

London College of Finance and Accounting

Review for Educational Oversight by the Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education

December 2012

Key findings about London College of Finance and Accounting

As a result of its Review for Educational Oversight carried out in December 2012, the QAA review team (the team) considers that there can be **confidence** in how the provider manages its stated responsibilities for the standards of the awards it offers on behalf of the Accrediting and Assessment Bureau for Post-Secondary Schools; the Association of Chartered Certified Accountants; the Association of Tourism and Hospitality Executives; BCS, The Chartered Institute for IT and the Institute of Administrative Management.

The team also considers that there can be **confidence** in how the provider manages its stated responsibilities for the quality and enhancement of the learning opportunities it offers on behalf of these awarding organisations.

The team considers that **reliance can** be placed on the accuracy and completeness of the information that the provider is responsible for publishing about itself and the programmes it delivers.

Good practice

The team has identified the following good practice:

- robust mechanisms for gathering and responding to student feedback ensure the student voice informs developments (paragraph 2.8)
- comprehensive pastoral student support systems (paragraph 2.9)
- detailed individual learning plans and tutorial records effectively support student achievement (paragraph 2.10)
- the weekly system for ensuring published information is accurate and complete (paragraph 3.5).

Recommendations

The team has also identified a number of **recommendations** for the enhancement of the higher education provision.

The team considers that it is **advisable** for the provider to:

- review the management and committee structures and terms of reference to differentiate more clearly roles and responsibilities (paragraph 1.1)
- formalise its annual monitoring procedures and documentation (paragraph 1.2).

The team considers that it would be **desirable** for the provider to:

- continue to map policies and procedures against external reference points (paragraph 1.3)
- further develop systems for the identification and dissemination of good practice (paragraph 1.7)
- formalise the review of teaching and learning practices (paragraph 2.4)
- continue to develop the student intranet as a virtual learning environment (paragraph 2.14)
- review the range of information in the student handbook (paragraph 3.2).

About this report

This report presents the findings of the <u>Review for Educational Oversight</u>¹ (REO) conducted by <u>QAA</u> at London College of Finance and Accounting (the provider; the College). The purpose of the review is to provide public information about how the provider discharges its stated responsibilities for the management and delivery of academic standards and the quality of learning opportunities available to students. The review applies to programmes of study that the provider delivers on behalf of the Association of Chartered Certificated Accountants; Accrediting and Assessment Bureau for Post-Secondary Schools; the Association of Tourism and Hospitality Executives; BCS, The Chartered Institute for IT and the Institute of Administrative Management. The review was carried out by Ms Ann Kettle, Mr Mark Irwin, Mr Brian Whitehead (reviewers) and Mrs Mandy Hobart (coordinator).

The review team conducted the review in agreement with the provider and in accordance with the <u>Review for Educational Oversight: Handbook</u>.² Evidence in support of the review included external and internal reports, College policy documents, minutes of meetings, handbooks and meetings with staff and students.

The review team also considered the provider's use of the relevant external reference points:

- the National Qualifications Framework
- the Qualifications and Credit Framework (QCF).

Please note that if you are unfamiliar with any of the terms used in this report you can find them in the <u>Glossary</u>.

The College was registered as GD Traders in 2006 and changed its name to the London College of Finance and Accounting UK Ltd in 2007. The College is approved to recruit international students by the Department of Innovation, University and Skill. The first intake of students was in 2009, and, since commencing operations, the College has recruited 164 students, with 43 students enrolled at the time of the review visit.

The College is located on a single campus in Slough in the same building as its sister provider, Forbes Graduate School. Both providers share the same owner, teaching staff, management structures and most policies and procedures. The College offers qualifications in administration, business and management, accounting and finance, and healthcare management. The College mission is to empower students through an exclusive high-quality learning experience.

At the time of the review, the provider offered the following higher education programmes, listed beneath their awarding organisations and with the number of students enrolled in brackets:

Accrediting and Assessment Bureau for Post-Secondary Schools (AABPS)

- Diploma in Business Management Studies Level 5 (0)
- Diploma in Business Management Studies Level 6 (0)

Association of Chartered Certified Accountants (ACCA)

• Financial Accounting Level 6 (5)

¹ www.qaa.ac.uk/InstitutionReports/types-of-review/tier-4.

