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## Summary

1. The proportion of UK-domiciled, full-time first degree graduates attaining a first class honours degree from an English higher education provider has increased from 16 per cent in 2010-11 to 29 per cent in 2017-18, an overall increase of roughly 80 per cent over the period. For the same graduate population, the proportion attaining a first or an upper second class degree has increased from 67 per cent in 2010-11 to 79 per cent in 2017-18.
2. In December 2018, the Office for Students (OfS) published 'Analysis of degree classifications with time: Changes in graduate attainment' (OfS 2018.54), which uses statistical modelling to investigate changes in the proportions of graduates attaining first or upper second class degrees over the academic years from 2010-11 to 2016-171. The UK Standing Committee for Quality Assessment also published an analysis investigating this issue in November 2018². Both reports concluded that the growing proportion of the first and upper second class degrees awarded cannot be fully explained by factors linked with degree attainment, whether graduate or higher education provider characteristics.
3. This report builds on OfS 2018.54 by expanding the time and the domicile scope of the graduate population considered to all UK-domiciled ${ }^{3}$ graduates who qualified in academic years from 2010-11 to 2017-18 inclusive. Improvements in classifying the equivalent entry qualifications of individuals have also been made. These changes mean that the results presented in this report differ in some cases from those published in OfS 2018.54. While the results in each report are thus not directly comparable, the changes do not affect the overall conclusions of either report.
4. Our new analysis finds that in 2017-18, across the 148 providers considered, 13.9 percentage points' worth of first class degree attainment is unexplained ${ }^{4}$ by changes in the graduate population since 2010-11, an increase of 2.4 percentage points from the unexplained attainment in 2016-17.
5. In respect of first class degree attainment, the new analysis shows that in 2017-18:

[^0]a. 71 per cent of providers ( 105 providers) show a statistically significant unexplained increase relative to both the sector and their own level in 2010-11. In 2016-17, 55 per cent of those providers (82) showed a statistically significant unexplained increase relative to both the sector and their own level in 2010-11.
b. A further 8 per cent of providers (12) show a statistically significant unexplained level of attainment above that of the sector in 2010-11, but no significant change relative to their own level in 2010-11. In 2016-17, this number was 16 per cent (23).
c. Another 15 per cent of providers (22) show a statistically significant unexplained increase relative to their own level in 2010-11, but attainment not significantly above the sector level in 2010-11. In 2016-17, this number was 18 per cent (26).
d. The remaining 6 per cent of providers (nine) showed no significant unexplained increase in attainment relative to their own level in 2010-11 and attainment not significantly above that in the sector in 2010-11. In 2016-17, this number was 11 per cent (17).
6. We performed additional analysis to try to address comments made after the release of OfS 2018.54. Its findings include:
a. Sector-level results of additional modelling where 'additional contextual variables' for graduates (ethnicity, sex, declared disability status and Participation of Local Areas (POLAR4) quintile) are omitted as explanatory variables in the modelling.
b. The effect of closing (reducing to zero) attainment gaps between individuals of differing sex, ethnicity, declared disability status and POLAR4 quintile on the sectorlevel unexplained attainment of first or upper second class degrees combined, and first class degrees alone. In a hypothetical sector where attainment gaps within the aforementioned groups do not exist the sector-level unexplained attainment of first and upper second class degrees combined in 2017-18 is estimated to be 6.0 percentage points (compared with 13.3 percentage points for the sector where attainment gaps exist). In this same scenario, for first class degrees alone the unexplained sector-level attainment in 2017-18 is estimated to be 10.9 percentage points (compared with 13.9 percentage points for the sector where attainment gaps exist).

## Introduction

7. This report sets out the results of our analysis of changes in the proportion of first and upper second class degrees awarded between 2010-11 and 2017-18. We report on how graduate attainment has changed over this time period, and the extent to which these changes can be accounted for by changes in certain characteristics of the graduate population. This analysis has been undertaken at both the sector level and the provider level. The sector-level analysis and a summary of the provider-level analysis are in the main body of this report, with detailed results of the provider-level analysis available separately (in Annex A and in full provider tables available at www.officeforstudents.org.uk/publications/analysis-of-degree-classifications-over-time/).
8. The graduate population considered in this report comprises UK-domiciled first degree graduates ${ }^{5}$ who studied full-time, were registered at higher education providers in England and graduated in the academic years from 2010-11 to 2017-18.
9. We further limit the graduate population included in this analysis to only include those who qualified from English providers awarding at least 10 classified honours degrees in each of the academic years considered, in total including 1,954,445 graduates ${ }^{6}$ from 148 providers (hereafter collectively referred to as 'the sector', for the purposes of this report only). Comparisons of key aspects of this population with the population of graduates from all English providers are presented in Annex B.
10. We have used statistical modelling of individual-level graduate data to predict expected patterns in degree classification attainment between 2010-11 to 2017-18, accounting for following graduate characteristics (explanatory variables):

- the provider at which the graduate was registered
- year of graduation
- subject studied
- qualifications on entry into higher education
- age
- additional contextual variables:

[^1]- declared disability status
- ethnicity
- sex
- Participation of Local Areas (POLAR4) quintile.

11. We present sector-level results from modelling where the additional contextual variables have been included (the 'full model') and omitted (the 'simplified model') as explanatory variables (see Annex D for details).
12. The modelling predicts little variation in the proportion of students attaining first and upper second class degrees between 2010-11 and 2017-18, meaning that the sectorlevel increase of 13.6 percentage points in first class degree attainment over this time period is considered unexplained by these factors alone.
13. The term 'unexplained' in this context means that changes in attainment over the time period cannot be accounted for by changes in the characteristics of the graduating cohort in terms of the explanatory variables included in the statistical modelling. It is not possible to deduce from this analysis what factors not included in the modelling (such as improvements in teaching quality, more diligent students or changes to assessment approaches) are driving the observed changes in degree attainment.
14. We first present a sector-level overview of the changes in the observed proportion of graduates who attained a first or an upper second class degree, and of those who attained a first class degree, in the academic years 2010-11 to 2017-18. We then disaggregate these changes and present the attainment of graduates by qualifications held on entry into higher education.
15. We then present sector-level findings from statistical modelling and the associated analysis that allows us to determine how much of the observed increase in attainment of first and upper second degree classifications over time can be attributed to changes in the characteristics (explanatory variables) of the graduate population, and how much remains unexplained, as defined in paragraph 13.
16. Next, we present estimates of the unexplained attainment of first and upper second class degrees combined, and of first class degrees alone, in a 'hypothetical' sector where attainment gaps between individuals of differing sex, ethnicity, declared disability status and POLAR4 quintile do not exist in the academic year 2017-18 (see Annex C for details).
17. Finally, summaries of the provider-level analysis are presented (based on the full model, see paragraph 11), where for all academic years and for first and upper second class degrees combined, and for first class degrees alone, we have flagged providers where the unexplained attainment levels are statistically significantly below or above, firstly the average level of the sector in 2010-11, and secondly the level at the same provider in 2010-11.

## Results

## Sector-level analysis

## Sector overview

18. Table 1 shows a breakdown of the proportions of graduates attaining different classified degrees in the academic years 2010-11, 2016-17 and 2017-18. The proportion of graduates attaining a first class degree in 2017-18 is 13.6 percentage points higher than in 2010-11, a relative increase of 87 per cent. The proportion of graduates attaining an upper second class degree in 2017-18 is 1.6 percentage points lower than in 2010-11.

Table 1: Degree classifications summary for academic years 2010-11, 2016-17 and 2017-18

| Degree <br> classification | 2010-11 <br> number | 2010-11 <br> proportion | 2016-17 <br> number | 2016-17 <br> proportion | 2017-18 <br> number | 2017-18 <br> proportion |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| First | 34,910 | $15.7 \%$ | 68,990 | $27.2 \%$ | 75,840 | $29.3 \%$ |
| Upper second | 114,075 | $51.3 \%$ | 128,550 | $50.7 \%$ | 128,800 | $49.7 \%$ |
| Other <br> classifications | 73,445 | $33.0 \%$ | 56,030 | $22.1 \%$ | 54,545 | $21.0 \%$ |
| Total | 222,430 | $100.0 \%$ | 253,565 | $100.0 \%$ | 259,185 | $100.0 \%$ |

19. Figure 1 shows the changes in the proportions of all classified honours degrees awarded as first and upper second class from 2010-11 to 2017-18. It demonstrates further that the proportion of graduates attaining an upper second class degree over the period has remained relatively constant at around 50 per cent, while the proportion attaining a first class degree has risen steadily. The increase in the proportion of graduates attaining a first or an upper second over the period therefore appears to be driven by the increase in attainment of first class degrees.

Figure 1: Changes in proportions of classified degrees awarded as first and upper second class from 2010-11 to 2017-18

20. Figures 2 to 5 present 'box-and-whisker' summary plots of degree attainment at individual providers and across the sector. In each of these figures the solid horizontal line spanning the interior of the shaded box indicates the median attainment across all the providers, while the upper and lower bounds of the shaded box indicate the third (Q3) and first (Q1) quartiles of attainment across providers, respectively. The shaded region indicates the inter-quartile range (IQR) of attainment across providers, and the caps on the 'whiskers' (vertical lines extending out from the shaded box) indicate the value of the data point nearest to, but lower than Q3+1.5*IQR for the upper whisker cap (also known as the upper fence), and nearest to but greater than Q1-1.5*IQR for the lower whisker cap (also known as the lower fence). Data points outside the range between the upper and lower whisker caps are considered 'outliers'.
21. Figure 2 shows a summary of the proportion of classified degrees awarded as first or upper second class for academic years 2010-11 to 2017-18 across the 148 providers included in this analysis. The mean attainment across the sector is also indicated. There is large variation in attainment of upper second and first class degrees across providers, but a consistent shift to higher rates of attainment and a reduction in the variation across providers during the time series. There are few outliers, all exhibiting attainment levels below the lower whisker cap of the respective year.

Figure 2: Provider-level summary distributions for proportions of first or upper second class degrees from 2010-11 to 2017-18

22. Figure 3 shows the equivalent summary, for attainment of first class degrees only, over the same time period, showing that there is a large variation in the attainment of such degrees across providers, a consistent shift to higher rates of attainment across the time series, and an increase in the variation across providers. There are more outliers and the vast majority of these exhibit attainment of first class degrees higher than the upper whisker cap.
23. Figure 4 shows the year-on-year changes in the attainment of upper second or first class degrees for the 148 providers and the mean for the sector. The year-on-year increase for the sector is around 2.3 percentage points for 2010-11 to 2013-14, after which it drops to around 1.3 percentage points. The figure also shows that the year-on-year changes within providers exhibit a lower variation than the attainment in Figure 2, but with more outliers. The greatest fluctuations in year-on-year attainment changes occur in providers with small numbers, where changes in the outcomes for a small number of students can greatly change the proportion attaining a particular degree outcome ${ }^{7}$.

[^2]Figure 3: Provider-level summary distributions for proportions of first class degrees awarded from 2010-11 to 2017-18


Figure 4: Provider-level summaries year-by-year changes in proportions of first or upper second class degrees awarded from 2010-11 to 2017-18

24. Figure 5 shows the same data as Figure 4 but for first class degrees alone, exhibiting similar features. The year-on-year increase for the sector is around 2.0 percentage points across all years.

Figure 5: Provider-level summaries for year-by-year changes in proportions of first class degrees awarded from 2010-11 to 2017-18


## Changes by entry qualifications

25. Figure 6 presents the changes in attainment of first and upper second class degrees combined in relation to graduates' entry qualifications for the academic years 2010-11, 2016-17 and 2017-18. A mean increase in attainment of 13.3 percentage points for all entry qualifications is observed from 2010-11 to 2017-18, and a mean increase in attainment of 1.4 percentage points is observed from 2016-17 to 2017-18.
26. Figure 6 shows that there has been little change in attainment for graduates who entered higher education with high A-levels or equivalent qualifications. As attainment for these groups was already very high, there is less scope for significant increase. Graduates who entered higher education with three A grades at A-level (or equivalent) have seen an increase in first or upper second class degrees of 3.1 percentage points from 2010-11 to 2017-18, and an increase of 0.5 percentage points from 2016-17 to 2017-18. The greatest increase in attainment is observed for graduates who entered higher education with the equivalent of below DDD at A-level, with a 29.1 percentage point increase (a relative increase of 73 per cent) from 2010-11 to 2017-18, and a 6.7 percentage point increase (a relative increase of 11 per cent) from 2016-17 to 2017-18.

Figure 6: First and upper second class degree attainment by entry qualifications for academic years 2010-11, 2016-17 and 2017-18

27. Figure 7 shows first class degree attainment only by entry qualifications.
28. The largest absolute increase from 2010-11 to 2017-18, of 18.6 percentage points, is seen among graduates who entered with BCC at A-level (or equivalent). In terms of relative change, for some entry qualification groups the percentage point increases equate to more than a tripling in the proportion of graduates attaining a first class degree in 2017-18 compared with 2010-11. For example, graduates who entered with grades below DDD at A-level (or equivalent) were almost four times as likely to receive a first class degree in 2017-18 as they were in 2010-11. The likelihood for those entering with the following entry qualifications (or equivalent) at least doubled from 2010-11 to 201718: ABC, BBB, BBC, BCC, CCC, CCD, CDD, DDD and below at A-level; BTEC MMM and below; combinations of A-levels and BTECs; and other Level 3 qualifications.
29. In terms of the change in attainment from 2016-17 to 2017-18, all entry qualifications show at least a slight increase, with the greatest increase for the A-levels and in particular grades below DDD at A-level (or equivalent), which saw an increase of 4.4 percentage points, a relative increase of 27 per cent.

Figure 7: First class degree attainment by entry qualifications for academic years 2010-11, 2016-17 and 2017-18


## Results from statistical modelling

30. Tables 2 and 3 present sector-level changes in the attainment of first and upper second class degrees combined and of first class degrees alone, respectively, from 2010-11 through to 2017-18. The tables show the observed proportion of graduates attaining the respective degree classification ('Observed'), the percentage point ('pp') change in the observed attainment relative to 2010-11 ('Change from 2010-11') and the percentage point change which is unexplained once changes in the characteristics of the graduate population included in the modelling have been accounted for ('Unexplained change'). These results are shown for the modelling where additional contextual variables have been included ('full model') and when they have been omitted ('simplified model'). Details of the methodology used to determine unexplained attainment can be found in Annex C. Full model specifications can be found in Annex D.

Table 2: Summary of observed and unexplained sector-level changes in first and upper second class degree attainment combined for the 'full' and 'simplified' models
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|rrrr|}\hline \text { Academic year } & \begin{array}{r}\text { Observed } \\ (\%)\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Change from } \\ \mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1} \\ (\mathrm{pp})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Unexplained } \\ \text { change } \\ \text { (full model) } \\ \text { (pp) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Unexplained } \\ \text { change }\end{array} \\ \text { (simplified } \\ \text { model) } \\ \text { (pp) }\end{array}\right)$

Table 3: Summary of observed and unexplained sector-level changes in first class degree attainment for the 'full' and 'simplified' models
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|rrrr|}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Academic } \\ \text { Year }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Observed } \\ (\%)\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Change from } \\ \mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1} \\ \text { (pp) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Unexplained } \\ \text { change } \\ \text { (full model) } \\ \text { (pp) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Unexplained } \\ \text { change }\end{array} \\ \text { (simplified } \\ \text { model) }\end{array}\right)$
31. From 2011-12 to 2015-16, the unexplained percentage point increase in attainment for both models is higher for first and upper second class degrees combined than for first class degrees alone. In all years the majority of the observed change in percentage point increase is unexplained.
32. Table 2 shows an unexplained percentage point increase in attainment of 13.3 for first and upper second class degrees in 2017-18 (full model), compared with the observed change of 12.0 percentage points. This shows that the full model predicts that the overall proportion of graduates attaining these degree classifications in 2017-18 will be lower
than in 2010-11. This also applies to 2015-16 and 2016-17, where the unexplained percentage point change is also greater than the observed change.
33. The simplified models also show that the majority of increases in attainment over the time period are unexplained by changes in the graduating cohorts, though the unexplained proportion is lower across all years compared with the full model.
34. Table 3 shows that the 11.5 percentage point increase in first class degree attainment from 2010-11 to 2016-17 is entirely unexplained by the changes in the combined effects of the factors included in the full model. The results from the full model also show that the attainment of first class degrees in 2017-18 is predicted to be lower than in 2010-11.

## Additional analysis - hypothetically closed attainment gaps

35. We have produced estimates of the effect of closing (reducing to zero) the existing attainment gaps between individuals of differing sex, ethnicity, disability and POLAR4 quintile (addition contextual variable groups) on the sector-level unexplained attainment of first or upper second class degrees combined, and first class degrees alone, for 201718 (see Annex C for details) ${ }^{8}$.
36. The highest attaining individual characteristics in the additional contextual variable groups were considered to be those showing the greatest (most positive) regression coefficient estimates in the full model ${ }^{9}$ (regression coefficient estimates can be found in Annex D).
37. For upper second and first class degrees combined, the highest attaining graduates in the additional contextual variable groups are white, non-disabled women from POLAR4 quintile 5 areas.
38. If all graduates attained at the levels predicted by these characteristics in 2017-18, the estimated 2017-18 sector unexplained attainment of first or upper second class degrees combined is estimated to be 6.0 percentage points. This is compared with 13.3 percentage points of attainment being 'unexplained' where the attainment gaps exist in the sector.
39. For first class degrees alone, the highest attaining graduates in the additional contextual variable groups are white, non-disabled women from POLAR4 quintile 4 areas.

[^3]40. If all graduates attained at the levels predicted by these characteristics, the estimated 2017-18 sector unexplained attainment of first class degrees alone is estimated to be 10.9 percentage points. This is compared with 13.9 percentage points of attainment being unexplained where the attainment gaps exist in the sector.

