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## INTRODUCTION

The National Summary Data Report provides guidance and information for all schools and inspectors. It is an integral part of the Autumn Package. The report may be used as an aid to self-evaluation and serves as the Annex to Performance and Assessment (PANDA) reports. The Autumn Package document can be found on www.standards.dfes.gov.uk/performance/ap and the PANDA report on www.ofstedpandas.gide.net.

Where possible, data has been provided for 2003 but please check the dates shown in the headings of each table. The latest version of the National Summary Data Report can be viewed via the publications page of the Ofsted website http://www.ofsted.gov.uk.

## 1. THE PANDA REPORT - Attainment and Progress

### 1.1 Contents

The Primary PANDA report is an inspection tool designed to provide discussion points for inspection. It can also be used as a management tool for school self-evaluation. It provides the following information:

- School Key Stage 1 and Key Stage 2 results
§ The percentage of pupils achieving a given threshold
$\S$ The average performance of all pupils in the year group taking the test
- Interpretation grades $A^{*}$ - $E^{*}$ based on inter-school Key Stage 1 and 2 attainment comparisons using three benchmark categories
§ National
§ 'Similar' schools: Free school meal eligibility
§ 'Similar’ schools: Prior attainment
- Value added data
- Other relevant information such as school characteristics and pupil mobility

This year there has been an increased emphasis on performance over time. This is in response to schools and inspectors requesting historic data to help them undertake trend analysis.

The DfES provides Ofsted with Key Stage 1, Key Stage 2 and Pupil Annual School Census (PLASC) information for inclusion in the Primary PANDA. Two PANDAs are produced each year; one contains unvalidated data and the other one validated data. Only the validated PANDA will contain changes to data as a result of successful revisions and re-marks ${ }^{1}$.

### 1.2 School: Threshold level, average point score

## Threshold level:

Figures showing the percentages of pupils achieving thresholds are always calculated on the basis of full cohorts, including pupils who were absent or disapplied when the tests were taken. Where there were many such absences, inspectors and schools may wish to consider whether it is appropriate to take this into account in their interpretations of the school's results. Nevertheless, the NC results reported in the Inspection Report must be ones calculated from the full cohort.

[^0]The PANDA contains school specific information on the percentage of pupils achieving the following thresholds ${ }^{2}$ :

## Key Stage 1

Percentage of pupils reaching level

- 2 or above
- 2B or above
- 3 or above


## Key Stage 2

Percentage of pupils reaching level

- 4 or above
- 5 or above


## Average points score:

Average point scores are also calculated on the basis of full cohorts, but excluding pupils who were absent or disapplied. Full details of the calculation of average points scores may be found in Primary PANDA Glossary or in the Key Stage 1 or 2 section of the Autumn Package.

### 1.3 Inter-school attainment comparisons with interpretation grades $\mathrm{A}^{*}-\mathrm{E}^{*}$ : National, 'similar' schools.

Schools are benchmarked for comparison with other schools:

1) Nationally
2) 'Similar' schools: Free school meal eligibility

Prior attainment ${ }^{3}$
For primary schools the benchmark groups are as follows:

| Free school meals $^{4}$ | Prior attainment $^{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Up to and including 8\% | Up to but not including 12 |
| More than 8\% and up to 20\% | At least 12 but less than 14 |
| More than $20 \%$ and up to 35\% | At least 14 but less than 16 |
| More than 35\% and up to 50\% | At least 16 but less than 18 |
| More than 50\% | Greater than or equal to 18 |

For each benchmark group the data is divided into percentiles and then grades are allocated based on which percentile the school's results fall into. Grades are given to schools based on how well they have done compared to all schools, or 'similar' schools. Schools are compared by the percentage of pupils reaching each threshold level and by the average point score. The Primary PANDA glossary provides detailed information on how the benchmarks are calculated and how the $A^{*}$ to $E^{*}$ grades should be interpreted. The benchmark tables are published by the DfES and are shown in Annex A of this report. Annex B provides some worked examples to help interpretation of PANDA grades.

[^1]Grades are given irrespective of cohort size. For schools with small cohorts it is inadvisable to place too much emphasis on one year's results. In these circumstances it may be more appropriate to use a three year average.

Table 1.3.1 shows for each cohort size the minimum year on year percentage change necessary before a valid judgement can be made. Even changes of up to one and a half times the minimum percentage change should be treated with caution as a firm indicator unless supplemented by other evidence.

Table 1.3.1 Calculation of percentage change for each cohort size

| Cohort size for each year | Percentage change |
| :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 18 |
| 30 | 15 |
| 40 | 13 |
| 60 | 10 |
| 80 | 9 |
| 100 | 8 |
| 150 | 7 |
| 200 | 6 |

### 1.4 Value added

This year analysis of value added has been included to the PANDA. Value added measures compare the relative progress made by pupils in the school between Key Stage 1 and 2 with the progress made by pupils nationally and pupils in 'similar' schools. Using the unique pupil number (UPN) pupil data can be matched making it possible for schools that do not teach Key Stage 1 pupils to have a grade for value added. The Primary PANDA glossary provides a detailed explanation of how the school value added measure is calculated. The percentage of pupils in the school recorded in the value added measure is also given.

Points to note on value added - based on the 2002 value added pilot conducted by the DfES : www.dfes.gov.uk/performancetables/vap 02.

## Interpretation of the school value added figure:

One value added point is equivalent to one sixth of a National Curriculum level or one term's progress. For example, for the Key Stage 1 to Key Stage 2 value added measure, a measure of 99 means that on average each of the school's pupils made a sixth of a National Curriculum level less (or one term less) progress between Key Stage 1 and Key Stage 2 than the median for pupils with the same Key Stage 1 achievement.

## Small cohort sizes:

Value added measures are sensitive to the size of the cohort. The DfES provides the following guidance:

| Number of pupils | Boundaries for which results are not considered to be <br> statistically different from the average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lower boundary | Upper boundary |
| 10 | 98.4 | 101.6 |
| 30 | 99.1 | 100.9 |
| 50 | 99.3 | 100.7 |

As can be seen from the table the confidence that can be placed on the value added measure increases as the cohort increases. The cohort size should be taken into consideration when looking at the grading given to the school.

### 1.5 Other relevant information

When evaluating a school it is important to look at things other than test results and interpretation grades. The PANDA provides basic characteristics information from 1999 to 2003. It provides information on the percentage of pupils known to be eligible for free school meals (used to allocate the school a free school meal (FSM) benchmark), the percentage of pupils whose first language is not/believed not to be English and the percentage of pupils with special educational needs (SEN).

The Primary PANDA displays 2001 census information. Pupil postcodes have been matched to the ward they live in and the 2001 census data for these wards is then shown. Information is shown for up to 10 wards where the majority of pupils live. The percentage of pupils who have their ward level data included in the PANDA is also shown.

A new section in the Primary PANDA for 2003 looks at levels of inward mobility to the school. High levels of mobility could impact on attainment should form a topic of discussion between an inspector and the school.

The attendance, authorised absence and unauthorised absence rates are displayed in the same way as last year.

In all cases the headteacher will be able to provide a good deal more contextual information than can be included in the PANDA, but the PANDA can offer a useful starting point for discussion.

### 1.6 Parents' Summary to the Inspection Report

The figures in bold in the Attainment Summary of the Primary PANDA are included in the Parents' Summary of the Inspection Report. The grades are awarded to the school based on average point score comparisons nationally and with 'similar' schools. ${ }^{6}$

The tables in Appendix A are the benchmarks used to calculate these grades. They show the average point score grade boundaries. Inspectors may wish to refer to these where schools query the grades appearing in the Parents' Summary of the Inspection Report. The PANDA report will contain school specific information on benchmarks and the average point scores needed for each grade. Information on grade interpretation is provided in the Glossary section of the PANDA.

