## HOUSE of COMMONS <br> LIBRARY

## BRIEFING PAPER

Number 5440, 20 June 2018

## Higher Education Finance Statistics

## Inside:

1. Background -the HE sector post financial crisis and Browne
2. Trends in income and expenditure
3. Staff
4. Reference tables

## Contents

Summary ..... 3

1. Background -the HE sector post financial crisis and Browne ..... 5
Public funding in England in 2012 and later ..... 6
2. Trends in income and expenditure ..... 9
Growth in expenditure in real terms ..... 9
Financial status ..... 10
2.1 Income breakdown ..... 12
2.2 Specific income streams ..... 13
2.3 Expenditure breakdown ..... 14
3. Staff ..... 15
3.1 Staff numbers ..... 15
Academic staff ..... 15
All staff types ..... 16
3.2 Staff costs ..... 16
4. Reference tables ..... 17

## Summary

Real spending by UK universities increased consistently over the past two decades...


Spending by activity has been broadly stable...


Fees from home/EU undergraduates have largely replaced lost teaching grant...


The sector had a surplus of income over expenditure in all but one year; recent surpluses have been larger than average...


Teaching grants have fallen sharply as a \% of income since higher fees were introduced...


Fees from other overseas students have increased, but at a slower rate...


After many years of increased income, expenditure, more staff and students, the higher education sector in England especially faces on ongoing fall in income from the public sector, falling numbers of some types of students, particularly those studying part-time,
uncertainty about EU students, staff and funding and much less certainty in general about the future make-up and nature of the sector as a whole. This has meant that the future public/private funding mix, size and role of the sector are the focus of more attention than at any time in the recent past.
This note gives a short factual background on changes in income, expenditure and staffing since the sector took its present form in the mid-1990s. It also gives some information on variations between institutions. It includes data on all Higher Education Institutions in the UK.

The following Library notes give information on related topics:

- Changes to higher education funding and student support from 2012/13
- Higher education funding in England
- Higher education student numbers
- Entrants to higher education
- Tuition fee statistics
- HE in England from 2012: Funding and finance

Much of the information in this note is taken from the annual Higher Education Statistics Agency (HESA) publications Resources of higher education institutions and its recent replacements. A limited amount of free information can be found on the HESA website.

The most recent Patterns and Trends in UK Higher Education from Universities UK looks at 10 year trends in the sector and variations between institutions on a number of different indicators. The Higher Education Funding Council for England publishes an annual financial assessment of the sector in England. The latest version is Financial results and TRAC outcomes 2014-15, forecasts are given in Financial health of the higher education sector: 2015-16 to 2018-19 forecasts

## 1. Background -the HE sector post financial crisis and Browne

The higher education sector is braced for a future where income does not always grow year-on-year, student numbers do not always go up, the balance of income streams is less predictable and cuts may have to be made. In some cases this scenario has already arrived. Many of the sector's income streams are not planned in advance and we do not know how they have changed at an aggregate level until almost around 10 months after the end of the academic year. Only funding body grants are known at an institutional level for the current and upcoming academic year. These made up just under $39 \%$ of total income in 2011/12, but as they set the parameters for funded home and EU student numbers and research activity they have a direct effect on variable fee income and other income streams.

In England the 2009/10 funding settlement saw increases in unit funding and student numbers, but the latter were lower than originally planned and institutions were fined for over recruiting students at a time of record applications. According to the funding council its funding for financial year 2010-11 was originally cut by $£ 449$ million, or almost $6 \%{ }^{1}$ A further $£ 200$ million and 10,000 additional places were cut by the Government in May 2010. ${ }^{2}$.
In 2010/11 34 of 130 higher education institutions in England received a cash cut in total recurrent grant compared to the adjusted 2009/10 total. ${ }^{3}$ This covers all recurrent teaching and research funding. The total was reduced by a further $£ 150$ million ( $2.4 \%$ ) following the settlement for financial year 2011-12.45 In Scotland two of 19 were due to have cash cuts in their funding council grant and all but one of the 12 institutions in Wales were due to have this funding cut in the same year. ${ }^{6}$

In 2011/12 total recurrent funding for institutions in England was cut by around $£ 250$ million, or $3.9 \%$. 122 of the 130 funded higher education institutions were expected to receive a cash cut in this funding. ${ }^{7}$ Total funding through the funding council in England in 2011/12 was provisionally reduced by $9.5 \%$ ( $£ 680$ million) compared to 2010/11. The largest cuts were in capital funding which was initially reduced by more

[^0]than half. ${ }^{89}$ Provisional funding levels for financial year 2011-12 were for cuts of $6.4 \%$ in Scotland (excluding capital) and $12.5 \%$ in Wales. ${ }^{10}$

## Public funding in England in 2012 and later

2012 was the first year when the latest reforms of higher education funding were implemented in England. These affect students starting in autumn 2012 or later so it will take some years before the entire student population is covered by the new arrangements. Some but not all of these changes were recommended in the Browne Report. ${ }^{11}$ For more details of the proposals see the note: Changes to higher education funding and student support from 2012/13. For more up-to-date information of the impact of these changes in total funding see HE in England from 2012: Funding and finance.

