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## Performance Indicators: A Description

PI 1 Achievement of funding target; an indicator of the degree to which an institution has achieved its funding target

PI 2 Change in student numbers; an indicator of the level of change in student enrolments at an institution

PI 3 In-year retention rates; an indicator of the effectiveness of an institution's teaching, and guidance and support process, as measured by the retention of students on their learning programmes

PI 4 Achievement rates; an indicator of the effectiveness of an institution in enabling students to attain their learning goals

PI 5 Contribution to the national targets; an indicator of the number of students attaining one of the national learning targets by achieving an NVQ or equivalent at the appropriate level

## Definition of institutions

The following terms have specific meanings within this publication:

| Colleges | - | sometimes described as sector colleges and include agriculture and <br> horticulture colleges; art, design and performing arts colleges; specialist <br> designated institutions; general further education colleges; tertiary colleges <br> and sixth form colleges |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\quad$local education authority (LEA) maintained and independently-funded external |  |
| External |  |  |
| Institutions - | institutions |  |

## Performance Indicators 1998-99

Further Education Colleges in England

## Introduction

1 This publication contains performance indicators, analysis and interpretation for 426 further education (FE) and sixth form colleges in England plus, for the first time, similar data and comment for 216 LEA maintained and independent external institutions. The indicators for individual colleges relate to the 1997-98 and 1998-99 teaching years with trends since 1996-97 included in the section on analysis and interpretation. For external institutions, performance indicators are shown only for 1998-99.

2 It is the fifth year in which performance indicators for further education colleges have been published. The performance indicators are calculated primarily from the individualised student record (ISR).

3 Five performance indicators are shown for each institution, focusing on key areas of activity. The performance indicators have been developed to complement existing published information and have three main purposes:

- to enable institutions to compare their performance with those of equivalent organisations
- to provide information to the Department for Education and Employment (DfEE), the Learning and Skills Council (LSC), training and enterprise councils (TECs), the Council and the general public as part of the accountability for spending public funds
- to enable institutions and the Council to monitor changes in performance at each institution over time.


## External Institutions

4 Performance indicators are shown for LEA maintained and independent external institutions as recommended in the Final Report of the External Institutions Review Group (1999), chaired by Professor Bob Fryer CBE. The performance indicators for 1998-99 are seen as setting a baseline against which future development can be measured.

5 External institutions have patterns of provision which differ from those of general FE colleges, sixth form colleges or specialist colleges. Students are mainly adults studying part-time, with a high proportion of provision in Programme Area 10 - Basic Education. In recognition of such differences, performance indicators and sector medians for external institutions are shown separately from those for colleges.

## Key Results for 1998-99 and Comparison with 1996-97 and 1997-98 for colleges

6 The five indicators have been calculated from information provided by institutions as part of the annual cycle of student and financial data returns to the Council. All of the indicators except PI 5 (attainment of NVQs or equivalent) relate to provision funded wholly or partly by the Council. The five published performance indicators are unchanged from 1997-98. They are explained in more detail in annex D.

7 Results for 1996-97 and 1997-98 for colleges were first published in Performance Indicators 1997-98: Further education colleges in England, September 1999. The results for 92 colleges were updated and republished in a supplement published in March 2000. The results for 1996-97 and 1997-98 in this publication take into account any revisions to the underlying data since they were previously published.

8 Analysis of the performance indicators shows that:

## PI 1: Achievement of funding target

Colleges

- nearly $60 \%$ of sector colleges achieved or surpassed their funding unit target in 1998-99. The middle $50 \%$ of colleges achieved between $97 \%$ and $103 \%$ of their funding unit target. These figures are slightly lower than for 1997-98 and reflect the challenge faced by colleges in achieving their funding targets.

External institutions

- $64 \%$ of external institutions achieved or surpassed their funding unit target. The range of results is much wider for external institutions than for sector colleges and the middle $50 \%$ achieved between $94 \%$ and $118 \%$ of their funding unit target.


## PI 2: Percentage change in student numbers

Colleges

- the change in full-time student numbers between 1997-98 and 1998-99 for the median sector college was a decrease of $2 \%$, compared with a decrease of $2 \%$ in 1997-98 and an increase of $1 \%$ in 1996-97
- the change in part-time student numbers for the median sector college between 1997-98 and 1998-99 was a decrease of $1 \%$. This contrasts with the previous two years when parttime numbers at the median sector college increased by 5\% in 1997-98 and by $14 \%$ in 1996-97. In all years, the percentage change varies substantially between colleges.


## External institutions

- within external institutions there are few full-time students. The percentage changes between 1997-98 and 1998-99 in full-time and parttime student numbers for the median institution were $7 \%$ and $0 \%$ respectively. As with sector colleges, the percentage change varies significantly between institutions.


## PI 3: In-year retention rate

Colleges

- the in-year retention rate for the median sector college in 1998-99 was $87 \%$ for full-time students and $84 \%$ for part-time students. These medians are the same as those recorded in 1997-98 and retention rates have been largely stable since 1995-96.
- colleges having the lowest levels of retention rate in previous years have shown strong improvements in 1998-99. The median improvement in retention rate for full-time students in 1998-99 for those colleges whose retention rate was below $80 \%$ in 1996-97 was 4 percentage points
- the middle $50 \%$ of sector colleges had a full-time in-year retention rate of between $84 \%$ and $91 \%$ and a parttime in-year retention rate of between $79 \%$ and $88 \%$ in 1998-99. The ranges in 1997-98 and 1996-97 were similar
- for part-time students, the median sector college in-year retention rate continued to be lowest in sixth form colleges at $79 \%$, the same as for 1997-98. Sixth form colleges often have small numbers of part-time students.


## External institutions

- the great majority of provision within external institutions is part-time and the in-year retention rate for the median institution was $78 \%$. The middle $50 \%$ of institutions had a parttime in-year retention rate of between $72 \%$ and $85 \%$.


## PI 4: Achievement rates

Colleges

- achievement rates have increased over the past three years
- the achievement rate for all qualifications for the median sector college in 1998-99 was $76 \%$, one percentage point higher than 1997-98 and three percentage points higher than in 1996-97
- the median sector college achievement rate is highest for long qualifications at $78 \%$ in 1998-99, an increase of two percentage points over the previous year; and lowest for medium length qualifications at $70 \%$, which also represents an increase of two percentage points over the previous year
- colleges with the lowest achievement rates in 1996-97 have demonstrated exceptional levels of improvement in 1998-99. The median improvement in achievement rate between 1996-97 and 1998-99 was 23 percentage points for those colleges who formed the bottom $10 \%$ two years ago
- the median achievement rate for all qualifications in general further education and tertiary colleges increased by 7 percentage points over the two years between 1996-97 and 1998-99 from 66\% to 73\%
- there continues to be a wide range in colleges' achievement rates, although this has reduced since 1996-97 as colleges with the lowest achievement rates make significant improvements. For example, in 1996-97 a quarter of sector colleges had an achievement rate for long qualifications below $60 \%$. This had improved to an achievement rate of $70 \%$ in 1998-99 (see figure 22a)
- the median achievement rate continues to vary by type of college; for example, in 1998-99 the median achievement rate for all qualifications ranged from $86 \%$ in sixth form colleges, $79 \%$ in specialist art and design and performing arts colleges and agriculture and horticulture colleges, to $73 \%$ in general further education and tertiary colleges.


## External institutions

- the median external institution achievement rate for all qualifications was $82 \%$. The median achievement rate for external institutions is highest for long qualifications at $86 \%$ in 1998-99, with the median value for short and medium length qualifications being $79 \%$ and $81 \%$ respectively
- there is a wide range of achievement rates across external institutions with the middle 50\% having an achievement rate across all qualifications of between $65 \%$ and 91\%.


## PI 5: Contribution to the national learning targets

PI 5 shows the volume of an institution's contribution to the national targets and covers all provision at institutions:

## Colleges

- Summing the indicator across all colleges shows that:
- 64,000 students aged between 16 and 18 achieved NVQ level 2 or equivalent in 1998-99. This compares with 63,100 in 1997-98 and 58,700 in 1996-97, an increase of 5,300 or $9 \%$ over the period
- 132,600 students aged between 16 and 20 achieved NVQ level 3 or equivalent in 1998-99. This compares with 129,400 in 1997-98 and 127,100 in 1996-97, an increase of 5,500 or $4 \%$ over the period
- 112,200 students aged 21 and over achieved NVQ level 3 or equivalent in 1997-98. This compares with a figure of 110,400 in 1997-98 and 110,300 in 1996-97, an increase of 1,900 or $2 \%$ over the period.

External institutions
External institutions have relatively few students under the age of 21 and they have few students aged over 21 studying for qualifications at NVQ level 3. Where individual external institutions make contributions to the national targets these are shown; however, given the low level of participation, median values are likely to be misleading and for that reason are not shown.

## Interpreting the Indicators

9 The following information is included in the annexes to this publication:

- annex A: background and approach to the performance indicators
- annex B: analysis and interpretation of the performance indicators
- annex C: table of individual institution performance indicators and supporting data. This information is available in electronic form on the Internet by accessing the Council's information pages on http://www.fefc.ac.uk
- annex D: definitions and sources.

10 For colleges, broad comparisons can be made between the in-year retention and achievement rates shown respectively in PI 3 and PI 4 and the results shown in Benchmarking Data 1996-97 to 1998-99: Retention and achievement rates in further education colleges in England. Similarly, external institutions can make comparisons with the results shown in Benchmarking Data 1998-99: Retention and achievement rates in external institutions in England. Institutions wishing to compare their performance indicators with the results published in benchmarking data should note the definitional differences between the results shown in the two publications, explained in annex B of Benchmarking Data 1996-97 to 1998-99. The main differences are:

- PI 3 summarises retention for students on all their qualifications within a particular teaching year; the benchmarking data show retention on each qualification over its whole duration where this is longer than one teaching year
- PI 4 and benchmarking data on achievement rates are calculated in a similar way, although PI 4 includes partial achievement whereas the benchmarking data do not.

11 There are a number of key points to bear in mind when interpreting the performance indicators for an individual institution:
a. the indicators should be viewed as part of a range of information which institutions and others publish about their activities and should also be considered in relation to the institution's strategic plan and mission;
b. the performance indicators give summary information about each institution. The indicators can only provide a starting point for institutions to compare their performance with institutions similar to their own. The reasons for differential performance can then be explored, separating differences arising from unique features of an institution, from those where changes in practice can lead to improved performance;
c. all the performance indicators except PI 5 relate to Council-funded provision in institutions. Where achievement rates or other indicators are published this is often on a different basis from the figures presented here and great caution should be exercised in making comparisons. For example, the performance tables for schools and colleges published each November by the DfEE, relate to 16 to 18 year olds studying particular qualifications while the performance indicators in this publication relate to all students and their qualifications. Almost four in five students in the further education sector are aged over 18 .

# Background and Approach to the Performance Indicators 

## Background

1 In June 1992 the secretary of state for education asked the Council to work with colleges to develop performance indicators, as part of the requirement under section 50 of the Further and Higher Education Act 1992, that institutions publish information about their financial and other resources and use made of such resources.

2 In 1999 the External Institutions Review Group was set up by the Council to advise on funding arrangement for external institutions. The group were also asked to look at the contribution of external institutions to the lifelong learning agenda and at the areas of quality improvement and accountability. The group recommended that accountability requirements for external institutions should be as rigorous as for sector colleges and should be measured and reported accordingly.

3 A variety of information is already published about institutions' activities including:

- examination achievements of 16 to 18 year-olds, published annually by the DfEE as part of the comparative tables of school and college performance
- college publications such as the college's charter and disability statement, annual college accounts and detailed information on the qualifications achieved by students each year
- Council inspection reports.


## Approach

4 Diversity of provision is one of the distinguishing features of the college sector. To attempt to capture this diversity in full using numerical indicators would run the risk of increasing the volume of data to unmanageable levels, so reducing the impact and value of the indicators. The approach adopted by the Council was, therefore, to develop a limited number of indicators which satisfied the following criteria:

- they should be clearly defined so that a reliable comparison between institutions is possible
- the data required to calculate them should be collected as part of colleges' other activities. There should be no special data collection needed
- they should be seen as relating to key areas of activity in colleges.

5 The key areas of college activity of interest to the Council are:

- the recruitment of students and the education programmes they follow
- students' commitment to their learning programmes
- the achievement of students' primary learning goals and, in particular, achievement which contributes to the national learning targets
- the value for money of provision.

6 The Council developed the original proposals for college performance indicators with the help of a development group comprising college principals and other interested parties including the DfEE and TECs. The Council's approach to performance indicators is the same as in the 1999 publication; definitions of the indicators are given in Annex D.

## Analysis and Interpretation of Performance Indicators

1 This annex contains analysis and interpretation for each of the five performance indicators (PIs) for 1998-99 together with a comparison with the results for 1996-97 and 1997-98 for sector colleges. The following information is shown for each indicator:

- purpose of the indicator
- description of the indicator
- results and interpretation including sector medians, medians and ranges by college type and regional medians.

2 Tables and figures showing results appear throughout the text. The abbreviations used in the figures are:

## Type of institution

| EI | External institutions |
| :---: | :---: |
| GFEC/TC | General further education and tertiary colleges |
| SFC | Sixth form colleges |
| Specialist | Agriculture and horticulture and art, design and performing arts colleges |
| Regions |  |
| EM | East Midlands |
| ER | Eastern Region |
| GL | Greater London |
| NR | Northern Region |
| NW | North West |
| SE | South East |
| SW | South West |
| WM | West Midlands |
| YH | Yorkshire and Humberside |

## PI 1: Achievement of Funding Target <br> Purpose

3 To indicate the degree to which an institution has achieved its funding target, by measuring the difference between an institution's provision of education and training programmes and that which an institution agreed to provide in return for Council funds. The indicator relates to provision wholly or partly funded by the Council.

## Description

4 The number of Council-funded units of activity, that is, funding units, generated by an institution for the teaching year (1 August to 31 July), as a percentage of its target funding units for that year.

## Results and interpretation

5 The funding agreement between the Council and an institution takes account of the entire range of an institution's activities including student recruitment, attendance and achievement as well as the different patterns of student attendance and the varied learning programmes they follow.

6 The indicator shows an institution's success in setting and achieving targets. A result of close to $100 \%$ is an indication of accurate planning. Prior to 1997-98, some institutions will have planned to gain additional funding through the demand-led element (DLE) funding arrangements which applied in 1996-97. DLE was withdrawn after 1996-97, and so institutions' achievement of their funding target in 1997-98 and subsequent years is typically much closer to $100 \%$.

7 The results are summarised in table 1. Across sector colleges, the median college value for the achievement of the 1998-99 funding target was $100 \%$ compared with $101 \%$ in 1997-98 and 108\% in 1996-97.

Some $60 \%$ of colleges achieved or surpassed their funding target in 1998-99 (see figures 1a and 2). The median value for external institutions was 106\% (see figures 1b and 2).

8 The middle $50 \%$ of sector colleges in 1998-99 achieved between $97 \%$ and $103 \%$ of their funding target. This was a slightly
wider range than in 1997-98; nevertheless this was a narrower range than that achieved in 1996-97. By contrast, the middle $50 \%$ of external institutions in 1998-99 achieved between $94 \%$ and $118 \%$ of their funding target which indicates the wide diversity between such institutions.

Table 1. PI 1: Achievement of funding target Ranges for the sector 1996-97 to 1998-99 and by institution type 1998-99

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Institutions \\
No.
\end{tabular} \& 25th percentile*

$\%$ \& Median college achievement of funding target \% \& 75th percentile ${ }^{+}$

$\%$ <br>
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{All sector colleges:} <br>
\hline 1998-99 \& 424 \& 97 \& 100 \& 103 <br>
\hline 1997-98 \& \& 99 \& 101 \& 103 <br>
\hline 1996-97 \& \& 102 \& 108 \& 117 <br>
\hline Specialist colleges \& 34 \& 98 \& 100 \& 103 <br>
\hline General FE/tertiary colleges \& 277 \& 97 \& 100 \& 102 <br>
\hline Sixth form colleges \& 107 \& 100 \& 102 \& 106 <br>
\hline External institutions: \& 215 \& 94 \& 106 \& 118 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

* a quarter of colleges had an achievement of funding target at or below this figure
${ }^{+}$a quarter of colleges had an achievement of funding target at or above this figure
Note: 'specialist colleges' includes agriculture and horticulture colleges and art, design and performing arts colleges. Number of colleges of each type may not add to total because specialist designated colleges are not shown as a separate category

Figure 1a. PI 1: Achievement of funding target 1998-99. Range of college results


Figure 1b. PI 1: Achievement of funding target 1998-99. Range of external institution results


Figure 2. PI 1: Achievement of funding target. Median values by institution type


Figure 3a. PI 1: College achievement of funding target 1998-99. Median values by region


Figure 3b. PI 1: External institution achievement of funding target 1998-99. Median values by region


## PI 2: Change in Student Numbers

## Purpose

9 To indicate the level of change in student enrolments at an institution.

## Description

10 The percentage change in student numbers year on year. Separate information is shown for two broad modes of attendance: full-time which includes students studying on a full-time full-year basis; and part-time which includes students studying on a fulltime part-year basis and part-time students. Student numbers at institutions in 1998-99 are also shown for full-time and part-time attendance to provide a context for this indicator and the other indicators. The indicator relates to provision wholly or partly funded by the Council.

## Results and interpretation

## Full-time students

11 Full-time student numbers in sector colleges declined by $2 \%$ between 1997-98 and 1998-99 in the median college. This result is the same as that obtained in 1997-98 and reverses the trend of the previous two years when colleges typically increased their full-time numbers, the median increases were $1 \%$ between 1995-96 and 1996-97 (see table 2). The change in full-time student numbers varied substantially between colleges in all three years. In 1998-99, full-time numbers declined by more than $7 \%$ at a quarter of colleges, but increased by more than $3 \%$ at another quarter (see figure 4a); these results are very similar to those obtained in 1997-98.
12 Full-time student numbers increased by $7 \%$ between 1997-98 and 1998-99 in the median external institution. The relatively low number of full-time students at external institutions accounts for the wide variations
in the change in student numbers between institutions (see figure 4b), with over a half of external institutions having no full-time students.

13 The $2 \%$ median decline in full-time numbers masks some variations between college types (see figure 5). In 1998-99 the median change in full-time numbers was slightly greater in general further education and tertiary colleges and specialist agriculture and horticulture and art and design colleges at $-2 \%$, than in sixth form colleges where the median college had no change in full-time numbers.

14 The range of growth rates in sector colleges for full-time student numbers in 1998-99 was similar in most regions to the national range. The exception was the South West region, where the median college showed a decline of $5 \%$ in full-time student numbers and a quarter of colleges showed a decline of more than $9 \%$ (see figure 6a). This variation in growth rates between colleges and regions is as a result of individual college strategies for growth and demographic factors which have a particular effect on the numbers of students aged 16-18.

## Part-time students

15 The change in part-time student numbers for the median sector college between 1997-98 and 1998-99 was -1\%. This continues the downward trend in growth rate over the previous three years when the median growth rates were $23 \%$, $14 \%$ and $5 \%$. Between 1994-95 and 1996-97 colleges were able to draw down demand-led funding for growth in student numbers, and this resulted in many colleges increasing their student numbers, particularly for parttime attendance, significantly. Much of the growth in student numbers over this period was due to the expansion of franchising between colleges and other providers or employers, particularly for general further education and tertiary colleges. The
reduction in growth rates coincides with the ending of demand-led funding from 1997-98. There was no change in part-time numbers for the median external institution.

16 The part-time numbers include those students studying on very short full-time courses, for example students studying a computer literacy and information technology course full-time for a week.

17 Growth rates varied between institutions in a similar manner to last year. See figures 7 a and 7 b which show the range of results for colleges and external institutions respectively. In a quarter of sector colleges part-time numbers declined by over $13 \%$, while in another quarter parttime numbers increased by over $14 \%$.

18 The range of growth rates for sector colleges within each region is narrower than last year. The growth rate between 1997-98 and 1998-99 ranged from a median $1 \%$ increase in the East Midlands and Greater London regions to a decline of $4 \%$ in Yorkshire and Humberside and West Midlands regions (see figure 9a). This is mainly due to individual college strategies, for example with regard to franchising. A similar variation in growth in part-time numbers is evident in external institutions, although there is no obvious pattern in the growth rates in sector colleges and external institutions within regions (see figures 9a and 9b).

Table 2. PI 2: Student number trends
Ranges for the sector 1996-97 to 1998-99 and by institution type 1998-99
Full-time students

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \& Institutions
No. \& 25th percentile*

$\%$ \& Median college change in full-time student numbers \% \& | 75th percentilet |
| :--- |
| \% | <br>

\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{All sector colleges:} <br>
\hline 1997-98 to 1998-99 \& 420 \& -7 \& -2 \& 3 <br>
\hline 1996-97 to 1997-98 \& \& -8 \& -2 \& 2 <br>
\hline 1995-96 to 1996-97 \& \& -5 \& 1 \& 7 <br>
\hline Specialist colleges \& 34 \& -9 \& -2 \& 2 <br>
\hline General FE/tertiary colleges \& 275 \& -8 \& -2 \& 3 <br>
\hline Sixth form colleges \& 107 \& -3 \& 0 \& 3 <br>
\hline External institutions: \& 66 \& -33 \& 7 \& 75 <br>
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Part-time students} <br>
\hline \& Institutions \& 25th percentile* \& Median college change in part-time student numbers \& 75 th percentile ${ }^{+}$ <br>
\hline \& No. \& \% \& \% \& \% <br>
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{All sector colleges:} <br>
\hline 1997-98 to 1998-99 \& 418 \& -13 \& -1 \& 14 <br>
\hline 1996-97 to 1997-98 \& \& -9 \& 5 \& 19 <br>
\hline 1995-96 to 1996-97 \& \& -3 \& 14 \& 37 <br>
\hline Specialist colleges \& 34 \& -14 \& -5 \& 13 <br>
\hline General FE/tertiary colleges \& 275 \& -13 \& -3 \& 8 <br>
\hline Sixth form colleges \& 103 \& -13 \& 13 \& 43 <br>
\hline External institutions: \& 206 \& -11 \& 0 \& 13 <br>

\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{| * a quarter of colleges had a change in student numbers at or below this figure |
| :--- |
| ${ }^{+}$a quarter of colleges had a change in student numbers at or above this figure |} <br>

\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Note: 'specialist colleges' includes agriculture and horticulture colleges and art, design and performing arts colleges. Number of colleges of each type may not add to total because specialist designated colleges are not shown as a separate category} <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure 4a. PI 2: Change in full-time students 1997-98 to 1998-99. Range of college results


Figure 4b. PI 2: Change in full-time students 1997-98 to 1998-99. Range of external institution results


Note: 9 external institutions are excluded as their change in full-time student numbers between 1997-98 and $1998-99$ was over 200\%. Most of these external institutions had fewer than 15 full-time students resulting in a large percentage change between the two years.

Figure 5. PI 2: Change in full-time students. Median values by institution type


Figure 6a. PI 2: Change in full-time students, colleges 1997-98 to 1998-99. Median values by region


Figure 6b. PI 2: Change in full-time students, external institutions 1997-98 to 1998-99. Median values by region


Figure 7a. PI 2: Change in part-time students 1997-98 to 1998-99. Range of college results


Figure 7b. PI 2: Change in part-time students 1997-98 to 1998-99. Range of external institution results


Figure 8. PI 2: Change in part-time students. Median values by institution type


Figure 9a. PI 2: Change in part-time students, colleges 1997-98 to 1998-99. Median values by region


Figure 9b. PI 2: Change in part-time students, external institutions 1997-98 to 1998-99. Median values by region


## PI 3: In-year Retention Rates Purpose

19 To provide an indication of the effectiveness of an institution's teaching, and guidance and support process, as measured by the retention of students on their learning programmes.

## Description

20 The percentage of those students who, having enrolled on a learning programme of at least 12 weeks' duration, continue to attend at the end of the qualification or the end of the teaching year (31 July), whichever is sooner. Separate information is shown for full-time full-year (described as full-time), and full-time part-year and part-time students (jointly described as part-time). The indicator relates to provision funded wholly or partly by the Council.

21 Where a student transfers from one qualification to another within the institution during the teaching year, the qualification the student has transferred from is ignored for the purpose of calculating the student's retention status.

## Results and interpretation

22 The calculated in-year retention rate takes account of the number of students withdrawing from all their qualifications within any one teaching year, rather than over the whole programme where students are studying on a programme longer than one year.

23 The results for PI 3 are summarised in table 3. The median sector college in-year retention rate in 1998-99 was $87 \%$ for fulltime students and $84 \%$ for part-time students. In both cases the retention rate is the same as those for 1996-97 and 1997-98. The median external institution retention rate was $97 \%$ for full-time students and $78 \%$ for part-time students. The median full-time
retention rate is strongly influenced by the low numbers of full-time students.

24 In 1998-99 the range of sector college in-year retention rates was very similar to previous years with the middle $50 \%$ of colleges having a full-time in-year retention rate of between $84 \%$ and $91 \%$ and a parttime in-year retention rate of between $79 \%$ and $88 \%$ (see figures 10a and 13a). The retention rates for the middle $50 \%$ of external institutions ranged between $81 \%$ and $100 \%$ for full-time students and between $72 \%$ and $85 \%$ for part-time students.

25 Rates continue to vary by type of institution. Full-time in-year retention rates remain slightly lower in general further education and tertiary colleges than in other institutions. For part-time students, the median college in-year retention rate continues to be lower in sixth form colleges, at $79 \%$, than in other types of colleges (see figures 11 and 14). This was unchanged from 1997-98. Sixth form colleges often have small numbers of part-time students and there is a large change in the part-time in-year retention rate, because of the small baseline numbers.

26 Although in-year retention rates have remained stable for the past three years for most sector colleges, those colleges with the lowest retention rates in 1996-97 have improved the retention rates of their students. For example, in 1996-97, 48 colleges had a retention rate for their fulltime students of less than $80 \%$. Typically, this group of colleges have improved retention between 1996-97 and 1998-99 by 4 percentage points and a quarter of them have improved by more than 8 percentage points.

