## Annex A: List of qualifications included in and excluded from the observed population

Included in the Level 3 population (qualifications equivalent to A-level ):

- A-level (General Certificate of Education, GCE)
- Applied GCE A-levels - single and double awards
- BTEC National qualifications at Level 3
- National Qualifications Framework (NQF) National Diploma, Qualifications and Credit Framework (QCF) National Extended Diploma (three grades)
- NQF National Certificate, QCF National Diploma (two grades)
- NQF National Award, QCF National Subsidiary Diploma (one-grade)
- International Baccalaureate Diplomas
- OCR Cambridge Technical qualifications at Level 3
- OCR Cambridge Technical Introductory Diploma
- OCR Cambridge Technical Subsidiary Diploma
- OCR Cambridge Technical Diploma
- OCR Cambridge Technical Extended Diploma
- OCR National qualifications at Level 3
- OCR National Certificate
- OCR National Diploma
- OCR National Extended Diploma
- OCR Cambridge National qualifications at Level 3
- OCR Cambridge National Award
- OCR Cambridge National Certificate
- OCR Cambridge National Diploma
- Cambridge Pre-U qualifications
- $\quad$ Principal Learning Diploma at Level 3
- Vocational A-levels (Vocational Certificate of Education, VCE) - single and double awards.

Excluded from the Level 3 population:

- AS-levels (GCE)
- Basic Skills at Level 3
- Functional Skills at Level 3
- General National Vocational Qualifications (GNVQ)
- Key Skills awards at Level 3
- $\quad$ National Vocational Qualification (NVQ) Language units
- OCR Technical Certificate
- Scottish Vocational Qualifications (SVQ)
- Vocational Related Qualifications (VRQ)
- Welsh Baccalaureates.


## Annex B: Tracking the cohort across data sources over time

Figure B1: Schematic of data links formed between records across years

| 2005-06 | 2006-07 | 2007-08 | 2012-13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NPD | NPD | NPD | NPD |
|  | HESA | HESA | HESA |
|  | + ILR | + ILR | + ILR |

## Key

$\longrightarrow$ Link between individual records between data sources
! Continuation of time series
NPD National Pupil Dadatbase (English schools)
HESA Higher Education Statistics Agency student record (UK HEls)
ILR Individual Learner Record (English FECs)

1. Figure B1 illustrates how individuals are tracked through data records across time. Pupils are identified in the National Pupil Database (NPD) and then searched for in the Higher Education Statistics Agency (HESA) student record in the following year. If a pupil appears in the relevant HESA population for that year, they are flagged as entering aged 18, if not, the Individualised Learner Record (ILR) for the same year is searched, followed by the HESA record for the next year and so on. If a pupil is found in either the relevant HESA or ILR populations up to two years after they were identified in the NPD, they are classed as participating young. If a pupil cannot be found in either record type up to the most recent datasets (2012-13) then they are classed as not entering higher education. The most recent data available that allow two years for pupils to advance to higher education is the 2010-11 NPD, as the pupils can be searched for in both the 2011-12 and 2012-13 HESA and ILR records. Higher education participation information is not yet available for the 2012-13 cohort.
2. For an outline of the overall linking process used to locate individuals within and across HESA, ILR and NPD data see Annex E at www.hefce.ac.uk/pubs/year/2013/201318/. Note that while the NPD linking is not specifically defined here, we followed the same principles and processes as for the HESA and ILR data.

## Annex C: A-level grade equivalences

| Grade classification <br> used in this report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| AAA | $A^{*} A^{*} A^{*}$ | $A^{*} A^{*} A$ | $A^{*} A A$ |  |  |  |  |
| AAB | $A^{*} A^{*} B$ | $A^{*} A B$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ABB | $A^{*} A^{*} C$ | $A^{*} A C$ | $A^{*} B B$ | $A A C$ |  |  |  |
| BBB | $A^{*} A^{*} D$ | $A^{*} A D$ | $A A D$ | $A^{*} B C$ | $A B C$ |  |  |
| BBC | $A^{*} A^{*} E$ | $A^{*} A E$ | $A A E$ | $A^{*} B D$ | $A B D$ | $A^{*} C C$ |  |
| BCC | $A^{*} B E$ | $A B E$ | $A^{*} C D$ | $A C D$ | $B B D$ |  |  |
| CCC | $A^{*} C E$ | $A C E$ | $A D D$ | $A^{*} D D$ | $B C D$ | $B B E$ |  |
| CCD | $A^{*} D E$ | $A D E$ | $B C E$ | $B D D$ |  |  |  |
| CDD | $A^{*} E E$ | $A E E$ | $B D E$ | $C C E$ |  |  |  |
| DDD | $B E E$ | $C D E$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| DDE | $C E E$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EEE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: For the purpose of this investigation, $\mathrm{A}^{*}$ grades are classed in the same way as A grades to allow for consistency throughout the time series.

## Annex D: BTEC subject groupings

| BTEC subject grouping used in this report | NPD subject area code | NPD subject area description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agriculture and related subjects | SA1 SA2 SG1 SG2 SH6 SH71 SJ2 SK1 SP6 | Agriculture (General) <br> Horticulture (General) <br> Forestry (General) <br> Arboriculture <br> Animal Husbandry: Specific Animals <br> Horses / Ponies Keeping <br> Fish Farming <br> Farm Machinery: Operation / Maintenance / Repair <br> Farriery |
| Business, management and related subjects | AA3 <br> AE5 <br> AK1 <br> NA1 <br> NA7 <br> NH6 <br> NK1 <br> ZR6 | Business Studies <br> Small Business Management <br> Finance / Accounting (General) <br> Hospitality / Catering Studies <br> Hospitality Management <br> Food Technology <br> Tourism <br> Airport Management / Ground Services |
| Caring and childcare | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { PA1 } \\ & \text { PT1 } \\ & \text { PT21 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Health Studies Caring Skills (General) Childcare Skills |
| Computer science | CK81 <br> CM4 <br> CN1 <br> CQ6 <br> MJ5 | E-Commerce (Technical) <br> Systems / Network Management <br> Computer Appreciation / Introduction <br> Multimedia Software (Use) <br> Computer Games |
| Construction and built environment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TE5 } \\ & \text { TG1 } \\ & \text { TH12 } \end{aligned}$ | Construction Technology (Basic) <br> Building / Construction Operations (General / Combined) <br> Building Services Engineering |
| Creative arts and design | JA2 <br> JA3 <br> JB5 <br> JC1 <br> JC3 <br> JC4 <br> JK1 <br> KA12 <br> KJ1 | Art \& Design <br> Fine Art <br> Art: Specific Techniques <br> Design (General) <br> Graphic Design <br> Three Dimensional Design (3D Design) <br> Fashion / Textiles Design <br> Communication Processes <br> Photography |
| Engineering and technology | TL1 <br> VG21 <br> WA6 <br> XA1 <br> XH1 <br> XJ14 <br> XP1 <br> XR1 <br> XS1 | Civil Engineering (General) <br> Maintenance Engineering <br> Manufacturing Engineering <br> Engineering Studies <br> Mechanical Engineering (General) <br> Electronic / Electrical Engineering <br> Aerospace Engineering <br> Automotive Engineering <br> Vehicle Maintenance / Repair |


