



Department  
for Education

# **Initial Teacher Training and Early Career Framework**

**January 2024**

## Acknowledgements

The Initial Teacher Training and Early Career Framework (ITTECF) was developed in consultation with the following members of an External Steering Group and in collaboration with a wide range of teachers, school leaders, academics and experts:

Sir Ian Bauckham	Tenax Schools Trust, Ofqual
Richard Gill	Teaching School Hubs Council, Arthur Terry Learning Partnership
Marie Hamer	Ambition Institute
Matt Hood	Oak National Academy
Reuben Moore	National Institute of Teaching
Chris Paterson	Education Endowment Foundation
Professor Sam Twiselton	Sheffield Hallam University

The content of the framework and its underpinning evidence has been independently assessed and endorsed by the Education Endowment Foundation (EEF).



# Contents

Acknowledgements	2
Guidance for providers delivering the 'Initial Teacher Training and Early Career Framework'	4
The design of the framework	4
Supporting pupils with Special Educational Needs and Disabilities (SEND)	5
Expertise development and progression across the ITT and early career induction period	6
Guidance for ITT providers	7
Guidance for ECF lead providers	8
Statutory Duties and assessment against the Teachers' Standards	8
Initial Teacher Training and Early Career Framework	10
High Expectations (Standard 1 – Set high expectations)	11
How Pupils Learn (Standard 2 – Promote good progress)	13
Subject and Curriculum (Standard 3 – Demonstrate good subject and curriculum knowledge)	15
Classroom Practice (Standard 4 – Plan and teach well structured lessons)	18
Adaptive Teaching (Standard 5 – Adapt teaching)	20
Assessment (Standard 6 – Make accurate and productive use of assessment)	22
Managing Behaviour (Standard 7 – Manage behaviour effectively)	24
Professional Behaviours (Standard 8 – Fulfil wider professional responsibilities)	26
References	28
High Expectations (Standard 1– Set high expectations)	28
How Pupils Learn (Standard 2 – Promote good progress)	30
Subject and Curriculum (Standard 3 – Demonstrate good subject and curriculum knowledge)	32
Classroom Practice (Standard 4 – Plan and teach well structured lessons)	35
Adaptive Teaching (Standard 5 – Adapt teaching)	36
Assessment (Standard 6 – Make accurate and productive use of assessment)	38
Managing Behaviour (Standard 7 – Manage behaviour effectively)	39
Professional Behaviours (Standard 8 – Fulfil wider professional responsibilities)	40

# Guidance for providers delivering the ‘Initial Teacher Training and Early Career Framework’

The Initial Teacher Training and Early Career Framework (ITTECF) covers the training and induction periods at the start of a teacher’s career.<sup>1</sup> It combines and replaces the previously separate Initial Teacher Training (ITT) Core Content Framework (CCF) and Early Career Framework (ECF) following a review. (Further detail on the review, and the important changes to the design and content of the frameworks and to delivery, has been [published](#) alongside the framework.)

The ITTECF sets out the entitlement of every trainee and early career teacher (ECT) to the core body of knowledge, skills and behaviours that define great teaching, and to the mentoring and support from expert colleagues they should receive throughout the three or more years at the start of their career. ECTs will purposefully revisit the elements of teaching introduced in ITT to deepen their knowledge and understanding. The ITTECF remains designed to equip all trainees and ECTs with a shared body of knowledge and skills, irrespective of subject or phase.

The ITTECF, as with its predecessors, is based on the best available evidence from this country and around the world, assured by the Education Endowment Foundation (EEF). The content of the framework has been updated based on a Call for Evidence in spring 2023, feedback from a broad range of experts across the education sector, and on what the department has learned from the first few years of CCF implementation and delivery of ECF-based induction. The changes include updates and enhancements regarding supporting pupils with special educational needs and disabilities (SEND), high quality oral language (sometimes known as oracy), early cognitive development, and social and emotional learning. The EEF have appraised all changes to ensure they reflect the evidence base.

## The design of the framework

Providers of ITT and ECF-based training are expected to translate the framework into a carefully sequenced curriculum of training and professional development to support trainees and ECTs to build their expertise across all aspects of the framework.<sup>2</sup> To

---

<sup>1</sup> The Initial Teacher Training and Early Career induction period for most teachers is the first three or more years at the start of their career, dependent on their ITT route.

<sup>2</sup> For the purpose of this document, ‘providers’ refers to: those accredited to deliver ITT from 2024, lead providers of early career induction training, and schools following school-led routes for delivering early career induction.

support providers to do this, the section below on ‘expertise development and progression’ provides an illustrative model on how progression can work across the three or more years of ITT and ECF-based training.

The ITTECF sets out two types of content: ‘*Learn that...*’ and ‘*Learn how to...*’ statements. ‘*Learn that...*’ statements are informed by the best available educational research. This evidence includes practice guides, rigorous individual studies, high quality reviews and syntheses, including meta-analyses. ‘*Learn how to...*’ statements are drawn from the wider evidence base, including both academic research and guidance from expert practitioners. A full reference list is included at the end of this document. The framework statements should be read in the context of this preamble section, which explains how the statements were devised and how they should be incorporated in the design of curricula.

When designing their training programmes, ITT and ECF providers must carefully consider how they will cover both the ‘*Learn that...*’ and the ‘*Learn how to...*’ statements fully and specifically. Providers need to be attentive to the nuance of each statement to ensure their training captures the framework in its entirety. Providers must have a fully developed, evidence-informed curriculum. It must encompass all aspects of the framework as appropriate to the stage of a trainee or ECT’s development as a minimum entitlement, ensuring that they are prepared for the next stage in their professional development.

The ITTECF is a minimum entitlement to training and not a full curriculum. It remains for accredited ITT providers and ECF lead providers to integrate additional analysis and critique of theory, research, and expert practice as they deem appropriate for their curriculum. The framework is designed to cover the content required by trainee teachers and ECTs irrespective of subject and phase. Therefore, it is for providers to ensure that they carefully craft coherently sequenced curricula that enable trainees and ECTs to apply evidence effectively in their phase, setting, and subject.

The technology available for facilitating great teaching and supporting pupils to succeed is constantly evolving, so the framework does not refer to specific technological innovations. Teachers, however, need to be conversant throughout their careers with technological developments that evidence suggests can improve pupil outcomes. Providers of ITT and early career training are encouraged to consider how their training materials can support trainees and ECTs in the judicious use of technology, informed by evidence, both in helping pupils to progress and in enabling and enhancing their own working practices.

## **Supporting pupils with Special Educational Needs and Disabilities (SEND)**

The ITTECF has been designed in the knowledge that high quality teaching is the most important way to improve outcomes for pupils – particularly those with SEND. The

framework is designed to enable trainees and ECTs to take their first steps towards becoming expert teachers who can transform the lives all pupils.

Our review of content for the ITTECF paid particular attention to the needs of trainees and ECTs when supporting pupils with SEND. There is now significantly more content related to adaptive teaching and supporting pupils with SEND, some of which has been adapted from the new NPQ for SENCOs to be relevant for trainees and ECTs. We have also made some edits to existing statements to improve inclusivity for SEND throughout the framework.

In special schools or where children with particularly complex needs are educated in mainstream settings, trainees and ECTs should be supported by their mentor and other expert colleagues to apply the statements within this framework in the most appropriate way. For example, the '*Learn how to...*' statement 'requiring high quality oral language' within section 3 may not have the same relevance to pupils with complex disabilities, including those who are non-verbal. In this instance, the mentor or other expert colleague should use their judgement to provide appropriate support for the trainee or ECT.

Recognising the importance of ensuring trainees and ECTs are confident in supporting pupils with SEND to succeed, we will be enhancing the requirements on ECF lead providers in creating SEND exemplification materials.

## **Expertise development and progression across the ITT and early career induction period**

Integrating the CCF and ECF into a single framework recognises that there is a core set of pedagogical knowledge and skills that is relevant for teachers throughout their careers. ITT and ECF providers should be empowered to develop ambitious and flexible curricula based on the framework. To support providers to create programmes that deliberately revisit and build on knowledge as teachers progress through the early stages of their career, this section provides an illustrative model and guidance on how progression can work across the 3 or more years of ITT and ECF-based training.

During ITT and ECF-based training, trainees and ECTs should revisit '*Learn that...*' and '*Learn how to...*' statements across the framework in order to deepen their understanding of the evidence base and make links between theory and practice (for example, the link between the limited nature of working memory and the practice of breaking down material into smaller steps). ECTs should revisit approaches introduced in their ITT to develop greater fluency and confidence, and to develop their decision making in order to solve more complex challenges.

By the time a teacher has reached the end of their training and induction period they will possess strong mental models of great teaching informed by the content of the

framework. They will have fluency in core practices and an ability to make good decisions to respond to the complexities of the classroom, adapting practice appropriately to meet pupils' needs across a range of contexts.

The ITTECF informs curriculum design and is not an assessment framework. End-point assessment of performance remains against the Teachers' Standards.

## Guidance for ITT providers

ITT providers will ensure that all trainees are taught an ambitious curriculum that allows them to develop expertise in all areas of the ITTECF, to enable them to gain qualified teacher status (QTS) and meet the Teachers' Standards. In combining the frameworks, ITT providers remain responsible for ensuring that trainees receive their minimum entitlement to training, including both '*Learn that...*' and '*Learn how to...*' columns, and are able to flexibly determine how best to deliver this.

In the earlier stages of teacher development, greater support and direction is required to help teachers develop their expertise. During ITT it is not always appropriate or possible to build in practice opportunities in relation to every '*Learn how to...*' statement. In the previous iteration of the CCF (2019) this was captured through defining an expectation of support from expert colleagues in the '*Learn how to...*' column in each section.

In using the ITTECF to develop their curricula, ITT providers should determine the appropriate level of support required in the application of '*Learn how to*' statements. As a minimum, it is expected that all trainees will be supported to develop their knowledge and practice through an appropriate selection of approaches as detailed in the previous CCF that must include:

- Observation of practice, discussing and analysing with expert colleagues<sup>3</sup>, to deconstruct what makes a particular approach successful or unsuccessful.
- Receiving clear, consistent and effective mentoring, through structured feedback from expert colleagues on a particular approach – using the best available evidence – to provide a structured process for improving practice.
- Opportunities to practise using approaches defined in the '*Learn how to...*' column of the framework. Providers should ensure that trainees have multiple opportunities to rehearse and refine particular approaches throughout their training. This could include beginning outside the classroom before using approaches in classrooms.

---

<sup>3</sup> *Expert colleagues are defined as:* professional colleagues, including experienced and effective teachers, subject specialists, mentors, lecturers, and tutors.