² www.qaa.ac.uk/publications/informationandguidance/pages/reo-handbook.aspx.

Association of Tourism and Hospitality Executives (ATHE)

- Diploma in Healthcare Management Level 6 (0)
- Diploma in Healthcare Management Level 7 (0)
- Diploma in Management Level 6 (0)
- Diploma in Strategic Management Level 7 (0)
- Extended Diploma in Management for Health and Social Care Level 4 (0)
- Extended Diploma in Management for Health and Social Care Level 5 (0)
- Extended Diploma in Management Level 4 (8)
- Extended Diploma in Management Level 5 (2)

BCS, The Chartered Institute for IT (BCS)

- Diploma in Information Technology Level 5 (8)
- Professional Graduate Diploma in Information Technology Level 6 (9)

Institute of Administrative Management (IAM)

• Extended Diploma in Business and Administrative Management Level 6 (11)

The provider's stated responsibilities

The College follows the guidelines set by its awarding organisations in relation to academic standards and assessments. All assessments are externally set and marked by ACCA, BCS and IAM. The College sets and marks assessments for AABPS and ATHE, which are externally verified. The College is responsible for the recruitment of students in accordance with the Admissions Policy and Procedure and all appropriate legislation and regulations. Student attendance is monitored closely.

Recent developments

In April 2012, the College moved from its original Hounslow premises to its current location in Slough. The new premises were acquired through a partial merger with Trinity College, another private provider in Slough, and provide the College with premises from which to expand its provision. The withdrawal of Thames Valley University from Slough was also seen as an opportunity to fill the gap created. The College has ceased to offer qualifications accredited by the Small Firms Enterprise Development Initiative (SFEDI), which were part of the initial range of programmes offered.

Students' contribution to the review

Students studying on higher education programmes at the provider were invited to present a submission to the review team. The College provided support to the Student Committee with the collation of information gained through questionnaires. The final document was agreed by the student representatives. The coordinator met students during the preparatory meeting, and the team had a very informative meeting with students during the review visit.

Detailed findings about London College of Finance and Accounting

1 Academic standards

How effectively does the provider fulfil its responsibilities for the management of academic standards?

The College manages academic standards effectively. Responsibility for academic 1.1 standards lies with five awarding organisations, and the College fulfils its delegated responsibilities in accordance with the individual awarding organisation requirements. The College is responsible for staff development, student admission, induction and support, assignment feedback, provision of learning resources and gathering student opinion on all programmes. The responsibilities and procedures for upholding the academic standards are set out in the terms of reference of both the College Board of Directors and the Academic Board. In view of the small number of academic staff, with most programmes being delivered by a single lecturer, there are as yet no separate programme committees. Monthly meetings of the academic staff have recently been instituted to fulfil such functions of programme committees as consideration of student feedback and reporting on assessment matters. The Senior Management Team, reporting to the Board of Directors, is responsible for the development and operation of policies and procedures. The Academic Board approves and reviews policies and strategies, which are ratified by the Senior Management Team. Terms of reference and minutes indicate considerable overlap in membership and responsibilities between the Academic Board and the Senior Management Team. The minutes of committees suggest a more formal management system is in the course of development. While the current system ensures delegated responsibilities for academic standards are effectively managed, there is some duplication of roles. In view of the intention of the College to increase the number of programmes offered, it is advisable that the College reviews the management and committee structures and terms of reference to differentiate more clearly roles and responsibilities.

1.2 The College acknowledges that, while external processes for accreditation of programmes are formal and well documented, internal procedures for proposing and approving new programmes are informal and mostly unrecorded. Annual monitoring takes the form of brief annual reports presented to the Board of Directors by the Senior Management Team. These reports are descriptive rather than reflective, and do not include detailed consideration of student feedback or external verifier reports. No action plans are attached to the reports to support the monitoring of actions to address concerns on such matters as retention rates. However, the College uses its management information system to monitor and improve student attendance and progression and to introduce improvements at programme level. The College has, as yet, no internal procedure for the periodic review of programmes. However, the Academic Board considers reviews by accrediting and awarding organisations and ensures that any action points have been addressed. It is advisable that the College formalises its annual monitoring procedures and documentation to support consistent and effective monitoring of improvements.

