# Key Stage Assessments:

# Levels of Progression 2014/15

**Methodology Paper** 

March 2016

Version 1.0

### 1. Background

This paper outlines the methodology applied by the Department of Education (DE) to 2014/15 Key Stage Assessment data in order to generate specific high-level NI averages which can be utilised by the Department and schools in their key policies and target setting.

Key Stage Levels of Progression (LoP) are used to measure Literacy and Numeracy targets set out in the Department of Education Strategy 'Count, Read: Succeed' which contains long-term targets by 2019/20. Since 2013/14, ongoing industrial action has heavily impacted on the number of schools submitting their KS data to CCEA (for moderation purposes) and DE. In turn, an exercise has been carried out to firstly determine how representative the 2014/15 returns are within the NI context and, accordingly, adjust the data for non-response within each cohort.

End of Key Stage Assessments (KSAs) are carried out in primary schools at the end of Year 4 (KS1) and Year 7 (KS2), as well as in post-primary schools at the end of Year 10 (KS3).

### 2. Representativeness of 2014/15 achieved returns

Due to industrial action, around a fifth of all primary schools (18%), and a third of postprimary schools (36%) submitted 2014/15 KSA results. In turn, there is a strong likelihood of non-response bias in any subsequently produced average. Bias arises if the characteristics of non-responding schools (or pupils) differ significantly from those of responding schools (or pupils). The extent of such non-response bias can only be examined by comparing the characteristics of respondents with the distribution of the same characteristics in the entire school population.

To assess how accurately the sample of 2014/15 LoP data reflect the complete Year 4 (KS1), Year 7 (KS2) and Year 10 (KS3) school populations in Northern Ireland, the characteristics of each group have been compared with those collected through the 2014/15 School Census, an extract of which is presented in Table 2.1.

	KS1 Submissions (%)	2014/15 School Census (%)
Former ELB Area <sup>1</sup>		
Belfast	16.7	15.1
Western	8.9	17.0
North Eastern	53.0	21.9
South Eastern	7.3	21.6
Southern	14.1	24.4
Gender		
Girl	49.4	48.8
Воу	50.6	51.2
FSME <sup>2</sup>		
Non-FSME	71.5	67.5
FSME	28.5	32.5

# Table 2.1: Comparisons of the distribution of participating school pupils (KS1) with allYear 4 pupils in Northern Ireland, 2014/15

Notes:

1. The Education Authority came into existence on 1st April 2015 and assumed the responsibilities of the former Education and Library Boards (ELBs).

2. Free School Meal Entitled (FSME) status was unknown for nine pupils with submitted KS1 results.

## 3. Weighting

In order to accurately reflect the composition of Northern Ireland's Years 4, 7 and 10 school populations, weights have been calculated and applied to the data to compensate and adjust for non-response bias in KSA data returns. Figures from the 2014/15 School Census were used to derive 3 individual sets of weights, one for each year group.

It was considered appropriate to consider select school and pupil characteristics, as presented in Table 3.1, as each will, independently and collectively, influence the expected attainment levels at an individual pupil level.

# Table 3.1: School and pupil characteristics used to construct weights accounting for non-response bias in KS1, KS2 and KS3 Levels of Progression submissions, 2014/15

			Primary		Post-Primary
			KS1	KS2	KS3
		Belfast	$\checkmark$	✓	✓
		Western	$\checkmark$	✓	✓
	Former ELB Area <sup>1</sup>	North Eastern	$\checkmark$	✓	✓
		South Eastern	$\checkmark$	✓	✓
		Southern	$\checkmark$	✓	$\checkmark$
School	Management Type	Controlled	$\checkmark$	✓	✓
		Catholic Maintained	$\checkmark$	✓	✓
		Other <sup>2</sup>	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	School Type	Grammar			✓
	School Type	Non-Grammar			✓
	FSME	FSME	$\checkmark$	✓	✓
Dunil		Non-FSME	$\checkmark$	✓	✓
Pupil	Gender	Girl	$\checkmark$	✓	✓
		Воу	$\checkmark$	✓	✓

Notes:

1. The Education Authority came into existence on 1st April 2015 and assumed the responsibilities of the former Education and Library Boards (ELBs).

2. Includes schools within 'other maintained', controlled integrated', 'grant maintained integrated' and 'voluntary' sectors.

It should be noted that weighting cannot generate data for certain groups lost through nonresponse. By way of example, in this particular exercise free school meal entitled pupils from 'other' management type schools in the former SELB were not represented in submitted KS1 returns. Pupils within this group were consequently removed from the equivalent school census figures prior to the calculation of weights.