## Provider-level summaries

## Changes in attainment at providers relative to the sector in 2010-11

41. We have also investigated changes in graduate attainment at individual providers, relative both to the mean graduate attainment in the sector in 2010-11 and to the same provider in 2010-11.
42. Tables 4 and 5 present the number of providers showing unexplained graduate attainment for the years 2010-11 to 2017-18, for first and upper second class degrees combined and first class degrees alone respectively, relative to the mean graduate attainment in the sector in 2010-11, as derived from the 'full model'. In these tables providers are flagged ('Sector 2010-11 flag') as showing unexplained graduate attainment either significantly above, the same as or significantly below that of the mean graduate attainment in the sector in 2010-11 (see Annex E).
43. Tables 4 and 5 demonstrate, as expected, that in 2010-11 (the reference academic year) the majority of providers show attainment not significantly different from the sector average. In each subsequent year, there is a year-on-year increase in the number of providers flagged above the mean graduate attainment in the sector in 2010-11.

Table 4: Number of providers with unexplained graduate attainment significance flags (relative to the 2010-11 sector) for first and upper second class degrees

| Sector | $2010-$ | $2011-$ | $2012-$ | $2013-$ | $2014-$ | $2015-$ | $2016-$ | $2017-$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2010-11 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| flag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below | 8 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Same | 124 | 117 | 108 | 88 | 66 | 60 | 41 | 32 |
| Above | 16 | 25 | 39 | 60 | 82 | 88 | 107 | 116 |

Table 5: Number of providers with unexplained graduate attainment significance flags (relative to the 2010-11 sector) for first class degrees

| Sector <br> 2010-11 <br> flag | $2010-$ | 11 | $2011-$ | $2012-$ | $2013-$ | $2014-$ | $2015-$ | $2016-$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2017- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below | 17 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| Same | 117 | 112 | 100 | 90 | 79 | 63 | 43 | 31 |
| Above | 14 | 27 | 42 | 54 | 69 | 85 | 105 | 117 |

44. Since 2013-14, no providers have been flagged below the mean graduate attainment in the sector in 2010-11 for first or upper second class degrees combined. For first class degrees alone, no provider has been flagged as having attainment below the mean sector attainment in 2010-11 from 2014-15 onwards.
45. By 2017-18, 78 per cent (116) and 79 per cent (117) of the 148 providers are respectively flagged as showing unexplained graduate attainment significantly above that of the sector in 2010-11 for upper second and first class degrees combined and for first class degrees alone.

## Changes in attainment at providers relative to the provider in 2010-11

46. Tables 6 and 7 present the numbers of providers showing unexplained changes in graduate attainment for the years 2010-11 to 2017-18, for first and upper second class degrees combined and first class degrees alone respectively, relative to the mean graduate attainment at the same provider in 2010-11, as derived from the 'full model'.
47. In these tables providers are flagged ('Provider 2010-11 flag') as showing unexplained graduate attainment either significantly above, the same as or significantly below that of the mean graduate attainment at the same provider in 2010-11 (see Annex E).

Table 6: Number of providers with unexplained graduate attainment change significance flags (relative to the 2010-11 provider) for first and upper second class degrees

| Provider | $2010-$ | $2011-$ | $2012-$ | $2013-$ | $2014-$ | $2015-$ | $2016-$ | $2017-$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2010-11 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| flag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Same | 148 | 145 | 133 | 110 | 76 | 65 | 50 | 37 |
| Above | 0 | 3 | 15 | 38 | 72 | 83 | 98 | 111 |

Table 7: Number of providers with unexplained graduate attainment change significance flags (relative to the 2010-11 provider) for first class degrees

| Provider | $2010-$ | $2011-$ | $2012-$ | $2013-$ | $2014-$ | $2015-$ | $2016-$ | $2017-$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $2010-11$ | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| flag |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Below | 0 | 144 | 136 | 116 | 93 | 75 | 40 | 21 |
| Same | 148 | 144 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above | 0 | 4 | 12 | 32 | 55 | 73 | 108 | 127 |

48. Tables 6 and 7 demonstrate that none of the 148 providers have been flagged as having an unexplained decrease in graduate attainment either of first and upper second class degrees combined, or of first class degrees alone, relative to their own levels in 2010-11.
49. Over time, there is a consistent increase in the number of providers showing unexplained graduate attainment significantly above their own levels in 2010-11.
50. The increase in providers flagged above their 2010-11 position is most pronounced in the first class degrees, with 86 per cent (127) of the providers exhibiting an unexplained increase in graduate attainment in 2017-18 compared with attainment at the same provider in 2010-11.

## Summary of changes in attainment at the provider level from 2010-11 to 201718

51. In each year providers can be classified as having significant unexplained graduate attainment relative to the sector or to themselves in 2010-11. By 2017-18, in terms of graduate attainment of first or upper second class degrees combined, 68 per cent (100) of the 148 providers are flagged as significantly above both the sector and themselves; 11 per cent (16) were flagged as higher than the sector, but not themselves; 7 per cent (11) showed a significant unexplained increase relative to themselves, but not the sector; and 14 per cent (21) showed no significant unexplained change relative to the sector or themselves.
52. In terms of graduate attainment of first class degrees alone in 2017-18 compared with 2010-11, 71 per cent (105) of the 148 providers showed a significant unexplained increase relative to both the sector and themselves in 2010-11; 8 per cent (12) were flagged as higher than the sector, but not themselves; 15 per cent (22) showed a significant unexplained increase relative to themselves, but not the sector; and 6 per cent (nine) showed no significant unexplained change relative to the sector or themselves.

## Annex A: Provider-level results for academic years 2010-11 and 2017-18

1. This annex contains the provider-level graduate attainment modelling results for the academic years 2010-11 and 2017-18 for all 148 providers considered in this report. Complete findings for years 2010-11 to 2017-18, along with the sector 2010-11 and provider 2010-11 flag Z-scores (see Annex E), are available in full provider tables at www.officeforstudents.org.uk/publications/analysis-of-degree-classifications-over-time/.
2. Table A1 contains the provider-level results for first and upper second class degrees combined. Table A2 contains the provider-level results for first class degrees alone. The results in these tables are produced using the 'full model' given in Equation D1 in Annex D.
3. The table headings are as follows:
a. Number - The number of graduates attaining a classified degree from the provider.
b. Observed (\%) - The proportion of these graduates attaining the specified degree classifications.
c. Sector 2010-11 flag - Whether attainment at the provider was statistically significantly above (1), below ( -1 ) or no different from (0) the attainment in the sector in 2010-11, with the effect of all explanatory variables accounted for (see Annex E).
d. Provider 2010-11 flag - Whether attainment at the provider was statistically significantly above (1), below (-1) or no different from (0) the attainment at the same provider in 2010-11, with the effect of all explanatory variables accounted for (see Annex E).
e. Unexplained (pp) - The unexplained attainment at the provider relative to the attainment in the sector in 2010-11 (calculated using only the fixed effects of the 'full' mixed-effect logistic regression model presented in Annex D). A negative number of percentage points $(\mathrm{pp})$ here indicates that attainment at the provider is beneath that of the average sector attainment in 2010-11 with the effect of explanatory variables accounted for.

Table A1: Provider-level results for first and upper second class degrees combined in academic years 2010-11 and 2017-18

| Provider name | 2010-11 number | 2010-11 observed (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2010-11 } \\ \text { sector } \\ 2010-11 \\ \text { flag } \end{array}$ | 2010-11 unexplained (pp) | 2017-18 number | 2017-18 observed (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2017-18 } \\ \text { sector } \\ \text { 2010-11 } \\ \text { flag } \end{array}$ | 2017-18 provider 2010-11 flag | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2017-18 } \\ \text { unexplained } \end{array}$ (pp) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anglia Ruskin University Higher Corporation | 1,950 | 57.8 | 0 | 0.6 | 3,180 | 75.4 | 1 | 1 | 21.2 |
| Arts University Bournemouth, the | 615 | 61.0 | -1 | -6.3 | 915 | 67.6 | 0 | 1 | 0.8 |
| University of the Arts, London | 2,170 | 69.6 | 0 | 1.1 | 2,100 | 75.3 | 1 | 1 | 7.9 |
| Askham Bryan College* | 35 | 60.6 | 0 | 3.4 | 80 | 79.3 | 1 | 1 | 24.3 |
| Aston University | 1,305 | 73.8 | 1 | 0.9 | 1,685 | 87.1 | 1 | 1 | 17.1 |
| The University of Bath | 1,625 | 84.5 | 0 | 2.6 | 2,295 | 89.8 | 1 | 1 | 5.9 |
| Bath Spa University | 1,265 | 68.4 | 0 | 4.2 | 1,590 | 81.5 | 1 | 1 | 17.3 |
| University of Bedfordshire | 1,485 | 57.3 | 0 | 3.2 | 1,620 | 68.5 | 1 | 1 | 16.6 |
| The University of Birmingham | 3,990 | 77.7 | 0 | -2.1 | 4,515 | 88.6 | 1 | 1 | 6.7 |
| University College Birmingham | 475 | 45.5 | 0 | -9.3 | 820 | 61.6 | 1 | 1 | 7.9 |
| Birmingham City University | 2,865 | 65.0 | 1 | 6.6 | 4,095 | 73.7 | 1 | 1 | 16.8 |
| Bishop Burton College* | 60 | 35.0 | -1 | -13.0 | 95 | 41.7 | 0 | 0 | -9.6 |
| Bishop Grosseteste University | 430 | 56.0 | 0 | -4.4 | 530 | 69.5 | 0 | 1 | 10.1 |
| Blackburn College* | 270 | 52.4 | 0 | -3.9 | 370 | 50.5 | 0 | 0 | -3.5 |
| Blackpool and the Fylde College* | 245 | 61.7 | 0 | 3.9 | 410 | 61.1 | 0 | 0 | 6.3 |
| The University of Bolton | 635 | 49.9 | 0 | -4.5 | 755 | 60.6 | 1 | 1 | 8.1 |
| Bournemouth University | 2,260 | 68.2 | 0 | 5.5 | 2,930 | 81.1 | 1 | 1 | 18.9 |
| The University of Bradford | 1,270 | 47.8 | 0 | -9.5 | 1,350 | 79.3 | 1 | 1 | 24.1 |


| Provider name | 2010-11 number | 2010-11 observed (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} 2010-11 \\ \text { sector } \\ 2010-11 \\ \text { flag } \end{array}$ | 2010-11 unexplained (pp) | 2017-18 number | 2017-18 observed (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2017-18 } \\ \text { sector } \\ 2010-11 \\ \text { flag } \end{array}$ | 2017-18 provider 2010-11 flag | 2017-18 unexplained (pp) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bradford College* | 315 | 43.0 | 0 | -10.6 | 315 | 55.7 | 0 | 1 | 3.5 |
| University of Brighton | 2,565 | 64.5 | 0 | 1.7 | 3,130 | 74.3 | 1 | 1 | 12.4 |
| University of Bristol | 2,620 | 86.0 | 0 | -0.6 | 3,565 | 92.4 | 1 | 1 | 6.0 |
| Brunel University London | 2,250 | 67.3 | 1 | 2.4 | 1,975 | 78.5 | 1 | 1 | 13.1 |
| The University of Buckingham | 80 | 53.7 | 0 | -2.8 | 125 | 81.5 | 1 | 1 | 19.8 |
| Buckinghamshire New University | 915 | 47.7 | 0 | -6.5 | 1,370 | 60.2 | 0 | 1 | 6.1 |
| University of Cambridge | 2,355 | 87.3 | 0 | -3.2 | 2,045 | 93.9 | 1 | 1 | 4.0 |
| Canterbury Christ Church University | 1,815 | 60.1 | 0 | 2.5 | 2,480 | 69.1 | 1 | 1 | 13.1 |
| University of Central Lancashire | 3,575 | 57.4 | 0 | 0.9 | 3,355 | 72.0 | 1 | 1 | 16.8 |
| University of Chester | 1,510 | 60.8 | 0 | 1.5 | 2,400 | 71.6 | 1 | 1 | 13.5 |
| The University of Chichester | 895 | 60.2 | 0 | 2.5 | 1,175 | 74.9 | 1 | 1 | 17.4 |
| City, University of London | 1,270 | 66.5 | 0 | -1.2 | 1,500 | 72.7 | 1 | 0 | 4.5 |
| The Conservatoire for Dance and Drama* | 105 | 83.5 | 1 | 18.4 | 120 | 78.7 | 1 | 0 | 11.4 |
| Courtauld Institute of Art* | 50 | 91.8 | 0 | 1.5 | 45 | 91.5 | 1 | 0 | 4.8 |
| Coventry University | 2,360 | 68.4 | 1 | 10.1 | 3,825 | 81.7 | 1 | 1 | 23.4 |
| University for the Creative Arts | 1,045 | 47.8 | -1 | -14.1 | 1,025 | 75.1 | 1 | 1 | 15.1 |
| The University of Cumbria | 1,330 | 61.4 | 0 | 2.0 | 1,215 | 68.8 | 1 | 1 | 11.7 |
| De Montfort University | 2,825 | 53.0 | 0 | -4.8 | 3,980 | 75.7 | 1 | 1 | 19.8 |
| University of Derby | 2,265 | 53.6 | 0 | -0.4 | 2,515 | 68.9 | 1 | 1 | 14.7 |


| Provider name | 2010-11 number | 2010-11 observed (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} 2010-11 \\ \text { sector } \\ 2010-11 \\ \text { flag } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2010-11 } \\ \text { unexplained } \end{array}$ (pp) | 2017-18 number | 2017-18 observed (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} 2017-18 \\ \text { sector } \\ 2010-11 \\ \text { flag } \end{array}$ | 2017-18 provider 2010-11 flag | 2017-18 unexplained <br> (pp) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DN Colleges Group* | 160 | 53.5 | 0 | -3.0 | 310 | 54.0 | 0 | 0 | -1.0 |
| University of Durham | 2,825 | 83.4 | 0 | -3.2 | 2,970 | 93.4 | 1 | 1 | 7.2 |
| The University of East Anglia | 2,260 | 73.4 | 0 | 0.8 | 2,475 | 91.0 | 1 | 1 | 17.8 |
| University of East London | 1,940 | 51.7 | 0 | -3.2 | 1,980 | 70.1 | 1 | 1 | 16.9 |
| Edge Hill University | 1,940 | 54.7 | 0 | -0.2 | 2,905 | 74.1 | 1 | 1 | 16.9 |
| The University of Essex | 1,665 | 64.8 | 0 | 1.0 | 2,045 | 76.7 | 1 | 1 | 14.1 |
| University of Exeter | 2,935 | 85.5 | 0 | 4.8 | 4,005 | 91.4 | 1 | 1 | 9.1 |
| Falmouth University | 765 | 63.6 | 0 | -2.6 | 1,270 | 78.3 | 1 | 1 | 11.3 |
| Farnborough College of Technology* | 85 | 54.2 | 0 | -4.1 | 85 | 60.5 | 0 | 0 | 4.5 |
| Gateshead College* | 20 | 61.9 | 0 | 7.1 | 45 | 72.7 | 1 | 0 | 15.5 |
| University of Gloucestershire | 1,240 | 72.8 | 1 | 12.1 | 1,680 | 74.6 | 1 | 0 | 15.2 |
| Goldsmiths' College | 1,105 | 69.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 1,215 | 81.6 | 1 | 1 | 13.5 |
| Greater Brighton Metropolitan College* | 170 | 67.6 | 0 | 9.1 | 140 | 73.9 | 1 | 0 | 14.7 |
| University of Greenwich | 2,475 | 55.7 | 0 | -0.7 | 2,860 | 79.6 | 1 | 1 | 21.6 |
| Grimsby Institute of Further and Higher Education* | 195 | 52.3 | 0 | -4.0 | 220 | 61.0 | 0 | 0 | 4.9 |
| Guildhall School of Music \& Drama* | 100 | 88.2 | 1 | 16.2 | 125 | 92.8 | 1 | 0 | 19.7 |
| Harper Adams University | 255 | 53.1 | 0 | -9.9 | 355 | 76.2 | 0 | 1 | 11.4 |


| Provider name | 2010-11 number | 2010-11 observed (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} 2010-11 \\ \text { sector } \\ 2010-11 \\ \text { flag } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2010-11 } \\ \text { unexplained } \\ (p p) \end{array}$ | 2017-18 number | 2017-18 observed (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2017-18 } \\ \text { sector } \\ 2010-11 \\ \text { flag } \end{array}$ | 2017-18 provider 2010-11 flag | 2017-18 unexplained (pp) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Havering College of Further and Higher Education* | 125 | 61.0 | 0 | 6.1 | 70 | 65.2 | 1 | 0 | 9.2 |
| Hereford College of Arts* | 85 | 63.5 | 0 | 5.7 | 90 | 73.6 | 1 | 1 | 15.4 |
| University of Hertfordshire | 2,945 | 67.2 | 1 | 9.3 | 3,555 | 69.5 | 1 | 0 | 11.7 |
| Heythrop College* | 115 | 72.6 | 0 | -0.8 | 15 | 82.4 | 1 | 1 | 14.9 |
| The University of Huddersfield | 2,460 | 57.9 | 0 | -0.2 | 2,715 | 81.3 | 1 | 1 | 22.5 |
| The University of Hull | 2,570 | 57.2 | 0 | -5.2 | 2,565 | 72.9 | 1 | 1 | 13.6 |
| Hull College* | 215 | 57.4 | 0 | -0.3 | 145 | 51.7 | 0 | 0 | -2.6 |
| Imperial College of Science, Technology and Medicine | 1,295 | 84.8 | 0 | -3.4 | 1,155 | 93.3 | 1 | 1 | 3.7 |
| University of Keele | 1,120 | 67.8 | 0 | 3.9 | 1,555 | 79.7 | 1 | 1 | 15.9 |
| The University of Kent | 2,930 | 69.6 | 0 | 2.7 | 3,325 | 80.3 | 1 | 1 | 12.9 |
| King's College London | 2,180 | 80.6 | 0 | -1.0 | 2,595 | 88.1 | 1 | 1 | 6.9 |
| Kingston University | 3,150 | 62.0 | 1 | 3.9 | 2,915 | 74.2 | 1 | 1 | 17.6 |
| Kirklees College* | 25 | 52.0 | 0 | -5.8 | 15 | 61.5 | 0 | 0 | 5.3 |
| The University of Lancaster | 2,100 | 76.6 | 0 | -0.8 | 1,875 | 84.2 | 1 | 1 | 6.6 |
| The University of Leeds | 5,165 | 80.2 | 0 | -0.2 | 4,955 | 89.1 | 1 | 1 | 8.3 |
| Leeds Arts University* | 290 | 66.7 | 0 | 3.9 | 430 | 79.9 | 1 | 1 | 12.7 |
| Leeds Beckett University | 4,245 | 55.8 | 0 | -3.1 | 4,200 | 71.7 | 1 | 1 | 14.7 |
| Leeds College of Music* | 205 | 87.9 | 1 | 18.5 | 270 | 79.2 | 1 | 0 | 15.3 |
| Leeds Trinity University | 540 | 44.6 | -1 | -13.7 | 820 | 79.2 | 1 | 1 | 22.2 |


