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## Technical Appendix

This appendix provides further detail regarding the methodology undertaken as part of the independent impact evaluation of the National Tutoring Programme Year 2.

All analysis described in this appendix was carried out in the Secure Research Service, part of the Office for National Statistics. This work contains statistical data from ONS which is Crown Copyright. The use of the ONS statistical data in this work does not imply the endorsement of the ONS in relation to the interpretation or analysis of the statistical data. This work uses research datasets which may not exactly reproduce National Statistics aggregates.

## Appendix A: Data sources

This analysis drew on a range of administrative data sets, outlined in the table below.

| Data source | Analytical use |
| :--- | :--- |
| Summer 2022 School Census | This table was made available by the DfE data <br> sharing team to provide pupil characteristics <br> (gender, ethnicity, language, SEN, FSM, IDACI). <br> This allowed us to define our analysis <br> populations (including the PP and/or PLA <br> subgroup) and to account for outcome bias <br> associated with these characteristics. In <br> addition, data on pupil participation in the SLT <br> route of the NTP appear in census data for the <br> 2021/22 academic year. |
| KS2 2021/22 ATTAINMENT DATA | This table was made available by the DfE data <br> sharing team to provide outcome variables <br> (maths and reading KS2 scores), baseline <br> variables (maths and reading KS1 scores) and <br> allow us to define pupils for the PP and/or PLA <br> subgroup analysis. |
| KS4 2021/22 ATTAINMENT DATA | Similarly to the KS2 data, this table was made <br> available by the DfE data sharing team to <br> provide outcome variables (maths and English <br> language GCSEs points), baseline variables <br> (maths and reading KS2 scores) allow us to <br> define PLA for the PP and/or PLA subgroup <br> analysis. |
| Get Information About Schools | An extract of GIAS was uploaded into the SRS <br> to allow school level characteristics (Ofsted <br> Rating, Establishment Type, Region, <br> Urban/Rural) to be used for statistical matching. |
| (GIAS) |  |


| NFER RC data | NFER undertook primary data collection from a <br> population of Research Champion (RC) schools. <br> All state primary schools in England were invited <br> to become an RC school. Schools were eligible <br> for inclusion as an RC school if they undertook <br> standardised English or maths assessments <br> with any pupils in Years 1 to 6 in $2021-22^{1}$ and <br> routinely uploaded this data to the relevant <br> assessment provider's online repository. In <br> addition to the baseline and endpoint <br> standardised assessment data, pupil level <br> participation data was collected from schools. <br> This included NTP route and subject of tuition. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Randstad Data Hub | Randstad collected tuition participation and <br> dosage data for pupils participating in the |
|  | Academic Mentors (AM) and Tuition Partners <br> (TP) routes of the National Tutoring Programme <br> (NTP) in $2021 / 2022$. This data was shared with |
|  | DfE data sharing team and made available in a <br> pseudo-anonymised format for NFER to analyse <br> in the ONS SRS ${ }^{2}$ environment. |

[^0]
## Appendix B: Methodology

## Data preparation

## KS2 population analysis

The school census data was reduced to only include pupils in year 6 during the 2021/22 academic year.

From the KS2 data, the following variables were matched into the census subset using the pupil matching reference (PMR): the scaled scores in maths and reading, the KS1 points scores for reading and maths, and the KS1 prior attainment group. A flag was created to identify pupils in the PP and/or PLA subgroup as those in the 'Low' group for prior attainment or those who had ever been recorded as eligible for free school meals (FSM) in the last 6 years (or both).

From the Randstad Hub data, the following variables were matched into the census subset using the PMR: hours of TP literacy tuition, hours of TP numeracy tuition, hours of AM literacy tuition, and hours of AM numeracy tuition. Hours recorded as 'English' tuition were included in the literacy hours and hours recorded as 'Maths' were included in the numeracy hours. These notations more commonly appear in secondary schools so were not prevalent in the year 6 data.

The school level data set was also reduced to only include schools with year 6 pupils. The following variables were calculated from the school census: proportion of PP pupils, proportion of EAL pupils, proportion of SEN pupils, number of year 6 pupils, number of analysis population year 6 pupils, number of analysis population pupils selected for TP numeracy tuition, number of analysis population pupils selected for TP literacy tuition, number of analysis population pupils selected for AM numeracy tuition, number of analysis population pupils selected for AM literacy tuition, number of analysis population pupils selected for SLT tuition.

From these variables, the school level intervention groups were defined. SLT intervention schools were those where at least one Y6 analysis pupil was selected for SLT tuition and SLT comparison schools were those where no Y6 analysis pupils were selected for SLT tuition. TP/AM maths intervention schools were those where at least one Y6 analysis pupil was selected for TP numeracy and/or AM numeracy tuition and TP/AM maths comparison schools were those where no analysis pupils were selected for TP numeracy or AM numeracy tuition. TP/AM English intervention schools were those where at least one Y6 analysis pupil was selected for TP literacy and/or AM literacy tuition and TP/AM English comparison schools were those where no analysis pupils were selected for TP literacy or AM literacy tuition.

## KS4 population analysis

Data preparation followed a similar procedure to the KS2 data, which is described above. The only differences were:

- KS4 attainment data was used instead of KS2 attainment. The variables obtained from this data were: GCSE maths points, GCSE English Language points, prior KS2 reading score, prior KS2 maths score.
- Year 11 pupils were used instead of Year 6 pupils.


## Research Champion analysis

Relevant data from the school census, Randstad, KS1, KS2 and GIAS data sets were merged into the NFER RC data set.

The standardised assessment data from three of the four providers in the NFER RC data were on the same scale (mean of 100, SD of 15), while data from the remaining provider (Renaissance Learning) was converted to the same scale. Where pupils had more than two assessments recorded, assessments that were before 01/09/2021 or after 25/07/2022 were removed. If pupils still had more than two assessments, the two assessments from the same provider that were furthest apart in time were prioritised. Pupils were only included in an analysis if they had non-missing scores for both baseline and endpoint, and if these scores were from tests taken more than 180 days apart.

From the KS2 data, the following variables were matched into the NFER RC data using the pupil matching reference (PMR): the KS2 scaled scores in maths and reading, the KS1 points scores for reading and maths. Prior lower attainment (PLA) pupils were identified using the KS1 points scores for reading and maths.

From the Randstad Hub data, the following variables were matched into the NFER RC data using the PMR: hours of TP literacy tuition, hours of TP numeracy tuition, hours of AM literacy tuition, and hours of AM numeracy tuition. Hours recorded as 'English' tuition were included in the literacy hours and hours recorded as 'Maths' were included in the numeracy hours. These notations more commonly appear in secondary schools so were not prevalent in the RC data.

The school level data set was also reduced to only include schools in the NFER RC data set. The following variables were calculated from the school census: proportion of PP pupils, proportion of EAL pupils, proportion of SEN pupils, number of pupils, number of analysis population pupils, number of analysis population pupils selected for TP numeracy tuition, number of analysis population pupils selected for TP literacy tuition, number of analysis population pupils selected for AM numeracy tuition, number of analysis population pupils selected for AM literacy tuition, number of analysis population pupils selected for SLT tuition.

Note that for RC schools, we have participation data from two sources; NFER RC data and Randstad Hub data for TP/AM or School Census for SLT. Where disagreement exists between these sources, NFER RC data has been used. Tables 1-Table 5 below describe the agreement between the data sources as number of pupils and \% of the total number of pupils.

Table 1: Agreement between NFER RC data and Randstad Hub data for TP and/or
AM numeracy

|  |  | NFER RC data |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Tutoring participant | Non-participant |
| Randstad <br> Hub data | Tutoring participant | $1144(3.6 \%)$ | $940(3.0 \%)$ |
|  | Non-participant | $634(2.0 \%)$ | $28890(91.4 \%)$ |

Table 2: Agreement between NFER RC data and Randstad Hub data for TP/ and/or AM literacy

|  |  | NFER RC data |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Tutoring participant | Non-participant |
| Randstad <br> Hub data | Tutoring participant | 1187 (3.8\%) | $550(1.7 \%)$ |
|  | Non-participant | $774(2.4 \%)$ | $29097(92.1 \%)$ |

Table 3: Agreement between NFER RC data and Randstad Hub data for TP (literacy and/or or numeracy)

|  |  | NFER RC data |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Tutoring participant | Non-participant |
| Randstad <br> Hub data | Tutoring participant | $1602(5.1 \%)$ | $836(2.6 \%)$ |
|  | Non-participant | $690(2.2 \%)$ | $28480(90.1 \%)$ |

Table 4: Agreement between NFER RC data and Randstad Hub data for AM (literacy and/or or numeracy)

|  |  | NFER RC data |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Tutoring participant | Non-participant |
| Randstad <br> Hub data | Tutoring participant | $619(2.0 \%)$ | $456(1.4 \%)$ |
|  | Non-participant | $316(1.0 \%)$ | $30217(95.6 \%)$ |

Table 5: Agreement between NFER RC data and School Census data for SLT. Subject of tuition is not recorded in school census data.

|  |  | NFER RC data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tutoring participant | Non-participant |
| School Census | Tutoring participant | 2733 (8.6\%) | 3028 (9.6\%) |
|  | Non-participant | 1031 (3.3\%) | 24816 (78.5\%) |

## School level matching

Three matches were conducted for the different routes (AM/TP literacy, AM/TP numeracy, SLT) at KS2, and then similarly at KS4, leading to six matches. Each of these matches was then performed again, but now restricted to the PP and/or PLA pupil subgroup, so that in all there were twelve school-level matches for the population analyses. Additionally a further eight school-level matches were conducted for the Research Champion analyses (four NTP routes investigated for both all pupils and the PP/PLA subgroup). For each match common support was first enforced in all matching variables.

All matches were conducted using entropy balancing (Hainmueller, 2012), balancing the mean of each variable between schools in the intervention and comparison groups. The variables included in entropy balancing were the following school-level variables:

- School's average baseline score amongst all pupils in the corresponding analysis
- Whether school is urban or rural
- Concentration of the 'other' NTP route(s)
- Proportion of PP pupils at the school
- Proportion of SEN pupils at the school
- Proportion of EAL pupils at the school

Weights for comparison schools were estimated at a school level in the matching, then included in regression modelling. Matching was undertaken using the ebal package in R (Hainmueller, 2022).

Balance after matching is shown in Appendix C, for the KS2 (Table 7-Table 12), KS4 (Table 21-Table 26) and RC (Table 35-Table 42) analyses.

## Pupil level matching

Prior to each match the sample was restricted to those pupils who participated in the relevant subject for NTP Year $1^{3}$. For example, when investigating the impact of AM/TP English tuition in NTP Year 2, only pupils who participated in AM/TP English in Year 1 were including in matching. This meant that there were separate matches for SLT for the English and maths outcomes, even though the intervention (NTP Year 2 SLT, subject unknown) was the same in both matches, because the pupils to be matched were different for each outcome.

Four matches were conducted for the different route (AM/TP, SLT) and outcome (reading, maths) combinations at KS2, and then similarly at KS4, leading to eight matches. Each of these matches was then performed again, but now restricted to the PP and/or PLA pupil subgroup, so that in all there were sixteen pupil-level matches. For each match common support was first enforced in all matching variables.

All matches were conducted using entropy balancing, balancing the mean of each variable between pupils in the intervention and comparison groups. The variables included in entropy balancing were a mixture of pupil- and school-level variables:

- PP eligibility
- SEN status
- Whether pupil speaks EAL
- Whether pupil's ethnicity is White British
- Gender
- Pupil's baseline score (KS1 or KS2, maths or reading, as appropriate)
- Whether school is urban or rural

[^1]- Latest overall Ofsted rating of school
- School region (GOR)
- School type

Weights for comparison schools were estimated at a pupil level in the matching, then included in regression modelling. Matching was again undertaken using the ebal package in R (Hainmueller, 2022).

Balance after matching for each of the sixteen matches is shown in Appendix C for KS2 (Table 13-Table 20) and KS4 (Table 27-Table 34). It should be noted that while good balance has been achieved in the observed characteristics, this does not by itself resolve the issue that there may be differences in unobserved characteristics between the groups (though restriction to NTP Year 1 pupils should help with this).

## Modelling

For RQ1 the pupil- and school-level analyses were performed using linear mixed effects models, with school as a random effect and all other variables were included as fixed effects. Covariates included in both pupil- and school-level models were all the variables described in the pupil and school-level matching sections above, plus year group (for RC analysis only) and IDACI quintile.

Where there was missing covariate data the missing indicator method was used: an additional level indicating missingness was added to categorical covariates, while continuous covariates had the missing value replaced by the mean, with a further binary variable indicating their missingness added. Weights were taken from the entropy balancing and applied at a pupil or school level as appropriate.

All mixed effects models were calculated using the Ime4 package in R (Bates et al., 2015). Significance testing of the intervention group coefficient at a $5 \%$ significance threshold via Satterthwaite's degrees of freedom method was performed using the package ImerTest in R (Kuznetsova, Brockhoff and Christensen, 2017).

## Prediction models

The modelling used to predict receipt of tutoring for the pupil-level analysis will be described in this section. This modelling was conducted at a point when the pupil-level analysis was not restricted to pupils tutored in NTP Year 1. As will be seen below, predictive accuracy was not sufficient to proceed with pupil-level matching across the population. None of the results described elsewhere in the technical appendix or in the main report are therefore based on pupil-level matching across the population. The
approach is described here for completeness and to quantify how limited the available variables were, in terms of their ability to predict receipt of tuition.

Prediction modelling was performed for the population of KS2 Year 6 pupils. This approach involved using train and test methodology to fit prediction models to intervention pupils in intervention schools, for the three intervention group definitions (SLT, AM/TP maths, AM/TP English) and separately for all pupils and for only PP/PLA pupils. In each of these six scenarios the model types applied to attempt to predict selection into tuition were:

- A probit model (to mirror the approach in the NTP year 1 evaluation)
- Logistic regression
- Decision trees
- Random Forests
- Gradient Boosting Machines

The pupil and school variables used as predictors were:

- Gender
- FSM
- SEN
- EAL
- Ethnicity
- KS1 reading points score
- KS1 maths points score
- IDACI
- School Phase

Up to four hyperparameters were varied in a grid formation and five-fold cross validation was applied. The best approach was selected as that which minimised the False Discovery Rate (FDR) and False Omission Rate (FOR) and are shown in Table A8.

Table 6: For each prediction model, the selected leaner, the number of pupils who were actually participating ( T ) or not participating ( F ) and who were predicted to be participating or not participating, and FDR \& FOR

| Intervention | Population | Best <br> Learner | N <br> Actual T PredT | N <br> ActualT PredF | ActualF PredT | N <br> ActualF PredF | FDR <br> (\%) | FOR <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SLT | PP/PLA | Random Forest | 10449 | 7280 | 7290 | 11418 | 41 | 39 |
| SLT | All pupils | Gradient Boosting | 16184 | 14588 | 14588 | 43593 | 47 | 25 |
| TP/AM <br> English | PP/PLA | Gradient Boosting | 536 | 982 | 982 | 2953 | 65 | 25 |
| TP/AM <br> English | All pupils | Gradient Boosting | 807 | 1684 | 1685 | 7587 | 68 | 18 |
| TP/AM <br> Maths | PP/PLA | Gradient Boosting | 1273 | 1622 | 1622 | 4108 | 56 | 28 |
| TP/AM <br> Maths | All pupils | Gradient Boosting | 2062 | 2953 | 2953 | 12775 | 59 | 19 |

For this analysis rates of less than $10 \%$ would be considered good, though rates of less than $20 \%$ would be considered acceptable. As can be seen in the table, even the best learners did not produce sufficiently low FDR and FOR for us to proceed with using the prediction models to select comparison pupils from the comparison schools. Based on these figures the prediction modelling approach was abandoned for this evaluation and similar analysis was not repeated for KS4 Year 11 pupils.

## Appendix C: Matching balance tables

In this appendix the balance of characteristics between the intervention and comparison groups before and after 'matching' (weighting using entropy balancing) is displayed. Each match that was performed as part of the RQ1 analyses corresponds to one of Table 7Table 34, including matches at KS2 (Table 7-Table 20) and KS4 (Table 21-Table 34) level. Additionally the balance for the eight matches performed for the Research Champion school-level analyses are displayed in Table 35-Table 42.

In all of the balance tables below the entries of columns 3-5 are of the form '\% (N)' for categorical variables or the mean for continuous variables. Entries displayed as ' $X$ ' were suppressed to ensure statistical disclosure control. This is a requirement when using the ONS's Secure Research Service for analysis and is enforced for school counts below 3 and pupil counts below 10. Column 3 summarises the characteristics of the intervention group, with the table headings describing the NTP route and level (pupil versus school) that defines the intervention. Columns 4 and 5 describe the same schools and pupils, the difference being that column 5 includes weights calculated from entropy balancing. The individuals characterised in each table are pupils for pupil-level matches and schools for school-level matches.