[^2]
## 2. EXCLUSIONS, ATTITUDES, BEHAVIOUR AND PERSONAL DEVELOPMENT.

During inspection, Inspectors will collect most of the evidence from which to judge behaviour and relationships in the school from observations in and outside lessons. The number of exclusions and the reasons behind them should also be taken into account. Due to incomplete PLASC returns exclusion data cannot be shown in the 2003 NSDR.

## 3. ATTENDANCE

The PANDA reports show attendance at the school for the last three years in comparison with the national average. Figures for authorised and unauthorised absence in the latest academic year are also provided. The tables in this report give additional information.

During inspection, Inspectors evaluate pupils' attendance and punctuality, analysing reasons for absence where attendance is poor or where patterns of attendance affect particular groups of pupils. In particular, where attendance falls below 95 percent for the school, the inspection team must undertake an analysis of the patterns of absence and their effect on attainment and progress. Schools may find this type of analysis useful as part of their own self-evaluation.

Table 3.1 shows the range of attendance figures in different schools. In 89 percent of schools the attendance rate was higher than 92 percent. Attendance rates below 90 percent were fairly exceptional - only 0.8 percent of schools had such a low attendan

TABLE 3.1
Pupil Attendance in Primary schools 2002/2003

| Type of schools | Number of <br> schools $^{2}$ | less than <br> $70 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 0 - 8 9 \%}$ | $90-91 \%$ | $92-93 \%$ | $94-95 \%$ | $96-97 \%$ | $98-100 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First and middle' schools | 115 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 7.8 | 33.9 | 53.0 | 4.3 | 0.0 |
| First schools | 1,405 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 6.3 | 25.8 | 55.0 | 11.4 | 0.5 |
| 'Infant and Junior' schools | 12,362 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 6.9 | 28.5 | 50.9 | 11.7 | 0.3 |
| Infant schools or departments | 1,888 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 11.4 | 37.2 | 43.2 | 5.8 | 0.1 |
| Junior schools or departments | 1,800 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 5.4 | 30.1 | 55.9 | 7.8 | 0.3 |
| Middle schools | 131 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 10.7 | 43.5 | 43.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| All Primary schools's | $\mathbf{1 7 , 7 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ |

Including Middl e deemed Primar y schools
2 Excludes schools where data are unavailable
Source of Data: School Performance Infor mation: Absence Return 2002/03 DfES

Table 3.2 shows the distribution of Primary schools in terms of their pupils' rate of unauthorised absence. In 60 percent of Primary schools, the rate of unauthorised absence is below 0.25 percent. At the other end of the scale 11.3 percent of Primary schools had unauthorised absence rates of 1 percent or more and 3.3 percent had an unauthorised absence rates of 2 percent or more. Whatever the unauthorised absence rate for a school, it may be advisable to investigate the reasons for the absence and the actions taken to resolve them. For example, although the unauthorised absence may be low for a school, this percentage could be the result of high rates of unauthorised absence in a section of pupils.

TABLE 3.2
Unauthorised absence in Primary schoolst- 2002/03

| Type of school | Number of <br> schools $^{2}$ | $0-0.24 \%$ | $0.25-0.49 \%$ | $0.5-0.99 \%$ | $1-1.99 \%$ | $2-2.99 \%$ | $3-4.99 \%$ | $5 \%$ and <br> above |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'First and Middle' schools | 115 | 57.4 | 13.9 | 12.2 | 11.3 | 3.5 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| First schoolsl | 1,405 | 68.5 | 13.5 | 11.9 | 4.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.1 |
| 'Infant and Junior' schools | 12,363 | 60.7 | 14.8 | 12.6 | 8.2 | 2.4 | 1.0 | 0.1 |
| Infant school or departments | 1,888 | 56.5 | 17.4 | 15.0 | 8.0 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 0.0 |
| Junior school or departments | 1,800 | 52.4 | 19.4 | 16.8 | 8.7 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| Middle schools | 131 | 54.2 | 21.4 | 15.3 | 8.4 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| All Primary schools | $\mathbf{1 7 , 7 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ |

${ }^{1}$ Including Middle deemed Primary schools
${ }^{2}$ Excludes schools where data are unavailable
Source of Data: School Performance Information: Absence Return 2002/03, DfES

Table 3.3 shows that 58.4 percent of primary schools had authorised absence rates between 4 and 5 percent. Authorised absence rates of 10 percent and above were unusual and occurred in less than 1 percent of schools

TABLE 3.3
Authorised absence in Primary schools ${ }^{1}$ - 2002/03

| Percentage of schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of school | Number of <br> schools ${ }^{2}$ | 0 to $3 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $6-7 \%$ | $8-9 \%$ | $10-15 \%$ | $16 \%$ and <br> above |
| First and middle' schools | 115 | 8.7 | 31.3 | 27.8 | 31.3 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| First schools | 1,405 | 13.8 | 30.1 | 31.2 | 21.4 | 3.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| 'Infant and Junior' schools | 12,362 | 15.3 | 30.5 | 27.6 | 22.6 | 3.3 | 0.6 | 0.1 |
| Infant schools or departments | 1,888 | 8.0 | 22.2 | 29.4 | 33.1 | 6.1 | 1.1 | 0.1 |
| Junior schools or departments | 1,800 | 12.5 | 32.1 | 33.6 | 19.6 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Middle schools | 131 | 3.1 | 16.8 | 38.2 | 38.2 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| All Primary schools ${ }^{2}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 , 7 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ |

${ }^{1}$ Including Middle Deemed Primary schools
${ }^{2}$ Excludes schools where data are unavailable
Source of Data: School Performance Information: Absence Return 2002/03, DfES

Table $3.4 a$ and $3.4 b$ show the dividing points for each possible judgement in the Primary PANDA for attendance and unauthorised absence.
Office for Standards
in Education

Table 3.4a
Attendence and unauthorised absence in Primary schools (excluding Middle deemed Primary schools)

$\left.$|  | Very low | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ | Well <br> below | $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ | Below | $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ | Broadly <br> in line | $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ | Above | $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ | Well <br> above | 95\% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Very |
| :---: |
| high | \right\rvert\, | 96.4 |  |
| :--- | :--- |


|  | Well <br> below | $10 \%$ | Below | $35 \%$ | Broadly <br> in line | $\mathbf{6 5 \%}$ | Above | 90\% | Well <br> Above |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Table 3.4b
Attendence and unauthorised absence in Middle deemed Primary schools

|  | Very low | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ | Well <br> below | $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ | Below | $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ | Broadly <br> in line | $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ | Above | $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ | Well <br> above | $95 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attendance rate |  | 90.7 |  | 92.7 |  | 93.4 |  | 94.1 |  | 94.5 |  | 95.5 |
| high |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Well <br> below | $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ | Below | $35 \%$ | Broadly <br> in line | $\mathbf{6 5 \%}$ | Above | $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ | Well <br> Above |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unauthorised absence rate |  | 0.0 |  | 0.1 |  | 0.3 |  | 0.8 |  |

Source of data: School Performance Information: Absence Return 2002/03, DfES

## 4. THE CURRICULUM

Table's 4.1.1 to 4.1.3 show some basic information about school curriculum organisation, based on information collected on forms S1 and S2 (the Headteacher's forms) for schools inspected between September 2002 and July 2003.

### 4.1 Length of Taught Week

DfES circular 7/90 "Management of the school day" recommended minimum levels of hours of lessons per week. For Key Stage 1, the recommended minimum weekly lesson time is 21 hours; for Key Stage 2, it is 23.5 hours. The national picture is shown in Table 4.1.1. In Key Stage 1, pupils in 95 percent of schools received at least the minimum teaching time recommended by the DfES. In Key Stage 2, pupils in 77.8 percent of schools received at least the recommended minimum teaching time.