The responsibility for funding teaching in England has been shifted further away from the public sector towards the individual (graduate). The financial impact on the sector as a whole need not be negative if they can raise enough through additional tuition fees (backed by publicly subsidised loans). The impact on individual institutions is much more open to question and it depends on what fee levels they charge and changes in student numbers. These in turn depend on the types of courses they offer, the 'value' placed on a degree from that institution by potential students and the extent and type of student choice and competition introduced into the sector. Changes to higher education funding and student support from 2012/13 gives some background to the freeing up of places from 2012 and more recent detail is given in HE in England from 2012: Student numbers and Higher Education Student Numbers.
The proposed changes affect funding for teaching. Total direct public funding for higher education teaching in England in financial year 2018-19 is expected to be $73 \%$ below 2010-11 levels in cash terms. ${ }^{12}$ Resource funding for research via the funding council in England remained broadly flat in cash terms up to 2015-16 and is expected to be increased in line with inflation up to 2019-20. Total capital funding was cut by $44 \%$ in 2011-12 and further ('indicative') cuts would have taken the overall reduction by 2013-14 to 70\% compared to 2010-11 levels. Additional capital funding was provided for 2012-13 to 2015-16 which meant that the cash value increased to 2015-16 when it was above the 2010-11 level in real terms. Capital funding was cut by more than $40 \%$ ( $£ 250$ million) up to 2017-18. ${ }^{13}$

The overall funding level for the sector in academic year 2012/13 was set by the funding council at $£ 5.3$ billion which was $£ 1.2$ billion (19\%)

[^1]less than in 2011/12. Further cuts of $16 \%$ and $14 \%$ followed in 2013/14 and 2014/15 as the 2012 reforms applied to increasing numbers of the student population. This is driven by reductions in teaching grant which fell by smaller amounts in each of the next four years. Overall capital and other non-recurrent funding has not been directly affected by these reforms. 2018/19 funding for teaching will be $70 \%$ lower than in 2011/12 in cash terms ( $73 \%$ in real terms) despite the increase in student numbers supported by this funding.


Note: Allocations are the latest published by HEFCE in either revised allocations for individual institutions or referred to in comparisons with subsequent years (non-recurr (a) Sum of funding from the Office for Students and Research England

Sources: HEFCE circular 04/201,3 Funding for universities and colleges for 2012-13: and 2013-14 Board decisions, and earlier editions $\square$ Changes to recurrent grant for the academic years 2012-13 and 2013-14, HEFCE Funding for universities and colleges for 201/-18: Board decisions, HEFCE (and earlier editions) Funding for higher education institutions for 2018-19, Research England kecurrent ana formuia capital funaing for 2018-19, uffice for stuaents

Historical funding allocations for individual institutions can be found on the HEFCE website at: http://www.hefce.ac.uk/funding/annallocns/. From 2018-19 teaching allocations are made by the Office for Students and research funding by Research England

|  | 1994/95 | 2016/17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Institutions | 184 | 164 |
| Income |  |  |
| Total income of which: | £10.0 billion | £35.7 billion |
| Funding body grants | 44\% | 14\% |
| Tuition fees and contracts | 23\% | 50\% |
| Average income | £55 million | £218 million |
| Income of the largest institution | £0.26 billion | $£ 1.87$ billion |
| Expenditure |  |  |
| Total expenditure | $£ 9.8$ billion | £34.5 billion |
| Staff costs | $£ 5.3$ billion | £18.9 billion |
| \% academic | 58\% | 55\% |
| Staff |  |  |
| Academic staff |  |  |
| Full-time | 102,700 | 138,400 |
| Part-time | 12,000 | 68,500 |
| \% female | 30\% | 42\% |
| All staff |  |  |
| Full-time equivalent | - | 353,800 |
| \% non-academic |  | 52\% |
| average | - | 2,160 |
| at the largest institution | - | 12,400 |
| Students |  |  |
| Full-time equivalents | $\begin{array}{r} 1.28 \text { million } \\ (1995 / 96) \end{array}$ | 1.93 million |
| Full-time undergraduates | 0.97 million | 1.48 million |
| average | 5,300 | 9,000 |
| at the largest institution | 17,900 | 27,600 |

[^2]
## 2. Trends in income and expenditure


#### Abstract

The higher education sector -definitions For the purposes of this note the higher education sector in the UK covers all Higher Education Institutions (HEls) included in HESA publications. This includes small highly specialised institutions, the Open University and more recently, the University of Buckingham which is not state funded. It includes further education delivered by HEls and excludes higher education delivered by further education institutions.

Over the years covered here some institutions have transferred into the HE sector so some of the growth shown here is not 'organic' but due to changes in definitions or the status of some courses.


In 2016/17 HEls across the UK had a total income of $£ 35.7$ billion and a total expenditure of $£ 34.5$ billion. This was around three times the size of the UK further education sector ${ }^{14}$ and 49\% of the level of public spending on schools. ${ }^{15}$ Total income and expenditure are now approaching four times their 1993/94 levels in cash terms. ${ }^{16}$. The chart opposite shows that income and expenditure have generally been very close, but income has increased at a faster rate in most recent years. Total
 income in 2016/17 varied 200-fold by institution from around $£ 9$ million at the smallest to $£ 1.9$ billion at the largest (Cambridge).

## Growth in expenditure in real terms

The earlier data on growth in income and expenditure clearly does not take inflation into account. They are therefore not accurate measures of real growth. In the past it has been possible to adjust for inflation within the HE sector using Universities UK's Higher Education Pay and Prices Index. This is no longer published, so the chart opposite is adjusted for the level of inflation across the economy as a whole. This is a less accurate measure of real growth in the sector, but an improvement on the cash figures.


[^3]The underlying data is also included in Table 1 at the end of this note. Expenditure in 2015/16 was well over double the 1993/94 level in real terms. ${ }^{17}$ Expenditure fell in real terms in 1996/97, 2010/11 and 2011/12. The recent slowdown in expenditure growth was of a similar scale to that seen in the mid-1990s.

These figures give an indication of the growth of the sector, rather than how generous one particular income stream is. The definitions set out at the start of this section explain that some of this growth will be 'transfers in' rather than underlying growth in existing institutions. Expenditure here is used as a proxy for the (financial) size of the sector. Total spending is clearly constrained by income.

The increase in total expenditure for the whole period was greater than the increase in full-time equivalent student numbers. In the mid- to late1990s real spending increased at a slower rate, but this pattern was reversed over the following decade. The gap closed somewhat over the three years to 2011/12 as student numbers continued to grow, while spending was broadly flat. Since then expenditure has increased in real terms, but student numbers have fallen slightly. ${ }^{18}$ The costs associated with students can vary greatly by level and subject. This note does not look at all these factors.