| Provider name | 2010-11 number | 2010-11 observed (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} 2010-11 \\ \text { sector } \\ 2010-11 \\ \text { flag } \end{array}$ | 2010-11 unexplained (pp) | 2017-18 number | 2017-18 observed (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} 2017-18 \\ \text { sector } \\ 2010-11 \\ \text { flag } \end{array}$ | 2017-18 provider 2010-11 flag | 2017-18 unexplained (pp) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The University of Leicester | 2,015 | 73.8 | 0 | 0.4 | 2,160 | 81.8 | 1 | 1 | 9.0 |
| University of Lincoln | 2,140 | 58.0 | 0 | -1.1 | 2,735 | 78.0 | 1 | 1 | 16.4 |
| The University of Liverpool | 2,730 | 74.3 | 0 | 0.9 | 3,480 | 87.4 | 1 | 1 | 13.1 |
| Liverpool Hope University | 1,130 | 65.3 | 0 | 7.4 | 1,050 | 68.5 | 1 | 0 | 10.7 |
| The Liverpool Institute for Performing Arts* | 165 | 84.9 | 1 | 15.6 | 185 | 92.3 | 1 | 1 | 25.8 |
| Liverpool John Moores University | 3,935 | 61.1 | 0 | 3.5 | 4,485 | 76.0 | 1 | 1 | 16.5 |
| University College London | 2,275 | 84.1 | 0 | -0.7 | 2,635 | 91.9 | 1 | 1 | 5.8 |
| London Metropolitan University | 1,855 | 55.4 | 0 | -0.8 | 1,490 | 63.8 | 1 | 1 | 9.8 |
| The London School of Economics and Political Science | 670 | 83.0 | 0 | -5.6 | 700 | 91.6 | 1 | 1 | 3.7 |
| London South Bank University | 1,545 | 53.8 | 0 | -2.2 | 1,895 | 71.4 | 1 | 1 | 17.0 |
| Loughborough College* | 110 | 51.8 | 0 | -2.7 | 150 | 45.4 | 0 | 0 | -7.4 |
| Loughborough University | 2,780 | 72.9 | 0 | -1.9 | 2,715 | 85.6 | 1 | 1 | 8.6 |
| The University of Manchester | 5,505 | 75.2 | -1 | -5.8 | 5,055 | 87.1 | 1 | 1 | 6.8 |
| Manchester Metropolitan University | 5,295 | 59.6 | 0 | -0.3 | 6,305 | 73.8 | 1 | 1 | 13.8 |
| Middlesex University | 2,240 | 57.0 | 0 | 1.6 | 2,255 | 69.8 | 1 | 1 | 15.7 |
| Moulton College* | 35 | 62.2 | 0 | 9.5 | 85 | 55.2 | 0 | 0 | 3.0 |
| Nelson and Colne College* | 10 | 63.6 | 0 | 12.9 | 35 | 68.6 | 0 | 0 | 16.4 |
| New College Durham* | 105 | 42.3 | -1 | -13.6 | 130 | 63.4 | 0 | 1 | 9.1 |
| University of Newcastle upon Tyne | 3,095 | 78.0 | 0 | -1.2 | 3,620 | 86.2 | 1 | 1 | 7.6 |


| Provider name | 2010-11 number | 2010-11 observed (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} 2010-11 \\ \text { sector } \\ 2010-11 \\ \text { flag } \end{array}$ | 2010-11 unexplained (pp) | 2017-18 number | 2017-18 observed (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} 2017-18 \\ \text { sector } \\ 2010-11 \\ \text { flag } \end{array}$ | 2017-18 provider 2010-11 flag | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2017-18 } \\ \text { unexplained } \end{array}$ (pp) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Newman University | 490 | 48.2 | 0 | -9.1 | 475 | 62.1 | 1 | 1 | 5.6 |
| North East Surrey College of Technology (NESCOT)* | 25 | 77.8 | 0 | 19.7 | 35 | 69.4 | 0 | 0 | 10.6 |
| University of Northampton, The | 1,710 | 65.4 | 1 | 8.9 | 1,900 | 74.5 | 1 | 1 | 18.7 |
| The Northern School of Art* | 115 | 54.9 | 0 | -3.7 | 125 | 68.0 | 0 | 1 | 7.9 |
| University of Northumbria at Newcastle | 3,735 | 64.6 | 0 | 1.7 | 4,535 | 81.8 | 1 | 1 | 20.0 |
| Norwich University of the Arts | 405 | 62.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 550 | 71.4 | 0 | 0 | 6.8 |
| Nottingham Trent University | 4,205 | 57.5 | 0 | -4.1 | 5,360 | 76.5 | 1 | 1 | 15.1 |
| University of Nottingham, The | 4,115 | 78.6 | 0 | -2.0 | 5,275 | 87.9 | 1 | 1 | 7.4 |
| The School of Oriental and African Studies* | 475 | 81.4 | 0 | 2.1 | 510 | 82.5 | 1 | 0 | 6.9 |
| University of Oxford | 2,695 | 92.2 | 0 | 1.7 | 2,470 | 95.1 | 1 | 1 | 5.6 |
| Oxford Brookes University | 2,030 | 73.1 | 0 | 6.2 | 2,475 | 80.2 | 1 | 1 | 15.2 |
| University of Plymouth | 3,735 | 62.9 | 0 | 4.3 | 3,765 | 74.9 | 1 | 1 | 16.1 |
| Plymouth College of Art* | 155 | 59.2 | 0 | 1.0 | 335 | 55.2 | 0 | 0 | -1.9 |
| University of Portsmouth | 3,555 | 58.5 | 0 | -1.6 | 3,635 | 79.1 | 1 | 1 | 20.3 |
| Queen Mary University of London | 2,090 | 63.7 | 0 | -7.8 | 2,490 | 85.1 | 1 | 1 | 8.3 |
| Ravensbourne University London* | 285 | 60.8 | 0 | 2.0 | 565 | 62.7 | 0 | 0 | 2.7 |
| The University of Reading | 2,100 | 75.9 | 0 | 2.9 | 2,490 | 83.6 | 1 | 1 | 10.1 |
| Roehampton University | 1,485 | 55.6 | 0 | -3.8 | 1,515 | 69.8 | 1 | 1 | 12.6 |


| Provider name | 2010-11 number | 2010-11 observed (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} 2010-11 \\ \text { sector } \\ 2010-11 \\ \text { flag } \end{array}$ | 2010-11 unexplained (pp) | 2017-18 number | 2017-18 observed (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} 2017-18 \\ \text { sector } \\ 2010-11 \\ \text { flag } \end{array}$ | 2017-18 provider 2010-11 flag | 2017-18 unexplained <br> (pp) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rose Bruford College of Theatre and Performance* | 180 | 77.2 | 1 | 14.2 | 165 | 79.3 | 1 | 0 | 15.5 |
| The Royal Academy of Music* | 50 | 92.3 | 1 | 22.6 | 55 | 92.7 | 1 | 0 | 16.2 |
| The Royal Agricultural University | 215 | 41.6 | -1 | -19.7 | 255 | 65.9 | 0 | 1 | 6.1 |
| The Royal Central School of Speech and Drama | 155 | 85.9 | 1 | 13.1 | 200 | 87.6 | 1 | 0 | 17.7 |
| Royal College of Music | 40 | 80.0 | 0 | 1.9 | 70 | 84.3 | 1 | 0 | 9.5 |
| Royal Holloway and Bedford New College | 1,490 | 76.5 | 0 | -1.4 | 1,565 | 87.0 | 1 | 1 | 12.1 |
| Royal Northern College of Music | 65 | 74.6 | 0 | 4.1 | 115 | 88.0 | 1 | 1 | 11.4 |
| The Royal Veterinary College | 70 | 75.7 | 0 | -1.5 | 120 | 54.6 | 0 | 0 | -17.9 |
| University of Salford, The | 2,600 | 58.3 | 0 | -0.1 | 3,345 | 73.9 | 1 | 1 | 16.4 |
| The University of Sheffield | 3,525 | 78.3 | 0 | -1.2 | 3,595 | 86.6 | 1 | 1 | 5.5 |
| Sheffield Hallam University | 4,500 | 67.9 | 0 | 8.5 | 5,530 | 77.4 | 1 | 1 | 18.9 |
| Solent University | 2,065 | 50.5 | 0 | -6.2 | 2,015 | 72.5 | 1 | 1 | 18.9 |
| University of Southampton | 3,050 | 80.2 | 0 | 2.1 | 3,790 | 87.6 | 1 | 1 | 11.0 |
| Sparsholt College* | 60 | 58.3 | 0 | 3.4 | 90 | 58.0 | 0 | 0 | 3.8 |
| University of St Mark \& St John | 555 | 50.3 | 0 | -3.2 | 470 | 76.8 | 1 | 1 | 23.2 |
| St Mary's University, Twickenham | 725 | 54.7 | 0 | -3.2 | 995 | 76.9 | 1 | 1 | 20.1 |
| St. George's Hospital Medical School | 300 | 60.0 | 0 | -10.7 | 380 | 80.8 | 1 | 1 | 6.5 |


| Provider name | 2010-11 number | 2010-11 observed (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} 2010-11 \\ \text { sector } \\ 2010-11 \\ \text { flag } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2010-11 } \\ \text { unexplained } \end{array}$ (pp) | 2017-18 number | 2017-18 observed (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} 2017-18 \\ \text { sector } \\ 2010-11 \\ \text { flag } \end{array}$ | 2017-18 provider 2010-11 flag | 2017-18 unexplained (pp) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Staffordshire University | 1,850 | 54.6 | 0 | -2.0 | 1,905 | 69.9 | 1 | 1 | 16.9 |
| University of Suffolk* | 560 | 46.3 | -1 | -10.2 | 815 | 67.3 | 1 | 1 | 11.1 |
| University of Sunderland | 1,670 | 56.7 | 0 | 1.5 | 1,950 | 69.3 | 1 | 1 | 12.8 |
| The University of Surrey | 1,535 | 75.0 | 0 | 4.4 | 2,190 | 88.0 | 1 | 1 | 14.6 |
| University of Sussex | 1,890 | 81.7 | 0 | 4.8 | 2,020 | 85.2 | 1 | 1 | 11.2 |
| Teesside University | 1,645 | 55.3 | 0 | 0.1 | 2,475 | 68.9 | 1 | 1 | 15.6 |
| The Trafford College Group* | 120 | 53.4 | 0 | -3.4 | 95 | 61.9 | 0 | 0 | 4.6 |
| Trinity Laban Conservatoire of Music and Dance* | 140 | 80.9 | 0 | 8.6 | 155 | 87.7 | 1 | 1 | 16.3 |
| The University of Warwick | 2,395 | 83.7 | 0 | -2.0 | 2,700 | 86.6 | 0 | 1 | 1.1 |
| Warwickshire College* | 40 | 68.3 | 0 | 8.9 | 100 | 57.1 | 0 | 0 | 1.7 |
| The University of West London | 1,035 | 52.0 | 0 | -4.7 | 1,640 | 73.8 | 1 | 1 | 19.0 |
| University of the West of England, Bristol | 4,035 | 67.7 | 0 | 7.0 | 3,970 | 80.4 | 1 | 1 | 19.7 |
| The University of Westminster | 2,160 | 63.1 | 1 | 2.3 | 2,395 | 74.4 | 1 | 1 | 14.7 |
| Wiltshire College and University Centre* | 15 | 46.2 | 0 | -10.0 | 35 | 67.6 | 0 | 0 | 11.5 |
| University of Winchester | 1,100 | 65.1 | 0 | 2.3 | 1,600 | 77.4 | 1 | 1 | 15.1 |
| University of Wolverhampton | 2,085 | 55.6 | 0 | 1.6 | 3,050 | 70.4 | 1 | 1 | 18.1 |
| University of Worcester | 1,110 | 59.0 | 0 | 1.4 | 1,810 | 70.7 | 1 | 1 | 13.2 |
| Writtle University College* | 130 | 47.7 | 0 | -6.5 | 140 | 52.1 | 0 | 0 | -4.5 |


| Provider name | 2010-11 number | 2010-11 observed (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} 2010-11 \\ \text { sector } \\ 2010-11 \\ \text { flag } \end{array}$ | 2010-11 unexplained (pp) | 2017-18 number | 2017-18 observed (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2017-18 } \\ \text { sector } \\ \text { 2010-11 } \\ \text { flag } \end{array}$ | 2017-18 provider 2010-11 flag | 2017-18 unexplained (pp) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| University of York | 2,165 | 77.4 | 0 | -3.9 | 3,020 | 82.9 | 0 | 1 | 3.9 |
| York College* | 30 | 56.3 | 0 | -2.5 | 65 | 71.6 | 1 | 1 | 16.8 |
| York St John University | 1,050 | 59.2 | 0 | -2.9 | 1,175 | 70.0 | 0 | 1 | 8.3 |

Note: * indicates the provider did not have degree awarding powers in 2010.
Table A2: Provider-level results for first class degrees in academic years 2010-11 and 2017-18

| Provider name | 2010-11 number | 2010-11 observed (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} 2010-11 \\ \text { sector } \\ 2010-11 \\ \text { flag } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2010-11 } \\ \text { unexplained } \\ (\mathrm{pp}) \end{array}$ | 2017-18 number | 2017-18 observed (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} 2017-18 \\ \text { sector } \\ 2010-11 \\ \text { flag } \end{array}$ | 2017-18 provider 2010-11 flag | 2017-18 unexplained (pp) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anglia Ruskin University Higher Corporation | 1,950 | 14.5 | 0 | 3.0 | 3,180 | 34.9 | 1 | 1 | 23.8 |
| Arts University Bournemouth, the | 615 | 12.0 | 0 | -3.7 | 915 | 19.8 | 1 | 1 | 5.5 |
| University of the Arts, London | 2,170 | 17.9 | 0 | 0.7 | 2,100 | 28.7 | 1 | 1 | 13.1 |
| Askham Bryan College* | 35 | 9.1 | 0 | -5.0 | 80 | 20.7 | 0 | 1 | 7.4 |
| Aston University | 1,305 | 17.9 | 0 | -3.1 | 1,685 | 30.3 | 1 | 1 | 13.0 |
| The University of Bath | 1,625 | 27.5 | -1 | -5.8 | 2,295 | 36.0 | 0 | 0 | -0.5 |
| Bath Spa University | 1,265 | 9.9 | 0 | -2.7 | 1,590 | 17.0 | 0 | 1 | 4.9 |
| University of Bedfordshire | 1,485 | 8.9 | 0 | -1.2 | 1,620 | 23.6 | 1 | 1 | 13.7 |
| The University of Birmingham | 3,990 | 16.8 | -1 | -6.5 | 4,515 | 33.7 | 1 | 1 | 6.7 |
| University College Birmingham | 475 | 10.9 | 0 | -0.6 | 820 | 30.1 | 1 | 1 | 19.4 |
| Birmingham City University | 2,865 | 18.5 | 1 | 6.5 | 4,095 | 29.1 | 1 | 1 | 17.7 |


| Provider name | 2010-11 number | 2010-11 observed (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} 2010-11 \\ \text { sector } \\ 2010-11 \\ \text { flag } \end{array}$ | 2010-11 unexplained (pp) | 2017-18 number | 2017-18 observed (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} 2017-18 \\ \text { sector } \\ 2010-11 \\ \text { flag } \end{array}$ | 2017-18 provider 2010-11 flag | 2017-18 unexplained <br> (pp) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bishop Burton College* | 60 | 8.3 | 0 | 0.1 | 95 | 15.6 | 0 | 1 | 5.2 |
| Bishop Grosseteste University | 430 | 8.6 | 0 | -2.7 | 530 | 19.7 | 1 | 1 | 9.4 |
| Blackburn College* | 270 | 14.0 | 0 | 2.0 | 370 | 13.8 | 0 | 0 | 3.2 |
| Blackpool and the Fylde College* | 245 | 19.8 | 0 | 6.9 | 410 | 25.2 | 1 | 1 | 13.9 |
| The University of Bolton | 635 | 11.6 | 0 | -0.6 | 755 | 20.2 | 1 | 1 | 9.1 |
| Bournemouth University | 2,260 | 11.1 | 0 | -2.4 | 2,930 | 22.4 | 1 | 1 | 9.1 |
| The University of Bradford | 1,270 | 10.8 | 0 | -1.9 | 1,350 | 34.0 | 1 | 1 | 22.3 |
| Bradford College* | 315 | 10.5 | 0 | -0.8 | 315 | 16.2 | 1 | 1 | 6.4 |
| University of Brighton | 2,565 | 15.0 | 0 | 1.4 | 3,130 | 23.9 | 1 | 1 | 11.0 |
| University of Bristol | 2,620 | 23.6 | -1 | -10.9 | 3,565 | 33.2 | 0 | 1 | -1.3 |
| Brunel University London | 2,250 | 17.4 | 1 | 2.9 | 1,975 | 26.9 | 1 | 1 | 11.9 |
| The University of Buckingham | 80 | 15.9 | 0 | 5.5 | 125 | 34.7 | 1 | 1 | 22.7 |
| Buckinghamshire New University | 915 | 12.1 | 0 | 1.3 | 1,370 | 18.7 | 1 | 1 | 7.5 |
| University of Cambridge | 2,355 | 26.0 | -1 | -13.0 | 2,045 | 34.8 | 0 | 1 | -3.9 |
| Canterbury Christ Church University | 1,815 | 15.2 | 0 | 4.2 | 2,480 | 22.1 | 1 | 1 | 11.9 |
| University of Central Lancashire | 3,575 | 10.4 | 0 | -0.7 | 3,355 | 30.6 | 1 | 1 | 19.6 |
| University of Chester | 1,510 | 11.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 2,400 | 25.2 | 1 | 1 | 14.6 |
| The University of Chichester | 895 | 7.8 | 0 | -1.9 | 1,175 | 17.1 | 1 | 1 | 7.7 |
| City, University of London | 1,270 | 14.5 | 0 | -3.8 | 1,500 | 23.3 | 1 | 1 | 5.5 |