Table 4.1.1
Length of taught week in Primary schools by Key Stage - 2002/03
Percentage of schools

| Number of hours <br> per week | Key stage 1 | Key Stage 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 3.9 | 2.7 |
| 20.5 | 1.1 | 0.1 |
| 21 | 10.3 | 0.4 |
| 21.5 | 21.1 | 0.7 |
| 22 | 20.5 | 1.4 |
| 22.5 | 24.1 | 7.4 |
| 23 | 8.7 | 9.5 |
| 23.5 | 4.9 | 33.2 |
| 24 | 3.4 | 29.4 |
| 24.5 | 0.8 | 7.8 |
| 25 | 0.7 | 5.1 |
| 25.5 | 0.2 | 0.7 |
| 26 | 0.4 | 1.7 |

${ }^{1}$ Including Middle deemed Primary schools
Source of data: Forms S1 and S2 (the Headteacher's forms) for schools inspected from September 2002 to July 2003

Table 4.1.2 shows, nationally, the intended percentage of total teaching time, in each year group, on each of the subjects of the National Curriculum (NC) and religious education.

Table 4.1.2
Length of taught time by National Curriculum subject and religious education and year group 2002/03

| Median value, \% of total teaching time per week, All Primary schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Year 6 |
| English | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 29 |
| Mathematics | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 |
| Science | 7 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Design and Technology | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Information Technology | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| History | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Geography | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Art | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Music | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| PE | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| RE | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |

Source of data: Forms S1 and S2 (the Headteacher's forms) for schools inspected from September 2002 to July 2003

Table 4.1.3 gives an indication of the range of teaching time given to different subjects in schools - in particular it gives the lower and upper quartile values for the percentage of time spent on different subjects.

Table 4.1.3
Length of taught time by National Curriculum subject and religious education and year group - 2002/03

| \% of total teaching time per week, All Primary schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year 1 |  | Year 2 |  | Year 3 |  | Year 4 |  | Year 5 |  | Year 6 |  |
|  | Lower | Upper | Lower | Upper | Lower | Upper | Lower | Upper | Lower | Upper | Lower | Upper |
| English | 28 | 34 | 28 | 34 | 26 | 32 | 26 | 32 | 26 | 32 | 26 | 32 |
| Mathematics | 20 | 23 | 20 | 24 | 21 | 23 | 21 | 23 | 21 | 23 | 21 | 24 |
| Science | 7 | 9 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 10 |
| Design and Technology | 3 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| Information Technology | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| History | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Geography | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Art | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Music | 3 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| PE | 5 | 8 | 5 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 8 |
| RE | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 |

Source of data: Forms S1 and S2 (the Headteacher's forms) for schools inspected from September 2002 to July 2003

## 5. MANAGEMENT AND EFFICIENCY OF THE SCHOOL

### 5.1 Unit Cost - Consistent Financial Reporting

Consistent Financial Reporting (CFR) has been introduced as a framework of income, expenditure and balance headings. One of the main aims of CFR is to allow schools to benchmark expenditure at a national level. CFR also means that schools have a comprehensive and consistent way of looking at their finances that can be used by many different audiences.

As of $31^{\text {st }}$ October 2003 CFR returns have been sent for 21,421 schools ( 94 percent). The NSDR provides information on income and expenditure per pupil in First schools, 'First and Middle' schools, Middle deemed Primary schools, Infant schools, Junior schools and 'Infant and Junior' schools. Appendix B shows all the income and expenditure headings for CFR enabling more detailed comparisons between individual school's and other schools' of the same type. Appendix B also provides information on the references (i.e. E01 to E30) used in tables 5.1.1a to 5.1.1f. For more details of CFR and how it can be used please refer to the school finance pack produced by the DfES (http://www.dfes.gov.uk/valueformoney/docs/VFM Document 93.pdf). The school specific Consistent Financial Return can be accessed through the Enhanced Data Collection Interactive Forms S1-S4 section of the Ofsted website.

Consistent Financial Reporting was designed to allow end users flexibility in identifying relevant financial information. Table 5.1.1a to 5.1.1f are designed to closely follow the framework for inspectors. The tables show gross income and gross expenditure.

Table 5.1.1a
National Consistent Financial Reporting data in pounds per pupil with the percentage of total expenditure spent on each expenditure heading.

Infant schools

|  | Amount per <br> pupil | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total income (excluding capital income) | $£ 2,909.18$ |  |
| Total expenditure (excluding capital expenditure) | $£ 2,905.93$ |  |
| Total balances | $£ 3.26$ |  |
| Total staffing expenditure (E01-E05, EO7-E11, E26) | $£ 2,410.92$ | $82.97 \%$ |
| Teaching staff expenditure (E01) | $£ 104.27$ | $3.59 \%$ |
| Supply teacher expenditure (E02, E26) | $£ 392.77$ | $13.52 \%$ |
| Education support staff expenditure (E03) | $£ 330.02$ | $11.36 \%$ |
| Other staff and staffing expenditure (E04, E05, E07-E11) | $£ 125.55$ | $4.32 \%$ |
| Total learning resources expenditure (E19-E21) | $£ 179.12$ | $6.16 \%$ |
| Expenditure on other supplies, services and financing <br> (E22-E25, E27-E30, E06) | $£ 190.33$ | $6.55 \%$ |
| Expenditure on premises and facilities (E12-E18) |  |  |

Source of data CFR returns 2002/2003

Table 5.1.1b
National Consistent Financial Reporting data in pounds per pupil with the percentage of total expenditure spent on each expenditure heading.

Junior schools

|  | Amount per <br> pupil | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total income (excluding capital income) | $£ 2,543.82$ |  |
| Total expenditure (excluding capital expenditure) | $£ 2,540.44$ |  |
| Total balances | $£ 3.38$ |  |
| Total staffing expenditure (E01-E05, EO7-E11, E26) | $£ 2,032.95$ | $80.02 \%$ |
| Teaching staff expenditure (E01) | $£ 1,444.54$ | $56.86 \%$ |
| Supply teacher expenditure (E02, E26) | $£ 112.01$ | $4.41 \%$ |
| Education support staff expenditure (E03) | $£ 213.28$ | $8.40 \%$ |
| Other staff and staffing expenditure (E04, E05, E07-E11) | $£ 263.12$ | $10.36 \%$ |
| Total learning resources expenditure (E19-E21) | $£ 153.48$ | $6.04 \%$ |
| Expenditure on other supplies, services and financing <br> (E22-E25, E27-E30, E06) | $£ 182.77$ | $7.19 \%$ |
| Expenditure on premises and facilities (E12-E18) | $£ 171.24$ | $6.74 \%$ |

Source of data CFR returns 2002/2003

Table 5.1.1c
National Consistent Financial Reporting data in pounds per pupil with the percentage of total expenditure spent on each expenditure heading.