## Financial status

Overall the sector has been in deficit in only one year and in the majority of years income has been $1 \%$ or more above expenditure. The $3.3 \%$ surplus in 2016/17 was the second lowest in the past eight years. The six largest surpluses have been in the last eight years.

There was much variation across individual institutions and even with an aggregate surplus of $4.9 \%$ there were still 40 of 164


UK HEIS BY 2016/17 FINANCIAL POSITION


[^4]55 institutions (34\%) had a deficit ${ }^{19}$ in one or more of the previous five years. Within this group 17 had a deficit in two of the five years, five in in three of the previous five years and two in four of these years. ${ }^{20}$

The financial assets of HEls are dominated by fixed assets -mainly property. At the end of 2016/17 endowment assets across the sector totalled $£ 6.1$ billion. $44 \%$ of this was owned by Oxford and Cambridge and $67 \%$ by the top eight institutions. Total income from endowments and investments was $£ 840$ million in 2016/17. ${ }^{21}$

The latest report on the financial health of the sector from the English funding council found that the projected financial position of the sector from 2016/17 onwards was 'sound'. There was, however, expected to be a continuing widening gap between the best and worst performing institutions and increasing volatility in forecasts. Overall surplus levels were forecast to fall after 2015/16. Results were similar to the last year's ones, but not as strong as the previous year's forecasts and below as those for 2010/11 when the majority of the key financial indicators for the sector were said to be the best on record with strong surpluses, large cash balances and healthy reserves.

These forecasts were largely prepared before the decision to leave the EU and showed high levels of growth in home and EU student numbers and strong growth in income from non-EU students. The funding council suggests the uncertainties connected with the decision to leave the EU mean these projections could be difficult to achieve and could result in restrictions in the availability of finance.

The continued trend of falling liquidity and increased borrowing was said to be 'unsustainable' in the longer term. Significant increases in capital investment are projected over the planning period which would raise this spending to $50 \%$ more than in the past four years. The sector faces inflationary pressures from staffing and capital investment and a substantial increase in pension liabilities due to a new financial reporting standard. 22

In 2011 a National Audit Office report on the funding council's financial oversight noted the 'unprecedented change' the sector faces is likely to increase the level of risk within the sector as some HEls are already financially weaker and may receive less income overall after the changes are implemented. ${ }^{23}$ The report also contains an analysis of the financial health of the sector in England.

[^5]
### 2.1 Income breakdown

Table 2 at the end of this note gives a breakdown of income by broad category. This is illustrated opposite. There were only very gradual changes in the make-up of the sector's income in the decade from the mid1990s. Since then there has been a clear shift in funding from funding body grants to fees. Funding body grants ${ }^{24}$ have gradually made up a smaller share of income over time; down from over 40\% in the mid-1990s to $14 \%$ in 2016/17. ${ }^{25}$ The share of income from tuition fees and
 education contracts was in the 23-24\% range up to 2005/06. The introduction of variable fees in England and Northern Ireland in 2006/07 and Wales in 2007/08 was largely responsible for the increase to almost $33 \%$ in 2010/11; the largest single source of income for the first time. Higher fees for new entrants in England helped push this to 50\% in 2016/17. ${ }^{26}$ Income from research grants and contracts has remained around $16-18 \%$ for the last five years. ${ }^{27}$

At the level of individual institutions, after excluding small, highly specialist and atypical institutions: ${ }^{28}$

- Those with a relatively high proportion of income from funding bodies tended to be 'new' universities with a lower research profile and relatively low numbers of non-EU students. HEls in Scotland and Northern Ireland tended to have a higher proportion of income from funding bodies. Those with the highest proportion in England and Wales in 2013/14 included The Open University, London Metropolitan, Plymouth and University of Wales Trinity Saint David. All had around one-quarter or more of their income from these grants.
- Institutions with a greater share of income from fees and contracts were generally 'new' universities with lower research income along with some which have a large number of non-EU students. All were English institutions. They include South Bank, Bedfordshire, Birmingham City, Sunderland, Bath Spa, West London, Liverpool John Moores and De Montford, all of which received more than $70 \%$ of their total income from such fees in 2013/14.
- HEls with the highest shares of income from research grants and contracts were generally Russell Group institutions and some from the 1994 Group. Most had 25-40\% of their total income from

[^6]research related sources in 2013/14. This should not be a surprise given their focus on research. Other institutions with relatively high research income, in some cases higher than Russell Group universities included Dundee and Aberdeen.

### 2.2 Specific income streams

This note looks at a handful of the sub-categories that the broad income types can be broken down into. Again these are based on the share of income received by the sector overall and are set out in full in Table 2.

Funding body recurrent grants for teaching-this fell in importance from $29 \%$ of total income in the late 1990s to below $20 \%$ in 2011/12 and to $7 \%$ in 2016/17. Research grants from the funding councils have remained more stable, but have gradually fallen from more than $8 \%$ of income in 1998/99 to below 6\% from 2014/15 as other recurrent and capital funding sources have increased in importance over time.


Research income from funding and research councils -is one of the higher profile elements. It has remained in the $12-14 \%$ range for the entire period.


Tuition fees for home and EU full-time undergraduates income from this source fell in importance to below $8 \%$ in 2005/06 before increasing dramatically (with higher tuition fees) in next 11 years to $29 \%$ in 2016/17. There was no net effect on this series of the introduction of regulated fees in 1998/99.


Tuition fees from non-EU students -income from these students has received much attention in recent years as a potential way for HEls to avoid public sector funding cuts. It increased in importance consistently over this period and reached 13\% in 2016/17.


Income from residences and catering has also been seen as an income generating stream in recent times. Over the years covered here it has become a less important source of income, down from 7\% in the late

1990s to below 6\% from 2006/07 onwards. The simple margin on residence and catering (income less expenditure) was 18\% across all HEls in 2012/13, or $£ 260$ million.