| Provider name | 2010-11 number | 2010-11 observed (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} 2010-11 \\ \text { sector } \\ 2010-11 \\ \text { flag } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2010-11 } \\ \text { unexplained } \end{array}$ (pp) | 2017-18 number | 2017-18 observed (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} 2017-18 \\ \text { sector } \\ 2010-11 \\ \text { flag } \end{array}$ | 2017-18 provider 2010-11 flag | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2017-18 } \\ \text { unexplained } \end{array}$ (pp) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The Conservatoire for Dance and Drama* | 105 | 34.0 | 1 | 18.7 | 120 | 40.2 | 1 | 0 | 23.1 |
| Courtauld Institute of Art* | 50 | 16.3 | 0 | -11.6 | 45 | 31.9 | 0 | 1 | 7.7 |
| Coventry University | 2,360 | 18.9 | 1 | 7.0 | 3,825 | 30.6 | 1 | 1 | 18.9 |
| University for the Creative Arts | 1,045 | 9.4 | 0 | -2.8 | 1,025 | 34.1 | 1 | 1 | 23.2 |
| The University of Cumbria | 1,330 | 13.5 | 0 | 1.6 | 1,215 | 21.5 | 1 | 1 | 10.2 |
| De Montfort University | 2,825 | 11.5 | 0 | 0.3 | 3,980 | 31.5 | 1 | 1 | 21.1 |
| University of Derby | 2,265 | 10.1 | 0 | -0.5 | 2,515 | 26.6 | 1 | 1 | 16.2 |
| DN Colleges Group* | 160 | 14.5 | 0 | 4.1 | 310 | 20.1 | 1 | 0 | 8.7 |
| University of Durham | 2,825 | 18.2 | -1 | -14.9 | 2,970 | 37.5 | 0 | 1 | 2.6 |
| The University of East Anglia | 2,260 | 13.5 | 0 | -4.3 | 2,475 | 38.7 | 1 | 1 | 20.5 |
| University of East London | 1,940 | 11.7 | 0 | -0.5 | 1,980 | 26.9 | 1 | 1 | 16.7 |
| Edge Hill University | 1,940 | 14.7 | 0 | 4.6 | 2,905 | 31.1 | 1 | 1 | 20.4 |
| The University of Essex | 1,665 | 14.4 | 0 | 2.2 | 2,045 | 27.6 | 1 | 1 | 16.3 |
| University of Exeter | 2,935 | 19.8 | 0 | -4.2 | 4,005 | 32.9 | 0 | 1 | 5.4 |
| Falmouth University | 765 | 14.7 | 0 | 0.9 | 1,270 | 25.2 | 1 | 1 | 10.5 |
| Farnborough College of Technology* | 85 | 20.5 | 0 | 5.9 | 85 | 16.3 | 0 | 0 | 5.9 |
| Gateshead College* | 20 | 28.6 | 0 | 17.8 | 45 | 22.7 | 1 | 0 | 12.8 |
| University of Gloucestershire | 1,240 | 18.5 | 1 | 7.1 | 1,680 | 23.5 | 1 | 1 | 12.8 |
| Goldsmiths' College | 1,105 | 12.7 | 0 | -2.3 | 1,215 | 25.2 | 1 | 1 | 10.8 |


| Provider name | 2010-11 number | 2010-11 observed (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} 2010-11 \\ \text { sector } \\ 2010-11 \\ \text { flag } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2010-11 } \\ \text { unexplained } \end{array}$ (pp) | 2017-18 number | 2017-18 observed (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} 2017-18 \\ \text { sector } \\ 2010-11 \\ \text { flag } \end{array}$ | 2017-18 provider 2010-11 flag | 2017-18 unexplained <br> (pp) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Greater Brighton Metropolitan College* | 170 | 21.8 | 0 | 10.0 | 140 | 23.2 | 1 | 0 | 10.5 |
| University of Greenwich | 2,475 | 15.5 | 1 | 2.7 | 2,860 | 37.3 | 1 | 1 | 24.7 |
| Grimsby Institute of Further and Higher Education* | 195 | 8.3 | 0 | -4.2 | 220 | 23.9 | 0 | 1 | 10.8 |
| Guildhall School of Music \& Drama* | 100 | 24.5 | 0 | 5.4 | 125 | 32.8 | 1 | 1 | 12.9 |
| Harper Adams University | 255 | 11.7 | 0 | -4.2 | 355 | 19.5 | 0 | 1 | 3.0 |
| Havering College of Further and Higher Education* | 125 | 25.2 | 1 | 13.7 | 70 | 15.9 | 1 | 0 | 4.5 |
| Hereford College of Arts* | 85 | 22.4 | 0 | 10.9 | 90 | 28.6 | 1 | 1 | 17.5 |
| University of Hertfordshire | 2,945 | 18.8 | 1 | 7.2 | 3,555 | 23.4 | 1 | 1 | 11.8 |
| Heythrop College* | 115 | 8.5 | 0 | -3.9 | 15 | 35.3 | 1 | 1 | 24.1 |
| The University of Huddersfield | 2,460 | 15.1 | 0 | 3.2 | 2,715 | 40.3 | 1 | 1 | 28.8 |
| The University of Hull | 2,570 | 9.8 | 0 | -2.5 | 2,565 | 24.1 | 1 | 1 | 12.3 |
| Hull College* | 215 | 14.4 | 0 | 2.5 | 145 | 11.2 | 0 | 0 | 1.0 |
| Imperial College of Science, Technology and Medicine | 1,295 | 30.5 | -1 | -15.1 | 1,155 | 46.4 | 0 | 1 | -2.2 |
| University of Keele | 1,120 | 16.6 | 0 | 4.0 | 1,555 | 27.8 | 1 | 1 | 14.3 |
| The University of Kent | 2,930 | 16.1 | 0 | 2.9 | 3,325 | 27.3 | 1 | 1 | 13.3 |
| King's College London | 2,180 | 24.2 | 0 | -3.8 | 2,595 | 35.7 | 1 | 1 | 7.3 |
| Kingston University | 3,150 | 14.6 | 1 | 2.6 | 2,915 | 31.4 | 1 | 1 | 19.6 |


| Provider name | 2010-11 number | 2010-11 observed (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} 2010-11 \\ \text { sector } \\ 2010-11 \\ \text { flag } \end{array}$ | 2010-11 unexplained (pp) | 2017-18 number | 2017-18 observed (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} 2017-18 \\ \text { sector } \\ 2010-11 \\ \text { flag } \end{array}$ | 2017-18 provider 2010-11 flag | 2017-18 unexplained <br> (pp) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kirklees College* | 25 | 12.0 | 0 | -1.7 | 15 | 38.5 | 1 | 1 | 28.3 |
| The University of Lancaster | 2,100 | 16.9 | 0 | -3.5 | 1,875 | 33.8 | 1 | 1 | 9.9 |
| The University of Leeds | 5,165 | 17.7 | -1 | -6.0 | 4,955 | 33.6 | 1 | 1 | 7.8 |
| Leeds Arts University* | 290 | 19.1 | 0 | 6.4 | 430 | 24.3 | 1 | 0 | 9.4 |
| Leeds Beckett University | 4,245 | 10.1 | 0 | -1.2 | 4,200 | 23.1 | 1 | 1 | 13.0 |
| Leeds College of Music* | 205 | 28.0 | 1 | 12.5 | 270 | 27.5 | 1 | 0 | 15.0 |
| Leeds Trinity University | 540 | 7.6 | 0 | -1.8 | 820 | 24.8 | 1 | 1 | 16.1 |
| The University of Leicester | 2,015 | 15.2 | 0 | -0.9 | 2,160 | 27.3 | 1 | 1 | 10.3 |
| University of Lincoln | 2,140 | 11.3 | 0 | 0.5 | 2,735 | 26.6 | 1 | 1 | 14.9 |
| The University of Liverpool | 2,730 | 14.8 | 0 | -3.9 | 3,480 | 31.7 | 1 | 1 | 13.0 |
| Liverpool Hope University | 1,130 | 16.3 | 0 | 6.2 | 1,050 | 19.5 | 1 | 0 | 10.0 |
| The Liverpool Institute for Performing Arts* | 165 | 13.9 | 0 | -2.9 | 185 | 38.3 | 1 | 1 | 23.1 |
| Liverpool John Moores University | 3,935 | 12.9 | 0 | 2.0 | 4,485 | 26.0 | 1 | 1 | 14.3 |
| University College London | 2,275 | 24.3 | 0 | -7.8 | 2,635 | 40.3 | 1 | 1 | 4.7 |
| London Metropolitan University | 1,855 | 13.3 | 0 | 0.9 | 1,490 | 27.6 | 1 | 1 | 16.1 |
| The London School of Economics and Political Science | 670 | 18.5 | -1 | -15.5 | 700 | 27.3 | 0 | 1 | -6.4 |
| London South Bank University | 1,545 | 12.1 | 0 | -1.4 | 1,895 | 29.1 | 1 | 1 | 17.0 |
| Loughborough College* | 110 | 8.2 | -1 | -4.2 | 150 | 10.5 | 0 | 1 | -0.6 |
| Loughborough University | 2,780 | 17.0 | -1 | -5.4 | 2,715 | 32.5 | 1 | 1 | 8.2 |


| Provider name | 2010-11 number | 2010-11 observed (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} 2010-11 \\ \text { sector } \\ 2010-11 \\ \text { flag } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2010-11 } \\ \text { unexplained } \end{array}$ (pp) | 2017-18 number | 2017-18 observed (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} 2017-18 \\ \text { sector } \\ 2010-11 \\ \text { flag } \end{array}$ | 2017-18 provider 2010-11 flag | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2017-18 } \\ \text { unexplained } \end{array}$ (pp) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The University of Manchester | 5,505 | 17.8 | -1 | -8.5 | 5,055 | 36.0 | 1 | 1 | 10.3 |
| Manchester Metropolitan University | 5,295 | 14.7 | 0 | 2.5 | 6,305 | 32.3 | 1 | 1 | 20.6 |
| Middlesex University | 2,240 | 14.6 | 1 | 3.5 | 2,255 | 25.9 | 1 | 1 | 15.7 |
| Moulton College* | 35 | 13.5 | 0 | 0.3 | 85 | 20.7 | 1 | 1 | 9.8 |
| Nelson and Colne College* | 10 | 18.2 | 0 | 9.0 | 35 | 31.4 | 1 | 1 | 19.8 |
| New College Durham* | 105 | 9.6 | 0 | -4.0 | 130 | 26.7 | 0 | 1 | 15.1 |
| University of Newcastle upon Tyne | 3,095 | 18.5 | -1 | -5.2 | 3,620 | 26.6 | 0 | 1 | 3.2 |
| Newman University | 490 | 7.1 | 0 | -2.2 | 475 | 19.8 | 1 | 1 | 10.8 |
| North East Surrey College of Technology (NESCOT)* | 25 | 29.6 | 0 | 11.8 | 35 | 16.7 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 |
| University of Northampton, The | 1,710 | 16.6 | 1 | 5.4 | 1,900 | 24.8 | 1 | 1 | 14.0 |
| The Northern School of Art* | 115 | 24.8 | 0 | 13.7 | 125 | 24.8 | 1 | 0 | 13.2 |
| University of Northumbria at Newcastle | 3,735 | 16.0 | 0 | 3.5 | 4,535 | 35.1 | 1 | 1 | 22.0 |
| Norwich University of the Arts | 405 | 18.7 | 0 | 5.3 | 550 | 34.8 | 1 | 1 | 21.0 |
| Nottingham Trent University | 4,205 | 9.7 | 0 | -2.1 | 5,360 | 26.0 | 1 | 1 | 14.6 |
| University of Nottingham, The | 4,115 | 17.8 | -1 | -7.4 | 5,275 | 33.3 | 1 | 1 | 7.0 |
| The School of Oriental and African Studies* | 475 | 16.5 | 0 | -2.0 | 510 | 21.5 | 1 | 1 | 4.9 |
| University of Oxford | 2,695 | 28.5 | -1 | -11.0 | 2,470 | 37.2 | 0 | 1 | -0.9 |
| Oxford Brookes University | 2,030 | 16.4 | 0 | 2.0 | 2,475 | 26.0 | 1 | 1 | 12.7 |


| Provider name | 2010-11 number | 2010-11 observed (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} 2010-11 \\ \text { sector } \\ 2010-11 \\ \text { flag } \end{array}$ | 2010-11 unexplained (pp) | 2017-18 number | 2017-18 observed (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} 2017-18 \\ \text { sector } \\ 2010-11 \\ \text { flag } \end{array}$ | 2017-18 provider 2010-11 flag | 2017-18 unexplained <br> (pp) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| University of Plymouth | 3,735 | 13.3 | 0 | 0.9 | 3,765 | 24.8 | 1 | 1 | 12.2 |
| Plymouth College of Art* | 155 | 22.3 | 0 | 9.0 | 335 | 13.4 | 1 | 0 | 3.0 |
| University of Portsmouth | 3,555 | 10.6 | 0 | -1.2 | 3,635 | 27.7 | 1 | 1 | 16.3 |
| Queen Mary University of London | 2,090 | 15.4 | 0 | -3.3 | 2,490 | 34.9 | 1 | 1 | 13.1 |
| Ravensbourne University London* | 285 | 16.4 | 0 | 3.9 | 565 | 20.2 | 1 | 1 | 8.9 |
| The University of Reading | 2,100 | 17.5 | 0 | -0.8 | 2,490 | 28.1 | 1 | 1 | 10.2 |
| Roehampton University | 1,485 | 7.9 | 0 | -2.8 | 1,515 | 16.4 | 1 | 1 | 6.9 |
| Rose Bruford College of Theatre and Performance* | 180 | 16.7 | 0 | 4.2 | 165 | 31.7 | 1 | 1 | 17.7 |
| The Royal Academy of Music* | 50 | 53.8 | 1 | 36.0 | 55 | 54.5 | 1 | 0 | 33.2 |
| The Royal Agricultural University | 215 | 7.0 | -1 | -6.7 | 255 | 11.8 | 0 | 1 | -1.7 |
| The Royal Central School of Speech and Drama | 155 | 17.3 | 0 | -2.1 | 200 | 24.9 | 1 | 1 | 7.3 |
| Royal College of Music | 40 | 32.5 | 0 | 8.8 | 70 | 38.6 | 1 | 1 | 18.9 |
| Royal Holloway and Bedford New College | 1,490 | 17.2 | 0 | -3.5 | 1,565 | 26.4 | 1 | 1 | 9.3 |
| Royal Northern College of Music | 65 | 25.4 | 0 | 8.0 | 115 | 42.7 | 1 | 1 | 20.1 |
| The Royal Veterinary College | 70 | 27.1 | 0 | 0.8 | 120 | 9.2 | 0 | 0 | -10.3 |
| University of Salford, The | 2,600 | 16.7 | 1 | 4.9 | 3,345 | 35.2 | 1 | 1 | 23.4 |
| The University of Sheffield | 3,525 | 18.2 | -1 | -5.2 | 3,595 | 29.4 | 0 | 1 | 3.7 |
| Sheffield Hallam University | 4,500 | 15.4 | 0 | 4.1 | 5,530 | 30.2 | 1 | 1 | 18.8 |


| Provider name | 2010-11 number | 2010-11 observed (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} 2010-11 \\ \text { sector } \\ 2010-11 \\ \text { flag } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2010-11 } \\ \text { unexplained } \end{array}$ (pp) | 2017-18 number | 2017-18 observed (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} 2017-18 \\ \text { sector } \\ 2010-11 \\ \text { flag } \end{array}$ | 2017-18 provider 2010-11 flag | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2017-18 } \\ \text { unexplained } \end{array}$ (pp) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Solent University | 2,065 | 8.3 | 0 | -2.0 | 2,015 | 26.2 | 1 | 1 | 16.7 |
| University of Southampton | 3,050 | 19.8 | 0 | -4.6 | 3,790 | 31.0 | 1 | 1 | 8.5 |
| Sparsholt College* | 60 | 15.0 | 0 | 2.6 | 90 | 15.9 | 0 | 0 | 4.2 |
| University of St Mark \& St John | 555 | 11.0 | 0 | 1.7 | 470 | 25.1 | 1 | 1 | 16.0 |
| St Mary's University, Twickenham | 725 | 10.2 | 0 | 0.5 | 995 | 22.3 | 1 | 1 | 12.9 |
| St. George's Hospital Medical School | 300 | 10.3 | -1 | -11.3 | 380 | 26.2 | 0 | 1 | 2.4 |
| Staffordshire University | 1,850 | 13.9 | 0 | 1.9 | 1,905 | 34.0 | 1 | 1 | 23.5 |
| University of Suffolk* | 560 | 8.0 | 0 | -3.3 | 815 | 22.2 | 1 | 1 | 10.6 |
| University of Sunderland | 1,670 | 13.2 | 0 | 3.5 | 1,950 | 20.2 | 1 | 1 | 9.7 |
| The University of Surrey | 1,535 | 22.9 | 0 | 4.4 | 2,190 | 44.6 | 1 | 1 | 21.4 |
| University of Sussex | 1,890 | 17.9 | 0 | -1.5 | 2,020 | 31.6 | 1 | 1 | 14.1 |
| Teesside University | 1,645 | 14.5 | 0 | 2.7 | 2,475 | 27.6 | 1 | 1 | 16.2 |
| The Trafford College Group* | 120 | 13.6 | 0 | 2.2 | 95 | 24.7 | 1 | 1 | 13.5 |
| Trinity Laban Conservatoire of Music and Dance* | 140 | 29.1 | 0 | 9.7 | 155 | 35.1 | 1 | 1 | 17.3 |
| The University of Warwick | 2,395 | 27.3 | 0 | -5.9 | 2,700 | 33.8 | 0 | 1 | 0.4 |
| Warwickshire College* | 40 | 4.9 | 0 | -7.6 | 100 | 16.3 | 0 | 1 | 4.1 |
| The University of West London | 1,035 | 12.5 | 0 | 0.2 | 1,640 | 33.9 | 1 | 1 | 22.2 |
| University of the West of England, Bristol | 4,035 | 17.7 | 0 | 5.4 | 3,970 | 27.2 | 1 | 1 | 14.4 |