The more similar the percentages (or means) in columns 3 and 5 are, the better the balance for that characteristic. It can be seen that generally the balance is very good for the RQ1 analyses (Table 7-Table 34), with near-perfect balance for those characteristics included in each match. Balance for some Research Champion characteristics (Table 35Table 42) such as region and school type is somewhat poor, which may be due to the relatively small number of schools involved in those matches. This might be considered another reason

Table 7: balance of characteristics before and after matching for the SLT route in the RQ1 KS2 school-level analysis (all pupils)

| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School type | Academies | $40 \%$ <br> $(4148)$ | $37 \%(2118)$ | $38 \%$ <br> $(3959)$ |
| School type | Free Schools | $1 \%(127)$ | $2 \%(99)$ | $2 \%(178)$ |
| School type | Local authority <br> maintained <br> schools | $57 \%$ <br> $(5917)$ | $56 \%(3234)$ | $58 \%$ <br> $(5997)$ |


| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Special schools | 2\% (172) | 5\% (283) | 2\% (231) |
| School phase | All-through | 1\% (80) | 1\% (61) | 2\% (160) |
| School phase | Middle deemed primary | X | X | X |
| School phase | Middle deemed secondary | 1\% (66) | 1\% (30) | 1\% (56) |
| School phase | Not applicable | 3\% (320) | 9\% (529) | 5\% (502) |
| School phase | Primary | $\begin{aligned} & 95 \% \\ & \text { (9890) } \end{aligned}$ | 89\% (5111) | $\begin{aligned} & 93 \% \\ & (9640) \end{aligned}$ |
| School phase | Secondary | X | X | X |
| School region | East Midlands | 9\% (979) | 10\% (570) | 9\% (947) |
| School region | East of England | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \% \\ & (1120) \end{aligned}$ | 13\% (730) | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \% \\ & (1182) \end{aligned}$ |
| School region | London | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \% \\ & (1275) \end{aligned}$ | 10\% (546) | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \% \\ & (1270) \end{aligned}$ |
| School region | North East | 5\% (522) | 5\% (309) | 6\% (621) |
| School region | North West | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \% \\ & (1640) \end{aligned}$ | 15\% (846) | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \% \\ & (1652) \end{aligned}$ |
| School region | South East | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \% \\ & (1466) \end{aligned}$ | 15\% (871) | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \% \\ & (1427) \end{aligned}$ |
| School region | South West | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \% \\ & (1077) \end{aligned}$ | 12\% (712) | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \% \\ & (1118) \end{aligned}$ |
| School region | West Midlands | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \% \\ & (1121) \end{aligned}$ | 10\% (566) | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \% \\ & (1093) \end{aligned}$ |
| School region | Yorkshire and the Humber | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \% \\ & (1164) \end{aligned}$ | 10\% (584) | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \% \\ & (1054) \end{aligned}$ |
| Urban or rural school | Rural | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \% \\ & (2491) \end{aligned}$ | 36\% (2036) | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \% \\ & (2491) \end{aligned}$ |
| Urban or rural school | Urban | $\begin{aligned} & 76 \% \\ & (7873) \end{aligned}$ | 64\% (3698) | $\begin{aligned} & 76 \% \\ & (7873) \end{aligned}$ |


| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Ofsted rating | Good | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \% \\ & (6861) \end{aligned}$ | 66\% (3777) | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \% \\ & \text { (6890) } \end{aligned}$ |
| School Ofsted rating | Missing | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \% \\ & (1536) \end{aligned}$ | 14\% (789) | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \% \\ & (1374) \end{aligned}$ |
| School Ofsted rating | Outstanding | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \% \\ & (1212) \end{aligned}$ | 12\% (695) | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \% \\ & (1187) \end{aligned}$ |
| School Ofsted rating | Requires improvement | 7\% (708) | 7\% (426) | 8\% (837) |
| School Ofsted rating | Serious Weaknesses | 0\% (22) | 0\% (22) | 0\% (34) |
| School Ofsted rating | Special Measures | 0\% (25) | 0\% (25) | 0\% (42) |
| School TP/AM numeracy concentration | Mean | 4.2 | 2.44 | 4.2 |
| School TP/AM literacy concentration | Mean | 1.97 | 1.64 | 1.97 |
| School \% PP pupils | Mean | 0.31 | 0.27 | 0.31 |
| School \% EAL pupils | Mean | 0.19 | 0.15 | 0.19 |
| School \% SEN pupils | Mean | 0.22 | 0.26 | 0.22 |
| School \% male pupils | Mean | 0.52 | 0.53 | 0.52 |
| School \% white British pupils | Mean | 0.69 | 0.74 | 0.69 |
| School average KS1 maths score | Mean | 7.7 | 7.5 | 7.7 |
| School average KS1 reading score | Mean | 7.74 | 7.56 | 7.74 |


| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School number of <br> Y6 pupils | Mean | 44.29 | 35.84 | 40.84 |
| Total N schools | 10364 | 5734 | 5734 |  |
| Total N pupils | 458978 | 205493 | 205493 |  |

Table 8: balance of characteristics before and after matching for the AM/TP literacy route in the RQ1 KS2 school-level analysis (all pupils)

| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Academies | 42\% (516) | 39\% (5751) | 41\% (495) |
| School type | Free Schools | 1\% (13) | 1\% (213) | 1\% (17) |
| School type | Local authority maintained schools | 56\% (680) | 57\% (8475) | 56\% (686) |
| School type | Special schools | 1\% (7) | 3\% (449) | 2\% (18) |
| School phase | All-through | 1\% (16) | 1\% (125) | 1\% (12) |
| School phase | Middle deemed primary | X | X | X |
| School phase | Middle deemed secondary | 2\% (19) | 1\% (77) | 0\% (5) |
| School phase | Not applicable | 1\% (12) | 6\% (840) | 3\% (39) |
| School phase | Primary | $\begin{aligned} & 96 \% \\ & (1168) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93 \% \\ & (13836) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95 \% \\ & (1159) \end{aligned}$ |
| School phase | Secondary | X | X | X |
| School region | East Midlands | 11\% (138) | 9\% (1411) | 9\% (105) |
| School region | East of England | 9\% (113) | 12\% (1738) | 10\% (122) |
| School region | London | 15\% (181) | 11\% (1641) | 14\% (168) |
| School region | North East | 6\% (68) | 5\% (763) | 6\% (75) |


| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School region | North West | 18\% (221) | 15\% (2267) | 16\% (200) |
| School region | South East | 10\% (120) | 15\% (2217) | 13\% (157) |
| School region | South West | 8\% (98) | 11\% (1692) | 9\% (113) |
| School region | West Midlands | 11\% (135) | 10\% (1552) | 12\% (141) |
| School region | Yorkshire and the Humber | 12\% (142) | 11\% (1607) | 11\% (135) |
| Urban or rural school | Rural | 17\% (209) | 29\% (4321) | 17\% (209) |
| Urban or rural school | Urban | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \% \\ & (1007) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71 \% \\ & (10567) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \% \\ & (1007) \end{aligned}$ |
| School Ofsted rating | Good | 66\% (800) | 66\% (9842) | 66\% (807) |
| School Ofsted rating | Missing | 15\% (184) | 14\% (2141) | 14\% (175) |
| School Ofsted rating | Outstanding | 9\% (114) | 12\% (1795) | 11\% (135) |
| School Ofsted rating | Requires improvement | 9\% (111) | 7\% (1023) | 8\% (92) |
| School Ofsted rating | Serious Weaknesses | X | 0\% (39) | X |
| School Ofsted rating | Special Measures | X | 0\% (48) | X |
| School SLT concentration | Mean | 28.09 | 23.83 | 28.09 |
| School \% PP pupils | Mean | 0.35 | 0.29 | 0.35 |
| School \% EAL pupils | Mean | 0.21 | 0.17 | 0.21 |
| School \% SEN pupils | Mean | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.22 |
| School \% male pupils | Mean | 0.51 | 0.52 | 0.52 |


| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School \% white <br> British pupils | Mean | 0.65 | 0.71 | 0.66 |
| School average <br> KS1 maths score | Mean | 7.72 | 7.62 | 7.7 |
| School average <br> KS1 reading <br> score | Mean | 7.74 | 7.67 | 7.74 |
| School number <br> of Y6 pupils | Mean | 48.73 | 40.67 | 44.27 |
| Total N schools | 1216 | 14888 | 14888 |  |
| Total N pupils | 59253 | 605480 | 605480 |  |

Table 9: balance of characteristics before and after matching for the AM/TP numeracy route in the RQ1 KS2 school-level analysis (all pupils)

| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School type | Academies | $41 \%(818)$ | $39 \%(5450)$ | $40 \%(817)$ |
| School type | Free Schools | $1 \%(29)$ | $1 \%(197)$ | $1 \%(26)$ |
| School type | Local authority <br> maintained <br> schools | $58 \%$ <br> $(1165)$ | $57 \%(7990)$ | $57 \%$ <br> $(1158)$ |
| School type | Special schools | $0 \%(7)$ | $3 \%(449)$ | $1 \%(18)$ |
| School phase | All-through | $1 \%(30)$ | $1 \%(111)$ | $1 \%(20)$ |
| School phase | Middle deemed <br> primary | X | X | X |
| School phase | Middle deemed <br> secondary | $1 \%(23)$ | $1 \%(73)$ | $0 \%(9)$ |
| School phase | Not applicable | $1 \%(13)$ | $6 \%(839)$ | $2 \%(41)$ |


| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School phase | Primary | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \% \\ & (1950) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93 \% \\ & (13055) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \% \\ & (1949) \end{aligned}$ |
| School phase | Secondary | X | X | X |
| School region | East Midlands | 9\% (185) | 10\% (1364) | 9\% (180) |
| School region | East of England | 9\% (191) | 12\% (1661) | 10\% (208) |
| School region | London | 15\% (293) | 11\% (1529) | 14\% (286) |
| School region | North East | 6\% (118) | 5\% (713) | 6\% (116) |
| School region | North West | 17\% (342) | 15\% (2145) | 16\% (329) |
| School region | South East | 12\% (242) | 15\% (2096) | 13\% (262) |
| School region | South West | 8\% (170) | 12\% (1620) | 9\% (189) |
| School region | West Midlands | 12\% (245) | 10\% (1442) | 11\% (225) |
| School region | Yorkshire and the Humber | 12\% (233) | 11\% (1516) | 11\% (224) |
| Urban or rural school | Rural | 18\% (370) | 30\% (4160) | 18\% (370) |
| Urban or rural school | Urban | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \% \\ & (1649) \end{aligned}$ | 70\% (9926) | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \% \\ & (1649) \end{aligned}$ |
| School Ofsted rating | Good | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \% \\ & (1321) \end{aligned}$ | 66\% (9323) | $\begin{aligned} & 67 \% \\ & (1346) \end{aligned}$ |
| School Ofsted rating | Missing | 15\% (296) | 14\% (2028) | 14\% (290) |
| School Ofsted rating | Outstanding | 10\% (208) | 12\% (1701) | 11\% (228) |
| School Ofsted rating | Requires improvement | 9\% (186) | 7\% (948) | 7\% (144) |
| School Ofsted rating | Serious Weaknesses | 0\% (4) | 0\% (40) | 0\% (5) |
| School Ofsted rating | Special Measures | 0\% (4) | 0\% (46) | 0\% (6) |


| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School SLT <br> concentration | Mean | 30 | 23.32 | 30 |
| School \% PP <br> pupils | Mean | 0.33 | 0.29 | 0.33 |
| School \% EAL <br> pupils | Mean | 0.21 | 0.17 | 0.21 |
| School \% SEN <br> pupils | Mean | 0.2 | 0.24 | 0.2 |
| School \% male <br> pupils | Mean | 0.51 | 0.52 | 0.51 |
| School \% white <br> British pupils | Mean | 7.77 | 7.6 | 0.66 |
| School average <br> KS1 maths score | Mean | 7.82 | 7.65 | 7.81 |
| School average <br> KS1 reading score | Mean | 49.76 | 40.06 | 44.21 |
| School number of <br> Y6 pupils | Mean | 2019 | 14086 | 14086 |
| Total N schools | 100470 | 564303 | 564303 |  |
| Total N pupils | Me |  |  |  |

Table 10: balance of characteristics before and after matching for the SLT route in the RQ1 KS2 school-level analysis (PP and/or PLA pupils only)

| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School type | Academies | $40 \%$ <br> $(4047)$ | $37 \%(2185)$ | $38 \%$ <br> $(3872)$ |
| School type | Free Schools | $1 \%(124)$ | $2 \%(99)$ | $2 \%(170)$ |


| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Local authority maintained schools | $\begin{aligned} & 57 \% \\ & (5747) \end{aligned}$ | 56\% (3300) | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \% \\ & (5817) \end{aligned}$ |
| School type | Special schools | 2\% (168) | 5\% (286) | 2\% (226) |
| School phase | All-through | 1\% (77) | 1\% (63) | 2\% (154) |
| School phase | Middle deemed primary | X | X | X |
| School phase | Middle deemed secondary | 1\% (65) | 1\% (31) | 1\% (56) |
| School phase | Not applicable | 3\% (312) | 9\% (533) | 5\% (490) |
| School phase | Primary | $\begin{aligned} & 95 \% \\ & (9624) \end{aligned}$ | 89\% (5240) | $\begin{aligned} & 93 \% \\ & (9381) \end{aligned}$ |
| School phase | Secondary | X | X | X |
| School region | East Midlands | 9\% (940) | 10\% (587) | 9\% (922) |
| School region | East of England | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \% \\ & (1085) \end{aligned}$ | 13\% (749) | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \% \\ & (1144) \end{aligned}$ |
| School region | London | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \% \\ & (1260) \end{aligned}$ | 10\% (561) | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \% \\ & (1260) \end{aligned}$ |
| School region | North East | 5\% (515) | 5\% (308) | 6\% (596) |
| School region | North West | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \% \\ & (1609) \end{aligned}$ | 15\% (856) | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \% \\ & (1595) \end{aligned}$ |
| School region | South East | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \% \\ & (1413) \end{aligned}$ | 16\% (915) | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \% \\ & (1405) \end{aligned}$ |
| School region | South West | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \% \\ & (1026) \end{aligned}$ | 12\% (731) | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \% \\ & (1083) \end{aligned}$ |
| School region | West Midlands | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \% \\ & (1101) \end{aligned}$ | 10\% (576) | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \% \\ & (1063) \end{aligned}$ |
| School region | Yorkshire and the Humber | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \% \\ & (1137) \end{aligned}$ | 10\% (587) | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \% \\ & (1019) \end{aligned}$ |
| Urban or rural school | Rural | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \% \\ & (2345) \end{aligned}$ | 35\% (2047) | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \% \\ & (2345) \end{aligned}$ |


| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Urban or rural school | Urban | $\begin{aligned} & 77 \% \\ & (7741) \end{aligned}$ | 65\% (3823) | $\begin{aligned} & 77 \% \\ & (7741) \end{aligned}$ |
| School Ofsted rating | Good | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 66\% } \\ & \text { (6684) } \end{aligned}$ | 66\% (3851) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 66\% } \\ & \text { (6697) } \end{aligned}$ |
| School Ofsted rating | Missing | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \% \\ & (1488) \end{aligned}$ | 14\% (823) | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \% \\ & (1351) \end{aligned}$ |
| School Ofsted rating | Outstanding | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \% \\ & (1169) \end{aligned}$ | 12\% (726) | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \% \\ & (1156) \end{aligned}$ |
| School Ofsted rating | Requires improvement | 7\% (698) | 7\% (425) | 8\% (810) |
| School Ofsted rating | Serious Weaknesses | 0\% (22) | 0\% (22) | 0\% (32) |
| School Ofsted rating | Special Measures | 0\% (25) | 0\% (23) | 0\% (39) |
| School TP/AM numeracy concentration | Mean | 5.78 | 3.48 | 5.78 |
| School TP/AM literacy concentration | Mean | 2.74 | 2.16 | 2.74 |
| School \% PP pupils | Mean | 0.31 | 0.27 | 0.31 |
| School \% EAL pupils | Mean | 0.19 | 0.15 | 0.19 |
| School \% SEN pupils | Mean | 0.22 | 0.26 | 0.22 |
| School \% male pupils | Mean | 0.51 | 0.53 | 0.52 |
| School \% white British pupils | Mean | 0.69 | 0.74 | 0.69 |
| School average KS1 maths score | Mean | 7.69 | 7.5 | 7.69 |


| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School average <br> KS1 reading score | Mean | 7.73 | 7.56 | 7.73 |
| School number of <br> Y6 pupils | Mean | 44.74 | 36.18 | 41.14 |
| Total N schools |  | 10086 | 5870 | 5870 |
| Total N pupils | Academies | $42 \%(516)$ | $39 \%(5716)$ | $41 \%(495)$ |
| School type |  |  | 212390 | 212390 |