## First schools

|  | Amount per <br> pupil | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total income (excluding capital income) | $£ 3,179.24$ |  |
| Total expenditure (excluding capital expenditure) | $£ 3,178.61$ |  |
| Total balances | $£ 0.63$ |  |
| Total staffing expenditure (E01-E05, EO7-E11, E26) | $£ 2,590.27$ | $81.49 \%$ |
| Teaching staff expenditure (E01) | $£ 1,771.77$ | $55.74 \%$ |
| Supply teacher expenditure (E02, E26) | $£ 113.17$ | $3.56 \%$ |
| Education support staff expenditure (E03) | $£ 355.63$ | $11.19 \%$ |
| Other staff and staffing expenditure (E04, E05, E07- | $£ 349.71$ | $11.00 \%$ |
| Total learning resources expenditure (E19-E21) | $£ 157.95$ | $4.97 \%$ |
| Expenditure on other supplies, services and financing <br> (E22-E25, E27-E30, E06) | $£ 203.86$ | $6.41 \%$ |
| Expenditure on premises and facilities (E12-E18) | $£ 226.52$ | $7.13 \%$ |

[^3]Table 5.1.1d
National Consistent Financial Reporting data in pounds per pupil with the percentage of total expenditure spent on each expenditure heading.
'First and Middle' schools

|  | Amount per <br> pupil | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total income (excluding capital income) | $£ 3,210.40$ |  |
| Total expenditure (excluding capital expenditure) | $£ 3,161.37$ |  |
| Total balances | $£ 49.03$ |  |
| Total staffing expenditure (E01-E05, EO7-E11, E26) | $£ 2,567.52$ | $81.22 \%$ |
| Teaching staff expenditure (E01) | $£ 1,748.13$ | $55.30 \%$ |
| Supply teacher expenditure (E02, E26) | $£ 147.39$ | $4.66 \%$ |
| Education support staff expenditure (E03) | $£ 335.55$ | $10.61 \%$ |
| Other staff and staffing expenditure (E04, E05, E07- | $£ 336.45$ | $10.64 \%$ |
| Total learning resources expenditure (E19-E21) | $£ 168.83$ | $5.34 \%$ |
| Expenditure on other supplies, services and financing <br> (E22-E25, E27-E30, E06) | $£ 212.64$ | $6.73 \%$ |
| Expenditure on premises and facilities (E12-E18) | $£ 212.38$ | $6.72 \%$ |

Source of data CFR returns 2002/2003

Table 5.1.1e
National Consistent Financial Reporting data in pounds per pupil with the percentage of total expenditure spent on each expenditure heading.

Infant and Junior' schools

|  | Amount per <br> pupil | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total income (excluding capital income) | $£ 2,849.36$ |  |
| Total expenditure (excluding capital expenditure) | $£ 2,833.48$ |  |
| Total balances | $£ 15.88$ |  |
| Total staffing expenditure (E01-E05, EO7-E11, E26) | $£ 2,286.40$ | $80.69 \%$ |
| Teaching staff expenditure (E01) | $£ 1,563.20$ | $55.17 \%$ |
| Supply teacher expenditure (E02, E26) | $£ 128.19$ | $4.52 \%$ |
| Education support staff expenditure (E03) | $£ 300.28$ | $10.60 \%$ |
| Other staff and staffing expenditure (E04, E05, E07-E11) | $£ 294.74$ | $10.40 \%$ |
| Total learning resources expenditure (E19-E21) | $£ 159.03$ | $5.61 \%$ |
| Expenditure on other supplies, services and financing <br> (E22-E25, E27-E30, E06) | $£ 199.13$ | $7.03 \%$ |
| Expenditure on premises and facilities (E12-E18) | $£ 188.92$ | $6.67 \%$ |

[^4]Table 5.1.1f
National Consistent Financial Reporting data in pounds per pupil with the percentage of total expenditure spent on each expenditure heading.

Middle deemed Primary schools

|  | Amount per <br> pupil | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total income (excluding capital income) | $£ 2,742.59$ |  |
| Total expenditure (excluding capital expenditure) | $£ 2,721.35$ |  |
| Total balances | $£ 21.24$ |  |
| Total staffing expenditure (E01-E05, EO7-E11, E26) | $£ 2,222.58$ | $81.67 \%$ |
| Teaching staff expenditure (E01) | $£ 1,606.50$ | $59.03 \%$ |
| Supply teacher expenditure (E02, E26) | $£ 111.00$ | $4.08 \%$ |
| Education support staff expenditure (E03) | $£ 237.63$ | $8.73 \%$ |
| Other staff and staffing expenditure (E04, E05, E07- | $£ 267.46$ | $9.83 \%$ |
| Total learning resources expenditure (E19-E21) | $£ 148.15$ | $5.44 \%$ |
| Expenditure on other supplies, services and financing <br> (E22-E25, E27-E30, E06) | $£ 158.71$ | $5.83 \%$ |
| Expenditure on premises and facilities (E12-E18) | $£ 191.91$ | $7.05 \%$ |

Source of data CFR returns 2002/2003

### 5.2 LEA Planned Expenditure

LEA planned expenditure data ${ }^{8}$ is based on LEA section 52 budget statements as recorded on $8^{\text {th }}$ September $2003^{9}$. Appendix C shows all LEA spending on education (not just primary level). Planned expenditure per pupil is calculated by dividing total expenditure by a common count of pupils aged 3 to 19. This means total spending is spread across the whole count of pupils within the LEA e.g. the SEN provision per pupil amount is not per pupil in need of SEN provision but uses every pupil as the divisor. Planned expenditure per pupil is not the same as what each school will receive per pupil. This is due in part to the use of a common count of pupils as the divisor but also because the LEA withholds some money to deliver the centralised services it is responsible for.

Money is allocated on the basis of need and therefore planned expenditure/per pupil varies between LEAs. Planned expenditure can also differ depending on the LEA provision structure e.g. some LEAs have no school sixth forms or early years provision in private, voluntary and independent settings. Similarly, there are differences in the structure of SEN provision and the relative use of maintained special schools, maintained ordinary schools, other authorities' provision (recoupment), non-maintained and independent schools.

[^5]The percentage change in LEA planned expenditure from $02 / 03$ to $03 / 04^{10}$ is also shown in Appendix C. Where necessary 2002/03 data has been amended to make it possible to produce accurate year on year change comparisons. Some of the percentages displayed are noticeably large ${ }^{11}$. This could be due to changes in the structure of education provision over time e.g. the opening of a Pupil Referral Unit within the LEA. Some of the large percentage changes need to be offset against the very small amount of money being spent.

### 5.3 Pupil Teacher Ratios

The Pupil Teacher Ratio (PTR) is calculated as the ratio of the number of pupils divided by the number of full time equivalent qualified teachers employed in the school. Based on information gathered from the Annual School Census the median PTR in primary schools was 23.4 in January 2003. There was, however, considerable variation between schools and, to give a feel for this variation, Chart 5.3 shows a distribution of PTRs for Primary schools. 33.2 per cent of Primary schools had PTR's of 21 or less and 31.2 percent had PTRs of 25 or more in January 2003

Chart 5.3: Pupil Teacher Ratio in Primary schools - January 2003


Table 5.3.1 shows more information, by school type, with broad descriptors that inspectors can use to refer to the PTR for an individual school. For example, a Junior school with a PTR of 27 might be described as having an 'above average' PTR in comparison with other junior schools.

[^6]TABLE 5.3.1
Pupil Teacher Ratios in Primary schools- January 2003

|  | First schools and <br> Infant schools | Infant and Junior' <br> Schools ${ }^{1}$ | Junior schools | Middle deemed <br> Primary schools | All Primary <br> schools <br> including Middle <br> deemed | All primary <br> schools <br> excluding Middle <br> deemed |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Well below | Below 18.4 | Below 18.8 | Below 20.6 | Below 19.2 | Below 18.9 | Below $\mathbf{1 8 . 9}$ |
| Below | $18.4-21.7$ | $18.8-22.2$ | $20.6-23.2$ | $19.2-21.3$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 9 - 2 2 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 9 - 2 2 . 3}$ |
| Broadly average | $21.7-24.2$ | $22.2-24.6$ | $23.2-25.5$ | $21.3-23.5$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 2 - 2 4 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 3 - \mathbf { 2 4 . 6 }}$ |
| Above | $24.2-27$ | $24.6-27.4$ | $25.5-28.3$ | $23.5-25.8$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 6 - \mathbf { 2 7 . 4 }}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 6 - \mathbf { 2 7 . 4 }}$ |
| Well above | Above 27 | Above 27.4 | Above 28.3 | Above 25.8 | Above 27.4 | Above 27.4 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes 'First and Middle' schools
Source of Data: Annual Schools Cenus 2002/03, DfES

### 5.4 Education support staff

Comparative national data for 2003 in Table 5.4 . 1 shows the percentages of primary schools using education support staff to different extents, expressed in terms of aggregate hours per 100 pupils on roll. To compare the school against the national distributions shown in Table 5.4.1, inspectors need to divide the relevant aggregate hours by the number of pupils on roll (excluding those in designated nursery classes) and multiply by 100.