| Hair and beauty | HL12 <br> HL7 | Beauty Therapy <br> Hairdressing Services |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Law | EC1 | Law / Legal Studies |
|  | KA4 | Multimedia |
| Mass |  |  |
| communications and |  |  |
| documentation | KH5 | Printing |
|  | KJ2 | Film / Video / Television Production |
|  | XM | Interactive Video |
| Telecommunications |  |  |
|  | PE7 | Pharmacy |
| Other sciences | QA2 | Environmental Conservation / Policies |
|  | QA21 | Environmental Management |
|  | QD1 | Environmental Health (General) |
|  | RA14 | Applied Sciences |
|  | KJ33 | Sound Recording |
|  | LB1 | Dance: General |
|  | LC11 | Speech \& Drama |
|  | LCDA | Acting Skills |
|  | LCDX | Acting: Music Theatre |
|  | LE1 | Stage Management |
|  | LE21 | Set Design (Theatre) |
|  | LE22 | Lighting (Theatre) |
|  | LE5 | Theatrical Costume |
|  | LE52 | Theatrical Makeup |
|  | LF1 | Music Studies (General) |
|  | LJ9 | Music Technology (Electronic) |
| Public services | AC1 | Public Services |
|  | GA33 | Learning theory |
| Sports sciences | MA1 | Sports Studies |
|  | MA11 | Sports / Movement Science |
|  | BC3 | Retailing |
| Others and unknown | PF4 | Dental Technology |
|  | SE7 | Floristry |

Note: 'NPD' = 'National Pupil Database'.

## Annex E: Additional tables examining overall participation

Table E1: Progression to HE for pupils within the three-grade BTEC cohort, broken down by age of HE entry

| School year | Threegrade <br> BTEC cohort | Number who entered HE young | Young participation rate | Number entering HE before 1 August 2013 | Overall participation rate | aged 18 | aged 19 | aged 20 | Proportion who entered HE |  |  |  | Number of years racked |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | aged <br> 21 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { aged } \\ 22 \end{array}$ | aged <br> 23 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { aged } \\ 24 \end{array}$ |  |
| 2005-06 | 22,245 | 11,620 | 52\% | 13,430 | 60\% | 43\% | 9\% | 4\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 7 |
| 2006-07 | 26,235 | 13,850 | 53\% | 15,680 | 60\% | 43\% | 10\% | 3\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0.7\% |  | 6 |
| 2007-08 | 28,760 | 15,505 | 54\% | 17,200 | 60\% | 44\% | 10\% | 3\% | 2\% | 1\% |  |  | 5 |
| 2008-09 | 34,485 | 18,895 | 55\% | 20,390 | 59\% | 46\% | 9\% | 3\% | 1\% |  |  |  | 4 |
| 2009-10 | 40,275 | 20,495 | 51\% | 21,550 | 54\% | 42\% | 9\% | 3\% |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 2010-11 | 44,615 | 21,215 | 48\% | 21,215 | 48\% | 42\% | 6\% |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 2011-12 | 48,790 | n/a | n/a | 18,330 | 38\% | 38\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & (2005-06 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 2010-11) \end{aligned}$ | 196,620 | 101,585 | 52\% | 109,470 | 56\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: Equivalent to Table 16 in the main body of this report. Numbers shown are for pupils within the three-grade BTEC cohort only. Populations and participation rates shown in the Total line exclude the 2011-12 cohort, as there is no information on entry at age 19 among this cohort.

Table E2: Progression to HE for pupils within the IB Diploma cohort, broken down by age of HE entry

| School year | IB <br> Diploma cohort | Number who entered HE young | Young participation rate | Number entering HE before 1/8/2013 | Overall participation rate | aged 18 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { aged } \\ 19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { aged } \\ 20 \end{array}$ | Propor <br> aged <br> 21 | tion wh aged 22 | o enter <br> aged <br> 23 | ed HE <br> aged <br> 24 | Number of years tracked |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005-06 | 1,290 | 970 | 75\% | 1,005 | 78\% | 54\% | 21\% | 2\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 7 |
| 2006-07 | 1,505 | 1,175 | 78\% | 1,225 | 81\% | 56\% | 22\% | 2\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% |  | 6 |
| 2007-08 | 1,645 | 1,285 | 78\% | 1,315 | 80\% | 56\% | 23\% | 1\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% |  |  | 5 |
| 2008-09 | 2,185 | 1,725 | 79\% | 1,755 | 80\% | 57\% | 22\% | 1\% | 0.3\% |  |  |  | 4 |
| 2009-10 | 2,510 | 1,995 | 79\% | 2,010 | 80\% | 57\% | 22\% | 1\% |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 2010-11 | 2,755 | 2,215 | 80\% | 2,215 | 80\% | 67\% | 13\% |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 2011-12 | 2,635 | n/a | n/a | 1,605 | 61\% | 61\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Total (2005-06 to 2010-11) | 11,890 | 9,365 | 79\% | 9,525 | 80\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: Equivalent to Table 16 in the main body of this report. Numbers shown are for pupils within the IB Diploma cohort only. Populations and participation rates shown in the Total line exclude the 2011-12 cohort, as there is no information on entry at age 19 among this cohort.