Table 11: balance of characteristics before and after matching for the AM/TP literacy route in the RQ1 KS2 school-level analysis (PP and/or PLA pupils only)

| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School type | Free Schools | $1 \%(13)$ | $1 \%(210)$ | $1 \%(17)$ |
| School type | Local authority <br> maintained <br> schools | $56 \%(680)$ | $57 \%(8368)$ | $56 \%(685)$ |
| School type | Special schools | $1 \%(7)$ | $3 \%(447)$ | $2 \%(18)$ |
| School phase | All-through | $1 \%(16)$ | $1 \%(124)$ | $1 \%(12)$ |
| School phase | Middle deemed <br> primary | X | X | X |
| School phase | Middle deemed <br> secondary | $2 \%(19)$ | $1 \%(77)$ | $0 \%(5)$ |
| School phase | Not applicable | $1 \%(12)$ | $6 \%(834)$ | $3 \%(39)$ |
| School phase | Primary | $96 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $95 \%$ |
| School phase | Secondary | X | X | X |
| School region | East Midlands | $11 \%(138)$ | $9 \%(1389)$ | $9 \%(104)$ |
| School region | East of England | $9 \%(113)$ | $12 \%(1720)$ | $10 \%(123)$ |
| School region | London | $15 \%(181)$ | $11 \%(1640)$ | $14 \%(168)$ |


| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School region | North East | 6\% (68) | 5\% (755) | 6\% (75) |
| School region | North West | 18\% (221) | 15\% (2245) | 16\% (199) |
| School region | South East | 10\% (120) | 15\% (2208) | 13\% (159) |
| School region | South West | 8\% (98) | 11\% (1659) | 9\% (113) |
| School region | West Midlands | 11\% (135) | 10\% (1542) | 12\% (141) |
| School region | Yorkshire and the Humber | 12\% (142) | 11\% (1583) | 11\% (134) |
| Urban or rural school | Rural | 17\% (209) | 28\% (4185) | 17\% (209) |
| Urban or rural school | Urban | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \% \\ & (1007) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72 \% \\ & (10556) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \% \\ & (1007) \end{aligned}$ |
| School Ofsted rating | Good | 66\% (800) | 66\% (9736) | 66\% (807) |
| School Ofsted rating | Missing | 15\% (184) | 14\% (2127) | 14\% (175) |
| School Ofsted rating | Outstanding | 9\% (114) | 12\% (1781) | 11\% (135) |
| School Ofsted rating | Requires improvement | 9\% (111) | 7\% (1012) | 8\% (91) |
| School Ofsted rating | Serious Weaknesses | X | 0\% (39) | X |
| School Ofsted rating | Special Measures | X | 0\% (46) | X |
| School SLT concentration | Mean | 35.81 | 32.85 | 35.81 |
| School \% PP pupils | Mean | 0.35 | 0.29 | 0.35 |
| School \% EAL pupils | Mean | 0.21 | 0.17 | 0.21 |
| School \% SEN pupils | Mean | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.22 |


| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School \% male <br> pupils | Mean | 0.51 | 0.52 | 0.52 |
| School \% white <br> British pupils | Mean | 0.65 | 0.71 | 0.66 |
| School average <br> KS1 maths score | Mean | 7.72 | 7.61 | 7.7 |
| School average <br> KS1 reading score | Mean | 7.74 | 7.66 | 7.74 |
| School number of <br> Y6 pupils | Mean | 48.73 | 41 | 44.42 |
| Total N schools | 1216 | 14741 | 14741 |  |
| Total N pupils | 59253 | 604384 | 604384 |  |

Table 12: balance of characteristics before and after matching for the AM/TP numeracy route in the RQ1 KS2 school-level analysis (PP and/or PLA pupils only)

| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School type | Academies | $41 \%(818)$ | $39 \%(5415)$ | $40 \%(816)$ |
| School type | Free Schools | $1 \%(29)$ | $1 \%(194)$ | $1 \%(26)$ |
| School type | Local authority <br> maintained <br> schools | $58 \%$ <br> $(1165)$ | $57 \%(7883)$ | $57 \%$ <br> $(1158)$ |
| School type | Special schools | $0 \%(7)$ | $3 \%(447)$ | $1 \%(18)$ |
| School phase | All-through | $1 \%(30)$ | $1 \%(110)$ | $1 \%(19)$ |
| School phase | Middle deemed <br> primary | X | X | X |
| School phase | Middle deemed <br> secondary | $1 \%(23)$ | $1 \%(73)$ | $0 \%(9)$ |
| School phase | Not applicable | $1 \%(13)$ | $6 \%(833)$ | $2 \%(41)$ |


| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School phase | Primary | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \% \\ & (1950) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93 \% \\ & (12915) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \% \\ & (1948) \end{aligned}$ |
| School phase | Secondary | X | X | X |
| School region | East Midlands | 9\% (185) | 10\% (1342) | 9\% (179) |
| School region | East of England | 9\% (191) | 12\% (1643) | 10\% (208) |
| School region | London | 15\% (293) | 11\% (1528) | 14\% (287) |
| School region | North East | 6\% (118) | 5\% (705) | 6\% (116) |
| School region | North West | 17\% (342) | 15\% (2123) | 16\% (328) |
| School region | South East | 12\% (242) | 15\% (2087) | 13\% (265) |
| School region | South West | 8\% (170) | 11\% (1587) | 9\% (189) |
| School region | West Midlands | 12\% (245) | 10\% (1432) | 11\% (225) |
| School region | Yorkshire and the Humber | 12\% (233) | 11\% (1492) | 11\% (222) |
| Urban or rural school | Rural | 18\% (370) | 29\% (4024) | 18\% (370) |
| Urban or rural school | Urban | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \% \\ & (1649) \end{aligned}$ | 71\% (9915) | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \% \\ & (1649) \end{aligned}$ |
| School Ofsted rating | Good | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \% \\ & (1321) \end{aligned}$ | 66\% (9217) | $\begin{aligned} & 67 \% \\ & (1344) \end{aligned}$ |
| School Ofsted rating | Missing | 15\% (296) | 14\% (2014) | 14\% (291) |
| School Ofsted rating | Outstanding | 10\% (208) | 12\% (1687) | 11\% (230) |
| School Ofsted rating | Requires improvement | 9\% (186) | 7\% (937) | 7\% (143) |
| School Ofsted rating | Serious Weaknesses | 0\% (4) | 0\% (40) | 0\% (5) |
| School Ofsted rating | Special Measures | 0\% (4) | 0\% (44) | 0\% (6) |


| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School SLT <br> concentration | Mean | 38.69 | 32.26 | 38.69 |
| School \% PP <br> pupils | Mean | 0.33 | 0.29 | 0.33 |
| School \% EAL <br> pupils | Mean | 0.21 | 0.17 | 0.21 |
| School \% SEN <br> pupils | Mean | 0.2 | 0.24 | 0.2 |
| School \% male <br> pupils | Mean | 0.51 | 0.52 | 0.51 |
| School \% white <br> British pupils | Mean | 7.77 | 7.6 | 0.66 |
| School average <br> KS1 maths score | Mean | 7.82 | 7.65 | 7.81 |
| School average <br> KS1 reading score | Mean | 49.76 | 40.41 | 44.37 |
| School number of <br> Y6 pupils | Mean | 2019 | 13939 | 13939 |
| Total N schools | 100470 | 563207 | 563207 |  |
| Total N pupils | Me |  |  |  |

Table 13: balance of characteristics before and after matching for the SLT route in the RQ1 pupil-level analysis with KS2 reading score as the outcome (all pupils)

| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School type | Missing | X | X | X |
| School type | Academies | $45 \%$ <br> $(2217)$ | $44 \%(2089)$ | $46 \%$ <br> $(2285)$ |
| School type | Free Schools | $1 \%(56)$ | $1 \%(49)$ | $1 \%(60)$ |


| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Local authority maintained schools | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \% \\ & (2653) \end{aligned}$ | 55\% (2592) | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \% \\ & (2629) \end{aligned}$ |
| School type | Special schools | X | X | X |
| School region | Missing | 1\% (49) | X | X |
| School region | East Midlands | 5\% (265) | 7\% (310) | 7\% (345) |
| School region | East of England | 11\% (546) | 13\% (605) | 13\% (635) |
| School region | London | 19\% (956) | 14\% (686) | 16\% (806) |
| School region | North East | 8\% (376) | 9\% (406) | 9\% (424) |
| School region | North West | 18\% (914) | 15\% (718) | 15\% (767) |
| School region | South East | 7\% (370) | 12\% (546) | 11\% (544) |
| School region | South West | 9\% (454) | 10\% (463) | 9\% (466) |
| School region | West Midlands | 10\% (475) | 7\% (331) | 7\% (331) |
| School region | Yorkshire and the Humber | 12\% (573) | 14\% (667) | 13\% (657) |
| Urban or rural school | Missing | 1\% (49) | X | X |
| Urban or rural school | Rural | 9\% (459) | 14\% (676) | 11\% (552) |
| Urban or rural school | Urban | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \% \\ & (4470) \end{aligned}$ | 86\% (4056) | $\begin{aligned} & 89 \% \\ & (4423) \end{aligned}$ |
| School Ofsted rating | Missing | 14\% (716) | 16\% (739) | 15\% (738) |
| School Ofsted rating | Good | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \% \\ & (3293) \end{aligned}$ | 66\% (3115) | $\begin{aligned} & 64 \% \\ & (3202) \end{aligned}$ |
| School Ofsted rating | Outstanding | 8\% (408) | 10\% (450) | 10\% (503) |
| School Ofsted rating | Requires improvement | 11\% (534) | 9\% (421) | 11\% (524) |


| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Ofsted rating | Serious Weaknesses | 0\% (14) | X | X |
| School Ofsted rating | Special Measures | 0\% (13) | X | X |
| Pupil eligible for PP | Missing | X | X | X |
| Pupil eligible for PP | No | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \% \\ & (2203) \end{aligned}$ | 56\% (2660) | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \% \\ & (2194) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil eligible for PP | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \% \\ & (2775) \end{aligned}$ | 44\% (2066) | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \% \\ & (2780) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil gender | Female | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \% \\ & (2379) \end{aligned}$ | 46\% (2175) | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \% \\ & (2379) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil gender | Male | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \% \\ & (2599) \end{aligned}$ | 54\% (2559) | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \% \\ & (2599) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil has SEN | No | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \% \\ & (3757) \end{aligned}$ | 77\% (3640) | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \% \\ & (3757) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil has SEN | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \% \\ & (1221) \end{aligned}$ | 23\% (1094) | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \% \\ & (1221) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil speaks EAL | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \% \\ & (1222) \end{aligned}$ | 24\% (1120) | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \% \\ & (1222) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil speaks EAL | No | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \% \\ & (3756) \end{aligned}$ | 76\% (3614) | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \% \\ & (3756) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil is white British | No | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \% \\ & (1928) \end{aligned}$ | 37\% (1742) | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \% \\ & (1928) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil is white British | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 61 \% \\ & (3050) \end{aligned}$ | 63\% (2992) | $\begin{aligned} & 61 \% \\ & (3050) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil KS1 reading score | Mean | 7.24 | 7.32 | 7.24 |
| Total N schools |  | 961 | 787 | 787 |
| Total N pupils |  | 4978 | 4734 | 4734 |

Table 14: balance of characteristics before and after matching for the SLT route in the RQ1 pupil-level analysis with KS2 maths score as the outcome (all pupils)

| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Missing | X | X | X |
| School type | Academies | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \% \\ & (2540) \end{aligned}$ | 40\% (2115) | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \% \\ & (2545) \end{aligned}$ |
| School type | Free Schools | 1\% (84) | 1\% (75) | 1\% (94) |
| School type | Local authority maintained schools | $\begin{aligned} & 59 \% \\ & (3853) \end{aligned}$ | 58\% (3076) | $\begin{aligned} & 59 \% \\ & (3845) \end{aligned}$ |
| School type | Special schools | X | X | X |
| School region | Missing | 0\% (10) | X | X |
| School region | East Midlands | 6\% (375) | 9\% (471) | 8\% (489) |
| School region | East of England | 11\% (731) | 12\% (622) | 11\% (686) |
| School region | London | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \% \\ & (1100) \end{aligned}$ | 13\% (711) | 14\% (926) |
| School region | North East | 6\% (381) | 5\% (273) | 6\% (371) |
| School region | North West | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \% \\ & (1222) \end{aligned}$ | 17\% (891) | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \% \\ & (1138) \end{aligned}$ |
| School region | South East | 12\% (764) | 14\% (717) | 14\% (908) |
| School region | South West | 7\% (469) | 10\% (515) | 10\% (617) |
| School region | West Midlands | 8\% (519) | 10\% (530) | 11\% (687) |
| School region | Yorkshire and the Humber | 14\% (917) | 10\% (537) | 10\% (662) |
| Urban or rural school | Missing | X | X | X |
| Urban or rural school | Rural | 11\% (729) | 19\% (979) | 11\% (743) |
| Urban or rural school | Urban | $\begin{aligned} & 89 \% \\ & (5749) \end{aligned}$ | 81\% (4288) | $\begin{aligned} & 89 \% \\ & (5742) \end{aligned}$ |


| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Ofsted rating | Missing | 11\% (710) | 14\% (754) | 12\% (809) |
| School Ofsted rating | Good | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \% \\ & (4563) \end{aligned}$ | 69\% (3626) | $\begin{aligned} & 69 \% \\ & (4452) \end{aligned}$ |
| School Ofsted rating | Outstanding | 10\% (656) | 9\% (452) | 9\% (570) |
| School Ofsted rating | Requires improvement | 8\% (511) | 8\% (399) | 9\% (592) |
| School Ofsted rating | Serious Weaknesses | X | X | 1\% (53) |
| School Ofsted rating | Special <br> Measures | X | X | 0\% (12) |
| Pupil eligible for PP | Missing | X | X | X |
| Pupil eligible for PP | No | $\begin{aligned} & 47 \% \\ & (3050) \end{aligned}$ | 62\% (3265) | $\begin{aligned} & 47 \% \\ & (3047) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil eligible for PP | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \% \\ & (3436) \end{aligned}$ | 38\% (2003) | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \% \\ & (3438) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil gender | Female | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \% \\ & (3654) \end{aligned}$ | 57\% (2982) | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \% \\ & (3654) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil gender | Male | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \% \\ & (2834) \end{aligned}$ | 43\% (2293) | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \% \\ & (2834) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil has SEN | No | $\begin{aligned} & 79 \% \\ & (5119) \end{aligned}$ | 81\% (4283) | $\begin{aligned} & 79 \% \\ & (5119) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil has SEN | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \% \\ & (1369) \end{aligned}$ | 19\% (992) | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \% \\ & (1369) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil speaks EAL | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \% \\ & (1347) \end{aligned}$ | 22\% (1135) | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \% \\ & (1347) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil speaks EAL | No | $\begin{aligned} & 79 \% \\ & (5141) \end{aligned}$ | 78\% (4140) | $\begin{aligned} & 79 \% \\ & (5141) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil is white British | No | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \% \\ & (2296) \end{aligned}$ | 35\% (1837) | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \% \\ & (2296) \end{aligned}$ |


| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pupil is white <br> British | Yes | $65 \%$ <br> $(4192)$ | $65 \%(3438)$ | $65 \%$ <br> $(4192)$ |
| Pupil KS1 maths <br> score | Mean | 7.37 | 7.41 | 7.37 |
| Total N schools | 1334 | 978 | 978 |  |
| Total N pupils | 6488 | 5275 | 5275 |  |

Table 15: balance of characteristics before and after matching for the AM/TP literacy route in the RQ1 KS2 pupil-level analysis (all pupils)

| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Missing | X | X | X |
| School type | Academies | 39\% (521) | 46\% (4863) | 42\% (558) |
| School type | Free Schools | 1\% (18) | 1\% (133) | 1\% (13) |
| School type | Local authority maintained schools | 57\% (767) | 53\% (5578) | 57\% (756) |
| School type | Special schools | X | X | X |
| School region | Missing | 2\% (30) | 0\% (30) | X |
| School region | East Midlands | 7\% (87) | 6\% (601) | 7\% (89) |
| School region | East of England | 10\% (140) | 12\% (1322) | 13\% (180) |
| School region | London | 21\% (287) | 17\% (1798) | 19\% (260) |
| School region | North East | 5\% (71) | 9\% (935) | 9\% (120) |
| School region | North West | 18\% (243) | 16\% (1746) | 17\% (227) |
| School region | South East | 8\% (111) | 10\% (1031) | 9\% (115) |
| School region | South West | 9\% (122) | 9\% (918) | 8\% (101) |
| School region | West Midlands | 13\% (168) | 7\% (790) | 7\% (88) |


| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School region | Yorkshire and the Humber | 6\% (77) | 14\% (1438) | 11\% (149) |
| Urban or rural school | Missing | 2\% (30) | 0\% (30) | X |
| Urban or rural school | Rural | 10\% (130) | 11\% (1180) | 13\% (175) |
| Urban or rural school | Urban | $\begin{aligned} & 88 \% \\ & (1176) \end{aligned}$ | 89\% (9399) | $\begin{aligned} & 86 \% \\ & (1153) \end{aligned}$ |
| School Ofsted rating | Missing | 15\% (205) | 14\% (1516) | 15\% (200) |
| School Ofsted rating | Good | 68\% (908) | 66\% (7013) | 68\% (908) |
| School Ofsted rating | Outstanding | 8\% (101) | 10\% (1009) | 9\% (120) |
| School Ofsted rating | Requires improvement | 9\% (120) | 10\% (1010) | 8\% (103) |
| School Ofsted rating | Serious <br> Weaknesses | X | 0\% (44) | X |
| School Ofsted rating | Special <br> Measures | X | 0\% (17) | X |
| Pupil eligible for PP | Missing | X | X | X |
| Pupil eligible for PP | No | 44\% (592) | 54\% (5753) | 44\% (593) |
| Pupil eligible for PP | Yes | 56\% (743) | 46\% (4847) | 56\% (743) |
| Pupil gender | Female | 45\% (600) | 47\% (4957) | 45\% (600) |
| Pupil gender | Male | 55\% (736) | 53\% (5652) | 55\% (736) |
| Pupil has SEN | No | 73\% (980) | 77\% (8120) | 73\% (980) |
| Pupil has SEN | Yes | 27\% (356) | 23\% (2489) | 27\% (356) |
| Pupil speaks EAL | Yes | 26\% (353) | 25\% (2639) | 26\% (353) |


| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pupil speaks EAL | No | $74 \%(983)$ | $75 \%(7970)$ | $74 \%(983)$ |
| Pupil is white <br> British | No | $42 \%(565)$ | $38 \%(4027)$ | $42 \%(565)$ |
| Pupil is white <br> British | Yes | $58 \%(771)$ | $62 \%(6582)$ | $58 \%(771)$ |
| Pupil KS1 reading <br> score | Mean | 7.11 | 7.36 | 7.11 |
| Total N schools | 260 | 1487 | 1487 |  |
| Total N pupils | 1336 | 10609 | 10609 |  |

