such information.

Termination of Appointment

30 The governing body may, by resolution, remove the FE college's IAS before its term of office expires, notwithstanding any agreement between the IAS and the FE college.

31 Where the IAS ceases to hold office for any reason, it shall provide the governing body with either a statement of any circumstances connected with the removal or resignation that it considers should be brought to the governing body's attention, or a statement that there are no such circumstances. The FE college must copy this statement to the head of PFA at their local LSC, without delay.

Limitation of Liability

32 For internal audit work FE colleges can negotiate over any proposal by them to restrict or cap their liability. The governing body must specifically consider and approve any request for a liability restriction. This limit must not be under £1 million. for smaller FE colleges and more for larger FE colleges.

Annex E: Minimum Content for a Further Education College Internal Audit Annual Report

Introduction

1 My internal audit work for the period from 1 August 20xx to 31 July 20xx was carried out in accordance with the internal audit plan approved by the governing body. The plan was constructed in such a way as to allow me to make a statement of the adequacy and effectiveness of the college's risk management, control and governance processes.

Internal Audit Planned Coverage and Output

2 The governing body agreed to an input of xx days of internal audit coverage in the year.

3 The planned reviews approved by the governing body, the planned number of days and the actual time against plan are shown below.

(A table showing the internal audit review, the type of review (that is systems audit, compliance audit, controls self assessment etc.), planned days, actual days and comments. The table should include any additional work carried out).

Management Action on Recommendations

4 Management [have/have not] taken or planned appropriate and timely action to implement our recommendations.

(Management have not taken appropriate and timely action on the following significant recommendations)

Operational Assurance

5 The IAS reviewed and evaluated the college's processes in a number of business areas:

(A list of the business areas audited in 20xx/xx and the process reviewed).

6 From these examinations the IAS concluded that the policies, procedures and operations in place to:

- establish and monitor the achievement of the college objectives are (good/adequate/weak);
- identify, assess and manage the risks to achieving the college's objectives are (good/adequate/weak);
- advise on, formulate, and evaluate policy, within the responsibilities of the principal as accounting officer, are (good/adequate/weak);
- ensure economical and efficient use of resources are (good/adequate/weak);
- ensure compliance with the college's policies, procedures, laws and regulations are (good/adequate/weak);
- safeguard the college's assets and interest from losses of all kinds, including those from fraud, irregularity and corruption, are (good/adequate/weak); and
- ensure the integrity and reliability of information, accounts and data,

including internal and external reporting and accountability processes, are (good/adequate/weak).

- assurances provided by the LSC in respect of the learner numbers system. (These may not all be applicable to every college.)

Overall Assurance

7 As the head of internal audit of (name of college), I am required to provide the governing body and the principal with an opinion on the adequacy and effectiveness of the college's risk management, control and governance processes. In giving my opinion it should be noted that assurance can never be absolute. The most that the IAS can provide to the governing body is reasonable assurance that there are no major weaknesses in the college's risk management, control and governance processes. In assessing the level of assurance to be given, I have taken into account:

- all audits undertaken during (the year reported upon);
- any follow-up action taken in respect of audits from previous periods;
- any significant recommendations not accepted by management and the consequent risks;
- the effects of any significant changes in the college's objectives or systems;
- matters arising from previous reports to the governing body;
- any limitations which may have been placed on the scope of internal audit;
- the extent to which resource constraints may impinge on the head of internal audit's ability to meet the full audit needs of the college;
- what proportion of the college's audit need has been covered to date;
- the outcomes of the IAS's quality assurance processes;
- the results reported in the funding auditor's management letters; and

Opinion

8 I (am/am not) satisfied that sufficient internal audit work has been undertaken to allow me to draw a reasonable conclusion as to the adequacy and effectiveness of the college's risk management, control and governance processes. In my opinion () college (has/does not have) adequate and effective risk management, control and governance processes to manage its achievement of the college's objectives.

Possible Qualifications

9 (These qualifications must only be used with an adverse opinion.)

Where significant deficiencies in (risk management, control or governance) processes have been identified by either management or the IAS, I am satisfied that they have been resolved in an appropriate manner.

(or)

Where significant deficiencies in (risk management, control or governance) processes have been identified by either management or the IAS, I am satisfied that the actions the college's management plan to take will, if implemented satisfactorily, resolve the deficiencies in an appropriate manner.