- Receiving feedback on applying a particular approach or approaches. Working with expert colleagues to identify areas for and ways of improving practice, seeking challenge and critique from expert colleagues with trainees taking the lead in their own development.

## Guidance for ECF lead providers

At the start of induction, most ECTs will be beginning to both habituate frequently used approaches and successfully adapt to meet pupils' needs in relation to the majority of 'Learn how to...' statements. However, trainees and ECTs may be at different stages of expertise development in relation to each area of the framework.

ECF lead providers will need to consider the progress of trainees starting induction, where possible drawing on information relating to the trainee's ITT course and placement experiences. ECF providers will use their own assessment of ECTs' development following ITT and throughout the induction period to deliver a responsive curriculum that supports ECTs to develop expertise in all areas of the ITTECF. ECTs will need to revisit approaches introduced in their training to develop greater fluency and confidence, and to develop their decision making in order to solve more complex challenges.

## Statutory Duties and assessment against the Teachers' Standards

The ITTECF is not – and must not be used as – a means of assessing trainees and ECTs. Instead, it is an entitlement to training and professional development. Trainees and ECTs will continue to be assessed against the Teachers' Standards at the end of ITT and induction respectively. Relating to Part Two of the Standards, providers should continue to ensure that trainees and ECTs have a clear understanding of the expectations regarding personal and professional conduct of a teacher and the ethics of the teaching profession. Ofsted and Appropriate Bodies will continue to ensure all trainees and ECTs receive their entitlement to training and professional development.

In addition to incorporating the content detailed in the ITTECF for ITT courses that lead to QTS, it remains a mandatory requirement for ITT providers to ensure that their curricula fulfil statutory duties as set out in the ITT Criteria, including ensuring that trainees are fully aware of their responsibilities in respect of safeguarding and equalities legislation. For more information please see the ITT Criteria:

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/initial-teacher-training-criteria/initial-teacher-training-itt-criteria-and-supporting-advice>

Similarly, it remains a statutory requirement that qualified teachers who are employed in a relevant school in England must complete an induction period satisfactorily, subject to specific exemptions, and it is expected that ECF-based training is embedded as a central



aspect of this induction. From 2025, there will be an expectation that training based on the ITTECF is embedded as a central aspect of induction. Please see the statutory guidance on induction for early career teachers for more information: [Induction for early career teachers \(England\) - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](https://www.gov.uk/guidance/induction-for-early-career-teachers-in-england)



Department  
for Education



Education  
Endowment  
Foundation

# Initial Teacher Training and Early Career Framework

## High Expectations (Standard 1 – Set high expectations)

Learn that...	Learn how to...
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Teachers have the ability to affect and improve the wellbeing, motivation and behaviour of their pupils.</li> <li>2. Teachers are key role models, who can influence the attitudes, values and behaviours of their pupils.</li> <li>3. Teacher expectations can affect pupil outcomes; setting goals that challenge and stretch pupils from their starting points is essential.</li> <li>4. Setting clear expectations can help communicate shared values that improve classroom and school culture.</li> <li>5. A culture of mutual trust and respect supports effective relationships.</li> <li>6. High quality teaching has a long-term positive effect on pupils' life chances, particularly for pupils from disadvantaged backgrounds.</li> <li>7. High quality teaching is underpinned by positive interactions between pupils, their teachers and their peers.</li> </ol>	<p><b>Communicate a belief in the academic potential of all pupils, by:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Using intentional and consistent language that promotes challenge and aspiration.</li> <li>b) Setting tasks that stretch pupils, but which are achievable, within a challenging curriculum.</li> <li>c) Creating a positive environment where making mistakes and learning from them and the need for effort and perseverance are part of the daily routine.</li> <li>d) Seeking opportunities to engage parents and carers in the education of their children (e.g. proactively highlighting successes) and consider how this engagement changes depending on the age and development stage of the pupil.</li> </ol> <p><b>Demonstrate consistently high behavioural expectations, by:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>e) Creating a culture of inclusion, respect and trust in the classroom that supports all pupils to succeed (e.g. by modelling the types of courteous behaviour expected of pupils).</li> <li>f) Teaching and rigorously maintaining clear behavioural expectations (e.g. for contributions, volume level and concentration).</li> <li>g) Applying rules, sanctions and rewards consistently in line with school policy, including where individual pupils have an agreed tailored approach, escalating behaviour incidents as appropriate.</li> </ol>

Learn that...	Learn how to...
8. Pupils' experiences of school and their readiness to learn can be impacted by their home life and circumstances, particularly for EAL pupils, young carers, and those living in poverty.	h) Acknowledging and praising pupil effort and emphasising progress being made.

## How Pupils Learn (Standard 2 – Promote good progress)

Learn that...	Learn how to...
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Learning involves a lasting change in pupils' capabilities or understanding.</li> <li>2. Prior knowledge plays an important role in how pupils learn; committing some key facts to their long-term memory is likely to help pupils learn more complex ideas.</li> <li>3. An important factor in learning is memory, which can be thought of as comprising two elements: working memory and long-term memory.</li> <li>4. Working memory is where information that is being actively processed is held, but its capacity is limited and can be overloaded.</li> <li>5. Long-term memory can be considered as a store of knowledge that changes as pupils learn by integrating new ideas with existing knowledge.</li> <li>6. Pupils have different working memory capacities; some pupils with SEND may have more limited working memory capacity than their peers without SEND.</li> <li>7. Where prior knowledge is weak, pupils are more likely to develop misconceptions,</li> </ol>	<p><b>Avoid overloading working memory, by:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Taking into account pupils' prior knowledge when planning how much new information to introduce.</li> <li>b) Breaking complex material into smaller steps (e.g. using partially completed examples to focus pupils on the specific steps).</li> <li>c) Reducing distractions that take attention away from what is being taught (e.g. keeping the complexity of a task to a minimum, so that attention is focused on the content).</li> </ol> <p><b>Build on pupils' prior knowledge, by:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>d) Identifying possible misconceptions and planning how to prevent these forming.</li> <li>e) Linking what pupils already know to what is being taught (e.g. explaining how new content builds on what is already known).</li> <li>f) Sequencing lessons so that pupils secure foundational knowledge before encountering more complex content.</li> <li>g) Encouraging pupils to share emerging understanding and points of confusion so that misconceptions can be addressed.</li> </ol> <p><b>Increase likelihood of material being retained, by:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>h) Balancing exposition, repetition, practice and retrieval of critical knowledge and skills.</li> <li>i) Planning regular review and practice of key ideas and concepts over time (e.g. through carefully planned use of structured talk activities).</li> </ol>

Learn that...	Learn how to...
<p>particularly if new ideas are introduced too quickly.</p> <p>8. Regular purposeful practice of what has previously been taught can help consolidate material and help pupils remember what they have learned.</p> <p>9. Requiring pupils to retrieve information from memory, and spacing practice so that pupils revisit ideas after a gap are also likely to strengthen recall.</p> <p>10. Worked examples that take pupils through each step of a new process are also likely to support pupils to learn.</p>	<p>j) Designing practice, generation and retrieval tasks that provide just enough support so that pupils experience a high success rate when attempting challenging work.</p> <p>k) Increasing challenge with practice and retrieval as knowledge becomes more secure (e.g. by removing scaffolding, lengthening spacing or introducing interacting elements).</p>

## Subject and Curriculum (Standard 3 – Demonstrate good subject and curriculum knowledge)

Learn that...	Learn how to...
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. A school's curriculum enables it to set out its vision for the knowledge, skills and values that its pupils will learn, encompassing the national curriculum within a coherent wider vision for successful learning.</li> <li>2. Secure subject knowledge helps teachers to motivate pupils and teach effectively.</li> <li>3. Ensuring pupils master foundational concepts and knowledge before moving on is likely to build pupils' confidence and help them succeed.</li> <li>4. Anticipating common misconceptions within particular subjects is also an important aspect of curricular knowledge; working closely with colleagues to develop an understanding of likely misconceptions is valuable.</li> <li>5. Explicitly teaching pupils the knowledge and skills they need to succeed within particular subject areas is beneficial.</li> <li>6. In order for pupils to think critically, they must have a secure understanding of</li> </ol>	<p><b>Deliver a carefully sequenced and coherent curriculum, by:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Identifying essential concepts, knowledge, skills and principles of the subject and providing opportunity for all pupils to learn and master these critical components.</li> <li>b) Ensuring pupils' thinking is focused on key ideas within the subject.</li> <li>c) Working with experienced colleagues to accumulate and refine a collection of powerful analogies, illustrations, examples, explanations and demonstrations.</li> <li>d) Using resources and materials aligned with the school curriculum. (e.g. textbooks or shared resources designed by experienced colleagues that carefully sequence content)</li> <li>e) Being aware of common misconceptions and discussing with experienced colleagues how to help pupils, master important concepts.</li> </ol> <p><b>Support pupils to build increasingly complex mental models, by:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>f) Discussing and analysing with expert colleagues the rationale for curriculum choices, the process for arriving at current curriculum choices and how the school's curriculum materials inform lesson preparation.</li> <li>g) Balancing exposition, repetition, practice of critical skills and knowledge.</li> <li>h) Revisiting the big ideas of the subject over time and teaching key</li> </ol>

Learn that...	Learn how to...
<p>knowledge within the subject area they are being asked to think critically about.</p> <p>7. In all subject areas, pupils learn new ideas by linking those ideas to existing knowledge, organising this knowledge into increasingly complex mental models (or “schemata”); carefully sequencing teaching to facilitate this process is important.</p> <p>8. Pupils are likely to struggle to transfer what has been learnt in one discipline to a new or unfamiliar context.</p> <p>9. To access the curriculum, early literacy provides fundamental knowledge; reading comprises two elements: word reading and language comprehension; systematic synthetic phonics is the most effective approach for teaching pupils to decode.</p> <p>10. Every teacher can improve pupils’ communication and literacy, including by explicitly teaching reading, writing and oral language skills specific to individual disciplines.</p> <p>11. Pupils’ positive dispositions and attitudes towards mathematics are associated with positive outcomes on learning.</p>	<p>concepts through a range of examples.</p> <p>i) Drawing explicit links between new content and the core concepts and principles in the subject.</p> <p><b>Develop fluency, by:</b></p> <p>j) Providing tasks that support pupils to learn key ideas securely (e.g. quizzing pupils so they develop fluency with times tables).</p> <p>k) Using retrieval and spaced practice to build automatic recall and application of key knowledge.</p> <p><b>Help pupils apply knowledge and skills to other contexts, by:</b></p> <p>l) Ensuring pupils have relevant domain-specific knowledge, especially when being asked to think critically within a subject.</p> <p>m) Interleaving concrete and abstract examples, slowly withdrawing concrete examples and drawing attention to the underlying structure of problems.</p> <p><b>Develop pupils’ literacy, by:</b></p> <p>n) Demonstrating a clear understanding of systematic synthetic phonics, and the necessary prerequisite knowledge, particularly if teaching early reading and spelling.</p> <p>o) Supporting younger pupils, especially those with reading difficulties, to become fluent readers by building automatic and accurate decoding with various texts and repeated reading of texts with modelling and feedback.</p>