Table 16: balance of characteristics before and after matching for the AM/TP numeracy route in the RQ1 KS2 pupil-level analysis (all pupils)

| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Missing | X | X | X |
| School type | Academies | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \% \\ & (1227) \end{aligned}$ | 41\% (4225) | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \% \\ & (1227) \end{aligned}$ |
| School type | Free Schools | 1\% (46) | 1\% (128) | 1\% (41) |
| School type | Local authority maintained schools | $\begin{aligned} & 61 \% \\ & (1990) \end{aligned}$ | 57\% (5891) | $\begin{aligned} & 61 \% \\ & (1993) \end{aligned}$ |
| School type | Special schools | X | X | X |
| School region | Missing | X | 0\% (38) | X |
| School region | East Midlands | 7\% (218) | 7\% (690) | 5\% (172) |
| School region | East of England | 8\% (270) | 13\% (1377) | 11\% (372) |
| School region | London | 19\% (612) | 14\% (1429) | 17\% (549) |
| School region | North East | 4\% (122) | 6\% (614) | 6\% (184) |
| School region | North West | 19\% (635) | 17\% (1702) | 18\% (580) |


| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School region | South East | 13\% (422) | 14\% (1392) | 13\% (428) |
| School region | South West | 8\% (270) | 8\% (832) | 7\% (231) |
| School region | West Midlands | 10\% (330) | 9\% (916) | 10\% (312) |
| School region | Yorkshire and the Humber | 12\% (384) | 13\% (1293) | 13\% (433) |
| Urban or rural school | Missing | X | 0\% (38) | X |
| Urban or rural school | Rural | 10\% (320) | 17\% (1702) | 10\% (316) |
| Urban or rural school | Urban | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \% \\ & (2943) \end{aligned}$ | 83\% (8543) | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \% \\ & (2945) \end{aligned}$ |
| School Ofsted rating | Missing | 12\% (382) | 13\% (1379) | 12\% (398) |
| School Ofsted rating | Good | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \% \\ & (2270) \end{aligned}$ | 68\% (6985) | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \% \\ & (2276) \end{aligned}$ |
| School Ofsted rating | Outstanding | 11\% (374) | 9\% (966) | 10\% (314) |
| School Ofsted rating | Requires improvement | 7\% (220) | 8\% (864) | 8\% (254) |
| School Ofsted rating | Serious Weaknesses | X | 0\% (51) | 0\% (13) |
| School Ofsted rating | Special Measures | X | 0\% (38) | 0\% (10) |
| Pupil eligible for PP | Missing | X | X | X |
| Pupil eligible for PP | No | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \% \\ & (1569) \end{aligned}$ | 59\% (6027) | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \% \\ & (1568) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil eligible for PP | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \% \\ & (1695) \end{aligned}$ | 41\% (4249) | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \% \\ & (1695) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil gender | Female | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \% \\ & (1908) \end{aligned}$ | 55\% (5660) | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \% \\ & (1908) \end{aligned}$ |


| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pupil gender | Male | $42 \%$ <br> $(1357)$ | $45 \%(4623)$ | $42 \%$ <br> $(1357)$ |
| Pupil has SEN | No | $80 \%$ <br> $(2605)$ | $80 \%(8177)$ | $80 \%$ <br> $(2605)$ |
| Pupil has SEN | Yes | $20 \%(660)$ | $20 \%(2106)$ | $20 \%(660)$ |
| Pupil speaks EAL | Yes | $24 \%(798)$ | $19 \%(1934)$ | $24 \%(798)$ |
| Pupil speaks EAL | No | $76 \%$ <br> $(2467)$ | $81 \%(8349)$ | $76 \%$ <br> $(2467)$ |
| Pupil is white <br> British | No | $40 \%$ <br> $(1305)$ | $32 \%(3298)$ | $40 \%$ <br> $(1305)$ |
| Pupil is white <br> British | Yes | $60 \%$ |  |  |
| Pupil KS1 maths <br> score | Mean | 7.41 | $68 \%(6985)$ | $60 \%$ <br> $(1960)$ |
| Total N schools |  | 648 | 1669 | 7.41 |
| Total N pupils | 3265 | 10283 | 10283 |  |

Table 17: balance of characteristics before and after matching for the SLT route in the RQ1 pupil-level analysis with KS2 reading score as the outcome (PP and/or PLA pupils only)

| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Missing | X | X | X |
| School type | Academies | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \% \\ & (1488) \end{aligned}$ | 45\% (1275) | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \% \\ & (1534) \end{aligned}$ |
| School type | Free Schools | 1\% (46) | 1\% (38) | 1\% (46) |
| School type | Local authority maintained schools | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \% \\ & (1805) \end{aligned}$ | 54\% (1545) | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \% \\ & (1789) \end{aligned}$ |
| School type | Special schools | X | X | X |
| School region | Missing | 1\% (33) | X | X |
| School region | East Midlands | 6\% (193) | 6\% (177) | 7\% (243) |
| School region | East of England | 9\% (311) | 11\% (322) | 12\% (419) |
| School region | London | 21\% (693) | 14\% (414) | 16\% (536) |
| School region | North East | 8\% (272) | 8\% (241) | 9\% (294) |
| School region | North West | 19\% (634) | 16\% (445) | 16\% (539) |
| School region | South East | 7\% (230) | 11\% (302) | 10\% (352) |
| School region | South West | 9\% (295) | 10\% (293) | 9\% (315) |
| School region | West Midlands | 9\% (300) | 8\% (232) | 7\% (235) |
| School region | Yorkshire and the Humber | 12\% (413) | 15\% (434) | 13\% (439) |
| Urban or rural school | Missing | 1\% (33) | X | X |
| Urban or rural school | Rural | 8\% (275) | 11\% (329) | 10\% (337) |
| Urban or rural school | Urban | $\begin{aligned} & 91 \% \\ & (3066) \end{aligned}$ | 88\% (2531) | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \% \\ & (3035) \end{aligned}$ |


| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Ofsted rating | Missing | 14\% (486) | 16\% (448) | 15\% (511) |
| School Ofsted rating | Good | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \% \\ & (2212) \end{aligned}$ | 65\% (1855) | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \% \\ & (2140) \end{aligned}$ |
| School Ofsted rating | Outstanding | 8\% (273) | 9\% (263) | 10\% (326) |
| School Ofsted rating | Requires improvement | 11\% (384) | 10\% (291) | 12\% (389) |
| School Ofsted rating | Serious Weaknesses | X | X | X |
| School Ofsted rating | Special Measures | X | X | X |
| Pupil gender | Female | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \% \\ & (1632) \end{aligned}$ | 47\% (1336) | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \% \\ & (1632) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil gender | Male | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \% \\ & (1742) \end{aligned}$ | 53\% (1526) | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \% \\ & (1742) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil has SEN | No | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \% \\ & (2376) \end{aligned}$ | 69\% (1965) | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \% \\ & (2376) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil has SEN | Yes | 30\% (998) | 31\% (897) | 30\% (998) |
| Pupil speaks EAL | Yes | 23\% (786) | 24\% (675) | 23\% (786) |
| Pupil speaks EAL | No | $\begin{aligned} & 77 \% \\ & (2588) \end{aligned}$ | 76\% (2187) | $\begin{aligned} & 77 \% \\ & (2588) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil is white British | No | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \% \\ & (1279) \end{aligned}$ | 39\% (1111) | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \% \\ & (1279) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil is white British | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \% \\ & (2095) \end{aligned}$ | 61\% (1751) | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \% \\ & (2095) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil KS1 reading score | Mean | 6.95 | 6.83 | 6.95 |
| Total N schools |  | 869 | 681 | 681 |
| Total N pupils |  | 3374 | 2862 | 2862 |

Table 18: balance of characteristics before and after matching for the SLT route in the RQ1 pupil-level analysis with KS2 maths score as the outcome (PP and/or PLA pupils only)

| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Missing | X | 0\% (14) | X |
| School type | Academies | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \% \\ & (1681) \end{aligned}$ | 41\% (1207) | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \% \\ & (1671) \end{aligned}$ |
| School type | Free Schools | 1\% (50) | 1\% (43) | 2\% (63) |
| School type | Local authority maintained schools | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \% \\ & (2437) \end{aligned}$ | 57\% (1684) | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \% \\ & (2432) \end{aligned}$ |
| School type | Special schools | X | X | X |
| School region | Missing | X | 0\% (14) | X |
| School region | East Midlands | 5\% (225) | 8\% (242) | 7\% (290) |
| School region | East of England | 10\% (415) | 12\% (346) | 11\% (457) |
| School region | London | 19\% (778) | 14\% (409) | 14\% (596) |
| School region | North East | 6\% (259) | 6\% (171) | 6\% (252) |
| School region | North West | 19\% (772) | 14\% (426) | 15\% (641) |
| School region | South East | 11\% (472) | 15\% (429) | 15\% (636) |
| School region | South West | 8\% (318) | 10\% (305) | 10\% (415) |
| School region | West Midlands | 8\% (353) | 11\% (320) | 11\% (479) |
| School region | Yorkshire and the Humber | 14\% (577) | 10\% (287) | 10\% (400) |
| Urban or rural school | Missing | X | 0\% (14) | X |
| Urban or rural school | Rural | 10\% (408) | 16\% (477) | 10\% (403) |
| Urban or rural school | Urban | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \% \\ & (3761) \end{aligned}$ | 83\% (2458) | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \% \\ & (3764) \end{aligned}$ |


| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Ofsted rating | Missing | 11\% (453) | 14\% (424) | 12\% (499) |
| School Ofsted rating | Good | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 69\% } \\ & (2898) \end{aligned}$ | 69\% (2036) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 69\% } \\ & (2865) \end{aligned}$ |
| School Ofsted rating | Outstanding | 10\% (434) | 8\% (232) | 8\% (347) |
| School Ofsted rating | Requires improvement | 8\% (351) | 8\% (237) | 10\% (422) |
| School Ofsted rating | Serious Weaknesses | X | 1\% (16) | 1\% (31) |
| School Ofsted rating | Special Measures | 1\% (29) | X | X |
| Pupil gender | Female | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \% \\ & (2315) \end{aligned}$ | 53\% (1566) | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \% \\ & (2315) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil gender | Male | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \% \\ & (1857) \end{aligned}$ | 47\% (1383) | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \% \\ & (1857) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil has SEN | No | $\begin{aligned} & 74 \% \\ & (3077) \end{aligned}$ | 72\% (2133) | $\begin{aligned} & 74 \% \\ & (3077) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil has SEN | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \% \\ & (1095) \end{aligned}$ | 28\% (816) | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \% \\ & (1095) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil speaks EAL | Yes | 21\% (888) | 22\% (661) | 21\% (888) |
| Pupil speaks EAL | No | $\begin{aligned} & 79 \% \\ & (3284) \end{aligned}$ | 78\% (2288) | $\begin{aligned} & 79 \% \\ & (3284) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil is white British | No | $\begin{aligned} & 37 \% \\ & (1539) \end{aligned}$ | 37\% (1081) | $\begin{aligned} & 37 \% \\ & (1539) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil is white British | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \% \\ & (2633) \end{aligned}$ | 63\% (1868) | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \% \\ & (2633) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil KS1 maths score | Mean | 7.04 | 6.86 | 7.04 |
| Total N schools |  | 1185 | 849 | 849 |
| Total N pupils |  | 4172 | 2949 | 2949 |

Table 19: balance of characteristics before and after matching for the AM/TP literacy route in the RQ1 KS2 pupil-level analysis (PP and/or PLA pupils only)

| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison group <br> (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Missing | X | X | X |
| School type | Academies | 40\% (371) | 46\% (2912) | 43\% (394) |
| School type | Free Schools | 2\% (15) | 1\% (75) | X |
| School type | Local authority maintained schools | 56\% (519) | 52\% (3277) | 56\% (514) |
| School type | Special schools | X | X | X |
| School region | Missing | 2\% (19) | 0\% (21) | X |
| School region | East Midlands | 7\% (61) | 6\% (367) | 7\% (65) |
| School region | East of England | 9\% (87) | 11\% (703) | 13\% (119) |
| School region | London | 24\% (221) | 17\% (1039) | 19\% (180) |
| School region | North East | 5\% (49) | 9\% (577) | 9\% (85) |
| School region | North West | 16\% (152) | 18\% (1119) | 18\% (165) |
| School region | South East | 8\% (77) | 8\% (508) | 7\% (69) |
| School region | South West | 10\% (90) | 9\% (551) | 8\% (70) |
| School region | West Midlands | 12\% (115) | 8\% (499) | 7\% (62) |
| School region | Yorkshire and the Humber | 6\% (53) | 14\% (905) | 11\% (105) |
| Urban or rural school | Missing | 2\% (19) | 0\% (21) | X |
| Urban or rural school | Rural | 9\% (81) | 10\% (602) | 12\% (108) |
| Urban or rural school | Urban | 89\% (824) | 90\% (5666) | 88\% (811) |
| School Ofsted rating | Missing | 16\% (145) | 14\% (893) | 15\% (136) |
| School Ofsted rating | Good | 66\% (607) | 66\% (4136) | 67\% (621) |
| School Ofsted rating | Outstanding | 8\% (77) | 9\% (560) | 9\% (81) |
| School Ofsted rating | Requires improvement | 10\% (94) | 10\% (659) | 9\% (81) |
| School Ofsted rating | Serious <br> Weaknesses | X | 0\% (30) | X |


| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Ofsted rating | Special Measures | X | 0\% (11) | $X$ |
| Pupil gender | Female | 45\% (417) | 48\% (3013) | 45\% (417) |
| Pupil gender | Male | 55\% (507) | 52\% (3276) | 55\% (507) |
| Pupil has SEN | No | 67\% (621) | 69\% (4334) | 67\% (621) |
| Pupil has SEN | Yes | 33\% (303) | 31\% (1955) | 33\% (303) |
| Pupil speaks EAL | Yes | 26\% (237) | 24\% (1498) | 26\% (237) |
| Pupil speaks EAL | No | 74\% (687) | 76\% (4791) | 74\% (687) |
| Pupil is white British | No | 43\% (400) | 38\% (2405) | 43\% (400) |
| Pupil is white British | Yes | 57\% (524) | 62\% (3884) | 57\% (524) |
| Pupil KS1 reading score | Mean | 6.79 | 6.91 | 6.79 |
| Total N schools |  | 238 | 1297 | 1297 |
| Total N pupils |  | 924 | 6289 | 6289 |

Table 20: balance of characteristics before and after matching for the AM/TP numeracy route in the RQ1 KS2 pupil-level analysis (PP and/or PLA pupils only)

| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Missing | X | X | X |
| School type | Academies | 39\% (804) | 41\% (2314) | 39\% (805) |
| School type | Free Schools | 2\% (31) | 1\% (67) | 1\% (26) |
| School type | Local authority maintained schools | $\begin{aligned} & 59 \% \\ & (1228) \end{aligned}$ | 58\% (3249) | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \% \\ & (1230) \end{aligned}$ |
| School type | Special schools | X | X | X |
| School region | Missing | X | 0\% (18) | X |
| School region | East Midlands | 6\% (118) | 7\% (368) | 5\% (110) |
| School region | East of England | 8\% (159) | 12\% (677) | 11\% (229) |
| School region | London | 22\% (450) | 15\% (832) | 18\% (367) |
| School region | North East | 4\% (87) | 6\% (349) | 6\% (120) |
| School region | North West | 17\% (356) | 17\% (943) | 18\% (363) |
| School region | South East | 13\% (272) | 13\% (734) | 12\% (256) |
| School region | South West | 9\% (187) | 8\% (475) | 7\% (151) |
| School region | West Midlands | 11\% (218) | 9\% (528) | 10\% (200) |
| School region | Yorkshire and the Humber | 10\% (216) | 13\% (725) | 13\% (264) |
| Urban or rural school | Missing | X | 0\% (18) | X |
| Urban or rural school | Rural | 8\% (167) | 14\% (809) | 8\% (165) |
| Urban or rural school | Urban | $\begin{aligned} & 92 \% \\ & (1896) \end{aligned}$ | 85\% (4822) | $\begin{aligned} & 92 \% \\ & (1897) \end{aligned}$ |
| School Ofsted rating | Missing | 11\% (234) | 13\% (711) | 12\% (244) |


| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Ofsted rating | Good | $\begin{aligned} & 69 \% \\ & (1421) \end{aligned}$ | 68\% (3863) | $\begin{aligned} & 69 \% \\ & (1434) \end{aligned}$ |
| School Ofsted rating | Outstanding | 12\% (247) | 9\% (509) | 9\% (192) |
| School Ofsted rating | Requires improvement | 7\% (148) | 9\% (514) | 9\% (178) |
| School Ofsted rating | Serious Weaknesses | X | 0\% (28) | X |
| School Ofsted rating | Special Measures | X | 0\% (24) | X |
| Pupil gender | Female | $\begin{aligned} & 57 \% \\ & (1168) \end{aligned}$ | 53\% (3002) | $\begin{aligned} & 57 \% \\ & (1168) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil gender | Male | 43\% (896) | 47\% (2647) | 43\% (896) |
| Pupil has SEN | No | $\begin{aligned} & 74 \% \\ & (1531) \end{aligned}$ | 72\% (4043) | $\begin{aligned} & 74 \% \\ & (1531) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil has SEN | Yes | 26\% (533) | 28\% (1606) | 26\% (533) |
| Pupil speaks EAL | Yes | 24\% (505) | 20\% (1105) | 24\% (505) |
| Pupil speaks EAL | No | $\begin{aligned} & 76 \% \\ & (1559) \end{aligned}$ | 80\% (4544) | $\begin{aligned} & 76 \% \\ & (1559) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil is white British | No | 42\% (858) | 34\% (1916) | 42\% (858) |
| Pupil is white British | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \% \\ & (1206) \end{aligned}$ | 66\% (3733) | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \% \\ & (1206) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil KS1 maths score | Mean | 7.05 | 6.91 | 7.05 |
| Total N schools |  | 561 | 1434 | 1434 |
| Total N pupils |  | 2064 | 5649 | 5649 |