| Provider name | 2010-11 number | 2010-11 observed (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2010-11 } \\ \text { sector } \\ 2010-11 \\ \text { flag } \end{array}$ | 2010-11 unexplained (pp) | 2017-18 number | 2017-18 observed (\%) | $\begin{array}{r} 2017-18 \\ \text { sector } \\ \text { 2010-11 } \\ \text { flag } \end{array}$ | 2017-18 provider 2010-11 flag | 2017-18 unexplained (pp) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The University of Westminster | 2,160 | 12.3 | 0 | 0.3 | 2,395 | 21.0 | 1 | 1 | 9.8 |
| Wiltshire College and University Centre* | 15 | 15.4 | 0 | 4.9 | 35 | 18.9 | 0 | 0 | 6.7 |
| University of Winchester | 1,100 | 8.3 | 0 | -2.3 | 1,600 | 17.6 | 1 | 1 | 7.5 |
| University of Wolverhampton | 2,085 | 10.9 | 0 | 0.7 | 3,050 | 31.2 | 1 | 1 | 21.0 |
| University of Worcester | 1,110 | 13.1 | 0 | 2.0 | 1,810 | 21.9 | 1 | 1 | 10.9 |
| Writtle University College* | 130 | 14.8 | 0 | 3.0 | 140 | 12.7 | 0 | 0 | -0.1 |
| University of York | 2,165 | 20.5 | 0 | -4.9 | 3,020 | 26.3 | 0 | 1 | 2.3 |
| York College* | 30 | 25.0 | 0 | 12.8 | 65 | 26.9 | 1 | 0 | 15.2 |
| York St John University | 1,050 | 10.9 | 0 | -0.3 | 1,175 | 21.6 | 1 | 1 | 11.1 |

Note: * indicates the provider did not have degree awarding powers in 2010.

## Annex B: Definition and comparisons of graduate populations

1. This annex provides key comparisons between the following two graduate populations:
a. Graduate population A: The graduate population including all English higher education providers. UK-domiciled full-time first degree graduates attaining a classified honours degree from an English higher education provider. This population can be rebuilt using the following fields described in the OfS publication 'Technical algorithms for institutional performance measures' ${ }^{10}$ :
i. DFAPAPPEXCL = 0
ii. B3MONDOQUALPOP = 1
iii. B3MONCOUNTRY = 'E'
iv. B3MONEMPLEVEL in (‘DEG', ‘PUGD')
v. B3MONEMPMODE = 'FT'
vi. B3MONBASEYEAR in $(2010,2011,2012,2013,2014,2015,2016,2017)$
b. Graduate population B: The graduate population considered in the analysis presented in this report. As population A, but only including graduates that qualified from providers that have awarded at least 10 classified honours degrees per year from 2010-11 to 2017-18 inclusive (148 providers).
2. Table B1 presents a summary of the degree classification attainment from 2010-11 to 2017-18 for graduate population A. Table B2 presents a summary of the degree classification attainment from 2010-11 to 2017-18 for graduate population B.

Table B1: Degree classifications summary for academic years 2010-11 to 2017-18 for graduate population A

| Academic <br> year | First (N) | First <br> $(\%)$ | Upper <br> second <br> $(\mathbf{N})$ | Upper <br> second <br> $(\%)$ | Other <br> honours <br> $(\mathbf{N})$ | Other <br> honours <br> $(\%)$ | Total (N) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $2010-11$ | 34,980 | $15.7 \%$ | 114,350 | $51.3 \%$ | 73,670 | $33.0 \%$ | 223,000 |
| $2011-12$ | 41,160 | $17.4 \%$ | 122,685 | $51.9 \%$ | 72,735 | $30.7 \%$ | 236,580 |
| $2012-13$ | 47,095 | $19.1 \%$ | 128,450 | $52.2 \%$ | 70,720 | $28.7 \%$ | 246,265 |
| $2013-14$ | 54,860 | $21.0 \%$ | 138,090 | $52.8 \%$ | 68,650 | $26.2 \%$ | 261,600 |
| $2014-15$ | 55,835 | $23.2 \%$ | 124,785 | $51.8 \%$ | 60,310 | $25.0 \%$ | 240,930 |
| $2015-16$ | 61,205 | $24.5 \%$ | 128,375 | $51.3 \%$ | 60,425 | $24.2 \%$ | 250,005 |

[^4]| $2016-17$ | 70,530 | $26.9 \%$ | 132,060 | $50.4 \%$ | 59,535 | $22.7 \%$ | 262,125 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2017-18$ | 77,650 | $28.9 \%$ | 132,710 | $49.4 \%$ | 58,440 | $21.7 \%$ | 268,800 |

Table B2: Degree classifications summary for academic years 2010-11 to 2017-18 for graduate population $B$

| Academic <br> year | First (N) | First <br> $(\%)$ | Upper <br> second <br> (N) | Upper <br> second <br> $(\%)$ | Other <br> honours <br> $(\mathbf{N})$ | Other <br> honours <br> $(\%)$ | Total (N) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $2010-11$ | 34,910 | $15.7 \%$ | 114,075 | $51.3 \%$ | 73,445 | $33.0 \%$ | 222,430 |
| $2011-12$ | 41,085 | $17.4 \%$ | 122,470 | $51.9 \%$ | 72,525 | $30.7 \%$ | 236,085 |
| $2012-13$ | 46,930 | $19.1 \%$ | 127,965 | $52.2 \%$ | 70,260 | $28.7 \%$ | 245,155 |
| $2013-14$ | 54,540 | $21.0 \%$ | 137,145 | $52.9 \%$ | 67,775 | $26.1 \%$ | 259,460 |
| $2014-15$ | 55,045 | $23.3 \%$ | 122,555 | $51.9 \%$ | 58,310 | $24.7 \%$ | 235,915 |
| $2015-16$ | 60,055 | $24.7 \%$ | 125,220 | $51.6 \%$ | 57,380 | $23.6 \%$ | 242,650 |
| $2016-17$ | 68,990 | $27.2 \%$ | 128,550 | $50.7 \%$ | 56,030 | $22.1 \%$ | 253,565 |
| $2017-18$ | 75,840 | $29.3 \%$ | 128,800 | $49.7 \%$ | 54,545 | $21.0 \%$ | 259,185 |

3. Table B3 presents a breakdown of graduate population $A$ by characteristics included in the statistical modelling for academic years 2010-11 to 2017-18. Table B4 presents a breakdown of graduate population B by characteristics included in the statistical modelling for academic years 2010-11 to 2017-18.

Table B3: Changes in characteristics of graduate population A

|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2010- \\ 11 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010- \\ 11 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011- \\ 12 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011- \\ 12 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012- \\ 13 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012- \\ 13 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2013- \\ 14 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2013- \\ 14 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014- \\ 15 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014- \\ 15 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015- \\ 16 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015- \\ 16 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016- \\ 17 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2016- } \\ 17 \\ \text { (\%) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017- \\ 18 \\ (N) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017- \\ 18 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subject of study | Agriculture and related subjects | 1,855 | 0.8 | 2,030 | 0.9 | 2,160 | 0.9 | 2,375 | 0.9 | 2,095 | 0.9 | 2,200 | 0.9 | 2,345 | 0.9 | 2,405 | 0.9 |
|  | Architecture, building and planning | 5,205 | 2.3 | 5,070 | 2.1 | 4,955 | 2.0 | 4,785 | 1.8 | 3,885 | 1.6 | 3,740 | 1.5 | 3,675 | 1.4 | 3,895 | 1.4 |
|  | Biological sciences | 23,695 | 10.6 | 25,470 | 10.8 | 27,525 | 11.2 | 30,465 | 11.6 | 27,315 | 11.3 | 29,230 | 11.7 | 30,580 | 11.7 | 31,770 | 11.8 |
|  | Business and administrative studies | 26,430 | 11.9 | 28,605 | 12.1 | 29,660 | 12.0 | 31,265 | 12.0 | 27,860 | 11.6 | 29,450 | 11.8 | 31,915 | 12.2 | 32,995 | 12.3 |
|  | Combined | 720 | 0.3 | 1,040 | 0.4 | 885 | 0.4 | 775 | 0.3 | 530 | 0.2 | 460 | 0.2 | 485 | 0.2 | 540 | 0.2 |
|  | Computer science | 8,135 | 3.6 | 8,685 | 3.7 | 9,310 | 3.8 | 9,685 | 3.7 | 9,190 | 3.8 | 9,215 | 3.7 | 10,285 | 3.9 | 10,880 | 4.0 |
|  | Creative arts and design | 31,115 | 14.0 | 32,680 | 13.8 | 33,010 | 13.4 | 34,900 | 13.3 | 31,310 | 13.0 | 32,070 | 12.8 | 33,540 | 12.8 | 33,800 | 12.6 |
|  | Education | 11,070 | 5.0 | 12,000 | 5.1 | 12,090 | 4.9 | 12,950 | 4.9 | 13,005 | 5.4 | 13,190 | 5.3 | 13,305 | 5.1 | 13,030 | 4.8 |
|  | Engineering and technology | 10,315 | 4.6 | 10,610 | 4.5 | 11,100 | 4.5 | 11,730 | 4.5 | 11,350 | 4.7 | 11,325 | 4.5 | 12,045 | 4.6 | 12,610 | 4.7 |
|  | Historical and philosophical studies | 11,985 | 5.4 | 12,535 | 5.3 | 12,740 | 5.2 | 13,355 | 5.1 | 11,630 | 4.8 | 12,515 | 5.0 | 12,635 | 4.8 | 12,760 | 4.7 |
|  | Languages | 15,975 | 7.2 | 16,915 | 7.2 | 16,985 | 6.9 | 17,470 | 6.7 | 16,050 | 6.7 | 15,210 | 6.1 | 15,295 | 5.8 | 14,790 | 5.5 |
|  | Law | 10,430 | 4.7 | 10,475 | 4.4 | 10,345 | 4.2 | 10,650 | 4.1 | 9,770 | 4.1 | 10,195 | 4.1 | 10,115 | 3.9 | 10,400 | 3.9 |
|  | Mass communications and documentation | 8,120 | 3.6 | 8,715 | 3.7 | 8,645 | 3.5 | 8,985 | 3.4 | 7,450 | 3.1 | 7,705 | 3.1 | 7,820 | 3.0 | 8,110 | 3.0 |


|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2010- \\ 11 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010- \\ 11 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011- \\ 12 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011- \\ 12 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012 \\ 13 \\ (N) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012- \\ 13 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2013- \\ 14 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2013- \\ 14 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014- \\ 15 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014- \\ 15 \\ \text { (\%) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015- \\ 16 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015- \\ 16 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016- \\ 17 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016- \\ 17 \\ \text { (\%) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017- \\ 18 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017- \\ 18 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mathematical sciences | 4,515 | 2.0 | 4,765 | 2.0 | 5,455 | 2.2 | 5,570 | 2.1 | 5,250 | 2.2 | 5,305 | 2.1 | 5,505 | 2.1 | 5,540 | 2.1 |
|  | Medicine and dentistry | 1,205 | 0.5 | 1,080 | 0.5 | 1,200 | 0.5 | 775 | 0.3 | 1,215 | 0.5 | 1,135 | 0.5 | 1,395 | 0.5 | 1,245 | 0.5 |
|  | Physical sciences | 10,315 | 4.6 | 10,735 | 4.5 | 11,355 | 4.6 | 12,180 | 4.7 | 11,590 | 4.8 | 11,670 | 4.7 | 12,250 | 4.7 | 12,595 | 4.7 |
|  | Social studies | 24,035 | 10.8 | 25,490 | 10.8 | 26,780 | 10.9 | 29,075 | 11.1 | 24,675 | 10.2 | 26,905 | 10.8 | 27,995 | 10.7 | 29,725 | 11.1 |
|  | Subjects allied to medicine | 17,880 | 8.0 | 19,685 | 8.3 | 22,065 | 9.0 | 24,615 | 9.4 | 26,760 | 11.1 | 28,495 | 11.4 | 30,925 | 11.8 | 31,705 | 11.8 |
| Entry qualifications | A-level: AAA and above | 25,195 | 11.3 | 26,955 | 11.4 | 29,155 | 11.8 | 30,830 | 11.8 | 29,230 | 12.1 | 27,700 | 11.1 | 27,610 | 10.5 | 26,940 | 10.0 |
|  | A-level: AAB | 15,450 | 6.9 | 16,485 | 7.0 | 18,045 | 7.3 | 19,935 | 7.6 | 18,005 | 7.5 | 17,960 | 7.2 | 18,095 | 6.9 | 17,925 | 6.7 |
|  | A-level: AAC | 2,725 | 1.2 | 2,850 | 1.2 | 3,025 | 1.2 | 3,310 | 1.3 | 2,875 | 1.2 | 2,910 | 1.2 | 3,000 | 1.1 | 3,245 | 1.2 |
|  | A-level: ABB | 13,575 | 6.1 | 14,355 | 6.1 | 15,700 | 6.4 | 17,260 | 6.6 | 15,550 | 6.5 | 16,115 | 6.4 | 16,295 | 6.2 | 16,320 | 6.1 |
|  | A-level: ABC | 9,005 | 4.0 | 9,400 | 4.0 | 9,910 | 4.0 | 10,825 | 4.1 | 9,460 | 3.9 | 9,870 | 3.9 | 10,305 | 3.9 | 10,690 | 4.0 |
|  | A-level: ACC | 4,385 | 2.0 | 4,600 | 1.9 | 4,780 | 1.9 | 5,145 | 2.0 | 4,415 | 1.8 | 4,575 | 1.8 | 4,690 | 1.8 | 4,905 | 1.8 |
|  | A-level: BBB | 7,575 | 3.4 | 7,920 | 3.3 | 8,600 | 3.5 | 9,380 | 3.6 | 8,665 | 3.6 | 8,895 | 3.6 | 8,960 | 3.4 | 8,770 | 3.3 |
|  | A-level: BBC | 11,555 | 5.2 | 11,745 | 5.0 | 12,330 | 5.0 | 13,475 | 5.2 | 11,950 | 5.0 | 12,650 | 5.1 | 12,850 | 4.9 | 13,190 | 4.9 |
|  | A-level: BCC | 14,975 | 6.7 | 15,480 | 6.5 | 16,030 | 6.5 | 17,625 | 6.7 | 15,170 | 6.3 | 15,910 | 6.4 | 16,065 | 6.1 | 16,580 | 6.2 |
|  | A-level: CCC | 13,240 | 5.9 | 13,795 | 5.8 | 14,315 | 5.8 | 15,585 | 6.0 | 13,345 | 5.5 | 13,790 | 5.5 | 14,215 | 5.4 | 14,200 | 5.3 |
|  | A-level: CCD | 10,690 | 4.8 | 11,170 | 4.7 | 11,225 | 4.6 | 11,530 | 4.4 | 10,175 | 4.2 | 10,520 | 4.2 | 10,705 | 4.1 | 11,005 | 4.1 |
|  | A-level: CDD | 8,210 | 3.7 | 8,565 | 3.6 | 8,070 | 3.3 | 8,140 | 3.1 | 7,020 | 2.9 | 7,085 | 2.8 | 7,545 | 2.9 | 7,610 | 2.8 |
|  | A-level: DDD | 5,465 | 2.4 | 5,500 | 2.3 | 4,910 | 2.0 | 4,750 | 1.8 | 4,130 | 1.7 | 4,265 | 1.7 | 4,625 | 1.8 | 4,430 | 1.6 |
|  | A-level: Below DDD | 4,505 | 2.0 | 4,495 | 1.9 | 3,940 | 1.6 | 3,425 | 1.3 | 2,990 | 1.2 | 3,130 | 1.3 | 3,290 | 1.3 | 3,150 | 1.2 |
|  | BTEC: DDD and above | 5,225 | 2.3 | 7,695 | 3.3 | 10,460 | 4.2 | 13,565 | 5.2 | 14,485 | 6.0 | 16,780 | 6.7 | 19,535 | 7.5 | 22,135 | 8.2 |