How effectively are external reference points used in the management of academic standards?

1.3 The main external reference points accessed by the College have been those used by its awarding organisations, the Accreditation Service for International Colleges (ASIC) and national regulatory organisations. The College has begun the process of benchmarking its policies, practices and procedures in the management of standards against the UK Quality Code for Higher Education (the Quality Code). The College has commissioned external advice on benchmarking its practices and policies in the management of standards in areas such as annual monitoring, admissions and student support to ensure systems meet external requirements. It is desirable that the College continues to map policies and procedures against external reference points.

1.4 The College has been developing summary programme specifications based on QCF and *The framework for higher education qualifications in England, Wales and Northern Ireland* (FHEQ) levels and descriptors provided by the awarding organisations, with the addition of its own entry requirements. Academic staff are made aware of the importance of subject benchmark statements in informing teaching and of the importance of externality in assessment. The College has developed a comprehensive Admissions Policy and Procedure covering enrolment, interviewing, confirmation of acceptance for studies, admissions and induction. This reflects the relevant awarding organisation requirements and those of other external agencies.

How does the provider use external moderation, verification or examining to assure academic standards?

1.5 The College has clear mechanisms for complying with awarding organisation requirements to assure academic standards. Academic standards in assessment are secured by operating the systems of its awarding organisations. Where the College is an examination centre for externally set and marked examinations, staff are briefed and trained as invigilators. Where the College is required to set and mark summative assessments, it is the College policy to use assignments set by the awarding organisation. Such assignments are marked by the teaching staff and internally verified prior to external verification by the awarding organisation. ATHE external verification reports for 2010-11 and 2011-12 commended the progress made by the College in addressing action points. The reports are considered at extraordinary meetings of the Academic Board, action points agreed and the Principal advised to ensure that any outstanding action points are implemented.

1.6 The College has developed guidelines on the marking of formative assessments and the provision of feedback to students on their progress, that complement the regulations of the awarding organisations. Students report that they usually receive feedback on formative assessment within one to two weeks and that feedback is helpful and constructive. In the case of summative assessment, students know that marks are provisional until externally verified. Students are aware of the appeals procedures of both the College and the awarding organisation. The College's elaborate academic appeals procedure has not yet been used, although students know that it is available. The College issues guidance to students on academic misconduct, which includes a definition of plagiarism and uses of anti-plagiarism software. Students confirmed that they had been warned about the penalties for plagiarism and that they receive help with correct referencing and English for academic purposes.

1.7 Evaluation of the processes and structures for assuring academic standards are effectively managed. The College evaluates the effectiveness of its own management structures and processes in relation to moderation and examining relatively informally. The terms of reference of the Academic Board state that it should act as the assessment board for each programme, approving assessment arrangements and individual student marks. However, as summative assessment is mainly the responsibility of the awarding organisations, the College's Assessment Board does not deal with individual results, but with general matters concerning assessment, such as assessment schedules and student attendance at examinations. The terms of reference of the Academic Board include the identification and dissemination of good practice. However, other than informal contacts between academic staff and participation in meetings, there are no systems or processes to

facilitate the sharing of good practice across programmes. It is desirable that the College establishes systems for the identification and dissemination of good practice in maintaining academic standards.

The review team has **confidence** in the provider's management of its responsibilities for the standards of the awards it offers on behalf of its awarding organisations.

2 Quality of learning opportunities

How effectively does the provider fulfil its responsibilities for managing and enhancing the quality of learning opportunities?

2.1 Clear and well understood responsibilities are in place for the management of the quality of learning opportunities. Oversight and management rest with the Academic Board. Operational management of the quality of learning lies with the Principal and the Registrar, who have regular contact with the teaching staff. However, as outlined in paragraph 1.1, the College has no formal programme committees, and staff meetings are the only forum for the regular oversight of learning opportunities. While the current procedures have grown organically, the College needs to ensure that, as the size of the provision grows, more formal systems and defined responsibilities are in place to ensure consistent oversight of the quality of learning opportunities. Management and committee structures should be reviewed to reflect responsibilities as discussed in paragraph 1.1.