While multiple sets of individual weights can be derived based on school (ELB, Type, Management Type) or pupil (Gender, Free School Meal Entitled (FSME)) characteristics, this methodology has focussed on the construction of a single set of pupil-level weights at each Key Stage combining these characteristics given that a pupil's expected attainment will be inter-reliant on a combination of these variables. The set of KS1 weights derived for Year 4 pupils is shown in Table 3.2.

	Former ELB Area <sup>1</sup> Management Type		Gender	
Former ELB Area	wanagement type	FSME	Girl	Воу
Belfast Controlled		Non-FSME	0.53	0.49
		FSME	0.85	0.85
	Catholic Maintained	Non-FSME	2.29	1.73
		FSME	1.85	1.71
	Other	Non-FSME	0.58	0.40
		FSME	1.06	1.04
Western	Controlled	Non-FSME	0.83	0.92
		FSME	1.21	0.99
	Catholic Maintained	Non-FSME	2.75	3.46
		FSME	3.10	5.65
	Other	Non-FSME	3.66	1.87
		FSME	2.19	2.09
North Eastern	Controlled	Non-FSME	0.35	0.34
		FSME	0.33	0.35
	Catholic Maintained	Non-FSME	0.70	0.67
		FSME	0.93	0.75
	Other	Non-FSME	0.35	0.47
		FSME	0.50	0.39
South Eastern	Controlled	Non-FSME	4.73	4.65
		FSME	4.70	4.34
	Catholic Maintained	Non-FSME	1.84	1.66
		FSME	2.62	3.77
	Other	Non-FSME	1.32	1.56
		FSME	4.96	5.49
Southern	Controlled	Non-FSME	1.39	1.10
		FSME	0.90	1.11
	Catholic Maintained	Non-FSME	2.16	2.33
		FSME	2.39	3.60
	Other	Non-FSME	2.75	N/A
		FSME	N/A	N/A

#### Table 3.2: Details of weights to be applied to KS1 LoP data submitted in 2014/15

Notes:

1. The Education Authority came into existence on 1st April 2015 and assumed the responsibilities of the former Education and Library Boards (ELBs).

2. Weights are rounded to 2 decimal places for presentational purposes.

3. 'N/A' - denotes a weight is not applicable as no KSA outcomes were submitted for pupils within given category.
4. While the data in this table illustrate the 30 separate weighted values derived for Primary School pupils (KS1 and KS2), the number of values doubles at KS3 to incorporate school type (i.e. Grammar and Non-Grammar).

The effect of applying the above weighting is depicted in Table 3.3 which shows the proportion of Year 4 pupils (KS1) achieving the expected level (Level 2 or above) before and after weighting.

Table 3.3: Effects of weighting on KS1 averages, by gender, 2014/15

% achieving level 2 or above		Unweighted %	Weighted %	
	Girls	93.8	93.1	
Communication	Boys	86.8	84.5	
	All	90.2	88.7	
	Girls	93.6	92.8	
Using Maths	Boys	89.9	87.9	
	All	91.7	90.3	

This technique corrects for over- and under- representation in the various groups when compared with the entire population. Table 3.4 presents an updated version of Table 2.1 showing how weighting has adjusted the proportion of responding schools in line with school census data.

	KS1 Subr	2014/15	
	Unweighted %	Weighted %	School Census
Former ELB Area <sup>1</sup>			
Belfast	16.7	15.3	15.1
Western	8.9	17.1	17.0
North Eastern	53.0	22.1	21.9
South Eastern	7.3	21.7	21.6
Southern	14.1	23.7	24.4
Gender			
Girl	49.4	49.1	48.8
Воу	50.6	50.9	51.2
FSME <sup>2</sup>			
Non-FSME	71.5	67.6	67.5
FSME	28.5	32.4	32.5

# Table 3.4: Effects of weighting on the distribution of participating pupils (KS1) with allYear 4 pupils in Northern Ireland, 2014/15

Notes:

1. The Education Authority came into existence on 1st April 2015 and assumed the responsibilities of the former Education and Library Boards (ELBs).

2. Free School Meal Entitled (FSME) status was unknown for nine pupils with submitted KS1 results.

#### 4. Standard error and confidence intervals

Given that only a proportion of schools submitted KSA returns in 2014/15, any averages derived from these data may differ from those that would have been obtained if all schools had responded. The extent of this difference naturally depends on the level of non-response. It is, however, possible to calculate the range of values between which the (true) population figures are estimated to lie. This is known as the confidence interval, sometimes referred to as a margin of error.