TABLE 5.4.1
Educational support staff in Primary schools - January 2003

| Hours per 100 <br> pupils | Teaching $^{1}$ <br> assistants | SEN support staff | Minority ethnic <br> support staff | Other support <br> staff |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 22.1 | 31.2 | 88.7 | 57.3 |
| $0-10$ | 3.0 | 10.8 | 7.1 | 7.5 |
| $10-20$ | 7.0 | 16.3 | 2.3 | 6.7 |
| $20-30$ | 7.7 | 12.8 | 0.9 | 4.7 |
| $30-40$ | 9.4 | 9.7 | 0.5 | 4.3 |
| $40-50$ | 10.0 | 6.3 | 0.2 | 4.4 |
| $50-60$ | 9.5 | 3.9 | 0.1 | 3.6 |
| $60+$ | 31.3 | 9.0 | 0.1 | 11.7 |
| All | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

${ }^{1}$ Includes qualified and unqualified teaching assistants
Source of Data: Annual Schools Census 2002/03, DfES

### 5.5 Administrative and clerical staff

There are substantial variations in the level of schools' use of administrative and clerical support. This is illustrated in Table 5.5.1 that shows, for Primary schools in different size bands, the median numbers of hours of administrative and clerical support, together with lower and upper quartile values in January 2003.

TABLE 5.5.1
Number of hours per week worked by administration staff by size of school - January 2003

| Number on roll | Lower quartile | Median | Upper quartile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1-50$ | 15 | 19 | 25 |
| $51-100$ | 21 | 26 | 33 |
| $101-150$ | 29 | 34 | 41 |
| $151-200$ | 34 | 39 | 50 |
| $201-250$ | 36 | 44 | 55 |
| $251-300$ | 40 | 52 | 65 |
| $301-350$ | 47 | 59 | 70 |
| $351-400$ | 54 | 65 | 78 |
| Over 400 | 62 | 74 | 94 |
| All | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 0}$ |

Source of Data: Annual Schools Census 2002/03, DfES

## 6. CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SCHOOL

PANDA reports show the number of pupils on roll; the percentage of pupils known to be eligible for free school meals; the percentage of pupils whose first language is not English / believed not to be English; the percentage of pupils with special educational needs and the percentage of pupils with statements of special educational needs. Figures shown in the Basic Characteristics section of the PANDA are taken from the Annual Schools Census for the years 1998 to 2001 and from the Pupil Level Annual School Census (PLASC) in 2002 and 2003 . It is important to observe year on year data and explore possible reasons for unexpected changes.

### 6.1 Number on Roll

Variation in school rolls across the country are given in Chart 6.1, which provides information for January 2003 for all primary schools except middle deemed primaries.

Chart 6.1 Number on roll in Primary schools - January 2003 (excluding Middle deemed Primary schools)
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On average there are $241^{12}$ pupils in each primary school however the total varies between different types of primary school. Table 6.1.1 shows how the number on roll for the school compares to other schools of the same type. It shows, for example, that an 'Infant and Junior' school with 300 pupils is 'large' compared with other schools of the same type.

TABLE 6.1.1
The Distribution of Number on Roll in Primary Schools by Type of School - January 2003

|  | First Schools and Infant Schools | Infant and Junior' Schools ${ }^{1}$ | Junior Schools | Middle deemed Primary Schools | All Primary Schools including middle deemed | All primary schools excluding middle deemed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Small | Below 69 | Below 80 | Below 186 | Below 190.6 | Below 84 | Below 83 |
| Small | 69-161 | 80-189 | 186-239 | 190.6-258.2 | 84-190 | 83-189 |
| Broadly Average | 161-229 | 189-276 | 239-311 | 258.2-337 | 190-268 | 189-268 |
| Large | 229-321.1 | 276-435 | 311-390 | 337-475.6 | 268-418 | 268-417 |
| Very Large | Above 321.1 | Above 435 | Above 390 | Above 475.6 | Above 418 | Above 417 |

### 6.2 Pupils' backgrounds

### 6.2.1 Eligibility for free school meals

Chart 6.2.1 illustrates the variation in the percentage of pupils eligible for free school meals across the country as a whole. For primary schools, as a whole, the median percentage of pupils eligible for free school meals, in January 2003, was 10.8 percent. There was considerable variation around this average, with 27.5 percent of primary schools having eligibility rates of five percent or less, and 14.5 percent having eligibility rates of 35 percent or more.

Chart 6.2 Percentage of pupils eligible for free school meals in all Primary schools - January 2003

${ }^{12}$ This average does not include Middle deemed Primary schools

Table 6.2.1 shows more detailed information allowing schools to compare their level of free school meal eligibility with other schools of the same type. For example a Junior School with 25 percent of pupils eligible for free school meals would be described as having an 'above average' percentage of pupils eligible for free school meals in comparison with other Junior Schools.

TABLE 6.2.1
The distribution of percentage of pupils eligible for free school meals by type of school - January 2003

|  | First schools and <br> Infant schools | Infant and Junior' <br> schools ${ }^{1}$ | Junior schools | Middle deemed <br> Primary schools | All Primary <br> Schools <br> including Middle <br> deemed | All Primary <br> schools <br> excluding Middle <br> deemed |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Much below average | Below 1.6 | Below 1.5 | Below 3.5 | Below 3.9 | Below 1.7 | Below 1.7 |
| Below average | $1.6-6.6$ | $1.5-6.3$ | $3.5-9.5$ | $3.9-8.8$ | $\mathbf{1 . 7 - 6 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 7 - 6 . 6}$ |
| Broadly average | $6.6-16.4$ | $6.3-18.2$ | $9.5-19.3$ | $8.8-17.2$ | $\mathbf{6 . 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 6 - 1 8}$ |
| Above average | $16.4-35.3$ | $18.2-42.7$ | $19.3-36.9$ | $17.2-32.7$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 4 0 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 4 0 . 7}$ |
| Much above average | Above 35.3 | Above 42.7 | Above 36.9 | Above 32.7 | Above 40.7 | Above 40.7 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes 'First and Middle' schools
Source of Data: Annual Schools Census 2002/03, DfEs

### 6.2.2 Ethnic groups and first language spoken

The PANDA reports give information about the percentage of pupils in the school whose first language is not English/believed not to be English. The national average is 8.1 percent. The national average gives a somewhat distorted picture as 75 percent of schools have less than 5 percent of pupils for whose first language is not English/believed not to be English and 12 percent have over 20 percent $^{13}$.

TABLE 6.2.2
Percentage of pupils in the school for whom English is not/ believed not to be their first language by area type ${ }^{1}$

- January 2003

| \% of Pupils in school whose mother tongue is not/ believed not to be English | Number of schools ${ }^{2}$ | Inner London | Outer London | Metropolitan | Unitary | Upper tier | All area types |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Below 1\% | 8,387 | 0 | 2 | 40 | 41 | 60 | 47 |
| Below 1-5\% | 4,990 | 0 | 16 | 29 | 33 | 30 | 28 |
| Below 5-10\% | 1,337 | 2 | 15 | 9 | 10 | 6 | 7 |
| Below 10-20\% | 1,019 | 10 | 19 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 6 |
| Below 20-40\% | 873 | 29 | 22 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| Over 40\% | 1,247 | 59 | 25 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 7 |
| Total | 17,853 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Excludes City of London \& Isles of Scilly
${ }^{2}$ Excludes schools where data are unavailable
Source of Data: Annual Schools Census 2002/03, DfES
The percentage of pupils whose first language is not English / believed not to be English should not, on its own, be taken to influence attainment. Inspection evidence suggests that relatively low attainment correlates strongly with levels of fluency in English, rather than the extent to which pupils speak languages other than English at home.