2.2 The Academic Board is responsible for the delegated management of learning opportunities, as set out in the awarding organisation agreements. The College has limited responsibility for the setting and marking of assessments, and, where marking is undertaken by the teaching staff, ensures that all programmes comply with the awarding organisation requirements. The Academic Board is responsible for the formulation of policies and procedures, the annual review of provision, consideration of external verification reports and student feedback from surveys and reports from the Student Representative Committee. The Academic Board has begun to develop its minutes to include action planning to ensure clear recording and monitoring of improvements.

How effectively are external reference points used in the management and enhancement of learning opportunities?

2.3 The programmes offered by the College are accredited to the QCF, and staff are encouraged to make reference to subject benchmark statements to inform the quality of teaching and learning. The College has begun the process of engagement with the Quality Code and has engaged the services of a consultant to brief managers on the implications of the Quality Code for its operations and policies. A set of policy documents, including a Quality Assurance Manual and a Teaching and Learning Policy, have been devised with some reference to external codes of practice, including those of the awarding organisations. These documents broadly support quality assurance and quality enhancement, but would benefit from further development and alignment to external reference points.

How does the provider assure itself that the quality of teaching and learning is being maintained and enhanced?

2.4 Teaching staff at the College make use of a range of delivery methods, and it is clear from student feedback that much of the teaching is of high quality, in line with contemporary pedagogical practice. Lesson observations are conducted regularly by the

Principal and outcomes recorded using brief pro formas. The College also encourages lecturers to engage in peer observation. Academic staff meetings provide a forum for teachers to share and disseminate good practice. However, the current mechanisms for sharing good teaching practice remain largely informal, and it is desirable that the College formalises the review of teaching and learning practices.

2.5 The College reviews its provision annually. However, the annual review reports do not fully evaluate the quality of learning opportunities and external reports, and, as discussed in paragraph 1.2, are descriptive rather than evaluative.

2.6 All staff are suitably qualified for the programmes on which they teach, and the College seeks to recruit staff with previous teaching experience. External speakers do not currently contribute to programmes, but the College sees this as an area that it would like to develop. The recent business award received by the College, along with its planned application for ACCA Gold Status, is part of its strategy for raising the College's profile locally and attracting local industry speakers. Work placements are not currently made available to students at the College due to visa restrictions. The College is planning to offer Edexcel programmes in future, which will provide an opportunity to develop a work experience placement scheme.

How does the provider assure itself that students are supported effectively?

2.7 Information provided to students on enrolment is detailed and comprehensive. Students are provided with a student handbook, which provides a range of useful information on key policies and sources of information on living in the UK. Students reported that induction was helpful and a checklist is used to ensure that all key information is provided to students on arrival. The College recognises the need to further develop its support and information for disabled students in line with the Quality Code, *Chapter B4: Student support, learning resources and careers education, information, advice and guidance,* and that the information should be made available to applicants, as well as current students. There is a recognised lack of expertise in the identification and support of unseen disabilities, and the College relies on external support alongside student self-disclosure.

2.8 The College has a Student Representatives Committee chaired by an elected student president, who also sits on the Academic Board. Membership of the Student Representative Committee is inclusive and students reported that College management is highly responsive to issues that have been raised. The College also utilises student feedback forms and provides responses to student feedback on the College website under the banner 'you said, we did'. Students are able to upload comments and suggestions directly or use an anonymous suggestions box. The robust mechanisms for gathering and responding to student feedback ensure the student voice informs developments. This consistent consideration of student feedback represents good practice.

2.9 The College provides an exceptionally supportive environment for students and actively fosters a sense of community through the inclusive student support. Classes are small and provide opportunities for extensive individual attention. Pastoral support is provided by teaching staff, administrators and the Principal as appropriate, and students are provided with e-mail addresses, mobile phone numbers and a round-the-clock emergency number to ensure help is available at all times. They are further supported by the provision of free additional English language tuition, with classes twice each week. The comprehensive pastoral student support systems represent good practice.