Table 3. PI 3: In-year retention rates
Ranges for 1996-97 to 1998-99 and by institution type 1998-99
Full-time students

|  | Institutions | 25th percentile* | Median college <br> full-time in-year <br> retention rate <br> $\%$ | 75th percentile ${ }^{+}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | $\%$ |  | $\%$ |
| All sector colleges: | 421 | 84 | 87 | 87 |
| $1998-99$ |  | 83 | 87 | 91 |
| $1997-98$ | 83 | 89 | 89 |  |
| Specialist colleges | 34 | 86 | 86 | 91 |
| General FE/tertiary <br> colleges | 275 | 83 | 92 | 98 |
| Sixth form colleges | 107 | 90 | 97 | 100 |
| External institutions: | 105 | 81 |  | 95 |

## Part-time students

|  | Institutions | 25th percentile* | Median college <br> full-time in-year <br> retention rate <br> $\%$ | 75th percentile ${ }^{+}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | $\%$ |  |  |
| All sector colleges: | 419 | 79 | 84 | 84 |
| $1998-99$ |  | 79 | 84 | 88 |
| $1997-98$ | 78 | 85 | 89 |  |
| Specialist colleges | 34 | 81 | 85 | 90 |
| General FE/tertiary <br> colleges | 275 | 81 | 79 | 88 |
| Sixth form colleges | 105 | 72 | 78 | 85 |
| External institutions: | 206 | 72 | 85 |  |

[^0]Figure 10a. PI 3: Full-time student in-year retention rate 1998-99. Range of college results


Figure 10b. PI 3: Full-time student in-year retention rate 1998-99. Range of external institution results


Note: 4 external institutions are excluded as full-time in-year retention rate was lower than 50\%. Most of these institutions had small numbers of students resulting in unusually low in-year retention rates.

Figure 11. PI 3: Full-time student in-year retention rate by institution type


Note: interpretation of figure 11 is as follows, taking sixth form college in-year retention rates in 1998-99 as an example. The median sixth form college in-year retention rate was $92 \%$, that is half of sixth form colleges had an in-year retention rate for fulltime students of $92 \%$ or more. The middle $50 \%$ of sixth form colleges had a full-time in-year retention rate within the range $88 \%$ to $93 \%$. The highest and lowest in-year retention rates were $98 \%$ and $81 \%$ respectively.


Figure 12a. PI 3: Full-time student in-year retention rate, colleges 1998-99. Median values by region


Figure 12b. PI 3: Full-time student in-year retention rate, external institutions 1998-99. Median values by region


Figure 13a. PI 3: Part-time student in-year retention rate 1998-99. Range of college results


Figure 13b. PI 3: Part-time student in-year retention rate 1998-99. Range of external institution results


Figure 14. PI 3: Part-time student in-year retention rate by institution type


Figure 15a. PI 3: Part-time student in-year retention rate, colleges 1998-99. Median values by region


Figure 15b. PI 3: Part-time student in-year retention rate, external institutions 1998-99. Median values by region


## PI 4: Achievement Rates Purpose

27 To provide an indication of the effectiveness of an institution in enabling students to attain their learning goals. PI 4 shows the achievements of students at the completion of their learning programmes. Achievement is measured in relation to qualification aims.

## Description

28 The total number of qualification aims achieved, expressed as a percentage of the total number of qualification aims for which students have completed the learning programmes. Results are shown by three categories determined by the total guided learning hours (glh) of the qualification: 9-59 glh (short), 60-119 glh (medium), and 120 or more glh (long). The indicator relates to provision funded wholly or partly by the Council.

## Interpretation

29 Where a student has achieved at least half the credits or modules towards a qualification aim, this is counted as a half achievement in calculating the total number of qualification aims achieved.

30 If a student completes more than one qualification then each qualification counts as a separate achievement in this indicator. For example, a student who completed three GCE A levels would count three times in this indicator, with the achievement of each A level shown separately. A student completing two units of a GNVQ and continuing with their studies would not be included in this indicator until they had completed their whole qualification.

31 All completed qualifications with a known outcome are included in the calculation. The indicator is not, therefore, comparable with the national performance tables published by the DfEE each year,
which relate to a specific set of qualifications such as GCE A levels, GCSEs and advanced GNVQs, for 16 to 18 year old students.

32 In some institutions the nature of their provision means that there are a number of completed qualifications where the outcome is not known until some time after the course end date. For example, students studying NVQs may continue to demonstrate their competencies and submit their portfolios after the course end date, and actually achieve the qualification after the returns on which the achievement rates are calculated have been made by the institution. These qualifications are excluded from the calculation of achievement rate.

33 Examples of short qualifications commonly offered by institutions, that is those of between 9 and 59 glh in length, are First Aid at Work Certificate, Basic Food Hygiene Certificate and Computer Literacy and Information Technology. Popular qualifications of medium length, that is those of between 60 and 119 glh in length, are word processing qualifications and foundation level IT qualifications. Longer qualifications, that is those of more than 120 glh in length, include most GCE A levels, advanced GNVQs and level 3 NVQs and other level 3 qualifications, and many level 2 qualifications.

## Results

34 The results are summarised in table 4. The upward trend in achievement rates has been maintained in 1998-99. The qualification achievement rate for the median sector college in 1998-99 for all qualifications was $76 \%$, one percentage point higher than in 1997-98 and three percentage points higher than in 1996-97. The median achievement rate for external institutions was $82 \%$.

35 The median achievement rate for sector colleges is highest for long qualifications, at $78 \%$ in 1998-99, and lowest for medium
length qualifications, at $70 \%$ in 1998-99. For external institutions the median achievement rate is also highest for long courses at $86 \%$ in 1998-99 but is lowest for short courses at 78\%.

36 The improvement in achievement rates has been the greatest in those sector colleges which had lowest achievement in 1996-97.
For example, in 1996-97, 45 colleges had an achievement rate for all qualifications of less than $50 \%$. Typically, this group of colleges have improved their achievement rate between 1996-97 and 1998-99 by 23 percentage points and a quarter of them have improved by more than 28 percentage points.

37 The increases registered by individual sector colleges reflect a mixture of factors including improvements in achievement rates, improved recording of achievements, and a change in the mix of qualifications. There continues to be a wide range of college achievement rates although this has reduced since 1996-97 as colleges with the lowest achievement rates make significant improvements. For example, in 1996-97 a quarter of colleges had an achievement rate for long qualifications below $62 \%$. This had improved to an achievement rate of $70 \%$ in 1998-99.

38 The median achievement rate continues to vary significantly by type of college as well as between qualifications of different lengths (see figures 17, 20 and 23) although this difference is also reducing particularly for general further education and tertiary colleges. In 1998-99 the median achievement rate for all qualifications ranged from $86 \%$ in sixth form colleges, and $82 \%$ in external institutions to $79 \%$ in specialist art and design and performing arts colleges and agriculture and horticulture colleges and $73 \%$ in general further education and tertiary colleges. The median overall achievement rate in general further education and tertiary colleges increased by three percentage points
between 1997-98 and 1998-99 and by seven percentage points over the two-year period 1996-97 to 1998-99.

39 Research carried out for the Council's widening participation committee showed that achievement rates for colleges recruiting a very high percentage of their students from areas with high levels of deprivation are significantly lower than for other colleges. Supporting information is shown, therefore, in the listings at annex C, to indicate by broad band the proportion of students recruited by each institution from deprived areas.

40 The range of achievement rates in colleges for qualifications of different lengths is also narrowing (see figure 28). This is likely to be due, at least in part, to colleges improving their recording of achievements for short and medium length qualifications.

## Data quality

41 There have been a number of improvements to the quality of sector colleges' achievement data as described below.

42 A supplement to Performance Indicators 1997-98: Further Education Colleges in England was published in March 2000 containing revised results for 92 colleges. The revisions were mainly to colleges’ achievement rates where colleges had resubmitted their final ISR return for 1997-98 as a result of capturing more student outcomes.

43 In 1994-95, some colleges found it difficult to capture the achievements of all their students on the college's central management information systems, resulting in a calculated achievement rate for the college which was an underestimate of the true picture. There has been a steady improvement since then. Colleges where the achievement rate is underestimated have a footnote in the listings at annex C. The
under-recording of achievements is usually in the areas of adult basic education programmes and short part-time courses.

44 A new code to record outcomes where the student had completed the learning activities leading to a qualification but not yet taken the exam was introduced in the ISR from 1997-98. A small number of colleges used this code extensively in their 1998-99 ISR data and have been asked by the Council to re-check their results. In these cases a footnote 'no agreed data' is shown instead of a calculated achievement rate in the listings at annex C. Revised figures will be included in a supplement to this publication to be published early next year.

45 Achievements on adult basic education programmes were not recorded in a consistent manner. Where students have completed their programme of learning and reached the standard of competence stated in the learning agreement, an achievement should be recorded even though an externally accredited examination has not been passed. Whilst many institutions have taken the correct approach, others have recorded these outcomes as 'learning activities complete but exam not yet taken' and another, smaller group of institutions have recorded the outcome as 'no
achievement'. Recording the outcome as 'learning activities complete but exam not yet taken' will give an achievement rate very close to the correct result since such students are excluded from both the numerator and dominator of the achievement rate calculation. Where the outcome has been recorded as 'no achievement' the achievement rate will be very low and potentially misleading. For the 1998-99 external institutions data set, a new footnote (g) 'achievements not fully recorded' has been introduced to indicate that an achievement rate has not been published owing to genuine achievements being recorded as 'no achievement'.

Table 4. PI 4: Achievement rates
Ranges for 1996-97 to 1998-99 and by institution type 1998-99

| Short qualifications |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Institutions | 25th percentile* | Median college achievement rate | 75th percentile ${ }^{+}$ |
|  | No. | \% | \% | \% |
| All sector colleges: |  |  |  |  |
| 1998-99 | 384 | 65 | 77 | 86 |
| 1997-98 |  | 65 | 78 | 88 |
| 1996-97 |  | 60 | 76 | 88 |
| Specialist colleges | 30 | 72 | 80 | 87 |
| General FE/tertiary colleges | 261 | 65 | 75 | 83 |
| Sixth form colleges | 87 | 68 | 84 | 92 |
| External institutions: | 147 | 65 | 78 | 92 |

Medium qualifications

|  | Institutions | 25th percentile* | Median college <br> achievement <br> rate | 75th percentile ${ }^{+}$ <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> No. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |  |  |
| All sector colleges: | 386 | 60 | 70 | 80 |
| $1998-99$ |  | 57 | 68 | 78 |
| $1997-98$ | 51 | 63 | 78 |  |
| Specialist colleges | 32 | 62 | 70 | 84 |
| General FE/tertiary | 260 | 59 | 67 | 74 |
| colleges | 73 | 86 | 92 |  |
| Sixth form colleges | 88 | 62 | 80 | 93 |
| External institutions: | 156 |  |  |  |

Table 4. PI 4: Achievement rates continued
Ranges for 1996-97 to 1998-99 and by institution type 1998-99

| Long qualifications |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Institutions | 25th percentile* | Median college <br> achievement <br> rate | 75th percentile ${ }^{+}$ |
|  | No. | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| All sector colleges: | 409 | 70 | 78 | 86 |
| $1998-99$ | 67 | 76 | 86 |  |
| $1997-98$ | 62 | 73 | 84 |  |
| Specialist colleges | 33 | 78 | 83 | 88 |
| General FE/tertiary | 267 | 67 | 74 | 80 |
| colleges |  | 83 | 89 | 92 |
| Sixth form colleges | 103 | 65 | 86 | 99 |
| External institutions: | 134 |  |  |  |

## All qualifications

$\left.\begin{array}{lccc}\hline & \text { Institutions } & \text { 25th percentile* } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Median college } \\ \text { achievement } \\ \text { rate }\end{array} \\ & \text { No. } & \text { 75th percentile }{ }^{+} \\ & & \% & \%\end{array}\right] \%$ \%

Figure 16a. PI 4: Achievement rates: short qualifications. Range of college results


Figure 16b. PI 4: Achievement rates: short qualifications. Range of external institution results


Figure 17. PI 4: Achievement rates: short qualifications by institution type


Figure 18a. PI 4: Achievement rates: short qualifications 1998-99, colleges. Median values by region


Figure 18b. PI 4: Achievement rates: short qualifications 1998-99, external institutions. Median values by region


Figure 19a. PI 4: Achievement rates: medium qualifications. Range of college results


Figure 19b. PI 4: Achievement rates: medium qualifications. Range of external institution results


Figure 20. PI 4: Achievement rates: medium qualifications by institution type


Figure 21a. PI 4: Achievement rates: medium qualifications 1998-99, colleges. Median values by region


Figure 21b. PI 4: Achievement rates: medium qualifications 1998-99, external institutions. Median values by region


Figure 22a. PI 4: Achievement rates: long qualifications. Range of college results


Figure 22b. PI 4: Achievement rates: long qualifications. Range of external institution results


Figure 23. PI 4: Achievement rates: long qualifications by institution type


Figure 24a. PI 4: Achievement rates: long qualifications 1998-99, colleges. Median values by region


Figure 24b. PI 4: Achievement rates: long qualifications 1998-99, external institutions. Median values by region


Figure 25a. PI 4: Achievement rates: all qualifications 1998-99. Range of college results


Figure 25b. PI 4: Achievement rates: all qualifications 1998-99. Range of external institution results


Figure 26. PI 4: Achievement rates: all qualifications by institution type


Figure 27a. PI 4: Achievement rates: all qualifications 1998-99, colleges. Median values by region


Figure 27b. PI 4: Achievement rates: all qualifications 1998-99, external institutions. Median values by region


Figure 28. PI 4: Achievement rates: summary by length of qualification

Length

## PI 5: Contribution to the National Learning Targets Purpose

46 To provide a measure of the number of students contributing to the national learning targets by achieving an NVQ or equivalent at the appropriate level.

## Description

47 PI 5 is made up of three elements:
a. targets for young people: the number of 16 to 19 year olds achieving a level 2 qualification (NVQ 2 or equivalent) in the teaching year;
b. targets for young people: the number of 16 to 21 year olds achieving a level 3 qualifications (NVQ 3 or equivalent) in the teaching year;
c. targets for adults: the number of adults achieving a level 3 or level 4 qualification (NVQ 3 or equivalent or qualifications at higher level) in the teaching year.

The indicator relates to all provision at the institution.

## Results and interpretation

48 PI 5 provides a measure of the volume of activity at each institution which directly contributes to the national targets for education and training. During 1998 the DfEE reviewed the national targets. New targets were announced in October 1998. The relevant targets for the further education sector remain unchanged in their definition.

49 The number of students of a particular age-group qualified to NVQ level 2 or 3 or equivalent varies between institutions according to their size and the nature of their provision. For example, many general further education colleges and external institutions provide programmes for students at NVQ level 1 or equivalent or below such as
basic skills training in numeracy and literacy. This kind of provision does not count directly towards the national learning targets.

50 The results are summarised in table 5. The estimated total contribution of further education sector colleges to the national targets in 1998-99 was as follows:

- 64,000 students aged between 16 and 18 achieved NVQ level 2 or equivalent in 1998-99. This compares with 63,100 in 1997-98 and 58,700 in 1996-97, an increase of 5,300 or $9 \%$ over the period
- 132,600 students aged between 16 and 20 achieved NVQ level 3 or equivalent in 1998-99. This compares with 129,400 in 1997-98 and 127,100 in 1996-97, an increase of 5,500 or $4 \%$ over the period
- 112,200 students aged 21 and over achieved NVQ level 3 or equivalent in 1997-98. This compares with a figure of 110,400 in 1997-98 and 110,300 in 1996-97, an increase of 1,900 or $2 \%$ over the period.

51 The calculation takes into account students' prior qualifications, where these are available. For example, where a young person has previously achieved two GCSEs at grades A-C and achieves another three at the college, then this will only count towards part (a) of the indicator, that is foundation target 1, if the student's prior qualifications have been recorded.

Table 5. PI 5: Contribution to the national targets
Ranges for the sector 1996-97 to 1998-99 and by institution type 1998-99
(a) 16 to 18 s achieving NVQ level 2

| Institutions <br> No. | 25th percentile* No. | Median college number of students No. | 75th percentile ${ }^{+}$ No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All sector colleges: |  |  |  |
| 1998-99 419 | 59 | 131 | 222 |
| 1997-98 | 62 | 123 | 208 |
| 1996-97 | 62 | 116 | 190 |
| Specialist colleges 34 | 43 | 78 | 110 |
| General FE/tertiary colleges 275 | 109 | 180 | 263 |
| Sixth form colleges 107 | 30 | 51 | 88 |
| External institutions: - | - | - | - |
| (b) 16 to 20s achieving NVQ level 3 |  |  |  |
| Institutions | 25th percentile* | Median college number of students | 75th percentile ${ }^{+}$ |
| No. | No. | No. | No. |


| All sector colleges: |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1998-99$ | 422 | 158 | 272 | 426 |
| $1997-98$ |  | 161 | 275 | 425 |
| $1996-97$ | 158 | 266 | 397 |  |
| Specialist colleges | 34 | 47 | 96 | 144 |
| General FE/tertiary | 275 | 176 | 275 | 425 |
| colleges | 107 | 257 | 348 | 464 |
| Sixth form colleges | - | - | - | - |
| External institutions: | - |  |  |  |

Students within external institutions are mainly adults and whilst contributions towards the national targets for 16-18 year olds are shown by institution in annex $C$, the median and quartiles are likely to be misleading and are not published

Table 5. PI 5: Contribution to the national targets continued Ranges for the sector 1996-97 to 1998-99 and by institution type 1998-99

| (c) 21s and over achieving NVQ level 3 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Institutions | 25th percentile* | Median college <br> number of <br> students <br> No. |
|  | No. | Nor percentile |  |

Relatively few adult students within external institutions study for qualifications at level 3 or above and whilst contribution towards the national targets for adults are shown by institution in annex C, the median and quartiles are likely to be misleading and are not published

## Individual Institution Performance Indicators 1997-98 and 1998-99

1 This annex contains performance indicators for 1997-98 and 1998-99 (1998-99 only for external institutions) listed by individual institution. Institutions are shown alphabetically within six types (agriculture and horticulture; art, design and performing arts; specialist designated; general further education and tertiary, sixth form college and external institutions).

2 The following information is shown for each institution:

- the Council region in which the institution is located
- the results of performance indicators 1 to 5 (see annex D for detailed definitions and sources)

Contextual information

- the number of full-time and part-time students at the institution in 1998-99 on Council-funded provision, to assist with the interpretation of PI 2 (change in student numbers) in particular, but also to contextualise the other indicators. For example, this would show that in some sixth form colleges the number of part-time students is small, also that the number of fulltime students in external institutions can be very small leading to very high rates of retention or achievement
- the proportion of students the institution recruits from areas of deprivation which attract additional funding from the Council for widening participation. The Council provides
additional funding in recognition of the additional resources required to recruit and retain students living in areas with high levels of deprivation. Institutions have been categorised into four broad groups:
i. institutions recruiting a relatively low percentage of their students from deprived areas (less than $15 \%$ of their students)
ii. institutions recruiting around the average for the further education sector (between $15 \%$ and $35 \%$ of their students)
iii. institutions recruiting a relatively high percentage of their students from deprived areas (between $35 \%$ and $50 \%$ of their students)
iv. institutions recruiting a very high percentage of their students from deprived areas (over 50\% of their students)

Further details of the definition are shown in annex D

- the average level of funding (ALF) the institution received from the Council in 1998-99.

3 At the bottom of each page, comparative information of the median for each of the indicators is shown for the sector as a whole, for each of the three broad types of college and for external institutions.

4 For the majority of colleges and external institutions, all five performance indicators are shown. It has not been possible to calculate any performance indicators for 1998-99 for three sector colleges and two external institutions.

## Annex $C$

## 1998-99 Performance Indicators: Individual College Results

|  | Supporting data |  |  | PI 1 |  | PI 2 |  |  |  | Supporting data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Institution | FEFC region | Relative Funded <br> deprivation average <br> of level of <br> students funding |  | Achievement of funding target |  | Change in student numbers |  |  |  | Student <br> numbers |  |
|  |  | 98-99 | 98-99 | 98-99 | 97-98 | 97-98 to 98-99 |  | 96-97 to 97-98 |  | 98-99 |  |
|  |  | \% | £ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ N o . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ |
| Agriculture and horticulture colleges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Askham Bryan College | YH | Low | 19.94 | 102 | 102 | 13 | -22 | -18 | 3 | 304 | 1,459 |
| Berkshire College of Agriculture | SE | Low | 16.26 | 100 | 104 | -1 | -21 | -1 | -3 | 464 | 722 |
| Bicton College of Agriculture | SW | Low | 16.70 | 94 | 99 | -9 | -33 | -5 | -22 | 563 | 1,517 |
| Bishop Burton College | YH | Low | 16.23 | 100 | 107 | 5 | -49 | 0 | 87 | 679 | 1,197 |
| Brinsbury College | SE | Low | 15.38 | 71 | 68 | -10 | -13 | -30 | -5 | 413 | 1,204 |
| Brooksby College* | EM | Low | 17.04 | 96 | 97 | -5 | -60 | -25 | 217 | 395 | 577 |
| Broomfield College | EM | Low | 16.72 | 78 | 83 | 19 | -10 | -11 | 40 | 302 | 503 |
| Cannington College | SW | Low | 20.72 | 100 | 100 | -3 | -8 | 5 | 93 | 474 | 1,682 |
| Capel Manor College | GL | Average | 16.20 | 99 | 100 | 0 | 14 | 5 | -9 | 232 | 1,168 |
| Durham College of Agriculture and Horticulture* | NR | Average | 18.93 | 108 | 105 | 2 | 25 | 0 | -13 | 217 | 372 |
| Easton College | ER | Low | 16.25 | 99 | 98 | 2 | 7 | -4 | 33 | 264 | 1,660 |
| Hadlow College | SE | Low | 23.43 | 118 | 125 | 10 | -4 | 9 | -13 | 285 | 1,278 |
| Hartpury College | SW | Low | 16.20 | 108 | 99 | 4 | 272 | -3 | -16 | 605 | 804 |
| Holme Lacy College* | WM | Low | 16.20 | 99 | 101 | -2 | 23 | -7 | 17 | 171 | 547 |
| Kingston Maurward College | SW | Low | 16.70 | 107 | 111 | -8 | 6 | 4 | 8 | 345 | 2,099 |
| Kirkley Hall College* | NR | Average | 20.12 | 97 | 101 | 2 | -5 | -9 | 0 | 179 | 284 |
| Lackham College | SW | Low | 16.93 | 102 | 104 | -9 | 13 | 6 | -28 | 386 | 473 |
| Merrist Wood College | SE | Low | 16.92 | 102 | 102 | -9 | 10 | -5 | 14 | 537 | 552 |
| Moulton College | EM | Low | 16.20 | 106 | 103 | 3 | -4 | -7 | 28 | 657 | 1,342 |
| Myerscough College | NW | Low | 17.86 | 103 | 100 | -1 | 14 | 4 | -8 | 706 | 1,122 |
| Otley College of Agriculture and Horticulture | ER | Low | 16.17 | 91 | 111 | -22 | 12 | 15 | -10 | 656 | 3,449 |
| Pershore and Hindlip College* | WM | Low | 18.42 | 106 | 115 | -9 | -32 | 11 | -13 | 302 | 1,502 |
| Plumpton College | SE | Low | 16.51 | 103 | 108 | -9 | 52 | 9 | -52 | 324 | 424 |
| Reaseheath College | NW | Low | 16.45 | 98 | 105 | -6 | -14 | 11 | -56 | 581 | 651 |
| Rodbaston College | WM | Low | 17.28 | 99 | 100 | -35 | -5 | -2 | -19 | 246 | 436 |
| Sparsholt College, Hampshire | SE | Low | 17.49 | 100 | 100 | -6 | 97 | 7 | -2 | 938 | 1,759 |
| Walford College, Shropshire | WM | Low | 19.83 | 104 | 111 | -10 | 54 | 1 | -7 | 201 | 517 |

## Sector and College Type Medians

| Sector | n/a | 16.70 | 100 | 101 | -2 | -1 | -2 | 5 | 1,369 | 4,452 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Specialist | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 16.93 | 100 | 102 | -2 | -5 | -2 | -1 | 404 | 687 |
| GFEC/TC | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 16.48 | 100 | 100 | -2 | -3 | -4 | 5 | 1,744 | 6,529 |
| SFC | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 16.98 | 102 | 103 | 0 | 13 | -1 | 3 | 1,039 | 461 |
| External Institutions | n/a | 10.91 | 106 | - | 7 | 0 | - | - | 21 | 687 |

## Notes

*see notes on page 88
a. subject to minor revision
b. agreed data not available
c. significant underestimate
d. greater than $1000 \%$
e. fewer than five students
f. not applicable
g. achievements not fully recorded
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| 87 | 84 | 87 | 84 | 77 | 70 | 78 | 76 | 78 | 68 | 76 | 75 | 131 | 272 | 198 | 123 | 275 | 201 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 89 | 85 | 88 | 85 | 80 | 70 | 83 | 79 | 88 | 74 | 85 | 84 | 78 | 96 | 56 | 93 | 99 | 65 |
| 86 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 75 | 67 | 74 | 73 | 76 | 64 | 71 | 70 | 180 | 275 | 348 | 170 | 278 | 321 |
| 92 | 79 | 91 | 79 | 84 | 86 | 89 | 86 | 83 | 82 | 88 | 85 | 51 | 348 | 2 | 51 | 326 | 1 |
| 97 | 78 | - | - | 78 | 80 | 86 | 82 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |  | - |