|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2010- \\ 11 \\ (N) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010- \\ 11 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011- \\ 12 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011- \\ 12 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012- \\ 13 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012- \\ 13 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2013- \\ 14 \\ (N) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2013- \\ 14 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014- \\ 15 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014- \\ 15 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015- \\ 16 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015- \\ 16 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016- \\ 17 \\ (N) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016- \\ 17 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017- \\ 18 \\ (N) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017- \\ 18 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BTEC: DDM | 3,285 | 1.5 | 3,275 | 1.4 | 3,645 | 1.5 | 4,045 | 1.5 | 4,085 | 1.7 | 4,435 | 1.8 | 4,855 | 1.9 | 4,965 | 1.8 |
|  | BTEC: DMM | 1,400 | 0.6 | 2,410 | 1.0 | 3,135 | 1.3 | 3,625 | 1.4 | 3,595 | 1.5 | 3,865 | 1.5 | 4,145 | 1.6 | 4,010 | 1.5 |
|  | BTEC: MMM and below | 6,600 | 3.0 | 7,160 | 3.0 | 7,030 | 2.9 | 6,710 | 2.6 | 6,625 | 2.7 | 7,065 | 2.8 | 7,520 | 2.9 | 7,470 | 2.8 |
|  | 2 A-levels 1 BTEC | 1,190 | 0.5 | 1,800 | 0.8 | 2,655 | 1.1 | 3,760 | 1.4 | 4,475 | 1.9 | 5,835 | 2.3 | 7,385 | 2.8 | 8,925 | 3.3 |
|  | 1 A-level 2 BTECs | 980 | 0.4 | 1,415 | 0.6 | 1,955 | 0.8 | 2,410 | 0.9 | 2,685 | 1.1 | 3,150 | 1.3 | 3,990 | 1.5 | 4,555 | 1.7 |
|  | International Baccalaureate | 1,850 | 0.8 | 2,220 | 0.9 | 2,400 | 1.0 | 2,735 | 1.0 | 2,665 | 1.1 | 2,480 | 1.0 | 2,545 | 1.0 | 2,550 | 0.9 |
|  | Other Level 3 | 34,565 | 15.5 | 36,360 | 15.4 | 36,915 | 15.0 | 37,790 | 14.4 | 35,590 | 14.8 | 37,700 | 15.1 | 40,750 | 15.5 | 41,735 | 15.5 |
|  | No Level 3 equivalent | 21,345 | 9.6 | 20,915 | 8.8 | 18,035 | 7.3 | 15,745 | 6.0 | 13,750 | 5.7 | 13,335 | 5.3 | 13,165 | 5.0 | 13,485 | 5.0 |
| Age | Mature | 42,980 | 19.3 | 46,330 | 19.6 | 46,985 | 19.1 | 48,220 | 18.4 | 46,135 | 19.1 | 48,615 | 19.4 | 51,485 | 19.6 | 52,015 | 19.4 |
|  | Young | 180,020 | 80.7 | 190,245 | 80.4 | 199,280 | 80.9 | 213,380 | 81.6 | 194,795 | 80.9 | 201,390 | 80.6 | 210,645 | 80.4 | 216,790 | 80.6 |
| Disability | Disability | 23,140 | 10.4 | 25,460 | 10.8 | 28,640 | 11.6 | 32,885 | 12.6 | 32,350 | 13.4 | 35,195 | 14.1 | 38,845 | 14.8 | 42,110 | 15.7 |
|  | No disability | 199,860 | 89.6 | 211,115 | 89.2 | 217,625 | 88.4 | 228,720 | 87.4 | 208,580 | 86.6 | 214,810 | 85.9 | 223,285 | 85.2 | 226,690 | 84.3 |
| Sex | Female | 127,215 | 57.0 | 134,820 | 57.0 | 139,285 | 56.6 | 148,895 | 56.9 | 138,530 | 57.5 | 144,930 | 58.0 | 151,785 | 57.9 | 157,275 | 58.5 |
|  | Male | 95,785 | 43.0 | 101,755 | 43.0 | 106,955 | 43.4 | 112,685 | 43.1 | 102,375 | 42.5 | 105,005 | 42.0 | 110,260 | 42.1 | 111,395 | 41.4 |
|  | Other | - | - | - | - | 25 | 0.0 | 20 | 0.0 | 30 | 0.0 | 75 | 0.0 | 80 | 0.0 | 130 | 0.0 |
| Ethnicity | Asian | 23,640 | 10.6 | 24,665 | 10.4 | 26,150 | 10.6 | 27,590 | 10.5 | 26,640 | 11.1 | 28,470 | 11.4 | 30,350 | 11.6 | 31,950 | 11.9 |
|  | Black | 12,400 | 5.6 | 13,830 | 5.8 | 15,100 | 6.1 | 16,235 | 6.2 | 16,770 | 7.0 | 18,270 | 7.3 | 19,330 | 7.4 | 20,230 | 7.5 |
|  | Mixed | 7,305 | 3.3 | 8,110 | 3.4 | 8,670 | 3.5 | 9,735 | 3.7 | 9,090 | 3.8 | 9,910 | 4.0 | 10,650 | 4.1 | 11,475 | 4.3 |
|  | Other | 2,215 | 1.0 | 2,465 | 1.0 | 2,650 | 1.1 | 2,805 | 1.1 | 2,965 | 1.2 | 3,485 | 1.4 | 3,780 | 1.4 | 4,040 | 1.5 |
|  | Unknown | 5,335 | 2.4 | 4,870 | 2.1 | 5,090 | 2.1 | 5,460 | 2.1 | 5,260 | 2.2 | 4,145 | 1.7 | 4,195 | 1.6 | 4,180 | 1.6 |
|  | White | 172,100 | 77.2 | 182,630 | 77.2 | 188,605 | 76.6 | 199,785 | 76.4 | 180,205 | 74.8 | 185,725 | 74.3 | 193,815 | 73.9 | 196,920 | 73.3 |


|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2010- \\ 11 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010- \\ 11 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011- \\ 12 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011- \\ 12 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012- \\ 13 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012- \\ 13 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2013- \\ 14 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2013- \\ 14 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014- \\ 15 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014- \\ 15 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015- \\ 16 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015- \\ 16 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016- \\ 17 \\ (N) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2016- } \\ 17 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017- \\ 18 \\ (N) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017- \\ 18 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| POLAR | Quintile 1 | 22,395 | 10.0 | 24,190 | 10.2 | 25,490 | 10.4 | 27,685 | 10.6 | 26,585 | 11.0 | 28,340 | 11.3 | 30,430 | 11.6 | 31,915 | 11.9 |
|  | Quintile 2 | 33,045 | 14.8 | 35,470 | 15.0 | 36,950 | 15.0 | 39,095 | 14.9 | 36,855 | 15.3 | 38,720 | 15.5 | 40,970 | 15.6 | 42,380 | 15.8 |
|  | Quintile 3 | 41,195 | 18.5 | 44,165 | 18.7 | 45,865 | 18.6 | 48,665 | 18.6 | 45,195 | 18.8 | 47,425 | 19.0 | 49,520 | 18.9 | 50,700 | 18.9 |
|  | Quintile 4 | 51,900 | 23.3 | 55,345 | 23.4 | 57,385 | 23.3 | 60,675 | 23.2 | 55,790 | 23.2 | 57,760 | 23.1 | 60,465 | 23.1 | 61,335 | 22.8 |
|  | Quintile 5 | 73,195 | 32.8 | 76,420 | 32.3 | 79,420 | 32.2 | 83,940 | 32.1 | 75,965 | 31.5 | 77,255 | 30.9 | 80,155 | 30.6 | 81,890 | 30.5 |
|  | Unknown | 1,265 | 0.6 | 990 | 0.4 | 1,155 | 0.5 | 1,540 | 0.6 | 545 | 0.2 | 510 | 0.2 | 585 | 0.2 | 580 | 0.2 |

Note: 'POLAR' = the Participation of Local Areas measure.
Table B4: Changes in characteristics of graduate population B

|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2010- \\ 11 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010- \\ 11 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011- \\ 12 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011- \\ 12 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012- \\ 13 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012- \\ 13 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2013- \\ 14 \\ (N) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2013- \\ 14 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014- \\ 15 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014- \\ 15 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015- \\ 16 \\ (N) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015- \\ 16 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016- \\ 17 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016- \\ 17 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017- \\ 18 \\ (N) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017-18 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subject of study | Agriculture and related subjects | 1,855 | 0.8 | 2,025 | 0.9 | 2,150 | 0.9 | 2,330 | 0.9 | 2,065 | 0.9 | 2,145 | 0.9 | 2,160 | 0.9 | 2,160 | 0.8 |
|  | Architecture, building and planning | 5,205 | 2.3 | 5,050 | 2.1 | 4,925 | 2.0 | 4,755 | 1.8 | 3,860 | 1.6 | 3,735 | 1.5 | 3,660 | 1.4 | 3,855 | 1.5 |
|  | Biological sciences | 23,660 | 10.6 | 25,425 | 10.8 | 27,450 | 11.2 | 30,355 | 11.7 | 27,125 | 11.5 | 28,920 | 11.9 | 30,110 | 11.9 | 31,280 | 12.1 |
|  | Business and administrative studies | 26,355 | 11.8 | 28,470 | 12.1 | 29,210 | 11.9 | 30,515 | 11.8 | 26,620 | 11.3 | 27,440 | 11.3 | 29,740 | 11.7 | 30,575 | 11.8 |
|  | Combined | 720 | 0.3 | 1,035 | 0.4 | 885 | 0.4 | 775 | 0.3 | 520 | 0.2 | 455 | 0.2 | 485 | 0.2 | 500 | 0.2 |
|  | Computer science | 8,125 | 3.7 | 8,675 | 3.7 | 9,230 | 3.8 | 9,580 | 3.7 | 9,005 | 3.8 | 8,940 | 3.7 | 9,990 | 3.9 | 10,505 | 4.1 |
|  | Creative arts and design | 30,795 | 13.8 | 32,490 | 13.8 | 32,740 | 13.4 | 34,440 | 13.3 | 29,545 | 12.5 | 29,845 | 12.3 | 30,920 | 12.2 | 30,740 | 11.9 |


|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2010- \\ 11 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010- \\ 11 \\ \text { (\%) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011- \\ 12 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011- \\ 12 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012- \\ 13 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012- \\ 13 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2013- \\ 14 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2013- \\ 14 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014- \\ 15 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014- \\ 15 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015- \\ 16 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015- \\ 16 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016- \\ 17 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016- \\ 17 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017- \\ 18 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017- \\ 18 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Education | 11,040 | 5.0 | 12,000 | 5.1 | 12,085 | 4.9 | 12,890 | 5.0 | 12,800 | 5.4 | 12,910 | 5.3 | 12,950 | 5.1 | 12,375 | 4.8 |
|  | Engineering and technology | 10,310 | 4.6 | 10,600 | 4.5 | 11,080 | 4.5 | 11,690 | 4.5 | 11,275 | 4.8 | 11,125 | 4.6 | 11,765 | 4.6 | 12,350 | 4.8 |
|  | Historical and philosophical studies | 11,985 | 5.4 | 12,535 | 5.3 | 12,730 | 5.2 | 13,305 | 5.1 | 11,355 | 4.8 | 12,100 | 5.0 | 12,200 | 4.8 | 12,280 | 4.7 |
|  | Languages | 15,975 | 7.2 | 16,915 | 7.2 | 16,985 | 6.9 | 17,465 | 6.7 | 16,010 | 6.8 | 15,130 | 6.2 | 15,210 | 6.0 | 14,710 | 5.7 |
|  | Law | 10,420 | 4.7 | 10,475 | 4.4 | 10,330 | 4.2 | 10,385 | 4.0 | 9,360 | 4.0 | 9,725 | 4.0 | 9,645 | 3.8 | 9,935 | 3.8 |
|  | Mass communications and documentation | 8,110 | 3.6 | 8,705 | 3.7 | 8,635 | 3.5 | 8,975 | 3.5 | 7,405 | 3.1 | 7,620 | 3.1 | 7,680 | 3.0 | 7,950 | 3.1 |
|  | Mathematical sciences | 4,515 | 2.0 | 4,765 | 2.0 | 5,455 | 2.2 | 5,570 | 2.1 | 5,250 | 2.2 | 5,305 | 2.2 | 5,505 | 2.2 | 5,535 | 2.1 |
|  | Medicine and dentistry | 1,205 | 0.5 | 1,080 | 0.5 | 1,200 | 0.5 | 775 | 0.3 | 1,215 | 0.5 | 1,135 | 0.5 | 1,390 | 0.5 | 1,240 | 0.5 |
|  | Physical sciences | 10,315 | 4.6 | 10,735 | 4.5 | 11,355 | 4.6 | 12,180 | 4.7 | 11,585 | 4.9 | 11,650 | 4.8 | 12,230 | 4.8 | 12,575 | 4.9 |
|  | Social studies | 23,990 | 10.8 | 25,435 | 10.8 | 26,675 | 10.9 | 28,965 | 11.2 | 24,395 | 10.3 | 26,415 | 10.9 | 27,410 | 10.8 | 29,290 | 11.3 |
|  | Subjects allied to medicine | 17,855 | 8.0 | 19,670 | 8.3 | 22,030 | 9.0 | 24,515 | 9.4 | 26,525 | 11.2 | 28,070 | 11.6 | 30,525 | 12.0 | 31,325 | 12.1 |
| Entry qualifications | A-level: AAA and above | 25,190 | 11.3 | 26,955 | 11.4 | 29,150 | 11.9 | 30,800 | 11.9 | 29,170 | 12.4 | 27,630 | 11.4 | 27,540 | 10.9 | 26,855 | 10.4 |
|  | A-level: AAB | 15,440 | 6.9 | 16,480 | 7.0 | 18,045 | 7.4 | 19,905 | 7.7 | 17,910 | 7.6 | 17,870 | 7.4 | 18,015 | 7.1 | 17,835 | 6.9 |
|  | A-level: AAC | 2,725 | 1.2 | 2,845 | 1.2 | 3,025 | 1.2 | 3,305 | 1.3 | 2,850 | 1.2 | 2,885 | 1.2 | 2,985 | 1.2 | 3,225 | 1.2 |
|  | A-level: ABB | 13,570 | 6.1 | 14,350 | 6.1 | 15,690 | 6.4 | 17,215 | 6.6 | 15,465 | 6.6 | 16,010 | 6.6 | 16,175 | 6.4 | 16,205 | 6.3 |
|  | A-level: ABC | 9,005 | 4.0 | 9,400 | 4.0 | 9,900 | 4.0 | 10,805 | 4.2 | 9,380 | 4.0 | 9,775 | 4.0 | 10,195 | 4.0 | 10,580 | 4.1 |
|  | A-level: ACC | 4,385 | 2.0 | 4,595 | 1.9 | 4,775 | 1.9 | 5,125 | 2.0 | 4,375 | 1.9 | 4,520 | 1.9 | 4,640 | 1.8 | 4,830 | 1.9 |



|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2010- \\ 11 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010- \\ 11 \\ \text { (\%) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011- \\ 12 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011- \\ 12 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012- \\ 13 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012- \\ 13 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2013- \\ 14 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2013- \\ 14 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014- \\ 15 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014- \\ 15 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015- \\ 16 \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015- \\ 16 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016- \\ 17 \\ (N) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016- \\ 17 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017- \\ 18 \\ (N) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017- \\ 18 \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No disability | 199,380 | 89.6 | 210,670 | 89.2 | 216,610 | 88.4 | 226,820 | 87.4 | 204,210 | 86.6 | 208,440 | 85.9 | 215,980 | 85.2 | 218,720 | 84.4 |
| Sex | Female | 126,850 | 57.0 | 134,530 | 57.0 | 138,695 | 56.6 | 147,720 | 56.9 | 135,955 | 57.6 | 141,110 | 58.2 | 147,170 | 58.0 | 152,070 | 58.7 |
|  | Male | 95,580 | 43.0 | 101,550 | 43.0 | 106,440 | 43.4 | 111,725 | 43.1 | 99,935 | 42.4 | 101,510 | 41.8 | 106,345 | 41.9 | 107,010 | 41.3 |
|  | Other | - | - | - | - | 25 | 0.0 | 20 | 0.0 | 25 | 0.0 | 35 | 0.0 | 55 | 0.0 | 105 | 0.0 |
| Ethnicity | Asian | 23,620 | 10.6 | 24,655 | 10.4 | 26,120 | 10.7 | 27,505 | 10.6 | 26,280 | 11.1 | 27,875 | 11.5 | 29,685 | 11.7 | 31,345 | 12.1 |
|  | Black | 12,330 | 5.5 | 13,820 | 5.9 | 15,055 | 6.1 | 16,095 | 6.2 | 16,125 | 6.8 | 17,050 | 7.0 | 17,865 | 7.0 | 18,590 | 7.2 |
|  | Mixed | 7,285 | 3.3 | 8,105 | 3.4 | 8,655 | 3.5 | 9,690 | 3.7 | 8,915 | 3.8 | 9,615 | 4.0 | 10,290 | 4.1 | 11,060 | 4.3 |
|  | Other | 2,205 | 1.0 | 2,460 | 1.0 | 2,645 | 1.1 | 2,790 | 1.1 | 2,875 | 1.2 | 3,325 | 1.4 | 3,605 | 1.4 | 3,835 | 1.5 |
|  | Unknown | 5,330 | 2.4 | 4,865 | 2.1 | 4,800 | 2.0 | 4,545 | 1.8 | 4,530 | 1.9 | 3,635 | 1.5 | 3,870 | 1.5 | 4,010 | 1.5 |
|  | White | 171,665 | 77.2 | 182,180 | 77.2 | 187,880 | 76.6 | 198,840 | 76.6 | 177,185 | 75.1 | 181,150 | 74.7 | 188,255 | 74.2 | 190,345 | 73.4 |
| POLAR | Quintile 1 | 22,310 | 10.0 | 24,100 | 10.2 | 25,320 | 10.3 | 27,445 | 10.6 | 26,010 | 11.0 | 27,515 | 11.3 | 29,325 | 11.6 | 30,600 | 11.8 |
|  | Quintile 2 | 32,940 | 14.8 | 35,365 | 15.0 | 36,780 | 15.0 | 38,855 | 15.0 | 36,125 | 15.3 | 37,650 | 15.5 | 39,635 | 15.6 | 40,785 | 15.7 |
|  | Quintile 3 | 41,095 | 18.5 | 44,040 | 18.7 | 45,700 | 18.6 | 48,410 | 18.7 | 44,200 | 18.7 | 46,030 | 19.0 | 47,880 | 18.9 | 48,830 | 18.8 |
|  | Quintile 4 | 51,770 | 23.3 | 55,265 | 23.4 | 57,235 | 23.3 | 60,395 | 23.3 | 54,490 | 23.1 | 55,810 | 23.0 | 58,295 | 23.0 | 58,980 | 22.8 |
|  | Quintile 5 | 73,050 | 32.8 | 76,325 | 32.3 | 79,245 | 32.3 | 83,680 | 32.3 | 74,560 | 31.6 | 75,200 | 31.0 | 77,880 | 30.7 | 79,460 | 30.7 |
|  | Unknown | 1,260 | 0.6 | 990 | 0.4 | 875 | 0.4 | 670 | 0.3 | 520 | 0.2 | 450 | 0.2 | 550 | 0.2 | 535 | 0.2 |

Note: 'POLAR' = the Participation of Local Areas measure.