Learn that...	Learn how to...
<p>12. Pupils' oral language skills can be supported by teaching new words and how to use and understand words within sentences or longer texts. This can help to address speech and language difficulties, especially for children in their early school years.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>p) Teaching unfamiliar vocabulary explicitly and planning for pupils to be repeatedly exposed to high-utility and high-frequency vocabulary in what is taught.</li> <li>q) Modelling strategies that encourage active comprehension by asking questions, making predictions, and summarising when reading.</li> <li>r) Promoting reading for pleasure (e.g. by using a range of whole class reading approaches and regularly reading high quality texts to pupils).</li> <li>s) Teaching, modelling, and requiring high quality oral language, sometimes known as oracy, recognising that spoken language underpins the development of reading and writing (e.g. where appropriate, develop pupils' responses to questions into full sentences).</li> <li>t) Teaching different forms of writing by modelling planning, drafting and editing.</li> <li>u) Supporting younger pupils to become fluent writers through explicit teaching and practice of spelling and handwriting, with modelling and feedback, such as addressing both the process and product of letter formation when developing pupils' handwriting.</li> </ul>

## Classroom Practice (Standard 4 – Plan and teach well structured lessons)

Learn that...	Learn how to...
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Effective teaching can transform pupils' knowledge, capabilities and beliefs about learning.</li> <li>2. Effective teachers introduce new material in steps, explicitly linking new ideas to what has been previously studied and learned.</li> <li>3. Modelling helps pupils understand new processes and ideas; good models make abstract ideas concrete and accessible.</li> <li>4. Guides, scaffolds and worked examples can help pupils apply new ideas, but should be gradually removed as pupil expertise increases.</li> <li>5. Explicitly teaching pupils metacognitive strategies linked to subject knowledge, including how to plan, monitor and evaluate, supports independence and academic success. Questioning is an essential tool for teachers; questions can be used for many purposes, including to check pupils' prior knowledge, assess understanding and break down problems.</li> </ol>	<p><b>Plan effective lessons, by:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Using modelling, explanations and scaffolds, acknowledging that novices need more structure early in a domain.</li> <li>b) Enabling critical thinking and problem solving by first teaching the necessary foundational content knowledge.</li> <li>c) Removing scaffolding only when pupils are achieving a high degree of success in applying previously taught material.</li> <li>d) Using evidence of prior learning to provide sufficient opportunity for pupils to consolidate and practise applying new knowledge and skills.</li> <li>e) Breaking tasks down into constituent components when first setting up independent practice (e.g. using tasks that scaffold pupils through meta-cognitive and procedural processes).</li> </ol> <p><b>Make good use of expositions, by:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>f) Starting expositions at the point of current pupil understanding.</li> <li>g) Combining a verbal explanation with a relevant graphical representation of the same concept or process, where appropriate.</li> <li>h) Using concrete representation of abstract ideas (e.g. making use of analogies, metaphors, manipulatives for counting, examples and non-examples).</li> </ol>

Learn that...	Learn how to...
<p>6. High quality classroom talk (sometimes referred to as oracy), can support pupils to articulate key ideas, consolidate understanding and extend their vocabulary.</p> <p>7. Practice is an integral part of effective teaching; ensuring pupils have repeated opportunities to practise, with appropriate guidance and support, increases success.</p> <p>8. Paired and group activities can increase pupil success, but to work together effectively pupils need guidance, support and practice.</p> <p>9. How pupils are grouped is also important; care should be taken to monitor the impact of groupings on pupil attainment, behaviour and motivation.</p> <p>10. Homework can improve pupil outcomes, particularly for older pupils, but it is likely that the quality of homework and its relevance to main class teaching is more important than the amount set.</p>	<p><b>Model effectively, by:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) Narrating thought processes when modelling to make explicit how experts think (e.g. asking questions aloud that pupils should consider when working independently and drawing pupils' attention to links with prior knowledge).</li> <li>j) Making the steps in a process memorable and ensuring pupils can recall them (e.g. naming them, developing mnemonics, or linking to memorable stories).</li> <li>k) Exposing potential pitfalls and explaining how to avoid them.</li> </ul> <p><b>Stimulate pupil thinking and check for understanding, by:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>l) Planning activities around what you want pupils to think hard about.</li> <li>m) Including a range of types of questions in class discussions to extend and challenge pupils (e.g. by modelling new vocabulary or asking pupils to justify answers). Elaborate on and query pupil contributions to support pupils' oral language skills, and knowledge development.</li> <li>n) Providing appropriate wait time between question and response where more developed responses are required.</li> <li>o) Considering the factors that will support effective collaborative or paired work (e.g. familiarity with routines, whether pupils have the necessary prior knowledge and how pupils are grouped)</li> <li>p) Providing scaffolds for pupil talk to increase the focus and rigour of dialogue.</li> </ul>

## Adaptive Teaching (Standard 5 – Adapt teaching)

Learn that...	Learn how to...
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Adapting teaching in a responsive way, including by providing targeted support to pupils who are struggling, is likely to increase pupil success.</li> <li>2. Pupils are likely to learn at different rates and to require different levels and types of support from teachers to succeed.</li> <li>3. Seeking to understand pupils' differences, including their different levels of prior knowledge and potential barriers to learning, is an essential part of teaching.</li> <li>4. Adaptive teaching is less likely to be valuable if it causes the teacher to artificially create distinct tasks for different groups of pupils or to set lower expectations for particular pupils.</li> <li>5. Flexibly grouping pupils within a class to provide more tailored support can support learning, but care should be taken to monitor its impact on attainment, behaviour, engagement and motivation, particularly for low attaining pupils.</li> </ol>	<p><b>Develop an understanding of different pupil needs, by:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Identifying pupils who need new content further broken down.</li> <li>b) Making use of formative assessment.</li> <li>c) Working closely with the Special Educational Needs Co-ordinator (SENCO) and other SEND specialists or expert colleagues.</li> <li>d) Working closely with the Designated Safeguarding Lead.</li> <li>e) Supporting pupils with a range of additional needs and using the SEND Code of Practice: 0 to 25 years, which provides guidance on effective school systems and approaches for identifying and supporting the special educational needs of pupils with SEND.</li> <li>f) Utilising existing opportunities to engage with parents and carers to better understand pupils' individual needs (e.g. meetings with parents).</li> </ol> <p><b>Provide opportunity for all pupils to experience success, by:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>g) Adapting lessons, whilst maintaining high expectations for all, so that all pupils have the opportunity to meet expectations.</li> <li>h) Balancing input of new content with the revisiting of prior learning so that pupils master important concepts.</li> <li>i) Making effective use of teaching assistants and other adults in the classroom.</li> <li>j) Making effective and judicious use of specialist technology to support pupils with SEND.</li> </ol>

Learn that...	Learn how to...
<p>6. There is a common misconception that pupils have distinct and identifiable learning styles. This is not supported by evidence and attempting to tailor lessons to learning styles is unlikely to be beneficial.</p> <p>7. Pupils with SEND are likely to require additional or adapted support; working closely with colleagues, parents/carers, and pupils to understand barriers to learning and identify effective strategies is essential.</p> <p>8. High quality teaching for all pupils, including those with SEND, is based on strategies which are often already practised by teachers, and which can be developed through training and support.</p> <p>9. Technology, including educational software and assistive technology, can support teaching and learning for pupils with SEND.</p>	<p><b>Meet individual needs without creating unnecessary workload, by:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>k) Making use of well-designed resources (e.g. textbooks, manipulatives).</li> <li>l) Planning to connect new content with pupils' existing knowledge or providing additional pre-teaching if pupils lack critical knowledge.</li> <li>m) Building in additional practice or removing unnecessary expositions.</li> <li>n) Reframing questions to provide greater scaffolding or greater stretch.</li> <li>o) Considering carefully whether intervening within lessons with individuals and small groups would be more efficient and effective than planning different lessons for different groups of pupils.</li> </ul> <p><b>Group pupils effectively, by:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>p) Applying high expectations to all groups, and ensuring all pupils have access to a rich curriculum.</li> <li>q) Intentionally grouping in relation to a specific learning outcome, regularly reviewing those groupings, taking care to monitor their impact and avoiding the perception that groups are fixed.</li> </ul>

## Assessment (Standard 6 – Make accurate and productive use of assessment)

Learn that...	Learn how to...
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Effective assessment is critical to teaching because it provides teachers with information about pupils' understanding and needs.</li> <li>2. Good assessment helps teachers avoid being over-influenced by potentially misleading factors, such as how busy pupils appear.</li> <li>3. Before using any assessment, teachers should be clear about the decision it will be used to support and be able to justify its use.</li> <li>4. To be of value, teachers use information from assessments to inform the decisions they make; in turn, pupils must be able to act on feedback for it to have an effect.</li> <li>5. High quality feedback can be written or verbal; it is likely to be accurate and clear, encourage further effort, and provide specific guidance on how to improve.</li> <li>6. Over time, feedback should support pupils to monitor and regulate their own learning.</li> </ol>	<p><b>Avoid common assessment pitfalls, by:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Planning formative assessment tasks linked to lesson objectives and thinking ahead about what would indicate understanding (e.g. by using hinge questions to pinpoint knowledge gaps).</li> <li>b) Drawing conclusions about what pupils have learned by looking at patterns of performance over a number of assessments (e.g. appreciating that assessments draw inferences about learning from performance).</li> <li>c) Choosing, where possible, externally validated materials, used in controlled conditions when required to make summative assessments.</li> <li>d) Using available evidence to accurately identify what is required for individuals to meet their next steps and use this understanding to guide teaching adjustments for sub-group and individual pupils.</li> </ol> <p><b>Check prior knowledge and understanding during lessons, by:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>e) Using assessments to check for prior knowledge and pre-existing misconceptions.</li> <li>f) Structuring tasks and questions to enable the identification of knowledge gaps and misconceptions (e.g. by using common misconceptions within multiple-choice questions).</li> <li>g) Prompting pupils to elaborate when responding to questioning to check that a correct answer stems from secure understanding.</li> </ol>