## Annex $C$

## 1998-99 Performance Indicators: Individual College Results

|  | Supporting data |  |  | PI 1 |  | PI 2 |  |  |  | Supporting data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Institution | FEFC <br> region | Relative deprivation of students | Funded average level of funding | Achieve funding | ment of target | Chan | in st | ent num |  |  |  |
|  |  | 98-99 | 98-99 | 98-99 | 97-98 | 97-98 to 98-99 |  | 96-97 to 97-98 |  | 98-99 |  |
|  |  | \% | £ | \% | \% | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ N o . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & P T \\ & N o . \end{aligned}$ |
| Art, design and performing arts colleges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arts Institute at Bournemouth | SW | Low | 19.34 | 103 | 103 | -8 | -10 | -2 | -6 | 586 | 425 |
| Cleveland College of Art and Design | NR | Average | 19.37 | 103 | 104 | 4 | -27 | 2 | 7 | 499 | 412 |
| Herefordshire College of Art and Design | WM | Low | 16.98 | 99 | 99 | -1 | 1 | -2 | 10 | 323 | 375 |
| Leeds College of Art and Design | YH | Average | 16.81 | 100 | 103 | -2 | -12 | 7 | 6 | 670 | 768 |
| Leeds College of Music | YH | High | 16.78 | 94 | 103 | -5 | -72 | -2 | -17 | 513 | 129 |
| Plymouth College of Art and Design | SW | Average | 18.13 | 93 | 99 | -10 | 14 | -10 | 14 | 530 | 452 |
| Rycotewood College | SE | Low | 22.12 | 106 | 86 | 8 | -7 | -3 | 70 | 227 | 520 |
| Specialist designated institutions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City Literary Institute $\dagger$ | GL | Very High | 16.20 | 123 | 134 | -19 | -8 | 284 | 29 | 134 | 2304 |
| Cordwainers College | GL | High | 21.91 | 90 | 90 | -5 | -1 | -16 | 20 | 123 | 111 |
| Mary Ward Centre $\dagger$ | GL | Very High | 16.20 | 163 | 99 | f | 32 | f | -65 | f | 1,323 |
| Morley College $\dagger$ | GL | Very High | 16.12 | 102 | 102 | -4 | 1 | 22 | 14 | 27 | 1,905 |
| Workers' Educational Association $\dagger$ | GL | Average | 16.20 | 141 | 115 | 63 | 16 | -65 | -5 | 52 | 15,688 |
| Working Men's College $\dagger$ | GL | Very High | 16.20 | 132 | 122 | f | 2 | f | 42 | e | 849 |
| General further education and tertiary colleges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Abingdon College | SE | Low | 16.36 | 99 | 94 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 858 | 5,071 |
| Accrington and Rossendale College | NW | Average | 16.82 | 101 | 98 | -16 | 25 | -12 | 7 | 1,378 | 9,147 |
| Alton College | SE | Low | 16.25 | 93 | 100 | -11 | -2 | -5 | -2 | 1,300 | 1,029 |
| Amersham and Wycombe College | SE | Low | 17.09 | 97 | 100 | 6 | -19 | -3 | -13 | 1,753 | 4,454 |
| Arnold and Carlton College* | EM | High | 16.24 | 99 | 94 | 7 | 19 | -19 | 6 | 1,134 | 7,201 |
| Aylesbury College | SE | Low | 16.20 | 69 | b | -18 | -12 | -14 | -18 | 980 | 2,881 |
| Barking College | GL | Average | 16.11 | 103 | 101 | 5 | -8 | -15 | -21 | 2,286 | 25,372 |
| Barnet College* | GL | Average | 16.42 | 99 | 97 | -12 | 63 | 5 | 2 | 2,663 | 7,358 |
| Barnfield College | ER | Average | 16.20 | 94 | 100 | 32 | -30 | -20 | 16 | 2,347 | 11,659 |
| Barnsley College | YH | Average | 15.90 | 126 | 101 | -2 | 0 | -11 | 7 | 3,499 | 52,091 |
| Basildon College | ER | Average | 16.35 | 114 | 100 | -26 | 63 | -18 | 49 | 722 | 7,089 |
| Basingstoke College of Technology | SE | Low | 16.61 | 95 | 103 | -11 | -2 | -4 | 11 | 1,623 | 6,975 |
| Bedford College | ER | Average | 17.02 | 101 | 101 | 4 | -26 | -10 | -6 | 1,939 | 7,735 |
| Beverley College of Further Education | YH | Average | 16.06 | 104 | 101 | -5 | -14 | -2 | 17 | 558 | 3,596 |

## Sector and College Type Medians

| Sector | n/a | 16.70 | 100 | 101 | -2 | -1 | -2 | 5 | 1,369 | 4,452 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Specialist | n/a | 16.93 | 100 | 102 | -2 | -5 | -2 | -1 | 404 | 687 |
| GFEC/TC | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 16.48 | 100 | 100 | -2 | -3 | -4 | 5 | 1,744 | 6,529 |
| SFC | n/a | 16.98 | 102 | 103 | 0 | 13 | -1 | 3 | 1,039 | 461 |
| External Institutions | n/a | 10.91 | 106 | - | 7 | 0 | - | - | 21 | 687 |

## Notes

*see notes on page 88
a. subject to minor revision
e. fewer than five students
b. agreed data not available
c. significant underestimate
d. greater than $1000 \%$
$\dagger$ schedule 2 provision
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Annex $C$

In-year retention rate

Qualification aims achieved short med long total short med long total

Students aged
Students aged $\begin{array}{llllll}16-18 & 16-20 & 21+ & 16-18 & 16-20 & 21+\end{array}$ achieving achieving NVQ2 NVQ3 NVQ3 NVQ2 NVQ3 NVQ3


| 100 | 90 | 100 | 96 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 92 | 53 | 91 | 66 |
| f | 72 | f | 62 |
| 100 | 93 | 96 | 92 |
| 100 | b | 100 | 80 |
| 100 | 55 | f | 58 |


| 64 | 53 | 76 | 62 | 39 | 26 | 36 | 33 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 75 | 34 | 75 | 56 | f | 16 | 82 | 60 |
| 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 95 | 95 | f | 95 |
| 27 | 25 | 24 | 26 | 20 | 36 | 29 | 26 |
| 44 | 65 | 61 | 50 | 54 | 67 | 54 | 58 |
| 9 | 43 | 16 | 28 | 5 | 32 | 42 | 22 |


| 79 | 69 | 76 | 76 | 75 | 66 | 73 | 72 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 74 | 59 | 74 | 68 | 61 | 52 | 73 | 60 |
| 86 | 74 | 90 | 87 | 83 | 57 | 89 | 84 |
| 55 | 62 | 72 | 61 | b | b | b | b |
| 79 | 60 | 51 | 67 | 81 | 77 | 74 | 78 |
| 42 a | 55 a | 58 a | 52 a | b | b | b | b |
| 92 | 47 | 66 | 86 | 93 | 64 | 71 | 87 |
| 66 | 56 | 58 | 62 | 55 | 54 | 65 | 59 |
| 83 | 73 | 81 | 80 | 87 | 76 | 82 | 84 |
| 63 | 25 | 37 | 41 | b | b | b | b |
| 46 | 47 | 93 | 77 | 33 | 42 | 38 | 36 |
| 78 | 73 | 87 | 79 | 53 | 63 | 69 | 60 |
| 75 | 65 | 72 | 72 | 68 | 48 | 62 | 62 |
| 86 | 78 | 94 | 86 | 93 | 73 | 71 | 85 |


| 133 | 193 | 223 | 120 | 167 | 300 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 195 | 264 | 272 | 157 | 322 | 419 |
| 57 | 503 | 63 | 67 | 635 | 70 |
| 182 | 437 | 340 | 136 | 380 | 280 |
| 80 | 54 | 114 | 91 | 79 | 135 |
| 195 | 216 | 241 | 144 | 167 | 129 |
| 161 | 304 | 523 | 163 | 382 | 548 |
| 132 | 496 | 465 | 215 | 450 | 494 |
| 334 | 412 | 810 | 420 | 432 | 626 |
| 364 | 590 | 389 | 226 | 561 | 467 |
| 87 | 115 | 122 | 105 | 110 | 149 |
| 326 | 398 | 530 | 327 | 383 | 570 |
| 258 | 346 | 548 | 247 | 319 | 424 |
| 78 | 121 | 239 | 74 | 108 | 234 |


| 87 | 84 | 87 | 84 | 77 | 70 | 78 | 76 | 78 | 68 | 76 | 75 | 131 | 272 | 198 | 123 | 275 | 201 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 89 | 85 | 88 | 85 | 80 | 70 | 83 | 79 | 88 | 74 | 85 | 84 | 78 | 96 | 56 | 93 | 99 | 65 |
| 86 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 75 | 67 | 74 | 73 | 76 | 64 | 71 | 70 | 180 | 275 | 348 | 170 | 278 | 321 |
| 92 | 79 | 91 | 79 | 84 | 86 | 89 | 86 | 83 | 82 | 88 | 85 | 51 | 348 | 2 | 51 | 326 | 1 |
| 97 | 78 | - | - | 78 | 80 | 86 | 82 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Annex $C$

## 1998-99 Performance Indicators: Individual College Results

|  | Supporting data |  |  | PI 1 |  | PI 2 |  |  |  | Supporting data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Institution | FEFC Relative Funded <br> region deprivation average <br>  of level of <br>  students funding |  |  | Achievement of funding target |  | Change in student numbers |  |  |  | Student <br> numbers |  |
|  |  | 98-99 | 98-99 | 98-99 | 97-98 | 97-98 to 98-99 |  | 96-97 to 97-98 |  | 98-99 |  |
|  |  | \% | £ | \% | \% | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & P T \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Bexley College | GL | High | 16.54 | 102 | 100 | 14 | 11 | -19 | 23 | 1,831 | 5,973 |
| Bilston Community College* | WM | Average | 15.89 | b | b | b | b | b | b | b | b |
| Birmingham College of Food, Tourism \& Creative Studies | WM | High | 16.15 | 100 | 101 | -10 | 43 | 4 | 12 | 1,716 | 4,576 |
| Bishop Auckland College | NR | Average | 15.37 | 100 | 103 | -7 | 5 | -13 | -47 | 684 | 8,270 |
| Blackburn College | NW | Average | 16.19 | 100 | 101 | -2 | 10 | 5 | -1 | 3,572 | 20,141 |
| Blackpool and The Fylde College | NW | Average | 17.41 | 104 | 101 | -9 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 2,393 | 11,174 |
| Bolton College | NW | Average | 17.84 | 88 | 85 | -18 | 150 | -17 | 3 | 1,303 | 12,346 |
| Boston College | EM | Low | 17.30 | 99 | 103 | -1 | -12 | -1 | 1 | 1,268 | 3,165 |
| Bournemouth and Poole College of Further Education | SW | Average | 16.82 | 103 | 102 | -7 | -8 | -4 | 13 | 3,702 | 10,229 |
| Bournville College of Further Education | WM | High | 16.66 | 97 | 99 | 5 | -4 | -18 | 76 | 1,206 | 13,256 |
| Bracknell and Wokingham College | SE | Low | 18.21 | 100 | 95 | -1 | 5 | -13 | 22 | 819 | 6,522 |
| Bradford and Ilkley Community College | YH | High | 18.25 | 99 | 98 | 6 | -5 | -16 | -13 | 2,994 | 14,699 |
| Braintree College | ER | Low | 17.32 | 111 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 1,338 | 1,825 |
| Bridgwater College | SW | Low | 16.19 | 100 | 102 | -5 | 3 | -6 | -12 | 2,231 | 5,981 |
| Brighton College of Technology | SE | High | 17.88 | 97 | 98 | -4 | 3 | 1 | -10 | 1,464 | 5,312 |
| Brockenhurst College | SE | Low | 16.20 | 99 | 102 | -2 | 19 | 0 | -5 | 1,895 | 2,539 |
| Bromley College of Further and Higher Education | GL | Average | 17.07 | 102 | 102 | -2 | -16 | -1 | -10 | 1,627 | 2,077 |
| Brooklands College | SE | Low | 16.17 | 91 | 101 | -12 | -8 | 6 | 14 | 1,879 | 5,437 |
| Broxtowe College, Nottingham | EM | Average | 15.91 | 96 | 96 | 5 | -3 | -15 | -3 | 1,220 | 6,088 |
| Burnley College | NW | Average | 16.19 | 97 | 100 | 3 | 1 | 5 | -4 | 1,595 | 4,731 |
| Burton College | WM | Low | 16.70 | 100 | 102 | -3 | -2 | 3 | 22 | 1,890 | 5,107 |
| Bury College | NW | Average | 17.21 | 105 | 100 | 0 | -7 | -9 | 13 | 2,767 | 6,668 |
| Calderdale Colleges Corporation | YH | Average | 16.71 | 99 | 100 | 12 | -3 | -8 | 2 | 1,796 | 10,371 |
| Cambridge Regional College | ER | Low | 17.55 | 95 | 101 | -6 | -5 | -7 | -15 | 2,786 | 8,778 |
| Cannock Chase Technical College | WM | Average | 16.03 | 100 | 99 | 6 | 6 | -6 | 5 | 1,050 | 7,881 |
| Canterbury College | SE | Average | 16.18 | 104 | 107 | -3 | -45 | 9 | 2 | 3,466 | 2,955 |
| Carlisle College | NW | Low | 16.22 | 100 | 99 | 5 | -5 | -7 | 61 | 1,453 | 6,657 |
| Carshalton College | GL | Average | 17.38 | 105 | 108 | 1 | -33 | -6 | 41 | 1,191 | 2,412 |
| Charles Keene College of Further Education* | EM | High | 16.28 | 104 | 99 | -4 | 5 | -6 | 4 | 1,669 | 6,969 |
| Chelmsford College | ER | Low | 16.59 | 97 | 100 | -11 | 13 | -2 | 57 | 1,593 | 8,678 |
| Chesterfield College | EM | Average | 17.12 | 98 | 100 | -4 | -34 | -1 | -16 | 2,082 | 11,845 |
| Chichester College of Arts, Science and Technology | SE | Low | 16.13 | 101 | 103 | 4 | -19 | -9 | -5 | 3,295 | 5,137 |

## Sector and College Type Medians

| Sector | n/a | 16.70 | 100 | 101 | -2 | -1 | -2 | 5 | 1,369 | 4,452 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Specialist | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 16.93 | 100 | 102 | -2 | -5 | -2 | -1 | 404 | 687 |
| GFEC/TC | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 16.48 | 100 | 100 | -2 | -3 | -4 | 5 | 1,744 | 6,529 |
| SFC | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 16.98 | 102 | 103 | 0 | 13 | -1 | 3 | 1,039 | 461 |
| External Institutions | n/a | 10.91 | 106 | - | 7 | 0 | - | - | 21 | 687 |

## Notes

*see notes on page 88
a. subject to minor revision
b. agreed data not available
c. significant underestimate
d. greater than $1000 \%$
e. fewer than five students
f. not applicable
g. achievements not fully recorded

PI 3
In-year retention rate PI 5

PI 4

Qualification aims achieved
short med long total short med long total

Students aged
Students aged $\begin{array}{llllll}16-18 & 16-20 & 21+ & 16-18 & 16-20 & 21+\end{array}$ achieving

$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { NVQ2 } & \text { NVQ3 } & \text { NVQ3 } & \text { NVQ2 } & \text { NVQ3 } & \text { NVQ3 }\end{array}$ | $98-99$ |  | $97-98$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $F T$ | $P T$ | $F T$ | $P T$ |
| $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |

$\begin{array}{ll}98-99 & 97-98\end{array}$

| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 82 | b | 76 | 78 | b | b | b | b | 240 | 188 | 345 | 230 | 173 | 325 |
| b | b | b | b | b | b | b | b | b | b | b | b | b | b |
| 93 | 88 | 92 | 93 | 95 | 80 | 83 | 90 | 435 | 374 | 536 | 370 | 379 | 615 |
| 86 | 74 | 78 | 81 | 90 | 78 | 76 | 85 | 90 | 110 | 254 | 151 | 85 | 203 |
| 87 | 66 | 76 | 81 | 85 | 72 | 72 | 78 | 422 | 955 | 884 | 374 | 830 | 796 |
| 62 | 73 | 67 | 68 | 75 | 70 | 75 | 73 | 335 | 533 | 573 | 340 | 484 | 691 |
| 85 | 61 | 57 | 76 | 70 | 65 | 56 | 63 | 231 | 226 | 337 | 233 | 239 | 445 |
| 77 | 75 | 78 | 77 | 76 | 70 | 67 | 72 | 142 | 234 | 164 | 152 | 239 | 149 |
| b | 75 | 81 | 79 | 78 | 75 | 72 | 76 | 470 | 699 | 925 | 434 | 665 | 827 |
| 75 | 68 | 80 | 75 | 84 | 71 | 77 | 82 | 56 | 112 | 407 | 56 | 128 | 321 |
| 68 | 71 | 85 | 73 | 76 | 67 | 81 | 74 | 140 | 148 | 307 | 107 | 154 | 162 |
| 65 | 59 | 60 | 61 | 73 | 72 | 74 | 73 | 315 | 493 | 1239 | 286 | 481 | 1463 |
| 53 | 62 | 78 | 61 | 76 | 65 | 83 | 76 | 153 | 353 | 83 | 176 | 372 | 133 |
| 86a | 77a | 80 | 81a | 83 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 117 | 391 | 399 | 135 | 467 | 309 |
| 64 | 78 | 75 | 72 | 64 | 76 | 72 | 70 | 137 | 237 | 620 | 196 | 228 | 538 |
| 67 | 63 | 84 | 72 | 61 | 71 | 87 | 74 | 144 | 603 | 49 | 150 | 581 | 33 |
| 68 | 74 | 66 | 67 | 74 | 59 | 66 | 67 | 142 | 183 | 358 | 154 | 216 | 394 |
| 61 | 73 | 75 | 67 | 51 | 59 | 71 | 58 | 331 | 514 | 355 | 303 | 464 | 364 |
| 82 | 67a | 84 | 79a | 73 | 78 | 84 | 76 | 60 | 157 | 207 | 80 | 178 | 137 |
| 73 | 65 | 72 | 70 | 69 | 59 | 56 | 61 | 213 | 290 | 250 | 156 | 277 | 245 |
| 69 | 66 | 71 | 69 | 76 | 72 | 74 | 74 | 275 | 422 | 266 | 242 | 435 | 234 |
| 70 | 64 | 78 | 71 | 65 | 54 | 66 | 62 | 239 | 633 | 394 | 184 | 627 | 275 |
| 71 | 70 | 72 | 71 | 59 | 51 | 38 | 53 | 130 | 133 | 349 | 122 | 159 | 298 |
| 77 | 67 | 71 | 73 | 68 | 57 | 76 | 68 | 250 | 558 | 425 | 370 | 554 | 439 |
| 94 | 79 | 77 | 87 | 91 | 65 | 71 | 80 | 121 | 130 | 288 | 114 | 125 | 271 |
| 69 | 73 | 78 | 73 | 85 | 61 | 74 | 78 | 388 | 645 | 410 | 401 | 693 | 443 |
| 85 | 51a | 71 | 74a | 83 | 62 | 71 | 76 | 142 | 206 | 514 | 181 | 223 | 362 |
| 71 | 53 | 71 | 70 | 45 | 40 | 61 | 47 | 146 | 142 | 150 | 140 | 122 | 172 |
| 72 | 71 | 75 | 72 | 63 | 58 | 63 | 62 | 215 | 271 | 247 | 179 | 209 | 266 |
| 93 | b | 82 | 88 | 90 | 77 | 84 | 87 | 191 | 367 | 274 | 281 | 379 | 288 |
| 87 | 84 | 89 | 87 | 94 | 72 | 84 | 90 | 403 | 647 | 433 | 346 | 604 | 713 |
| 64 | 58 | 68 | 64 | 76 | 52 | 75 | 71 | 228 | 451 | 471 | 196 | 483 | 455 |


| 87 | 84 | 87 | 84 | 77 | 70 | 78 | 76 | 78 | 68 | 76 | 75 | 131 | 272 | 198 | 123 | 275 | 201 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 89 | 85 | 88 | 85 | 80 | 70 | 83 | 79 | 88 | 74 | 85 | 84 | 78 | 96 | 56 | 93 | 99 | 65 |
| 86 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 75 | 67 | 74 | 73 | 76 | 64 | 71 | 70 | 180 | 275 | 348 | 170 | 278 | 321 |
| 92 | 79 | 91 | 79 | 84 | 86 | 89 | 86 | 83 | 82 | 88 | 85 | 51 | 348 | 2 | 51 | 326 | 1 |
| 97 | 78 | - | - | 78 | 80 | 86 | 82 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

## Annex $C$

## 1998-99 Performance Indicators: Individual College Results



## Sector and College Type Medians

| Sector | n/a | 16.70 | 100 | 101 | -2 | -1 | -2 | 5 | 1,369 | 4,452 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Specialist | n/a | 16.93 | 100 | 102 | -2 | -5 | -2 | -1 | 404 | 687 |
| GFEC/TC | n/a | 16.48 | 100 | 100 | -2 | -3 | -4 | 5 | 1,744 | 6,529 |
| SFC | n/a | 16.98 | 102 | 103 | 0 | 13 | -1 | 3 | 1,039 | 461 |
| External Institutions | n/a | 10.91 | 106 | - | 7 | 0 | - | - | 21 | 687 |

## Notes

*see notes on page 88
a. subject to minor revision
b. agreed data not available
e. fewer than five students f. not applicable
c. significant underestimate
d. greater than $1000 \%$
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PI 3
In-year retention rate

Students aged PI 5

PI 4
Qualification aims achieved
short med long total short med long total
lal
$98-99$
$97-98$

Studers Students aged $\begin{array}{llllll}16-18 & 16-20 & 21+ & 16-18 & 16-20 & 21+\end{array}$ achieving
NVQ2 NVQ3 NVQ3 NVQ2 NVQ3 NVQ3


| $98-99$ |  | $97-98$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $F T$ | $P T$ | $F T$ | $P T$ |
| $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |


| $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69 | 77 | b | 73 | 81 | 74 | 76 | 78 |


| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 88 | 88 | 86 | 84 | 69 | 77 | b | 73 | 81 | 74 | 76 | 78 | 109 | 189 | 116 | 110 | 218 | 219 |
| 92 | 86 | 89 | 85 | 38 | 58 | 77 | 54 | 34 | 53 | 77 | 52 | 44 | 275 | 33 | 35 | 247 | 62 |
| 84 | 86 | 82 | 85 | 39 | 55 | 71 | 58 | 19 | 46 | 62 | 43 | 226 | 583 | 730 | 208 | 523 | 590 |
| 88 | 85 | 83 | 84 | 79 | 62 | 67 | 71 | 85 | 59 | 62 | 73 | 280 | 267 | 630 | 264 | 200 | 593 |
| 80 | 82 | 83 | 87 | 76 | 61 | 65 | 69 | 77 | 54 | 71 | 69 | 207 | 227 | 606 | 181 | 183 | 724 |
| 90 | 92 | 91 | 93 | 64 | 49 | 67 | 60 | 75 | 52 | 69 | 67 | 164 | 400 | 548 | 166 | 382 | 479 |
| 87 | 87 | 86 | 88 | 88 | 69 | 76 | 80 | 89 | 78 | 77 | 83 | 307 | 487 | 1173 | 411 | 532 | 1122 |
| 89 | 81 | 88 | 86 | 67a | 76a | 74a | 70a | 60 | 58 | 65 | 60 | 325 | 837 | 507 | 354 | 905 | 393 |
| 79 | 82 | 81 | 81 | 56 | 54 | 69 | 63 | 45 | 59 | 71 | 58 | 178 | 357 | 457 | 175 | 275 | 494 |
| 86 | 90 | 84 | 88 | 92 | 93 | 92 | 92 | 95 | 90 | 91 | 91 | 399 | 483 | 440 | 508 | 598 | 609 |
| 89 | 95 | 87 | 89 | 96 | 73 | 81 | 87 | 81 | 64 | 72 | 74 | 12 | 37 | 156 | 32 | 48 | 49 |
| 83 | 83 | 88 | 81 | 53 | 55 | 54 | 54 | 39 | 57 | 57 | 46 | 96 | 134 | 498 | 78 | 133 | 475 |
| 79 | 81 | 76 | 74 | 54 | 61 | 59 | 58 | 44 | 57 | 54 | 52 | 198 | 190 | 609 | 153 | 171 | 718 |
| 79 | 76 | 82 | 79 | 78 | 65 | 68 | 73 | 82 | 51 | 63 | 68 | 425 | 420 | 463 | 426 | 393 | 327 |
| 84 | 82 | 83 | 89 | 92 | 80 | 85 | 89 | 96 | 77 | 85 | 93 | 421 | 511 | 844 | 437 | 556 | 756 |
| 80 | 86 | 77 | 85 | 87 | 75 | 75 | 81 | 78 | 73 | 72 | 76 | 139 | 178 | 269 | 114 | 203 | 299 |
| 90 | 88 | 92 | 82 | 78 | b | 76 | 76 | 69 | 63 | 75 | 68 | 87 | 169 | 206 | 71 | 101 | 165 |
| 90 | 90 | 86 | 90 | b | b | b | b | 84 | 44 | 66 | 74 | 203 | 210 | 482 | 223 | 192 | 496 |
| 91 | 75 | 86 | 89 | 71 | 79 | 83 | 77 | 60 | 60 | 84 | 69 | 95 | 234 | 139 | 137 | 242 | 149 |
| 87 | 88 | 82 | 84 | 55 | 51 | 60 | 57 | 68 | 59 | 64 | 66 | 312 | 612 | 1310 | 382 | 479 | 1208 |
| 88 | 87 | 90 | 90 | 61 | 54 | 63 | 60 | 62 | 49 | 70 | 62 | 245 | 288 | 448 | 227 | 290 | 462 |
| 80 | 88 | 84 | 87 | 88 | 51 | 59 | 78 | 75 | 49 | 64 | 69 | 46 | 135 | 111 | 41 | 148 | 87 |
| 86 | 89 | 82 | 91 | b | b | b | b | 59 | 47 | 57 | 54 | 69 | 94 | 227 | 94 | 106 | 219 |
| 87 | 84 | 88 | 77 | 69 | 65 | 66 | 67 | 74 | 59 | 79 | 73 | 185 | 289 | 187 | 300 | 388 | 199 |
| 87 | 86 | 90 | 87 | 83 | 81 | 88 | 83 | 67 | 67 | 79 | 70 | 87 | 143 | 184 | 138 | 164 | 243 |
| 88 | 81 | 89 | 83 | 82 | 71 | 74 | 79 | 84 | 72 | 70 | 80 | 191 | 315 | 136 | 326 | 328 | 194 |
| 87 | 86 | 85 | 83 | 84 | 67 | 80 | 78 | 86 | 53 | 75 | 74 | 247 | 429 | 1076 | 245 | 394 | 1043 |
| 89 | 87 | 86 | 79 | 83 | 65 | 74 | 77 | 83 | 55 | 71 | 73 | 257 | 460 | 874 | 144 | 283 | 438 |
| 81 | 89 | 81 | 88 | 62 | 59 | 68 | 62 | 68 | 64 | 77 | 69 | 148 | 174 | 174 | 163 | 169 | 197 |
| 80 | 73 | 84 | 79 | 71 | 43 | 70 | 63 | 43 | 35 | 58 | 48 | 132 | 362 | 315 | 113 | 325 | 239 |
| b | b | 88 | 84 | 81 | 61 | 67 | 71 | 69 | 69 | 78 | 73 | 284 | 534 | 232 | 341 | 533 | 220 |
| 89 | 85 | 88 | 87 | 68 | 62 | 76 | 69 | 64 | 57 | 73 | 65 | 135 | 201 | 124 | 121 | 218 | 149 |


| 87 | 84 | 87 | 84 | 77 | 70 | 78 | 76 | 78 | 68 | 76 | 75 | 131 | 272 | 198 | 123 | 275 | 201 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 89 | 85 | 88 | 85 | 80 | 70 | 83 | 79 | 88 | 74 | 85 | 84 | 78 | 96 | 56 | 93 | 99 | 65 |
| 86 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 75 | 67 | 74 | 73 | 76 | 64 | 71 | 70 | 180 | 275 | 348 | 170 | 278 | 321 |
| 92 | 79 | 91 | 79 | 84 | 86 | 89 | 86 | 83 | 82 | 88 | 85 | 51 | 348 | 2 | 51 | 326 | 1 |
| 97 | 78 | - | - | 78 | 80 | 86 | 82 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Annex $C$