Table E3: Progression to HE for pupils holding a combination of A-level and BTEC qualifications, broken down by age of HE entry


Note: Equivalent to Table 16 in the main body of this report. Numbers shown are for pupils within the IB Diploma cohort only. Populations and participation rates shown in the Total line exclude the 2011-12 cohort, as there is no information on entry at age 19 among this cohort.

## Annex F: Additional tables examining Level 3 populations profiled by 'other characteristics’

## Young participation by sex

Table F1: Breakdown of the 2010-11 cohort by sex and Level 3 qualification type

| Level 3 qualification type | Female |  | Male |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2010-11 } \\ \text { cohort } \end{gathered}$ | Proportion of cohort | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2010-11 } \\ \text { cohort } \end{gathered}$ | Proportion of cohort |
| A-level | 116,985 | 74\% | 95,560 | 69\% |
| three grades | 100,885 | 64\% | 79,960 | 58\% |
| one- or two grades | 16,100 | 10\% | 15,600 | 11\% |
| BTEC | 23,695 | 15\% | 25,585 | 19\% |
| three-grade | 17,200 | 11\% | 16,450 | 12\% |
| one- or two-grade | 6,495 | 4\% | 9,135 | 7\% |
| IB Diploma | 1,520 | 1\% | 1,235 | 1\% |
| Other Level 3 | 1,215 | 1\% | 1,000 | 1\% |
| Combination | 15,435 | 10\% | 14,735 | 11\% |
| Combination of A-level and BTEC | 7,315 | 6\% | 6,610 | 5\% |
| Total | 158,845 | 100\% | 138,115 | 100\% |

[^0]Table F2: 2010-11 three-grade A-level cohort by sex and A-level achievement

| Best three A-level grades | Female <br> achieved | 2010-11 <br> cohort | Proportion of <br> cohort | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ <br> cohort |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| AAA | 17,060 | $17 \%$ | 14,990 | $19 \%$ |
| AAB | 11,140 | $11 \%$ | 7,835 | $10 \%$ |
| ABB | 11,415 | $11 \%$ | 8,110 | $10 \%$ |
| BBB | 11,675 | $11 \%$ | 8,050 | $10 \%$ |
| BBC | 11,185 | $11 \%$ | 7,745 | $10 \%$ |
| BCC | 10,685 | $10 \%$ | 8,015 | $10 \%$ |
| CCC | 9,555 | $9 \%$ | 7,565 | $9 \%$ |
| CCD | 7,490 | $7 \%$ | 6,480 | $8 \%$ |
| CDD | 5,570 | $5 \%$ | 5,170 | $6 \%$ |
| DDD | 3,470 | $3 \%$ | 3,640 | $4 \%$ |
| DDE | 1,900 | $2 \%$ | 2,125 | $3 \%$ |
| DEE | 785 | $1 \%$ | 970 | $1 \%$ |
| EEE | 160 | $<0.5 \%$ | 310 | $<0.5 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 2 , 0 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 1 , 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

Note: Equivalent to Table 27, but showing the proportions from each sex that achieved each A-level grade grouping, as opposed to young participation rates by grade.

Table F3: 2005-06 three-grade A-level cohort by sex and A-level achievement

| Best three A-level grades | Female <br> achieved | 2005-06 <br> cohort | Proportion of <br> cohort | 2005-06 <br> cohort |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| AAA | 13,925 | $16 \%$ | 11,465 | $16 \%$ |
| AAB | 9,140 | $10 \%$ | 6,300 | $9 \%$ |
| ABB | 9,765 | $11 \%$ | 6,630 | $9 \%$ |
| BBB | 9,605 | $11 \%$ | 6,965 | $10 \%$ |
| BBC | 9,425 | $11 \%$ | 6,875 | $10 \%$ |
| BCC | 9,110 | $10 \%$ | 6,895 | $10 \%$ |
| CCC | 8,235 | $9 \%$ | 6,540 | $9 \%$ |
| CCD | 6,990 | $8 \%$ | 6,065 | $9 \%$ |
| CDD | 5,345 | $6 \%$ | 5,065 | $7 \%$ |
| DDD | 3,790 | $4 \%$ | 3,940 | $6 \%$ |
| DDE | 2,200 | $2 \%$ | 2,580 | $4 \%$ |
| DEE | 1,075 | $1 \%$ | 1,310 | $2 \%$ |
| EEE | 260 | $<0.5 \%$ | 405 | $1 \%$ |
| Total | 88,855 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 , 0 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

Note: Equivalent to Table F2, but showing profile of the 2005-06 cohort.

Table F3a: Differences in young participation by sex and A-level achievement (2005-06 three-grade A-level cohort)

| Best three A-level grades achieved | Female |  | Male |  | Percentage point (pp) difference in rates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2005-06 } \\ \text { cohort } \end{array}$ | Young participation rate | 2005-06 cohort | Young participation rate |  |
| AAA | 13,925 | 93\% | 11,465 | 92\% | -1pp |
| AAB | 9,140 | 92\% | 6,300 | 92\% | Opp |
| ABB | 9,765 | 91\% | 6,630 | 91\% | Opp |
| BBB | 9,605 | 89\% | 6,965 | 89\% | Opp |
| BBC | 9,425 | 86\% | 6,875 | 87\% | 1pp |
| BCC | 9,110 | 84\% | 6,895 | 85\% | 1 pp |
| CCC | 8,235 | 81\% | 6,540 | 82\% | 1pp |
| CCD | 6,990 | 78\% | 6,065 | 79\% | 1pp |
| CDD | 5,345 | 75\% | 5,065 | 75\% | Opp |
| DDD | 3,790 | 69\% | 3,940 | 69\% | Opp |
| DDE | 2,200 | 65\% | 2,580 | 62\% | -3pp |
| DEE | 1,075 | 61\% | 1,310 | 58\% | -4pp |
| EEE | 260 | 57\% | 405 | 52\% | -5pp |
| Total | 88,855 | 85\% | 71,040 | 84\% | -1pp |

Note: Equivalent to Table 27, but showing the young participation for each sex and each A-level grade grouping, for the 2005-06 cohort.