### 2.3 Expenditure breakdown

The makeup of expenditure by broad category has changed very little since the mid-1990s. The two main categories are staff costs and 'other operating expenditure' which have been $54-58 \%$ and $35-38 \%$ respectively for the whole period. The cash increase in staff costs in 2011/12 was the smallest in this series and the share of staff costs fell in the eight years to 2012/13 and reached a new low in 2015/16. Depreciation and 'interest and other finance costs' have made up the remaining 6-8\%. Their cash values are included in the appended Table 3. Also included is a breakdown by activity which shows a little more variation (opposite).
Across the whole period the share of spending on academic departments fell from $43 \%$ to $38 \%$. Spending on administration and central services ${ }^{29}$ increased from $12 \%$ to $16 \%$ of the
 total to become the second largest 'activity' but still below half the total spent on academic departments. This breakdown is in effect at a departmental level, so for instance, some of the spending within the academic departments total will be on nonacademic staff costs and some academic staff costs fall outside of the academic department total. The next section looks at staff costs in more detail.

[^7]
## 3. Staff

For the years to 2002/03 HESA published data on the number of academic staff, ${ }^{30}$ but not others employed by HEls. In 2003/04 HESA introduced a new data collection method and since then has published data about all staff. This change also introduced a break in the series on academic staff numbers. Information about trends is therefore limited. This section also looks at staff cost data which is consistent back to the mid 1990s and breaks down the total by different types of staff.

The Higher Education Funding Council for England's latest report on staff numbers looks at trends in academic and non-academic staff at HEls in England since the mid-1990s. ${ }^{31}$

### 3.1 Staff numbers

## Academic staff

Between 1994/95 and 2002/03 the number of full-time academic staff at UK HEls increased by $18 \%$ and the number of part time staff by almost $120 \%$. The total headcount increased by $28 \%$ and there were above average increases in the number of academics working in research only (not teaching) and in the number of professors, and (non senior) researchers. However, full-timers and academics involved in teaching at least part of the time were still in the majority in 2002/03. More detail is given in Table 4 at the end of this note. The headcount number can obscure some trends in the balance between full- and parttime staff, but it was all that was published at the time. The increase in academic staff headcount over this period was slightly higher than the increase in full-time equivalent student numbers, ${ }^{32}$ but much of the growth in staff numbers was in part-timers.

Since 2003/04 many of these trends have continued with above average increases in part-time staff, staff who teach but carry out no research and in the number of professors, senior lecturers and researchers. In 2015/16 there were 165,600 full-time equivalent academic professionals employed by UK HEls. This was $48 \%$ of the full-time equivalent of all staff employed. The increase in full-time equivalent academic staff ${ }^{33}$ since 2003/04 has been faster than the growth in student numbers.

2010/11 saw a fall in the total headcount of academic staff for the first time since the sector was reorganised in the early 1990s. The falls in part-time staff and those teaching, but not carrying out research, were greater than the increases in other types of academic staff. Numbers increased slightly in 2011/12 due to continued growth in part-time staff. There was a larger increase in 2012/13 and 2013/14, although the method for defining academic contracts has changed.

[^8]
## All staff types

In 2016/17 there were around 284,000 full-time and 136,000 part-time staff and a further 72,000 academic staff employed by HEls on atypical contracts ${ }^{34}$, their total full-time equivalent numbers were 354,000. ${ }^{35}$

### 3.2 Staff costs

Staff costs are broken down by academic/non-academic status and by activity (academic departments, administration etc.). The underlying figures are included in Table 5 at the end of this note. Some of the key findings are:

- $\quad$ Since $1994 / 95$ the cost of employing non-academic staff increased by more than the cost of academic staff.
- Total staffing costs of 'administration and central services' and 'academic services'36 saw the largest increases, 'academic departments' saw the smallest increase
- There was a gradual increase in the share of academic staff costs going on 'administration and central services' and 'research grants and contracts ${ }^{377}$ and a fall ( $79 \%$ to $77 \%$ between 1995/96 and 2016/17) within academic departments.
Overall academic staff costs made up 55\% of all staff costs in 2016/17 and counted for $30 \%$ of all HEI expenditure.

Information on the pay of university vice-chancellors and some limited figures on other staff pay can be found in the briefing paper: Vice-chancellors' pay in higher education institutions in England