(or)

This opinion is based upon my conclusion that significant deficiencies in (risk management, control or governance) processes have not been adequately resolved.

(or)

Restrictions to the audit programme prevent me from providing an opinion on the adequacy and effectiveness of (risk management, control or governance) processes.

(or)

I have not completed sufficient work at the college to date to enable me to conclude whether () College has adequate and effective (risk management, control or governance) processes.

Performance of the Internal Audit Service

10 Annual performance indicators set by the audit committee at the (summer/autumn) meeting are listed below.

11 (List of annual performance indicators compared with the actual performance of the IAS with explanatory comments as appropriate).

12 The results of the internal audit quality assurance programme are set out below.

13 (Brief description of the quality assurance programme and the main results).

14 The IAS (has/has not) complied with the Government Internal Audit Standards and the requirements of the Interim Audit Code of Practice.

(The wording of the report need not be restricted to that shown. The head of internal audit may wish to expand the text, for example where there are areas of deficiency).

Annex F: Funding Audit Arrangements

Role

1 The objective of the funding auditor is to audit and report on the FE college's ILR data, final funding claim based upon this data and any claims for LSC funding of the FE college within the scope of their work. This is collectively known as the funding audit.

2 Primary responsibility for the accurate compilation and submission of the FE college's funding returns rests with the principal as accounting officer.

Appointment

3 For the 2002/03 funding year, the LSC has contracted with eight firms of auditors to undertake the audit of FE colleges' funding claims. The LSC reserves the right to review the appointment of the funding auditors annually, and reappointment will be subject to satisfactory performance. The contracts are for three years with an option to extend to five years. The three-year contracts cover the funding years 2000/01 to 2002/03. The duties of the funding auditor are clearly presented in a standard letter of engagement between the auditor and the LSC. For the 2002/03 funding year the work of these eight firms is being selectively extended to include the audit of other LSC funding streams to reduce the number of separate audits that FE colleges have. A small specialist contract management team reporting to the LSC's national assistant director of finance (provider financial support) monitors the contract with the audit firms centrally.

4 There will be a new competition for the selection of all, or elements, of the funding audit contract within three to five years of

commencement. Where two or more FE colleges merge, and where one of the funding auditors is also the financial statements auditor of the merged FE college, that firm will continue to provide funding audit services for the merged FE college. The arrangements for funding audit for merged FE colleges must be referred to the funding audit contract management team.

5 The planned cost of the funding audit is met by the LSC. Where the funding auditors encounter significant unforeseen problems attributable to FE colleges, the cost of significant overruns will be borne by those FE colleges. Before funding auditors incur such additional time, they must agree the nature and extent of any additional work with the local LSC and the FE college.

Audit Approach

6 The funding auditors will agree a formal, deliverable timetable with FE college management to ensure that the audit work is carried out to enable the meeting of deadlines for the submission of funding claims and related records and data returns. This timetable will need to take account of meetings of the governing body to approve the financial statements and also meetings of the audit committee to review the management letter and the finance or audit committee to consider the financial statements before recommending them to the governing body. Funding auditors will inform local LSCs of key audit milestones.

Reporting

7 The funding auditor will form interim and final opinions on the FE college's funding

claims and related records and data returns. They will copy their opinions to local LSCs and FE colleges.

8 The sequence of events is as follows.

- The funding auditors will provide an interim opinion to the relevant local LSC, and copy it to the FE college, on the college's July funding claim, once they have completed their substantive testing.
- The local LSC will provide the FE college with a recovery and tolerance statement within two weeks of receiving the interim report, confirming the position to be used as the basis of the FE college's funded income figure in the financial statements and any associated creditor or provision.
- Following receipt of the interim claim and opinion and the issue of the recovery and tolerance statement, the executive director of the local LSC will issue a letter of assurance to the FE college confirming that the financial statements auditor does not need to carry out detailed work on LSC funding. The FE college should pass on the recovery and tolerance statement and the statement of assurance to the financial statements auditor.
- Any difference between the funding income stream in the accounts and the recovery and tolerance statement must be discussed with the FE college and if the accounts are not adjusted, must be reported to the head of PFA at the local LSC and if material the financial statements audit report must be qualified.
- The financial statements auditor shall provide an opinion on the FE college's financial statements to the local LSC and the FE college by 31 January following the year end.