## 1998-99 Performance Indicators: Individual College Results

|  | Supporting data |  |  | PI 1 |  | PI 2 |  |  | Supporting data |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Institution | FEFC <br> region | Relative deprivation of students | Funded average level of funding | Achievement of funding target |  | Change in student numbers |  |  |  | Student numbers |  |
|  |  | 98-99 | 98-99 | 98-99 | 97-98 | 97-98 to 98-99 |  | 96-97 to 97-98 |  | 98-99 |  |
|  |  | \% | £ | \% | \% | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ N o . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & P T \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ |
| East Durham Community College* | NR | Average | 15.97 | 108 | 99 | 12 | -2 | -12 | -57 | 1,198 | 9,989 |
| East Surrey College | SE | Low | 16.19 | 99 | 97 | -7 | 11 | 3 | -2 | 1,718 | 6,226 |
| East Yorkshire College of Further Education | YH | Average | 17.16 | 93 | 92 | -23 | 43 | -5 | 9 | 503 | 4,891 |
| Eastbourne College of Arts and Technology | SE | Low | 17.21 | 105 | 102 | 1 | -20 | -3 | -32 | 1,723 | 3,088 |
| Eastleigh College | SE | Low | 16.88 | 94 | 99 | -15 | -3 | -18 | 5 | 1,276 | 5,208 |
| Enfield College | GL | High | 16.25 | 103 | 103 | -1 | -22 | 1 | 3 | 1,450 | 3,309 |
| Epping Forest College | ER | Average | 16.20 | 94 | 99 | 6 | -7 | -9 | 3 | 2,270 | 3,854 |
| Evesham College | WM | Low | 16.20 | 98 | 101 | -2 | -13 | -11 | -6 | 569 | 4,258 |
| Exeter College | SW | Low | 16.12 | 97 | 100 | -2 | 10 | -6 | 7 | 3,393 | 9,994 |
| Fareham College | SE | Low | 16.78 | 99 | 95 | 3 | 4 | -10 | 2 | 1,664 | 8,759 |
| Farnborough College of Technology | SE | Low | 16.76 | 91 | 104 | -15 | 3 | -9 | 4 | 1,283 | 9,125 |
| Filton College | SW | Average | 16.47 | 97 | 101 | -1 | -16 | -1 | -7 | 1,848 | 5,187 |
| Furness College | NW | Average | 16.84 | 109 | 103 | 7 | -12 | -6 | 30 | 955 | 4,093 |
| Gateshead College | NR | High | 17.33 | 98 | 100 | -12 | -4 | -9 | -11 | 1,390 | 8,011 |
| Gloucestershire College of Arts and Technology | SW | Average | 17.12 | 96 | 101 | -4 | 0 | -3 | 9 | 2,659 | 11,577 |
| Grantham College | EM | Average | 16.30 | 102 | 100 | 7 | 32 | -10 | 23 | 760 | 10,092 |
| Great Yarmouth College of Further Education | ER | Average | 16.24 | 105 | 105 | -3 | -8 | -4 | 22 | 1,369 | 2,664 |
| Greenhill College* | GL | Low | 16.62 | 97 | 105 | -1 | 0 | -17 | -12 | 1,311 | 3,135 |
| Grimsby College | YH | Average | 15.94 | 97 | 98 | 26 | -13 | 2 | 15 | 2,920 | 12,335 |
| Guildford College of Further and Higher Education | SE | Low | 16.14 | 91 | 98 | 3 | -30 | -2 | 10 | 2,401 | 12,305 |
| Hackney Community College | GL | Very High | 20.85 | 100 | 108 | -14 | -14 | 15 | 6 | 2,549 | 4,341 |
| Halesowen College | WM | Average | 17.10 | 99 | 100 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 2,245 | 3,604 |
| Halton College | NW | Average | 15.90 | 78 | b | -13 | 14 | -58 | -20 | 1,455 | 27,527 |
| Hammersmith and West London College | GL | Very High | 17.14 | 101 | 101 | 4 | -8 | -10 | 17 | 2,489 | 5,843 |
| Harlow College | ER | Low | 17.18 | 92 | 97 | -4 | -18 | 4 | 6 | 1,818 | 5,940 |
| Hartlepool College of Further Education | NR | High | 17.01 | 100 | 100 | -3 | -36 | 6 | 91 | 1,057 | 2,865 |
| Hastings College of Arts and Technology | SE | Average | 17.82 | 102 | 102 | -8 | -4 | -3 | 6 | 1,239 | 3,959 |
| Havering College of Further and Higher Education | GL | Average | 16.47 | 101 | 102 | -9 | -4 | -8 | 3 | 2641 | 4,643 |
| Hendon College* | GL | Average | 16.18 | 105 | 101 | -8 | 18 | -8 | 39 | 1,839 | 9,800 |
| Henley College | SE | Low | 16.39 | 98 | 101 | -8 | 27 | -3 | 5 | 1,683 | 984 |
| Henley College Coventry | WM | High | 17.61 | 98 | 101 | 8 | -4 | 5 | 8 | 1,231 | 6,961 |
| Herefordshire College of Technology | WM | Low | 16.12 | 101 | 100 | 13 | -24 | 3 | -38 | 1,424 | 5,353 |

## Sector and College Type Medians

| Sector | n/a | 16.70 | 100 | 101 | -2 | -1 | -2 | 5 | 1,369 | 4,452 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Specialist | n/a | 16.93 | 100 | 102 | -2 | -5 | -2 | -1 | 404 | 687 |
| GFEC/TC | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 16.48 | 100 | 100 | -2 | -3 | -4 | 5 | 1,744 | 6,529 |
| SFC | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 16.98 | 102 | 103 | 0 | 13 | -1 | 3 | 1,039 | 461 |
| External Institutions | n/a | 10.91 | 106 | - | 7 | 0 | - | - | 21 | 687 |

## Notes

*see notes on page 88
a. subject to minor revision
b. agreed data not available
c. significant underestimate
d. greater than $1000 \%$
e. fewer than five students
f. not applicable
g. achievements not fully recorded

PI 3
In-year retention rate

PI 4

Qualification aims achieved
short med long total short med long total PI 5

Students aged Students aged $\begin{array}{llllll}16-18 & 16-20 & 21+ & 16-18 & 16-20 & 21+\end{array}$ achieving

| NVQ2 | NVQ3 | NVQ3 | NVQ2 | NVQ3 | NVQ3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $98-99$ |  |  | $97-98$ |  |


| $98-99$ | $97-98$ |
| :---: | :---: | | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Annex $C$

## 1998-99 Performance Indicators: Individual College Results



## Sector and College Type Medians

| Sector | n/a | 16.70 | 100 | 101 | -2 | -1 | -2 | 5 | 1,369 | 4,452 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Specialist | n/a | 16.93 | 100 | 102 | -2 | -5 | -2 | -1 | 404 | 687 |
| GFEC/TC | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 16.48 | 100 | 100 | -2 | -3 | -4 | 5 | 1,744 | 6,529 |
| SFC | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 16.98 | 102 | 103 | 0 | 13 | -1 | 3 | 1,039 | 461 |
| External Institutions | n/a | 10.91 | 106 | - | 7 | 0 | - | - | 21 | 687 |

## Notes

*see notes on page 88
a. subject to minor revision
b. agreed data not available
c. significant underestimate
d. greater than $1000 \%$
e. fewer than five students
f. not applicable
g. achievements not fully recorded

56 • Performance Indicators 1998-99 PI 5 T
$\begin{array}{cc}c & \text { PI } 4 \\ \text { short med } \quad \text { Qualification aims achieved } \\ & \text { long total short med long total }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{cc}c & \text { PI } 4 \\ \text { short med } \quad \text { Qualification aims achieved } \\ & \text { long total short med long total }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ccccc}c & \text { PI } 4 \\ \text { short med } & \text { Qualification aims achieved } \\ & \text { long total short med long total }\end{array}$ -

Students aged
Students aged 16-18 $\quad 16-20 \quad 21+\quad 16-18 \quad 16-20 \quad 21+$ achieving

NVQ2 NVQ3 NVQ3 NVQ2 NVQ3 NVQ3 | $98-99$ |  | $97-98$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $F T$ | $P T$ | $F T$ | $P T$ |
| $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |

| $98-99$ |  |  |  |  | $97-98$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |  |

$\begin{array}{lll}98-99 & 97-98\end{array}$

| No. | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| e | 7 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 11 |
| 261 | 410 | 270 | 262 | 423 | 320 |
| 266 | 357 | 1215 | 275 | 276 | 841 |
| 277 | 396 | 402 | 299 | 456 | 335 |
| 448 | 494 | 487 | 466 | 544 | 401 |
| 237 | 350 | 209 | 226 | 382 | 298 |
| 517 | 563 | 711 | 560 | 613 | 692 |
| 47 | 105 | 185 | 52 | 100 | 185 |
| 133 | 157 | 139 | 121 | 162 | 145 |


$-$| 133 | 157 | 139 | 121 | 162 | 14 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 180 | 198 | 354 | $b$ | $b$ | $b$ |


$-$| 21 | 29 | 120 | 10 | 30 | 12 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 131 | 150 | 205 | 126 | 135 | 20 |


| 131 | 150 | 205 | 126 | 135 | 204 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 58 | 139 | 363 | 56 | 99 | 180 |
| 16 | 15 | 149 | 18 | 18 | 212 |


| 46 | 61 | 67 | 59 | 63 | 75 | 66 | 69 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 61 | 70 | 69 | 66 | 62 | 62 | 67 | 63 |
| 71 | 62 | 69 | 69 | 78 | 58 | 70 | 70 |

## Annex $C$

## 1998-99 Performance Indicators: Individual College Results



## Sector and College Type Medians

| Sector | n/a | 16.70 | 100 | 101 | -2 | -1 | -2 | 5 | 1,369 | 4,452 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Specialist | n/a | 16.93 | 100 | 102 | -2 | -5 | -2 | -1 | 404 | 687 |
| GFEC/TC | n/a | 16.48 | 100 | 100 | -2 | -3 | -4 | 5 | 1,744 | 6,529 |
| SFC | n/a | 16.98 | 102 | 103 | 0 | 13 | -1 | 3 | 1,039 | 461 |
| External Institutions | n/a | 10.91 | 106 | - | 7 | 0 | - | - | 21 | 687 |

## Notes

*see notes on page 88
a. subject to minor revision
b. agreed data not available
c. significant underestimate
d. greater than $1000 \%$
e. fewer than five students
f. not applicable
g. achievements not fully recorded

PI 3
In-year retention rate

PI 4
Qualification aims achieved
short med long total short med long total

| $98-99$ | $97-98$ |
| :--- | :--- | | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 77 | 62 | 74 | 74 | 58 | 68 | 66 | 63 |

Students aged PI 5

Annex $C$

## 1998-99 Performance Indicators: Individual College Results

|  | Supporting data |  |  | PI 1 |  | PI 2 |  |  | Supporting data |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Institution | FEFC <br> region | Relative deprivation of students | Funded average level of funding | Achievement of funding target |  | Chan | in stud | ent num |  | Student numbers |  |
|  |  | 98-99 | 98-99 | 98-99 | 97-98 | 97-98 to 98-99 |  | 96-97 to 97-98 |  | 98-99 |  |
|  |  | \% |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ N o . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ N o . \end{gathered}$ |
| Northbrook College, Sussex | SE | Low | 16.28 | 101 | 104 | 8 | -43 | -2 | 26 | 2,118 | 7,347 |
| Northumberland College* | NR | Average | 17.03 | 99 | 101 | -4 | -7 | -2 | 30 | 1,327 | 4,957 |
| Norton Radstock College | SW | Low | 16.17 | 99 | 100 | -14 | 17 | 14 | -15 | 644 | 4,611 |
| Norwich City College of Further \& Higher Education | ER | Average | 17.61 | 96 | 98 | -7 | 2 | -2 | 16 | 2,967 | 8,818 |
| Oaklands College | ER | Low | 17.11 | 96 | 100 | -11 | -6 | -8 | 6 | 3,036 | 10,531 |
| Oldham College | NW | High | 17.33 | 108 | 102 | 0 | 24 | 1 | -5 | 2,081 | 4,669 |
| Orpington College of Further Education | GL | Average | 16.19 | 103 | 99 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 27 | 1,941 | 2,073 |
| Oxford College of Further Education | SE | Low | 16.24 | 95 | 104 | -6 | -22 | 1 | -1 | 2,148 | 5,352 |
| Park Lane College* | YH | High | 16.19 | 95 | 99 | -9 | 33 | -1 | 3 | 3,120 | 21,888 |
| Penwith College | SW | Low | 16.20 | 93 | 97 | -16 | 0 | -1 | 11 | 784 | 1,758 |
| People's College, Nottingham | EM | Average | 15.96 | 80 | 96 | -13 | -26 | -9 | 10 | 1,551 | 6,751 |
| Peterborough Regional College | ER | Average | 16.91 | 96 | 100 | -13 | -3 | 10 | 0 | 2,066 | 6,659 |
| Plymouth College of Further Education | SW | Average | 16.14 | 100 | 102 | -4 | 7 | -8 | 26 | 2,854 | 17,980 |
| Preston College | NW | Average | 16.14 | 96 | 103 | -5 | -28 | -3 | -2 | 3,162 | 17,927 |
| Reading College and School of Arts \& Design | SE | Low | 18.78 | 99 | 102 | -7 | -17 | -8 | 1 | 2,043 | 7,339 |
| Redbridge College | GL | High | 16.73 | 99 | 100 | 8 | -14 | -5 | -19 | 1,463 | 1,929 |
| Redcar and Cleveland College | NR | Average | 16.38 | 101 | 99 | 5 | -8 | -4 | 25 | 1,388 | 7,146 |
| Richmond Adult and Community College | GL | Low | 16.20 | 89 | 100 | b | b | -1 | 7 | 155 | 6,527 |
| Richmond upon Thames College | GL | Average | 16.97 | 99 | 103 | -12 | -51 | 0 | 6 | 3,582 | 3,213 |
| Rother Valley College | YH | Low | 16.12 | 97 | 109 | 1 | -10 | -4 | 5 | 1,246 | 4,179 |
| Rotherham College of Arts and Technology | YH | High | 16.66 | 98 | 100 | 11 | 3 | -2 | -27 | 1,860 | 7,788 |
| Royal Forest of Dean College | SW | Low | 17.31 | 100 | 98 | 3 | -14 | -12 | -1 | 899 | 2,104 |
| Rugby College of Further Education | WM | Low | 17.23 | 96 | 101 | -14 | -5 | 1 | 20 | 809 | 7,577 |
| Runshaw College | NW | Low | 16.08 | 107 | 100 | 8 | -35 | 1 | 0 | 3,026 | 10,377 |
| Salford College | NW | Average | 17.23 | 86 | 98 | -6 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 1,672 | 5,573 |
| Salisbury College | SW | Low | 16.13 | 105 | 101 | -16 | -5 | 13 | 26 | 1,477 | 9,088 |
| Sandwell College | WM | Very High | 19.31 | 95 | 99 | -12 | 12 | -11 | 21 | 2,364 | 13,853 |
| Selby College | YH | Low | 16.13 | 90 | 102 | -1 | -60 | 3 | 5 | 849 | 4,163 |
| Sheffield College | YH | High | 16.91 | 82 | 91 | 1 | -23 | -7 | 1 | 7,295 | 23,986 |
| Shipley College | YH | Average | 16.59 | 101 | 102 | 12 | 23 | -21 | 31 | 662 | 3,226 |
| Shrewsbury College of Arts and Technology | WM | Low | 17.37 | 97 | 102 | -11 | -12 | 0 | 4 | 1,747 | 7,527 |
| Skelmersdale College | NW | Average | 16.01 | 98 | 100 | 6 | 0 | -8 | 12 | 1,312 | 10,052 |

## Sector and College Type Medians

| Sector | n/a | 16.70 | 100 | 101 | -2 | -1 | -2 | 5 | 1,369 | 4,452 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Specialist | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 16.93 | 100 | 102 | -2 | -5 | -2 | -1 | 404 | 687 |
| GFEC/TC | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 16.48 | 100 | 100 | -2 | -3 | -4 | 5 | 1,744 | 6,529 |
| SFC | n/a | 16.98 | 102 | 103 | 0 | 13 | -1 | 3 | 1,039 | 461 |
| External Institutions | n/a | 10.91 | 106 | - | 7 | 0 | - | - | 21 | 687 |

## Notes

*see notes on page 88
a. subject to minor revision
b. agreed data not available
c. significant underestimate
d. greater than $1000 \%$
e. fewer than five students f. not applicable
g. achievements not fully recorded

60 - Performance Indicators 1998-99

PI 3
In-year retention rate

PI 4
Qualification aims achieved
short med long total short med long total

| $98-99$ |  | $97-98$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $F T$ | $P T$ | $F T$ | $P T$ |
| $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |

$\begin{array}{cc}98-99 & 97-98\end{array}$

Students aged PI 5 $\begin{array}{llllll}16-18 & 16-20 & 21+ & 16-18 & 16-20 & 21+\end{array}$ achieving $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { NVQ2 } & \text { NVQ3 } & \text { NVQ3 } & \text { NVQ2 } & \text { NVQ3 } & \text { NVQ3 }\end{array}$

$$
\begin{array}{|llll}
\hline 81 & 82 & 77 & 82 \\
\hline 85 & 88 & 90 & 90 \\
\hline 89 & 95 & 79 & 89 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

$$
1
$$

| $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 79 | 69 | 78 | 77 | 88 | 69 | 78 | 83 | 192 | 377 | 792 | 157 | 337 | 872 |
| 81 | 64 | 53 | 64 | 89 | 77 | 64 | 76 | 138 | 203 | 290 | 145 | 192 | 236 |


$-$| 89 | 95 | 79 | 89 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 85 | 90 | 82 | 87 |
| 83 | 86 | 83 | 88 |


$-$| 83 | 86 | 83 | 88 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 77 | 83 | 84 | 92 |
| 82 | 78 | 82 | 73 |
| 82 |  |  |  |


$-$| 82 | 78 | 82 | 73 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 82 | 80 | 84 | 85 |
| 89 | 79 | 87 | 81 |


| 55 |
| :---: |
| 81 |
| 54 |
| 71 |
| 59 |
| 7 |

Annex $C$

## 1998-99 Performance Indicators: Individual College Results

|  | Supporting data |  |  | PI 1 |  | PI 2 |  |  | Supporting data |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Institution | FEFC <br> region | Relative deprivation of students | Funded average level of funding | Achievement of funding target |  | Change in student numbers |  |  |  | Student numbers |  |
|  |  | 98-99 | 98-99 | 98-99 | 97-98 | 97-98 to 98-99 |  | 96-97 to 97-98 |  | 98-99 |  |
|  |  | \% | £ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ N o . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PT } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Solihull College | WM | Average | 16.14 | 87 | 101 | -9 | -15 | -1 | 1 | 2,355 | 20,296 |
| Somerset College of Arts and Technology | SW | Low | 16.57 | 89 | 98 | -18 | -20 | 14 | 9 | 1,871 | 8,602 |
| Soundwell College | SW | Low | 16.15 | 79 | 83 | -28 | 26 | 4 | -34 | 1,073 | 4,781 |
| South Birmingham College | WM | Very High | 16.23 | 101 | 105 | 3 | -43 | -1 | -18 | 4,366 | 6,649 |
| South Cheshire College | NW | Low | 16.15 | 100 | 101 | 4 | -27 | 2 | 5 | 2,551 | 5,041 |
| South Devon College | SW | Average | 15.53 | 89 | 100 | 4 | -30 | -1 | 51 | 2,574 | 12,118 |
| South Downs College | SE | Average | 16.56 | 104 | 100 | 3 | 9 | 4 | -2 | 2,916 | 6,615 |
| South East Derbyshire College | EM | Low | 16.57 | 105 | 98 | -1 | 46 | -11 | -21 | 1,414 | 8,098 |
| South East Essex College of Arts and Technology | ER | Average | 16.47 | 97 | 102 | -7 | 34 | -2 | -1 | 3,465 | 5,034 |
| South Kent College | SE | Average | 15.77 | 96 | 91 | 3 | -11 | -8 | 32 | 2,541 | 10,420 |
| South Nottingham College | EM | Average | 16.14 | 93 | 96 | 8 | -2 | 14 | -4 | 1,461 | 20,625 |
| South Thames College | GL | Very High | 17.92 | 93 | 99 | -1 | -18 | -19 | 3 | 2,397 | 8,772 |
| South Trafford College | NW | Average | 16.19 | 96 | 101 | -7 | 23 | -13 | 5 | 2,077 | 9,817 |
| South Tyneside College | NR | Average | 17.25 | 102 | 103 | -3 | -3 | -2 | 0 | 2,780 | 7,813 |
| Southampton City College | SE | Average | 18.34 | 98 | 100 | -7 | -23 | 16 | -19 | 1,953 | 7,558 |
| Southgate College | GL | Average | 16.73 | 100 | 102 | -4 | -48 | 3 | 46 | 2,183 | 6,572 |
| Southport College | NW | Average | 17.12 | 102 | 102 | 0 | -8 | -7 | 44 | 1,658 | 13,010 |
| Southwark College | GL | Very High | 19.62 | 115 | 105 | -3 | 11 | 15 | 6 | 1,825 | 6,270 |
| St Austell College | SW | Average | 16.13 | 105 | 100 | 6 | -5 | -15 | -2 | 2,406 | 20,372 |
| St Helens College | NW | Average | 16.84 | 100 | 100 | -3 | -8 | -19 | -29 | 2,884 | 14,841 |
| Stafford College | WM | Average | 15.86 | b | 98 | -7 | -7 | -14 | 18 | 2,248 | 18,133 |
| Stamford College | EM | Low | 16.15 | 99 | 100 | -11 | 31 | 0 | 14 | 1,118 | 3,961 |
| Stanmore College | GL | Low | 16.46 | 110 | 107 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 1,212 | 3,945 |
| Stephenson College | EM | Low | 16.19 | 100 | 92 | -2 | 17 | -47 | 0 | 489 | 5,560 |
| Stockport College of Further and Higher Education | NW | Average | 20.00 | 99 | 100 | -3 | 1 | -5 | -9 | 2,420 | 7,090 |
| Stockton and Billingham College of Further Education | NR | Average | 16.07 | 100 | 104 | 4 | 2 | 4 | -14 | 1,135 | 9,444 |
| Stoke-on-Trent College | WM | Average | 16.92 | 102 | 93 | 7 | 19 | -10 | -2 | 3,294 | 20,998 |
| Stourbridge College | WM | Average | 16.10 | 100 | 100 | 2 | 1 | -13 | -11 | 1,670 | 6,977 |
| Stratford upon Avon College | WM | Low | 17.40 | 96 | 98 | -2 | -25 | 9 | 3 | 957 | 2,061 |
| Strode College | SW | Low | 17.39 | 95 | 94 | 0 | -42 | 0 | 3 | 978 | 3,780 |
| Stroud College of Further Education | SW | Low | 17.60 | 88 | 90 | -10 | 0 | -6 | -9 | 750 | 4,149 |
| Suffolk College | ER | Low | 17.65 | 95 | 99 | -15 | -17 | -9 | 5 | 2,004 | 5,268 |

## Sector and College Type Medians

| Sector | n/a | 16.70 | 100 | 101 | -2 | -1 | -2 | 5 | 1,369 | 4,452 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Specialist | n/a | 16.93 | 100 | 102 | -2 | -5 | -2 | -1 | 404 | 687 |
| GFEC/TC | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 16.48 | 100 | 100 | -2 | -3 | -4 | 5 | 1,744 | 6,529 |
| SFC | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 16.98 | 102 | 103 | 0 | 13 | -1 | 3 | 1,039 | 461 |
| External Institutions | n/a | 10.91 | 106 | - | 7 | 0 | - | - | 21 | 687 |

## Notes

*see notes on page 88
a. subject to minor revision
b. agreed data not available
c. significant underestimate
d. greater than $1000 \%$
e. fewer than five students
f. not applicable
g. achievements not fully recorded

62 - Performance Indicators 1998-99

PI 3
In-year retention rate

Students aged PI 5

PI 4
Qualification aims achieved
short med long total short med long total - achieving
NVQ2 NVQ3 NVQ3 NVQ2 NVQ3 NVQ3

| $98-99$ |  | $97-98$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $F T$ | $P T$ | $F T$ | $P T$ |
| $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |


| $98-99$ |  |  |  |  | $97-98$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |  |

## Annex $C$

## 1998-99 Performance Indicators: Individual College Results



## Sector and College Type Medians

| Sector | n/a | 16.70 | 100 | 101 | -2 | -1 | -2 | 5 | 1,369 | 4,452 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Specialist | n/a | 16.93 | 100 | 102 | -2 | -5 | -2 | -1 | 404 | 687 |
| GFEC/TC | n/a | 16.48 | 100 | 100 | -2 | -3 | -4 | 5 | 1,744 | 6,529 |
| SFC | n/a | 16.98 | 102 | 103 | 0 | 13 | -1 | 3 | 1,039 | 461 |
| External Institutions | n/a | 10.91 | 106 | - | 7 | 0 | - | - | 21 | 687 |

## Notes

*see notes on page 88
a. subject to minor revision
b. agreed data not available
e. fewer than five students f. not applicable
c. significant underestimate
d. greater than $1000 \%$
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PI 3
In-year retention rate

