ANNEX H Consultation Questions

The information you send us may need to be passed to colleagues within the LSC and/ or published in a summary of responses received in response to this consultation. We will assume that you are content for us to do this, and if you are replying by email, your consent overrides any confidentiality disclaimer that is generated by your organisation's IT system, unless you specifically include a request to the contrary in the main text of your submission.

Please insert 'X' if you want us to keep your response confidential

Name	
Organisation (if applicable)	
Address	

If you have a query relating to this consultation please contact your local Learning and Skills Council (contact details can be found at the end of this document)

Please insert 'X' in **one** of the following boxes that best describes you as a respondent.

□ Further Education College	□ Further Education Institution	
\Box Local Education Authority	Higher Education Institution	
□ Trade Union	□ Work Based Learning Provider	
Employer	Representative Body	
Sectoral Body	National Organisation	
Regional Body	Voluntary Organisation	
□ School	Individual	
Other (Please specify)		

Do you support the principles of plan-led funding? 1. □ Yes □ No

Comments

Do you support the proposals for plan-led funding? 2. □ Yes □ No

Comments

How could colleges and local LSCs work together most effectively in 3. developing costed provision plans, for example at what level of aggregation of provision should the planning dialogue normally take place?

□ Yes

□ No

Comments

4.	Do you agree that the LSC should end clawback as part of plan-led
fundin	g?

Comments

□ Yes

 \Box No

Do you support the proposals to deal with unplanned changes in

5. Do you support the proposals to deal with unplanned changes in volumes?			
	s 🗆 No		
Comr	ments		

6. Within these proposals, how can we continue to maximise the incentives for colleges to prioritise provision of learning opportunities for 16-18 year olds, basic skills and, in future the adult Level 2 entitlement?

Comments

7. Do you agree with the proposal to replace current funding audit with a regularity audit as part of the plan-led approach?			
□ No			

9.	Do you support the proposals for ensuring valid and reliable data?
□ Yes	□ No
Comm	ents

10.	Do you agree that the rewards and interventions that will support a	
trust r	elationship are relevant, appropriate and proportionate?	
- > /	_ •	Ĩ

Comments	

11 Should any component of the funding formula be changed or excluded? If so, please explain your rationale.			
□ Yes	□ No		
Comments			

12 appro	12 Is the proposed approach to calculating programme funding appropriate? If not, how else could this be calculated?			
	□ No			
Comr	ients			

13 Do you support the concept of block funding? If so, where should the threshold for short programmes funded within the block be set?			
□ Yes	□ No		
Comments			

Γ

Which other activities should be funded through block funding?		
Comments		

15	Do you support the abolition of the franchise discount?
□ Yes	□ No
Comm	ents

16	Do you support the abolition of the funding taper?				
□ Yes					
Comme	Comments				

17	Do you agree that the proposed principles provide a reasonable					
framework for developing future funding relationships?						
	□ No					
Com	ents					

18 Are the specific implications of these proposed funding relationship principles to which the LSC should give particular consideration?						
	□ No					
Comr	ients					

19	Do you agree with the proposed principles for funding new providers?			
□ Yes	□ No			
Comm	Comments			