

November 2017 and January 2018 Data Exchange procedures

GCSEs



December 2017

Ofqual/17/6322

Contents

1.	GCSE	3
1.1	Reformed GCSE predictions.....	3
	GCSE English language	3
	GCSE maths	3
1.2	Setting grade 9 in reformed GCSE specifications.....	4
1.3	Setting grade 1 in reformed GCSE specifications.....	4
1.4	GCSE reporting	5
	Reformed GCSEs (specifications in section 1.1) – November 2017 series.....	5
	Legacy GCSEs – November 2017 and January 2018 series.....	6
2.	Sending data.....	7
	Appendix 1	8
	Principles for using technical and judgemental evidence in awards of GCSE 9 to 1 qualifications in November 2017.....	8
	Principles	8
	Setting the 9/8 and 1/U subject level boundaries in the November 2017 awards of reformed GCSE specifications	10
	Appendix 2	11
	Principles for using the secure collaborative portal.....	11

The following procedures outline the data that must be provided by awarding organisations during the November 2017 and January 2018 data exchange for each qualification forming part of the data exchange process.

This is a Regulatory Document under Condition B7 of the 'General Conditions of Recognition'¹ (June 2016): Compliance with Regulatory Documents.

In addition, in relation to post-reform GCSE qualifications:

- a) This document sets requirements under Condition GCSE3.1 and GCSE9.1 of the GCSE Qualification Level Conditions² respectively.
- b) Paragraph 5(a) of the 'Requirements in relation to the specified levels of attainment to be used for GCSE Qualifications',³ set under Condition GCSE3.1, states that in the first year in which a GCSE Qualification is awarded each awarding organisation must comply with any specific requirements that Ofqual may set. Sections 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3 and Appendix 1 set those specific requirements.

¹ <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/general-conditions-of-recognition>

²

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/591884/GCSE_9_to_1_Qualification_Level_Conditions_and_Requirements_-_Feb_2017.pdf

³

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/591884/GCSE_9_to_1_Qualification_Level_Conditions_and_Requirements_-_Feb_2017.pdf

1. GCSE

1.1 Reformed GCSE predictions

In respect of each GCSE qualification in English language and maths which it makes available in November 2017, each exam board must create its own cohort-specific prediction for matched learners,⁴ following the subject-specific requirements listed below.

GCSE English language

Each awarding organisation must create its own predictions for its specific cohort of re-sitting 17-year-old matched learners. Predictions must be based on the national relationship between GCSE outcomes in English and English language for re-sitting 17-year-old learners in November 2016 and mean GCSE attainment for those learners in June 2016.

Each awarding organisation must report outcomes against predictions based on the GCSE and mean GCSE relationship described above.

Awarding organisations must also note the principles for using statistical and judgemental evidence detailed in appendix 1.

Note: The November 2017 GCSE English language results must be compared with GCSE English language results of the same learners in June 2017, where those learners have taken the assessments in both June and November 2017. Comparisons must be undertaken for matched, re-sitting 17-year-old learners and all learners.⁵

For the spoken language endorsement for GCSE English Language, awarding organisations must report outcomes (to include carry forward grades) both for matched, 17-year-old learners and for all learners.

GCSE maths

Each awarding organisation must create its own predictions for its specific cohort of re-sitting 17-year-old matched learners. Predictions must be based on the national relationship between GCSE outcomes across both the (previously) modular and

⁴ 'Learner' is defined in the General Conditions as, 'A person who is registered to take a qualification and to be assessed as part of that qualification' and has the same meaning in this Regulatory Document.

⁵ For all learners there should be separate comparisons for the legacy and reformed specifications from June 2017.

linear GCSE maths specifications for re-sitting 17-year-old learners in November 2016 and mean GCSE attainment for those learners in June 2016.

Each awarding organisation must report outcomes against predictions based on the GCSE and mean GCSE relationship described above.

Awarding organisations must also note the principles for using statistical and judgemental evidence detailed in appendix 1.

Each awarding organisation must use the outcomes of test equating (chained equipercentile method) to support comparability between tiers at grades 4 and 5, where there are sufficient entries on each tier.

Note: The November 2017 GCSE maths results must be compared with GCSE maths results of the same learners in June 2017, where those learners have taken the assessments in both June and November 2017. Comparisons must be undertaken for matched re-sitting 17-year-old learners and all learners.⁶

1.2 Setting grade 9 in reformed GCSE specifications

Each awarding organisation must set grade 9, in the reformed GCSE specifications in November 2017, using the method described in appendix 1.

1.3 Setting grade 1 in reformed GCSE specifications

Each awarding organisation must set grade 1, in the reformed GCSE specifications in November 2017, using the method described in appendix 1.

⁶ For all learners there should be separate comparisons for the legacy (A* to G) and reformed (9 to 1) specifications from June 2017.