Confidence intervals at the 95% confidence level have been stated alongside the various estimates produced for 2014/15. This means that, while the best estimate represents the weighted mean figure drawn from the data, there is 95% certainty that the true population mean lies between the lower and higher estimates. To put it another way, it is assumed (and accepted) that there is a one in 20 chance that the true population value will fall outside the 95 percent confidence interval calculated for the (best) estimate.

Confidence intervals have been calculated using the standard error of proportions, using the formula:

$$se(p) = \sqrt{\frac{p \ge (1-p)}{n}}$$

### 5. Statistical significance of change

Because these KSA estimates are subject to sampling error, differences between estimates from successive years or between population subgroups may occur by chance. It is possible to measure whether this is likely to be the case using standard statistical tests and conclude whether differences are likely to be due to chance or represent a real difference.

For the purposes of this exercise, where differences have emerged as being statistically significant, these have been reported at the 5% (p<0.05) level of probability (two-tailed tests). This means that, for any observed result that is found to be statistically significant, one can be 95% confident that this has not happened by chance. Within Table 6.2, any increases or decreases that are statistically significant at the five per cent level (p<0.05), and are therefore considered to be real, are indicated by a double asterisk (\*\*).

### 6. Results - NI averages

Table 6.1 displays weighted headline results at the NI level for KS1, KS2 and KS3 pupils achieving the expected level in both Communication (in English) and Using Maths, together with confidence intervals.

% numile achieving the overacted level		2014/15			
%	% pupils achieving the expected level		Best Estimate <sup>3</sup>	Lower Estimate <sup>3</sup>	Higher Estimate <sup>3</sup>
KS1	Level 2 or above	Communication (English) Using Maths	88.7 90.3	87.7 89.4	89.7 91.2
KS2	Level 4 or above	Communication (English) Using Maths	76.8 77.4	75.5 76.1	78.1 78.7
КS3	Level 5 or above	Grammar: Communication (English) Using Maths Non-Grammar: Communication (English) Using Maths	98.4 99.7 58.5 63.5	98.0 99.6 57.1 62.1	98.9 99.9 59.9 65.0

# Table 6.1: Levels of progression in Communication and Using Maths, NI averages with Confidence Intervals 2014/15<sup>1,2</sup>

Source: CCEA (DE)

Notes:

1. Excludes Special and Independent schools.

2. Data have been weighted to account for non-response bias.

3. The best estimate is the mean figure drawn from the sample. The lower and higher estimates are for the 95% confidence interval. There is 95% certainty that the true population value lies between the lower and higher estimates.

Results suggest that, in 2014/15, the percentages of KS1 and KS2 pupils attaining the expected levels in both subject areas have fallen since 2013/14 and are now closer to those levels recorded in 2012/13 when LoP was first introduced (Table 6.2).

In contrast, at KS3, the percentage of pupils attaining the expected level in Communication shows no real change and remains on a par with those observed in 2013/14. While this is also the case for pupils in non-grammar schools when assessed in Using Maths, grammar pupils have demonstrated an increase in this subject area (Table 6.2).

As a caveat when interpreting these results, it is acknowledged that these LoP assessments, first introduced in 2012/13, need time to embed and the Department recommends caution when analysing data from the first years' implementation.

Table 6.2: Levels of progression in Communication and Using Maths, NI averages
2012/13 to 2014/15 <sup>1</sup>

% pupils achieving the expected level		2012/13	2013/14 <sup>2</sup>	2014/15 <sup>2</sup>	Statistically significant change, 2013/14 to 2014/15? <sup>3</sup>	
KS1	Level 2	Communication (English)	90.1	91.1	88.7	** ↓
	or above	Using Maths	90.8	92.2	90.3	** ↓
KS2	Level 4	Communication (English)	77.1	79.8	76.8	** ↓
	or above	Using Maths	78.5	80.3	77.4	** ↓
KS3	Level 5 or above	<b>Grammar:</b> Communication (English) Using Maths <b>Non-Grammar:</b> Communication (English) Using Maths	96.8 98.8 58.8 59.8	98.6 99.4 59.3 62.1	98.4 99.7 58.5 63.5	** ↑

Source: CCEA (DE)

Notes:

1. Excludes Special and Independent schools.

2. 2013/14 and 2014/15 data have been weighted to account for non-response bias.

3. Statistical significance of change at the 5% level (two-tailed test) is indicated by a double asterisk (\*\*) with the direction of change indicated by an arrow.