Learn that...	Learn how to...
<p>7. Working with colleagues to identify efficient approaches to assessment is important; assessment can become onerous and have a disproportionate impact on workload.</p>	<p>h) Monitoring pupil work during lessons, including checking for misconceptions.</p> <p><b>Provide high quality feedback, by:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) Focusing on specific actions for pupils and providing time for pupils to respond to feedback.</li> <li>j) Appreciating that pupils' responses to feedback can vary depending on a range of social factors (e.g. the message the feedback contains or the age of the child).</li> <li>k) Scaffolding self-assessment by sharing model work with pupils, highlighting key details.</li> <li>l) Thinking carefully about how to ensure feedback is specific and helpful when using peer- or self-assessment.</li> </ul> <p><b>Make feedback manageable and effective, by:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>m) Recording data only when it is useful for improving pupil outcomes.</li> <li>n) Working with colleagues to identify efficient approaches to marking and alternative approaches to providing feedback (e.g. using whole class feedback or well supported peer- and self-assessment).</li> <li>o) Using verbal feedback during lessons in place of written feedback after lessons where possible.</li> <li>p) Understanding that written marking is only one form of feedback.</li> <li>q) Reducing the opportunity cost of marking (e.g. by using abbreviations and codes in written feedback).</li> <li>r) Prioritising the highlighting of errors related to misunderstandings, rather than careless mistakes when marking.</li> </ul>

## Managing Behaviour (Standard 7 – Manage behaviour effectively)

Learn that...	Learn how to...
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Establishing and reinforcing routines, including through positive reinforcement, can help create an effective learning environment.</li> <li>2. A predictable and secure environment benefits all pupils, including younger pupils, but is particularly valuable for pupils with special educational needs.</li> <li>3. The ability to self-regulate one's emotions affects pupils' ability to learn, success in school and future lives.</li> <li>4. Teachers can influence pupils' resilience and beliefs about their ability to succeed, by ensuring all pupils have the opportunity to experience meaningful success.</li> <li>5. Building effective relationships is easier when pupils believe that their feelings will be considered and understood.</li> <li>6. Pupils are motivated by intrinsic factors (related to their identity and values) and extrinsic factors (related to reward).</li> </ol>	<p><b>Develop a positive, predictable and safe environment for pupils, by:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Establishing a supportive and inclusive environment with a predictable system of reward and sanction in the classroom.</li> <li>b) Working alongside colleagues as part of a wider system of behaviour management (e.g. recognising responsibilities and understanding the right to assistance and training from senior colleagues particularly where pupils exhibit unacceptable behaviours).</li> <li>c) Giving manageable, specific and sequential instructions.</li> <li>d) Checking pupils' understanding of instructions before a task begins.</li> <li>e) Using consistent language and non-verbal signals for common classroom directions.</li> <li>f) Using early and least-intrusive interventions as an initial response to low level disruption.</li> <li>g) Responding quickly to any behaviour or bullying that threatens physical or emotional safety.</li> </ol> <p><b>Establish effective routines and expectations, by:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>h) Creating and explicitly teaching routines in line with the school ethos that maximise time for learning (e.g. setting and reinforcing expectations about key transition points).</li> <li>i) Practising school and classroom routines at the beginning of the school year.</li> </ol>



Learn that...	Learn how to...
<p>7. Pupils' investment in learning is also driven by their prior experiences and perceptions of success and failure.</p> <p>8. Teaching and modelling a range of social and emotional skills (e.g. how to recognise and understand feelings, manage emotions, and sustain positive relationships) can support pupils' social and emotional development.</p> <p>9. Teaching typically expected behaviours will reduce the need to manage misbehaviour.</p> <p>10. Pupils who need a tailored approach to support their behaviour do not necessarily have SEND and pupils with SEND will not necessarily need additional support with their behaviour.</p> <p>11. A key influence on a pupil's behaviour in school is being the victim of bullying.</p>	<p>j) Reinforcing established school and classroom routines (e.g. by articulating the link between time on task and success).</p> <p>k) Working with the SENCO, other SEND specialists or expert colleagues if a pupil needs more intensive support with their behaviour to understand how the approach may need to be adapted to their individual needs.</p> <p><b>Build trusting relationships, by:</b></p> <p>l) Liaising with parents, carers and colleagues to better understand pupils' individual circumstances and how they can be supported to meet high academic and behavioural expectations.</p> <p>m) Consistently applying the school's behaviour policy, including where individual pupils have an agreed tailored approach.</p> <p><b>Motivate pupils, by:</b></p> <p>n) Supporting pupils to master challenging content, which builds towards long-term goals.</p> <p>o) Providing opportunities for pupils to articulate their long-term goals and helping them to see how these are related to their success in school.</p> <p>p) Helping pupils to journey from needing extrinsic motivation to being motivated to work intrinsically.</p> <p><b>Develop pupils' self regulation by:</b></p> <p>q) Helping pupils to think through scenarios before they occur and using cues to help them recall agreed upon behaviours.</p> <p>r) Providing new opportunities to exercise self-regulation and for the youngest pupils to practice impulse control.</p>

## Professional Behaviours (Standard 8 – Fulfil wider professional responsibilities)

Learn that...	Learn how to...
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Effective professional development is likely to be sustained over time, building knowledge, motivating staff, developing teaching techniques, and embedding practice.</li> <li>2. Reflective practice, supported by feedback from and observation of experienced colleagues, professional debate, and learning from educational research, is also likely to support improvement.</li> <li>3. Teachers can make valuable contributions to the wider life of the school in a broad range of ways, including by supporting and developing effective professional relationships with colleagues.</li> <li>4. Building effective relationships with parents, carers and families can improve pupils' motivation, behaviour and academic success.</li> <li>5. Teaching assistants (TAs) can support pupils more effectively when they are prepared for lessons by teachers, and when</li> </ol>	<p><b>Develop as a professional, by:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Engaging in professional development focused on developing an area of practice with clear intentions for impact on pupil outcomes, sustained over time with built-in opportunities for practice.</li> <li>b) Strengthening pedagogical and subject knowledge by participating in wider networks and as part of the lesson preparation process.</li> <li>c) Seeking challenge, feedback and critique from mentors and other colleagues in an open and trusting working environment.</li> <li>d) Engaging with research evidence by accessing reliable sources, seeking support for how findings can inform practice, and monitoring the impact of applications.</li> <li>e) Reflecting on progress made, recognising strengths and weaknesses and identifying next steps for further improvement.</li> </ol> <p><b>Build effective working relationships, by:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>f) Contributing positively to the wider school culture and developing a feeling of shared responsibility for improving the lives of all pupils within the school.</li> <li>g) Seeking ways to support individual colleagues and working as part of a team.</li> <li>h) Communicating with parents and carers proactively and making effective use of parents' evenings to engage parents and carers in their children's schooling.</li> </ol>

Learn that...	Learn how to...
<p>TAs supplement rather than replace support from teachers.</p> <p>6. SENCOs, pastoral leaders, careers advisors and leaders and other specialist colleagues also have valuable expertise and can ensure that appropriate support is in place for pupils.</p> <p>7. Engaging in high quality professional development can help teachers improve.</p> <p>8. Teacher attitudes towards inclusion and SEND are a key determinant in the school experience of pupils with SEND.</p> <p>9. Research evidence can vary in its level of reliability, which is determined by how the research was conducted and other factors that might introduce bias, such as the level of independence. High quality research communicates methods and limitations transparently.</p>	<p>i) Working closely with the SENCO and other professionals supporting pupils with additional needs, making explicit links between interventions delivered outside of lessons with classroom teaching.</p> <p>j) Drawing on guidance from expert colleagues, sharing the intended lesson outcomes with teaching assistants ahead of lessons.</p> <p>k) Ensuring that support provided by teaching assistants in lessons is additional to, rather than a replacement for, support from the teacher.</p> <p>l) Knowing who to contact with any safeguarding, or any pupil mental health concerns.</p> <p><b>Manage workload and wellbeing, by:</b></p> <p>m) Using and personalising systems and routines to support efficient time and task management.</p> <p>n) Understanding the right to support (e.g. to deal with misbehaviour, or support pupils with SEND).</p> <p>o) Collaborating with colleagues to share the load of planning and preparation and making use of shared resources (e.g. textbooks).</p> <p>p) Protecting time for rest and recovery and being aware of support available to support good mental wellbeing.</p>

## References

The following reference lists are made up of the evidence related to the “learn that” statements in each section, it does not constitute a list of expected reading for trainee and early career teachers. Following sector feedback in the implementation of the earlier versions of the frameworks, certain references are marked with an asterisk to indicate that these are recommendations for further reading.

### High Expectations (Standard 1– Set high expectations)

[Key reading recommendations are indicated with an asterisk.]

Aronson, J. (Ed.) (2002) *Improving academic achievement: Impact of psychological factors on education*. New York: Academic Press.

Bandura, A. (1986) *Social foundations of thought and action: a social cognitive theory*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Boyd, D., Lankford, H., Loeb, S., Rockoff, J., & Wyckoff, J. (2008). The narrowing gap in New York City teacher qualifications and its implications for student achievement in high-poverty schools (No. w14021). National Bureau of Economic Research. Available at: <https://www.nber.org/papers/w14021>

Clay, D., Connors, C., Day, N., Gkiza, M., and Aldridge, J. (2016) *The Lives of young carers in England: Qualitative report to DfE*. Available at: [Clay\\_TheLivesOfYoungCarersInEngland.pdf](#)

Campbell Collaboration (2018) *School-based interventions for reducing disciplinary school exclusion: A Systematic Review*. Available at: <https://campbellcollaboration.org/library/reducing-school-exclusion-school-based-interventions.html>.

Chapman, R. L., Buckley, L., & Sheehan, M. (2013) *School-Based Programs for Increasing Connectedness and Reducing Risk Behavior: A Systematic Review*, 25(1), 95–114. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-013-9216-4>

Chetty, R., Friedman, J. N., Rockoff, J. E. (2014) *Measuring the Impacts of Teachers II: Teacher Value-Added and Student Outcomes in Adulthood*. *American Economic Review*, 104(9), 2633–2679. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.104.9.2633>.

Cullen, M. A., Lindsay, G., Hastings, R., Denne, L., & Stanford, C. (2020) *Special Educational Needs in Mainstream Schools: Evidence Review*. Available at: [EEF SEND Evidence Review.pdf \(d2tic4wvo1iusb.cloudfront.net\)](#).

Dobbie, W., & Fryer Jr, R. G. (2011). Are high-quality schools enough to increase achievement among the poor? Evidence from the Harlem Children's Zone. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 3(3), 158-187. Available at: <https://www.aeaweb.org/articles?id=10.1257/app.3.3.158>

\*Education Endowment Foundation (2021) *Education Endowment Foundation Teaching and Learning Toolkit*: Available at: <https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit> [retrieved 26 May 2023].