2.10 Well established mechanisms are in place for providing constructive feedback to students on their assessments. Formative and summative assessments marked by the lecturers are accompanied by detailed written feedback commenting on positive aspects and

areas for improvement. Detailed individual learning plans are formulated for all students and discussed with students as part of tutorials. These are highly effective in tracking student attendance and progress, and in supporting students to submit work to the required level. The detailed individual learning plans and tutorial records effectively support student achievement and are good practice.

What are the provider's arrangements for staff development to maintain and/or enhance the quality of learning opportunities?

2.11 The majority of academic staff hold teaching qualifications and the College assists staff in gaining a recognised teaching qualification as required. Professional training opportunities are supported and staff are able to access online seminar sessions. Staff who are members of professional organisations, such as the Institute for Learning, share key updates on the development of good teaching practice.

2.12 Annual reviews are conducted with all full-time staff to identify development needs. The appraisal forms are effective in recording strengths of practice and development needs. Lesson observations are conducted for all lecturers, including part-time staff who are not part of the appraisal process, and outcomes inform staff development activities. Peer observation further supports the sharing of effective teaching practices, as outlined in paragraph 2.4.

How effectively does the provider ensure that learning resources are accessible to students and sufficient to enable them to achieve the learning outcomes?

2.13 The College provides a supportive learning environment, which is in line with expectations for the sector, and there are plans to develop further teaching and learning resources. There is a small library and the College is investing in the provision of an e-books and e-journals service. The College helps students to enrol at Slough central library, which students report provides a useful range of resources. The College has a broadband wireless system for staff and student use, and students also have access to 10 computers. Resource provision is reviewed regularly by the Academic Board and reported to the Senior Management Team.

2.14 The College is in the early stages of developing a web-based student intranet. The current student portal works as a document repository for lecture notes and assignment briefs. Plans are in place to provide a portal for student submissions and the return of feedback and marks, as well as a range of learning resources. Students are also able to access awarding organisations' online resources through the College intranet. It is desirable that the College continues to develop the student intranet as a virtual learning environment.

The review team has **confidence** that the provider is fulfilling its responsibilities for managing and enhancing the quality of the intended learning opportunities it provides for students.

3 Public information

How effectively does the provider's public information communicate to students and other stakeholders about the higher education it provides?

3.1 The College communicates effectively with potential and current students through its website, student handbooks, assignment briefs and programme handouts. The awarding

organisations provide information on their programmes, which the College customises where necessary, after confirming that the information complies with the awarding organisations' regulations and requirements. The main channel for publishing information is the College's website, which contains details of programme specifications, individual learning outcomes, modes of study, teaching and assessment methods. More informally, the College benefits from personal recommendations, which alert students both in the UK and abroad to the provision offered.

3.2 Once enrolled, students have access to the College's student intranet, which, although embryonic, provides further detailed information on programmes, including assessment schedules and, in some cases, lecture notes. Students are provided with a handbook containing essential policies and guidance, as outlined in paragraph 2.7. However, the handbook would benefit from the inclusion of information on student support and disability, resources, assessment and course work submission, mitigation and reasonable adjustments, intermission and data protection. The policy and procedure for cases of academic misconduct would also benefit from further development to ensure it provides clear and comprehensive guidance to students. It is desirable that the College reviews the range of information in the student handbook.

3.3 The student's first class acts as programme induction, in which students are provided with handbooks, syllabus, scheme of work, and assessment criteria. Information on progression opportunities is available both through the awarding organisations' documents and the website. The College has produced a progression chart adapted from ATHE to demonstrate progression in terms of levels and application to professional roles, for example supervisor, junior manager, middle manager. The students reported that they were clear as to both the value of their programme and opportunities for further study.

3.4 Information on the College's policies and documentation on programmes is available for staff, students, applicants and other stakeholders through the website. Additional information to support students is also provided informally by academic and administrative staff.

How effective are the provider's arrangements for assuring the accuracy and completeness of information it has responsibility for publishing?

3.5 The College's arrangements for assuring the accuracy and completeness of information are effective. All material is initially produced by the Head of Programmes, and referenced to appropriate awarding organisation materials and guidance. All public information produced by the College is checked and authorised by the Principal through a formal sign-off process. Once approved, the material is made available on the website and in handbooks by the College administrator. The website is checked at least weekly and any changes agreed by the Principal. The weekly system for ensuring that published information is accurate and complete represents good practice.