9 The funding auditor will provide a final audit opinion to the local LSC on the FE

college's final (December) funding return in February following the year end.

10 The LSC produces a model management letter in guidance that is issued from time to time. The format of the management letter has been standardised to aid consistency in reporting across the sector. The format of the management letter will be posted to the LSC website in due course.

11 The LSC's funding auditor will promptly send a copy of its finalised management letters, including those arising from any interim audit, audit of the final funding claim and other reports of audit findings, to the FE college.

12 Where the provider has subcontracted, franchised or partnership learning provision, the funding auditor will perform spot-check visits, in accordance with a standard matrix set out by the LSC. This will result in a separate letter that will be prepared in accordance with a model format provided by the LSC for funding auditors to use. The format of this management letter will be posted on the LSC website in due course. As with the management letter, issues arising from the spot-check visits must, in the first instance, be discussed with the FE college.

13 Although there is no contractual relationship between the FE college and the funding auditor, the LSC has required its contractors to liaise with and report to FE college audit committees. Under the terms of the funding audit contract the funding auditor will attend FE college audit committee meetings to present the management letter prior to its submission to the LSC.

Annex G: Accountability of Local Authorities and Audit Commission Certification of Auditors

Overarching Accountability Requirements on Local Authorities

1 Members and officers of local authorities are accountable for ensuring that proper arrangements are in place for the authority's corporate governance and the stewardship of its resources. In particular, they must ensure that:

- business is conducted within the law and relevant regulations, under proper arrangements to ensure the regularity of transactions;
- affairs are managed in accordance with proper standards of financial conduct, to ensure probity and prevent and detect fraud and corruption;
- there are sound arrangements for accounting records, and financial monitoring and reporting, so that public money is safeguarded and properly accounted for;
- systems of internal control, including internal financial controls, have been developed and implemented and are monitored to ensure they remain adequate and effective; and
- all resources are used economically, efficiently and effectively.

2 Each year, auditors appointed by the Audit Commission review and report on the authority's corporate arrangements for these

responsibilities under the Commission's Code of Audit Practice.

3 Local authorities must satisfy themselves that they will be able to comply with the terms and conditions of the LSC's funding before accepting it. They must establish effective administrative and financial systems for each of the LSC's funding streams, and these systems must include adequate and effective internal controls and proper arrangements to prevent and detect fraud. The accounting records for the LSC's funds must be sufficient to show all of the authority's transactions, and be kept up to date and maintained in accordance with proper practices.

4 Local authorities are responsible for the proper, accurate and timely preparation of the claims and returns required by the LSC. Claims and returns must be completed in accordance with the terms and conditions of the LSC's schemes. Their preparation should be adequately supervised and reviewed before the certificate required from the local authority's chief finance officer is given, so that the chief finance officer may be satisfied with the entries made and that the assurance given by his or her certificate is well founded. Claims and returns must be supported by adequate working papers (including, where applicable, non-financial records) that:

- satisfy the statutory requirement on the chief finance officer (under regulation 4, Accounts and Audit Regulations 1996, SI 590) to maintain adequate records in relation to claims;

- document the basis of the claim or return and the derivation of the information it contains; and
- are kept in a form which will help the auditor and reduce certification time (and, therefore, the cost to the authority).

Certification by Auditors Appointed by the Audit Commission

5 Currently the LSC seeks assurance from auditors appointed by the Audit Commission on its adult and community learning and further education funding. The Audit Commission has a statutory responsibility to make arrangements for the certification of grant claims and returns, including those which the LSC requires for its funding. Local authorities are responsible for ensuring that only the auditor appointed to them by the Audit Commission certifies claims and returns for the LSC's funding.

6 Certification work is not covered by the Commission's Code of Audit Practice as auditors perform certification work as agents of the Audit Commission and they are required to comply with:

- the Commission's general certification instruction (CI A01) for claims and returns; and
- the specific instructions issued by the Commission for the LSC's funding streams (which are developed in consultation with the LSC).