Hall, G. (2019). *The experiences of secondary school students with English as an additional language: Perceptions, priorities and pedagogy*. [Hall\\_TheExperiencesofsecondaryschoolstudentswithEnglishasanadditionallanguage.pdf](#)

Hanushek, E. (1992) The Trade-off between Child Quantity and Quality. *Journal of Political Economy*, 100(4), 859–887. <https://doi.org/10.1086/261808>.

\*Institute of Education Sciences (2008) Reducing Behavior Problems in the Elementary School Classroom. Available at <https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/PracticeGuide/4>.

Johnson, S., Buckingham, M., Morris, S., Suzuki, S., Weiner, M., Hershberg, R., B. Weiner, Hershberg, R., Fremont, E., Batanova, M., Aymong, C., Hunter, C., Bowers, E., Lerner, J., & Lerner, R. (2016) Adolescents' Character Role Models: Exploring Who Young People Look Up to as Examples of How to Be a Good Person. *Research in Human Development*, 13(2), 126–141. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15427609.2016.1164552>.

Jussim, L. & Harber, K., (2005) Teacher Expectations and Self-Fulfilling Prophecies: Knowns and Unknowns, Resolved and Unresolved Controversies, *Personality and Social Psychology Review* 2005, Vol. 9, No. 2, 131–1557.

Lazowski, R. A., & Hulleman, C. S. (2016) Motivation Interventions in Education: A Meta-Analytic Review. *Review of Educational Research*, 86(2), 602–640. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654315617832>.

Muijs, D., Harris, A., Chapman, C., Stoll, L., & Russ, J. (2004). Improving schools in socioeconomically disadvantaged areas—A review of research evidence. *School effectiveness and school improvement*, 15(2), 149-175. <https://doi.org/10.1076/sesi.15.2.149.30433>.

Murdock-Perriera, L. A., & Sedlacek, Q. C. (2018) Questioning Pygmalion in the twenty-first century: the formation, transmission, and attributional influence of teacher expectancies. *Social Psychology of Education*, 21(3), 691–707. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11218-018-9439-9>.

Okonofua, J. A., Parker Goyer, J., Lindsay, C. A., Haugabrook, J., & Walton, G. M. (2022) A scalable empathic-mindset intervention reduces group disparities in school suspensions, *Science Advances*, 8(12), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abj0691>

\*PISA. (2015) PISA in Focus: Do teacher-student relations affect students' well-being at school? Available at: [PISA DoTeacher-StudentRelationsAffectStudentsWellbeing.pdf](https://www.oecd.org/pisa/data/pisa-in-focus/pisa-do-teacher-student-relations-affect-students-well-being.pdf).

Rathmann K., Herke M., Hurrelmann K., & Richter M. (2018) Perceived class climate and school-aged children's life satisfaction: The role of the learning environment in classrooms. *PloS ONE*, 13(2): e0189335. Available at: [Rathmann PerceivedClassClimateAndSchool-agedChildrensLifeSatisfaction.pdf](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0189335) .

Roorda, D. L., Jak, S., Zee, M., Oort, F. J., & Koomen., H. M. Y. (2017) Affective Teacher–Student Relationships and Students' Engagement and Achievement: A Meta Analytic Update and Test of the Mediating Role of Engagement.

Rubie-Davies, C. M., Weinstein, R. S., Huang, F. L., Gregory, A., Cowan, P. A., & Cowan, C. P. (2014) Successive teacher expectation effects across the early school years. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, 35(3), 181–191. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appdev.2014.03.006>

Slater, H., Davies, N. M., & Burgess, S. (2011) Do Teachers Matter? Measuring the Variation in Teacher Effectiveness in England. *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics*, 74(5), 629-645. Available at: [Slater DoTeachersMatter?.pdf](https://www.oxfordbulletinofeconomicsandstatistics.com/doi/10.1093/oxfob/74.5.629)

Zins, J. E., Bloodworth, M. R., Weissberg, R. P., & Walberg, H. J. (2007) The Scientific Base Linking Social and Emotional Learning to School Success. *Journal of Educational and Psychological Consultation*, 17(2–3), 191–210. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10474410701413145>

## How Pupils Learn (Standard 2 – Promote good progress)

[Key reading recommendations are indicated with an asterisk.]

Adesope, O. O., Trevisan, D. A., & Sundararajan, N. (2017) Rethinking the Use of Tests: A Meta-Analysis of Practice Testing. *Review of Educational Research*, 87(3), 659–701. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654316689306>.

Agarwal, P. K., Finley, J. R., Rose, N. S., & Roediger, H. L. (2017) Benefits from retrieval practice are greater for students with lower working memory capacity. *Memory*, 25(6), 764–771.

Agarwal, P. K., Nunes, L. D., & Blunt, J. R. (2021) Retrieval practice consistently benefits student learning: A systematic review of applied research in schools and classrooms. *Educational Psychology Review*, 33(4), 1409-1453. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-021-09595-9>.

Baddeley, A. (2003) Working memory: looking back and looking forward. *Nature reviews neuroscience*, 4(10), 829-839. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1201>.

\*Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (2009) Developing the theory of formative assessment. *Educational Assessment, Evaluation and Accountability*, 21(1), 5-31. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11092-008-9068-5>.

Chen, O., Paas, F., & Sweller, J. (2021) Spacing and interleaving effects require distinct theoretical bases: A systematic review testing the cognitive load and discriminative-contrast hypotheses. *Educational Psychology Review*, 33, 1499-1522. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-021-09613-w>.

Chi, M. T. (2009). Three types of conceptual change: Belief revision, mental model transformation, and categorical shift. In *International handbook of research on conceptual change* (pp. 89-110). Routledge. Available at: [Chi\\_ThreeTypesOfConceptualChange.pdf](#).

Churches, R., Dommett, E. J., Devonshire, I. M., Hall, R., Higgins, S., & Korin, A. (2020) Translating laboratory evidence into classroom practice with teacher-led randomized controlled trials—A perspective and meta-analysis. *Mind, Brain, and Education*, 14(3), 292-302. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mbe.12243>.

Cowan, N. (2008) What are the differences between long-term, short-term, and working memory? *Progress in brain research*, 169, 323-338. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6123\(07\)00020-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6123(07)00020-9)

\*Deans for Impact (2015) *The Science of Learning* [Online] Accessible from: <https://deansforimpact.org/resources/the-science-of-learning/>.

Donoghue, G. M., & Hattie, J. A. (2021) A meta-analysis of ten learning techniques. *Frontiers in Education*, 6, 1-9. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2021.581216>

Dunlosky, J., Rawson, K. A., Marsh, E. J., Nathan, M. J., & Willingham, D. T. (2013) Improving students' learning with effective learning techniques: Promising directions from cognitive and educational psychology. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, Supplement, 14(1), 4–58. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1529100612453266>

\*Education Endowment Foundation (2021) *Cognitive science approaches in the classroom: A Review of the evidence (summary)*. [Online] Available at: [EEF\\_CognitiveScienceApproachesInTheClassroomSummary.pdf](#)

\*Education Endowment Foundation (2018) *Improving Secondary Science Guidance Report*. [Online] Available at: [EEF\\_ImprovingSecondaryScienceGuidanceReport.pdf](#)



Gathercole, S., Lamont, E., & Alloway, T. (2006) Working memory in the classroom. *Working memory and education*, 219-240. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012554465-8/50010-7>

Hattie, J. (2012) *Visible Learning for Teachers*. Oxford: Routledge.

Kirschner, P., Sweller, J., Kirschner, F. & Zambrano, J. (2018) From cognitive load theory to collaborative cognitive load theory. In *International Journal of Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning*, 13(2), 213-233. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11412-018-9277-y>

Latimier, A., Peyre, H., & Ramus, F. (2021) A meta-analytic review of the benefit of spacing out retrieval practice episodes on retention. *Educational Psychology Review*, 33, 959-987. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-020-09572-8>

Pachler, H., Bain, P. M., Bottge, B. A., Graesser, A., Koedinger, K., McDaniel, M., & Metcalfe, J. (2007) *Organizing Instruction and Study to Improve Student Learning*. US Department of Education. Available at: [Pachler\\_OrganisingInstructionAndStudyToImproveStudentLearning.pdf](https://www.ed.gov/pubs/Pachler_OrganisingInstructionAndStudyToImproveStudentLearning.pdf)

Pan, S. C., & Rickard, T. C. (2018) Transfer of test-enhanced learning: Meta-analytic review and synthesis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 144(7), 710–756. <https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000151>.

Perry, T., Lea, R., Jørgensen, C. R., Cordingley, P., Shapiro, K., Youdell, D., ... & Pomareda, C. (2021) *Cognitive science in the classroom: evidence and practice review*. London: Education Endowment Foundation (EEF). Available at: [Perry\\_CognitvieScienceInTheClassroomEvidenceAndPracticeReview.pdf](https://www.eef.ac.uk/~/media/EEF/Reports/2021/Cognitive-science-in-the-classroom-evidence-and-practice-review.pdf)

Roediger, H. L., & Butler, A. C. (2011) The critical role of retrieval practice in long-term retention. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 15(1), 20–27.

\*Rosenshine, B. (2012) Principles of Instruction: Research-based strategies that all teachers should know. *American Educator*, 12–20. Available at: [Rosenshine\\_PrinciplesOfInstruction.pdf](https://www.aep.org/~/media/Files/2012/PrinciplesOfInstruction.pdf).

Simonsmeier, B. A., Flaig, M., Deiglmayr, A., Schalk, L., & Schneider, M. (2022) Domain-specific prior knowledge and learning: A meta-analysis. *Educational Psychologist*, 57(1), 31-54. Available at: [Simonsmeier\\_Domain-SpecificPriorKnowledgeAndLearning.pdf](https://www.apa.org/psycpubs/Simonsmeier_Domain-SpecificPriorKnowledgeAndLearning.pdf).

Sweller, J. (2016) Working Memory, Long-term Memory, and Instructional Design. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*, 5(4), 360–367. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jarmac.2015.12.002>.

Von Bastian, C. C., and Oberauer, K. (2014) Effects and Mechanisms of Working Memory Training: A Review, *Psychological Research*, 78 (6), pp. 803–820. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00426-013-0524-6>.

\*Willingham, D. T. (2009) *Why don't students like school?* San Francisco, CA: JosseyBass. Available at: [Willingham\\_WhyDontStudentsLikeSchool.pdf](https://www.josseybass.com/~/media/JosseyBass/Books/9780805855814/WhyDontStudentsLikeSchool.pdf).