[^7]Schools with high percentages of pupils whose first language is not English/believed not to be English tend to have a diverse population of minority ethnic groups. Table 6.2 .3 shows information from the Annual School's Census (January 2003) on the percentages of schools in different categories in terms of the percentage of pupils from minority ethnic groups. It shows that in 11 percent of schools, more than 40 percent of pupils are from minority ethnic groups.

TABLE 6.2.3
Percentage of pupils from minority ethnic groups in the school by area type - January 2003

| \% of pupils from minorit <br> ethnic groups | Number of <br> schools $^{2}$ | Inner Londor | Outer Londor | Metropolitan | Unitary | Upper Tier | All area <br> types |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Below $1 \%$ | 2,691 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 13 | 20 | $1 \mathbf{1 5}$ |
| Below $1-5 \%$ | 6,573 | 0 | 2 | 35 | 36 | 45 | $\mathbf{3 7}$ |
| Below 5-10\% | 3,392 | 0 | 7 | 19 | 20 | 22 | $\mathbf{1 9}$ |
| Below $10-20 \%$ | 2,002 | 0 | 19 | 13 | 14 | 9 | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |
| Below $20-40 \%$ | 1,154 | 6 | 23 | 9 | 9 | 3 | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| Over 40\% | 2,040 | 93 | 49 | 13 | 7 | 1 | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 7 , 8 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Excludes City of London \& Isles of Scilly
2 Excludes Schools where dat a are unavailable
Inter vals incl udes the lower limit but exclude the upper
Source of Data: Annual Schools C ensus 2002/03, DfES
Pupils' attainment in schools with high minority ethnic populations tends to be lower than in schools where the population is lower. This, however, can largely be explained by the socioeconomic characteristics of minority ethnic groups.

### 6.3 Special educational needs

Figures provided in the PANDA reports for the years 1999 to 2003 show the percentage of pupils with special educational needs and the percentage of pupils for whom statements of special educational needs have been drawn up. Whilst these figures provide some indication of the pupils with special educational needs in the school, their interpretation is problematic because:

- There are variations in statementing practices across the country;
- the proportion of pupils with special educational needs taught in mainstream schools and in special schools differs widely between LEA areas;
- there are many pupils with significant special educational needs who will not proceed through the full process of statementing.

Some background information on the comparative numbers of statemented pupils in mainstream primary schools and the numbers of pupils of similar age taught in special schools, in each LEA area, is shown in Table 6.3.1.

The school will hold more comprehensive and up-to-date information.

Office for Standards in Education

TABLE 6.3.1
Percentage pupils with special educational needs (SEN) in Primary and Special schools by LEA - January 2003

| LEA Name | Statemented pupils in maintained Primary schools |  | Pupils in Special schools ${ }^{1}$ <br> Number | Statemented pupils in maintained Primary schools as a proportion of overall SEN provision ${ }^{1,2}$ <br> \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% |  |  |
| England | 71302 | 1.7 | 40119 | 64.0 |
| Inner London | 4064 | 1.8 | 1937 | 68.5 |
| Camden | 231 | 2.0 | 207 | 52.7 |
| Hackney | 329 | 1.8 | 109 | 75.1 |
| Hammersmith and Fulham | 273 | 2.8 | 112 | 70.9 |
| Haringey | 364 | 1.7 | 165 | 68.8 |
| Islington | 215 | 1.4 | 116 | 65.0 |
| Kensington and Chelsea | 119 | 1.7 | 46 | 72.1 |
| Lambeth | 316 | 1.6 | 212 | 59.8 |
| Lewisham | 319 | 1.4 | 264 | 54.7 |
| Newham | 376 | 1.2 | 26 | 93.5 |
| Southwark | 516 | 2.2 | 216 | 70.5 |
| Tower Hamlets | 503 | 2.3 | 147 | 77.4 |
| Wandsworth | 297 | 1.7 | 251 | 54.2 |
| Westminster | 206 | 1.9 | 66 | 75.7 |
| Outer London | 6795 | 1.7 | 3495 | 66.3 |
| Barking and Dagenham | 365 | 2.0 | 98 | 78.8 |
| Barnet | 503 | 1.9 | 137 | 78.6 |
| Bexley | 430 | 2.0 | 214 | 66.8 |
| Brent | 313 | 1.4 | 238 | 56.8 |
| Bromley | 660 | 2.7 | 162 | 80.3 |
| Croydon | 309 | 1.0 | 257 | 54.6 |
| Ealing | 349 | 1.3 | 252 | 58.1 |
| Enfield | 362 | 1.3 | 235 | 60.6 |
| Greenwich | 413 | 2.0 | 196 | 67.8 |
| Harrow | 389 | 2.0 | 92 | 80.9 |
| Havering | 333 | 1.6 | 128 | 72.2 |
| Hillingdon | 359 | 1.5 | 288 | 55.5 |
| Hounslow | 389 | 2.0 | 206 | 65.4 |
| Kingston upon Thames | 157 | 1.3 | 126 | 55.5 |
| Merton | 283 | 2.0 | 104 | 73.1 |
| Redbridge | 330 | 1.4 | 218 | 60.2 |
| Richmond upon Thames | 235 | 1.9 | 60 | 79.7 |
| Sutton | 305 | 2.0 | 155 | 66.3 |
| Waltham Forest | 311 | 1.5 | 329 | 48.6 |
| Metropolitan | 15967 | 1.5 | 10662 | 59.6 |
| Barnsley | 433 | 2.0 | 59 | 88.0 |
| Birmingham | 1761 | 1.7 | 1434 | 55.1 |
| Bolton | 469 | 1.8 | 195 | 70.6 |
| Bradford | 863 | 1.7 | 350 | 71.1 |
| Bury | 349 | 2.1 | 93 | 79.0 |
| Calderdale | 441 | 2.2 | 83 | 84.2 |
| Coventry | 294 | 1.1 | 389 | 43.0 |
| Doncaster | 506 | 1.7 | 314 | 61.7 |
| Dudley | 319 | 1.1 | 350 | 47.7 |
| Gateshead | 199 | 1.2 | 168 | 54.2 |
| Kirklees | 781 | 2.1 | 279 | 73.7 |
| Knowsley | 174 | 1.0 | 283 | 38.1 |
| Leeds | 1155 | 1.8 | 350 | 76.7 |
| Liverpool | 383 | 0.9 | 597 | 39.1 |
| Manchester | 378 | 0.9 | 659 | 36.5 |
| Newcastle upon Tyne | 164 | 0.8 | 261 | 38.6 |
| North Tyneside | 231 | 1.3 | 289 | 44.4 |
| Oldham | 190 | 0.8 | 147 | 56.4 |
| Rochdale | 308 | 1.5 | 240 | 56.2 |
| Rotherham | 609 | 2.4 | 294 | 67.4 |
| Salford | 242 | 1.1 | 219 | 52.5 |
| Sandwell | 512 | 1.6 | 142 | 78.3 |
| Sefton | 299 | 1.2 | 177 | 62.8 |
| Sheffield | 739 | 1.7 | 416 | 64.0 |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{\text {I }}$ Includes pupils of Primary School age in all Special Schools, regardless of the age range they cater for
${ }^{2}$ Statemented Pupils in maintained Primary Schools as a percentage of the total number (Pupils in special Schools + statemented Pupils in maintained Primary Schools)
Source of Data: Annual Schools Census 2002/03, DfES

### 6.4 Gender Balance

Some attainment figures in the PANDA are given with gender breakdowns to aid the analysis of Key Stage assessment results. Overall, girls tend to outperform boys in English whilst there is little difference between the two in mathematics and science.