## Annex C: Statistical modelling - methodology overview

1. This annex outlines the methodology used for the statistical modelling of the attainment of first and upper second class degrees combined and of first class degree alone.

## Method to determine 'unexplained' attainment

2. Mixed-effects logistic regression modelling was employed to investigate whether or not the observed changes in graduate attainment with time at the sector and provider levels can be explained by changes in the make-up of the graduate population in terms of the explanatory variables included in the modelling. Full model specifications are given in Annex D.
3. The modelling used to investigate degree attainment changes with time at the sector level includes explanatory variables relating to the provider at which the graduate was registered, graduation year and various key graduate characteristics. The effects of the following were included as explanatory variables in the full model:

- the provider at which the graduate was registered
- year of graduation
- subject of study
- qualifications on entry into higher education
- age
- additional contextual variables:
- declared disability status
- ethnicity
- sex
- Participation of Local Areas (POLAR4) quintile.

4. Sector-level results from modelling where the additional contextual variables have been included (the 'full model') and omitted (the 'simplified model') as explanatory variables are presented in the main body of this report.
5. The models allow us to predict the proportion of graduates awarded a first or an upper second class degree, or a first class degree, accounting for the effect of the explanatory variables.
6. To investigate and isolate the effect of graduation year on degree attainment the following methodology was applied:
f. The optimised models provide the probability of an individual with given characteristics attaining a first or upper second class degree, or a first class degree.
g. The predicted probability for a given group of individuals (e.g. white women graduating in 2011-12) may then be determined by taking the mean of the predicted probabilities of the individuals in that group.
h. To investigate the effect of graduation year on degree classification attainment, the model is applied to the entire reported graduate population, but with the academic year of graduation for all graduates in the population changed to 2010-11.
i. The observed value for the proportion of graduates attaining a first or upper second class degree, or a first class degree, in each academic year is then compared with the model's predicted value for the same graduates had they graduated in 2010-11.
j. Any differences between the predicted and observed values is said to be 'unexplained', and a result of unobserved effects between academic years that have not been accounted for and have not been included as explanatory variables in the model. It is not possible to determine from this analysis what these additional unobserved factors are.
7. In summary, we estimate the 'unexplained' difference in the proportion of graduates attaining a first or upper second class degree, or a first class degree, had they graduated in 2010-11, compared with the actual year of their graduation.

## Hypothetically closed attainment gaps within additional contextual variable groups

8. Additionally, we have applied the same method presented in paragraphs 2 to 5 of this annex, but have further assigned all graduates the values for the additional contextual variables (sex, ethnicity, disability status and POLAR4 quintile) associated with the groups that have the highest attainment, as judged by the most positive regression coefficients (see Annex D) in the 'full model'.
9. The predicted attainment of this graduate population in 2017-18 may be considered a hypothetical upper estimate of the expected sector attainment, representing a hypothetical sector where attainment gaps between groups within the additional contextual variable groups do not exist
10. For first and upper second class degrees combined, the highest achieving graduates in terms of these characteristics are white, non-disabled women from POLAR4 quintile 5 regions.
11. For first class degrees alone, the highest achieving graduates in terms of these characteristics are white, non-disabled women from POLAR4 quintile 4 regions.

## Annex D: Statistical modelling - model details

1. This annex details the models used to describe the attainment of first or upper second class degrees and first class degrees.
2. Mixed-effects logistic regression has been used to model the probability of graduate $i$ attaining a first or an upper second class degree, or a first class degree, from provider $j$, accounting for the effect of the explanatory variables outlined in Annex C.
3. The specifications of the 'full' and 'simplified' models are displayed in Equations D1 and D2 respectively.

## Equation D1: ‘Full’ mixed-effects logistic regression model for graduate degree attainment

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { first or upper second class OR first class } \sim \operatorname{Binomial}\left(n_{i j}, \pi_{i j}\right) \\
& \operatorname{logit}\left(\pi_{i j}\right)=\beta_{0 j}+u_{0 j}+\sum_{Y=1}^{8}\left(\beta_{Y}+u_{Y j}\right) X_{Y i j}+\sum_{S b j=9}^{25} \beta_{S b j} X_{S b j i j}^{47}+\sum_{Q=26}^{48} \beta_{Q} X_{Q i j}+\sum_{A=48}^{48} \beta_{A} X_{A i j} \\
& \quad+\sum_{(Q * A)=49}^{70} \beta_{(Q * A)} X_{(Q * A) i j}+\sum_{D=71}^{71} \beta_{D} X_{D i j}+\sum_{S e x=72}^{73} \beta_{S e x} X_{S e x i j}+\sum_{E=74}^{78} \beta_{E} X_{E i j} \\
& \quad+\sum_{P=79}^{83} \beta_{P} X_{P i j}
\end{aligned}
$$

Equation D2: ‘Simplified’ mixed-effects logistic regression model for graduate degree attainment

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { first or upper second class OR first class } \sim \operatorname{Binomial}\left(n_{i j}, \pi_{i j}\right) \\
& \operatorname{logit}\left(\pi_{i j}\right)=\beta_{0 j}+u_{0 j}+\sum_{Y=1}^{85}\left(\beta_{Y}+u_{Y j}\right) X_{Y i j}+\sum_{S b j=9}^{25} \beta_{S b j} X_{S b j i j}+\sum_{Q=26}^{47} \beta_{Q} X_{Q i j}+\sum_{A=48}^{48} \beta_{A} X_{A i j} \\
& +\sum_{(Q * A)=49}^{70} \beta_{(Q * A)} X_{(Q * A) i j}
\end{aligned}
$$

4. Where the $\beta$ s represent the fixed effect coefficients which are common to individuals across all providers (the sector) and years ${ }^{11}, X$ s ( 0 or 1 ) represent whether or not an individual has the characteristics ( $Y=$ academic year of graduation, $S b j=$ subject of study, $Q=$ entry qualifications, $A=$ age, $Q * A=$ interaction between entry qualifications and age, $D=$ declared disability status, Sex = sex, $E=$ ethnicity and $P=$ POLAR4 quintile), $u_{0 j}$ is the random intercept for provider $j$ and $u_{Y j}$ represents the random coefficient for provider $j$ in academic year $Y$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{0 j} \sim N\left(0, \sigma_{u_{0}}^{2}\right) \\
& u_{Y j} \sim N\left(0, \sigma_{u_{Y}}^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

[^5]5. A full summary of the variables used in the model, and the categories within those variables, is given in Table D1.

Table D1: Variables used in the graduate degree attainment modelling (all categorical)

| Model variable name | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| Academic year (Y) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Academic year of graduation: } \\ & \text { 2010-11 (ref) } \\ & 2011-12 \\ & 2012-13 \\ & 2013-14 \\ & 2014-15 \\ & 2015-16 \\ & 2016-17 \\ & 2017-18 \end{aligned}$ |
| Subject of study (Sbj) | Subject studied: <br> Creative arts and design (ref) <br> Medicine, dentistry and veterinary science <br> Subjects allied to medicine <br> Agriculture and related subjects <br> Physical sciences <br> Mathematical sciences <br> Computer science <br> Engineering and technology <br> Architecture, building and planning <br> Social studies <br> Law <br> Business and administrative studies <br> Mass communication and documentation <br> Languages <br> Historical and philosophical studies <br> Biological sciences <br> Education <br> Combined subjects |
| Entry qualifications (Q) | Entry qualifications of the graduate: <br> A-level: AAA and above (ref) <br> A-level: AAB <br> A-level: ABB <br> A-level: BBB <br> A-level: BBC <br> A-level: BCC <br> A-level: CCC <br> A-level: CCD <br> A-level: CCD |


| Model variable name | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | A-level: CDD <br> A-level: DDD <br> A-level: Below DDD <br> BTEC: DDD and above <br> BTEC: DDM <br> BTEC: DMM <br> BTEC: MMM and below <br> 2 A-levels and 1 BTEC <br> 1 A-levels and 2 BTEC <br> International Baccalaureate <br> Other Level 3 <br> No Level 3 Equivalent |
| Age (A) | Age on entry <br> Under 21 (Young) (ref) <br> Over 21 (Mature) |
| Disability (D) | Declared disability status of graduate <br> No disability (ref) <br> Disability |
| Sex (Sex) | Sex of graduate: <br> Male <br> Female (ref) Other |
| Ethnicity (E) | Ethnicity of graduate: <br> White (ref) <br> Black <br> Mixed <br> Asian <br> Other <br> Unknown |
| Participation of Local Areas (POLAR4) quintile (P) | Young participation quintile of graduate: <br> Quintile 1 <br> Quintile 2 <br> Quintile 3 <br> Quintile 4 <br> Quintile 5 (ref) <br> Unknown |

Note: Those categories marked with '(ref)' are the reference categories for each categorical or dummy variable and are not formally included in the model structure (they are equal to 0 ).
6. Estimates (Est) of the fixed effects coefficients, their standard errors (SE) and p-values for both the full and simplified models are shown for upper second class and first class degrees combined in Table D2, and for first class degrees alone in Table D3.

Table D2: Fixed effect coefficient estimates for the models for first or upper second class degree attainment

| Effect |  | Full model Est | Full model SE |  | Simplified model Est | Simplified model SE | Simplified model pvalue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intercept | Intercept | 2.959 | 0.034 | <0.0001 | 2.787 | 0.031 | <0.0001 |
| Academic Year | 2010-11 (ref) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2011-12 | 0.107 | 0.021 | <0.0001 | 0.105 | 0.02 | <0.0001 |
|  | 2012-13 | 0.206 | 0.021 | <0.0001 | 0.194 | 0.02 | <0.0001 |
|  | 2013-14 | 0.327 | 0.021 | <0.0001 | 0.307 | 0.02 | <0.0001 |
|  | 2014-15 | 0.432 | 0.021 | <0.0001 | 0.396 | 0.02 | <0.0001 |
|  | 2015-16 | 0.518 | 0.021 | <0.0001 | 0.479 | 0.02 | <0.0001 |
|  | 2016-17 | 0.644 | 0.021 | <0.0001 | 0.600 | 0.02 | <0.0001 |
|  | 2017-18 | 0.716 | 0.021 | <0.0001 | 0.669 | 0.02 | <0.0001 |
| Subject of study | Agriculture and related subjects | -0.254 | 0.022 | <0.0001 | -0.230 | 0.021 | <0.0001 |
|  | Architecture, building and planning | -0.167 | 0.014 | <0.0001 | -0.348 | 0.014 | <0.0001 |
|  | Biological sciences | -0.294 | 0.008 | <0.0001 | -0.374 | 0.007 | <0.0001 |
|  | Business and administrative studies | 0.013 | 0.008 | 0.079 | -0.179 | 0.007 | <0.0001 |
|  | Combined | -0.443 | 0.037 | <0.0001 | -0.553 | 0.036 | <0.0001 |
|  | Computer science | 0.140 | 0.010 | <0.0001 | -0.109 | 0.010 | <0.0001 |
|  | Creative arts and design (ref) | - | - | - | 0.000 | 0.000 | <0.0001 |
|  | Education | -0.187 | 0.009 | <0.0001 | -0.153 | 0.009 | <0.0001 |
|  | Engineering and technology | 0.014 | 0.010 | 0.183 | -0.224 | 0.010 | <0.0001 |
|  | Historical and philosophical studies | 0.042 | 0.011 | <0.001 | -0.009 | 0.011 | 0.439 |
|  | Languages | -0.068 | 0.010 | <0.0001 | -0.075 | 0.010 | <0.0001 |
|  | Law | -0.508 | 0.010 | <0.0001 | -0.700 | 0.010 | <0.0001 |
|  | Mass <br> communications and documentation | 0.036 | 0.011 | <0.001 | -0.035 | 0.011 | 0.001 |
|  | Mathematical sciences | -0.881 | 0.014 | <0.0001 | -1.054 | 0.014 | <0.0001 |


|  | Medicine and dentistry | -0.231 | 0.039 | <0.0001 | -0.393 | 0.038 | <0.0001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Physical sciences | -0.533 | 0.010 | <0.0001 | -0.620 | 0.010 | <0.0001 |
|  | Social studies | -0.261 | 0.008 | <0.0001 | -0.371 | 0.008 | <0.0001 |
|  | Subjects allied to medicine | -0.256 | 0.008 | <0.0001 | -0.311 | 0.008 | <0.0001 |
| Entry qualifications | A-level: AAA and above (ref) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | A-level: AAB | -0.579 | 0.013 | <0.0001 | -0.588 | 0.013 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: AAC | -0.975 | 0.022 | <0.0001 | -0.982 | 0.022 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: ABB | -0.948 | 0.013 | <0.0001 | -0.969 | 0.013 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: ABC | -1.218 | 0.015 | <0.0001 | -1.242 | 0.014 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: ACC | -1.473 | 0.018 | <0.0001 | -1.507 | 0.017 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: BBB | -1.238 | 0.015 | <0.0001 | -1.270 | 0.015 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: BBC | -1.483 | 0.014 | <0.0001 | -1.518 | 0.014 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: BCC | -1.694 | 0.013 | <0.0001 | -1.742 | 0.013 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: CCC | -1.934 | 0.013 | <0.0001 | -1.994 | 0.013 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: CCD | -2.148 | 0.014 | <0.0001 | -2.220 | 0.014 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: CDD | -2.372 | 0.015 | <0.0001 | -2.456 | 0.015 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: DDD | -2.550 | 0.016 | <0.0001 | -2.649 | 0.016 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: Below DDD | -2.768 | 0.018 | <0.0001 | -2.886 | 0.018 | <0.0001 |
|  | BTEC: DDD and above | -2.392 | 0.013 | <0.0001 | -2.464 | 0.013 | <0.0001 |
|  | BTEC: DDM | -2.805 | 0.017 | <0.0001 | -2.916 | 0.017 | <0.0001 |
|  | BTEC: DMM | -3.016 | 0.018 | <0.0001 | -3.133 | 0.018 | <0.0001 |
|  | BTEC: MMM and below | -3.251 | 0.016 | <0.0001 | -3.387 | 0.016 | <0.0001 |
|  | 2 A-levels 1 BTEC | -2.138 | 0.017 | <0.0001 | -2.208 | 0.017 | <0.0001 |
|  | 1 A-level 2 BTECs | -2.515 | 0.019 | <0.0001 | -2.625 | 0.019 | <0.0001 |
|  | IB | -1.019 | 0.023 | <0.0001 | -1.064 | 0.023 | <0.0001 |
|  | Other Level 3 | -2.493 | 0.013 | <0.0001 | -2.611 | 0.013 | <0.0001 |
|  | No level 3 equivalent | -2.244 | 0.021 | <0.0001 | -2.378 | 0.020 | <0.0001 |
| Age | Mature | 0.025 | 0.054 | 0.642 | -0.108 | 0.052 | 0.040 |
|  | Young (ref) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Age (Mature) * Entry | A-level: AAA and above (ref) | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| qualifications | A-level: AAB | -0.139 | 0.073 | 0.056 | -0.064 | 0.072 | 0.370 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A-level: AAC | -0.049 | 0.110 | 0.655 | 0.033 | 0.108 | 0.764 |
|  | A-level: ABB | -0.094 | 0.070 | 0.182 | -0.003 | 0.069 | 0.964 |
|  | A-level: ABC | 0.095 | 0.073 | 0.195 | 0.198 | 0.072 | 0.006 |
|  | A-level: ACC | 0.136 | 0.081 | 0.093 | 0.258 | 0.080 | 0.001 |
|  | A-level: BBB | 0.099 | 0.077 | 0.200 | 0.189 | 0.076 | 0.012 |
|  | A-level: BBC | 0.169 | 0.067 | 0.011 | 0.265 | 0.065 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: BCC | 0.301 | 0.062 | <0.0001 | 0.416 | 0.061 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: CCC | 0.363 | 0.061 | <0.0001 | 0.472 | 0.060 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: CCD | 0.406 | 0.061 | <0.0001 | 0.530 | 0.059 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: CDD | 0.531 | 0.062 | <0.0001 | 0.662 | 0.060 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: DDD | 0.481 | 0.063 | <0.0001 | 0.617 | 0.062 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: Below DDD | 0.580 | 0.062 | <0.0001 | 0.714 | 0.061 | <0.0001 |
|  | BTEC: DDD and above | 0.174 | 0.057 | 0.002 | 0.250 | 0.056 | <0.0001 |
|  | BTEC: DDM | 0.247 | 0.062 | <0.0001 | 0.350 | 0.061 | <0.0001 |
|  | BTEC: DMM | 0.275 | 0.064 | <0.0001 | 0.374 | 0.062 | <0.0001 |
|  | BTEC: MMM and below | 0.404 | 0.057 | <0.0001 | 0.532 | 0.055 | <0.0001 |
|  | 2 A-levels 1 BTEC | 0.036 | 0.078 | 0.642 | 0.133 | 0.077 | 0.083 |
|  | 1 A-level 2 BTECs | 0.046 | 0.082 | 0.570 | 0.180 | 0.080 | 0.025 |
|  | IB | -0.527 | 0.093 | <0.0001 | -0.448 | 0.090 | <0.0001 |
|  | Other Level 3 | 0.254 | 0.054 | <0.0001 | 0.369 | 0.053 | <0.0001 |
|  | No level 3 equivalent | 0.211 | 0.057 | <0.001 | 0.311 | 0.055 | <0.0001 |
| Disability | Disability | -0.155 | 0.005 | <0.0001 | - | - | - |
|  | No disability (ref) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sex | Female (ref) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Male | -0.259 | 0.004 | <0.0001 | - | - | - |
|  | Other | 0.055 | 0.172 | 0.748 | - | - | - |
| Ethnicity | Asian | -0.687 | 0.006 | -0.687 | - | - | - |
|  | Black | -0.983 | 0.007 | -0.983 | - | - | - |
|  | Mixed | -0.366 | 0.009 | -0.366 | - | - | - |
|  | Other | -0.670 | 0.015 | -0.670 | - | - | - |
|  | Unknown | -1.163 | 0.012 | -1.163 | - | - | - |
|  | White (ref) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| POLAR4 | Quintile 1 | -0.136 | 0.006 | <0.0001 | - | - | - |


| Quintile 2 | -0.064 | 0.006 | $<0.0001$ | - | - | - |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Quintile 3 | -0.027 | 0.005 | $<0.0001$ | - | - | - |
| Quintile 4 | -0.013 | 0.005 | 0.009 | - | - | - |
| Quintile 5 (ref) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unknown | -0.245 | 0.030 | $<0.0001$ | - | - | - |