Table 21: balance of characteristics before and after matching for the SLT route in the RQ1 KS4 school-level analysis (all pupils)

| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Academies | $\begin{aligned} & 71 \% \\ & (2004) \end{aligned}$ | 57\% (535) | $\begin{aligned} & 64 \% \\ & (1809) \end{aligned}$ |
| School type | Free Schools | 6\% (182) | 8\% (79) | 8\% (217) |
| School type | Independent schools | X | X | X |
| School type | Local authority maintained schools | 20\% (556) | 23\% (221) | 25\% (696) |
| School type | Special schools | 2\% (62) | 11\% (106) | 3\% (75) |
| School phase | All-through | 4\% (112) | 3\% (32) | 4\% (115) |
| School phase | Middle deemed secondary | X | X | X |
| School phase | Not applicable | 7\% (209) | 36\% (340) | 12\% (330) |
| School phase | Secondary | $\begin{aligned} & 89 \% \\ & (2483) \end{aligned}$ | 60\% (570) | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \% \\ & (2357) \end{aligned}$ |
| School region | East Midlands | 9\% (240) | 8\% (79) | 10\% (276) |
| School region | East of England | 11\% (306) | 11\% (104) | 11\% (315) |
| School region | London | 15\% (414) | 15\% (145) | 16\% (452) |
| School region | North East | 5\% (133) | 5\% (47) | 5\% (130) |
| School region | North West | 15\% (410) | 13\% (122) | 12\% (350) |
| School region | South East | 15\% (412) | 17\% (159) | 16\% (448) |
| School region | South West | 10\% (275) | 10\% (98) | 10\% (273) |
| School region | West Midlands | 12\% (342) | 12\% (111) | 11\% (314) |
| School region | Yorkshire and the Humber | 10\% (273) | 8\% (78) | 9\% (247) |
| Urban or rural school | Rural | 14\% (388) | 13\% (122) | 14\% (388) |


| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Urban or rural school | Urban | $\begin{aligned} & 86 \% \\ & (2417) \end{aligned}$ | 87\% (821) | $\begin{aligned} & 86 \% \\ & (2417) \end{aligned}$ |
| School Ofsted rating | Good | $\begin{aligned} & 57 \% \\ & (1598) \end{aligned}$ | 56\% (528) | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \% \\ & (1627) \end{aligned}$ |
| School Ofsted rating | Missing | 15\% (422) | 16\% (154) | 16\% (444) |
| School Ofsted rating | Outstanding | 14\% (398) | 15\% (141) | 13\% (371) |
| School Ofsted rating | Requires improvement | 12\% (342) | 11\% (105) | 11\% (321) |
| School Ofsted rating | Serious Weaknesses | 1\% (24) | 1\% (7) | 1\% (21) |
| School Ofsted rating | Special <br> Measures | 1\% (21) | 1\% (8) | 1\% (21) |
| School TP/AM maths concentration | Mean | 2.59 | 1.66 | 2.59 |
| School TP/AM English concentration | Mean | 1.98 | 1.57 | 1.98 |
| School \% PP pupils | Mean | 0.29 | 0.38 | 0.29 |
| School \% EAL pupils | Mean | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.17 |
| School \% SEN pupils | Mean | 0.2 | 0.42 | 0.2 |
| School \% male pupils | Mean | 0.53 | 0.59 | 0.53 |
| School \% white British pupils | Mean | 0.67 | 0.69 | 0.66 |
| School average KS2 maths score | Mean | 102.81 | 99.8 | 102.81 |


| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School average <br> KS2 reading <br> score | Mean | 102.65 | 99.86 | 102.65 |
| School number <br> of Y6 pupils | Mean | 166.97 | 117.8 | 157.65 |
| Total N schools | 2805 | 943 | 943 |  |
| Total N pupils | 468357 | 111089 | 111089 |  |

Table 22: balance of characteristics before and after matching for the AM/TP English route in the RQ1 KS4 school-level analysis (all pupils)

| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Academies | 71\% (530) | 67\% (1978) | 71\% (532) |
| School type | Free Schools | 6\% (46) | 7\% (210) | 7\% (52) |
| School type | Independent schools | X | X | X |
| School type | Local authority maintained schools | 22\% (165) | 21\% (608) | 20\% (153) |
| School type | Special schools | 1\% (6) | 5\% (155) | 1\% (10) |
| School phase | All-through | 3\% (22) | 4\% (123) | 5\% (35) |
| School phase | Middle deemed secondary | X | X | X |
| School phase | Not applicable | 5\% (34) | 17\% (489) | 6\% (45) |
| School phase | Secondary | 92\% (691) | 79\% (2340) | 89\% (668) |
| School region | East Midlands | 8\% (61) | 9\% (261) | 9\% (68) |
| School region | East of England | 12\% (89) | 10\% (310) | 10\% (78) |
| School region | London | 19\% (139) | 14\% (412) | 15\% (109) |


| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School region | North East | 5\% (38) | 5\% (139) | 5\% (37) |
| School region | North West | 14\% (108) | 14\% (419) | 14\% (107) |
| School region | South East | 12\% (92) | 16\% (473) | 15\% (110) |
| School region | South West | 9\% (70) | 10\% (303) | 9\% (71) |
| School region | West Midlands | 13\% (100) | 12\% (341) | 12\% (89) |
| School region | Yorkshire and the Humber | 7\% (51) | 10\% (295) | 11\% (80) |
| Urban or rural school | Rural | 14\% (106) | 13\% (397) | 14\% (106) |
| Urban or rural school | Urban | 86\% (642) | 87\% (2556) | 86\% (642) |
| School Ofsted rating | Good | 59\% (444) | 56\% (1651) | 56\% (420) |
| School Ofsted rating | Missing | 14\% (106) | 16\% (464) | 15\% (115) |
| School Ofsted rating | Outstanding | 11\% (82) | 15\% (454) | 14\% (108) |
| School Ofsted rating | Requires improvement | 14\% (103) | 11\% (339) | 13\% (94) |
| School Ofsted rating | Serious Weaknesses | 1\% (6) | 1\% (23) | 1\% (5) |
| School Ofsted rating | Special Measures | 1\% (7) | 1\% (22) | 1\% (6) |
| School SLT concentration | Mean | 33.72 | 30.12 | 33.72 |
| School \% PP pupils | Mean | 0.29 | 0.31 | 0.29 |
| School \% EAL pupils | Mean | 0.18 | 0.16 | 0.18 |
| School \% SEN pupils | Mean | 0.17 | 0.27 | 0.17 |


| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School \% male <br> pupils | Mean | 0.53 | 0.54 | 0.52 |
| School \% white <br> British pupils | Mean | 0.65 | 0.68 | 0.66 |
| School average <br> KS2 maths score | Mean | 103.11 | 101.9 | 103.13 |
| School average <br> KS2 reading <br> score | Mean | 174.97 | 150.33 | 166.3 |
| School number <br> of Y6 pupils | Mean | 748 | 101.81 | 102.9 |
| Total N schools | 130878 | 443931 | 443931 |  |
| Total N pupils |  | 2953 | 2953 |  |

Table 23: balance of characteristics before and after matching for the AM/TP maths route in the RQ1 KS4 school-level analysis (all pupils)

| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School type | Academies | $71 \%(583)$ | $67 \%(1957)$ | $71 \%(586)$ |
| School type | Free Schools | $5 \%(40)$ | $8 \%(222)$ | $7 \%(60)$ |
| School type | Independent <br> schools | X | X | X |
| School type | Local authority <br> maintained <br> schools | $23 \%(190)$ | $20 \%(584)$ | $20 \%(163)$ |
| School type | Special schools | $1 \%(6)$ | $6 \%(173)$ | $1 \%(11)$ |
| School phase | All-through | $3 \%(26)$ | $4 \%(119)$ | $5 \%(39)$ |
| School phase | Middle deemed <br> secondary | X | X | X |


| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School phase | Not applicable | 4\% (32) | 18\% (538) | 6\% (47) |
| School phase | Secondary | 93\% (761) | 78\% (2280) | 89\% (733) |
| School region | East Midlands | 8\% (66) | 9\% (259) | 9\% (73) |
| School region | East of England | 12\% (100) | 11\% (311) | 10\% (84) |
| School region | London | 18\% (148) | 14\% (404) | 15\% (123) |
| School region | North East | 5\% (37) | 5\% (143) | 5\% (41) |
| School region | North West | 15\% (123) | 14\% (417) | 14\% (118) |
| School region | South East | 12\% (101) | 16\% (473) | 15\% (120) |
| School region | South West | 8\% (68) | 10\% (305) | 9\% (77) |
| School region | West Midlands | 15\% (120) | 11\% (334) | 12\% (96) |
| School region | Yorkshire and the Humber | 7\% (57) | 10\% (292) | 11\% (88) |
| Urban or rural school | Rural | 13\% (103) | 14\% (406) | 13\% (103) |
| Urban or rural school | Urban | 87\% (717) | 86\% (2532) | 87\% (717) |
| School Ofsted rating | Good | 59\% (483) | 56\% (1645) | 56\% (459) |
| School Ofsted rating | Missing | 15\% (127) | 15\% (449) | 15\% (125) |
| School Ofsted rating | Outstanding | 11\% (91) | 16\% (458) | 14\% (118) |
| School Ofsted rating | Requires improvement | 13\% (107) | 12\% (339) | 13\% (106) |
| School Ofsted rating | Serious Weaknesses | 1\% (6) | 1\% (25) | 1\% (6) |
| School Ofsted rating | Special Measures | 1\% (6) | 1\% (22) | 1\% (7) |
| School SLT concentration | Mean | 33.95 | 29.65 | 33.95 |


| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School \% PP <br> pupils | Mean | 0.3 | 0.31 | 0.3 |
| School \% EAL <br> pupils | Mean | 0.19 | 0.16 | 0.19 |
| School \% SEN <br> pupils | Mean | 0.17 | 0.29 | 0.17 |
| School \% male <br> pupils | Mean | 0.52 | 0.55 | 0.52 |
| School \% white <br> British pupils | Mean | 103.07 | 101.58 | 103.07 |
| School average <br> KS2 maths score | Mean | 102.87 | 101.5 | 102.83 |
| School average <br> KS2 reading <br> score | Mean | 179.06 | 146.57 | 166.01 |
| School number <br> of Y6 pupils | Mean | 820 | 2938 | 2938 |
| Total N schools | 146828 | 430610 | 430610 |  |
| Total N pupils | Men | 0.65 |  |  |

Table 24: balance of characteristics before and after matching for the SLT route in the RQ1 KS4 school-level analysis (PP and/or PLA pupils only)

| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School type | Academies | $71 \%$ <br> $(2004)$ | $57 \%(535)$ | $64 \%$ <br> $(1809)$ |
| School type | Free Schools | $6 \%(182)$ | $8 \%(79)$ | $8 \%(217)$ |
| School type | Independent <br> schools | X | X | X |
| School type | Local authority <br> maintained <br> schools | $20 \%(556)$ | $23 \%(221)$ | $25 \%(696)$ |


| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Special schools | 2\% (62) | 11\% (106) | 3\% (75) |
| School phase | All-through | 4\% (112) | 3\% (32) | 4\% (115) |
| School phase | Middle deemed secondary | X | X | X |
| School phase | Not applicable | 7\% (209) | 36\% (340) | 12\% (330) |
| School phase | Secondary | $\begin{aligned} & 89 \% \\ & (2483) \end{aligned}$ | 60\% (570) | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \% \\ & (2357) \end{aligned}$ |
| School region | East Midlands | 9\% (240) | 8\% (79) | 10\% (276) |
| School region | East of England | 11\% (306) | 11\% (104) | 11\% (315) |
| School region | London | 15\% (414) | 15\% (145) | 16\% (452) |
| School region | North East | 5\% (133) | 5\% (47) | 5\% (130) |
| School region | North West | 15\% (410) | 13\% (122) | 12\% (350) |
| School region | South East | 15\% (412) | 17\% (159) | 16\% (448) |
| School region | South West | 10\% (275) | 10\% (98) | 10\% (273) |
| School region | West Midlands | 12\% (342) | 12\% (111) | 11\% (314) |
| School region | Yorkshire and the Humber | 10\% (273) | 8\% (78) | 9\% (247) |
| Urban or rural school | Rural | 14\% (388) | 13\% (122) | 14\% (388) |
| Urban or rural school | Urban | $\begin{aligned} & 86 \% \\ & (2417) \end{aligned}$ | 87\% (821) | $\begin{aligned} & 86 \% \\ & (2417) \end{aligned}$ |
| School Ofsted rating | Good | $\begin{aligned} & 57 \% \\ & (1598) \end{aligned}$ | 56\% (528) | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \% \\ & (1627) \end{aligned}$ |
| School Ofsted rating | Missing | 15\% (422) | 16\% (154) | 16\% (444) |
| School Ofsted rating | Outstanding | 14\% (398) | 15\% (141) | 13\% (371) |
| School Ofsted rating | Requires improvement | 12\% (342) | 11\% (105) | 11\% (321) |


| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School Ofsted <br> rating | Serious <br> Weaknesses | $1 \%(24)$ | $1 \%(7)$ | $1 \%(21)$ |
| School Ofsted <br> rating | Special <br> Measures | $1 \%(21)$ | $1 \%(8)$ | $1 \%(21)$ |
| School TP/AM <br> numeracy <br> concentration | Mean | 2.59 | 1.66 | 2.59 |
| School TP/AM <br> literacy <br> concentration | Mean | 1.98 | 1.57 | 1.98 |
| School \% PP <br> pupils | Mean | 0.29 | 0.38 | 0.29 |
| School \% EAL <br> pupils | Mean | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.17 |
| School \% SEN <br> pupils | Mean | 0.2 | 0.42 | 0.2 |
| School \% male <br> pupils | Mean | 102.65 | 99.86 | 102.65 |
| School \% white <br> British pupils | Mean | 0.67 | 0.69 | 0.66 |
| School average <br> KS2 maths score | Mean | 166.97 | 117.8 | 157.65 |
| School average <br> KS2 reading <br> score | Mean | 968357 | 111089 | 111089 |
| School number <br> of Y6 pupils | Mean | 99.8 | 102.81 |  |
| Total N schools | Total N pupils | Men |  |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |

Table 25: balance of characteristics before and after matching for the AM/TP English route in the RQ1 KS4 school-level analysis (PP and/or PLA pupils only)

| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Academies | 71\% (530) | 67\% (1978) | 71\% (532) |
| School type | Free Schools | 6\% (46) | 7\% (210) | 7\% (52) |
| School type | Independent schools | X | X | X |
| School type | Local authority maintained schools | 22\% (165) | 21\% (608) | 20\% (153) |
| School type | Special schools | 1\% (6) | 5\% (155) | 1\% (10) |
| School phase | All-through | 3\% (22) | 4\% (123) | 5\% (35) |
| School phase | Middle deemed secondary | X | X | X |
| School phase | Not applicable | 5\% (34) | 17\% (489) | 6\% (45) |
| School phase | Secondary | 92\% (691) | 79\% (2340) | 89\% (668) |
| School region | East Midlands | 8\% (61) | 9\% (261) | 9\% (68) |
| School region | East of England | 12\% (89) | 10\% (310) | 10\% (78) |
| School region | London | 19\% (139) | 14\% (412) | 15\% (109) |
| School region | North East | 5\% (38) | 5\% (139) | 5\% (37) |
| School region | North West | 14\% (108) | 14\% (419) | 14\% (107) |
| School region | South East | 12\% (92) | 16\% (473) | 15\% (110) |
| School region | South West | 9\% (70) | 10\% (303) | 9\% (71) |
| School region | West Midlands | 13\% (100) | 12\% (341) | 12\% (89) |
| School region | Yorkshire and the Humber | 7\% (51) | 10\% (295) | 11\% (80) |
| Urban or rural school | Rural | 14\% (106) | 13\% (397) | 14\% (106) |
| Urban or rural school | Urban | 86\% (642) | 87\% (2556) | 86\% (642) |


| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Ofsted rating | Good | 59\% (444) | 56\% (1651) | 56\% (420) |
| School Ofsted rating | Missing | 14\% (106) | 16\% (464) | 15\% (115) |
| School Ofsted rating | Outstanding | 11\% (82) | 15\% (454) | 14\% (108) |
| School Ofsted rating | Requires improvement | 14\% (103) | 11\% (339) | 13\% (94) |
| School Ofsted rating | Serious Weaknesses | 1\% (6) | 1\% (23) | 1\% (5) |
| School Ofsted rating | Special <br> Measures | 1\% (7) | 1\% (22) | 1\% (6) |
| School SLT concentration | Mean | 33.72 | 30.12 | 33.72 |
| School \% PP pupils | Mean | 0.29 | 0.31 | 0.29 |
| School \% EAL pupils | Mean | 0.18 | 0.16 | 0.18 |
| School \% SEN pupils | Mean | 0.17 | 0.27 | 0.17 |
| School \% male pupils | Mean | 0.53 | 0.54 | 0.52 |
| School \% white British pupils | Mean | 0.65 | 0.68 | 0.66 |
| School average KS2 maths score | Mean | 103.11 | 101.9 | 103.13 |
| School average KS2 reading score | Mean | 102.9 | 101.81 | 102.9 |
| School number of Y6 pupils | Mean | 174.97 | 150.33 | 166.3 |
| Total N schools |  | 748 | 2953 | 2953 |


| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total N pupils | 130878 | 443931 | 443931 |  |