### 6.5 Mobility

Form S2 (one of the pre-inspection forms) collects information on mobile pupils. Schools provide, for the last complete academic year, (i) the number of pupils who joined the school other than at the usual time of admission and (ii) the number of pupils who left the school other than at the usual time of leaving or transfer.

Mobility figures have been calculated in three ways (in accordance with the above definitions):

1) The percentage of pupils joining the school (Joining Mobility).
2) The percentage of pupils leaving the school (Leaving Mobility).
3) The percentage of pupils either joining or leaving the school (Total Mobility).

Each index is calculated using the total number on roll as the denominator.

Tables below show the lower quartile, median and upper quartile values of mobility percentages for primary schools whose data we have collected. For comparison purposes tables are included based on data from all primary schools as well as infant /first schools, junior schools and allthrough primary schools.

Schools with mobility percentages in excess of the upper quartile values can be considered to have a high level of pupil mobility relative to other schools. High levels of pupil mobility can occur for a variety of reasons and may be worthy of further investigation; in particular, they may affect interpretations made about the school. Although moving between schools can cause problems for individual pupils and high levels of mobility can pose a real challenge for individual schools, the picture is complex and it does not automatically follow that there is a clear link between mobility and test results in schools. Schools and inspectors should therefore consider any available related evidence before drawing any conclusions on the effect of mobility on the attainment figures and grades in the PANDA.

Table 6.5.1
Pupil Mobility by Type of School (Sept 2000 to July 2003)

|  | Joining Mobility \% | Leaving Mobility \% | Total Mobility \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Primary |  |  |  |
| Lower Quartile | 3.5 | 3.0 | 7.1 |
| Median | 5.9 | 5.2 | 11.4 |
| Upper Quartile | 9.4 | 8.7 | 17.8 |
| Infant/First |  |  |  |
| Lower Quartile | 3.4 | 3.0 | 7.1 |
| Median | 5.7 | 5.3 | 11.3 |
| Upper Quartile | 8.7 | 8.8 | 17.1 |
| Junior |  |  |  |
| Lower Quartile | 3.2 | 2.9 | 6.8 |
| Median | 7.8 | 4.8 | 10.1 |
| Upper Quartile |  | 7.7 | 15.3 |
| All Through |  |  |  |
| Lower Quartile | 6.5 | 2.9 | 7.1 |
| Median | 9.1 | 5.3 | 11.6 |
| Upper Quartile | 9.9 |  | 18.5 |

Source of data: Form S2

This year the Primary PANDA includes Inward Mobility information derived from the 2003 Annual School Census (PLASC).

The PANDA shows the school specific levels of inward mobility. Table 6.5 .1 shows the percentage of pupils joining each type of school in the first year ${ }^{14}$. Information is displayed for First schools, 'First and middle' schools, Infant schools, Junior schools and 'Infant and Junior' schools.

For example, on average 97.2 percent of pupils joined First schools in the $1^{\text {st }}$ year and stayed to $2002 / 03$. By the time pupils had got to year 4 only 55.6 percent had started in the $1^{\text {st }}$ year. This shows a high level of inward mobility to the school. In Junior schools 96.9 percent joined in the $1^{\text {st }}$ year (due to the nature of Junior schools this is equivalent to year 3). On average 80.4 percent of all pupils in year 6 of Junior school had begun the school in the $1^{\text {st }}$ year (year 3).

[^8]Office for Standards in Education

Table 6.5.2
Inward mobility for different school types

| Type $^{1}$ | Number of <br> schools | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Year 6 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First schools | 1416 | 97.2 | 89.4 | 73.0 | 55.6 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 'First and middle' schools | 116 | 95.2 | 84.6 | 72.6 | 65.0 | 58.8 | 53.7 |
| Infant schools | 1901 | 97.8 | 89.9 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Junior schools | 1813 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 96.9 | 89.7 | 84.5 | 80.4 |
| Infant and Junior' schools | 12478 | 97.2 | 88.2 | 80.6 | 75.0 | 69.6 | 65.9 |

No data was available for Middle deemed primary schools

## 7. INSPECTION GRADES

During an inspection under the current framework (from January 2000) and previous Frameworks (pre-2000), inspectors were required to grade aspects of the school's provision on a 7-point scale, where 1 is excellent and 7 is very poor. Ofsted has combined some of those judgments in the PANDA to create four key composite grades.

A new framework will be introduced in the 2003/2004 academic year but this will not impact on the recording of judgments in this report.

For the current framework, from January 2000, they include the areas shown below:

| Composite Grade | Composites in combination of these grades |
| :--- | :--- |
| Standards achieved by pupils | A combination of standards of work seen and how <br> well pupils achieve |
| Quality of education | Teaching, learning, the quality and range of learning <br> opportunities and the appropriate statutory <br> curriculum in place - with greater weight given to <br> teaching |
| School's climate | Behaviour, personal development and relationships, <br> attendance, provision for personal development, <br> procedures for child protection and pupils' welfare, <br> pupils' attitudes and values |
| Management and efficiency | Leadership/management, effectiveness of the <br> governing body, monitoring and evaluation of the <br> school's performance, use of resources, application <br> of best value principles and value for money <br> provided by the school |

For the previous framework, prior to January 2000, they include the areas shown below:

| Composite Grade | Composites in combination of these grades |
| :--- | :--- |
| Standards achieved by pupils | Various attainment and progress grades at each key <br> stage with more weight given to the progress grades |
| Quality of education | Teaching assessment and curriculum grades at <br> each Key Stage with more weight given to teaching |
| School's climate | Behaviour, personal development, attendance, <br> pupils' spiritual, mora, social and cultural <br> development and support, guidance and pupils' <br> welfare |
| Management and efficiency | Leadership, management, staffing, accommodation <br> and learning resources, efficiency and value for <br> money grades with more weight given to value for <br> money |

Each composite can lie in one of four bands:

| Category | Explanation of category |
| :--- | :--- |
| Very good | Some good grades but a substantial number of <br> areas which were very good or excellent. |
| Good | Mainly good grades with some areas which were <br> satisfactory or very good. Also schools with solely <br> good grades across the board. |
| Some improvement required | Mainly satisfactory or better grades with some areas <br> which were unsatisfactory. Also schools with solely <br> satisfactory grades across the board. |
| Substantial improvement required | Some satisfactory or better grades but a substantial <br> number of areas which were unsatisfactory or poor. |

The composite judgements can be mapped to an inspection report post-January 2000 as follows:

| Composite | Inspection report paragraphs |
| :--- | :--- |
| Standards achieved by pupils | Standards |
| Quality of education | Teaching and learning; other aspects of the school |
| School's climate | Pupils' attitudes and values; other aspects of <br> learning |
| Management and efficiency | How well is the school led and managed |

The composite judgements can be mapped to the previous inspection (pre-January 2000) report as follows:

| Composite | Inspection report paragraphs |
| :--- | :--- |
| Standards achieved by pupils | Attainment and progress |
| Quality of education | Teaching; the curriculum and assessment |
| School's climate | Attitudes, behaviour and personal development; <br> attendance; pupils' spiritual, moral, social and <br> cultural development; support, guidance and pupils <br> welfare |
| Management and efficiency | Management and efficiency of the school |

The composites provide a summary of the inspection report. They give a broad overview of the inspection report for comparison purposes, but they cannot replace or supersede the report itself.

In order to gain a full picture of a school's strengths and weaknesses, it is important to look at the relevant paragraphs in the inspection report.