Table E4: 2010-11 three-grade BTEC cohort by sex and BTEC achievement

| BTEC grades achieved | Female |  | Male |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2010-11 } \\ \text { cohort } \end{gathered}$ | Proportion of cohort | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2010-11 } \\ \text { cohort } \end{gathered}$ | Proportion of cohort |
| DDD | 9,425 | 42\% | 6,585 | 30\% |
| DDM | 2,560 | 11\% | 2,235 | 10\% |
| DDP | 50 | <0.5\% | 85 | <0.5\% |
| DMM | 2,475 | 11\% | 2,550 | 12\% |
| DMP | 50 | <0.5\% | 80 | <0.5\% |
| DPP | 10 | <0.5\% | 10 | <0.5\% |
| MMM | 2,255 | 10\% | 2,675 | 12\% |
| MMP | 1,740 | 8\% | 2,070 | 9\% |
| MPP | 1,690 | 8\% | 2,215 | 10\% |
| PPP | 2,260 | 10\% | 3,590 | 16\% |
| Total | 22,515 | 100\% | 22,105 | 100\% |

Note: Equivalent to Table 28, but showing the proportions from each sex that achieved each BTEC grade grouping, as opposed to young participation rates by grade.

Table F5: 2005-06 three-grade BTEC cohort by sex and BTEC achievement

| BTEC grades achieved | Female |  | Male |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2005-06 } \\ \text { cohort } \end{array}$ | Proportion of cohort | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2005-06 } \\ \text { cohort } \end{gathered}$ | Proportion of cohort |
| DDD | 2,465 | 20\% | 1,260 | 13\% |
| DDM | 1,910 | 16\% | 1,235 | 12\% |
| DDP | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| DMM | 890 | 7\% | 655 | 6\% |
| DMP | 5 | <0.5\% | 5 | <0.5\% |
| DPP | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| MMM | 1,925 | 16\% | 1,480 | 15\% |
| MMP | 1,285 | 11\% | 1,140 | 11\% |
| MPP | 1,660 | 14\% | 1,710 | 17\% |
| PPP | 2,050 | 17\% | 2,570 | 26\% |
| Total | 12,190 | 100\% | 10,055 | 100\% |

Note: Equivalent to Table F4, but showing profile of the 2005-06 cohort.

Table F5a: Differences in young participation by sex and BTEC achievement (2005-06 three-grade BTEC cohort)

| BTEC grades achieved | Female |  | Male |  | Percentage point (pp) difference in rates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005-06 cohort | Young participation rate | $\begin{gathered} 2005-06 \\ \text { cohort } \end{gathered}$ | Young participation rate |  |
| DDD | 2,465 | 67\% | 1,260 | 73\% | 6 pp |
| DDM | 1,910 | 61\% | 1,235 | 70\% | 9 pp |
| DDP | 0 | n/a | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| DMM | 890 | 57\% | 655 | 67\% | 10pp |
| DMP | 5 | n/a | 5 | n/a | n/a |
| DPP | 0 | n/a | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| MMM | 1,925 | 57\% | 1,480 | 65\% | 8pp |
| MMP | 1,285 | 47\% | 1,140 | 57\% | 10pp |
| MPP | 1,660 | 38\% | 1,710 | 44\% | 6 pp |
| PPP | 2,050 | 26\% | 2,570 | 32\% | 6 pp |
| Total | 12,190 | 51\% | 10,055 | 54\% | 3pp |

Notes: Equivalent to Table 28, but showing the young participation for each sex and each BTEC grade grouping, for the 2005-06 cohort. Young participation rates are not shown for cohorts smaller than 100.

Young participation by an area based measure of disadvantage (POLAR3)
Table F6:2005-06 and 2010-11 three-grade A-level cohorts by POLAR3 quintile

| POLAR3 quintile | 2005-06 <br> cohort | Proportion <br> of cohort | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ <br> cohort | Proportion <br> of cohort |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 (most disadvantaged) | 6,310 | $3 \%$ | 8,415 | $5 \%$ |
| 2 | 11,695 | $6 \%$ | 14,390 | $8 \%$ |
| 3 | 17,210 | $9 \%$ | 20,310 | $11 \%$ |
| 4 | 22,665 | $12 \%$ | 26,545 | $14 \%$ |
| 5 (least disadvantaged) | 31,170 | $17 \%$ | 36,225 | $20 \%$ |
| Unknown | 70,850 | $39 \%$ | 77,210 | $42 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 5 9 , 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 3 , 0 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

Note: Equivalent to Table 30, but showing the proportions of the 2005-06 and 2010-11 three-grade A-level cohorts from each POLAR3 quintile, as opposed to young participation rates.

Table F7: Young participation rates by POLAR3 quintile (2005-06 three-grade A-level cohort)

| POLAR3 quintile | 2005-06 <br> cohort | Young <br> participation rate |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1 (most disadvantaged) | 6,310 | $81 \%$ |
| 2 | 11,695 | $83 \%$ |
| 3 | 17,210 | $84 \%$ |
| 4 | 22,665 | $86 \%$ |
| 5 (least disadvantaged) | 31,170 | $89 \%$ |
| Unknown | 70,850 | $83 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 5 9 , 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 \%}$ |

Note: Equivalent to Table 30, but showing the young participation rates of the 2005-06 cohort.

Table F8: 2010-11 three-grade A-level cohort by POLAR3 quintile and A-level achievement

| Best three Alevel grades achieved | POLAR3 quintile 1 |  | POLAR3 quintile 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010-11 cohort | Proportion of cohort | 2010-11 <br> cohort | Proportion of cohort |
| AAA | 745 | 9\% | 7,125 | 20\% |
| AAB | 620 | 7\% | 4,235 | 12\% |
| ABB | 705 | 8\% | 4,170 | 12\% |
| BBB | 875 | 10\% | 3,975 | 11\% |
| BBC | 915 | 11\% | 3,615 | 10\% |
| BCC | 955 | 11\% | 3,615 | 10\% |
| CCC | 980 | 12\% | 3,130 | 9\% |
| CCD | 910 | 11\% | 2,485 | 7\% |
| CDD | 740 | 9\% | 1,730 | 5\% |
| DDD | 500 | 6\% | 1,135 | 3\% |
| DDE | 280 | 3\% | 645 | 2\% |
| DEE | 140 | 2\% | 290 | 1\% |
| EEE | 40 | <0.5\% | 80 | <0.5\% |
| Total | 8,415 | 100\% | 35,905 | 100\% |