3.6 The College deals directly with enquiries from potential students and does not use any external agents. Students confirmed that, in addition to published material, they are able to gain information directly from the Student Advisory Team. Student feedback is considered to be important in ensuring the accuracy and completeness of the information the College produces, and students are invited to comment on the information available to them both during their induction period and in student surveys. Student representatives are also invited to comment on any proposed changes to published information in committee meetings. Students confirmed that they had been given sufficient, clear and concise information prior to enrolment, and they are very satisfied with all aspects of the information provided. The team concludes that **reliance can be placed** on the accuracy and completeness of the information that the provider is responsible for publishing about itself and the programmes it delivers.

Action plan³

Good practice	Action to be taken	Target date	Action by	Success indicators	Reported to	Evaluation
The review team identified the following areas of good practice that are worthy of wider dissemination within the provider:						
 robust mechanisms for gathering and responding to student feedback ensure the student voice informs developments (paragraph 2.8) 	Continue and strengthen student feedback, for example in feedback to students on actions taken	June 2013	Academic Board	Increased student satisfaction scores and expression of opinions Consistency with the indicators of the Quality Code for Higher Education (the Quality Code)	Principal	Annual monitoring of the strength prescribed by the Quality Code, and making use of both objective and subjective evidence
	Further use of the student representative system (for example in consultations over possible university partnership)	October 2013	Academic Board	Student contribution to any university partnership application Consistency with the indicators of	Principal	

³ The provider has been required to develop this action plan to follow up on good practice and address any recommendations arising from the review. QAA monitors progress against the action plan, in conjunction with the provider's awarding organisations.

				the Quality Code		
 comprehensive pastoral student support systems (paragraph 2.9) 	Extend support for integrating into UK life	May 2013	Dean of Students Welfare and Registrar	Success in comprehensive pastoral student support systems leading to increased student satisfaction	Principal	Student questionnaire
	More social events and trips	August 2013	Dean of Students Welfare and Registrar	Success in student participation and satisfaction	Principal	Student questionnaire
	Greater English language support	March 2013	Academic Board	Success in improved English in assignments	Principal	Summative results and lecturer perceptions
	Increase expertise in the identification and support of unseen disabilities, and have the College rely on student self-disclosure	July 2013	Registrar, heads of programmes and Dean of Students Welfare	Success in increasing expertise in the identification and support of unseen disabilities leading to early realisation of student difficulties	Principal	porcopiione
detailed individual learning plans and tutorial records effectively support student achievement (paragraph 2.10)	Continue, strengthen and monitor the systems	September 2013	Academic Board	Success in continuing, strengthening and monitoring the systems hence availing fuller information	Principal	Annual monitoring of the strength prescribed by the Quality Code, and making use of both objective and subjective evidence

Review for Educational Oversight: London College of Finance and Accounting

• the weekly system for ensuring published information is accurate and complete (paragraph 3.5).	Continue and reinforce mechanisms for regular checks (for example of website information)	April 2013	Principal	Success in continuing and reinforcing mechanisms for regular checks (for example of website information) leading to the identification and gradual reduction in errors	Board of Directors	Annual College report Completion of the reinforcement mechanisms for the identification and reduction of errors
Advisable	Action to be taken	Target date	Action by	Success indicators	Reported to	Evaluation
The team considers that it is advisable for the provider to:						
 review the management and committee structures and terms of reference to differentiate more clearly roles and responsibilities (paragraph 1.1) 	Review and rewrite committee structure documents as the College expands in student numbers and programmes: greater differentiation to be sought as staff complement increases	October 2013	Registrar, heads of programmes and Dean of Students Welfare	Clear evidence of actions taken and outcomes to support improvements in reviewing and rewriting committee structure documents as the College expands in student numbers and programmes: greater differentiation to be sought as staff	Principal and Board of Directors	Annual College report Completion of the committee structure documents and terms of reference to differentiate clearly roles and responsibilities

				complement increases Committee operations to be proportionate in size and complexity to size and nature of the College		
 formalise its annual monitoring procedures and documentation (paragraph 1.2). 	Expand the currently brief annual reports presented to the Board of Directors by the Senior Management Team Make reports more analytic and reflective Include in reports detailed consideration of student feedback and external verifier reports Produce action attached to the reports to support the monitoring of actions to address concerns on such matters as retention rates	September 2013	Principal, Registrar and heads of programmes	Satisfaction of Board of directors Clear evidence of actions taken and outcomes to support improvements	Board of Directors	Annual College report Completion of actions and improvements in course retention and quality