PI 4
Qualification aims achieved
short med long total short med long total

| $98-99$ |  | $97-98$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $F T$ | $P T$ | $F T$ | $P T$ |
| $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |


| $98-99$ |  |  |  |  | $97-98$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |  |

Students aged
PI 5

Students aged $\begin{array}{llllll}16-18 & 16-20 & 21+ & 16-18 & 16-20 & 21+\end{array}$ achieving
achieving
NVQ2 NVQ3 NVQ3 NVQ2 NVQ3 NVQ3

| No. | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 177 | 575 | 390 | 276 | 713 | 500 |
| 262 | 346 | 736 | 205 | 401 | 1052 |
| 332 | 539 | 613 | 335 | 570 | 852 |


| 75 | 73 | 81 | 76 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 74 | 69 | 77 | 74 |


| 66 | 56 | 74 | 67 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 71 | 85 | 89 | 81 |


| 82 | 85 | 89 | 81 | 65 | 70 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 83 | 54 | 65 | 78 | 51 |  |


| 79 | 64 | 75 | 73 | 54 | 52 | 66 | 57 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 70 | 58 | 66 | 66 | 71 | 70 | 70 | 71 |


| 71 | 62 | 80 | 72 | 45 | 51 | 58 | 49 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 49 | 52 | 64 | 56 | 65 | 49 | 61 | 59 |


| b | b | b | b | 61 | 68 | 62 | 63 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 87 | 82 | 83 | 84 | 88 | 86 | 84 | 86 |
| 82 | 82 | 87 | 84 | 80 | 79 | 91 | 84 |

- 

| 70 | 65 | 69 | 69 | 74 | 64 | 67 | 68 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 58 | 58 | 50 | 55 | 75 | 34 | 29 | 57 |

Annex $C$

## 1998-99 Performance Indicators: Individual College Results

|  | Supporting data |  |  | PI 1 |  | PI 2 |  |  | Supporting data |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Institution | FEFC Relative Funded <br> region deprivation average <br>  of level of <br>  students funding |  |  | Achievement of funding target |  | Change in student numbers |  |  |  | Student numbers |  |
|  |  | 98-99 | 98-99 | 98-99 | 97-98 | 97-98 to 98-99 |  | 96-97 to 97-98 |  | 98-99 |  |
|  |  | \% | £ | \% | \% | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ N o . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ N o . \end{gathered}$ |
| Wigan and Leigh College | NW | Average | 18.24 | 105 | 104 | 1 | -19 | 4 | 29 | 4,279 | 10,478 |
| Wigston College of Further Education | EM | Low | 16.70 | 99 | 101 | 3 | 2 | -14 | 3 | 748 | 2,764 |
| Wirral Metropolitan College | NW | Average | 18.26 | 64 | 82 | -31 | -5 | -26 | -13 | 1,754 | 13,686 |
| Woolwich College* | GL | Very High | 17.13 | 100 | 101 | -1 | -4 | -6 | -6 | 1,571 | 6,841 |
| Worcester College of Technology | WM | Low | 16.19 | 104 | 105 | -5 | -22 | -1 | 18 | 1,961 | 6,685 |
| Wulfrun College* | WM | Average | 17.24 | 98 | 102 | -9 | 14 | -8 | -1 | 1,205 | 7,528 |
| Yeovil College | SW | Low | 17.40 | 100 | 100 | -18 | 13 | -3 | 19 | 1,443 | 6,676 |
| York College of Further and Higher Education* | YH | Low | 16.45 | 103 | 105 | -15 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 1,867 | 9,343 |
| Yorkshire Coast College of Further \& Higher Education | YH | Low | 17.00 | 101 | 105 | 2 | 7 | -9 | 38 | 918 | 5,273 |
| Sixth form colleges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aquinas College | NW | Low | 16.38 | 112 | 106 | 2 | 63 | 6 | -5 | 1,234 | 469 |
| Ashton-under-Lyne Sixth Form College | NW | Low | 17.38 | 116 | 104 | 13 | -58 | 1 | -55 | 1,112 | 265 |
| Barrow-in-Furness Sixth Form College | NW | Average | 17.24 | 94 | 97 | -2 | -12 | -16 | 2 | 718 | 284 |
| Barton Peveril College | SE | Low | 16.48 | 104 | 104 | -3 | 67 | 2 | 12 | 1,778 | 632 |
| Bede College | NR | Average | 16.39 | 101 | 102 | 0 | -41 | 7 | -76 | 495 | 30 |
| Bexhill College | SE | Low | 17.12 | 103 | 100 | -2 | 10 | -3 | -10 | 1,004 | 517 |
| Bilborough College | EM | Low | 17.92 | 110 | 110 | 3 | -38 | 7 | -69 | 847 | 10 |
| Birkenhead Sixth Form College | NW | Average | 16.81 | 100 | 106 | -3 | 29 | 5 | 31 | 1,024 | 1,003 |
| Blackpool Sixth Form College | NW | Low | 18.83 | 109 | 105 | -6 | 267 | -1 | -59 | 1,047 | 507 |
| Bolton Sixth Form College* | NW | Very High | 17.48 | 94 | 101 | -4 | -17 | -1 | 30 | 1,277 | 140 |
| Brighton, Hove and Sussex Sixth Form College | SE | Average | 16.82 | 101 | 103 | -3 | -68 | -6 | -56 | 1,068 | 11 |
| Cadbury Sixth Form College | WM | Very High | 16.89 | 102 | 105 | 0 | -14 | 2 | 61 | 1,298 | 25 |
| Cardinal Newman College | NW | Average | 16.72 | 103 | 110 | -4 | 91 | 6 | 68 | 1,154 | 301 |
| Carmel College | NW | Average | 16.20 | 106 | 100 | 0 | -7 | -6 | -4 | 1,023 | 289 |
| Christ the King Sixth Form College | GL | Very High | 17.26 | 115 | 106 | 10 | 346 | -1 | -37 | 1,009 | 116 |
| City of Stoke-on-Trent Sixth Form College | WM | Average | 16.94 | 99 | 102 | -2 | 22 | -2 | 17 | 1,582 | 1,471 |
| College of Richard Collyer in Horsham | SE | Low | 16.72 | 103 | 104 | -6 | 26 | -1 | 2 | 961 | 873 |
| Coulsdon College | GL | Average | 17.00 | 99 | 102 | -3 | 52 | -2 | -4 | 1,122 | 222 |
| East Norfolk Sixth Form College | ER | Average | 16.20 | 102 | 102 | -4 | 33 | 13 | -33 | 645 | 254 |
| Eccles College | NW | Average | 16.19 | 100 | 102 | 2 | -4 | 0 | 37 | 1,012 | 622 |
| Esher College | SE | Low | 16.79 | 111 | 105 | 0 | 0 | -2 | -69 | 1,219 | 16 |

## Sector and College Type Medians

| Sector | n/a | 16.70 | 100 | 101 | -2 | -1 | -2 | 5 | 1,369 | 4,452 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Specialist | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 16.93 | 100 | 102 | -2 | -5 | -2 | -1 | 404 | 687 |
| GFEC/TC | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 16.48 | 100 | 100 | -2 | -3 | -4 | 5 | 1,744 | 6,529 |
| SFC | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 16.98 | 102 | 103 | 0 | 13 | -1 | 3 | 1,039 | 461 |
| External Institutions | n/a | 10.91 | 106 | - | 7 | 0 | - | - | 21 | 687 |

## Notes

*see notes on page 88
a. subject to minor revision
b. agreed data not available
c. significant underestimate
d. greater than $1000 \%$
e. fewer than five students
f. not applicable
g. achievements not fully recorded
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Annex $C$

PI 3
In-year retention rate

PI 4

Qualification aims achieved short med long total short med long total PI 5 $\begin{array}{lllllllllll} & \text { med long total short med long total } 16-18 & 16-20 & 21+ & 16-18 & 16-20 & 21+\end{array}$ achieving achieving | NVQ2 | NVQ3 | NVQ3 | NVQ2 | NVQ3 | NVQ3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $98-99$ |  |  | $97-98$ |  |

| 98-99 |  | 97-98 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{F T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P T}$ | $\boldsymbol{F T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P T}$ |
| $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| 91 | 88 | 87 | 85 |
| 83 | 83 | 88 | 87 |
| 83 | 85 | 82 | 85 |
| 88 | 77 | 91 | 74 |
| 86 | 91 | 86 | 92 |
| 89 | 86 | 89 | 86 |
| 91 | 94 | 92 | 89 |
| 88 | 85 | 87 | 80 |
| 86 | 84 | 85 | 82 |
|  |  |  |  |


| $98-99$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $97-98$ |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 76 | 65 | 64 | 68 | 75 | 57 | 60 | 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 74 | 73 | 75 | 74 | 76 | 71 | 64 | 72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 59 | 65 | 56 | 61 | b | b | b | b |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | 53 | 43 | 47 | 56 | 58 | 46 | 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 78 | 69 | 74 | 74 | 67 | 63 | 73 | 68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 80 | 67 | 63 | 71 | 83 | 76 | 78 | 79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 66 | 55 | 67 | 63 | 75 | 32 | 72 | 61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 68 | 65 | 78 | 70 | 68 | 65 | 72 | 68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 68 | 57 | 68 | 65 | 75 | 70 | 53 | 62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $98-99$ |  |  |  |  |  | $97-98$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. |  |
| 470 | 927 | 856 | 393 | 904 | 753 |  |


| 470 | 927 | 856 | 393 | 904 | 753 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 76 | 82 | 232 | 77 | 71 | 226 |
| 192 | 204 | 475 | 233 | 287 | 656 |


|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| 93 | 98 | 91 | 96 |


| 93 | 98 | 91 | 96 | b | 50 | 93 | b | b | 83 | 91 | b | 33 | 454 | e | 90 | 425 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 92 | 86 | 87 | 72 | 86 | 61a | 88 | 91 | 92 | 98 | 88 | 92 | 113 | 331 | e | 69 | 238 | e |
| 91 | 85 | 91 | 89 | 60 | 55 | 82 | 71 | 50 | 45 | 67 | 61 | 29 | 433 | 35 | 17 | 258 | 51 |
| 93 | 73 | 91 | 73 | b | 92 | 93 | 92 | 70 | 84 | 89 | 87 | 51 | 695 | 5 | 49 | 637 | e |
| 96 | 94 | 94 | 75 | 88 | 98 | 95 | 93 | 81 | 100 | 94 | 90 | 26 | 197 | 0 | 13 | 199 | 0 |
| 89 | 83 | 87 | 71 | b | b | 91 | b | b | b | b | b | 78 | 333 | 23 | 62 | 287 | 6 |
| 95 | 78 | 92 | 75 | 98 | 93a | 93a | 98a | b | b | 91 | b | 12 | 320 | 0 | 9 | 280 | 0 |
| 88 | 74 | 87 | 77 | 59 | 72 | 91 | 81 | 36 | 80 | 90 | 79 | 100 | 296 | e | 87 | 385 | e |
| 92 | 84 | 90 | 72 | 28 | 76 | 92 | 84 | 92 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 44 | 441 | e | 35 | 417 | 5 |
| 88 | 64 | 89 | 79 | 89 | 79 | 87 | 87 | 86 | 81 | 66 | 71 | 116 | 408 | 0 | 131 | 377 | 0 |
| 94 | 73 | 93 | 94 | b | 97 | 92 | 95 | 100 | 93 | 90 | 93 | 52 | 464 | e | 54 | 421 | e |
| 91 | 76 | 90 | 59 | 20 | 87 | 82 | 68 | 48 | 77 | 86 | 77 | 61 | 434 | 0 | 46 | 789 | e |
| 92 | b | 92 | 58 | 80 | 50 | 66 | 69 | b | 38 | 72 | 68 | 28 | 420 | 0 | 41 | 400 | e |
| 97 | 88 | 94 | 97 | 84 | 83 | 97 | 92 | 88 | 79 | 93 | 91 | e | 1001 | 0 | 12 | 486 | e |
| 94 | 98 | 92 | 81 | 87 | 26 | 74 | 72 | 82 | 100 | 79 | 87 | 100 | 294 | 0 | 93 | 281 | 0 |
| 91 | 81 | 87 | 81 | 91 | 82 | 83 | 85 | 91 | 76 | 87 | 87 | 98 | 503 | 6 | 114 | 542 | 6 |
| 95 | 51 | 93 | 63 | 76 | 79 | 87 | 81 | 74 | 77 | 92 | 83 | 32 | 366 | e | 43 | 391 | e |
| 87 | 89 | 86 | 56 | b | 92 | 82 | 89 | 87 | 92 | 86 | 88 | 134 | 272 | 5 | 121 | 323 | 0 |
| 95 | 78 | 90 | 79 | 84 | 62 | 80 | 78 | 62 | 60 | 83 | 73 | e | 258 | 0 | 7 | 216 | 0 |
| 90 | 68 | 89 | 66 | 67 | 60 | 88 | 78 | 66 | 55 | 88 | 75 | 72 | 326 | 0 | 52 | 613 | 40 |
| 96 | 100 | 94 | 100 | 71 | 98 | 90 | 86 | 34 | 97 | 91 | 80 | 43 | 484 | 0 | 55 | 502 | 0 |


| $\mathbf{8 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 89 | 85 | 88 | 85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 86 | 85 | 85 | 85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 92 | 79 | 91 | 79 | 70 | 83 | 79 | 88 | 74 | 85 | 84 | 78 | 96 | 56 | 93 | 99 | 65 |  |
| 97 | 78 | - | - | 78 | 67 | 74 | 73 | 76 | 64 | 71 | 70 | 180 | 275 | 348 | 170 | 278 | 321 |
|  | 78 | 86 | 89 | 86 | 83 | 82 | 88 | 85 | 51 | 348 | 2 | 51 | 326 | 1 |  |  |  |

Annex $C$

## 1998-99 Performance Indicators: Individual College Results

|  | Supporting data |  |  | PI 1 |  | PI 2 |  |  |  | Supporting data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Institution | FEFC <br> region | Relative deprivation of students | Funded average level of funding | Achievement of funding target |  | Change in student numbers |  |  |  | Student numbers |  |
|  |  | 98-99 | 98-99 | 98-99 | 97-98 | 97-98 to 98-99 |  | 96-97 to 97-98 |  | 98-99 |  |
|  |  | \% | £ | \% | \% | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ N o . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ |
| Farnham College | SE | Low | 17.75 | 107 | 113 | -30 | 182 | 15 | -23 | 456 | 465 |
| Franklin College | YH | Average | 17.19 | 102 | 104 | -4 | 25 | -2 | 78 | 874 | 1,321 |
| Gateway Sixth Form College | EM | High | 17.91 | 110 | 104 | 5 | 8 | -3 | -19 | 933 | 185 |
| Godalming College | SE | Low | 16.91 | 97 | 105 | 1 | 37 | -3 | 40 | 1,416 | 546 |
| Greenhead College | YH | Average | 17.13 | 102 | 106 | 3 | 20 | 4 | 11 | 1,376 | 12 |
| Hartlepool Sixth Form College | NR | Average | 18.73 | 101 | 102 | -9 | 25 | 1 | -50 | 461 | 504 |
| Havant College | SE | Low | 16.50 | 100 | 102 | 0 | -8 | -1 | 9 | 1,210 | 1,383 |
| Havering Sixth Form College | GL | Average | 16.23 | 108 | 102 | 8 | -20 | 2 | 229 | 1,876 | 265 |
| Haywards Heath College | SE | Low | 18.05 | 99 | 100 | -10 | 329 | 0 | 98 | 1,013 | 2,417 |
| Hereford Sixth Form College | WM | Low | 16.44 | 100 | 105 | -2 | -32 | 0 | 6 | 1,033 | 13 |
| High Pavement Sixth Form College* | EM | High | 17.79 | 97 | 100 | -15 | 69 | -10 | d | 813 | 488 |
| Hills Road Sixth Form College | ER | Low | 16.20 | 104 | 105 | 1 | 0 | 8 | -20 | 1,378 | 461 |
| Holy Cross College | NW | Average | 16.43 | 109 | 101 | 9 | -91 | -9 | d | 1,050 | 5 |
| Huddersfield New College | YH | Average | 17.33 | 110 | 107 | 2 | -3 | -5 | 630 | 1,114 | 191 |
| Itchen College | SE | Average | 17.00 | 98 | 98 | -3 | 34 | -6 | -19 | 880 | 1,642 |
| John Leggott Sixth Form College | YH | Low | 16.89 | 100 | 109 | -1 | 14 | 0 | 80 | 1,394 | 1,489 |
| John Ruskin College | GL | Average | 17.48 | 100 | 98 | 0 | -4 | -3 | -6 | 1,231 | 555 |
| Joseph Chamberlain Sixth Form College | WM | Very High | 18.36 | 112 | 100 | 7 | 182 | 3 | -19 | 828 | 2,675 |
| Josiah Mason Sixth Form College | WM | Very High | 16.64 | 100 | 101 | 16 | -30 | 11 | 3 | 1,079 | 2,576 |
| King Edward VI College, Nuneaton | WM | Low | 17.38 | 103 | 100 | 1 | 550 | -2 | -14 | 792 | 39 |
| King Edward VI College, Stourbridge | WM | Low | 16.74 | 108 | 106 | 3 | f | 4 | -60 | 1,154 | e |
| King George V College | NW | Low | 16.55 | 104 | 110 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 117 | 1,039 | 229 |
| Leyton Sixth Form College | GL | Very High | 16.20 | 96 | 105 | -2 | -13 | 14 | -17 | 1,379 | 908 |
| Long Road Sixth Form College | ER | Low | 16.54 | 101 | 105 | -2 | -7 | 1 | 13 | 1,483 | 737 |
| Loreto College | NW | High | 18.71 | 104 | 103 | 3 | -33 | -1 | -1 | 877 | 1,252 |
| Ludlow College | WM | Low | 18.17 | 91 | 100 | -6 | 33 | -12 | 13 | 444 | 959 |
| Luton Sixth Form College | ER | High | 17.82 | 107 | 104 | 2 | -15 | -4 | 15 | 1,840 | 504 |
| NEW College, Pontefract | YH | Average | 16.57 | 102 | 103 | 6 | -3 | 2 | 1 | 1,073 | 272 |
| New College, Telford | WM | Low | 17.76 | 107 | 105 | 2 | 55 | -3 | -13 | 1,064 | 555 |
| Newham Sixth Form College | GL | Very High | 17.00 | 108 | 101 | -1 | 175 | 4 | -30 | 1,724 | 865 |
| North Area College | NW | High | 16.91 | 97 | 99 | -16 | 16 | -9 | 29 | 816 | 1,054 |
| Notre Dame Sixth Form College | YH | High | 16.20 | 111 | 104 | 8 | 175 | 2 | -80 | 845 | 11 |

## Sector and College Type Medians

| Sector | n/a | 16.70 | 100 | 101 | -2 | -1 | -2 | 5 | 1,369 | 4,452 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Specialist | n/a | 16.93 | 100 | 102 | -2 | -5 | -2 | -1 | 404 | 687 |
| GFEC/TC | n/a | 16.48 | 100 | 100 | -2 | -3 | -4 | 5 | 1,744 | 6,529 |
| SFC | n/a | 16.98 | 102 | 103 | 0 | 13 | -1 | 3 | 1,039 | 461 |
| External Institutions | n/a | 10.91 | 106 | - | 7 | 0 | - | - | 21 | 687 |

## Notes

*see notes on page 88
a. subject to minor revision
b. agreed data not available
c. significant underestimate
d. greater than $1000 \%$
e. fewer than five students f. not applicable
g. achievements not fully recorded

Qualification aims achieved short med long total short med long total $16-18$ 16-20 $\quad 21+\quad 16-18 \quad 16-20 \quad 21+$ achieving
NVQ2 NVQ3 NVQ3 NVQ2 $\quad$ NVQ3 $\quad$ NVQ3

| $98-99$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $97-98$ |  |
| $F T$ | $P T$ |
| $\%$ | $\%$ |


| $98-99$ |
| :--- |

$$
-
$$

$$
-\begin{array}{rrrr}
\hline 96 & 82 & 92 & 52 \\
\hline 95 & 72 & 94 & 68 \\
\hline 92 & 71 & 89 & 74 \\
\hline 96 & 76 & 96 & 66 \\
\hline 98 & 100 & 96 & 40 \\
\hline 92 & 80 & 87 & 79 \\
\hline 93 & 77 & 93 & 80 \\
\hline 94 & 99 \mathrm{a} & 90 & 99 \\
\hline 95 & 91 & 93 & 68
\end{array}-
$$

| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 92 | 52 | 96 | 89 | 93 | 93 | 79 | 72 | 84 | 81 |
| 94 | 68 | 92 | 91 | 93 | 92 | 95 | 95 | 88 | 93 |
| 89 | 74 | 48 | 66 | 79 | 72 | 72 | b | 83 | b |
| 96 | 66 | 90 | 90 | 94 | 93 | 91 | 72 | 91 | 90 |
| 96 | 40 | 93 | 92 | 98 | 95 | 98 | 88 | 96 | 93 |
| 87 | 79 | 90 | 70 | 84 | 80 | 69 | 66 | 80 | 74 |
| 93 | 80 | 95 | 91 | 88 | 92 | 97 | 88 | 88 | 93 |
| 90 | 99 | 91a | 92 | 88 | 90 | 52 | 87 | 81 | 75 |


| 91 a | 92 | 88 | 90 | 52 | 87 | 81 | 75 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 88 | 57 | 91 | 89 | 86 | 75 | 92 | 89 |


| 99 | 100 | 95 | 96 | 85 | 100 | 94 | 92 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 84 | 95 | 84 | 87 | 56 | 84 | 83 | 80 |
| 80 | 85 | 95 | 88 | 97 | 85 | 94 | 94 |


| 84 | 95 | 84 | 87 | 56 | 84 | 83 | 80 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 80 | 85 | 95 | 88 | 97 | 85 | 94 | 94 |
| 97 | 78 | 91 | 92 | 69 | 61 | 87 | 82 |

-| 98 | 74 | 97 | 69 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 96 | 100 | 94 | 98 |
| 90 | 9 | 93 | 33 |
| 87 | 80 | 82 | 84 |
| 94 | 87 | 93 | 74 |
| 90 |  |  |  |$\quad=$

| 8 |
| ---: |
| 7 |
| 73 |


| 74 a | 83 a | 89 a | 81 a | 90 | 90 | 88 | 89 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| b | 90 | 85 | 81 | 80 | 72 | 86 | 83 |
| 77 | 38 | 69 | 69 | 53 | 43 | 64 | 57 |


| 77 | 38 | 69 | 69 | 53 | 43 | 64 | 57 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $b$ | 61 | 78 | 74 | 84 | 64 | 87 | 82 |


| 78 |
| ---: |
| 88 |


| b | 67 | 88 | 83 | 60 | 61 | 86 | 83 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 99 | 88 | 93 | 94 | 94 | 92 | 96 | 95 |
| f | 98 | 91 | 93 | 100 | 90 | 89 | 89 |

88

$$
\begin{array}{|rrrr}
\hline 94 & 8 & 9 & 14 \\
\hline 90 & 89 & 89 & 87 \\
\hline 92 & 82 & 92 & 86 \\
\hline 80 & 76 & 85 & 84 \\
\hline 93 & 87 & 93 & 33 \\
\hline 97 & 100 & 97 & 100 \\
\hline 96 & 85 & 96 & 100 \\
\hline 90 & 57 & 89 & 86
\end{array}-
$$

78

| 90 | 5 | 8 | 86 | b | 76 | 84 | 82 | b | b | 86 | b | 117 | 406 | , | 136 | 342 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 97 | 72 | 98 | 78 | 79 | 79 | 93 | 83 | 72 | 80 | 93 | 81 | 30 | 539 | e | 73 | 629 | e |
| 88 | 68 | 87 | 89 | 96 | 99 | 90 | 94 | 96 | 100 | 85 | 93 | 65 | 227 | e | 65 | 220 | e |
| 86 | 73 | 91 | 79 | 68 | 71 | 75 | 71 | 47 | 57 | 80 | 61 | 14 | 120 | 15 | 23 | 133 | 17 |
| 94 | 67 | 93 | 70 | 81 | 89 | 82 | 83 | 71 | 90 | 81 | 79 | 197 | 544 | e | 143 | 559 | 5 |
| 90 | 67 | 90 | 79 | 86 | 76 | 90 | 85 | b | 84 | 92 | 90 | 33 | 369 | e | 11 | 428 | 0 |
| 90 | 74 | 87 | 64 | 45 | 60 | 79 | 68 | 45 | 81 | 86 | 80 | 41 | 351 | 0 | 39 | 335 | e |
| 94 | 84 | 88 | 79 | 66 | b | 75 | 72 | 62 | 72 | 73 | 68 | 230 | 444 | 18 | 187 | 778 | 12 |
| 84 | 68 | 84 | 68 | 82 | 71 | 80 | 78 | 78 | 37 | 74 | 73 | 126 | 162 | 173 | 108 | 199 | 5 |
| 92 | 91 | 93 | 75 | 81 | 94 | 94 | 88 | 93 | 98 | 92 | 93 | 13 | 646 | 0 | 21 | 559 | 0 |


| 87 | 84 | 87 | 84 | 77 | 70 | 78 | 76 | 78 | 68 | 76 | 75 | 131 | 272 | 198 | 123 | 275 | 201 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 89 | 85 | 88 | 85 | 80 | 70 | 83 | 79 | 88 | 74 | 85 | 84 | 78 | 96 | 56 | 93 | 99 | 65 |
| 86 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 75 | 67 | 74 | 73 | 76 | 64 | 71 | 70 | 180 | 275 | 348 | 170 | 278 | 321 |
| 92 | 79 | 91 | 79 | 84 | 86 | 89 | 86 | 83 | 82 | 88 | 85 | 51 | 348 | 2 | 51 | 326 | 1 |
| 97 | 78 | - | - | 78 | 80 | 86 | 82 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