[^1]Table F9: 2005-06 three-grade A-level cohort by POLAR3 quintile and A-level achievement

| Best three Alevel grades achieved | POLAR3 quintile 1 |  | POLAR3 quintile 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2005-06 } \\ \text { cohort } \end{gathered}$ | Proportion of cohort | 2005-06 cohort | Proportion of cohort |
| AAA | 480 | 8\% | 5,270 | 17\% |
| AAB | 430 | 7\% | 3,270 | 10\% |
| ABB | 495 | 8\% | 3,405 | 11\% |
| BBB | 570 | 9\% | 3,415 | 11\% |
| BBC | 635 | 10\% | 3,180 | 10\% |
| BCC | 695 | 11\% | 3,135 | 10\% |
| CCC | 685 | 11\% | 2,775 | 9\% |
| CCD | 640 | 10\% | 2,360 | 8\% |
| CDD | 615 | 10\% | 1,775 | 6\% |
| DDD | 515 | 8\% | 1,335 | 4\% |
| DDE | 330 | 5\% | 770 | 2\% |
| DEE | 175 | 3\% | 380 | 1\% |
| EEE | 50 | 1\% | 95 | <0.5\% |
| Total | 6,310 | 100\% | 31,170 | 100\% |

[^2]Table F9a: Differences in young participation by POLAR3 quintile and A-level achievement (2005-06 three-grade A-level cohort)

| Best three Alevel grades achieved | POLAR3 quintile 1 |  | POLAR3 quintile 5 |  | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005-06 cohort | Young participation rate | 2005-06 cohort | Young participation rate | point (pp) difference in rates |
| AAA | 480 | 94\% | 5,270 | 97\% | 3 pp |
| AAB | 430 | 92\% | 3,270 | 95\% | 3 pp |
| ABB | 495 | 89\% | 3,405 | 93\% | 3 pp |
| BBB | 570 | 89\% | 3,415 | 92\% | 3 pp |
| BBC | 635 | 86\% | 3,180 | 90\% | 5pp |
| BCC | 695 | 83\% | 3,135 | 88\% | 5pp |
| CCC | 685 | 81\% | 2,775 | 85\% | 5pp |
| CCD | 640 | 79\% | 2,360 | 82\% | 3 pp |
| CDD | 615 | 75\% | 1,775 | 78\% | 3 pp |
| DDD | 515 | 69\% | 1,335 | 74\% | 4 pp |
| DDE | 330 | 62\% | 770 | 70\% | 8pp |
| DEE | 175 | 62\% | 380 | 66\% | 4pp |
| EEE | 50 | n/a | 95 | n/a | n/a |
| Total | 6,310 | 81\% | 31,170 | 89\% | 7pp |

Note: Equivalent to Table 31, but showing the proportions from POLAR3 quintiles 1 and 5 that achieved each Alevel grade grouping, as opposed to young participation rates by grade.

## Young participation by school type

Table F10: Breakdown of the 2010-11 cohort by school type and Level 3 qualification type

|  | Maintained school |  | Independent school |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Level 3 qualification type | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ <br> cohort | Proportion of <br> cohort | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ <br> cohort | Proportion of <br> cohort |
| A-level | $\mathbf{1 8 4 , 0 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 , 5 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 \%}$ |
| three grades | 154,435 | $58 \%$ | 26,405 | $85 \%$ |
| one- or two grades | 29,585 | $11 \%$ | 2,115 | $7 \%$ |
| BTEC | $\mathbf{4 9 , 1 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 0}$ | $<0.5 \%$ |
| three-grade | 33,620 | $13 \%$ | 25 | $<0.5 \%$ |
| one- or two-grade | 15,565 | $6 \%$ | 65 | $<0.5 \%$ |
| IB Diploma | $\mathbf{1 , 3 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ |
| Other Level 3 | $\mathbf{2 , 0 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 5}$ | $<\mathbf{0 . 5 \%}$ |
| Combination | $\mathbf{2 9 , 1 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ |
| Combination of A-level and |  |  |  |  |
| BTEC | 13,725 | $5 \%$ | 200 | $1 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 6 5 , 8 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 , 1 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

Note: Equivalent to Table 32, but showing the proportions within each school type holding each type of Level 3 qualification as opposed to young participation rates.

Table F11: 2010-11 three-grade A-level cohort by school type and A-level achievement

| Best three A- <br> level grades <br> achieved | Maintained school <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ <br> cohort | Proportion <br> of cohort | Independent school <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ <br> cohort | Proportion <br> of cohort |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| AAA | 22,170 | $14 \%$ | 9,875 | $37 \%$ |
| AAB | 14,970 | $10 \%$ | 4,010 | $15 \%$ |
| ABB | 16,245 | $10 \%$ | 3,280 | $12 \%$ |
| BBB | 17,035 | $11 \%$ | 2,690 | $10 \%$ |
| BBC | 16,920 | $11 \%$ | 2,010 | $8 \%$ |
| BCC | 17,095 | $11 \%$ | 1,605 | $6 \%$ |
| CCC | 15,880 | $10 \%$ | 1,235 | $5 \%$ |
| CCD | 13,135 | $8 \%$ | 835 | $3 \%$ |
| CDD | 10,190 | $7 \%$ | 545 | $2 \%$ |
| DDD | 6,785 | $4 \%$ | 325 | $1 \%$ |
| DDE | 3,875 | $2 \%$ | 150 | $1 \%$ |
| DEE | 1,690 | $1 \%$ | 65 | $<0.5 \%$ |
| EEE | 455 | $<0.5 \%$ | 15 | $<0.5 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 5 6 , 4 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 , 6 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

Note: Equivalent to Table 33, but showing the proportions within each school type that achieved each A-level grade grouping as opposed to young participation rates by grade.