Desirable	Action to be taken	Target date	Action by	Success indicators	Reported to	Evaluation
The team considers that it is desirable for the provider to:						
 continue to map policies and procedures against external reference points (paragraph 1.3) 	Standing activity of gap analysis of college documents against the Quality Code and other external reference points (for example, awarding body regulations), especially to start with annual monitoring, admissions and student support	Start March 2013, complete first annual cycle October 2013	Academic Board	Clear evidence of actions taken and outcomes to support improvements in policies and procedures in line with evolving external reference points	Principal	Annual College report Completion of mapping of policies and procedures against external reference points
 further develop systems for the identification and dissemination of good practice (paragraph 1.7) 	Institute standing Academic Board agenda item and staff workshops for identification and dissemination; more use of student feedback	July 2013	Academic Board	Clear evidence of actions taken and outcomes to support improvements captured in teaching observation and increased student satisfaction	Principal	Annual monitoring of the strength prescribed by the Quality Code, and making use of both objective and subjective evidence
 formalise the review of teaching and learning practices (paragraph 2.4) 	Continue, strengthen and monitor teaching observation; more Academic Board use of results	July 2013	Academic Board	Clear evidence of actions taken and outcomes to support improvements in	Principal	Annual monitoring of the strength prescribed by the Quality Code, and making use of

					teaching, in marks and increased student satisfaction		both objective and subjective evidence
		Institute standing Academic Board agenda item and staff workshops for identification and dissemination; more use of student feedback	July 2013	Academic Board	Clear evidence of actions taken and outcomes to support improvements in teaching, in marks and increased student satisfaction	Principal	Annual monitoring of the strength prescribed by the Quality Code, and making use of both objective and subjective evidence
•	continue to develop the student intranet as a virtual learning environment (paragraph 2.14)	Implement plans to provide a portal for student submissions and the return of feedback and marks, as well as a range of learning resources	July 2013	Academic Board	Clear evidence of actions taken and outcomes to support increased size and number of functions of the portal	Principal and Board of Directors	Annual monitoring of the strength prescribed by the Quality Code, and making use of both objective and subjective evidence and annual College report
•	review the range of information in the student handbook (paragraph 3.2).	Improve handbook with the inclusion of information on student support and disability, resources, assessment and course work submission, mitigation and	August 2013	Academic Board	Clear evidence of actions taken and outcomes to support enlarged information	Principal	Annual monitoring of the strength prescribed by the Quality Code, and making use of both objective and subjective evidence

reasonable adjustments, intermission and data protection		
Improve the policy and procedure for cases of academic misconduct to ensure it provides clear and comprehensive guidance to students		

About QAA

QAA is the Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education. QAA's mission is to safeguard standards and improve the quality of UK higher education.

QAA's aims are to:

- meet students' needs and be valued by them
- safeguard standards in an increasingly diverse UK and international context
- drive improvements in UK higher education
- improve public understanding of higher education standards and quality.

QAA conducts reviews of higher education institutions and publishes reports on the findings. QAA also publishes a range of guidance documents to help safeguard standards and improve quality.

More information about the work of QAA is available at: <u>www.qaa.ac.uk</u>.

More detail about Review for Educational Oversight can be found at: <u>www.gaa.ac.uk/institutionreports/types-of-review/tier-4</u>.