[^9]| INCOME OF UK HEIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | By broad category |  |  |  |  |  |  | Specific income streams |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Funding body grants | Tuition fees and education contracts | Research grants and contracts | Other income | Endowment \& investment income | Recurrent funding body grants |  | Academi | fees |  | earch grant |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Teaching Research |  | Full-time home/ EU undergrad. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Non-EU students | Research Councils | UK based charities | Other <br> public <br> sector | Residences and catering |
| £ billion cash |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993/94 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 0.2 | .. | . | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| 1994/95 | 4.4 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 0.2 | .. | . | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.7 |
| 1995/96 | 4.5 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 2.9 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.7 |
| 1996/97 | 4.4 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 3.0 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.8 |
| 1997/98 | 4.5 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 3.1 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.8 |
| 1998/99 | 4.9 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 3.5 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.9 |
| 1999/00 | 5.1 | 2.9 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 3.7 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.9 |
| 2000/01 | 5.3 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 0.3 | 3.8 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.9 |
| 2001/02 | 5.7 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 4.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.0 |
| 2002/03 | 6.0 | 3.7 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 0.2 | 4.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1.0 |
| 2003/04 | 6.5 | 4.1 | 2.7 | 3.3 | 0.2 | 4.4 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1.0 |
| 2004/05 | 7.0 | 4.4 | 2.9 | 3.6 | 0.3 | 4.8 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.1 |
| 2005/06 | 7.5 | 4.6 | 3.1 | 3.9 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.2 |
| 2006/07 | 8.0 | 5.4 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 0.4 | 5.4 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.2 |
| 2007/08 | 8.5 | 6.3 | 3.7 | 4.4 | 0.5 | 5.6 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.3 |
| 2008/09 | 8.8 | 7.3 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 0.4 | 5.8 | 1.8 | 3.4 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 1.3 |
| 2009/10 | 8.3 | 9.7 | 4.5 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 5.8 | 2.0 | 3.8 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.5 |
| 2010/11 | 8.9 | 9.0 | 4.4 | 5.0 | 0.2 | 5.7 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 2.9 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.6 |
| 2011/12 | 8.3 | 9.7 | 4.5 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 5.4 | 1.9 | 4.3 | 3.2 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.7 |
| 2012/13 | 7.1 | 11.7 | 4.8 | 5.4 | 0.3 | 4.2 | 1.9 | 5.8 | 3.5 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.7 |
| 2013/14 | 6.1 | 13.7 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 0.3 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 7.3 | 3.9 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.8 |
| 2014/15 | 5.3 | 15.5 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 0.8 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 8.7 | 4.2 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 1.0 | .. |
| 2015/16 | 5.2 | 16.8 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 9.5 | 4.5 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | .. |
| 2016/17 | 5.1 | 17.7 | 5.9 | 6.1 | 0.8 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 10.5 | 4.7 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 1.0 | .. |
| \% of total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993/94 | 37.0 | 29.6 | 14.4 | 16.8 | 2.2 | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| 1994/95 | 43.7 | 22.6 | 14.3 | 17.0 | 2.4 | .. | .. | 12.1 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 3.1 | 2.4 | 6.6 |
| 1995/96 | 41.6 | 23.4 | 14.5 | 18.0 | 2.5 | 27.2 | 7.5 | 11.4 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 6.7 |
| 1996/97 | 39.6 | 24.3 | 14.6 | 19.1 | 2.4 | 26.7 | 7.4 | 11.2 | 5.1 | 4.8 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 7.0 |
| 1997/98 | 38.8 | 24.4 | 14.9 | 19.3 | 2.6 | 26.6 | 7.6 | 10.8 | 5.4 | 4.6 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 7.1 |
| 1998/99 | 40.7 | 22.5 | 15.2 | 19.2 | 2.4 | 29.0 | 8.4 | 9.4 | 5.3 | 4.6 | 3.6 | 2.6 | 7.0 |
| 1999/00 | 40.3 | 22.5 | 15.4 | 19.5 | 2.3 | 28.9 | 8.2 | 9.1 | 5.3 | 4.7 | 3.8 | 2.6 | 7.0 |
| 2000/01 | 39.6 | 22.6 | 16.4 | 19.3 | 2.1 | 28.2 | 7.9 | 8.9 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 4.1 | 2.8 | 6.9 |
| 2001/02 | 39.3 | 23.0 | 16.8 | 19.1 | 1.8 | 27.7 | 7.5 | 8.6 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 4.2 | 2.9 | 6.7 |
| 2002/03 | 38.6 | 23.9 | 16.6 | 19.5 | 1.5 | 26.6 | 7.5 | 8.3 | 6.9 | 5.2 | 4.2 | 2.9 | 6.3 |
| 2003/04 | 38.6 | 24.2 | 16.1 | 19.7 | 1.4 | 26.0 | 7.7 | 8.1 | 7.6 | 4.9 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 6.2 |
| 2004/05 | 38.4 | 24.0 | 15.9 | 19.9 | 1.7 | 26.3 | 7.5 | 7.8 | 7.7 | 5.1 | 3.9 | 3.1 | 6.1 |
| 2005/06 | 38.7 | 23.8 | 16.0 | 19.8 | 1.8 | 25.6 | 7.9 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 5.5 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 6.0 |
| 2006/07 | 37.7 | 25.4 | 15.9 | 19.1 | 1.9 | 25.2 | 7.9 | 10.8 | 8.1 | 5.4 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 5.8 |
| 2007/08 | 36.2 | 26.7 | 15.8 | 19.0 | 2.2 | 23.9 | 7.5 | 12.1 | 8.0 | 5.8 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 5.6 |
| 2008/09 | 34.8 | 28.7 | 16.4 | 18.7 | 1.4 | 22.7 | 7.2 | 13.3 | 8.7 | 6.0 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 5.2 |
| 2009/10 | 33.7 | 30.9 | 16.2 | 18.3 | 0.8 | 21.7 | 7.4 | 14.3 | 9.6 | 5.9 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 5.6 |
| 2010/11 | 32.2 | 32.6 | 16.1 | 18.2 | 0.9 | 20.7 | 7.1 | 14.6 | 10.7 | 5.7 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 5.7 |
| 2011/12 | 29.6 | 34.7 | 16.2 | 18.5 | 1.0 | 19.2 | 6.9 | 15.4 | 11.6 | 5.4 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 5.9 |
| 2012/13 | 24.2 | 39.9 | 16.4 | 18.4 | 1.0 | 14.2 | 6.7 | 19.9 | 12.1 | 5.3 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 5.9 |
| 2013/14 | 19.8 | 44.4 | 16.5 | 18.1 | 1.1 | 10.4 | 6.4 | 23.7 | 12.7 | 5.4 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 5.7 |
| 2014/15 | 15.9 | 46.4 | 17.8 | 17.6 | 2.3 | 7.1 | 5.9 | 26.1 | 12.6 | 5.4 | 3.1 | 2.9 | .. |
| 2015/16 | 14.9 | 48.4 | 16.9 | 17.4 | 2.4 | 6.1 | 5.6 | 27.2 | 12.8 | 5.6 | 3.3 | 2.8 | .. |
| 2016/17 | 14.3 | 49.7 | 16.6 | 17.0 | 2.4 | 6.7 | 5.5 | 29.4 | 13.1 | 5.5 | 3.3 | 2.8 | .. |

(a) Excludes EU students charged home fees before 1998/99

Source: Resources of higher education institutions 2008/09, and earlier, HESA
HE finance plus 2016/17, and earlier, HESA