Table F12: 2005-06 three-grade A-level cohort by school type and A-level achievement

| Best three A- <br> level grades <br> achieved | Maintained school <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 - 0 6}$ <br> cohort | Proportion <br> of cohort | Independent school <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 - 0 6}$ <br> cohort | Proportion <br> of cohort |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| AAA | 16,680 | $13 \%$ | 8,705 | $32 \%$ |
| AAB | 11,500 | $9 \%$ | 3,945 | $15 \%$ |
| ABB | 13,105 | $10 \%$ | 3,290 | $12 \%$ |
| BBB | 13,840 | $10 \%$ | 2,730 | $10 \%$ |
| BBC | 14,000 | $11 \%$ | 2,300 | $9 \%$ |
| BCC | 14,115 | $11 \%$ | 1,890 | $7 \%$ |
| CCC | 13,335 | $10 \%$ | 1,440 | $5 \%$ |
| CCD | 11,965 | $9 \%$ | 1,085 | $4 \%$ |
| CDD | 9,720 | $7 \%$ | 690 | $3 \%$ |
| DDD | 7,275 | $5 \%$ | 455 | $2 \%$ |
| DDE | 4,565 | $3 \%$ | 215 | $1 \%$ |
| DEE | 2,285 | $2 \%$ | 100 | $<0.5 \%$ |
| EEE | 640 | $<0.5 \%$ | 25 | $<0.5 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 3 3 , 0 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 , 8 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

[^3]Table F12a: Differences in young participation by school type and A-level achievement (2005-06 three-grade A-level cohort)

| Best three A-level grades achieved | Maintained school |  | Independent school |  | Percentage point (pp) difference in rates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005-06 cohort | Young participation rate | 2005-06 cohort | Young participation rate |  |
| AAA | 16,680 | 96\% | 8,705 | 87\% | 8pp |
| AAB | 11,500 | 94\% | 3,945 | 87\% | 7pp |
| ABB | 13,105 | 92\% | 3,290 | 86\% | 6 pp |
| BBB | 13,840 | 90\% | 2,730 | 83\% | 7pp |
| BBC | 14,000 | 87\% | 2,300 | 83\% | 4pp |
| BCC | 14,115 | 85\% | 1,890 | 80\% | 5pp |
| CCC | 13,335 | 82\% | 1,440 | 79\% | 3 pp |
| CCD | 11,965 | 79\% | 1,085 | 79\% | Opp |
| CDD | 9,720 | 75\% | 690 | 78\% | -3pp |
| DDD | 7,275 | 69\% | 455 | 74\% | -5pp |
| DDE | 4,565 | 64\% | 215 | 61\% | 3 pp |
| DEE | 2,285 | 59\% | 100 | 65\% | -6pp |
| EEE | 640 | 53\% | 25 | n/a | n/a |
| Total | 133,030 | 85\% | 26,870 | 84\% | 0.5pp |

Note: Equivalent to Table 33, but showing the young participation for each school type and each A-level grade grouping, for the 2005-06 cohort.

Young participation by region in which Level 3 qualification was obtained
Table F13: 2010-11 three-grade A-level cohort by region and A-level achievement

| Region in which Level 3 <br> qualification was <br> obtained | Cohort with high grades <br> 2010-11 <br> cohort |  | Cohort with achievement <br> Proportion of <br> cohort | 2010-11 <br> cohort |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| East Midlands | Proportion of <br> cohort |  |  |  |
| East of England | 5,215 | $7 \%$ | 10,070 | $9 \%$ |
| London | 8,690 | $12 \%$ | 13,300 | $12 \%$ |
| North East | 11,270 | $16 \%$ | 15,630 | $14 \%$ |
| North West | 2,395 | $3 \%$ | 5,050 | $4 \%$ |
| South East | 9,095 | $13 \%$ | 15,225 | $14 \%$ |
| South West | 14,805 | $21 \%$ | 20,265 | $18 \%$ |
| West Midlands | 7,265 | $10 \%$ | 10,910 | $10 \%$ |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 6,410 | 9,460 | 11,610 | $10 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 0 , 6 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | 10,420 | $\mathbf{1 1 2 , 4 8 5}$ |

Note: Equivalent to Table 34, but showing the proportions from each region with each A-level achievement, as opposed to young participation rates by region.

Table F14: 2005-06 three-grade A-level cohort by region and A-level achievement

| Region in which Level 3 qualification was obtained | Cohort with high grades |  | Cohort with achievement lower than high grades |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2005-06 } \\ \text { cohort } \end{array}$ | Proportion of cohort | $\begin{gathered} 2005-06 \\ \text { cohort } \end{gathered}$ | Proportion of cohort |
| East Midlands | 4,065 | 7\% | 8,785 | 9\% |
| East of England | 6,705 | 12\% | 12,145 | 12\% |
| London | 8,890 | 16\% | 14,095 | 14\% |
| North East | 1,875 | 3\% | 4,420 | 4\% |
| North West | 7,235 | 13\% | 14,610 | 14\% |
| South East | 12,200 | 21\% | 18,645 | 18\% |
| South West | 6,100 | 11\% | 9,780 | 10\% |
| West Midlands | 5,335 | 9\% | 10,615 | 10\% |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 4,785 | 8\% | 9,495 | 9\% |
| Unknown | 40 | <0.5\% | 70 | <0.5\% |
| Total | 57,230 | 100\% | 102,670 | 100\% |

Note: Equivalent to Table F13, but showing the proportions from each region with each A-level achievement, for the 2005-06 cohort.

Table F14a: Young participation by region and A-level achievement (2005-06 threegrade A-level cohort)

| Region in which Level 3 qualification was obtained | Cohort with high grades |  | Cohort with achievement lower than high grades |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005-06 cohort | Young participation rate | 2005-06 cohort | Young participation rate |
| East Midlands | 4,065 | 93\% | 8,785 | 81\% |
| East of England | 6,705 | 92\% | 12,145 | 77\% |
| London | 8,890 | 92\% | 14,095 | 83\% |
| North East | 1,875 | 95\% | 4,420 | 88\% |
| North West | 7,235 | 94\% | 14,610 | 83\% |
| South East | 12,200 | 91\% | 18,645 | 79\% |
| South West | 6,100 | 91\% | 9,780 | 76\% |
| West Midlands | 5,335 | 92\% | 10,615 | 81\% |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 4,785 | 94\% | 9,495 | 82\% |
| Unknown | 40 | n/a | 70 | n/a |
| Total | 57,230 | 92\% | 102,670 | 81\% |

Note: Equivalent to Table 34, but showing the proportions from each region with each A-level achievement, for the 2005-06 cohort.

