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## Introduction to similar schools

The purpose of similar schools is to provide further context around key stage 4 examination performance and an insight into how schools are performing compared to those with similar intakes (based on the level at which they were assessed at the end of key stage 2).

This information is provided to help schools identify others with similar ability pupils, with whom they might want to collaborate and share good practice. From a parent or governor's perspective, it gives an indication of what schools dealing with children of similar levels of ability have been able to achieve.

To identify schools with similar intakes, we estimated the Attainment 8 score for each pupil at the end of key stage 4 . This estimate is based upon the attainment of pupils in key stage 2 tests and teacher assessments. The pupil estimates can then be averaged for all key stage 4 pupils in a school to calculate the school estimate.

Once the school estimates have been calculated, a similar schools group is selected for each school by taking the 54 schools with the most similar estimates, 27 above and 27 below. This means that each school will have a unique group of 55 schools (including the focus school).

Schools' average Attainment 8 score per pupil for 2019 is then compared across the similar schools group. The summary diagrams on pages 5,6 and 7 show how to interpret the similar schools comparisons.

The schools included in the measure are state funded mainstream schools only.
Further information on Attainment 8 and other key stage 4 headline measure can be found in the Secondary accountability measures guidance.

## Expiry or review date

This technical guide will next be reviewed before January 2021.

## Who is this advice for?

This guidance is for:

- local authorities
- school leaders, school staff and governing bodies in all maintained schools, academies and free schools


## Interpreting similar schools comparisons

Example of a similar schools' comparison, showing how the focus school's Attainment 8 score compares to their similar schools group ${ }^{1}$ :


[^0]
## Example of a similar schools' group table, showing how the focus school's Attainment 8

score compares to their similar schools ${ }^{2}$ :


[^1]
## Selecting similar school groups

A statistical model is used to estimate the Attainment 8 achievement for pupils at the end of key stage 4 in 2019. Each pupil's key stage 4 estimate is calculated based on the actual average Attainment 8 score of all pupils nationally with the same level of achievement at key stage 2. For example, calculation of an estimated outcome for a pupil who scored an average of 27 points at key stage 2 will be based on the actual key stage 4 outcome of all pupils nationally that also scored an average of 27 points at key stage 2 .


Section A of the technical annex provides a more detailed description of how pupils' estimated Attainment 8 score is calculated.

The individual pupil Attainment 8 score estimate is then averaged to calculate a school level estimate.

For more information on calculating school estimates, please see section $B$ of the technical annex.

Once the school estimates have been calculated, a similar schools group is selected for each school by taking the 54 schools with the most similar estimates, 27 above and 27 below. This means that each school has a unique group.

The diagram on the next page demonstrates how a school's similar schools group is selected.

School 279's Similar School's group consisting of 55 schools including the focus school

| Rank of school estimate | School | School estimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | School 1 | 72.92 |
| 2 | School 2 | 71.50 |
| $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | § |
| 251 | School 251 | 52.13 |
| 252 | School 252 | 52.12 |
| 253 | School 253 | 52.11 |
| 254 | School 254 | 52.10 |
| 255 | School 255 | 52.10 |
| $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| 271 | School 271 | 52.04 |
| 272 | School 272 | 52.03 |
| 273 | School 273 | 52.03 |
| 274 | School 274 | 52.03 |
| 275 | School 275 | 52.02 |
| 276 | School 276 | 52.02 |
| 277 | School 277 | 52.01 |
| 278 | School 278 | 52.00 |
| 279 | School 279 | 51.99 |
| 280 | School 280 | 51.98 |
| 281 | School 281 | 51.98 |
| 282 | School 282 | 51.98 |
| 283 | School 283 | 51.98 |
| 284 | School 284 | 51.97 |
| 285 | School 285 | 51.97 |
| 286 | School 286 | 51.97 |
| 287 | School 287 | 51.96 |
| $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\uparrow$ |
| 303 | School 303 | 51.88 |
| 304 | School 304 | 51.87 |
| 305 | School 305 | 51.87 |
| 306 | School 306 | 51.87 |
| 307 | School 307 | 51.86 |
| $\uparrow$ | § | $\uparrow$ |
| 3122 | School 3122 | 21.25 |
| 3123 | School 3123 | 20.04 |

27 most similar schools with a higher estimate

27 most similar schools with a lower estimate

## Better performing similar schools

Where possible we have highlighted schools within each similar schools group that are both better performing than the focus school and within travelling distance should schools wish to collaborate. To be highlighted as such, a school should:

- not have a 'Well below average' Progress 8 banding ${ }^{3}$.
- have an average Attainment 8 score per pupil that is at least 9 points (one standard deviation) higher than the focus school
- be located within 75 miles of the focus school

| School | Local Authority | Attainment 8 score | School position within group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School 249 | Local Authority X | 63.0 | 1 |
| School 261 | Local Authority X | 54.8 | 2 |
| School 262 | Local Authority X | 54.6 | 3 |
| School 255 | Local Authority X | 54.2 | \} 4 |
| School 264 | Local Authority X | 54.3 |  |
| School 254 | Local Authority X | 53.4 |  |
| School 265 | Local Authority X | 53.4 | School 249 is a better |
| School 263 | Local Authority X | 53.4 | performing school |
| School 253 | Local Authority X | 53.4 | because it has an |
| School 267 | Local Authority X | 53.4 | Attainment 8 score that is |
| School 259 | Local Authority X | 52.9 | at least 9 points higher |
| School 252 | Local Authority X | 52.8 | is located within 75 miles |
| School 268 | Local Authority X | 52.1 |  |
| School 269 | Local Authority X | 52.1 |  |
| School 270 | Local Authority X | 51.7 | 10 |
| School 257 | Local Authority X | 51.5 | 11 |
| School 271 | Local Authority X | 51.4 | 12 |
| School 272 | Local Authority X | 51.3 | 13 |
| School 273 | Local Authority X | 51.2 | 14 |
| School 274 | Local Authority X | 51 | 15 |
| School 291 | Local Authority X | 51.9 | 16 |
| School 256 | Local Authority X | 51.8 | 17 |
| School 290 | Local Authority X | 51.7 | 18 |
| School 301 | Local Authority X | 51.6 | 19 |
| School 278 | Local Authority X | 51.5 | The focus $\quad 20$ |
| School 277 | Local Authority X | 50 | 21 |
| School 276 | Local Authority X | 50.9 | 22 |
| School 275 | Local Authority X | 50.8 | 23 |
| School 250 | Local Authority X | 50.6 | 24 |
| School 279 | Local Authority X | 51.9 | 25 |

[^2]If there are no schools within a group that meet the above criteria we have, where possible, found an additional better performing school outside of the group. The information for this school is displayed at the bottom of the group page.

This better performing school will meet the criteria set out above and to ensure that the school has a similar intake, the school's similar school estimate must be within 6 points (one standard deviation of the estimates) of the focus school. This better performing school will also have:

- an average Attainment 8 score per pupil that is higher than their estimate
- a higher difference between their average Attainment 8 score per pupil and their estimated score than the school of interest.

Where a school does not have a better performing school, this is likely because:
a.) the school has one of the highest average Attainment 8 scores per pupil in state funded mainstream schools, therefore allocating a better performing school based on the above criteria is not possible. Note, this does not necessarily mean that this school is the highest attaining school in the country.
OR
b.) No 'better performing' school could be identified based on the criteria set out above, not necessarily due to high attainment but due to other factors, ie. no better performing school within 75 miles. This does not mean that a focus school does not have a better performing school, only that there is not one available based on the conditions for this measure.

Within each similar schools group, to provide an additional point for comparison, we have also highlighted any school that has a similar proportion of disadvantaged pupils; that is those schools within 2 percentage points difference (plus or minus) of the focus school.

## Technical Annex

Behind the key stage 4 similar schools measure sits a statistical model used to identify schools with the most similar intakes. The model generates:

- pupil estimates $\left(E_{p}\right)$ : an estimated Attainment 8 score for each pupil that is based on the average national performance of all pupils in the same key stage 2 prior attainment group.
- school estimates $\left(E_{S}\right)$ : the average of all individual pupil Attainment 8 score estimates in a specific school.


## Section A - Calculating pupil estimates

## Pupil eligibility for inclusion in the similar school model

Pupils are included in the key stage 4 similar schools model if:

- their key stage 4 attainment can be matched to their attainment at key stage 2;
- they have an average key stage 2 fine grade score that is greater than zero;
- they do not have missing or disregarded outcomes in both reading and maths key stage 2 tests / teacher assessments;
- they attend a state funded mainstream school ${ }^{4}$


## Pupil estimate methodology

First, mean key stage 2 fine grade score bandings are applied to determine key stage 2 fine levels (see table below). Banding has been applied where few pupils achieved a certain prior attainment score to produce more accurate estimated values.

[^3]Mean KS2 fine grade score bandings $\longrightarrow$ KS2 fine level

| Less than or equal to $1.5(<=1.5)$ | 1.5 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Between 1.6 and $2.0(>=1.6 \&<=2.0)$ | 2.0 |
| Between 2.1 and $2.5(>=2.1 \&<=2.5)$ | 2.5 |
| Between 2.6 and $2.8(>=2.6 \&<=2.8)$ | 2.8 |
| More than or equal to 5.8 $(>=5.8)$ | 5.8 |
| All other fine grade scores | fine level = fine grade score <br> (rounded to 1 decimal place) |

In 2019, a pupil's mean key stage 2 fine grade score is defined as the average of their key stage 2 reading and maths fine grade results. Fine level banding represents a pupil's prior attainment grouping, consistent with those used in the calculation of Progress 8.
(For further information on converting test marks to fine grades consult the 'Secondary accountability measures guidance')

Next, average Attainment 8 scores are calculated for each prior attainment group (or, key stage 2 fine level). These Attainment 8 averages are taken as a pupil's estimated Attainment 8 outcome. All pupils in the same prior attainment group are assumed to have the same pupil estimate.