## Annex $C$

## 1998-99 Performance Indicators: Individual College Results

|  | Supporting data |  |  | PI 1 |  | PI 2 |  |  |  | Supporting data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Institution | FEFC <br> region | Relative deprivation of students | Funded average level of funding | Achievement of funding target |  | Change in student numbers |  |  |  | Student <br> numbers |  |
|  |  | 98-99 | 98-99 | 98-99 | 97-98 | 97-98 to 98-99 |  | 96-97 to 97-98 |  | 98-99 |  |
|  |  | \% | £ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $F T$ No. | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ |
| Oldham Sixth Form College | NW | High | 16.20 | 116 | 100 | 1 | 26 | 3 | -19 | 1,885 | 555 |
| Palmer's College | ER | Average | 16.72 | 100 | 101 | -1 | 33 | 0 | 125 | 1,412 | 36 |
| Park College, Eastbourne | SE | Low | 16.45 | 103 | 104 | -1 | 31 | 2 | 263 | 770 | 76 |
| Paston College | ER | Low | 17.27 | 106 | 101 | 8 | 70 | -4 | 41 | 574 | 463 |
| Pendleton College | NW | Average | 17.89 | 99 | 102 | -13 | 14 | -3 | -69 | 889 | 6,894 |
| Peter Symonds' College | SE | Low | 16.54 | 107 | 105 | 3 | -6 | -1 | 10 | 1,929 | 1,188 |
| Phoenix College* | GL | Very High | 18.60 | 83 | 94 | -8 | 50 | 0 | -91 | 339 | 9 |
| Portsmouth College | SE | Average | 18.03 | 102 | 104 | -10 | -1 | -7 | 31 | 675 | 2,647 |
| Priestley College | NW | Average | 16.20 | 101 | 99 | -9 | 13 | -6 | 36 | 889 | 2,381 |
| Prior Pursglove College | NR | Average | 16.96 | 104 | 98 | -2 | 71 | 41 | 129 | 1,197 | 1,657 |
| Queen Elizabeth Sixth Form College | NR | Low | 16.91 | 100 | 102 | 0 | -17 | 1 | -7 | 1,202 | 285 |
| Queen Mary's College | SE | Low | 17.43 | 97 | 100 | -8 | 33 | -7 | 15 | 1,568 | 1,028 |
| Regent College | EM | High | 17.83 | 108 | 93 | 11 | 29 | -15 | 170 | 736 | 219 |
| Reigate College | SE | Low | 16.96 | 104 | 107 | -5 | -3 | 2 | -59 | 1,068 | 33 |
| Richard Huish College | SW | Low | 16.30 | 99 | 101 | -1 | -48 | -2 | -48 | 1,128 | 72 |
| Ridge Danyers College | NW | Low | 16.19 | 94 | 96 | -7 | 34 | -2 | -10 | 2,395 | 4,569 |
| Rowley Regis College | WM | Very High | 19.09 | 100 | 99 | -26 | 51 | -14 | -5 | 509 | 2,269 |
| Rutland College* | EM | Low | 19.00 | 105 | 108 | -4 | 16 | -1 | 24 | 413 | 809 |
| Scarborough Sixth Form College | YH | Low | 18.17 | 105 | 106 | 5 | -31 | 0 | -18 | 809 | 68 |
| Seevic College | ER | Low | 17.29 | 98 | 99 | 2 | 30 | -17 | 194 | 1,488 | 1,280 |
| Shena Simon College | NW | Very High | 17.34 | 105 | 97 | 21 | -47 | 0 | -25 | 764 | 1,520 |
| Shrewsbury Sixth Form College | WM | Low | 16.77 | 112 | 103 | 5 | -30 | -3 | -45 | 995 | 128 |
| Sir George Monoux College | GL | Very High | 18.15 | 107 | 110 | -3 | -78 | -9 | 639 | 1,074 | 51 |
| Sir John Deane's College | NW | Low | 16.23 | 102 | 102 | -3 | d | 3 | 100 | 1,073 | 343 |
| Sixth Form College, Colchester | ER | Low | 16.51 | 103 | 104 | 1 | 61 | -2 | 12 | 1,929 | 106 |
| Sixth Form College, Farnborough | SE | Low | 17.15 | 103 | 107 | 2 | -38 | 3 | -81 | 1,560 | 84 |
| Solihull Sixth Form College | WM | Average | 16.20 | 102 | 100 | 0 | -18 | -2 | 73 | 2,274 | 319 |
| Spelthorne College | SE | Low | 16.85 | 102 | 100 | 0 | -6 | 1 | -1 | 536 | 941 |
| St Brendan's Sixth Form College | SW | Average | 18.21 | 99 | 106 | -2 | -23 | 3 | 25 | 1,045 | 130 |
| St Charles Catholic Sixth Form College | GL | Very High | 17.62 | 101 | 103 | 0 | -17 | 2 | -25 | 867 | 10 |
| St Dominic's Sixth Form College | GL | Low | 17.64 | 107 | 104 | 4 | 40 | 2 | 317 | 648 | 35 |
| St Francis Xavier Sixth Form College | GL | Very High | 17.60 | 103 | 104 | -4 | -52 | -3 | 44 | 1,017 | 141 |

## Sector and College Type Medians

| Sector | n/a | 16.70 | 100 | 101 | -2 | -1 | -2 | 5 | 1,369 | 4,452 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Specialist | n/a | 16.93 | 100 | 102 | -2 | -5 | -2 | -1 | 404 | 687 |
| GFEC/TC | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 16.48 | 100 | 100 | -2 | -3 | -4 | 5 | 1,744 | 6,529 |
| SFC | n/a | 16.98 | 102 | 103 | 0 | 13 | -1 | 3 | 1,039 | 461 |
| External Institutions | n/a | 10.91 | 106 | - | 7 | 0 | - | - | 21 | 687 |

## Notes

*see notes on page 88
a. subject to minor revision
b. agreed data not available
c. significant underestimate
d. greater than $1000 \%$
e. fewer than five students
f. not applicable
g. achievements not fully recorded
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Qualification aims achieved
short med long total short med long total $16-18$ 16-20 $\quad 21+\quad 16-18$ 16-20 $\quad 21+$ achieving

NVQ2 NVQ3 NVQ3 NVQ2 NVQ3 NVQ3 | $98-99$ |  | $97-98$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $F T$ | $P T$ | $F T$ | $P T$ |
| $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |

$98-99$
$97-98$

| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 71 | 83 | 89 | 78 | 50 | 89 | 90 | 70 | 131 | 685 | 0 | 112 | 631 | 0 |
| 100 | 100 | 93 | 97 | 100 | 99 | 93 | 96 | 127 | 466 | e | 144 | 408 | 0 |
| 68 | 91 | 89 | 89 | 62 | 78 | 81 | 78 | 22 | 275 | e | 37 | 215 | e |
| 100 | 87 | 83 | 89 | 98 | 90 | 89 | 92 | 30 | 158 | e | 38 | 140 | e |
| 88 | b | 87 | 87 | 92 | 69 | 81 | 90 | 92 | 175 | 10 | 118 | 162 | 8 |
| 92 | b | 93 | 93 | 59 | 61 | 91 | 80 | 46 | 827 | 43 | 35 | 790 | 15 |
| f | 100 | b | 92 | f | 100 | 87 | 90 | 19 | 33 | e | 41 | 64 | 0 |
| 62 | 58 | 78 | 65 | b | b | b | b | 37 | 158 | 25 | 36 | 159 | 15 |
| 88 | 80 | 92 | 88 | 84 | 63 | 86 | 80 | 69 | 291 | 45 | 102 | 334 | 34 |
| 90 | 90 | 89 | 89 | 88 | 89 | 88 | 88 | 57 | 411 | 6 | 40 | 425 | 0 |
| 98 | 93 | 94 | 95 | 92 | 94 | 90 | 92 | 55 | 512 | 26 | 51 | 457 | 18 |
| b | b | 92 | b | 93 | 85 | 89 | 88 | 58 | 508 | 10 | 47 | 1006 | 29 |
| 100 | 28 | 75 | 62 | 90 | 68 | 81 | 82 | 74 | 124 | 7 | 80 | 108 | 16 |
| 50 | 94 | 93 | 77 | 67 | 93 | 88 | 86 | 42 | 645 | 0 | 38 | 381 | 0 |
| 86 | b | 91 | 91 | 83 | 77 | 91 | 89 | 10 | 463 | 11 | 6 | 442 | 6 |
| 91 | 87 | 89 | 89 | b | 76 | 88 | 81a | 166 | 697 | 150 | 166 | 740 | 145 |
| 50 | 71 | 83 | 69 | 58 | 47 | 78 | 63 | 28 | 150 | 33 | 33 | 150 | 75 |
| b | b | 88 | 87 | 96 | 94 | 89 | 92 | 22 | 140 | 0 | 20 | 149 | e |
| 66 | 92 | 83 | 79 | 76 | 13 | 68 | 60 | 39 | 295 | e | 37 | 254 | e |
| 85 | 83 | 67 | 75 | 66 | 75 | 76 | 74 | 151 | 432 | 27 | 102 | 537 | 25 |
| b | b | 78 | 81 | 100 | 75 | 80 | 83 | 44 | 46 | 80 | 50 | 58 | 97 |
| 54a | 95 | 92 | 76a | 80 | 79 | 93 | 89 | 12 | 425 | 0 | 13 | 388 | 0 |
| 65 | 80 | 76 | 77 | 79 | 77 | 81 | 79 | 93 | 266 | 0 | 91 | 274 | e |
| 92 | 100 | 94 | 93 | 100 | 82 | 93 | 93 | e | 489 | 0 | e | 532 | 0 |
| 93 | 90 | 90 | 91 | 89 | 93 | 90 | 92 | 34 | 768 | 0 | 47 | 761 | 0 |
| 78 | 91 | 91 | 89 | 73 | 83 | 87 | 82 | 62 | 624 | 0 | 71 | 566 | e |
| 81 | 86 | 90 | 87 | b | b | 91 | 90a | 120 | 809 | 0 | 94 | 854 | 0 |
| b | b | 67 | 56 | 63 | 82 | 73 | 70 | 56 | 89 | 88 | 48 | 97 | 70 |
| 44 | 61 | 84 | 70 | 45 | 59 | 85 | 70 | 51 | 350 | 0 | 40 | 329 | 0 |
| 69 | 63c | 87 | 73 | 66 | 42 | 79 | 72 | 120 | 207 | 0 | 101 | 239 | 0 |
| 84 | 89 | 86 | 86 | 90 | 90 | 89 | 89 | 25 | 245 | 0 | 38 | 241 | 0 |
| 43 | 84 | 91 | 89 | 8 | 76 | 85 | 76 | 99 | 332 | 0 | 101 | 289 | 0 |


| 87 | 84 | 87 | 84 | 77 | 70 | 78 | 76 | 78 | 68 | 76 | 75 | 131 | 272 | 198 | 123 | 275 | 201 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 89 | 85 | 88 | 85 | 80 | 70 | 83 | 79 | 88 | 74 | 85 | 84 | 78 | 96 | 56 | 93 | 99 | 65 |
| 86 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 75 | 67 | 74 | 73 | 76 | 64 | 71 | 70 | 180 | 275 | 348 | 170 | 278 | 321 |
| 92 | 79 | 91 | 79 | 84 | 86 | 89 | 86 | 83 | 82 | 88 | 85 | 51 | 348 | 2 | 51 | 326 | 1 |
| 97 | 78 | - | - | 78 | 80 | 86 | 82 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

## Annex $C$

## 1998-99 Performance Indicators: Individual College Results

|  | Supporting data |  |  | PI 1 |  | PI 2 |  |  |  | Supporting data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Institution | FEFC Relative Funded <br> region deprivation average <br>  of level of <br>  students funding |  |  | Achievement of funding target |  | Change in student numbers |  |  |  | Student <br> numbers |  |
|  |  | 98-99 | 98-99 | 98-99 | 97-98 | 97-98 to 98-99 |  | 96-97 to 97-98 |  | 98-99 |  |
|  |  |  | £ | \% | \% |  | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FT } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & P T \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ |
| St John Rigby Roman Catholic Sixth Form College | NW | Average | 18.56 | 104 | 108 | -2 | 45 | -1 | -80 | 780 | 48 |
| St Mary's College, Blackburn | NW | Average | 17.69 | 107 | 107 | 1 | 342 | -3 | -55 | 861 | 212 |
| St Mary's RC Sixth Form College | NR | Average | 18.63 | 101 | 103 | 6 | f | -11 | -33 | 584 | e |
| St Vincent College | SE | Low | 17.02 | 100 | 99 | -2 | 19 | -2 | 10 | 1,118 | 1,275 |
| Stockton Sixth Form College | NR | Average | 18.19 | 97 | 103 | 5 | 26 | 4 | -43 | 818 | 574 |
| Strode's College | SE | Low | 16.27 | 105 | 101 | 1 | 80 | -5 | 10 | 898 | 446 |
| Taunton's College | SE | High | 18.20 | 117 | 105 | -3 | 20 | 1 | -3 | 1,080 | 1,165 |
| Thomas Rotherham College | YH | Average | 16.20 | 107 | 101 | 4 | 141 | 4 | -22 | 1,167 | 623 |
| Totton College | SE | Low | 16.94 | 100 | 101 | -6 | 3 | -4 | 3 | 776 | 2,413 |
| Tynemouth College | NR | Average | 17.23 | 102 | 100 | -1 | 17 | -4 | 34 | 1,005 | 1,238 |
| Varndean College | SE | Average | 16.69 | 100 | 100 | 1 | -15 | -7 | 20 | 875 | 600 |
| Widnes Sixth Form College | NW | Average | 17.56 | 104 | 103 | -4 | -13 | 4 | -38 | 852 | 7 |
| Wilberforce College | YH | High | 17.21 | 98 | 101 | 4 | 13 | -7 | 23 | 780 | 754 |
| Winstanley College | NW | Low | 16.98 | 109 | 104 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 1,348 | 360 |
| Woking College | SE | Low | 16.20 | 99 | 110 | -6 | 25 | 13 | 1 | 704 | 206 |
| Woodhouse College | GL | Average | 16.85 | 103 | 105 | 0 | -59 | 1 | 29 | 822 | 11 |
| Worcester Sixth Form College | WM | Average | 16.68 | 99 | 103 | -5 | -30 | -8 | 600 | 1,127 | 1,129 |
| Worthing Sixth Form College | SE | Low | 16.94 | 106 | 101 | 4 | 5 | 6 | -21 | 1,143 | 437 |
| Wyggeston and Queen Elizabeth I College | EM | Average | 16.57 | 102 | 102 | -2 | -1 | 2 | 28 | 1,454 | 874 |
| Wyke Sixth Form College | YH | Average | 17.53 | 101 | 93 | 5 | -1 | -7 | 21 | 908 | 369 |
| Xaverian College | NW | Very High | 17.80 | 104 | 104 | 2 | d | 2 | 83 | 1,230 | 170 |
| York Sixth Form College* | YH | Low | 16.20 | 94 | 103 | -6 | -2 | 2 | 65 | 1,093 | 201 |

## Sector and College Type Medians

| Sector | n/a | 16.70 | 100 | 101 | -2 | -1 | -2 | 5 | 1,369 | 4,452 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Specialist | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 16.93 | 100 | 102 | -2 | -5 | -2 | -1 | 404 | 687 |
| GFEC/TC | n/a | 16.48 | 100 | 100 | -2 | -3 | -4 | 5 | 1,744 | 6,529 |
| SFC | n/a | 16.98 | 102 | 103 | 0 | 13 | -1 | 3 | 1,039 | 461 |
| External Institutions | n/a | 10.91 | 106 | - | 7 | 0 | - | - | 21 | 687 |

## Notes

*see notes on page 88
a. subject to minor revision
b. agreed data not available
c. significant underestimate
d. greater than $1000 \%$
e. fewer than five students
f. not applicable
g. achievements not fully recorded

| PI 3 |  |  |  | PI 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PI 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In-year retention rate |  |  |  | Qualification aims achieved |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Students aged |  |  | Students aged |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | short | med | long | total | short | med | long | total | $\begin{array}{cc} 16-18 \quad 16-20 \\ \text { achieving } \end{array}$ |  | 21+ | $\begin{array}{cc} 16-18 & 16-20 \\ \text { achieving } \end{array}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | NVQ2 | NVQ3 | NVQ3 | NVQ2 | NVQ3 | NVQ3 |
| 98-99 |  | 97-98 |  | 98-99 |  |  |  | 97-98 |  |  | \% | 98-99 |  |  | 97-98 |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} F T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |  | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. |
| 89 | 94 | 91 | 94 | 94a | 84a | 89 | 89a | 83 | 91 | 89 | 88 | 41 | 261 | 0 | 64 | 235 | 0 |
| 97 | 90 | 92 | 88 | 100 | b | 93 | 95 | 100 | 65 | 92 | 79 | 37 | 333 | e | 47 | 286 | 12 |
| 89 | 100 | 92 | 100 | 98 | 100 | 89 | 94 | 92 | 100 | 89 | 93 | 37 | 170 | 0 | 28 | 202 | 0 |
| 85 | 80 | 83 | 78 | 66 | 98 | 91 | 78 | b | b | 84 | b | 83 | 257 | 44 | 55 | 521 | 55 |
| 90 | 75 | 86 | 86 | 88 | 93 | 91 | 91 | 60 | 89 | 86 | 78 | 70 | 241 | e | 77 | 209 | e |
| 90 | 57 | 92 | 68 | 51 | 76 | 83 | 74 | 27 | 65 | 77 | 60 | 55 | 273 | 6 | 40 | 290 | e |
| 89 | 79 | 88 | 88 | 72 | 76 | 83 | 78 | 79 | 70 | 86 | 82 | 50 | 313 | 14 | 61 | 574 | 26 |
| 90 | 61 | 90 | 64 | 81 | 95 | 84 | 88 | 92 | 93 | 88 | 91 | 105 | 366 | e | 77 | 385 | e |
| 88 | 90 | 82 | 90 | 87 | 83 | 89 | 86 | 87 | 84 | 86 | 86 | 63 | 154 | 93 | 40 | 321 | 69 |
| 91 | 89 | 89 | 85 | 82 | 88 | 80 | 83 | 59 | b | 88 | 73 | 99 | 254 | 32 | 52 | 248 | 17 |
| 92 | 76 | 90 | 71 | 72 | b | 91 | b | 96 | 90 | 87 | 89 | 83 | 258 | 37 | 69 | 260 | 45 |
| 91 | 71 | 90 | 75 | 93 | 90 | 85 | 88 | 84 | 86 | 85 | 85 | 64 | 343 | 0 | 53 | 329 | 0 |
| 91 | 72 | 88 | 63 | 62 | 79 | 80 | 73 | 59 | 82 | 81 | 75 | 55 | 212 | 8 | 70 | 236 | e |
| 97 | 81 | 97 | 87 | 80 | 83 | 95 | 89 | 72 | 97 | 94 | 85 | e | 580 | 0 | 0 | 570 | 0 |
| 93 | 79 | 89 | 81 | 34 | 53 | 86 | 53 | 26 | 60 | 80 | 55 | 38 | 257 | e | 35 | 207 | e |
| 98 | 91 | 99 | 48 | b | 97 | 84 | 84 | 87 | f | 86 | 86 | 11 | 348 | 0 | 24 | 362 | 0 |
| 92 | 77 | 92 | 60 | 96 | 92 | 91 | 93 | 99 | 88 | 89 | 93 | 37 | 414 | 0 | 61 | 431 | 17 |
| 95 | 72 | 93 | 81 | 58 | 78 | 87 | 73 | 100 | 92 | 89 | 93 | 44 | 401 | 7 | 41 | 386 | 9 |
| 96 | 66 | 97 | 72 | 99 | 99 | 91 | 96 | 94 | 99 | 93 | 94 | 54 | 562 | 13 | 57 | 553 | 20 |
| 92 | 59 | 86 | 70 | 59a | 81a | 75 | 71 | 64 | 84 | 78 | 77 | 69 | 210 | e | 42 | 273 | 5 |
| 91 | 85 | 89 | 82 | 99 | b | 92 | 83 | 99 | 30 | 88 | 79 | 82 | 392 | 0 | 88 | 318 | 0 |
| 93 | 93 | 90 | 78 | 21 | 34 | 91 | 44 | 47 | 37 | 91 | 64 | 20 | 441 | e | 17 | 458 | e |


| $\mathbf{8 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 89 | 85 | 88 | 85 | 80 | 70 | 83 | $\mathbf{7 9}$ | 88 | 74 | 85 | 84 | 78 | 96 | 56 | 9 | 99 | 65 |
| 86 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 75 | 67 | 74 | 73 | 76 | 64 | 71 | 70 |  | 180 | 275 | 348 | 170 | 278 |
| 92 | 79 | 91 | 79 | 84 | 86 | 89 | 86 | 83 | 82 | 88 | 85 | 51 | 348 | 2 | 51 | 326 | 1 |
| 97 | 78 | - | - | 78 | 80 | 86 | 82 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

## Annex $C$

## 1998-99 Performance Indicators: Individual Institution Results

|  | Supporting data |  |  |  |  | PI 2 |  |  |  | Supporting data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Institution | FEFC <br> region | Relative <br> deprivation <br> of <br> students | Funded average level of funding | Achieve funding | ment of target | Chang | in stu | ent nu |  |  |  |
|  |  | 98-99 | 98-99 | 98-99 | 97-98 | 97-98 to 98-99 |  | 96-97 to 97-98 |  | 98-99 |  |
|  |  | \% | £ |  | \% |  | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & F T \\ & N O . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & P T \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ |
| External Institutions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ACTIVE (Association of Community Training |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Initiative for Vocational Education) | WM | Very High | 7.48 | 103 |  | -32 | 181 |  |  | 103 | 59 |
| Adult Basic Education Centre - South Devon | SW | Average | 15.41 | 93 |  |  | -3 |  |  | f | 178 |
| Adult College Lancaster | NW | Low | 11.13 | 115 |  | 350 | 18 |  |  | 9 | 2,354 |
| Adult College of Barking \& Dagenham | GL | Very High | 13.38 | 112 |  |  | 17 |  |  | e | 1,649 |
| Adult Community College Chelmsford | ER | Low | 6.94 | 84 |  |  | -10 |  |  | f | 1,748 |
| Adult Community College, Colchester \#1 | ER | Low | 9.45 | 77 |  |  | -4 |  |  | f | 1,011 |
| Adult Community College, Colchester \#2 | ER | Low | 9.30 | 83 |  |  | -8 |  |  | f | 971 |
| Adult Education College for Bexley | GL | Average | 11.65 | 105 |  |  | 7 |  |  | e | 3,243 |
| Association of Sheffield Community Language Schools | YH | b | 8.94 | b |  | b | b |  |  | b | b |
| Barnsley Community Education | YH | Very High | 14.32 | 118 |  |  | 16 |  |  | f | 1,211 |
| Basildon Adult Community College | ER | Average | 9.61 | 149 |  |  | -11 |  |  | f | 840 |
| Bath \& North East Somerset Adult and CES | SW | Low | 12.27 | 113 |  |  | 63 |  |  | f | 404 |
| Beacon Community College | SE | Low | 11.27 | 175 |  |  | -33 |  |  | e | 310 |
| Bede Education Centre | GL | Very High | 13.25 | 112 |  |  | 12 |  |  | f | 358 |
| Belvoir High School and Community Centre | EM | Low | 12.71 | 152 |  |  | 34 |  |  | f | 221 |
| Birmingham LEA - Adult Education | WM | Very High | 13.59 | 106 |  | 14 | -9 |  |  | 252 | 11,089 |
| Blackfriars Education Centre | GL | Very High | 15.78 | 170 |  |  | 35 |  |  | f | 138 |
| Blake College | GL | Very High | 7.09 | 93 |  | -33 | -38 |  |  | 12 | 8 |
| Bolton Community Education Service | NW | High | 10.26 | 96 |  | -9 | 5 |  |  | 21 | 5,441 |
| Bournemouth Adult Education Service | SW | Average | 12.73 | 101 |  |  | -2 |  |  | f | 1,751 |
| Bowling Community College | YH | High | 11.73 | 107 |  |  | 24 |  |  | e | 774 |
| Branston School and Community College | EM | Low | 10.85 | 107 |  |  | -7 |  |  | f | 173 |
| Brent Adult and Community Education Service | GL | Very High | 14.15 | 118 |  | 8 | 10 |  |  | 104 | 4,346 |
| Bridgemary Community School | SE | Low | 15.02 | 88 |  |  | 6 |  |  | f | 257 |
| Bristol City Council Community Education Service | SW | Average | 8.26 | 99 |  |  | 1 |  |  | e | 3,245 |
| Bromley Adult Education College | GL | Average | 6.70 | 135 |  |  | -16 |  |  | e | 3,227 |
| Brune Park Community School | SE | Low | 14.83 | 35 |  | f | -39 |  |  | 24 | 146 |
| Buckinghamshire County Council (Amersham \& Wycombe) | SE | Low | 11.61 | 103 |  |  | 12 |  |  | e | 3,864 |
| Buckinghamshire County Council (Aylesbury) | SE | Low | 13.46 | 110 |  |  | 2 |  |  | f | 1,247 |
| Building Crafts College | GL | Very High | 11.22 | 102 |  | 8 | 16 |  |  | 27 | 59 |

## Sector and College Type Medians

| Sector | n/a | 16.70 | 100 | 101 | -2 | -1 | -2 | 5 | 1,369 | 4,452 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Specialist | n/a | 16.93 | 100 | 102 | -2 | -5 | -2 | -1 | 404 | 687 |
| GFEC/TC | n/a | 16.48 | 100 | 100 | -2 | -3 | -4 | 5 | 1,744 | 6,529 |
| SFC | n/a | 16.98 | 102 | 103 | 0 | 13 | -1 | 3 | 1,039 | 461 |
| External Institutions | n/a | 10.91 | 106 | - | 7 | 0 | - | - | 21 | 687 |

## Notes

*see notes on page 88
a. subject to minor revision
b. agreed data not available
c. significant underestimate
d. greater than $1000 \%$
e. fewer than five students
f. not applicable
g. achievements not fully recorded
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Annex $C$