Table F15: 2010-11 three-grade BTEC cohort by region and BTEC achievement

| Region in which Level 3 qualification was obtained | Cohort with high grades |  | Cohort with achievement lower than high grades |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010-11 cohort | Proportion of cohort | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2010-11 } \\ \text { cohort } \end{gathered}$ | Proportion of cohort |
| East Midlands | 1,530 | 7\% | 1,815 | 8\% |
| East of England | 2,165 | 10\% | 3,055 | 13\% |
| London | 1,935 | 9\% | 2,255 | 9\% |
| North East | 1,170 | 6\% | 1,130 | 5\% |
| North West | 4,155 | 20\% | 3,730 | 16\% |
| South East | 3,145 | 15\% | 3,700 | 16\% |
| South West | 2,150 | 10\% | 2,510 | 11\% |
| West Midlands | 2,545 | 12\% | 3,145 | 13\% |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 2,010 | 10\% | 2,475 | 10\% |
| Total | 20,805 | 100\% | 23,815 | 100\% |

Note: Equivalent to Table 35, but showing the proportions from each region with each BTEC achievement, as opposed to young participation rates by region.

Table F16: 2005-06 three-grade BTEC cohort by region and BTEC achievement

| Region in which Level 3 qualification was obtained | Cohort with high grades |  | Cohort with achievement lower than high grades |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 2005-06 \\ \text { cohort } \end{gathered}$ | Proportion of cohort | 2005-06 cohort | Proportion of cohort |
| East Midlands | 475 | 7\% | 1,115 | 7\% |
| East of England | 705 | 10\% | 1,995 | 13\% |
| London | 680 | 10\% | 1,005 | 7\% |
| North East | 310 | 5\% | 850 | 6\% |
| North West | 1,010 | 15\% | 2,335 | 15\% |
| South East | 1,160 | 17\% | 2,565 | 17\% |
| South West | 1,030 | 15\% | 2,020 | 13\% |
| West Midlands | 820 | 12\% | 1,885 | 12\% |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 675 | 10\% | 1,610 | 10\% |
| Total | 6,870 | 100\% | 15,375 | 100\% |

Note: Equivalent to Table F15, but showing the proportions from each region with each BTEC achievement, for the 2005-06 cohort.

Table F16a: Young participation by region and BTEC achievement (2005-06 threegrade BTEC cohort)

| Region in which Level 3 qualification was obtained | Cohort with high grades |  | Cohort with achievement lower than high grades |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 2005-06 \\ \text { cohort } \end{array}$ | Young participation rate | $\begin{array}{r} 2005-06 \\ \text { cohort } \end{array}$ | Young participation rate |
| East Midlands | 475 | 67\% | 1,115 | 46\% |
| East of England | 705 | 61\% | 1,995 | 40\% |
| London | 680 | 63\% | 1,005 | 56\% |
| North East | 310 | 82\% | 850 | 57\% |
| North West | 1,010 | 70\% | 2,335 | 51\% |
| South East | 1,160 | 63\% | 2,565 | 38\% |
| South West | 1,030 | 63\% | 2,020 | 36\% |
| West Midlands | 820 | 72\% | 1,885 | 50\% |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 675 | 76\% | 1,610 | 51\% |
| Total | 6,870 | 67\% | 15,375 | 46\% |

Note: Equivalent to Table 35, but showing the proportions from each region with each BTEC achievement, for the 2005-06 cohort.

Table F17: 2010-11 IB Diploma cohort by region and achievement

| Region in which Level 3 qualification was obtained | Cohort with high grades |  | Cohort with achievement lower than high grades |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010-11 cohort | Proportion of cohort | 2010-11 cohort | Proportion of cohort |
| East Midlands | 55 | 3\% | 35 | 3\% |
| East of England | 205 | 12\% | 165 | 15\% |
| London | 300 | 18\% | 150 | 14\% |
| North East | 5 | <0.5\% | 10 | 1\% |
| North West | 80 | 5\% | 70 | 6\% |
| South East | 690 | 42\% | 405 | 36\% |
| South West | 195 | 12\% | 135 | 12\% |
| West Midlands | 75 | 5\% | 105 | 10\% |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 25 | 2\% | 45 | 4\% |
| Total | 1,630 | 100\% | 1,125 | 100\% |

Note: Equivalent to Table 36, but showing the proportions from each region with each achievement, as opposed to young participation rates by region.

Table F18: 2005-06 IB Diploma cohort by region and achievement

| Region in which Level 3 <br> qualification was <br> obtained | Cohort with high grades <br> 2005-06 <br> cohort |  | Cohort with achievement <br> lower than high grades |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Past Midlands | 40 | $5 \%$ | 15 | $3 \%$ |
| Eastion of |  |  |  |  |
| con England | 2005-06 <br> cohort | Proportion of <br> cohort |  |  |
| London | 150 | $19 \%$ | 125 | $26 \%$ |
| North East | 165 | $21 \%$ | 55 | $11 \%$ |
| North West | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| South East | 0 | $0 \%$ | 5 | $1 \%$ |
| South West | 360 | $45 \%$ | 220 | $45 \%$ |
| West Midlands | 30 | $4 \%$ | 35 | $7 \%$ |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 50 | $6 \%$ | 35 | $7 \%$ |
| Total | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |

Note: Equivalent to Table F17, but showing the proportions from each region with each achievement, for the 2005-06 cohort.

Table F18a: Young participation by region and achievement (2005-06 IB Diploma cohort)

| Region in which Level 3 qualification was obtained | Cohort with high grades |  | Cohort with achievement lower than high grades |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005-06 cohort | Young participation rate | $\begin{array}{r} 2005-06 \\ \text { cohort } \end{array}$ | Young participation rate |
| East Midlands | 40 | n/a | 15 | n/a |
| East of England | 150 | 70\% | 125 | 80\% |
| London | 165 | 79\% | 55 | n/a |
| North East | 0 | n/a | 0 | n/a |
| North West | 0 | n/a | 5 | n/a |
| South East | 360 | 77\% | 220 | 77\% |
| South West | 30 | n/a | 35 | n/a |
| West Midlands | 50 | n/a | 35 | n/a |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 0 | n/a | 0 | n/a |
| Total | 800 | 75\% | 490 | 76\% |

Note: Equivalent to Table 36, but showing the proportions from each region with each achievement, for the 200506 cohort. Young participation rates are not shown for cohorts smaller than 100.