Wittwer, J., & Renkl, A. (2010) How Effective are Instructional Explanations in Example-Based Learning? A Meta-Analytic Review. *Educational Psychology Review*, 22(4), 393–409. Available at: [Wittwer\\_HowEffectiveAreInstructionalExplanationsInExample-BasedLearning.pdf](https://www.apa.org/psycpubs/Wittwer_HowEffectiveAreInstructionalExplanationsInExample-BasedLearning.pdf).

Yang, C., Luo, L., Vadillo, M. A., Yu, R., & Shanks, D. R. (2021). Testing (quizzing) boosts classroom learning: A systematic and meta-analytic review. *Psychological Bulletin*, 147(4), 399-435. <https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000309>.

## Subject and Curriculum (Standard 3 – Demonstrate good subject and curriculum knowledge)

[Key reading recommendations are indicated with an asterisk.]

Abrami, P. C., Bernard, R. M., Borokhovski, E., Wade, A., Surkes, M. A., Tamim, R., & Zhang, D. (2008) Instructional interventions affecting critical thinking skills and dispositions: A stage 1 meta-analysis. *Review of educational research*, 78(4), 1102-1134. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654308326084>.

Abrami, P. C., Bernard, R. M., Borokhovski, E., Waddington, D. I., Wade, C. A., & Persson, T. (2015) Strategies for teaching students to think critically: A meta-analysis. *Review of educational research*, 85(2), 275-314. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654314551063>.

Alfieri, L., Nokes-Malach, T. J., & Schunn, C. D. (2013) Learning through case comparisons: A meta-analytic review. *Educational Psychologist*, 48(2), 87-113. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2013.775712>.

Ball, D. L., Thames, M. H., & Phelps, G. (2008) Content knowledge for teachers: What makes it special? *Journal of Teacher Education*, 2008 59: 389 DOI: 10.1177/0022487108324554 [Online] <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022487108324554>.

Barroso, C., Ganley, C. M., McGraw, A. L., Geer, E. A., Hart, S. A., & Daucourt, M. C. (2021) A meta-analysis of the relation between math anxiety and math achievement. *Psychological Bulletin*, 147(2), 134–168. <https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000307>.

Biesta, G. (2009) Good education in an age of measurement: on the need to reconnect with the question of purpose in education. *Educational Assessment, Evaluation and Accountability*, 21(1), 33-46. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11092-008-9064-9>.

Carney, M., & Indrisano, R. (2013) Disciplinary literacy and pedagogical content knowledge. *Journal of Education*, 193(3), 39-49. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002205741319300306>.

Chen, O., Paas, F., & Sweller, J. (2021) Spacing and interleaving effects require distinct theoretical bases: A systematic review testing the cognitive load and discriminative-contrast hypotheses. *Educational Psychology Review*, 33, 1499-1522. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-021-09613-w>.

\*Coe, R., Aloisi, C., Higgins, S., & Major, L. E. (2014) What makes great teaching. Review of the underpinning research. Durham University: UK. Available at: [Coe WhatMakesGreatTeaching.pdf](https://www.durham.ac.uk/whatmakesgreatteaching/).

Corrin, W., Lindsay, J. J., Somers, M-A., Myers, N. E., Myers, C. V., Condon, C. A., & Smith, J. K. (2012) Evaluation of the Content Literacy Continuum: Report on Program Impacts, Program Fidelity, and Contrast. (NCEE2013-4001). Washington, DC: National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education. Available at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2198586>.

Cowan, N. (2008) What are the differences between long-term, short-term, and working memory? *Progress in brain research*, 169, 323-338. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6123\(07\)00020-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6123(07)00020-9).

Deans for Impact (2015) The Science of Learning [Online] Accessible from: <https://deansforimpact.org/resources/the-science-of-learning/>.



Dobinson, K. & Dockrell, J. (2021) Universal strategies for the improvement of expressive language skills in the primary classroom: A systematic review. *First Language*, 41(5), 527-554. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0142723721989471>.

Education Endowment Foundation (2018) Improving Literacy in Secondary Schools Guidance Report. [Online]. Available at: [EEF\\_ImprovingLiteracyInSecondarySchools.pdf](https://www.eef.org.uk/primary/secondary-schools-literacy-guidance-report).

Education Endowment Foundation (2018) Improving Secondary Science Guidance Report. [Online] Available at: [EEF\\_ImprovingSecondaryScienceGuidanceReport.pdf](https://www.eef.org.uk/primary/secondary-science-guidance-report).

Education Endowment Foundation (2018) Preparing for Literacy Guidance Report. [Online] Available at: [EEF\\_PreparingForLiteracy.pdf](https://www.eef.org.uk/primary/preparing-for-literacy-guidance-report).

\*Education Endowment Foundation (2021) Education Endowment Foundation Teaching and Learning Toolkit. [Online] Accessible from: <https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit>.

Education Endowment Foundation (2021) Improving Literacy in Key Stage 2 Guidance Report. [Online]. Available at: [EEF\\_ImprovingLiteracyInKeyStage2.pdf](https://www.eef.org.uk/primary/key-stage-2-literacy-guidance-report).

Education Endowment Foundation (2021) Improving Mathematics in Key Stages 2 and 3 Guidance Report. [Online]. Available at: [EEF\\_ImprovingMathematicsInKeyStages3And4.pdf](https://www.eef.org.uk/primary/mathematics-in-key-stages-2-and-3-guidance-report).

Education Endowment Foundation (2022) Early Years Toolkit. [Online] Available at: <https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/early-years-toolkit>.

Education Endowment Foundation (2023) Early Years Evidence Store. [Online] Available at: <https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/support-for-schools/evidence-for-the-early-years/early-years-evidence-store>.

Guzzetti, B. J. (2000) Learning counter-intuitive science concepts: What have we learned from over a decade of research? *Reading & Writing Quarterly: Overcoming Learning Difficulties*, 16, 89 –98. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/105735600277971>.

Heard, J., Scoular, C., Duckworth, D., Ramalingam, D., & Teo, I. (2020) Critical thinking: Skill development framework. Available at: [https://research.acer.edu.au/ar\\_misc/41/](https://research.acer.edu.au/ar_misc/41/).

Hill, H. C., & Chin, M. (2018) Connections between teachers' knowledge of students, instruction, and achievement outcomes. *American Educational Research Journal*, 55(5), 1076-1112. Available at: [Hill\\_ConnectionsBetwenTeachers'KnowledgeOfStudentsInstructionAndAchievementOutcomes.pdf](https://www.aerjournal.org/doi/10.1177/0013164418791112).

Hulme, C., Snowling, M. J., West, G., Lervag, A., & Melby-Lervag, M. (2020) Children's Language Skills Can Be Improved: Lessons From Psychological Science for Educational Policy. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 29(4), 372-377. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721420923684>.

Jerrim, J., & Vignoles, A. (2016) The link between East Asian "mastery" teaching methods and English children's mathematics skills. *Economics of Education Review*, 50, 29-44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econedurev.2015.11.003>.

Jitendra, A. K., Dupuis, D. N., Rodriguez, M. C., Zaslofsky, A. F., Slater, S., Cozine-Corroy, K., & Church, C. (2013) A randomized controlled trial of the impact of schema-based instruction on mathematical outcomes for third-grade students with mathematics difficulties. *The Elementary School Journal*, 114(2), 252-276. <https://doi.org/10.1086/673199>.

Kalyuga, S. (2010) Schema acquisition and sources of cognitive load. In J. L. Plass, R. Moreno, & R. Brünken (Eds.), *Cognitive Load Theory* (pp. 48–64). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511844744.005>.

Lai, E. R. (2011) Critical thinking: A literature review. *Pearson's Research Reports*, 6(1), 40-41. Available at: [Lei\\_CriticalThinkingALiteratureReview.pdf](#).

Ma, X., & Kishor, N. (1997) Assessing the Relationship between Attitude toward Mathematics and Achievement in Mathematics: A Meta-Analysis. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 28(1), 26–47. <https://doi.org/10.2307/749662>.

Machin, S., McNally, S., & Viarengo, M. (2018) Changing how literacy is taught: Evidence on synthetic phonics. *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy*, 10(2), 217–241. <https://doi.org/10.1257/pol.20160514>.

Merchie, E., & Van Keer, H. (2016) Mind mapping as a meta-learning strategy: Stimulating pre-adolescents' text-learning strategies and performance?. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 46, 128-147. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2016.05.005>.

Perry, T., Lea, R., Jørgensen, C. R., Cordingley, P., Shapiro, K., Youdell, D., ... & Pomareda, C. (2021) *Cognitive science in the classroom: evidence and practice review*. London: Education Endowment Foundation (EEF). Available at: [Perry\\_CognitiveScienceInTheClassroomEvidenceAndPracticeReview.pdf](#).

Rasinski, T. V. (2004). *Assessing reading fluency*. Pacific Resources for Education and Learning (PREL). Available at: [Rasinski\\_AssessingReadingFluency.pdf](#).

\*Rosenshine, B. (2012) Principles of Instruction: Research-based strategies that all teachers should know. *American Educator*, 12–20. Available at: [Rosenshine\\_PrinciplesOfInstruction.pdf](#).

Scott, C. E., McTigue, E. M., Miller, D. M., & Washburn, E. K. (2018) The what, when, and how of preservice teachers and literacy across the disciplines : A systematic literature review of nearly 50 years of research. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 73, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2018.03.010>.

Shanahan, T. (2005) *The National Reading Panel Report: Practical Advice for Teachers*. Available at: [Shanahan\\_TheNationalReadingPanelReport.pdf](#).

Simonsmeier, B. A., Flaig, M., Deiglmayr, A., Schalk, L., & Schneider, M. (2022). Domain-specific prior knowledge and learning: A meta-analysis. *Educational Psychologist*, 57(1), 31-54. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2021.1939700>.

Sweller, J., van Merriënboer, J. J. G., & Paas, F. G. W. C. (1998) *Cognitive Architecture and Instructional Design*. *Educational Psychology Review*, 10(3), 251–296. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/a:1022193728205>.

West, G., Lervag, A., Snowling, M. J., Buchanan-Worster, E., Duta, M., & Hulme, C. (2022) Early language intervention improves behavioral adjustment in school: Evidence from a cluster randomized trial. *Journal of School Psychology*, 92, 334-345. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsp.2022.04.006>.

What Works Clearinghouse, Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education. (2021). *Literacy Design Collaborative*. Available at: [https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/WWC/Docs/InterventionReports/wwc\\_EESL\\_Idx\\_IR\\_mar2021.pdf](https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/WWC/Docs/InterventionReports/wwc_EESL_Idx_IR_mar2021.pdf).