## 4. Reference tables

Table 3
EXPENDITURE OF UK HEIS

|  | By broad category |  |  |  | By activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Staff costs | Other operating expenditure | Depreciation | Interest and other finance costs | Academic departments | Academic services | Administration \& central services | Premises | Residences \& catering operations | Research grants \& contracts | Other expenditure |
| £ billion cash |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993/94 | 5.3 | 3.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | . | .. | .. | .. | . | .. | .. |
| 1994/95 | 5.7 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 4.2 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.7 |
| 1995/96 | 6.1 | 3.9 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 4.6 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 0.7 |
| 1996/97 | 6.3 | 3.9 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 4.7 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 0.8 |
| 1997/98 | 6.5 | 4.2 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 4.8 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 0.8 |
| 1998/99 | 6.9 | 4.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 5.1 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 0.8 |
| 1999/00 | 7.4 | 4.6 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 5.4 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 0.9 |
| 2000/01 | 7.9 | 4.6 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 5.7 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 1.9 | 1.0 |
| 2001/02 | 8.4 | 5.2 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 6.0 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 1.0 |
| 2002/03 | 9.0 | 5.5 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 6.4 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 0.9 |
| 2003/04 | 9.7 | 5.9 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 6.9 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 1.2 |
| 2004/05 | 10.5 | 6.4 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 7.4 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 1.2 |
| 2005/06 | 11.2 | 6.9 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 7.9 | 1.5 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 1.4 |
| 2006/07 | 12.2 | 7.5 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 8.6 | 1.6 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 2.9 | 1.5 |
| 2007/08 | 13.1 | 8.3 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 9.3 | 1.8 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 3.1 | 1.7 |
| 2008/09 | 14.2 | 9.1 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 10.0 | 1.9 | 3.7 | 2.8 | 1.3 | 3.4 | 1.9 |
| 2009/10 | 14.6 | 9.4 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 10.3 | 2.0 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 1.3 | 3.6 | 1.9 |
| 2010/11 | 14.7 | 9.6 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 10.4 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 1.3 | 3.6 | 1.8 |
| 2011/12 | 14.8 | 9.9 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 10.5 | 2.1 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 1.4 | 3.7 | 1.7 |
| 2012/13 | 15.4 | 10.5 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 10.9 | 2.3 | 4.3 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 4.0 | 1.7 |
| 2013/14 | 16.3 | 11.0 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 11.3 | 2.5 | 4.7 | 3.4 | 1.5 | 4.2 | 1.7 |
| 2014/15 | 18.2 | 11.8 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 11.7 | 2.7 | 5.1 | 3.7 | 1.6 | 4.5 | 1.8 |
| 2015/16 | 18.0 | 12.1 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 12.3 | 3.0 | 5.3 | 3.8 | 1.7 | 4.6 | 2.3 |
| 2016/17 | 18.9 | 12.5 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 13.0 | 3.1 | 5.5 | 4.0 | 1.8 | 4.8 | 2.2 |
| \% of total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993/94 | 58.1 | 37.0 | 3.6 | 1.3 | .. | .. | . | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| 1994/95 | 57.7 | 36.6 | 4.1 | 1.5 | 42.5 | 6.5 | 12.0 | 12.3 | 7.0 | 12.7 | 6.9 |
| 1995/96 | 57.9 | 36.4 | 4.1 | 1.6 | 43.1 | 6.7 | 12.0 | 11.5 | 7.1 | 12.6 | 7.0 |
| 1996/97 | 57.9 | 36.0 | 4.3 | 1.8 | 43.3 | 6.6 | 12.0 | 11.6 | 7.2 | 12.9 | 7.3 |
| 1997/98 | 57.2 | 36.6 | 4.4 | 1.8 | 42.3 | 6.8 | 12.0 | 11.3 | 7.2 | 13.1 | 7.3 |
| 1998/99 | 57.9 | 36.1 | 4.4 | 1.7 | 42.5 | 7.1 | 12.5 | 10.8 | 7.0 | 13.3 | 6.9 |
| 1999/00 | 58.1 | 36.0 | 4.4 | 1.6 | 42.6 | 7.1 | 12.5 | 10.5 | 6.8 | 13.5 | 7.0 |
| 2000/01 | 58.4 | 34.1 | 5.8 | 1.8 | 42.0 | 7.3 | 12.6 | 10.4 | 6.5 | 14.2 | 7.1 |
| 2001/02 | 58.0 | 36.0 | 4.6 | 1.4 | 41.4 | 7.6 | 12.8 | 10.5 | 6.3 | 14.7 | 6.7 |
| 2002/03 | 58.4 | 35.6 | 4.7 | 1.3 | 41.3 | 7.4 | 13.3 | 10.5 | 6.1 | 14.5 | 6.1 |
| 2003/04 | 58.5 | 35.5 | 4.8 | 1.2 | 41.7 | 7.6 | 13.1 | 10.7 | 6.0 | 14.1 | 7.0 |
| 2004/05 | 58.2 | 35.5 | 4.9 | 1.4 | 41.4 | 7.5 | 13.3 | 10.9 | 5.7 | 13.7 | 6.6 |
| 2005/06 | 57.9 | 35.8 | 5.0 | 1.3 | 41.0 | 7.7 | 13.5 | 11.4 | 5.5 | 13.6 | 7.3 |
| 2006/07 | 57.8 | 35.8 | 5.1 | 1.3 | 41.1 | 7.6 | 14.0 | 11.3 | 5.4 | 13.6 | 7.1 |
| 2007/08 | 57.4 | 36.1 | 5.2 | 1.3 | 40.6 | 7.7 | 14.2 | 11.5 | 5.2 | 13.6 | 7.3 |
| 2008/09 | 56.8 | 36.4 | 5.2 | 1.5 | 40.0 | 7.6 | 14.8 | 11.4 | 5.1 | 13.6 | 7.5 |
| 2009/10 | 56.6 | 36.2 | 5.4 | 1.8 | 39.8 | 7.7 | 15.1 | 11.5 | 5.0 | 13.8 | 7.2 |
| 2010/11 | 56.2 | 36.7 | 5.6 | 1.4 | 39.7 | 7.8 | 15.4 | 11.3 | 5.1 | 13.9 | 6.8 |
| 2011/12 | 55.5 | 37.3 | 5.8 | 1.4 | 39.5 | 8.0 | 15.5 | 11.7 | 5.1 | 13.9 | 6.3 |
| 2012/13 | 55.2 | 37.5 | 5.8 | 1.4 | 38.9 | 8.4 | 15.5 | 11.6 | 5.1 | 14.2 | 6.1 |
| 2013/14 | 55.4 | 37.2 | 6.0 | 1.4 | 38.5 | 8.4 | 16.0 | 11.6 | 5.1 | 14.3 | 5.9 |
| 2014/15 | 55.7 | 36.0 | 6.1 | 2.0 | 36.0 | 8.2 | 15.7 | 11.2 | 4.8 | 13.7 | 5.5 |
| 2015/16 | 54.6 | 36.7 | 6.3 | 2.3 | 37.3 | 9.0 | 16.1 | 11.4 | 5.2 | 13.9 | 7.0 |
| 2016/17 | 54.7 | 36.3 | 6.7 | 2.1 | 37.7 | 9.1 | 16.1 | 11.5 | 5.2 | 13.9 | 6.5 |