Glossary

This glossary explains terms used in this report. You can find a fuller glossary at: <u>www.qaa.ac.uk/aboutus/glossary</u>. Formal definitions of key terms can be found in the <u>Review for Educational Oversight: Handbook</u>⁴

Academic Infrastructure Guidance developed and agreed by the higher education community and published by QAA, which is used by institutions to ensure that their courses meet national expectations for academic standards and that students have access to a suitable environment for learning (academic quality). It consists of four groups of reference points: the frameworks for higher education qualifications, the subject benchmark statements, the programme specifications and the Code of practice. Work is underway (2011-12) to revise the Academic Infrastructure as the UK Quality Code for Higher Education.

academic quality A comprehensive term referring to how, and how well, institutions manage teaching and learning opportunities to help students progress and succeed.

academic standards The standards set and maintained by institutions for their courses and expected for their awards. See also threshold academic standard.

awarding body A body with the authority to award academic qualifications located on the **framework for higher education qualifications**, such as diplomas or degrees.

awarding organisation An organisation with the authority to award academic qualifications located on the Qualifications and Credit Framework for England and Northern Ireland (these qualifications are at levels 1 to 8, with levels 4 and above being classed as 'higher education').

Code of practice *The Code of practice for the assurance of academic quality and standards in higher education*, published by QAA: a set of interrelated documents giving guidance for higher education institutions.

designated body An organisation that has been formally appointed to perform a particular function.

differentiated judgements In a Review for Educational Oversight, separate judgements respectively for the provision validated by separate awarding bodies.

enhancement Taking deliberate steps at institutional level to improve the quality of **learning opportunities**. It is used as a technical term in QAA's audit and review processes.

feature of good practice A positive aspect of the way a higher education institution manages quality and standards, which may be seen as exemplary to others.

framework A published formal structure. See also framework for higher education qualifications.

framework for higher education qualifications A published formal structure that identifies a hierarchy of national qualification levels and describes the general achievement expected of holders of the main qualification types at each level, thus assisting higher education providers in maintaining academic standards. QAA publishes the following frameworks:

⁴ <u>www.qaa.ac.uk/publications/informationandguidance/pages/reo-handbook.aspx.</u>

The framework for higher education qualifications in England, Wales and Northern Ireland (FHEQ) and The framework for qualifications of higher education institutions in Scotland.

highly trusted sponsor An education provider that the UK government trusts to admit migrant students from overseas, according to Tier 4 of the UK Border Agency's points-based immigration system. Higher education providers wishing to obtain this status must undergo a successful review by QAA.

learning opportunities The provision made for students' learning, including planned **programmes of study**, teaching, assessment, academic and personal support, resources (such as libraries and information systems, laboratories or studios) and staff development.

learning outcome What a learner is expected to know, understand and/or be able to demonstrate after completing a process of learning.

operational definition A formal definition of a term, which establishes exactly what QAA means when using it in reports.

programme (of study) An approved course of study which provides a coherent learning experience and normally leads to a qualification.

programme specifications Published statements about the intended **learning outcomes** of **programmes of study**, containing information about teaching and learning methods, support and assessment methods, and how individual units relate to levels of achievement.

provider An institution that offers courses of higher education, typically on behalf of a separate **awarding body or organisation**. In the context of REO, the term means an independent College.

public information Information that is freely available to the public (sometimes referred to as being 'in the public domain').

reference points Statements and other publications that establish criteria against which performance can be measured. Internal reference points may be used by providers for purposes of self-regulation; external ones are used and accepted throughout the higher education community for the checking of standards and quality.

quality See academic quality.

subject benchmark statement A published statement that sets out what knowledge, understanding, abilities and skills are expected of those graduating in each of the main subject areas (mostly applying to bachelor's degrees), and explains what gives that particular discipline its coherence and identity.

threshold academic standard The minimum standard that a student should reach in order to gain a particular qualification or award, as set out in the **subject benchmark statements** and national qualifications frameworks. Threshold standards are distinct from the standards of performance that students need to achieve in order to gain any particular class of award, for example a first-class bachelor's degree. See also **academic standard**.

widening participation Increasing the involvement in higher education of people from a wider range of backgrounds.

RG 1122 03/13

The Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education

Southgate House Southgate Street Gloucester GL1 1UB

 Tel
 01452 557000

 Fax
 01452 557070

 Email
 comms@qaa.ac.uk

 Web
 www.qaa.ac.uk

© The Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education 2013

ISBN 978 1 84979 809 9

All QAA's publications are available on our website www.qaa.ac.uk

Registered charity numbers 1062746 and SC037786