Willingham, D. T. (2002) Ask the Cognitive Scientist. *Inflexible Knowledge: The First Step to Expertise*. *American Educator*, 26(4), 31-33. Accessible from: <https://www.aft.org/periodical/american-educator/winter-2002/ask-cognitive-scientist>.

Wright, T. S., & Gotwals, A. W. (2017). Supporting kindergartners' science talk in the context of an integrated science and disciplinary literacy curriculum. *The Elementary School Journal*, 117(3), 513-537. <https://doi.org/10.1086/690273>.

## **Classroom Practice (Standard 4 – Plan and teach well structured lessons)**

[Key reading recommendations are indicated with an asterisk.]

Alexander, R. (2017) *Towards Dialogic Teaching: rethinking classroom talk*. York: Dialogos.

\* Coe, R., Aloisi, C., Higgins, S., & Major, L. E. (2014) *What makes great teaching. Review of the underpinning research*. Durham University: UK. Available at: [Coe\\_WhatMakesGreatTeaching.pdf](#).

Donker, A. S., de Boer, H., Kostons, D., Dignath van Ewijk, C. C., & van der Werf, M. P. C. (2014) Effectiveness of learning strategy instruction on academic performance: A meta-analysis. *Educational Research Review*, 11, 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2013.11.002>.

Dunlosky, J., Rawson, K. A., Marsh, E. J., Nathan, M. J., & Willingham, D. T. (2013) Improving students' learning with effective learning techniques: Promising directions from cognitive and educational psychology. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest, Supplement*, 14(1), 4–58. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1529100612453266>.

Education Endowment Foundation (2016) *Improving Literacy in Key Stage One Guidance Report*. [Online] Available at: [EEF\\_ImprovingLiteracyInKeyStage1.pdf](#).

Education Endowment Foundation (2021) *Improving Mathematics in Key Stages 2 and 3 Guidance Report*. [Online]. Available at: [EEF\\_ImprovingMathematicsInKeyStages3And4.pdf](#).

Education Endowment Foundation (2017) *Metacognition and Self-regulated learning Guidance Report*. [Online] Available at: [EEF\\_MetacognitionAndSelf-RegulatedLearning.pdf](#).

Education Endowment Foundation (2018) *Improving Secondary Science Guidance Report*. [Online] Available at: [EEF\\_ImprovingSecondaryScienceGuidanceReport.pdf](#).

Education Endowment Foundation (2021) *Education Endowment Foundation Teaching and Learning Toolkit*: Available at: <https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit>.

Elleman, A. M., Lindo, E. J., Morphy, P., & Compton, D. L. (2009) The Impact of Vocabulary Instruction on Passage-Level Comprehension of School-Age Children: A Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Research on Educational Effectiveness*, 2(1), 1–44. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19345740802539200>.

Hodgen, J., Foster, C., Marks, R. & Brown, M. (2018) *Improving Mathematics in Key Stages Two and Three: Evidence Review*. [Online] Available at: [Hodgen\\_ImprovingMathematicsInKeyStages3And4EvidenceReview.pdf](#), 149-157.

Institute of Education Sciences. (2009) *Assisting Students Struggling with Mathematics: Response to Intervention for Elementary and Middle Schools*. Available at: [IES\\_AssistingStudentsStrugglingWithMathematics.pdf](#).

Jay, T., Willis, B., Thomas, P., Taylor, R., Moore, N., Burnett, C., Merchant, G., Stevens, A. (2017) Dialogic Teaching: Evaluation Report. [Online] Available at: <https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/projects-and-evaluation/projects/dialogic-teaching>.

Kalyuga, S. (2007) Expertise reversal effect and its implications for learner-tailored instruction. *Educational Psychology Review*, 19(4), 509-539.

Kirschner, P., Sweller, J., Kirschner, F. & Zambrano, J. (2018) From cognitive load theory to collaborative cognitive load theory. In *International Journal of Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning*, 13(2), 213-233. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11412-018-9277-y>.

Leung, K. C. (2015) Preliminary Empirical Model of Crucial Determinants of Best Practice for Peer Tutoring on Academic Achievement Preliminary Empirical Model of Crucial Determinants of Best Practice for Peer Tutoring on Academic Achievement. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 107(2), 558–579.

\*Rosenshine, B. (2012) Principles of Instruction: Research-based strategies that all teachers should know. *American Educator*, 12–20. Available at: [Rosenshine\\_PrinciplesOfInstruction.pdf](#).

Sweller, J. (2016) Working Memory, Long-term Memory, and Instructional Design. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*, 5(4), 360–367. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jarmac.2015.12.002>.

Tereshchenko, A., Francis, B., Archer, L., Hodgen, J., Mazenod, A., Taylor, B., Travers, M. C. (2018) Learners' attitudes to mixed-attainment grouping: examining the views of students of high, middle and low attainment. *Research Papers in Education*, 34(4), 425-444. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02671522.2018.1452962>.

Van de Pol, J., Volman, M., Oort, F., & Beishuizen, J. (2015) The effects of scaffolding in the classroom: support contingency and student independent working time in relation to student achievement, task effort and appreciation of support. *Instructional Science*, 43(5), 615-641. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11251-015-9351-z>.

Wittwer, J., & Renkl, A. (2010) How Effective are Instructional Explanations in Example-Based Learning? A Meta-Analytic Review. *Educational Psychology Review*, 22(4), 393–409. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-010-9136-5>.

Zimmerman, B. J. (2002) Becoming a Self-Regulated Learner: An Overview, Theory Into Practice. *Theory Into Practice*, 41(2), 64–70. [https://doi.org/10.1207/s15430421tip4102\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15430421tip4102_2).

## **Adaptive Teaching (Standard 5 – Adapt teaching)**

[Key reading recommendations are indicated with an asterisk.]

Belland, B. R., Walker, A. E., & Kim, N. J. (2017) A Bayesian Network Meta-Analysis to Synthesize the Influence of Contexts of Scaffolding Use on Cognitive Outcomes in STEM Education. *Review of Educational Research*, 87(6), 1042- 1081. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654317723009>.

Cullen, M. A., Lindsay, G., Hastings, R., Denne, L., & Stanford, C. (2020) Special Educational Needs in Mainstream Schools: Evidence Review. Available at: [Cullen\\_SENDEvidenceReview.pdf](#).

- Davis, P., Florian, L., Ainscow, M., Dyson, A., Farrell, P., Hick, P., Rouse, M. (2004) Teaching Strategies and Approaches for Pupils with Special Educational Needs: A Scoping Study. Available at: [Davis TeachingStrategiesAndApproachesForPupilsWithSEND.pdf](#).
- Deunk, M. I., Smale-Jacobse, A. E., de Boer, H., Doolaard, S., & Bosker, R. J. (2018) Effective differentiation Practices: A systematic review and meta-analysis of studies on the cognitive effects of differentiation practices in primary education. Educational Research Review, 24(February), 31–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2018.02.002>
- Education Endowment Foundation (2020) Special Educational Needs in Mainstream School Guidance Report. [Online] Available at: [EEF\\_SENDInMainstreamSchool.pdf](#)
- \*Education Endowment Foundation (2021) Education Endowment Foundation Teaching and Learning Toolkit: Available at: <https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit>
- Gallagher, M. A., Parsons, S. A., & Vaughn, M. (2022). Adaptive teaching in mathematics: A review of the literature. Educational Review, 74(2), 298-320. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131911.2020.1722065>
- Hattie, J. (2009) Visible learning: a synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. London: Routledge.
- Kriegbaum, K., Becker, N., & Spinath, B. (2018) The Relative Importance of Intelligence and Motivation as Predictors of School Achievement: A meta-analysis. Educational Research Review. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2018.10.001>.
- McLeskey et al. (2017) High-leverage practices in special education. Arlington, VA: Council for Exceptional Children and CEEDAR Center. Available at: [McLeskey\\_High-LeveragePracticesInSpecialEducation.pdf](#)
- OECD (2015) Pisa 2015 Result: Policies and Practices for Successful Schools. Accessible from: <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264267510-en>.
- Pashler, H., McDaniel, M., Rohrer, D., & Bjork, R. (2008) Learning Styles: Concepts and Evidence. Psychological Science in the Public Interest, 9 (3). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1539-6053.2009.01038.x>.
- Sisk, V. F., Burgoyne, A. P., Sun, J., Butler, J. L., & Macnamara, B. N. (2018) To What Extent and Under Which Circumstances Are Growth Mind-Sets Important to Academic Achievement? Two Meta-Analyses. Psychological Science, 29(4), 549–571. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797617739704>.
- Speckesser, S., Runge, J., Foliano, F., Bursnall, M., Hudson-Sharp, N., Rolfe, H. & Anders, J. (2018) Embedding Formative Assessment: Evaluation Report. [Online] Available at: <https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/projects-and-evaluation/projects/embedding-formative-assessment>.
- Steenbergen-Hu, S., Makel, M. C., & Olszewski-Kubilius, P. (2016) What One Hundred Years of Research Says About the Effects of Ability Grouping and Acceleration on K-12 Students Academic Achievement: Findings of Two Second-Order Meta-Analyses. Review of Educational Research, 86(4), 849-899. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654316675417>.
- Tereshchenko, A., Francis, B., Archer, L., Hodgen, J., Mazenod, A., Taylor, B., Travers, M. C. (2018) Learners' attitudes to mixed-attainment grouping: examining the views of



students of high, middle and low attainment. *Research Papers in Education*, 34(4), 425-444. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02671522.2018.1452962>.

## **Assessment (Standard 6 – Make accurate and productive use of assessment)**

[Key reading recommendations are indicated with an asterisk.]

\*Black, P., & William, D. (2009) Developing the theory of formative assessment. *Educational Assessment, Evaluation and Accountability*, 21(1), 5-31. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11092-008-9068-5>.

Black, P., Harrison, C., Lee, C., Marshall, B., & William, D. (2004). Working inside the Black Box: Assessment for Learning in the Classroom. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 86(1), 8–21. <https://doi.org/10.1177/003172170408600105>.

Christodoulou, D. (2017) *Making Good Progress: The Future of Assessment for Learning*. Oxford: OUP.

\*Coe, R. (2013) *Improving Education: A triumph of hope over experience*. Centre for Evaluation and Monitoring.

\*Education Endowment Foundation (2021) *Teacher Feedback to Improve Pupil Learning Guidance Report*. [Online]. Available at: [EEF\\_TeacherFeedbackToImproveLearning.pdf](https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit).

Education Endowment Foundation (2021) *Education Endowment Foundation Teaching and Learning Toolkit*: Available at: <https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit>.