1.4 GCSE reporting

Reformed GCSEs (specifications in section 1.1) – November 2017 series

Each awarding organisation must report all of its GCSE English language outcomes at grades 9, 7, 5, 4 and 1, **using template 1, by 12 noon, Friday 15th December 2017.**

Each awarding organisation must report all of its GCSE maths outcomes at grades 9, 7, 5, 4 and 1, **using template 2, by 12 noon, Friday 15th December 2017.**

Each awarding organisation must report information on four key areas, as detailed in the above templates:

- (A) **GCSE outcomes data.** Data must be reported on matched learners, excluding partial absences (EPA) where available, against predicted outcomes where these have been derived. Outcomes must also be reported on all learners, including partial absences (IPA), where available.
- (B) **Evidence used.** Awarding organisations must also describe how evidence was used to guide the award, covering each category on the template.
- (C) **Maintaining standards.** Awarding organisations must also report any issues or concerns in the context of maintaining standards.
- (D) **Significant differences in grade boundary positions.** Awarding organisations must report any instances where GCSE subject level key grade boundary positions are significantly different from the June 2017 series. A significant difference could be one which could cause concern with centres. These must be reported as soon as possible after the award is signed off by the Responsible Officer, together with an explanation for the difference.

For GCSE maths, each awarding organisation must also report:

- (E) **Test equating.** Awarding organisations must report the technical details of how test equating has been used to support comparability between the foundation and higher tiers, where there are sufficient entries on each tier.

Each awarding organisation must report all of its GCSE English language spoken language outcomes at pass, merit and distinction using **template 3, by 12 noon, Friday 15th December 2017.**

Each awarding organisation must report all of its November 2017 GCSE English language results for re-sitting 17-year-olds and all learners⁵ compared with GCSE English language results for the same learners in June 2017, using **template 4, by 12 noon, Friday 15th December 2017.**

Each awarding organisation must report all of its November 2017 GCSE maths results for re-sitting 17-year-olds and all learner⁵ compared with GCSE maths results for the same learners in June 2017, using **template 4, by 12 noon, Friday 15th December 2017.**

Legacy GCSEs – November 2017 and January 2018 series

Each awarding organisation must report all of its GCSE science suite outcomes from the November 2017 series at grades A*, A, C and F, **using template 5, by 12 noon, Friday 15th December 2017.**

Each awarding organisation must report all of its GCSE outcomes from the January 2018 series at grades A*, A, C and F, **using template 5, by 12 noon no later than two days after the final award for that awarding organisation is complete.**

2. Sending data

Each awarding organisation must confirm its data exchange contacts with Ofqual before the process begins. All data are to be uploaded to the secure collaborative portal and in accordance with the principles in appendix 2.

All returns are subject to the final ratification of the awards by the awarding organisation.

On receipt of the above GCSE information, Ofqual:

- will review the data on the basis of its published procedure
- may contact awarding organisations to ask for additional information or clarification, and aim to do this as soon as possible after receipt
- will discuss outcomes for the November series with awarding organisations at the Maintenance of Standards meeting on **18th December 2017**

Appendix 1

Principles for using technical and judgemental evidence in awards of GCSE 9 to 1 qualifications in November 2017

1. In August 2014, the Ofqual Board agreed that, in the first year of new 9 to 1 GCSEs, awarding should be based primarily on statistical predictions.
2. The principles in this document therefore apply to the first November awards of the reformed GCSE qualifications in November 2017, bearing in mind that, for subjects with small entries or multiple options, a degree of flexibility might be necessary.

Principles

3. Prior to the November 2017 awards, awarders should be briefed that, in the first November awards of reformed 9 to 1 GCSEs, the statistical evidence provides the best estimate of where the subject-level grade boundaries should lie, and the most effective mechanism to align grade standards between awarding bodies. The changes to the qualifications will make it more challenging for awarders to judge the quality of student work relative to previous years. However, awarding bodies will rely on their awarding committees to identify instances where the boundary marks suggested by the statistics might be problematic.
4. For the reformed 9 to 1 GCSE specifications, predictions will be used to generate statistically recommended boundaries (SRBs) at subject level for grades 7 and 4 (to align with grades A and C in the legacy qualifications, respectively).⁷ The basis for the predictions will be the same across awarding bodies and will provide a common starting point for reviewing scripts. The expectation is that the subject boundary that most closely meets the prediction will be chosen, unless there is convincing evidence to suggest otherwise.
5. Awarders will use their professional judgement to determine whether the quality of work demonstrated at the subject-level SRBs⁸ is acceptable at each key grade (grades 9 and 1 are considered separately – see page 9). In doing this, awarding bodies may pose the following question to their awarders: *‘Based on the evidence you have seen is the subject-level statistically recommended boundary acceptable?’* Awarders should be reminded that they should not necessarily expect performance at the SRBs to be similar to performance at the corresponding boundaries in the legacy specifications – performance standards may be legitimately lower in the first year of new specifications. Performance at

⁷ Grades 9 and 1 are considered separately – see paragraphs 11 – 16 of this appendix.