[^10]Table 4

| ACADEMIC STAFF IN UK HEIS Headcount |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mode |  | Employment function |  |  |  | Grade |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Full-time | Part-time | Teaching or teaching/ research | Research only | Teaching only | Teaching and research | Professor | Sen. <br> lecturer/ researcher | Lecturer R | searcher | Other | Total |
| Old basis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994/95 | 102,700 | 12,000 | 82,200 | 32,500 | .. | .. | 7,700 | 19,900 | 47,600 | 29,100 | 10,400 | 114,700 |
| 1995/96 | 111,500 | 15,100 | 89,200 | 37,300 | .. | .. | 9,100 | 20,900 | 51,100 | 33,600 | 11,900 | 126,600 |
| 1996/97 | 110,800 | 16,800 | 89,900 | 37,700 | . | . | 9,500 | 21,300 | 50,200 | 33,500 | 13,100 | 127,600 |
| 1997/98 | 110,500 | 17,600 | 90,200 | 37,800 | . | . | 9,900 | 21,100 | 50,300 | 33,700 | 13,100 | 128,100 |
| 1998/99 | 112,400 | 18,800 | 92,200 | 39,000 | .. | . | 10,800 | 21,500 | 50,100 | 34,900 | 13,800 | 131,100 |
| 1999/00 | 113,800 | 22,000 | 94,000 | 41,800 | .. | . | 12,500 | 23,300 | 49,500 | 37,300 | 13,200 | 135,800 |
| 2000/01 | 116,400 | 23,500 | 96,500 | 43,500 | . | .. | 13,500 | 24,000 | 50,200 | 39,000 | 13,400 | 139,900 |
| 2001/02 | 119,900 | 23,300 | 98,300 | 44,800 | . | . | 13,800 | 24,600 | 50,100 | 40,400 | 14,200 | 143,200 |
| 2002/03 | 120,800 | 26,100 | 101,000 | 45,800 | .. | .. | 14,200 | 25,400 | 50,300 | 41,400 | 15,500 | 146,900 |
| New Basis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003/04 | 106,900 | 43,300 | .. | 36,200 | 30,200 | 81,700 | 13,500 | 24,700 | 54,000 | 33,200 | 24,700 | 150,200 |
| 2004/05 | 109,600 | 51,000 | . | 36,100 | 39,300 | 83,000 | 14,500 | 26,200 | 55,200 | 33,400 | 31,400 | 160,700 |
| 2005/06 | 111,400 | 53,500 | .. | 37,300 | 41,600 | 84,000 | 15,500 | 28,200 | 53,600 | 34,900 | 32,700 | 164,900 |
| 2006/07 | 113,700 | 56,300 | . | 38,200 | 43,100 | 86,800 | 16,500 | 33,700 | 51,900 | 36,700 | 31,200 | 170,000 |
| 2007/08 | 116,500 | 58,500 | . | 39,300 | 43,000 | 91,200 | 18,300 | 37,000 | 51,900 | 38,000 | 29,800 | 174,900 |
| 2008/09 | 117,500 | 61,600 | . | 39,900 | 45,800 | 92,100 | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 179,000 |
| 2009/10 | 117,900 | 63,700 | . | 40,500 | 46,500 | 93,900 | .. | . | . | . | . | 181,600 |
| 2010/11 | 118,100 | 63,100 | . | 40,700 | 45,000 | 94,800 | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 181,200 |
| 2011/12 | 117,800 | 63,500 | . | 40,800 | 45,800 | 94,000 | .. | .. | . | .. | .. | 181,400 |
| 2012/13 | 122,500 | 63,100 | . | 42,400 | 46,800 | 94,600 | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 185,600 |
| 2013/14 | 128,200 | 66,100 | . | 45,600 | 52,600 | 94,500 | .. | . | . | .. | .. | 194,200 |
| 2014/15 | 132,900 | 65,500 | .. | 48,200 | 52,000 | 96,600 | .. | . | .. | . | .. | 198,300 |
| 2015/16 | 135,000 | 66,700 | .. | 48,700 | 52,600 | 98,600 | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 201,800 |
| 2016/17 | 138,400 | 68,500 | .. | 49,100 | 56,100 | 100,200 | . | .. | . | .. | . | 206,900 |
| Note: figures exclude academic staff on atypical contracts in all years. A new method for defining academic contracts has used for 2012/13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: | Resources of higher education institutions 2008/09, and earlier, HESA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5