2019 pupil estimates are shown in the chart and table on the next page. Each 'step' in the chart corresponds to the different prior attainment groupings. These estimates are the same as those used in Progress 8 calculations and are expressed as a number to 2 decimal places.


| Key stage <br> 2 fine level | Attainment <br> 8 estimate |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1.5 | 15.13 |
| 2 | 17.24 |
| 2.5 | 17.49 |
| 2.8 | 18.29 |
| 2.9 | 19.81 |
| 3 | 20.64 |
| 3.1 | 21.63 |
| 3.2 | 22.44 |
| 3.3 | 23.12 |
| 3.4 | 23.98 |
| 3.5 | 24.88 |
| 3.6 | 25.65 |
| 3.7 | 26.54 |
| 3.8 | 27.44 |
| 3.9 | 28.97 |
| 4 | 29.99 |
| 4.1 | 31.27 |


| Key stage <br> 2 fine level | Attainment <br> 8 estimate |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4.2 | 32.88 |
| 4.3 | 34.20 |
| 4.4 | 36.03 |
| 4.5 | 37.68 |
| 4.6 | 39.76 |
| 4.7 | 41.92 |
| 4.8 | 44.25 |
| 4.9 | 46.51 |
| 5 | 49.19 |
| 5.1 | 52.06 |
| 5.2 | 54.85 |
| 5.3 | 58.09 |
| 5.4 | 61.60 |
| 5.5 | 65.28 |
| 5.6 | 69.67 |
| 5.7 | 74.32 |
| 5.8 | 79.19 |

## Worked example 1

Pupil Gillian Jones has the following prior attainment at the end of key stage:

| Surname | Jones |
| :--- | :--- |
| Forename | Gillian |
| Mean KS2 fine grade score | 5.3 |

First, Gillian is assigned to her fine level band which, in this case, equals her mean fine grade. Gillian hence has a key stage 2 fine level of 5.3; this is her prior attainment group.

Next, the average Attainment 8 score is calculated for all pupils nationally within Gillian's prior attainment group. The average score for pupils that also achieved a mean fine grade score of 5.3 at key stage 2 is $\mathbf{5 8 . 0 9}$. Gillian's pupil estimate $\left(E_{p}\right)$ is hence 58.09 (to 2 decimal places).

## Section B - Calculating school estimates

## School eligibility for inclusion in the similar schools model

Schools will be included in key stage 4 similar schools groupings if:

- they are classed as a state funded mainstream school;
- their results are not suppressed in performance tables under the small numbers/suppression rules.


## Methodology for school estimates

School estimates $\left(E_{S}\right)$ are calculated as the average pupil estimate for a specific school:

$$
E_{s}=\frac{\sum_{p=1}^{n_{s}} E_{p}}{n_{s}},
$$

where:

| $n_{s}$ | is the number of eligible pupils in the school |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\sum_{p=1}^{n_{s}} E_{p}$ | is the sum of the estimates of eligible pupils <br> in the school |

A school's group will then be made up of 54 schools with the closest estimates to $E_{s}$ (totalling 55 schools). In most school groups there will be 27 schools above and below the focus school.

## Continuation of worked example 1

Gillian is one of 100 pupils in her school's key stage 4 cohort. They have a range of pupil estimates:

| Pupil number | Pupil name | Pupil estimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Gillian | 58.09 |
| 2 | Lindsay | 35.57 |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| 100 | David | 27.02 |
| Average |  | 45.34 |

The average estimate for all eligible pupils within the school key stage 4 cohort is calculated using the formula below:

$$
E_{s}=\frac{\sum_{p=1}^{n_{s}} E_{p}}{n_{s}}=\frac{(58.09+35.57+\cdots+27.02)}{100}=45.34(\text { to } 2 \mathrm{~d} . p .)
$$

The final key stage 4 school estimate ( $E_{S}$ ) for this school is 45.34 . The school's group will then be made up of 54 schools with the closest estimates to 45.34 , with 27 schools above and 27 below.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Please note this is dummy data and therefore does not reflect actual KS4 attainment trends, it is included here to aid interpretation of the similar schools measure only.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ For further explanation on better performing schools and similar disadvantaged cohorts, see page 8

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ This replaces the condition based on achievement of the Progress 8 floor standard following removal of this measure in 2019. For more information on Progress 8 bandings and what they mean, please see the 'Progress scores for key stage 4: school and college performance tables' document here: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/progress-8-school-performance-measure

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ State funded mainstream schools include sponsored academies, converter academies, community schools, voluntary aided schools, voluntary controlled schools, foundation schools, city technology colleges, 14 to 16 further education colleges, university technology colleges and studio schools.