⁸ Although many of these principles are framed around subject-level boundaries, in practice awarders may review work at component level.

the SRBs should be similar to performance at the corresponding boundaries in the reformed specifications in June 2017 though.

6. Where awarders are content that a subject-level statistically recommended boundary is acceptable, that boundary should be confirmed, since the limits of judgement suggest that awarders are not able to differentiate between subject-level standards within a few marks of one another.⁹ However, if the awarders are not content with the balance of outcomes across the components, they may adjust the component boundaries while keeping the subject-level boundaries at the statistically recommended positions.¹⁰
7. In a subject with components with scaling factors that are unequal, adjusting one component up by one mark and one component down by one mark might not retain the same subject SRB. In this case, the change to component thresholds would not be permitted, unless such changes ensured that the overall subject-level boundaries remained the same (i.e. by adjusting component boundaries by different numbers of marks).
8. Where awarders are not content that a subject-level SRB is acceptable, additional script review will be required.¹¹ In that situation, on each component, awarding bodies should increase the review range away from the SRB, in the direction suggested by the awarders. Sufficient work should be provided until the awarders are content that their final recommended subject boundary is acceptable.
9. If the exam board wishes to set boundaries other than those suggested by the subject-level predictions, it will need to provide additional technical evidence to Ofqual to support this. A subject specific report detailing the judgemental evidence that has guided awarders to their decisions will also be required.
10. Ofqual will consider the outcomes of awards based on the technical and judgemental evidence provided, and bearing in mind the aim of aligning grade standards across awarding bodies.

⁹ See Ofqual (2015)

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/451321/2015-08-05-summer-series-gcse-as-and-a-level-grade-standards.pdf.

¹⁰ However, the awarders must be made aware that, because the assessment pattern has changed, carrying forward judgemental standards at component level will not necessarily maintain standards at subject level.

¹¹ This refers to instances where awarders are concerned that the *overall standard* for the qualification is not reflected by the statistically recommended boundaries. If awarders wish to re-balance component outcomes within a qualification (but keep the same overall subject outcomes), then additional review of appropriate ranges of scripts will similarly be necessary.

Setting the 9/8 and 1/U subject level boundaries in the November 2017 awards of reformed GCSE specifications

11. The nature of the cohort and mark distributions towards the top and bottom of the grade range in the November series means that predictions or the grade 9 formula cannot be used reliably as the principal means of setting the 9/8 or 1/U boundaries.
12. Instead, a provisional grade 9/8 and 1/U boundary will be set based on the relative position of the grade boundaries in the reformed specifications in the June 2017 series. Awarders will then use their professional judgement to determine whether the quality of work demonstrated at the provisional boundaries is acceptable, based on comparisons with the grade 9 and grade 1 archives from the June 2017 series.
13. To calculate the provisional 1/U boundary in English language and maths:

Place the boundary above zero by the number of marks which is 20% of the width between the grade 4 boundary and zero.¹²
14. To calculate the provisional 9/8 boundary in English language:

Place the boundary above the grade 7 boundary by the number of marks which is 40% of the width between the maximum and the grade 7 boundary.
15. To calculate the provisional 9/8 boundary in maths:

Place the boundary above the grade 7 boundary by the number of marks which is 60% of the width between the maximum and the grade 7 boundary.
16. In all cases, the report on the award must provide evidence to justify the final boundary mark recommended.

¹² Note that all calculated boundaries should be rounded to the nearest whole number.

Appendix 2

Principles for using the secure collaborative portal

1. The portal is to be used for sensitive data relating to the data exchange that was previously sent to Ofqual by email as an encrypted attachment.
2. Email should be used as usual where no sensitive data is included or attached. All email correspondence should be sent to dataexchange@ofqual.gov.uk.
3. Where appropriate, notification alerts should be set up by individual users to notify them when folders or documents are added or changed.
4. In terms of version control, all templates must be uploaded using the date as a prefix, for example 11122017-WJEC-Template 1. Therefore, whenever a revised data template is uploaded, please create this as a new document using the date as a prefix and the time as a suffix where necessary.
5. Old versions of templates must not be deleted from the portal.
6. Awarding organisation users must not create extra folders within the data exchange library of the portal without consulting Ofqual first.

We wish to make our publications widely accessible. Please contact us at publications@ofqual.gov.uk if you have any specific accessibility requirements.



© Crown copyright 2017

This publication is licensed under the terms of the Open Government Licence v3.0 except where otherwise stated. To view this licence, visit <http://nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3> or write to the Information Policy Team, The National Archives, Kew, London TW9 4DU, or email: publications@ofqual.gov.uk.

Where we have identified any third party copyright information you will need to obtain permission from the copyright holders concerned.

This publication is available at www.gov.uk/ofqual.

Any enquiries regarding this publication should be sent to us at:

Office of Qualifications and Examinations Regulation

Spring Place
Coventry Business Park
Herald Avenue
Coventry CV5 6UB

Telephone 0300 303 3344

Textphone 0300 303 3345

Helpline 0300 303 3346