| STAFF COSTS AT UK HEIS <br> £ billion cash |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Academic departments | Academic services | inistration <br> \& central services | Premises | Residences \& catering operations | Research grants \& contracts | Other expenditure | Total |
| Academic staff costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994/95 | 2.53 | 0.03 | 0.04 | .. | .. | 0.56 | 0.09 | 3.25 |
| 1995/96 | 2.78 | 0.03 | 0.05 | .. | .. | 0.59 | 0.09 | 3.54 |
| 1996/97 | 2.85 | 0.03 | 0.06 | . | .. | 0.63 | 0.10 | 3.67 |
| 1997/98 | 2.85 | 0.03 | 0.05 | .. | .. | 0.65 | 0.10 | 3.68 |
| 1998/99 | 3.04 | 0.03 | 0.05 | .. | .. | 0.67 | 0.10 | 3.90 |
| 1999/00 | 3.26 | 0.04 | 0.06 | . | .. | 0.75 | 0.09 | 4.21 |
| 2000/01 | 3.44 | 0.04 | 0.07 | .. | .. | 0.79 | 0.12 | 4.46 |
| 2001/02 | 3.60 | 0.04 | 0.08 | . | .. | 0.90 | 0.11 | 4.74 |
| 2002/03 | 3.84 | 0.05 | 0.09 | . | .. | 1.00 | 0.13 | 5.11 |
| 2003/04 | 4.16 | 0.05 | 0.10 | . | .. | 1.04 | 0.13 | 5.48 |
| 2004/05 | 4.46 | 0.06 | 0.11 | .. | .. | 1.09 | 0.13 | 5.86 |
| 2005/06 | 4.75 | 0.06 | 0.12 | .. | .. | 1.18 | 0.13 | 6.24 |
| 2006/07 | 5.16 | 0.06 | 0.12 | . | .. | 1.25 | 0.12 | 6.70 |
| 2007/08 | 5.50 | 0.06 | 0.13 | .. | .. | 1.36 | 0.13 | 7.19 |
| 2008/09 | 5.91 | 0.07 | 0.13 | . | .. | 1.54 | 0.15 | 7.81 |
| 2009/10 | 6.13 | 0.08 | 0.16 | . | .. | 1.61 | 0.17 | 8.14 |
| 2010/11 | 6.18 | 0.07 | 0.14 | .. | . | 1.64 | 0.13 | 8.15 |
| 2011/12 | 6.26 | 0.07 | 0.13 | .. | .. | 1.64 | 0.12 | 8.23 |
| 2012/13 | 6.50 | 0.09 | 0.13 | .. | . | 1.71 | 0.12 | 8.55 |
| 2013/14 | 6.84 | 0.08 | 0.13 | . | .. | 1.83 | 0.11 | 9.02 |
| 2014/15 | 7.15 | 0.08 | 0.15 | . | .. | 2.01 | 0.11 | 9.53 |
| 2015/16 | 7.55 | 0.09 | 0.15 | .. | .. | 2.06 | 0.12 | 9.96 |
| 2016/17 | 7.96 | 0.09 | 0.15 | .. | . | 2.13 | 0.05 | 10.38 |
| Non-academic staff costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994/95 | 0.74 | 0.31 | 0.55 | 0.27 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.14 | 2.39 |
| 1995/96 | 0.80 | 0.35 | 0.59 | 0.29 | 0.21 | 0.19 | 0.15 | 2.59 |
| 1996/97 | 0.83 | 0.36 | 0.62 | 0.29 | 0.22 | 0.19 | 0.18 | 2.70 |
| 1997/98 | 0.86 | 0.39 | 0.65 | 0.30 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.18 | 2.80 |
| 1998/99 | 0.89 | 0.42 | 0.70 | 0.31 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.21 | 3.00 |
| 1999/00 | 0.96 | 0.45 | 0.75 | 0.33 | 0.25 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 3.17 |
| 2000/01 | 1.02 | 0.50 | 0.82 | 0.34 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.24 | 3.45 |
| 2001/02 | 1.09 | 0.54 | 0.88 | 0.36 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 3.63 |
| 2002/03 | 1.16 | 0.58 | 1.00 | 0.38 | 0.28 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 3.88 |
| 2003/04 | 1.25 | 0.64 | 1.07 | 0.41 | 0.29 | 0.26 | 0.33 | 4.25 |
| 2004/05 | 1.35 | 0.68 | 1.14 | 0.44 | 0.30 | 0.27 | 0.34 | 4.52 |
| 2005/06 | 1.43 | 0.76 | 1.28 | 0.48 | 0.31 | 0.28 | 0.42 | 4.95 |
| 2006/07 | 1.55 | 0.83 | 1.43 | 0.52 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.46 | 5.47 |
| 2007/08 | 1.69 | 0.93 | 1.54 | 0.55 | 0.34 | 0.37 | 0.52 | 5.95 |
| 2008/09 | 1.81 | 1.02 | 1.73 | 0.60 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.49 | 6.36 |
| 2009/10 | 1.84 | 1.05 | 1.80 | 0.61 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.48 | 6.50 |
| 2010/11 | 1.83 | 1.07 | 1.85 | 0.60 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 0.51 | 6.58 |
| 2011/12 | 1.80 | 1.10 | 1.89 | 0.59 | 0.35 | 0.37 | 0.49 | 6.58 |
| 2012/13 | 1.86 | 1.21 | 1.94 | 0.60 | 0.34 | 0.41 | 0.50 | 6.86 |
| 2013/14 | 1.90 | 1.27 | 2.08 | 0.62 | 0.35 | 0.48 | 0.52 | 7.25 |
| 2014/15 | 1.95 | 1.37 | 2.22 | 0.65 | 0.36 | 0.46 | 0.54 | 7.59 |
| 2015/16 | 2.03 | 1.50 | 2.34 | 0.67 | 0.37 | 0.47 | 0.70 | 8.08 |
| 2016/17 | 2.14 | 1.58 | 2.51 | 0.70 | 0.37 | 0.50 | 0.69 | 8.49 |
| Source: | Resources of higher education institutions 2008/09, and earlier, HESA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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