Gibson, S., Oliver, L. and Dennison, M. (2015) *Workload Challenge: Analysis of teacher consultation responses*. Department for Education. Available at: [Gibson\\_WorkloadChallengeAnalysisOfTeacherConsultationResponses.pdf](https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit).

Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007) The Power of Feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, 77(1), 81–112. <https://doi.org/10.3102/003465430298487>.

Harlen, W. & James, M. (1997) Assessment and Learning: differences and relationships between formative and summative assessment, *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice* 4:3, 365-379. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0969594970040304>.

Kluger, A. N., & DeNisi, A. (1996) The effects of feedback interventions on performance: A historical review, a meta-analysis, and a preliminary feedback intervention theory. *Psychological Bulletin*, 119(2), 254–284. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.119.2.254>.

Sadler, D. (1989) Formative assessment and the design of instructional systems. *Instructional Science*, 18(2), 119-144. Available at: [Sadler\\_FormativeAssessmentAndTheDesignOfInstructionalSystems.pdf](https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit).

Speckesser, S., Runge, J., Foliano, F., Bursnall, M., Hudson-Sharp, N., Rolfe, H. & Anders, J. (2018) *Embedding Formative Assessment: Evaluation Report*. [Online] Available at: <https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/projects-and-evaluation/projects/embedding-formative-assessment>.

William, D. (2010) What Counts as Evidence of Educational Achievement? The Role of Constructs in the Pursuit of Equity in Assessment. *Review of Research in Education*, 34, 254-284. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0091732X09351544>.

## Managing Behaviour (Standard 7 – Manage behaviour effectively)

[Key reading recommendations are indicated with an asterisk.]

\*Carroll, J., Bradley, L., Crawford, H., Hannant, P., Johnson, H., & Thompson, A. (2017). SEN support: A rapid evidence assessment. Available at:

[Carroll\\_SENSupportARapidEvidenceAssessment.pdf](#).

Chapman, R. L., Buckley, L., & Sheehan, M. (2013) School-Based Programs for Increasing Connectedness and Reducing Risk Behavior: A Systematic Review. *Educational Psychology Review*, 25(1), 95–114. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-013-9216-4>.

Chatzitheochari, S., Parsons, S., & Platt, L. (2016). Doubly Disadvantaged? Bullying Experiences among Disabled Children and Young People in England. *Sociology*, 50(4), 695–713. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0038038515574813>.

\* Coe, R., Aloisi, C., Higgins, S., & Major, L. E. (2014) What makes great teaching. Review of the underpinning research. Durham University: UK. Available at: [Coe\\_WhatMakesGreatTeaching.pdf](#).

Department for Education (2019) Omnibus survey of pupils and their parents or carers: wave 6 research report. Available at:

[DFE\\_OmnibusSurveyOfPupilsAndTheirParentsOrCarers.pdf](#).

\*Education Endowment Foundation (2018) Improving Secondary Science Guidance Report. [Online] Available at: [EEF\\_ImprovingSecondaryScienceGuidanceReport.pdf](#).

\*Education Endowment Foundation (2021) Education Endowment Foundation Teaching and Learning Toolkit: Available at: <https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit>.

Education Endowment Foundation (2019) Guidance Report: Improving Behaviour in Schools. [Online] Available at: [EEF\\_ImprovingBehaviourInSchools.pdf](#).

Education Endowment foundation (2019) Improving Social and Emotional Learning in Primary Schools Guidance Report. [Online] Available at: [EEF\\_ImprovingSocialAndEmotionalLearningInPrimarySchools.pdf](#).

Education Endowment Foundation (2021) Improving Behaviour in Schools Guidance Report. [Online] Available at: [EEF\\_ImprovingBehaviourInSchools.pdf](#).

Education Endowment Foundation (2023) Early Years Evidence Store. [Online] Available at: <https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/support-for-schools/evidence-for-the-early-years/early-years-evidence-store> .

Education Endowment Foundation (2022) Early Years Toolkit. [Online] Available at: <https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/early-years-toolkit>.

Gutman, L. & Schoon, L. (2013) The impact of non-cognitive skills on the outcomes of young people. Available at: [Gutman\\_TheImpactOfNon-CognitiveSkills.pdf](#).

\*Institute of Education Sciences (2008) Reducing Behavior Problems in the Elementary School Classroom. Available at: [IES\\_ReducingBehaviourProblems.pdf](#).

Kern, L., & Clemens, N. H. (2007) Antecedent strategies to promote appropriate classroom behavior. *Psychology in the Schools*, 44(1), 65–75. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pits.20206>.

Lazowski, R. A., & Hulleman, C. S. (2016) Motivation Interventions in Education: A Meta-Analytic Review. *Review of Educational Research*, 86(2), 602–640.  
<https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654315617832>.

Sibieta, L., Greaves, E. & Sianesi, B. (2014) Increasing Pupil Motivation: Evaluation Report. [Online] Available at: <https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/projects-and-evaluation/projects/increasing-pupil-motivation/>.

Ursache, A., Blair, C., & Raver, C. C. (2012) The promotion of self-regulation as a means of enhancing school readiness and early achievement in children at risk for school failure. *Child Development Perspectives*, 6(2), 122-128.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1750-8606.2011.00209.x>.

\* Willingham, D. T. (2009) *Why don't students like school?* San Francisco, CA: JosseyBass. Available at: [Willingham\\_WhyDontStudentsLikeSchool.pdf](http://Willingham_WhyDontStudentsLikeSchool.pdf).

Wubbels, T., Brekelmans, M., den Brok, P., Wijsman, L., Mainhard, T., & van Tartwijk, J. (2014) Teacher-student relationships and classroom management. In E. T. Emmer, E. Sabornie, C. Evertson, & C. Weinstein (Eds.). *Handbook of classroom management: Research, practice, and contemporary issues* (2nd ed., pp. 363–386). New York, NY: Routledge.

Yeager, D. S., & Walton, G. M. (2011) Social-Psychological Interventions in Education: They're Not Magic. *Review of Educational Research*, 81(2), 267–301.  
<https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654311405999>.

## **Professional Behaviours (Standard 8 – Fulfil wider professional responsibilities)**

[Key reading recommendations are indicated with an asterisk.]

Allen JP, Pianta RC, Gregory A, Mikami AY, Lun J (2011) An interaction-based approach to enhancing secondary school instruction and student achievement. *Science* 333(6045):1034-1037 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1207998>.

Basma, B. & Savage, R. (2018) Teacher Professional Development and Student Literacy Growth: a Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Education Psychology Review*. 30: 457-481 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-017-9416-4>.

Blatchford, P., Bassett, P., Brown, P., Martin, C., Russell, A., & Webster, R. (2009) Deployment and impact of support staff in schools: Characteristics, Working Conditions and Job Satisfaction of Support Staff in Schools. Available at: [Blatchford\\_DeploymentAndImpactOfSupportStaffInSchools.pdf](http://Blatchford_DeploymentAndImpactOfSupportStaffInSchools.pdf).

\*Carroll, J., Bradley, L., Crawford, H., Hannant, P., Johnson, H., & Thompson, A. (2017). SEN support: A rapid evidence assessment. Available at: [Carroll\\_SENSupportARapidEvidenceAssessment.pdf](http://Carroll_SENSupportARapidEvidenceAssessment.pdf).

\*Cordingley, P., Higgins, S., Greany, T., Buckler, N., Coles-Jordan, D., Crisp, B., Saunders, L. & Coe, R. (2015) *Developing Great Teaching*. Accessible from: <https://tdtrust.org/about/dgt>.

Darling-Hammond, L. (2009) *Professional Learning in the Learning Profession*. Available at: [Darling-Hammond\\_ProfessionalLearningintheLearningProfession.pdf](http://Darling-Hammond_ProfessionalLearningintheLearningProfession.pdf).

Department for Education (2018) *Schools: guide to the 0 to 25 SEND code of practice*. Available at: [DFE\\_SchoolsGuideToThe0to25SENDCodeOfPractice.pdf](http://DFE_SchoolsGuideToThe0to25SENDCodeOfPractice.pdf).



\*Education Endowment Foundation (2015) Making Best Use of Teaching Assistants Guidance Report. [Online] Available at: [EEF\\_MakingBestUseOfTeachingAssistants.pdf](https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit).

Education Endowment Foundation (2021) Education Endowment Foundation Teaching and Learning Toolkit: Available at: <https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit>.

Education Endowment Foundation. (2021). Effective Professional Development Guidance Report [Online] Available at: [EEF\\_EffectiveProfessionalDevelopment.pdf](https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit).

Education Endowment Foundation (2024) Using Research Evidence: A Concise Guide. [Online] Available at: <https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/support-for-schools/using-research-evidence>.

Heyder, A., Südkamp, A., Steinmayr, R. (2020). How are teachers' attitudes toward inclusion related to the social-emotional school experiences of students with and without special educational needs? *Learning and Individual Differences*, 77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2019.101776>.

Hughes, D., Mann, A., Barnes, S., Baladuf, B. and McKeown, R. (2016). Careers education: International literature review. Available at: [Hughes\\_CareersEducationInternationalLiteratureReview.pdf](https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/support-for-schools/using-research-evidence).

Kraft, M., Blazar, D., & Hogan, D. (2018) The Effect of Teacher Coaching on Instruction and Achievement: A Meta-Analysis of the Causal Evidence. *Review of Educational Research*, 88(4), 547-588. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654318759268>.

Sims, S., Fletcher-Wood, H., O'Mara-Eves, A., Cottingham, S., Stansfield, C., Van Herwegen, J., & Anders, J. (2021). What are the characteristics of teacher professional development that increase pupil achievement? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Available at: [Sims\\_WhatAreTheCharacteristicsOfTeacherProfessionalDevelopment.pdf](https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/support-for-schools/using-research-evidence).

Skaalvik, E. M., & Skaalvik, S. (2017) Still motivated to teach? A study of school context variables, stress and job satisfaction among teachers in senior high school. *Social Psychology of Education*, 20(1), 15–37. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11218-016-9363-9>.



Department  
for Education

© Crown copyright 2024

This publication is licensed under the terms of the Open Government Licence v3.0, except where otherwise stated. To view this licence, visit [nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3](https://nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3).

Where we have identified any third-party copyright information, you will need to obtain permission from the copyright holders concerned.

About this publication:

enquiries <https://www.gov.uk/contact-dfe>

download [www.gov.uk/government/publications](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications)

Follow us on Twitter: [@educationgovuk](https://twitter.com/educationgovuk)

Connect with us on Facebook: [facebook.com/educationgovuk](https://facebook.com/educationgovuk)