Table 26: balance of characteristics before and after matching for the AM/TP maths route in the RQ1 KS4 school-level analysis (PP and/or PLA pupils only)

| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Academies | 71\% (583) | 67\% (1957) | 71\% (586) |
| School type | Free Schools | 5\% (40) | 8\% (222) | 7\% (60) |
| School type | Independent schools | X | X | X |
| School type | Local authority maintained schools | 23\% (190) | 20\% (584) | 20\% (163) |
| School type | Special schools | 1\% (6) | 6\% (173) | 1\% (11) |
| School phase | All-through | 3\% (26) | 4\% (119) | 5\% (39) |
| School phase | Middle deemed secondary | X | X | X |
| School phase | Not applicable | 4\% (32) | 18\% (538) | 6\% (47) |
| School phase | Secondary | 93\% (761) | 78\% (2280) | 89\% (733) |
| School region | East Midlands | 8\% (66) | 9\% (259) | 9\% (73) |
| School region | East of England | 12\% (100) | 11\% (311) | 10\% (84) |
| School region | London | 18\% (148) | 14\% (404) | 15\% (123) |
| School region | North East | 5\% (37) | 5\% (143) | 5\% (41) |
| School region | North West | 15\% (123) | 14\% (417) | 14\% (118) |
| School region | South East | 12\% (101) | 16\% (473) | 15\% (120) |
| School region | South West | 8\% (68) | 10\% (305) | 9\% (77) |
| School region | West Midlands | 15\% (120) | 11\% (334) | 12\% (96) |


| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School region | Yorkshire and the Humber | 7\% (57) | 10\% (292) | 11\% (88) |
| Urban or rural school | Rural | 13\% (103) | 14\% (406) | 13\% (103) |
| Urban or rural school | Urban | 87\% (717) | 86\% (2532) | 87\% (717) |
| School Ofsted rating | Good | 59\% (483) | 56\% (1645) | 56\% (459) |
| School Ofsted rating | Missing | 15\% (127) | 15\% (449) | 15\% (125) |
| School Ofsted rating | Outstanding | 11\% (91) | 16\% (458) | 14\% (118) |
| School Ofsted rating | Requires improvement | 13\% (107) | 12\% (339) | 13\% (106) |
| School Ofsted rating | Serious Weaknesses | 1\% (6) | 1\% (25) | 1\% (6) |
| School Ofsted rating | Special Measures | 1\% (6) | 1\% (22) | 1\% (7) |
| School SLT concentration | Mean | 33.95 | 29.65 | 33.95 |
| School \% PP pupils | Mean | 0.3 | 0.31 | 0.3 |
| School \% EAL pupils | Mean | 0.19 | 0.16 | 0.19 |
| School \% SEN pupils | Mean | 0.17 | 0.29 | 0.17 |
| School \% male pupils | Mean | 0.52 | 0.55 | 0.52 |
| School \% white British pupils | Mean | 0.64 | 0.69 | 0.65 |
| School average KS2 maths score | Mean | 103.07 | 101.58 | 103.07 |


| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School average <br> KS2 reading <br> score | Mean | 102.87 | 101.5 | 102.83 |
| School number <br> of Y6 pupils | Mean | 179.06 | 146.57 | 166.01 |
| Total N schools | 820 | 2938 | 2938 |  |
| Total N pupils | 146828 | 430610 | 430610 |  |

Table 27: balance of characteristics before and after matching for the SLT route in the RQ1 pupil-level analysis with KS4 English Language GCSE points as the outcome (all pupils)

| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School type | Missing | X | X | X |
| School type | Academies | $75 \%$ <br> $(4312)$ | $78 \%(2509)$ | $75 \%$ <br> $(4302)$ |
| School type | Free Schools | $5 \%(310)$ | $5 \%(169)$ | $5 \%(304)$ |
| School type | Local authority <br> maintained <br> schools | $19 \%$ <br> $(1097)$ | $16 \%(516)$ | $19 \%$ <br> $(1108)$ |
| School type | Special schools | X | X | X |
| School region | Missing | X | $1 \%(26)$ | $0 \%(15)$ |
| School region | East Midlands | $8 \%(443)$ | $9 \%(298)$ | $8 \%(435)$ |
| School region | East of England | $11 \%(627)$ | $13 \%(426)$ | $11 \%(608)$ |
| School region | London | $19 \%$ |  |  |
| School region | North East | $5 \%(261)$ | $5 \%(175)$ | $5 \%(283)$ |
| School region | North West | $17 \%(948)$ | $14 \%(453)$ | $15 \%(854)$ |


| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School region | South East | 10\% (596) | 14\% (455) | 14\% (809) |
| School region | South West | 7\% (415) | 6\% (203) | 6\% (358) |
| School region | West Midlands | 13\% (754) | 11\% (340) | 12\% (677) |
| School region | Yorkshire and the Humber | 10\% (594) | 10\% (306) | 11\% (616) |
| Urban or rural school | Missing | X | 1\% (26) | 0\% (15) |
| Urban or rural school | Rural | 9\% (490) | 13\% (424) | 8\% (474) |
| Urban or rural school | Urban | $\begin{aligned} & 91 \% \\ & (5234) \end{aligned}$ | 86\% (2771) | $\begin{aligned} & 91 \% \\ & (5242) \end{aligned}$ |
| School Ofsted rating | Missing | 15\% (882) | 14\% (439) | 13\% (732) |
| School Ofsted rating | Good | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \% \\ & (3153) \end{aligned}$ | 58\% (1855) | $\begin{aligned} & 57 \% \\ & (3290) \end{aligned}$ |
| School Ofsted rating | Outstanding | 11\% (659) | 12\% (392) | 13\% (731) |
| School Ofsted rating | Requires improvement | 17\% (970) | 17\% (534) | 17\% (976) |
| School Ofsted rating | Serious Weaknesses | 0\% (28) | X | X |
| School Ofsted rating | Special Measures | 1\% (39) | X | X |
| Pupil eligible for PP | Missing | X | X | 0\% (12) |
| Pupil eligible for PP | No | $\begin{aligned} & 54 \% \\ & (3112) \end{aligned}$ | 57\% (1823) | $\begin{aligned} & 54 \% \\ & (3088) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil eligible for PP | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \% \\ & (2619) \end{aligned}$ | 43\% (1391) | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \% \\ & (2631) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil gender | Female | $\begin{aligned} & 47 \% \\ & (2688) \end{aligned}$ | 46\% (1471) | $\begin{aligned} & 47 \% \\ & (2688) \end{aligned}$ |


| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pupil gender | Male | $53 \%$ <br> $(3043)$ | $54 \%(1750)$ | $53 \%$ <br> $(3043)$ |
| Pupil has SEN | No | $82 \%$ <br> $(4684)$ | $81 \%(2616)$ | $82 \%$ <br> $(4684)$ |
| Pupil has SEN | Yes | $18 \%$ <br> $(1047)$ | $19 \%(605)$ | $18 \%$ <br> $(1047)$ |
| Pupil speaks <br> EAL | Yes | $21 \%$ <br> $(1181)$ | $17 \%(552)$ | $21 \%$ <br> $(1181)$ |
| Pupil speaks <br> EAL | No | $79 \%$ <br> $(4550)$ | $83 \%(2669)$ | $79 \%$ <br> $(4550)$ |
| Pupil is white <br> British | No | $39 \%$ <br> $(2243)$ | $34 \%(1097)$ | $39 \%$ <br> $(2243)$ |
| Pupil is white <br> British | Yes | $61 \%$ <br> $(3488)$ | $66 \%(2124)$ | $61 \%$ <br> $(3488)$ |
| Pupil KS2 <br> reading score | Mean | 102.39 | 102.02 | 102.39 |
| Total N schools | 689 | 317 | 317 |  |
| Total N pupils | 5731 | 3221 | 3221 |  |

Table 28: balance of characteristics before and after matching for the SLT route in the RQ1 pupil-level analysis with KS4 maths GCSE points as the outcome (all pupils)

| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Missing | X | X | 1\% (52) |
| School type | Academies | $\begin{aligned} & 76 \% \\ & (5440) \end{aligned}$ | 73\% (2140) | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \% \\ & (5380) \end{aligned}$ |
| School type | Free Schools | 5\% (367) | 7\% (205) | 7\% (487) |
| School type | Local authority maintained schools | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \% \\ & (1291) \end{aligned}$ | 19\% (559) | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \% \\ & (1218) \end{aligned}$ |
| School type | Special schools | X | X | 0\% (10) |
| School region | Missing | 1\% (49) | 1\% (22) | 1\% (52) |
| School region | East Midlands | 8\% (602) | 11\% (324) | 9\% (656) |
| School region | East of England | 10\% (725) | 12\% (357) | 10\% (747) |
| School region | London | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \% \\ & (1296) \end{aligned}$ | 16\% (483) | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \% \\ & (1217) \end{aligned}$ |
| School region | North East | 4\% (313) | 6\% (175) | 5\% (385) |
| School region | North West | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \% \\ & (1191) \end{aligned}$ | 12\% (357) | 11\% (814) |
| School region | South East | 11\% (807) | 13\% (370) | 13\% (915) |
| School region | South West | 5\% (384) | 9\% (269) | 10\% (690) |
| School region | West Midlands | 13\% (908) | 11\% (335) | 14\% (983) |
| School region | Yorkshire and the Humber | 12\% (873) | 8\% (240) | 10\% (689) |
| Urban or rural school | Missing | 1\% (49) | 1\% (22) | 1\% (52) |
| Urban or rural school | Rural | 9\% (679) | 10\% (286) | 9\% (673) |
| Urban or rural school | Urban | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \% \\ & (6420) \end{aligned}$ | 89\% (2624) | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \% \\ & (6423) \end{aligned}$ |


| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Ofsted rating | Missing | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \% \\ & (1105) \end{aligned}$ | 14\% (398) | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \% \\ & (1025) \end{aligned}$ |
| School Ofsted rating | Good | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \% \\ & (3929) \end{aligned}$ | 56\% (1633) | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \% \\ & (3943) \end{aligned}$ |
| School Ofsted rating | Outstanding | 12\% (884) | 14\% (409) | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \% \\ & (1000) \end{aligned}$ |
| School Ofsted rating | Requires improvement | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \% \\ & (1102) \end{aligned}$ | 15\% (453) | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \% \\ & (1100) \end{aligned}$ |
| School Ofsted rating | Serious Weaknesses | 1\% (68) | X | 1\% (67) |
| School Ofsted rating | Special Measures | 1\% (60) | X | 0\% (12) |
| Pupil eligible for PP | Missing | X | X | 0\% (14) |
| Pupil eligible for PP | No | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \% \\ & (3724) \end{aligned}$ | 56\% (1629) | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \% \\ & (3701) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil eligible for PP | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \% \\ & (3422) \end{aligned}$ | 44\% (1297) | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \% \\ & (3434) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil gender | Female | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \% \\ & (3990) \end{aligned}$ | 54\% (1591) | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \% \\ & (3990) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil gender | Male | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \% \\ & (3158) \end{aligned}$ | 46\% (1341) | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \% \\ & (3158) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil has SEN | No | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \% \\ & (5994) \end{aligned}$ | 84\% (2476) | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \% \\ & (5994) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil has SEN | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \% \\ & (1154) \end{aligned}$ | 16\% (456) | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \% \\ & (1154) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil speaks EAL | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \% \\ & (1395) \end{aligned}$ | 17\% (507) | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \% \\ & (1395) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil speaks EAL | No | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \% \\ & (5753) \end{aligned}$ | 83\% (2425) | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \% \\ & (5753) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pupil is white British | No | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \% \\ & (2750) \end{aligned}$ | 33\% (970) | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \% \\ & (2750) \end{aligned}$ |


| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pupil is white <br> British | Yes | $62 \%$ <br> $(4398)$ | $67 \%(1962)$ | $62 \%$ <br> $(4398)$ |
| Pupil KS2 maths <br> score | Mean | 102.41 | 102.54 | 102.41 |
| Total N schools | 742 | 327 | 327 |  |
| Total N pupils | 7148 | 2932 | 2932 |  |

Table 29: balance of characteristics before and after matching for the AM/TP English route in the RQ1 KS4 pupil-level analysis (all pupils)

| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Missing | X | X | X |
| School type | Academies | 64\% (474) | 80\% (8313) | 64\% (472) |
| School type | Free Schools | 7\% (54) | 5\% (475) | 6\% (44) |
| School type | Local authority maintained schools | 28\% (210) | 15\% (1614) | 29\% (215) |
| School type | Special schools | X | X | X |
| School region | Missing | X | 0\% (43) | X |
| School region | East Midlands | 6\% (46) | 9\% (991) | 9\% (63) |
| School region | East of England | 16\% (117) | 11\% (1178) | 10\% (77) |
| School region | London | 19\% (139) | 15\% (1564) | 17\% (128) |
| School region | North East | 5\% (38) | 3\% (333) | 3\% (24) |
| School region | North West | 14\% (107) | 17\% (1805) | 20\% (150) |
| School region | South East | 10\% (76) | 13\% (1318) | 12\% (88) |
| School region | South West | 8\% (60) | 8\% (800) | 7\% (51) |
| School region | West Midlands | 6\% (43) | 14\% (1422) | 12\% (88) |


| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School region | Yorkshire and the Humber | 15\% (112) | 10\% (997) | 9\% (64) |
| Urban or rural school | Missing | X | 0\% (43) | X |
| Urban or rural school | Rural | 17\% (122) | 9\% (967) | 15\% (111) |
| Urban or rural school | Urban | 83\% (616) | 90\% (9441) | 84\% (621) |
| School Ofsted rating | Missing | 10\% (76) | 17\% (1738) | 12\% (87) |
| School Ofsted rating | Good | 58\% (428) | 54\% (5678) | 55\% (402) |
| School Ofsted rating | Outstanding | 10\% (76) | 13\% (1356) | 15\% (111) |
| School Ofsted rating | Requires improvement | 21\% (158) | 15\% (1580) | 17\% (127) |
| School Ofsted rating | Serious Weaknesses | X | 0\% (45) | X |
| School Ofsted rating | Special Measures | X | 1\% (54) | X |
| Pupil eligible for PP | Missing | X | X | X |
| Pupil eligible for PP | No | 41\% (300) | 60\% (6266) | 41\% (300) |
| Pupil eligible for PP | Yes | 59\% (438) | 40\% (4179) | 59\% (438) |
| Pupil gender | Female | 40\% (297) | 46\% (4767) | 40\% (297) |
| Pupil gender | Male | 60\% (441) | 54\% (5684) | 60\% (441) |
| Pupil has SEN | No | 76\% (562) | 82\% (8609) | 76\% (562) |
| Pupil has SEN | Yes | 24\% (176) | 18\% (1842) | 24\% (176) |
| Pupil speaks EAL | Yes | 20\% (144) | 19\% (1974) | 20\% (144) |


| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pupil speaks <br> EAL | No | $80 \%(594)$ | $81 \%(8477)$ | $80 \%(594)$ |
| Pupil is white <br> British | No | $39 \%(285)$ | $36 \%(3745)$ | $39 \%(285)$ |
| Pupil is white <br> British | Yes | $61 \%(453)$ | $64 \%(6706)$ | $61 \%(453)$ |
| Pupil KS2 <br> reading score | Mean | 101.63 | 102.48 | 101.63 |
| Total N schools | 200 | 804 | 804 |  |
| Total N pupils | 738 | 10451 | 10451 |  |