## 1998-99 Performance Indicators: Individual Institution Results

|  | Supporting data |  |  | PI 1 |  | PI 2 |  |  |  | Supporting data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Institution | FEFC <br> region | Relative deprivation of students | Funded average level of funding | Achievement of funding target |  | Change in student numbers |  |  |  | Student numbers |  |
|  |  | 98-99 | 98-99 | 98-99 | 97-98 | 97-98 to 98-99 |  | 96-97 to 97-98 |  | 98-99 |  |
|  |  | \% |  | \% |  | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PT } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & F T \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ |
| Burleigh Community College | EM | Low | 10.99 | 124 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  | 475 |
| Bury Lifelong Learning | NW | Low | 12.46 | 74 |  | 333 | 4 |  |  | 13 | 2,410 |
| Bushfield Community School | ER | Low | 10.66 | b |  | b | b |  |  | b | b |
| CALTEC | YH | Very High | 9.50 | 164 |  |  | 24 |  |  | f | 401 |
| Cambridge House AEC | GL | Very High | 13.05 | 93 |  |  | -2 |  |  | f | 122 |
| Cambridge Women's Resource Centre | ER | Low | 11.52 | 74 |  | -48 | 4 |  |  | 23 | 123 |
| Cambridgeshire Community Education - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adult Special Educational Needs | ER | Low | 11.09 | 88 |  |  | 2 |  |  | f | 322 |
| Cannock Adult Education Consortium | WM | Low | 9.59 | 109 |  |  | 7 |  |  | f | 645 |
| Carlton Bolling Adult Users Group | YH | Very High | 12.45 | 97 |  |  | 26 |  |  | e | 93 |
| Casterton Community College | EM | Low | 10.75 | 121 |  |  | 37 |  |  | f | 748 |
| Castle Point and Rochford ACC | ER | Low | 8.20 | 76 |  |  | -15 |  |  | f | 1,325 |
| Cathedral Centre - Bradford | YH | Very High | 13.06 | 110 |  | -17 | 5 |  |  | 5 | 335 |
| Challney Community College | ER | High | 8.18 | 98 |  |  | 12 |  |  | f | 238 |
| Chapel Green Community Centre | YH | Low | 11.63 | 86 |  |  | 200 |  |  | f | 210 |
| Chessington Adult Education Centre (LEA Provision) | GL | Low | 9.42 | 92 |  |  | 41 |  |  | f | 242 |
| Chessington Adult Education Services Ltd | GL | Low | 8.35 | 114 |  |  | -21 |  |  | f | 307 |
| Claverham Community College | SE | Low | 10.57 | 186 |  |  | -11 |  |  | f | 241 |
| College of Continuing Education in Walsall | WM | Very High | 14.24 | 118 |  | -48 | 22 |  |  | 33 | 1,410 |
| Cornwall LEA AES | SW | Low | 9.91 | 112 |  | 0 | 2 |  |  | 53 | 6,618 |
| Coventry City Council (Community Education Service) | WM | Very High | 10.36 | 106 |  | 24 | -4 |  |  | 268 | 4,288 |
| Croydon Continuing Education and Training Service | GL | Average | 10.65 | 96 |  | -30 | 15 |  |  | 420 | 5,496 |
| Cumbria Corporate Services Adult Provision | NW | Low | 9.90 | 102 |  |  | 4 |  |  | f | 3,426 |
| Derby City Council (Derby West) | EM | High | 12.60 | 88 |  | 20 | -12 |  |  | 6 | 1,763 |
| Derby City Council (Derby East) | EM | Average | 11.53 | 88 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  | 951 |
| Derbyshire County Council - Northern Derbyshire* | EM | Low | 13.63 | 101 |  |  | 6 |  |  | e | 4,006 |
| Derbyshire County Council - Southern Derbyshire | EM | Low | 12.07 | 119 |  |  | 8 |  |  | e | 3,150 |
| Devon LEA \#2 (Community Resource Centres) | SW | Low | 14.69 | 90 |  |  | -7 |  |  | f | 223 |
| Dorset Adult Education Service | SW | Low | 13.67 | 107 |  |  | -5 |  |  | e | 5,971 |
| Dukeries Community College | EM | Average | 11.47 | 124 |  | 23 | 2 |  |  | 49 | 459 |
| Durham County Council CES (LEAP) | NR | Average | 11.17 | 151 |  |  | 26 |  |  | f | 403 |
| Durham County Council CES (Return to Learn Centre) | NR | Average | 11.17 | 129 |  |  | 22 |  |  | f | 397 |

## Sector and College Type Medians

| Sector | n/a | 16.70 | 100 | 101 | -2 | -1 | -2 | 5 | 1,369 | 4,452 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Specialist | n/a | 16.93 | 100 | 102 | -2 | -5 | -2 | -1 | 404 | 687 |
| GFEC/TC | n/a | 16.48 | 100 | 100 | -2 | -3 | -4 | 5 | 1,744 | 6,529 |
| SFC | n/a | 16.98 | 102 | 103 | 0 | 13 | -1 | 3 | 1,039 | 461 |
| External Institutions | n/a | 10.91 | 106 | - | 7 | 0 | - | - | 21 | 687 |

## Notes

*see notes on page 88
a. subject to minor revision
b. agreed data not available
c. significant underestimate
d. greater than $1000 \%$
e. fewer than five students
f. not applicable
g. achievements not fully recorded
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## Annex C

## 1998-99 Performance Indicators: Individual Institution Results

|  | Supporting data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Supporting data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Institution | FEFC <br> region | Relative deprivation of students | Funded average level of funding | Achievement of funding target |  | Change in student numbers |  |  |  | Student numbers |  |
|  |  | 98-99 | 98-99 | 98-99 | 97-98 | 97-98 to 98-99 |  | 96-97 to 97-98 |  | 98-99 |  |
|  |  | \% | £ | \% | \% | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ N o . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ |
| East Devon Rural ABE | SW | Low | 14.34 | 95 |  |  | 13 |  |  |  | 214 |
| East Essex ACC | ER | Low | 8.95 | 100 |  |  | 16 |  |  |  | 1,151 |
| East London Advanced Technology (ELATT) | GL | Very High | 6.94 | 136 |  | -18 | 31 |  |  | 97 | 51 |
| East Riding of Yorkshire Council CES | YH | Low | 12.36 | 109 |  | 577 | -10 |  |  | 88 | 4,600 |
| East Staffs Adult Education Consortium | WM | Low | 9.75 | 102 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 712 |
| Elfrida Rathbone (Camden) | GL | Average | 16.50 | 130 |  | 6 |  |  |  | 18 | e |
| Elfrida Society | GL | Very High | 16.76 | 117 |  |  | -20 |  |  |  | 36 |
| Epping Forest AES | ER | Low | 10.14 | 90 |  |  | -12 |  |  |  | 146 |
| Essex LEA Central Services | ER | Low | 9.26 | 54 |  |  | -61 |  |  |  | 108 |
| Exmouth Community College | SW | Low | 7.87 | 83 |  | 500 | -7 |  |  | 12 | 1,127 |
| Fairham Community College | EM | Average | 14.34 | 98 |  |  | -5 |  |  |  | 346 |
| Friends Centre for Adult Education | SE | Very High | 11.31 | 116 |  |  | -16 |  |  |  | 205 |
| Greenbank Project | NW | Very High | 7.26 | 102 |  | -43 | 49 |  |  | 8 | 67 |
| Guthlaxton Community College | EM | Low | 7.38 | 60 |  |  | -13 |  |  | f | 157 |
| Hammersmith Community Learning and Leisure | GL | Very High | 14.01 | 109 |  | 120 | -6 |  |  | 11 | 813 |
| Hampstead Garden Suburb Institute | GL | Average | 9.77 | 91 |  | 38 | -16 |  |  | 11 | 732 |
| Hartlepool Adult Education Services | NR | High | 10.05 | 169 |  |  | 42 |  |  |  | 316 |
| Havering College of Adult Education | GL | Average | 10.85 | 113 |  |  | 11 |  |  |  | 2,637 |
| Heeley City Farm | YH | High | 15.06 | 184 |  |  |  |  |  |  | e |
| Henry Cort Community School | SE | Low | 14.57 | 89 |  |  | -5 |  |  |  | 83 |
| Hillingdon Adult Education Service | GL | Low | 12.29 | 103 |  |  | 13 |  |  |  | 1,835 |
| Hind Leys Community College | EM | Low | 11.22 | 111 |  |  | -6 |  |  |  | 320 |
| Hounslow Adult Education | GL | Average | 10.63 | 104 |  | 200 | -7 |  |  | 6 | 3,997 |
| Hull City Council AES | YH | High | 12.14 | 110 |  | -31 | 12 |  |  | 11 | 2,903 |
| HWV Hartcliffe \& Withywood Ventures Ltd. | SW | Very High | 7.48 | 97 |  |  | 89 |  |  | 6 | 430 |
| Ivanhoe College | EM | Low | 12.09 | 114 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 153 |
| Ivybridge Community College | SW | Low | 9.12 | 116 |  |  | -19 |  |  | e | 348 |
| John Spence Community School | NR | Average | 10.40 | 94 |  |  | -2 |  |  |  | 378 |
| Kent AES (Canterbury) | SE | Average | 8.99 | 143 |  |  | -27 |  |  | e | 621 |
| Kent AES (Mid Kent) | SE | Low | 9.22 | 109 |  | -77 | -5 |  |  | 8 | 1,894 |
| Kent AES (North West) | SE | Average | 11.46 | 197 |  | 175 | -9 |  |  | 22 | 1,420 |
| Kent AES (South) | SE | Low | 10.65 | 125 |  |  | -13 |  |  |  | 1,639 |

## Sector and College Type Medians

| Sector | n/a | 16.70 | 100 | 101 | -2 | -1 | -2 | 5 | 1,369 | 4,452 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Specialist | n/a | 16.93 | 100 | 102 | -2 | -5 | -2 | -1 | 404 | 687 |
| GFEC/TC | n/a | 16.48 | 100 | 100 | -2 | -3 | -4 | 5 | 1,744 | 6,529 |
| SFC | n/a | 16.98 | 102 | 103 | 0 | 13 | -1 | 3 | 1,039 | 461 |
| External Institutions | n/a | 10.91 | 106 | - | 7 | 0 | - | - | 21 | 687 |

## Notes

*see notes on page 88
a. subject to minor revision
b. agreed data not available
c. significant underestimate
d. greater than $1000 \%$
e. fewer than five students
f. not applicable
g. achievements not fully recorded


| 87 | 84 | 77 | 70 | 78 | 76 | 131 | 272 | 198 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 89 | 85 | 80 | 70 | 83 | 79 | 78 | 96 | 56 |  |
| 86 | 85 | 75 | 67 | 74 | 73 | 180 | 275 | 348 |  |
| 92 | 79 | 84 | 86 | 89 | 86 | 51 | 348 | 2 |  |
| 97 | 78 | 78 | 80 | 86 | 82 | - | - | - |  |

## Annex $C$

1998-99 Performance Indicators: Individual Institution Results

|  | Supporting data |  |  |  |  | PI 2 Supporting data |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Institution | FEFC Relative Funded <br> region deprivation average <br>  of level of <br>  students funding |  |  | Achievement of funding target |  | Change in student numbers |  |  |  | Student numbers |
|  |  | 98-99 | 98-99 | 98-99 | 97-98 | 97-98 to 98-99 |  | 96-97 to 97-98 |  | 98-99 |
|  |  | \% | £ | \% | \% | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PT } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} F T & P T \\ N o . & N o . \end{array}$ |
| Kent AES (Thanet) | SE | Average | 7.61 | 190 |  |  | -3 |  |  | f 623 |
| Kent AES (West) | SE | Low | 10.09 | 131 |  |  | -19 |  |  | f 1,342 |
| King Edward VII Community College | EM | Low | 11.04 | 86 |  |  | 22 |  |  | e 99 |
| Kingston Community Adult Education and Training | GL | Low | 9.95 | 111 |  |  | 14 |  |  | e 1,272 |
| Kirkby Centre Adult Education | EM | Average | 10.78 | 104 |  |  | 13 |  |  | f 238 |
| Knowsley Community Education Service | NW | High | 11.01 | 148 |  | -20 | 4 |  |  | $16 \quad 1,448$ |
| Lambeth Community Education- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grants to Voluntary Organisations* | GL | Very High | 3.69 | 159 |  |  | -55 |  |  | f 125 |
| Lambeth Community Education - Statutory Provisions* | GL | Very High | 11.16 | 98 |  | 5 | -12 |  |  | 623,054 |
| Lea Manor Community College | ER | Average | 12.84 | 123 |  |  | 14 |  |  | f 1,019 |
| Leicester Adult Education College* | EM | Average | 11.02 | 100 |  | 150 | 11 |  |  | $10 \quad 4,078$ |
| Leicester City Consortium* | EM | High | 12.37 | 125 |  | -22 | 3 |  |  | $18 \quad 1,614$ |
| Leicestershire South Consortium* | EM | Low | 9.79 | 106 |  |  | -6 |  |  | e 1,069 |
| Lewisham Community Education | GL | Very High | 14.16 | 118 |  |  | 0 |  |  | e 3,851 |
| Lincolnshire AE - Skegness | EM | Average | 11.09 | 82 |  |  | -44 |  |  | f 207 |
| Liverpool LEA - Adult Education Service | NW | Very High | 9.37 | 83 |  | -91 | 15 |  |  | $14 \quad 4,378$ |
| London Electronics College | GL | Very High | 7.14 | 75 |  | -14 | 69 |  |  | $124 \quad 83$ |
| Longbenton Community College | NR | Average | 12.26 | 120 |  |  | 7 |  |  | e 458 |
| Longslade Community College | EM | Low | 10.26 | 113 |  |  | -13 |  |  | f 375 |
| Manchester Adult Education Service | NW | Very High | 15.20 | 101 |  | -4 | 3 |  |  | $331 \quad 7,890$ |
| Manor Training and Resource Centre Ltd | YH | Very High | 14.45 | 121 |  | 75 | -27 |  |  | $21 \quad 317$ |
| Medway Council | SE | Average | 9.22 | 119 |  | -65 | -10 |  |  | $16 \quad 2,383$ |
| Merton Adult College | GL | Average | 10.32 | 102 |  |  | -11 |  |  | f 1,205 |
| Mid Essex Adult Community College | ER | Low | 9.54 | 102 |  |  | -11 |  |  | e 2,063 |
| Mid-Bedfordshire Adult Education Consortium* | ER | Low | 10.28 | 110 |  |  | -3 |  |  | f 1,523 |
| Middlesbrough Adult Education Service | NR | High | 9.12 | 98 |  |  | -36 |  |  | f 892 |
| Milton Keynes Continuing Education | SE | Low | 10.44 | 70 |  |  | 4 |  |  | f 1,634 |
| Moorlands Adult Education Consortium | WM | Low | 9.23 | 116 |  | -19 | -5 |  |  | $21 \quad 661$ |
| Myrrh Ltd | GL | Very High | 13.89 | 94 |  | -10 | -10 |  |  | $291 \quad 230$ |
| Nene Valley Adult Community College | EM | Low | 13.30 | 121 |  |  | -14 |  |  | f 712 |
| Newcastle Adult Education | NR | High | 10.36 | 134 |  | 178 | 4 |  |  | 225 8,808 |
| Newcastle-under-Lyme Adult Education Consortium | WM | Low | 10.11 | 114 |  |  | 12 |  |  | f 491 |

## Sector and College Type Medians

| Sector | n/a | 16.70 | 100 | 101 | -2 | -1 | -2 | 5 | 1,369 | 4,452 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Specialist | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 16.93 | 100 | 102 | -2 | -5 | -2 | -1 | 404 | 687 |
| GFEC/TC | n/a | 16.48 | 100 | 100 | -2 | -3 | -4 | 5 | 1,744 | 6,529 |
| SFC | n/a | 16.98 | 102 | 103 | 0 | 13 | -1 | 3 | 1,039 | 461 |
| External Institutions | n/a | 10.91 | 106 | - | 7 | 0 | - | - | 21 | 687 |

## Notes

*see notes on page 88
a. subject to minor revision
b. agreed data not available
c. significant underestimate
d. greater than $1000 \%$
e. fewer than five students
f. not applicable
g. achievements not fully recorded

| PI 3 |  |  |  | PI 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PI 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In-year retention rate |  |  |  | Qualification aims achieved |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Students aged |  |  | Students aged |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | short | med | long | total | hort | med | ong | total | 16-18 | 6-20 | 21+ | 16-18 | 16-20 | + |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ieving |  |  | hieving |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | NVQ2 | NVQ3 | NVQ3 | NVQ2 | NVQ3 | NVQ3 |
| 98-99 |  | 97-98 |  | 98-99 |  |  |  | 97-98 |  |  | \% | 98-99 |  |  | 97-98 |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} F T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\%}{P T}$ | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |  | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. |
| f | 100 |  |  | 90a | 87a | 95a | 88a |  |  |  |  | e |  |  |  |  |  |
| f | 100 |  |  | 76a | 74a | 60a | 74a |  |  |  |  |  | e | 11 |  |  |  |
| 100 | 95 |  |  | 93 | 100 | 100 | 93 |  |  |  |  | e |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 | 67 |  |  | 39 | 52 | 74 | 49 |  |  |  |  | e |  | 39 |  |  |  |
| f | 61 |  |  | 86 | 82 | f | 82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | 74 |  |  | 77 | 54 | 79 | 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 62 | 83 |  |  | b | b | b | b |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 82 | 53 |  |  | 74 | 65 | 69 | 69 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 62 |  |  |  |
| f | 66 |  |  | 88 | g | f | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28 |  |  |  |
| 100 | 84 |  |  | 67 | 51 | 45 | 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 68 |  |  |  |
| 72 | 68 |  |  | 94 | 84 | 78 | 86 |  |  |  |  | e | e | 23 |  |  |  |
| 100 | 73 |  |  | 83 | 76 | 83 | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |
| 100 | 92 |  |  | b | b | b | b |  |  |  |  |  | e | 39 |  |  |  |
| f | 81 |  |  | 87 | 85 | f | 86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | 71 |  |  | b | b | 94 | b |  |  |  |  | e |  |  |  |  |  |
| 59 | 40 |  |  | f | f | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  | e | 26 |  |  |  |
| 100 | 65 |  |  | 97 | 93 | 67 | 95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| f | 76 |  |  | 91 | 61 | 100 | 86 |  |  |  |  | e |  |  |  |  |  |
| 91 | 76 |  |  | 75 | 76 | 89 | 76 |  |  |  |  | e | e | 79 |  |  |  |
| 100 | 78 |  |  | 97 | 82 | f | 87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 69 | 70 |  |  | 43 | 46 | 66 | 45 |  |  |  |  | e | e | 2 |  |  |  |
| f | 64 |  |  | b | b | 74 | b |  |  |  |  | e |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | 78 |  |  | 77 | 38 | 65 | 59 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |  |  |  |
| f | 76 |  |  | 67 | 55 | 81 | 62 |  |  |  |  | e |  |  |  |  |  |
| f | 80 |  |  | 95 | 95 | f | 95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| f | 78 |  |  | 89 | 95 | 86 | 90 |  |  |  |  | e |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | 76 |  |  | g | g | g | g |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 64 | 74 |  |  | f | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |  |  |  |
| f | 76 |  |  | 78 | 74 | 41 | 74 |  |  |  |  |  |  | e |  |  |  |
| 86 | 75 |  |  | 79 | 92 | g | 85 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 63 |  |  |  |
| f | 85 |  |  | g | g | 1 | g |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## Annex C

## 1998-99 Performance Indicators: Individual Institution Results

|  | Supporting data |  |  | PI 1 |  | PI 2 |  |  |  | Supporting data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Institution | FEFC <br> region | Relative deprivation of students | Funded average level of funding | Achievement of funding target |  | Change in student numbers |  |  |  | Student numbers |  |
|  |  | 98-99 | 98-99 | 98-99 | 97-98 | 97-98 to 98-99 |  | 96-97 to 97-98 |  | 98-99 |  |
|  |  |  | £ |  | \% |  | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & P T \\ & \text { Po. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Newham Community Education Service | GL | Very High | 10.35 | 169 |  |  | 33 |  |  | e | 1,681 |
| Newham Women's Training and Education Centre | GL | Very High | 21.29 | 99 |  | 79 | -71 |  |  | 61 | 75 |
| Norfolk AES (NCAT) | ER | Low | 11.18 | 90 |  | 300 | -3 |  |  | 8 | 8,265 |
| North and West Essex Adult Community College | ER | Low | 7.90 | 82 |  |  | -16 |  |  | e | 1,456 |
| North Bedfordshire Adult Education Consortium | ER | Low | 9.59 | 92 |  |  | 24 |  |  | f | 1,302 |
| North East Lincolnshire County Council (Franklin) | YH | Average | 11.58 | 106 |  |  | -7 |  |  | e | 1,553 |
| North Essex Adult Community Education | ER | Low | 9.84 | 97 |  |  | -1 |  |  | e | 982 |
| North Lincolnshire Council AES | YH | Low | 11.84 | 97 |  | 75 | -7 |  |  | 21 | 1,569 |
| North Somerset Community Education | SW | Low | 6.95 | 116 |  |  | -2 |  |  | f | 1,127 |
| North Tyneside Adult Basic Education | NR | Average | 12.20 | 115 |  | -10 | -2 |  |  | 19 | 990 |
| North Yorkshire County Council* | YH | Low | 9.22 | 120 |  |  | 4 |  |  | e | 3,998 |
| Northamptonshire LEA: Lifelong Learning Services | EM | Low | 14.28 | 114 |  |  | -13 |  |  | f | 658 |
| Northgate and Prudhoe Hospitals Adult Education Units | NR | Low | 16.48 | 97 |  |  | 0 |  |  | e | 117 |
| Northumberland Community Education Service | NR | Low | 11.57 | 112 |  | 80 | 13 |  |  | 9 | 5,927 |
| Oldham Youth \& Community Education Service | NW | High | 9.32 | 99 |  | 150 | -8 |  |  | 5 | 2,661 |
| One Love | GL | Very High | 14.70 | 94 |  | 233 | d |  |  | 40 | 107 |
| Open Door Adult Learning Centre | YH | Low | 15.23 | 111 |  |  | 21 |  |  | e | 235 |
| Oxford Adult Education | SE | Low | 12.30 | 82 |  | 0 | -3 |  |  | 7 | 5,490 |
| Peterborough College Adult Special Needs* | ER | Average | 11.09 | 147 |  | 17 | 27 |  |  | 12 | 246 |
| Peterborough College of AE | ER | Average | 9.76 | 119 |  |  | -8 |  |  | f | 878 |
| Pier Training Shop | GL | Very High | 10.91 | 117 |  | 250 | 5 |  |  | 7 | 219 |
| Poole Adult Education | SW | Low | 12.65 | 103 |  |  | -12 |  |  | f | 1,780 |
| Portchester Community Education | SE | Low | 14.98 | b |  | b | b |  |  | b | b |
| Portslade Community College | SE | Low | 11.77 | 157 |  |  | -25 |  |  | f | 612 |
| Pre-school Learning Alliance - EM | EM | Low | 8.30 | 59 |  |  | -61 |  |  | f | 122 |
| Pre-school Learning Alliance - ER | ER | Low | 8.42 | 93 |  |  | -44 |  |  | f | 465 |
| Pre-school Learning Alliance - GL | GL | High | 7.25 | 57 |  |  | -26 |  |  | f | 31 |
| Pre-school Learning Alliance - NR | NR | Low | 9.24 | 48 |  |  | 58 |  |  | f | 19 |
| Pre-school Learning Alliance - NW | NW | Average | 8.42 | 58 |  |  | -47 |  |  | f | 116 |
| Pre-school Learning Alliance - SE | SE | Low | 9.11 | 71 |  |  | -29 |  |  | f | 1,330 |
| Pre-school Learning Alliance - SW | SW | Low | 9.18 | 56 |  |  | -19 |  |  | f | 96 |
| Pre-school Learning Alliance - WM | WM | Low | 9.71 | 35 |  |  |  |  |  | f | f |

## Sector and College Type Medians

| Sector | n/a | 16.70 | 100 | 101 | -2 | -1 | -2 | 5 | 1,369 | 4,452 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Specialist | n/a | 16.93 | 100 | 102 | -2 | -5 | -2 | -1 | 404 | 687 |
| GFEC/TC | n/a | 16.48 | 100 | 100 | -2 | -3 | -4 | 5 | 1,744 | 6,529 |
| SFC | n/a | 16.98 | 102 | 103 | 0 | 13 | -1 | 3 | 1,039 | 461 |
| External Institutions | n/a | 10.91 | 106 | - | 7 | 0 | - | - | 21 | 687 |

## Notes

*see notes on page 88
a. subject to minor revision
b. agreed data not available
c. significant underestimate
d. greater than $1000 \%$
e. fewer than five students
f. not applicable
g. achievements not fully recorded
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## Annex C

## 1998-99 Performance Indicators: Individual Institution Results

|  | Supporting data |  |  |  |  | PI 2 |  |  |  | Supporting data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Institution | FEFC <br> region | Relative deprivation of students | Funded average level of funding | Achievement of funding target |  | Change in student numbers |  |  |  | Student numbers |  |
|  |  | 98-99 | 98-99 | 98-99 | 97-98 | 97-98 to 98-99 |  | 96-97 to 97-98 |  | 98-99 |  |
|  |  | \% | £ | \% | \% | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ N o . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ N o . \end{gathered}$ |
| Pre-school Learning Alliance - YH | YH | Low | 9.03 | 70 |  |  | -8 |  |  |  | 107 |
| Putteridge Community College | ER | Low | 11.22 | 143 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  | 194 |
| Rawlins Community College | EM | Low | 11.14 | 150 |  |  | 20 |  |  |  | 466 |
| Reading Adult College | SE | Average | 9.47 | 104 |  |  | -11 |  |  |  | 1,186 |
| Redbridge Institute of Adult Education | GL | Average | 10.38 | 101 |  | -28 | 0 |  |  | 21 | 2,358 |
| Redcar and Cleveland LEA | NR | Average | 9.66 | 106 |  |  | 18 |  |  |  | 1,010 |
| Robert Pattinson GM School (Lincs) | EM | Low | 12.00 | 108 |  |  | -20 |  |  |  | 431 |
| Rochdale Learning Network* | NW | High | 16.74 | 112 |  | 158 | 24 |  |  | 31 | 2,423 |
| Roundhill Community College | EM | Low | 10.41 | 103 |  |  | -4 |  |  |  | 482 |
| Sandwell Adult Education Service | WM | Very High | 15.43 | 103 |  |  | 18 |  |  | e | 803 |
| Sebert Rd Training Centre (NCRP Ltd) | GL | Very High | 16.04 | 101 |  | 51 | -16 |  |  | 77 | 93 |
| Shalom Employment Action Centre | GL | Very High | 19.15 | 122 |  | -38 | 18 |  |  | 24 | 200 |
| Sharnbrook Community College | ER | Low | 7.66 | 186 |  |  | -17 |  |  |  | 311 |
| Sheffield Environmental Training | YH | Very High | 15.06 | 109 |  | 138 | -31 |  |  | 31 | 20 |
| Sheffield Leisure Services | YH | High | 4.79 | 113 |  |  | 12 |  |  |  | 287 |
| Somerset Community Education* | SW | Low | 9.64 | 123 |  |  | -10 |  |  |  | 4,822 |
| South Bedfordshire Adult Education Service | ER | Low | 10.05 | 156 |  |  | 28 |  |  |  | 1,112 |
| South Devon Community Education | SW | Low | 10.42 | 128 |  |  | -71 |  |  |  | 21 |
| South Gloucestershire Council Community Education | SW | Low | 9.54 | 103 |  |  | 14 |  |  |  | 1,182 |
| South Luton Community College | ER | High | 10.08 | 124 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 420 |
| South Northants Adult Education | EM | Low | 12.08 | 110 |  |  | 17 |  |  |  | 969 |
| South Tyneside LEA | NR | Very High | 10.69 | 108 |  | -26 | 18 |  |  | 40 | 2,036 |
| Southend Adult Community College | ER | Average | 8.90 | 105 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2,173 |
| Southey Training \& Resource Centre Ltd. | YH | Very High | 13.75 | 86 |  |  | -2 |  |  |  | 120 |
| Southwark Adult Education \& Vocational Training Service | GL | Very High | 10.46 | b |  | b | b |  |  | b | b |
| St Georges GM School (Lincs) | EM | Low | 12.71 | 99 |  |  | -1 |  |  |  | 496 |
| Stockport Adult Education Service | NW | Average | 11.75 | 98 |  | -34 | 8 |  |  | 27 | 1,501 |
| Stockton Adult Education Service | NR | Average | 8.96 | 122 |  |  | -4 |  |  |  | 1,623 |
| Stopsley Community College | ER | Average | 8.92 | 131 |  |  | 204 |  |  |  | 289 |
| Suffolk CC Education Dept* | ER | Low | 9.47 | 86 |  |  | -17 |  |  |  | 909 |
| Sutton Centre | EM | Average | 10.37 | 125 |  | -41 | 2 |  |  | 13 | 984 |
| Sutton College of Liberal Arts | GL | Low | 10.26 | 101 |  |  | -2 |  |  |  | 3,466 |