Table F19: Young participation by region (2005-06 combined A-level and BTEC cohort)

| Region in which Level 3 <br> qualification was obtained | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 - 0 6}$ <br> cohort | Proportion <br> of cohort | Young <br> participation rate |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| East Midlands | 75 | $4 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| East of England | 140 | $8 \%$ | $66 \%$ |
| London | 170 | $9 \%$ | $70 \%$ |
| North East | 135 | $7 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
| North West | 215 | $12 \%$ | $78 \%$ |
| South East | 455 | $25 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| South West | 250 | $14 \%$ | $63 \%$ |
| West Midlands | 125 | $7 \%$ | $73 \%$ |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 260 | $14 \%$ | $77 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 8 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 \%}$ |

Note: Equivalent to Table 37, but showing the 2005-06 cohort. Young participation rates are not shown for cohorts smaller than 100.

## Young participation by region in which HE was undertaken

Table F20: Young participation in HE by A-level achievement and region of institution entered for HE (2005-06 three-grade A-level cohort)

| Region of institution | Number <br> entering HE | Proportion of total <br> entering HE | Of which, proportion <br> with high grades |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| East Midlands | 13,200 | $10 \%$ | $34 \%$ |
| East of England | 7,195 | $5 \%$ | $46 \%$ |
| London | 17,220 | $13 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| North East | 8,590 | $6 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| North West | 18,195 | $13 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| South East | 19,450 | $14 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
| South West | 12,840 | $9 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| West Midlands | 11,615 | $9 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 18,870 | $14 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
| Northern Ireland | 65 | $<0.5 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Scotland | 2,680 | $2 \%$ | $63 \%$ |
| Wales | 5,165 | $4 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| Open University | 355 | $<0.5 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 3 5 , 4 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 \%}$ |

Note: Equivalent to Table 38, but showing the 2005-06 cohort. Young participation rates are not shown for cohorts smaller than 100.

Table F21: Young participation in HE by BTEC achievement and region of institution entered for HE (2005-06 three-grade BTEC cohort)

| Region of institution | Number <br> entering HE | Proportion of total <br> entering HE | Of which, proportion <br> with high grades |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| East Midlands | 1,000 | $9 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| East of England | 710 | $6 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| London | 1,460 | $13 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| North East | 745 | $6 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
| North West | 2,010 | $17 \%$ | $38 \%$ |
| South East | 1,440 | $12 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| South West | 1,290 | $11 \%$ | $44 \%$ |
| West Midlands | 1,215 | $10 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 1,420 | $12 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| Northern Ireland | 0 | $0 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Scotland | 25 | $<0.5 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Wales | 255 | $2 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| Open University | 50 | $<0.5 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 , 6 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ |

Note: Equivalent to Table 38, but showing the 2005-06 cohort. Young participation rates are not shown for cohorts smaller than 100.

Table F22: Young participation in HE by IB Diploma achievement and region of institution entered for HE (2005-06 IB Diploma cohort)

| Region of institution | Number <br> entering HE | Proportion of total <br> entering HE | Of which, proportion <br> with high grades |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| East Midlands | 75 | $8 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| East of England | 80 | $8 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| London | 170 | $17 \%$ | $64 \%$ |
| North East | 80 | $8 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| North West | 50 | $5 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| South East | 155 | $16 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| South West | 135 | $14 \%$ | $67 \%$ |
| West Midlands | 65 | $7 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 75 | $8 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Northern Ireland | 0 | $0 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Scotland | 65 | $7 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Wales | 20 | $2 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Open University | 5 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 \%}$ |

Note: Equivalent to Table 38, but showing the 2005-06 cohort. Young participation rates are not shown for cohorts smaller than 100.

## Young participation by type of institution in which HE was undertaken

Table F23: Young participation in HE by type of institution entered for HE (2005-06 three-grade A-level cohort)


Note: Equivalent to Table 42, but showing the 2005-06 cohort. Alternative providers recorded in administrative
data in or before 2012-13 only.

Table F24: Young participation in HE by type of institution entered for HE (2005-06 three-grade BTEC cohort)


Note: Equivalent to Table 43, but showing the 2005-06 cohort. Alternative providers recorded in administrative data in or before 2012-13 only.

Table F25: Young participation in HE by type of institution entered for HE (2005-06 IB Diploma cohort)


Note: Equivalent to Table 44, but showing the 2005-06 cohort. Alternative providers recorded in administrative data in or before 2012-13 only.

## Annex G: Abbreviations and glossary

| FEC | Further education college |
| :--- | :--- |
| GCE | General Certificate of Education |
| GNVQ | General National Vocational Qualifications |
| HE | Higher education |
| HEI | Higher education institution |
| HESA | Higher Education Statistics Agency |
| IB | International Baccalaureate |
| ILR | Individualised Learner Record |
| Key Stage 5 | Term used to describe the two years of post-compulsory education for students |
|  | aged 16 to 18 or at a sixth form in the UK as part of the National Curriculum |
| Level 3 | Study or a qualification equivalent to A-level |
| NPD | National Pupil Database |
| NQF | National Qualifications Framework |
| NVQ | National Vocational Qualification |
| POLAR | Participation of Local Areas |
| QCF | Qualifications and Credit Framework |
| STEM | Science, technology, engineering and mathematics |
| SVQ | Scottish Vocational Qualifications |
| VCE | Vocational Certificate of Education |
| VRQ | Vocational Related Qualifications |
| WP | Widening Participation |


[^0]:    Note: Equivalent to Table 26, but showing the proportions from each sex holding each type of Level 3 qualification, as opposed to young participation rates.

[^1]:    Note: Equivalent to Table 31, but showing the proportions from POLAR3 quintiles 1 and 5 that achieved each Alevel grade grouping, as opposed to young participation rates by grade.

[^2]:    Note: Equivalent to Table 31, but showing the proportions from POLAR3 quintiles 1 and 5 that achieved each Alevel grade grouping for the 2005-06 cohort.

[^3]:    Note: Equivalent to Table F11, but showing profile of the 2005-06 cohort.