Table 30: balance of characteristics before and after matching for the AM/TP maths route in the RQ1 KS4 pupil-level analysis (all pupils)

| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School type | Missing | X | X | X |
| School type | Academies | $68 \%$ (810) | $78 \%$ (8741) | $67 \%$ (800) |
| School type | Free Schools | $5 \%(64)$ | $5 \%$ (575) | $6 \%(71)$ |
| School type | Local authority <br> maintained <br> schools | $26 \%(315)$ | $16 \%(1789)$ | $26 \%(312)$ |
| School type | Special schools | X | X | X |
| School region | Missing | X | $1 \%$ (79) | $1 \%(10)$ |
| School region | East Midlands | $8 \%(99)$ | $10 \%(1133)$ | $10 \%(114)$ |
| School region | East of England | $13 \%(150)$ | $11 \%(1220)$ | $10 \%(121)$ |
| School region | London | $18 \%(210)$ | $16 \%(1762)$ | $18 \%(217)$ |
| School region | North East | $4 \%(49)$ | $4 \%(437)$ | $4 \%(50)$ |
| School region | North West | $18 \%(210)$ | $16 \%(1822)$ | $18 \%(209)$ |


| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School region | South East | 12\% (148) | 12\% (1289) | 11\% (137) |
| School region | South West | 7\% (87) | 7\% (747) | 6\% (73) |
| School region | West Midlands | 8\% (90) | 14\% (1515) | 12\% (144) |
| School region | Yorkshire and the Humber | 12\% (146) | 11\% (1187) | 10\% (118) |
| Urban or rural school | Missing | X | 1\% (79) | 1\% (10) |
| Urban or rural school | Rural | 12\% (148) | 11\% (1231) | 12\% (138) |
| Urban or rural school | Urban | $\begin{aligned} & 87 \% \\ & (1041) \end{aligned}$ | 88\% (9881) | $\begin{aligned} & 88 \% \\ & (1046) \end{aligned}$ |
| School Ofsted rating | Missing | 12\% (147) | 16\% (1803) | 15\% (178) |
| School Ofsted rating | Good | 60\% (720) | 54\% (6091) | 57\% (678) |
| School Ofsted rating | Outstanding | 12\% (144) | 13\% (1485) | 13\% (158) |
| School Ofsted rating | Requires improvement | 15\% (182) | 14\% (1579) | 13\% (160) |
| School Ofsted rating | Serious Weaknesses | X | 1\% (164) | X |
| School Ofsted rating | Special Measures | X | 1\% (69) | X |
| Pupil eligible for PP | Missing | X | X | X |
| Pupil eligible for PP | No | 42\% (501) | 59\% (6578) | 42\% (500) |
| Pupil eligible for PP | Yes | 58\% (693) | 41\% (4604) | 58\% (693) |
| Pupil gender | Female | 59\% (700) | 54\% (6087) | 59\% (700) |
| Pupil gender | Male | 41\% (494) | 46\% (5104) | 41\% (494) |


| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pupil has SEN | No | $82 \%(978)$ | $85 \%(9520)$ | $82 \%(978)$ |
| Pupil has SEN | Yes | $18 \%(216)$ | $15 \%(1671)$ | $18 \%(216)$ |
| Pupil speaks <br> EAL | Yes | $17 \%(207)$ | $19 \%(2082)$ | $17 \%(207)$ |
| Pupil speaks <br> EAL | No | $83 \%(987)$ | $81 \%(9109)$ | $83 \%(987)$ |
| Pupil is white <br> British | No | $62 \%(736)$ | $64 \%(7213)$ | $62 \%(736)$ |
| Pupil is white <br> British | Yes | 101.51 | 102.78 | 101.51 |
| Pupil KS2 maths <br> score | Mean | 248 | 820 | 820 |
| Total N schools | 1194 | 11191 | 11191 |  |
| Total N pupils |  |  | $38 \%(458)$ |  |

Table 31: balance of characteristics before and after matching for the SLT route in the RQ1 pupil-level analysis with KS4 English Language GCSE points as the outcome (PP and/or PLA pupils only)

| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School type | Missing | X | X | X |
| School type | Academies | $74 \%$ <br> $(2502)$ | $78 \%(1601)$ | $74 \%$ <br> $(2500)$ |
| School type | Free Schools | $6 \%(205)$ | $5 \%(109)$ | $5 \%(177)$ |
| School type | Local authority <br> maintained <br> schools | $20 \%(675)$ | $16 \%(334)$ | $21 \%(698)$ |
| School type | Special schools | X | X | X |
| School region | Missing | X | $1 \%(20)$ | $0 \%(10)$ |


| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School region | East Midlands | 8\% (263) | 9\% (179) | 8\% (261) |
| School region | East of England | 10\% (340) | 12\% (243) | 10\% (329) |
| School region | London | 21\% (711) | 16\% (321) | 19\% (653) |
| School region | North East | 5\% (156) | 6\% (120) | 5\% (178) |
| School region | North West | 17\% (588) | 15\% (315) | 16\% (543) |
| School region | South East | 9\% (308) | 15\% (309) | 14\% (481) |
| School region | South West | 7\% (229) | 7\% (149) | 6\% (215) |
| School region | West Midlands | 13\% (429) | 10\% (211) | 11\% (368) |
| School region | Yorkshire and the Humber | 11\% (363) | 10\% (198) | 10\% (350) |
| Urban or rural school | Missing | X | 1\% (20) | 0\% (10) |
| Urban or rural school | Rural | 8\% (281) | 12\% (254) | 8\% (262) |
| Urban or rural school | Urban | $\begin{aligned} & 92 \% \\ & (3106) \end{aligned}$ | 87\% (1791) | $\begin{aligned} & 92 \% \\ & (3115) \end{aligned}$ |
| School Ofsted rating | Missing | 14\% (487) | 17\% (341) | 14\% (469) |
| School Ofsted rating | Good | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \% \\ & (1886) \end{aligned}$ | 57\% (1171) | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \% \\ & (1911) \end{aligned}$ |
| School Ofsted rating | Outstanding | 12\% (410) | 9\% (193) | 11\% (356) |
| School Ofsted rating | Requires improvement | 17\% (566) | 17\% (359) | 19\% (650) |
| School Ofsted rating | Serious Weaknesses | 1\% (18) | X | X |
| School Ofsted rating | Special Measures | 1\% (21) | X | X |
| Pupil gender | Female | $\begin{aligned} & 49 \% \\ & (1659) \end{aligned}$ | 48\% (988) | $\begin{aligned} & 49 \% \\ & (1659) \end{aligned}$ |


| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pupil gender | Male | $51 \%$ <br> $(1729)$ | $52 \%(1077)$ | $51 \%$ <br> $(1729)$ |
| Pupil has SEN | No | $77 \%$ <br> $(2609)$ | $76 \%(1573)$ | $77 \%$ <br> $(2609)$ |
| Pupil has SEN | Yes | $23 \%(779)$ | $24 \%(492)$ | $23 \%(779)$ |
| Pupil speaks <br> EAL | Yes | $23 \%(767)$ | $17 \%(354)$ | $23 \%(767)$ |
| Pupil speaks <br> EAL | No | $77 \%$ <br> $(2621)$ | $83 \%(1711)$ | $77 \%$ <br> $(2621)$ |
| Pupil is white <br> British | No | $44 \%$ <br> $(1478)$ | $35 \%(731)$ | $44 \%$ <br> $(1478)$ |
| Pupil is white <br> British | Yes | $56 \%$ |  |  |
| Pupil KS2 <br> reading score | Mean | $65 \%(1334)$ | $56 \%$ <br> $(1910)$ |  |
| Total N schools | 69.71 | 98.93 | 99.71 |  |
| Total N pupils | 3388 | 2065 | 2065 |  |

Table 32: balance of characteristics before and after matching for the SLT route in the RQ1 pupil-level analysis with KS4 maths GCSE points as the outcome (PP and/or PLA pupils only)

| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School type | Missing | X | X | X |
| School type | Academies | $76 \%$ <br> $(3306)$ | $70 \%(1286)$ | $76 \%$ <br> $(3296)$ |
| School type | Free Schools | $5 \%(227)$ | $7 \%(126)$ | $6 \%(265)$ |
| School type | Local authority <br> maintained <br> schools | $18 \%(762)$ | $23 \%(419)$ | $17 \%(735)$ |


| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Special schools | X | X | X |
| School region | Missing | 1\% (28) | 1\% (15) | 1\% (23) |
| School region | East Midlands | 9\% (380) | 8\% (151) | 8\% (328) |
| School region | East of England | 10\% (413) | 11\% (198) | 10\% (442) |
| School region | London | 18\% (797) | 19\% (343) | 19\% (824) |
| School region | North East | 4\% (187) | 5\% (94) | 5\% (200) |
| School region | North West | 16\% (693) | 15\% (277) | 14\% (607) |
| School region | South East | 11\% (475) | 11\% (201) | 10\% (449) |
| School region | South West | 6\% (243) | 10\% (185) | 10\% (436) |
| School region | West Midlands | 12\% (505) | 11\% (211) | 13\% (583) |
| School region | Yorkshire and the Humber | 14\% (603) | 9\% (174) | 10\% (434) |
| Urban or rural school | Missing | 1\% (28) | 1\% (15) | 1\% (23) |
| Urban or rural school | Rural | 8\% (356) | 12\% (215) | 8\% (366) |
| Urban or rural school | Urban | $\begin{aligned} & 91 \% \\ & (3940) \end{aligned}$ | 88\% (1619) | $\begin{aligned} & 91 \% \\ & (3935) \end{aligned}$ |
| School Ofsted rating | Missing | 16\% (678) | 15\% (270) | 15\% (663) |
| School Ofsted rating | Good | 55\% <br> (2390) | 54\% (1005) | $\begin{aligned} & 54 \% \\ & (2344) \end{aligned}$ |
| School Ofsted rating | Outstanding | 11\% (496) | 13\% (247) | 13\% (556) |
| School Ofsted rating | Requires improvement | 16\% (683) | 17\% (313) | 17\% (727) |
| School Ofsted rating | Serious Weaknesses | 1\% (36) | X | X |
| School Ofsted rating | Special Measures | 1\% (41) | X | X |


| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pupil gender | Female | $56 \%$ <br> $(2405)$ | $56 \%(1031)$ | $56 \%$ <br> $(2405)$ |
| Pupil gender | Male | $44 \%$ <br> $(1919)$ | $44 \%(818)$ | $44 \%$ <br> $(1919)$ |
| Pupil has SEN | No | $80 \%$ <br> $(3466)$ | $80 \%(1485)$ | $80 \%$ <br> $(3466)$ |
| Pupil has SEN | Yes | $20 \%(858)$ | $20 \%(364)$ | $20 \%(858)$ |
| Pupil speaks <br> EAL | Yes | $21 \%(902)$ | $19 \%(349)$ | $21 \%(902)$ |
| Pupil speaks <br> EAL | No | $79 \%$ <br> $(3422)$ | $81 \%(1500)$ | $79 \%$ <br> $(3422)$ |
| Pupil is white <br> British | No | $41 \%$ |  |  |
| Pupil is white <br> British | Yes | $37 \%(675)$ | $41 \%$ <br> $(1787)$ |  |
| Pupil KS2 maths <br> score | Mean | $59 \%$ |  |  |
| Total N schools | 100.3 | 100.31 | 100.3 |  |
| Total N pupils | 674 | 310 | 310 |  |

Table 33: balance of characteristics before and after matching for the AM/TP English route in the RQ1 KS4 pupil-level analysis (PP and/or PLA pupils only)

| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School type | Missing | X | X | X |
| School type | Academies | $66 \%(346)$ | $79 \%(4508)$ | $66 \%(344)$ |
| School type | Free Schools | $7 \%(37)$ | $5 \%(267)$ | $6 \%(31)$ |


| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Local authority maintained schools | 27\% (141) | 16\% (913) | 28\% (144) |
| School type | Special schools | X | X | X |
| School region | Missing | X | 0\% (21) | X |
| School region | East Midlands | 7\% (35) | 8\% (479) | 8\% (42) |
| School region | East of England | 16\% (83) | 11\% (603) | 11\% (55) |
| School region | London | 17\% (89) | 16\% (941) | 18\% (93) |
| School region | North East | 6\% (32) | 3\% (186) | 3\% (17) |
| School region | North West | 15\% (78) | 19\% (1080) | 21\% (108) |
| School region | South East | 10\% (52) | 11\% (645) | 12\% (61) |
| School region | South West | 9\% (47) | 7\% (414) | 7\% (36) |
| School region | West Midlands | 6\% (33) | 13\% (769) | 12\% (63) |
| School region | Yorkshire and the Humber | 14\% (75) | 10\% (577) | 9\% (46) |
| Urban or rural school | Missing | X | 0\% (21) | X |
| Urban or rural school | Rural | 16\% (86) | 8\% (466) | 15\% (78) |
| Urban or rural school | Urban | 84\% (438) | 91\% (5228) | 84\% (442) |
| School Ofsted rating | Missing | 11\% (56) | 16\% (930) | 12\% (63) |
| School Ofsted rating | Good | 58\% (304) | 55\% (3150) | 55\% (287) |
| School Ofsted rating | Outstanding | 10\% (51) | 12\% (697) | 15\% (76) |
| School Ofsted rating | Requires improvement | 22\% (113) | 15\% (883) | 17\% (91) |


| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Ofsted rating | Serious <br> Weaknesses | X | 0\% (24) | X |
| School Ofsted rating | Special <br> Measures | X | 1\% (31) | X |
| Pupil gender | Female | 43\% (226) | 48\% (2725) | 43\% (226) |
| Pupil gender | Male | 57\% (298) | 52\% (2990) | 57\% (298) |
| Pupil has SEN | No | 73\% (383) | 77\% (4382) | 73\% (383) |
| Pupil has SEN | Yes | 27\% (141) | 23\% (1333) | 27\% (141) |
| Pupil speaks EAL | Yes | 18\% (95) | 20\% (1163) | 18\% (95) |
| Pupil speaks EAL | No | 82\% (429) | 80\% (4552) | 82\% (429) |
| Pupil is white British | No | 39\% (203) | 39\% (2242) | 39\% (203) |
| Pupil is white British | Yes | 61\% (321) | 61\% (3473) | 61\% (321) |
| Pupil KS2 reading score | Mean | 100.13 | 99.06 | 100.13 |
| Total N schools |  | 181 | 742 | 742 |
| Total N pupils |  | 524 | 5715 | 5715 |

Table 34: balance of characteristics before and after matching for the AM/TP maths route in the RQ1 KS4 pupil-level analysis (PP and/or PLA pupils only)

| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Missing | X | X | X |
| School type | Academies | 69\% (568) | 78\% (4774) | 68\% (562) |
| School type | Free Schools | 6\% (47) | 5\% (304) | 6\% (49) |
| School type | Local authority maintained schools | 25\% (211) | 17\% (1018) | 25\% (210) |
| School type | Special schools | X | X | X |
| School region | Missing | X | 1\% (52) | X |
| School region | East Midlands | 8\% (69) | 9\% (558) | 10\% (80) |
| School region | East of England | 12\% (96) | 10\% (624) | 10\% (85) |
| School region | London | 19\% (161) | 17\% (1034) | 20\% (163) |
| School region | North East | 4\% (37) | 4\% (248) | 4\% (33) |
| School region | North West | 18\% (151) | 16\% (960) | 17\% (139) |
| School region | South East | 12\% (98) | 11\% (674) | 11\% (91) |
| School region | South West | 7\% (56) | 7\% (429) | 6\% (50) |
| School region | West Midlands | 7\% (54) | 13\% (826) | 12\% (97) |
| School region | Yorkshire and the Humber | 13\% (104) | 12\% (747) | 10\% (84) |
| Urban or rural school | Missing | X | 1\% (52) | X |
| Urban or rural school | Rural | 11\% (89) | 10\% (618) | 10\% (81) |
| Urban or rural school | Urban | 89\% (737) | 89\% (5482) | 89\% (741) |
| School Ofsted rating | Missing | 12\% (100) | 16\% (1008) | 15\% (121) |


| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Ofsted rating | Good | 60\% (497) | 54\% (3321) | 56\% (466) |
| School Ofsted rating | Outstanding | 11\% (94) | 12\% (765) | 13\% (109) |
| School Ofsted rating | Requires improvement | 16\% (136) | 15\% (948) | 14\% (119) |
| School Ofsted rating | Serious Weaknesses | X | 1\% (63) | X |
| School Ofsted rating | Special Measures | X | 1\% (47) | X |
| Pupil gender | Female | 58\% (482) | 54\% (3330) | 58\% (482) |
| Pupil gender | Male | 42\% (346) | 46\% (2822) | 42\% (346) |
| Pupil has SEN | No | 79\% (656) | 80\% (4932) | 79\% (656) |
| Pupil has SEN | Yes | 21\% (172) | 20\% (1220) | 21\% (172) |
| Pupil speaks EAL | Yes | 19\% (154) | 20\% (1209) | 19\% (154) |
| Pupil speaks EAL | No | 81\% (674) | 80\% (4943) | 81\% (674) |
| Pupil is white British | No | 41\% (343) | 39\% (2369) | 41\% (343) |
| Pupil is white British | Yes | 59\% (485) | 61\% (3783) | 59\% (485) |
| Pupil KS2 maths score | Mean | 100.08 | 100.19 | 100.08 |
| Total N schools |  | 224 | 741 | 741 |
| Total N pupils |  | 828 | 6152 | 6152 |