## Sector and College Type Medians

| Sector | n/a | 16.70 | 100 | 101 | -2 | -1 | -2 | 5 | 1,369 | 4,452 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Specialist | n/a | 16.93 | 100 | 102 | -2 | -5 | -2 | -1 | 404 | 687 |
| GFEC/TC | n/a | 16.48 | 100 | 100 | -2 | -3 | -4 | 5 | 1,744 | 6,529 |
| SFC | n/a | 16.98 | 102 | 103 | 0 | 13 | -1 | 3 | 1,039 | 461 |
| External Institutions | n/a | 10.91 | 106 | - | 7 | 0 | - | - | 21 | 687 |

## Notes

*see notes on page 88
a. subject to minor revision
b. agreed data not available
c. significant underestimate
d. greater than $1000 \%$
e. fewer than five students
f. not applicable
g. achievements not fully recorded



## Annex C

## 1998-99 Performance Indicators: Individual Institution Results

|  | Supporting data |  |  | PI 1 |  | PI 2 |  |  |  | Supporting data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Institution | FEFC <br> region | Relative deprivation of students | Funded average level of funding | Achievement of funding target |  | Change in student numbers |  |  |  | Student numbers |  |
|  |  | 98-99 | 98-99 | 98-99 | 97-98 | 97-98 to 98-99 |  | 96-97 to 97-98 |  | 98-99 |  |
|  |  | \% | £ | \% | \% | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FT } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ N o . \end{gathered}$ |
| Swarthmore Education Centre | YH | Very High | 13.36 | 102 |  |  | 2 |  |  | f | 634 |
| Tameside Consortium | NW | Low | 16.07 | 100 |  | -7 | 5 |  |  | 103 | 198 |
| Tamworth Adult Education Consortium* | WM | Low | 10.89 | 80 |  |  | -31 |  |  | e | 203 |
| Tendring Adult Community College | ER | Average | 7.41 | 99 |  |  | 17 |  |  | f | 1,880 |
| The Beauchamp College | EM | Low | 9.28 | 140 |  |  | 27 |  |  | e | 472 |
| The Hartley Centre (Church Army) | GL | Very High | 15.68 | 108 |  |  | -13 |  |  | f | 128 |
| The Word Place | YH | Very High | 12.51 | 34 |  |  | -47 |  |  | f | 31 |
| Thurrock Adult Community College | ER | Average | 8.30 | 92 |  |  | 4 |  |  | f | 1,277 |
| Tower Hamlets Community Education | GL | Very High | 12.09 | 152 |  | 75 | -9 |  |  | 21 | 631 |
| Tritec | YH | Average | 11.94 | 47 |  | -25 | 36 |  |  | 6 | 855 |
| Uckfield Community College | SE | Low | 10.84 | 187 |  |  | 1 |  |  | f | 311 |
| Uplands AE | EM | Low | 14.56 | 87 |  |  | -10 |  |  | f | 381 |
| Uppingham Community College* | EM | Low | 11.03 | 101 |  |  | 14 |  |  | f | 292 |
| Urban Learning Foundation | GL | Very High | 13.95 | 98 |  |  | 12 |  |  | f | 181 |
| Urchfont Manor College | SW | Low | 17.32 | 146 |  |  | 5 |  |  | f | 46 |
| Vale of Catmose College | EM | Low | 10.36 | 82 |  |  | 7 |  |  | f | 398 |
| Various Locations Community Education | NR | Average | 12.43 | 129 |  |  | -20 |  |  | f | 725 |
| Wakefield Community Based Centres | YH | Average | 13.46 | 111 |  | -56 | -15 |  |  | 20 | 3,933 |
| Walsall Linkway Adult Education | WM | High | 12.07 | 108 |  | 47 | -8 |  |  | 22 | 2,893 |
| Waltham Forest AES | GL | Very High | 9.79 | 97a |  | 500 | 0 |  |  | 12 | 4,070 |
| Welland Park Community College | EM | Low | 9.75 | 110 |  |  | 6 |  |  | f | 580 |
| West Sussex Adult Education Consortium | SE | Low | 8.89 | 121 |  | 233 | -6 |  |  | 10 | 4,506 |
| Western Wards Adult Education Centre | SE | Low | 14.87 | 112 |  |  | 18 |  |  | f | 411 |
| Westminster Adult Education Service | GL | Very High | 11.95 | 121 |  | 33 | 1 |  |  | 157 | 7,365 |
| Wolverhampton Adult Education Service | WM | High | 9.99 | 101 |  | -13 | 4 |  |  | 13 | 2,736 |
| Women's Technology Training Ltd | NW | Very High | 18.50 | 104 |  | -4 | -10 |  |  | 81 | 656 |
| Wreake Valley Community College | EM | Low | 11.76 | 107 |  |  | -4 |  |  | f | 355 |
| XL Training Services | YH | Low | 7.54 | b |  | b | b |  |  | b | b |

## Sector and College Type Medians

| Sector | n/a | 16.70 | 100 | 101 | -2 | -1 | -2 | 5 | 1,369 | 4,452 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Specialist | n/a | 16.93 | 100 | 102 | -2 | -5 | -2 | -1 | 404 | 687 |
| GFEC/TC | n/a | 16.48 | 100 | 100 | -2 | -3 | -4 | 5 | 1,744 | 6,529 |
| SFC | n/a | 16.98 | 102 | 103 | 0 | 13 | -1 | 3 | 1,039 | 461 |
| External Institutions | n/a | 10.91 | 106 | - | 7 | 0 | - | - | 21 | 687 |

## Notes

*see notes on page 88
a. subject to minor revision
b. agreed data not available
c. significant underestimate
d. greater than $1000 \%$
e. fewer than five students
f. not applicable
g. achievements not fully recorded

86 - Performance Indicators 1998-99

| PI 3 |  |  |  | PI 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PI 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In-year retention rate |  |  |  | Qualification aims achieved |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Students aged |  |  | Students aged |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | short | med | long | total | ort | ned | ong | total | 16-18 | $16-20$ hieving | 21+ |  | 16-20 <br> hieving |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | NVQ2 | NV03 | NVQ3 | NVQ2 | NVQ3 | NVQ3 |
| 98-99 |  | 97-98 |  | 98-99 |  |  |  | 97-98 |  |  | \% | 98-99 |  |  | 97-98 |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} F T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P T \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |  | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. |
| f | 66 |  |  | 64 | 52 | f | 57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 85 | 94 |  |  | 48 | 78 | 81 | 73 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| 100 | 88 |  |  | f | 45 | 38 | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 90 |  |  | 94a | 71a | g | 84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | 86 |  |  | g | f | f | g |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 76 |  |  | 59 | 65 | 88 | 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 48 |  |  | f | 100 | f | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 85 |  |  | 76 | b | 67 | b |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |  |  |  |
| 43 | b |  |  | 78 | 62 | 100 | 68 |  |  |  |  |  | e | 2 |  |  |  |
| 100 | b |  |  | 90 | 75 | 59 | 84 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |
|  | 83 |  |  | 86 | 90 | 100 | 88 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 2 |  |  |  |
| f | 78 |  |  | 76 | 77 | g | 77 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 75 |  |  | 42 | 44 | f | 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 89 |  |  | 100 | 92 | 69 | 84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| f | 93 |  |  | f | f | 82 | 82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| f | 80 |  |  | 70 | 48 | 58 | 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 76 |  |  | 78 | 39 | f | 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 95 | 86 |  |  | 82 | 85 | 86 | 84 |  |  |  |  | e |  | 8 |  |  |  |
| 93 | 79 |  |  | 80 | 77 | 71 | 78 |  |  |  |  | e |  | 27 |  |  |  |
| 100 | 83 |  |  | g | g | g | g |  |  |  |  |  | e | 85 |  |  |  |
| f | 81 |  |  | b | 74 | f | b |  |  |  |  |  |  | e |  |  |  |
| 100 | 81 |  |  | 65 | 74 | 86 | 69 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42 |  |  |  |
| f | 81 |  |  | 100 | 98 | 100 | 99 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 97 | 90 |  |  | 84 | 89 | 93 | 89 |  |  |  |  |  | e | 63 |  |  |  |
| 85 | 74 |  |  | g | g | g | g |  |  |  |  |  | e | 53 |  |  |  |
| 78 | 65 |  |  | 100 | 99 | 86 | 99 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |  |  |  |
| f | 86 |  |  | 76 | 75 | 88 | 77 |  |  |  |  | e | e | 18 |  |  |  |
| b | b |  |  | b | b | b | b |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## Notes to Tables - Mergers and Transfers

1 Performance indicators are shown separately for the following colleges and external institutions which merged during the 1998-99 teaching year:

Durham College of Agriculture and Horticulture and East Durham Community College merged to form East Durham and Houghall College

Greenhill College and Weald College merged to form Harrow College

York Sixth Form College and York College of Further and Higher Education merged to form York College

2 Performance indicators for the following colleges and external institutions which merged during the 1998-99 teaching year have been calculated for 1997-98 and 1998-99 from combined data:

Cambridgeshire College of Agriculture and Horticulture and Norfolk College of Arts and Technology, merged to form College of West Anglia

Sunderland LEA Adult Education Service merged with City of Sunderland College

East Birmingham College and Handsworth College merged to form City College, Birmingham

Heathfield School and Community
College merged with Lewes Tertiary
College
North Bolton Sixth Form College and South College, Bolton merged to form
Bolton Sixth Form College
Lutterworth Grammar School
Community College merged with North
Warwickshire and Hinckley College

Airedale and Wharfedale College merged with Park Lane College

Hyde-Clarendon College merged with Tameside College

Greenwich Community College merged with Woolwich College

Babington Community College, Hamilton Community College, Linwood and Highfields Youth and Community Centre, John Ellis Community College, Mary Linwood Adult Education Centre, Sir Jonathan North Community College and Soar Valley College merged to form Leicester City Consortium

Brockington Community College, Countesthorpe Community College, Thomas Estley Community College and Winstanley Community College merged to form Leicestershire South Consortium

Derbyshire County Council Community Education - Derby North East and Derbyshire Community Education North West Derbyshire merged to form Derbyshire County Council - Northern Derbyshire

Eggbuckland Community College,
Lipson Community College, North West
Plymouth AEC, Plym Adult Education
Centre, Stoke Damerel Community
College and Swarthmore Adult
Education Centre merged with Cornwall College

Leicester Adult Education College, Mundella Community College and Moat
Community College merged to form Leicester Adult Education College

Mendip Consortium, Sedgemoor
Community Education, South Somerset Community Education and Taunton and West Somerset Community Education merged to form Somerset Community Education

Peterborough College of AE
(Cambridgeshire Community Education)
split into two institutions, with $62 \%$ of provision forming Cambridgeshire Community Education - Adult Special Educational Needs and $38 \%$ of provision forming Peterborough College of Adult Special Needs

Redborne Community College, Samuel Whitbread Community College and Sandy and Biggleswade Community Colleges merged to form The MidBedfordshire Adult Education Consortium

Rochdale AE (Community Schools) and Rochdale AE (Training Centres) merged to form Rochdale Learning Network

Uppingham Community College (Rutland) and Uppingham Community College (Melton Mowbray) merged to form Uppingham Community College

3 Performance indicators are shown separately for the following colleges and external institutions which merged on or after 1 August 1999:

Hendon College merged with Barnet College

Bilston Community College and Wulfrun College merged to form Wolverhampton College

Melton Mowbray College merged with Brooksby College

Charles Keene College of Further Education and Leicester Southfields College merged to form Leicester College

College of Care and Early Education merged with City of Bristol College

Kingsway College and Westminster College merged to form Westminster Kingsway College

Phoenix College merged with Merton College

Northumberland College and Kirkley Hall College merged to form Northumberland College

Pershore and Hindlip College and Holme Lacey College merged to form The Pershore Group of Colleges.

The Rutland College merged with Tresham Institute

Tamworth Adult Education Consortium merged with Tamworth and Lichfield College

Lambeth Community Education (Statutory Provisions) and Lambeth Community Education (Grants to Voluntary Organisations) merged with Lambeth College

4 Performance indicators for the following external institutions which merged on or after 1 August 1999 have been calculated for 1998-99 from combined data:

Suffolk CC Education Dept (SC), Suffolk CC Education Dept (LC) and Suffolk CC Education Dept (WS) merged to form Suffolk CC Education Dept

North Yorkshire County Council (Stokesley), North Yorkshire County Council (Catterick), North Yorkshire County Council (Bedale), North Yorkshire County Council (Malton) and North Yorkshire County Council (Thirsk) merged to form North Yorkshire County Council

5 Performance indicators for Basford Hall College and Clarendon College (merged 1 August 1998) have been shown under New College, Nottingham. Performance indicators for High Pavement Sixth Form College and Arnold and Carlton College are shown separately having merged with New College, Nottingham on 1 April 1999 and on 1 September 1999 respectively.

## Definitions and Sources

1 This annex sets out, for each of the five performance indicators and supporting information, a detailed description, list of sources and method of calculation for 1997-98 and 1998-99. The 1996-97 performance indicators described in this publication have been calculated by the same method using similar sources. All the performance indicators except PI 5 (contribution to the national targets) relate to provision at the institution which is funded or partly funded by the Council. PI 5 relates to all provision at the institution. Council-funded provision includes part-funded youth training and European Social Fund provision.

## PI 1: Achievement of Funding Target

## Description

The number of Council-funded units of activity, that is, funding units, earned by an institution in the teaching year, as a percentage of its target funding units for the year, including New Deal funding and basic skills summer schools (BSSS), where separate funding agreements were agreed.

## Sources

Funding units generated in the year:
the institution's final funding unit claim for the year. In most cases this information has been verified by the institution's external auditors.

Target funding units:
the target funding units for the year as set out in the funding agreement between the institution and the Council.

## Calculation

$\frac{\text { funding units generated }}{\text { target funding units }} \times 100$

## PI 2: Change in Student Numbers

## Description

2 The percentage change in student numbers between the current and previous year. Student numbers for 1998-99 are also shown to provide a context for this and other indicators.

3 Student numbers are divided between two modes of attendance full-time, which relates to full-time full-year students, and part-time, which includes full-time part-year and part-time students.

## Sources

1998-99, 1997-98 and 1996-97 student numbers:

December 1999, December 1998 and December 1997 individualised student record (ISR) returns respectively.

## Calculation

4 Full-time full-year, full-time part-year and part-time student numbers are defined according to the number of guided learning hours the student is studying in the teaching year for all their qualifications. A student is considered to be full-time full-year for statistical purposes if they are studying 450 or more guided learning hours (glh) a year. Those students who are not full-time fullyear who are enrolled on programmes of at least 150 glh per tri-annual period (term), or more than 16 glh per week for shorter courses lasting only one period are defined as full-time part-year. All other students are defined as part-time.

5 Students are classified as Councilfunded if they are enrolled on Council-funded provision for all of their qualifications or if they are enrolled on a mixture of Councilfunded and non-Council funded qualifications, and the Council-funded qualifications have glh greater than or equal to the glh of the non-Council-funded qualifications. All other students are classified as non-Council-funded. All complementary studies qualifications are assumed to be Council-funded.

## PI 3: In-year Retention Rates

## Description

6 The percentage of those students who, having enrolled on a learning programme of at least 12 weeks' duration, continue to attend at the end of the qualification or the end of the teaching year (31 July), whichever is sooner. Separate information is shown for full-time full-year and part-time (including full-time part-year) students.
$7 \quad$ Where a student transfers from one qualification to another within the institution during the teaching year, the qualification the student has transferred from is ignored for the purpose of calculating the student's retention status.

## Source

1998-99: December 1999 ISR
1997-98: December 1998 ISR

## Calculation

8 Full-time full-year, full-time part-year and part-time student numbers are defined according to the number of guided learning hours the student is studying in the teaching year. A student is considered to be full-time full-year for statistical purposes if they are studying 450 or more guided learning hours (glh) a year. Those students who are not full-
time full-year who are enrolled on programmes of at least 150 glh per tri-annual period (term), or more than 16 glh per week for shorter courses lasting only one period are defined as full-time part-year. All other students are defined as part-time.

9 In-year retention rates are calculated for students on programmes of at least 12 weeks' duration. Students studying more than one qualification are included where at least one qualification is of 12 weeks or more duration. Students studying a number of consecutive short courses which are each of length less than 12 weeks' duration are excluded from the calculation. From 1997-98, qualifications which the student has transferred out of are excluded from the calculation of the student's retention status.

10 The retention status of each qualification is calculated from the completion status field in the ISR. Where a student is studying one qualification aim, their in-year retention rate status is determined by the continuation status of the qualification. If the student is continuing or completed the student is retained.

11 Where a student is studying more than one qualification, their in-year retention rate is determined by the continuation status of all their qualifications. Where the student withdrew from all their qualifications then the student is not retained. Similarly, if the student was continuing or has completed all their qualifications then they are counted as retained. Where the student withdrew from some of their qualifications, but is continuing at least one qualification of more than one week's duration, the student is counted as retained. If the student has completed one or more qualifications of more than one week's duration, but withdrew from their other qualifications then the following calculation is carried out. The student is counted as retained if the latest withdrawal date falls on or before the latest completion
date or no more than two months after the latest completion date.

12 At institution level, PI 3:
full-time in-year retention rate $=$ total retained full-time students on programmes of at least 12 weeks
total full-time students on programmes of at least 12 weeks part-time in-year retention rate $=$ total retained part-time students on programmes of at least 12 weeks
total part-time students on programmes of at least 12 weeks

## Explanation

13 Qualifications of up to one week's duration are excluded from the calculation so that retention rates are not distorted in cases where a student withdraws from all of their qualifications except those which are very short.

14 Two examples are shown below to demonstrate how a student's retention is calculated when they withdraw from some qualifications, but complete others.

Example 1: a student is enrolled on four qualifications each of more than 12 weeks in length, of which three are GCE A levels. The student completes their three A levels in June, and then withdraws from their fourth qualification before the end of the teaching year. Comparing the latest withdrawal date with the latest completion date shows that less than two months has elapsed, so the student is counted as retained

Example 2: a student is enrolled on three qualifications each of more than 12 weeks in length, and one
short qualification of just over one week's duration. The student completes the short qualification in December, and then withdraws from all their remaining qualifications in March. Comparing the latest withdrawal date with the latest completion date shows that more than two months has elapsed, so the student is counted as withdrawn.

## PI 4 Achievement Rates

## Description

The total number of qualification aims achieved, expressed as a percentage of the total number of qualification aims for which students have completed the learning programmes. Where a student has achieved at least half the credits or modules towards a qualification aim, this is counted as a half achievement in calculating the total number of qualification aims achieved. Results are shown by three categories determined by the total glh of the qualification: 9-59 glh, 60-119 glh, and 120 or more glh.

## Source

1998-99: December 1999 ISR
1997-98: December 1998 ISR

## Calculation

16 PI 4 is calculated at the qualification aim level, in contrast to PI 2 and PI 3 which are calculated at the student level. For each completed qualification, the ISR is analysed to calculate whether the qualification was fully achieved or partially achieved. Partially achieved qualifications are counted as half an achieved qualification. In some cases qualifications can be fully achieved even though achievement funding is not available under the Council's funding methodology. These cases are included.

17 The coverage of PI 4 is as follows:
a. Qualifications which are complementary studies are excluded;
b. Qualifications which were completed before the expected end date are included, while those which extend beyond the expected end date and are not yet completed are excluded;
c. Qualifications for which the outcome is not known are excluded from the calculation. This can be either because the exam has been taken but the result is not yet known or because the exam has not yet been taken.

18 At institution level, PI 4:
percentage of qualification aims achieved $=$
number of fully achieved qualifications + $1 / 2$ number of partially achieved qualifications $\times 100$
number of completed qualifications
with a known outcome
for each of the three glh bands of qualifications described above, and for all qualifications together.

## PI 5: Contribution to the National Targets

## Description

19 PI 5 is made up of three elements:
a. targets for young people: the number of 16 to 19 year olds achieving a level 2 qualification (NVQ 2 or equivalent) in the teaching year;
b. targets for young people: the number of 16 to 21 year olds achieving a level 3 qualifications (NVQ 3 or equivalent) in the teaching year;
c. targets for adults: the number of adults achieving a level 3 or level 4 qualification (NVQ 3 or equivalent or qualifications at higher education level) in the teaching year.

The indicator relates to all provision at the institution.

## Source

## 1998-99: December 1999 ISR

1997-98: December 1998 ISR

## Calculation

20 The age of each student is calculated as at 31 August of the teaching year from the student's date of birth. The age groups for each of the indicators are interpreted as aged 16 to 18 at the start of the teaching year for part (a), as aged 16 to 20 at the start of the teaching year for part (b), and as aged 21 and over at the start of the teaching year for part (c).

21 Where available, the qualifications on entry of the student are taken into consideration to determine the attainment level of the student at the start of their qualification. The student's achievements in the relevant teaching year are added to their attainment level on entry, where this is available. Where a student has achieved level 2 or level 3 or equivalent, and had not done so on entry, then the student has achieved the relevant national target. Higher level (levels 4 and 5) qualifications achieved are included in part (c) of the indicator where the student was previously at a lower level.

22 So, for example, a student entering the institution with three GCSEs at grades A-C is calculated as being $60 \%$ towards a level 2 qualification, because five GCSEs at grades A-C are equivalent to an NVQ at level 2. The student then achieves three GCSEs at the institution during 1998-99, and so attains a level 2 qualification.

23 All qualifications which are equivalent to the relevant NVQ level are included in the calculation by using the notional NVQ level of qualifications which are not NVQs or GNVQs. Notional levels were assigned to qualifications through a joint project between the Council, the former National Council for Vocational Qualifications (NCVQ) and the Department for Education and Employment (DfEE) for the purpose of measuring national targets.

## Supporting data: widening participation

24 The proportion of students the institution recruits from areas of deprivation which attract additional funding from the Council for widening participation is shown as supporting data to assist with the interpretation of the performance indicators. The Council provides additional funding in recognition of the additional resources required to recruit and retain students living in areas with high levels of deprivation. Institutions have been categorised into four broad groups:
i institutions recruiting a relatively low percentage of their students from deprived areas (less than 15\% of their students)
ii institutions recruiting around the average for the further education sector (between $15 \%$ and $35 \%$ of their students)
iii institutions recruiting a relatively high percentage of their students from deprived areas (between $35 \%$ and $50 \%$ of their students)
iv institutions recruiting a very high percentage of their students from deprived areas (over 50\% of their students).

25 As recommended by the widening participation committee, this is a proxy for
students likely to have low levels of prior attainment and to live in economically and socially disadvantaged areas.

26 The banding for each institution is calculated from the information provided by institutions about the home addresses of their students in 1998-99. The home postcode of each student is allocated to a local authority ward and all students living in an area with a high Department of Transport and the Regions (DETR) index of local conditions are eligible for a funding uplift.

## Supporting data: funded average level of funding (ALF)

27 A sixth performance indicator relating to out-turn average level of funding (ALF) has been removed from the performance indicators for 1997-98 onwards. This reflects the withdrawal of demand-led element (DLE) funding from 1997-98, which meant that the out-turn and funded ALF for an institution would be very similar under normal circumstances.

28 The funded ALF is not within the control of an institution and so cannot replace out-turn ALF as a performance indicator. Accordingly, funded ALF for the institution's main allocation for 1998-99 is shown as supporting information. This is an institution's main recurrent funding allocation from the Council for the teaching year divided by the target number of funding units to be generated by the institution in respect of Council-funded activity in the teaching year.

## Credibility checks

29 Each year the Council carries out a series of credibility checks on each institution's performance indicators. Most of the checks are on the performance indicators relating to retention and achievement. They include checks on whether the level of retention and achievement is exceptionally high or low, the change in retention and
achievement rates from the previous year, the number of partial achievements and the number of qualifications where the outcome is not known. Where necessary credibility queries are raised with institutions prior to publication to determine whether there are particular reasons why the indicator for the institution lies outside the range of most other institutions of a similar type.


[^0]:    * a quarter of colleges had an in-year retention rate at or below this figure
    ${ }^{+}$a quarter of colleges had an in-year retention rate at or above this figure
    Note: 'specialist colleges' includes agriculture and horticulture colleges and art, design and performing arts colleges. Number of colleges of each type may not add to total because specialist designated colleges are not shown as a separate category