7 Where appropriate for certification purposes, auditors should consider whether reliance can be placed on their other work (on systems, final accounts or fraud and corruption) carried out during their audit of the authority's financial statements. Occasions arise when claims or returns include entries for which another body holds the only supporting information. This is an increasingly common situation with contracting out of tuition, or financial procedures such as payroll. In these

circumstances, in order to complete and certify the claim, the local authority will need assurance that the transactions included in its claims have been incurred and are eligible for grant. The local authority might obtain such assurance, for example, by obtaining independently certified statements for each contracted-out procedure or partner (in sufficient detail to support the eligibility of transactions in its claim, or by undertaking frequent spot checks for routine monitoring purposes). Auditors are not normally expected to visit third parties in cases where insufficient information is held by the authority to support the claim form entries, but must issue a qualification letter to the LSC setting out the facts.

Definition of Key Terms

Act

The Learning and Skills Act 2000.

Adult and community learning

The LSC grants funds to LEAs for the delivery of adult and community learning in accordance with each LEA's adult learning plans. A funding agreement, signed by both parties, records the agreement regarding the amount of funding to be paid in support of the LEA's adult learning plan agreed with the LSC.

DfES

The Department for Education and Skills (formerly the Department for Education and Employment).

FE college

FE colleges are those incorporated under the *Further and Higher Education Act 1992* or funded under the financial memorandum set out in FEFC Circular 99/48.

Financial memorandum

A memorandum that sets out the terms and conditions of the agreement under which the LSC provides grant-in-aid to FE colleges and dance and drama schools. It provides information on the framework of relationships between FE colleges and the LSC. The financial memorandum is set out in Circular 99/48 inherited from FEFC.

Financial statements auditor

The financial statements audit of a college may be undertaken by:

a those accountants who are both registered to audit limited companies in accordance with part II of the *Companies Act 1989* and who are members of one of the following professional accountancy bodies:

- Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales;
 - Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland;
 - Institute of Chartered Accountants in Ireland;
 - Chartered Association of Certified Accountants; or
 - Association of Authorised Public Accountants;
- or

b partners in public practice who are members of the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy.

The Audit Commission may also carry out audits of financial statements for local authorities and the National Health Service in England and Wales.

Funding agreement

The LSC's funding agreements are its formal terms and conditions for providing funding to FE colleges and other providers. These funding agreements may take the form of a financial memorandum (or part thereof) for grant-in-aid funding, a grant letter or a contract.

Funding auditor

The auditor responsible for the audit of LSC funding streams. At FE colleges the LSC has appointed eight firms to undertake the audit of college's ILR data and final funding claims based upon this data and any claims for LSC funding of the college within the scope of their work.

Government accounting

The Treasury guide published by HMSO as *Government Accounting: A Guide on Accounting*

and Financial Procedures for the Use of Government Departments.

Government internal audit standards

The LSC specifies in the Code that the operation and conduct of the college IAS must comply with the standards of internal audit promulgated by HM Treasury. These standards are now known as Government Internal Audit Standards issued in July 2001.

A copy of the GIAS is available online (www.hm-treasury.gov.uk).

Individual learner's return

The ILR replaced the Individualised Student Record for 2002/03 as the records FE colleges and other providers are required to keep as the basis for their funding claims and other statistical and information returns to the LSC and other interested parties.

Internal audit

Internal audit is defined in the GIAS as being 'an independent and objective appraisal service within an organisation'. Each college governing body must appoint an IAS. It must be separate from college management, even if provided in house, and without any executive, management or operational responsibilities outside internal audit. It is not permissible for FE colleges to appoint as internal auditors the same firm that undertakes the financial statements audit or the contractors appointed by the LSC to undertake the funding audit.

Learner numbers system

The systems kept by the providers to record details of learners. A key element of the learner numbers system will be the ILR.

Specialist designated institutions

Publicly funded FE institutions incorporated as limited companies.

Work-based learning

Work-based learning refers to a collective group of learning programmes delivered in a work or work-related setting to young people aged 16 to 24.

Notes

© LSC March 2003

Published by the Learning and Skills Council. Extracts from this publication may be reproduced for non-commercial educational or training purposes on condition that the source is acknowledged and the findings are not misrepresented.

This publication is available in an electronic form on the Council's website: www.lsc.gov.uk

The Learning and Skills Council offers an alerting service for any circular posted to our website, to subscribe to this service visit <http://www.lsc.gov.uk/subscriptions.cfm>

Publication enquiries: 0870 900 6800

Reference CIRC/0660/03