Table 35: balance of characteristics before and after matching for SLT literacy route in the Research Champion school-level analysis (all pupils)

| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Academies | 39\% (19) | 41\% (22) | 41\% (20) |
| School type | Local authority maintained schools | 61\% (30) | 59\% (32) | 59\% (29) |
| School region | East Midlands | 12\% (6) | 15\% (8) | 16\% (8) |
| School region | East of England | 14\% (7) | 9\% (5) | 10\% (5) |
| School region | London | X | X | X |
| School region | North East | X | X | X |
| School region | North West | 18\% (9) | 17\% (9) | 15\% (7) |
| School region | South East | 8\% (4) | 9\% (5) | 10\% (5) |
| School region | South West | 10\% (5) | 19\% (10) | 16\% (8) |
| School region | West Midlands | 12\% (6) | 13\% (7) | 12\% (6) |
| School region | Yorkshire and the Humber | 14\% (7) | 11\% (6) | 14\% (7) |
| Urban or rural school | Rural | 33\% (16) | 33\% (18) | 33\% (16) |
| Urban or rural school | Urban | 67\% (33) | 67\% (36) | 67\% (33) |
| School Ofsted rating | Good | 73\% (36) | 72\% (39) | 74\% (36) |
| School Ofsted rating | Missing | X | 11\% (6) | 9\% (5) |
| School Ofsted rating | Outstanding | 12\% (6) | 9\% (5) | 10\% (5) |
| School Ofsted rating | Requires improvement | X | 7\% (4) | 7\% (4) |


| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School TP/AM <br> literacy <br> concentration | Mean | 8.33 | 5.48 | 8.33 |
| School \% PP <br> pupils | Mean | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.28 |
| School \% EAL <br> pupils | Mean | 0.17 | 0.13 | 0.17 |
| School \% SEN <br> pupils | Mean | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 |
| School \% male <br> pupils | Mean | 0.75 | 0.76 | 0.71 |
| School \% white <br> British pupils | Mean | 101.57 | 102.86 | 101.82 |
| School average <br> baseline maths <br> score | Mean | 101.09 | 102.23 | 101.09 |
| School average <br> baseline reading <br> score | Mean | 244.71 | 208.7 | 206.04 |
| School number <br> of Y6 pupils | Mean | 11991 | 11270 | 11270 |
| Total N schools | Total N pupils | Me | 0.51 |  |

Table 36: balance of characteristics before and after matching for SLT numeracy route in the Research Champion school-level analysis (all pupils)

| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Academies | 32\% (12) | 42\% (19) | 39\% (15) |
| School type | Local authority maintained schools | 68\% (25) | 58\% (26) | 61\% (22) |
| School region | East Midlands | 16\% (6) | 13\% (6) | 14\% (5) |
| School region | East of England | 11\% (4) | 9\% (4) | X |
| School region | London | X | X | X |
| School region | North East | X | X | X |
| School region | North West | 22\% (8) | 18\% (8) | 18\% (7) |
| School region | South East | 14\% (5) | 9\% (4) | 12\% (4) |
| School region | South West | X | 20\% (9) | 20\% (7) |
| School region | West Midlands | 14\% (5) | 13\% (6) | 15\% (5) |
| School region | Yorkshire and the Humber | 19\% (7) | 11\% (5) | 10\% (4) |
| Urban or rural school | Rural | 38\% (14) | 36\% (16) | 38\% (14) |
| Urban or rural school | Urban | 62\% (23) | 64\% (29) | 62\% (23) |
| School Ofsted rating | Good | 73\% (27) | 67\% (30) | 65\% (24) |
| School Ofsted rating | Missing | X | 11\% (5) | 11\% (4) |
| School Ofsted rating | Outstanding | 14\% (5) | 11\% (5) | 14\% (5) |
| School Ofsted rating | Requires improvement | X | 11\% (5) | 11\% (4) |


| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School TP/AM <br> numeracy <br> concentration | Mean | 6.85 | 6.89 | 6.85 |
| School \% PP <br> pupils | Mean | 0.26 | 0.29 | 0.26 |
| School \% EAL <br> pupils | Mean | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.12 |
| School \% SEN <br> pupils | Mean | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.17 |
| School \% male <br> pupils | Mean | 0.8 | 0.74 | 0.78 |
| School \% white <br> British pupils | Mean | 101.75 | 102.31 | 101.75 |
| School average <br> baseline maths <br> score | Mean | 101.44 | 102.25 | 102.11 |
| School average <br> baseline reading <br> score | Mean | 237.24 | 210.71 | 196.98 |
| School number <br> of Y6 pupils | Mean | 8778 | 9482 | 9482 |
| Total N schools | Total N pupils | Me |  |  |

Table 37: balance of characteristics before and after matching for AM/TP literacy route in the Research Champion school-level analysis (all pupils)

| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Academies | 37\% (11) | 39\% (29) | 44\% (13) |
| School type | Local authority maintained schools | 63\% (19) | 61\% (46) | 56\% (17) |
| School region | East Midlands | 13\% (4) | 15\% (11) | X |
| School region | East of England | X | 13\% (10) | 13\% (4) |
| School region | London | X | X | X |
| School region | North East | X | X | X |
| School region | North West | 27\% (8) | 13\% (10) | 19\% (6) |
| School region | South East | X | 11\% (8) | X |
| School region | South West | X | 17\% (13) | 15\% (5) |
| School region | West Midlands | 13\% (4) | 11\% (8) | 14\% (4) |
| School region | Yorkshire and the Humber | 20\% (6) | 9\% (7) | 12\% (4) |
| Urban or rural school | Rural | 27\% (8) | 37\% (28) | 27\% (8) |
| Urban or rural school | Urban | 73\% (22) | 63\% (47) | 73\% (22) |
| School Ofsted rating | Good | 73\% (22) | 71\% (53) | 75\% (23) |
| School Ofsted rating | Missing | X | X | X |
| School Ofsted rating | Outstanding | X | 13\% (10) | 12\% (4) |
| School Ofsted rating | Requires improvement | 13\% (4) | X | X |


| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School SLT <br> literacy <br> concentration | Mean | 13.74 | 12.09 | 13.74 |
| School \% PP <br> pupils | Mean | 0.34 | 0.26 | 0.34 |
| School \% EAL <br> pupils | Mean | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.17 |
| School \% SEN <br> pupils | Mean | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 |
| School \% male <br> pupils | Mean | 0.73 | 0.75 | 0.73 |
| School \% white <br> British pupils | Mean | 101.17 | 102.44 | 99.93 |
| School average <br> baseline maths <br> score | Mean | 99.93 | 102.15 | 99.93 |
| School average <br> baseline reading <br> score | Mean | 251.2 | 215.47 | 223.57 |
| School number <br> of Y6 pupils | Mean | 7536 | 16160 | 16160 |
| Total N schools | Total N pupils | Me | 0.51 |  |

Table 38: balance of characteristics before and after matching for AM/TP numeracy route in the Research Champion school-level analysis (all pupils)

| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Academies | 38\% (11) | 29\% (12) | 34\% (10) |
| School type | Local authority maintained schools | 62\% (18) | 71\% (30) | 66\% (19) |
| School region | East Midlands | 21\% (6) | 12\% (5) | X |
| School region | East of England | X | 12\% (5) | X |
| School region | London | X | X | X |
| School region | North East | X | X | X |
| School region | North West | 21\% (6) | 21\% (9) | 19\% (5) |
| School region | South East | X | 17\% (7) | 13\% (4) |
| School region | South West | X | 17\% (7) | 12\% (4) |
| School region | West Midlands | 21\% (6) | 10\% (4) | 21\% (6) |
| School region | Yorkshire and the Humber | 17\% (5) | 10\% (4) | 15\% (4) |
| Urban or rural school | Rural | 28\% (8) | 36\% (15) | 28\% (8) |
| Urban or rural school | Urban | 72\% (21) | 64\% (27) | 72\% (21) |
| School Ofsted rating | Good | 86\% (25) | 71\% (30) | 64\% (19) |
| School Ofsted rating | Missing | X | X | X |
| School Ofsted rating | Outstanding | X | 19\% (8) | 25\% (7) |
| School Ofsted rating | Requires improvement | X | X | X |


| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School SLT <br> numeracy <br> concentration | Mean | 9.22 | 4.98 | 9.22 |
| School \% PP <br> pupils | Mean | 0.31 | 0.26 | 0.31 |
| School \% EAL <br> pupils | Mean | 0.13 | 0.1 | 0.13 |
| School \% SEN <br> pupils | Mean | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.16 |
| School \% male <br> pupils | Mean | 0.78 | 0.81 | 0.77 |
| School \% white <br> British pupils | Mean | 100.97 | 102.23 | 100.97 |
| School average <br> baseline maths <br> score | Mean | 100.81 | 102.05 | 100.86 |
| School average <br> baseline reading <br> score | Mean | 221.03 | 220.36 | 228.27 |
| School number <br> of Y6 pupils | Mean | 6410 | 9255 | 9255 |
| Total N schools | Total N pupils | Me | 0.51 |  |

Table 39: balance of characteristics before and after matching for the SLT literacy route in the Research Champion school-level analysis (PP and/or PLA pupils only)

| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Academies | 39\% (18) | 41\% (23) | 46\% (21) |
| School type | Local authority maintained schools | 61\% (28) | 59\% (33) | 54\% (25) |
| School region | East Midlands | 11\% (5) | 14\% (8) | 17\% (8) |
| School region | East of England | 15\% (7) | 9\% (5) | 9\% (4) |
| School region | London | 9\% (4) | X | X |
| School region | North East | X | X | X |
| School region | North West | 20\% (9) | 16\% (9) | 14\% (6) |
| School region | South East | X | 11\% (6) | 9\% (4) |
| School region | South West | 11\% (5) | 18\% (10) | 16\% (7) |
| School region | West Midlands | 13\% (6) | 12\% (7) | 11\% (5) |
| School region | Yorkshire and the Humber | 13\% (6) | 12\% (7) | 17\% (8) |
| Urban or rural school | Rural | 33\% (15) | 34\% (19) | 33\% (15) |
| Urban or rural school | Urban | 67\% (31) | 66\% (37) | 67\% (31) |
| School Ofsted rating | Good | 76\% (35) | 70\% (39) | 73\% (33) |
| School Ofsted rating | Missing | 9\% (4) | 12\% (7) | 9\% (4) |
| School Ofsted rating | Outstanding | 11\% (5) | 11\% (6) | 10\% (5) |
| School Ofsted rating | Requires improvement | X | 7\% (4) | 8\% (4) |


| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School TP/AM <br> literacy <br> concentration | Mean | 12.55 | 6.32 | 12.55 |
| School \% PP <br> pupils | Mean | 0.29 | 0.27 | 0.29 |
| School \% EAL <br> pupils | Mean | 0.17 | 0.13 | 0.17 |
| School \% SEN <br> pupils | Mean | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 |
| School \% male <br> pupils | Mean | 0.74 | 0.76 | 0.71 |
| School \% white <br> British pupils | Mean | 101.35 | 103.09 | 101.23 |
| School average <br> baseline maths <br> score | Mean | 100.74 | 102.53 | 100.74 |
| School average <br> baseline <br> reading score | Mean | 246.13 | 207.54 | 201.34 |
| School number <br> of Y6 pupils | Mean | 11322 | 11622 | 11622 |
| Total N schools | Total N pupils | Me | 0.51 |  |

Table 40: balance of characteristics before and after matching for the SLT numeracy route in the Research Champion school-level analysis (PP and/or PLA pupils only)

| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Academies | 34\% (11) | 40\% (19) | 41\% (13) |
| School type | Local authority maintained schools | 66\% (21) | 60\% (29) | 59\% (19) |
| School region | East Midlands | 16\% (5) | 15\% (7) | 18\% (6) |
| School region | East of England | 12\% (4) | 8\% (4) | X |
| School region | London | X | X | X |
| School region | North East | X | X | X |
| School region | North West | 25\% (8) | 17\% (8) | 18\% (6) |
| School region | South East | X | 12\% (6) | X |
| School region | South West | X | 19\% (9) | 21\% (7) |
| School region | West Midlands | X | 12\% (6) | 13\% (4) |
| School region | Yorkshire and the Humber | 22\% (7) | 10\% (5) | X |
| Urban or rural school | Rural | 44\% (14) | 33\% (16) | 44\% (14) |
| Urban or rural school | Urban | 56\% (18) | 67\% (32) | 56\% (18) |
| School Ofsted rating | Good | 75\% (24) | 67\% (32) | 62\% (20) |
| School Ofsted rating | Missing | X | 10\% (5) | 11\% (4) |
| School Ofsted rating | Outstanding | 12\% (4) | 12\% (6) | 12\% (4) |
| School Ofsted rating | Requires improvement | X | 10\% (5) | 15\% (5) |


| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School TP/AM <br> numeracy <br> concentration | Mean | 9.59 | 9.1 | 9.59 |
| School \% PP <br> pupils | Mean | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.27 |
| School \% EAL <br> pupils | Mean | 0.09 | 0.15 | 0.09 |
| School \% SEN <br> pupils | Mean | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.17 |
| School \% male <br> pupils | Mean | 0.84 | 0.74 | 0.82 |
| School \% white <br> British pupils | Mean | 101.3 | 102.68 | 101.3 |
| School average <br> baseline maths <br> score | Mean | 101.58 | 102.59 | 101.98 |
| School average <br> baseline <br> reading score | Mean | 227.53 | 215.48 | 187.04 |
| School number <br> of Y6 pupils | Mean | 7281 | 10343 | 10343 |
| Total N schools | Total N pupils | Me | 0.52 |  |

Table 41: balance of characteristics before and after matching for the AM/TP literacy route in the Research Champion school-level analysis (PP and/or PLA pupils only)

| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Academies | 33\% (9) | 41\% (31) | 46\% (13) |
| School type | Local authority maintained schools | 67\% (18) | 59\% (45) | 54\% (14) |
| School region | East Midlands | X | 14\% (11) | X |
| School region | East of England | X | 14\% (11) | X |
| School region | London | X | 8\% (6) | X |
| School region | North East | X | X | X |
| School region | North West | 26\% (7) | 13\% (10) | 20\% (5) |
| School region | South East | X | 9\% (7) | X |
| School region | South West | X | 17\% (13) | 14\% (4) |
| School region | West Midlands | 15\% (4) | 12\% (9) | 17\% (4) |
| School region | Yorkshire and the Humber | 22\% (6) | 9\% (7) | 14\% (4) |
| Urban or rural school | Rural | 19\% (5) | 37\% (28) | 19\% (5) |
| Urban or rura school | Urban | 81\% (22) | 63\% (48) | 81\% (22) |
| School Ofsted rating | Good | 78\% (21) | 72\% (55) | 76\% (21) |
| School Ofsted rating | Missing | X | X | X |
| School Ofsted rating | Outstanding | X | 12\% (9) | X |
| School Ofsted rating | Requires improvement | X | X | X |


| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School SLT <br> literacy <br> concentration | Mean | 11.53 | 12.31 | 11.53 |
| School \% PP <br> pupils | Mean | 0.36 | 0.26 | 0.36 |
| School \% EAL <br> pupils | Mean | 0.19 | 0.15 | 0.19 |
| School \% SEN <br> pupils | Mean | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 |
| School \% male <br> pupils | Mean | 0.71 | 0.75 | 0.71 |
| School \% white <br> British pupils | Mean | 100.26 | 102.6 | 99.44 |
| School average <br> baseline maths <br> score | Mean | 99.2 | 102.21 | 99.2 |
| School average <br> baseline <br> reading score | Mean | 258.15 | 215.59 | 229.36 |
| School number <br> of Y6 pupils | Mean | 6970 | 16385 | 16385 |
| Total N schools | Total N pupils | Me | 0.52 |  |

Table 42: balance of characteristics before and after matching for the AM/TP numeracy route in the Research Champion school-level analysis (PP and/or PLA pupils only)

| Variable | Level | Intervention group | Comparison group (unweighted) | Comparison group (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School type | Academies | 37\% (10) | 30\% (13) | 39\% (10) |
| School type | Local authority maintained schools | 63\% (17) | 70\% (30) | 61\% (17) |
| School region | East Midlands | 19\% (5) | 12\% (5) | X |
| School region | East of England | X | 12\% (5) | X |
| School region | London | X | X | X |
| School region | North East | X | X | X |
| School region | North West | 22\% (6) | 21\% (9) | 19\% (5) |
| School region | South East | X | 16\% (7) | X |
| School region | South West | X | 16\% (7) | 14\% (4) |
| School region | West Midlands | 19\% (5) | 12\% (5) | 22\% (6) |
| School region | Yorkshire and the Humber | 19\% (5) | 9\% (4) | 14\% (4) |
| Urban or rural school | Rural | 26\% (7) | 35\% (15) | 26\% (7) |
| Urban or rura school | Urban | 74\% (20) | 65\% (28) | 74\% (20) |
| School Ofsted rating | Good | 89\% (24) | 72\% (31) | 67\% (18) |
| School Ofsted rating | Missing | X | X | X |
| School Ofsted rating | Outstanding | X | 19\% (8) | 21\% (6) |
| School Ofsted rating | Requires improvement | X | X | X |


| Variable | Level | Intervention <br> group | Comparison <br> group <br> (unweighted) | Comparison <br> group <br> (weighted) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School SLT <br> numeracy <br> concentration | Mean | 11.76 | 8.5 | 11.76 |
| School \% PP <br> pupils | Mean | 0.32 | 0.26 | 0.32 |
| School \% EAL <br> pupils | Mean | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.14 |
| School \% SEN <br> pupils | Mean | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.16 |
| School \% male <br> pupils | Mean | 0.77 | 0.81 | 0.75 |
| School \% white <br> British pupils | Mean | 100.94 | 102.07 | 100.94 |
| School average <br> baseline maths <br> score | Mean | 100.58 | 101.95 | 100.67 |
| School average <br> baseline <br> reading score | Mean | 225.22 | 221.7 | 232.01 |
| School number <br> of Y6 pupils | Mean | 6081 | 9533 | 9533 |
| Total N schools | Total N pupils | Me | 0.51 |  |
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Provided by Renaissance Learning, Rising Stars/Hodder, GL Assessment or NFER.
    ${ }^{2}$ https://www.ons.gov.uk/aboutus/whatwedo/statistics/requestingstatistics/secureresearchservice

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Using the AM and/or TP routes; there was no SLT option in NTP Year 1.