Table D3: Fixed effect coefficient estimates for the models for first class degree attainment

| Effect |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Full } \\ & \text { model } \\ & \text { Est } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Full } \\ & \text { model } \\ & \mathrm{SE} \end{aligned}$ |  | Simplified model Est | Simplified model SE | Simplified model pvalue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intercept | Intercept | -0.030 | 0.039 | 0.437 | -0.068 | 0.037 | 0.069 |
| Academic <br> Year | 2010-11 (ref) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2011-12 | 0.093 | 0.024 | <0.0001 | 0.093 | 0.023 | <0.0001 |
|  | 2012-13 | 0.202 | 0.024 | <0.0001 | 0.193 | 0.023 | <0.0001 |
|  | 2013-14 | 0.321 | 0.024 | <0.0001 | 0.307 | 0.023 | <0.0001 |
|  | 2014-15 | 0.448 | 0.024 | <0.0001 | 0.422 | 0.023 | <0.0001 |
|  | 2015-16 | 0.583 | 0.024 | <0.0001 | 0.555 | 0.023 | <0.0001 |
|  | 2016-17 | 0.753 | 0.024 | <0.0001 | 0.720 | 0.023 | <0.0001 |
|  | 2017-18 | 0.869 | 0.024 | <0.0001 | 0.832 | 0.023 | <0.0001 |
| Subject of study | Agriculture and related subjects | 0.111 | 0.024 | <0.0001 | 0.113 | 0.024 | <0.0001 |
|  | Architecture, building and planning | -0.039 | 0.015 | 0.010 | -0.153 | 0.015 | <0.0001 |
|  | Biological sciences | -0.189 | 0.008 | <0.0001 | -0.255 | 0.008 | <0.0001 |
|  | Business and administrative studies | 0.209 | 0.008 | <0.0001 | 0.062 | 0.008 | <0.0001 |
|  | Combined | -0.343 | 0.037 | <0.0001 | -0.427 | 0.037 | <0.0001 |
|  | Computer science | 0.810 | 0.011 | <0.0001 | 0.649 | 0.010 | <0.0001 |
|  | Creative arts and design (ref) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Education | -0.154 | 0.011 | <0.0001 | -0.163 | 0.011 | <0.0001 |
|  | Engineering and technology | 0.540 | 0.010 | <0.0001 | 0.387 | 0.010 | <0.0001 |
|  | Historical and philosophical studies | -0.481 | 0.011 | <0.0001 | -0.514 | 0.011 | <0.0001 |
|  | Languages | -0.480 | 0.010 | <0.0001 | -0.500 | 0.010 | <0.0001 |


|  | Law | -0.857 | 0.013 | <0.0001 | -1.001 | 0.012 | <0.0001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mass <br> communications <br> and <br> documentation | -0.236 | 0.012 | <0.0001 | -0.277 | 0.012 | <0.0001 |
|  | Mathematical sciences | 0.382 | 0.013 | <0.0001 | 0.254 | 0.012 | <0.0001 |
|  | Medicine and dentistry | -0.021 | 0.024 | 0.398 | -0.165 | 0.024 | <0.0001 |
|  | Physical sciences | 0.075 | 0.010 | <0.0001 | 0.015 | 0.010 | 0.14 |
|  | Social studies | -0.385 | 0.009 | <0.0001 | -0.487 | 0.008 | <0.0001 |
|  | Subjects allied to medicine | 0.127 | 0.008 | <0.0001 | 0.038 | 0.008 | <0.0001 |
| Entry qualifications | A-level: AAA and above (ref) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | A-level: AAB | -0.699 | 0.008 | <0.0001 | -0.709 | 0.008 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: AAC | -1.003 | 0.017 | <0.0001 | -1.016 | 0.016 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: ABB | -1.068 | 0.009 | <0.0001 | -1.086 | 0.009 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: ABC | -1.271 | 0.011 | <0.0001 | -1.295 | 0.011 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: ACC | -1.476 | 0.015 | <0.0001 | -1.511 | 0.015 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: BBB | -1.351 | 0.012 | <0.0001 | -1.376 | 0.012 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: BBC | -1.547 | 0.011 | <0.0001 | -1.578 | 0.011 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: BCC | -1.742 | 0.011 | <0.0001 | -1.784 | 0.011 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: CCC | -1.951 | 0.011 | <0.0001 | -2.002 | 0.011 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: CCD | -2.175 | 0.013 | <0.0001 | -2.235 | 0.013 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: CDD | -2.362 | 0.015 | <0.0001 | -2.431 | 0.015 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: DDD | -2.511 | 0.020 | <0.0001 | -2.595 | 0.020 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: Below DDD | -2.694 | 0.025 | <0.0001 | -2.796 | 0.024 | <0.0001 |
|  | BTEC: DDD and above | -2.178 | 0.012 | <0.0001 | -2.240 | 0.012 | <0.0001 |
|  | BTEC: DDM | -2.803 | 0.023 | <0.0001 | -2.895 | 0.023 | <0.0001 |
|  | BTEC: DMM | -3.081 | 0.027 | <0.0001 | -3.175 | 0.027 | <0.0001 |
|  | BTEC: MMM and below | -3.214 | 0.023 | <0.0001 | -3.327 | 0.023 | <0.0001 |
|  | 2 A-levels 1 <br> BTEC | -2.051 | 0.016 | <0.0001 | -2.108 | 0.016 | <0.0001 |
|  | 1 A-level 2 BTECs | -2.457 | 0.023 | <0.0001 | -2.549 | 0.023 | <0.0001 |
|  | IB | -0.861 | 0.018 | <0.0001 | -0.895 | 0.018 | <0.0001 |
|  | Other Level 3 | -2.257 | 0.011 | <0.0001 | -2.361 | 0.011 | <0.0001 |


|  | No level 3 equivalent | -1.729 | 0.023 | <0.0001 | -1.839 | 0.023 | <0.0001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Mature | 0.277 | 0.027 | <0.0001 | 0.234 | 0.027 | <0.0001 |
|  | Young (ref) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Age (Mature) * entry qualifications | A-level: AAA and above (ref) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | A-level: AAB | 0.152 | 0.043 | <0.001 | 0.170 | 0.042 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: AAC | 0.293 | 0.075 | <0.0001 | 0.315 | 0.074 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: ABB | 0.349 | 0.043 | <0.0001 | 0.370 | 0.043 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: ABC | 0.343 | 0.047 | <0.0001 | 0.372 | 0.046 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: ACC | 0.460 | 0.056 | <0.0001 | 0.504 | 0.056 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: BBB | 0.343 | 0.050 | <0.0001 | 0.371 | 0.050 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: BBC | 0.400 | 0.043 | <0.0001 | 0.427 | 0.042 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: BCC | 0.442 | 0.038 | <0.0001 | 0.488 | 0.038 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: CCC | 0.522 | 0.039 | <0.0001 | 0.562 | 0.038 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: CCD | 0.560 | 0.040 | <0.0001 | 0.611 | 0.039 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: CDD | 0.612 | 0.043 | <0.0001 | 0.672 | 0.042 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: DDD | 0.535 | 0.048 | <0.0001 | 0.602 | 0.048 | <0.0001 |
|  | A-level: Below DDD | 0.587 | 0.050 | <0.0001 | 0.653 | 0.049 | <0.0001 |
|  | BTEC: DDD and above | 0.061 | 0.036 | 0.087 | 0.064 | 0.035 | 0.069 |
|  | BTEC: DDM | 0.355 | 0.051 | <0.0001 | 0.379 | 0.051 | <0.0001 |
|  | BTEC: DMM | 0.380 | 0.059 | <0.0001 | 0.400 | 0.058 | <0.0001 |
|  | BTEC: MMM and below | 0.496 | 0.042 | <0.0001 | 0.546 | 0.042 | <0.0001 |
|  | 2 A-levels 1 BTEC | 0.087 | 0.067 | 0.192 | 0.111 | 0.066 | 0.093 |
|  | 1 A-level 2 BTECs | 0.164 | 0.082 | 0.046 | 0.218 | 0.081 | 0.007 |
|  | IB | -0.409 | 0.075 | <0.0001 | -0.396 | 0.074 | <0.0001 |
|  | Other Level 3 | 0.267 | 0.029 | <0.0001 | 0.294 | 0.029 | <0.0001 |
|  | No level 3 equivalent | 0.050 | 0.036 | 0.167 | 0.061 | 0.036 | 0.087 |
| Disability | Disability | -0.141 | 0.006 | <0.0001 | - | - | - |
|  | No disability (ref) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sex | Female (ref) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Male | -0.081 | 0.004 | <0.0001 | - | - | - |
|  | Other | 0.234 | 0.141 | 0.099 | - | - | - |


| Ethnicity | Asian | -0.625 | 0.007 | <0.0001 | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Black | -1.125 | 0.010 | <0.0001 | - | - | - |
|  | Mixed | -0.309 | 0.010 | <0.0001 | - | - | - |
|  | Other | -0.604 | 0.018 | <0.0001 | - | - | - |
|  | Unknown | -0.722 | 0.016 | <0.0001 | - | - | - |
|  | White (ref) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| POLAR4 | Quintile 1 | -0.053 | 0.007 | <0.0001 | - | - | - |
|  | Quintile 2 | 0.010 | 0.006 | 0.087 | - | - | - |
|  | Quintile 3 | 0.022 | 0.005 | <0.0001 | - | - | - |
|  | Quintile 4 | 0.027 | 0.005 | <0.0001 | - | - | - |
|  | Quintile 5 (ref) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Unknown | -0.187 | 0.036 | <0.0001 | - | - | - |

7. Estimates (Est) of the variance components and their standard errors (SE) for the random intercepts and random year coefficients in both the full and simplified models are shown for upper second class and first class degrees combined in Table D4, and for first class degrees alone in Table D5.

Table D4: Variance component estimates for the models for first or upper second class degree attainment

| Random <br> effect | Full model <br> Est | Full model <br> SE | Simplified model <br> Est | Simplified model <br> SE |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intercept | $\sigma_{u_{0}}^{2}$ | 0.111 | 0.014 | 0.086 | 0.011 |
| Year | $\sigma_{u_{Y}}^{2}$ | 0.023 | 0.001 | 0.021 | 0.001 |

Table D5: Variance component estimates for the models for first class degree attainment

| Random <br> effect | Full model <br> Est | Full model <br> SE | Simplified model <br> Est | Simplified model <br> SE |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intercept | $\sigma_{u_{0}}^{2}$ | 0.165 | 0.020 | 0.153 | 0.019 |
| Year | $\sigma_{u_{Y}}^{2}$ | 0.029 | 0.002 | 0.026 | 0.002 |

8. Model fit statistics for both the full and simplified models are shown for upper second class and first class degrees combined in Table D6, and for first class degrees alone in Table D7.

Table D6: Model fit statistics for the models for first or upper second class degree attainment

| Statistic | Full model | Simplified model |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| -2logLiklihood | $1,972,279$ | $2,012,401$ |
| AIC | $1,972,451$ | $2,012,547$ |

Table D7: Model fit statistics for the models for first class degree attainment

| Statistic | Full model | Simplified model |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| -2logLiklihood | $1,880,242$ | $1,903,954$ |
| AIC | $1,880,414$ | $1,904,100$ |

## Annex E: Provider unexplained attainment flagging - methodology

1. This annex details the methodology used to flag providers where unexplained graduate attainment was statistically significantly different from the sector or from themselves in the academic year 2010-11.
2. Two statistical significance flags for each provider included in the modelling have been presented to address the following questions:
a. Sector 2010-11 flag - Is graduate attainment of first or upper second class degrees or of first class degrees at the provider statistically significantly higher than (1, above), lower than ( -1 , below) or no different from ( 0 , same as) the mean graduate attainment of the sector in 2010-11, with the effect of all explanatory variables accounted for?
b. Provider 2010-11 flag - Is graduate attainment of first or upper second class degrees or of first class degrees at the provider statistically significantly higher than (1, above), lower than ( -1 , below) or no different from ( 0 , same as) the mean graduate attainment of the same provider in 2010-11, with the effect of all explanatory variables accounted for?
3. These flags are created for a provider where the following Z-scores are deemed significant at the $\alpha<0.05$ level, or lie outside the limits -3.5844 (flag $=-1$ ) $\leq Z \leq 3.5844$ (flag = 1). These values of $Z$ were deduced by applying the Bonferroni correction method for multiple comparisons. As we are comparing the results of 148 providers, we set the Z-score threshold to be at $0.05 / 148=0.0003$, which corresponds to $+/-3.5844$ standard deviations from the mean (zero). The Z-scores for the sector 2010-11 and provider 2010-11 flags are calculated using equations E2 and E3, respectively ${ }^{12}$.

## Equation E1: Sector in 2010-11 flag

$$
Z_{\text {Sector }, 2010}= \begin{cases}\frac{u_{0, j}+u_{\text {year }, j}}{\sqrt{\text { s.e. }\left(u_{0, j}\right)^{2}+\text { s.e. }\left(u_{\text {year }, j}\right)^{2}}} & , \text { year }=2010 \\ \frac{u_{0, j}+\beta_{\text {year }}+u_{\text {year }, j}}{\sqrt{\text { s.e. }\left(u_{0, j}\right)^{2}+\text { s.e. }\left(\beta_{\text {year }}\right)^{2}+\text { s.e. }\left(u_{\text {year }, j}\right)^{2}}}, \text { year } \neq 2010\end{cases}
$$

## Equation E2: Provider in 2010-11 flag

$$
Z_{\text {provider }, 2010}= \begin{cases}0 & \beta_{\text {year }}+u_{\text {year }, j-} u_{2010, j} \\ \sqrt{\text { s.e. }\left(\beta_{\text {year }}\right)^{2}+\text { s.e. }\left(u_{\text {year }, j}\right)^{2}+\text { s.e. }\left(u_{2010, j}\right)^{2}} & \text { year }=2010 \\ \text { year } \neq 2010\end{cases}
$$

[^6]Where, for provider $j: u_{0, j}$ is the random intercept (one per provider); $u_{\text {year }, j}$ is the provider random year effect (eight per provider); $\beta_{y \text { year }}$ is the sector fixed year effect (seven in total, as 2010-11 is the reference academic year); and the s.e. $(x)$ is the standard error of coefficient $x$.

The Z-scores for both flags for all 148 providers across all years are given alongside the flags in the full tables available at www.officeforstudents.org.uk/publications/analysis-of-degree-classifications-overtime/.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Available at www.officeforstudents.org.uk/publications/analysis-of-degree-classifications-over-time-changes-in-graduate-attainment/.

    2 'The drivers of degree classifications', available at https://www.universitiesuk.ac.uk/policy-and-analysis/reports/Pages/degree-classification.aspx.
    ${ }^{3}$ In OfS 2018.54 the graduates included in the analysis were England-domiciled only. This has been changed to UK-domiciled to align with the Office for Students' Key Performance Measures.
    ${ }^{4}$ The term 'unexplained' in this context means that changes in attainment over the time period cannot be accounted for by changes in the characteristics of the graduating cohort in terms of the explanatory variables included in the statistical modelling. It is not possible to deduce from this analysis what factors not included in the modelling (such as improvements in teaching quality, more diligent students or changes to assessment approaches) are driving the observed changes in degree attainment.

[^1]:    ${ }^{5}$ Limited to those receiving a classified honours degree.
    ${ }^{6}$ The total number of unique 'observations' used in this analysis totalled $2,330,615$. This number is greater than the number of graduates in the population considered, as each observation has an associated weight of: $0<$ weight $\leq 1$ full person equivalent (FPE), to account for combinations of subject of study for individuals. The total weight of these observations sums to the number of graduates (FPE).

[^2]:    ${ }^{7}$ Note: The $y$-axis range in Figures 4 and 5 has been selected to focus on the majority of providers. A small number of providers exhibit year-on-year attainment changes outside this range so do not appear in the plots.

[^3]:    ${ }^{8}$ These attainment gaps exist in the sector (see, for example
    www.officeforstudents.org.uk/publications/a-new-approach-to-regulating-access-and-participation-in-english-higher-education-consultation-outcomes/), and are apparent from these groups having significantly different regression coefficients in our statistical modelling. The unexplained attainment estimates produced here are based on a hypothetical sector where we have used our statistical modelling to artificially close the attainment gaps.
    ${ }^{9}$ We recognise that the highest attaining individual characteristics in the additional contextual variable groups for the sector may not be the same as the highest attaining groups at an individual provider. This figure is included as a guide only.

[^4]:    ${ }^{10}$ See 'Technical algorithms for institutional performance measures: Core algorithms', available under 'OfS core algorithms' at www.officeforstudents.org.uk/data-and-analysis/access-and-participation-data-dashboard/guide-to-the-access-and-participation-data-resources/.

[^5]:    ${ }^{11}$ The summation term for academic year of graduation includes the reference year of 2010-11, as each provider has a random coefficient for all years but the fixed effect 2010-11 coefficient $\beta_{1}=0$ (reference categories for other explanatory variables are omitted from the model structure).

[^6]:    ${ }^{12}$ For the calculation of Z -scores all covariance terms that appear in the denominator are $=0$ and therefore not shown; i.e. coefficients that appear in the equations are assumed to be independent and uncorrelated.