### 7.1 Benchmark comparisons for composite grades

The composite grades are reported at the national level and then for the different free school meals benchmarks. Composite grades are also grouped by type of establishment benchmarks and denomination benchmarks.

Table 7.1 gives composite grades for schools nationally and then grouped by proportions of pupils eligible for free school meals. It shows that overall, 54 percent of schools had good or better standards; 77 percent provided a good or better quality of education; 93 percent had a good or better climate; and 82 percent were judged as good or better in terms of management and efficiency. When Free School Meal eligibility is taken into account this picture starts to change. The effect is most noticeable in the category of 'standards achieved by pupils' where schools with an FSM level of 'more than $50 \%$ ' have only 15 percent of schools achieving a good or better inspection grade.

Table 7.1
Inspection grades by free school meal benchmarks s for Primary schools

| Schools ins pected between April 1996 a |  |  |  | Percent age of schools |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of chool | Inspection frades | Very Good | Good | Some Improvement Required | $\begin{gathered} \text { Substantial } \\ \text { Improvemen } \\ \text { Required } \end{gathered}$ |
| All schools | Standards achiev ed by pupils <br> Quality of education <br> The school's climate Management and efficiency | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & 18 \\ & 52 \\ & 38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \\ & 60 \\ & 41 \\ & 43 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ 21 \\ 6 \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 1 \\ & 0 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| Up to and including 8\% | Standards achiev ed by pupils <br> Quality of education <br> The school's climate Management and efficiency | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & 23 \\ & 70 \\ & 44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \\ & 60 \\ & 27 \\ & 41 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23 \\ 16 \\ 2 \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| More than 8\% and up to 20\% | Standards achiev ed by pupils <br> Quality of education <br> The school's climate Management and efficiency | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 16 \\ 52 \\ 37 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47 \\ & 61 \\ & 43 \\ & 44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ 21 \\ 5 \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 1 \\ & 0 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| More than $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ and up to $35 \%$ | Standards achiev ed by pupils <br> Quality of education <br> The school's climate Management and efficiency | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ 13 \\ 33 \\ 32 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 58 \\ & 56 \\ & 46 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59 \\ 27 \\ 9 \\ 20 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| More than 35\% and up to 50\% | Standards achiev ed by pupils <br> Quality of education <br> The school's climate <br> Management and efficiency | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 11 \\ 23 \\ 30 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 56 \\ & 61 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \\ & 30 \\ & 14 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |
| More than 50\% | Standards achiev ed by pupils <br> Quality of education <br> The school's climate Management and efficiency | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 11 \\ 22 \\ 32 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & 59 \\ & 63 \\ & 48 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \\ & 27 \\ & 13 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |

${ }^{1}$ Figures may not sumto 100 due to rounding
${ }^{2}$ For more detail on free school meal bands please refer to the Autumn Package
Source of data: Ofsted

Table 7.2
Inspection grades by type of establishment for Primary schools
Schools inspected between April 1996 and July 2003
Percentage of schools

| Type of school | Inspection grades ${ }^{1}$ | Very Good | Good | Some Improvement Required | Substantial Improvement Required |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First schools | Standards achieved by pupils <br> Quality of education <br> The school's climate <br> Management and efficiency | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 21 \\ & 57 \\ & 41 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \\ & 63 \\ & 39 \\ & 44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \\ 14 \\ 3 \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 1 \\ & 0 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| First and Middle schools | Standards achieved by pupils <br> Quality of education <br> The school's climate <br> Management and efficiency | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4 \\ 12 \\ 42 \\ 32 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \\ & 57 \\ & 49 \\ & 47 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39 \\ 31 \\ 8 \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |
| Infant schools | Standards achieved by pupils <br> Quality of education <br> The school's climate <br> Management and efficiency | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & 30 \\ & 55 \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47 \\ & 56 \\ & 41 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33 \\ 13 \\ 4 \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Junior schools | Standards achieved by pupils <br> Quality of education <br> The school's climate <br> Management and efficiency | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & 20 \\ & 52 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37 \\ & 52 \\ & 41 \\ & 42 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37 \\ 25 \\ 6 \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| 'Infant and Junior' schools | Standards achieved by pupils <br> Quality of education <br> The school's climate <br> Management and efficiency | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8 \\ 15 \\ 51 \\ 36 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \\ & 61 \\ & 42 \\ & 44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ 23 \\ 6 \\ 17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5 \\ & 1 \\ & 0 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |

${ }^{1}$ Figures may not sum to 100 due to rounding
Source of data : Ofsted
Table 7.3
Inspection grades by denomination of Primary schools
Schools inspected between April 1996 and July 2003

| School denomination | Inspection grades ${ }^{1}$ | Very Good | Good | Some improvement required | Substantial improvement required |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Church of England schools | Standards achieved by pupils | 12 | 50 | 34 | 2 |
|  | Quality of education | 17 | 59 | 20 | 1 |
|  | The school's climate | 58 | 35 | 4 | 0 |
|  | Management and efficiency | 37 | 43 | 16 | 1 |
| Roman Catholic schools | Standards achieved by pupils | 14 | 50 | 33 | 2 |
|  | Quality of education | 18 | 59 | 21 | 1 |
|  | The school's climate | 62 | 33 | 3 | 0 |
|  | Management and efficiency | 39 | 42 | 16 | 2 |
| Other denominational schools | Standards achieved by pupils | 7 | 62 | 26 | 3 |
|  | Quality of education | 11 | 57 | 28 | 1 |
|  | The school's climate | 53 | 34 | 9 | 0 |
|  | Management and efficiency | 32 | 47 | 15 | 1 |
| Non-denominational schools | Standards achieved by pupils | 9 | 39 | 42 | 6 |
|  | Quality of education | 17 | 57 | 21 | 1 |
|  | The school's climate | 46 | 44 | 6 | 0 |
|  | Management and efficiency | 37 | 42 | 15 | 2 |

[^9]
### 7.2 Subject and individual school grades

Lying behind the composites are a wide range of individual school level grades. These more detailed grades are fully described in the prose of the inspection report.

These individual grades provide a useful national picture of strengths and weaknesses across all schools. Tables 7.4 and 7.5 provide these aggregated grades. These judgements have been based on grades given by inspectors since January 2000 (the current framework) covering both full and short inspections. Full inspections provide a full range of grades, similar to those produced in previous years. Short inspections, however, provide only summary grades and no subject grades. The new framework introduced in 2003 will only include full inspections and more detail will be given as it becomes available.

Tables 7.4 and 7.5 show for all primary schools the percentage of schools receiving very good, good, satisfactory and unsatisfactory judgements against each of the criteria.

Table 7.4
Summary of inspection grades ${ }^{1}$ : Primary schools

Full and Short Inspections ${ }^{2}$

| Schools inspected under Framework since January 2000. |  |  |  | Percentage of schools |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Very Good ${ }^{3}$ | Good | Some Improvement Required | Substantial Improvement Required |
| How well are pupils and students taught? <br> 3A Teaching | Under Five Key Stage 1 Key Stage 2 School | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 13 \\ & 16 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & 56 \\ & 56 \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 27 \\ & 23 \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 4 \\ & 4 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| How well are pupils and students taught? <br> 3B Learning | Under Five Key Stage 1 Key Stage 2 School | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 12 \\ & 15 \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57 \\ & 55 \\ & 55 \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & 28 \\ & 25 \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 4 \\ & 4 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| How high are the standards? |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2A Standards of work seen | Under Five Key Stage 1 Key Stage 2 School | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ 9 \\ 15 \\ 14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31 \\ & 28 \\ & 28 \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \\ & 39 \\ & 33 \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \\ & 23 \\ & 24 \\ & 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2B How well pupils achieve | Under Five Key Stage 1 Key Stage 2 School | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ 9 \\ 13 \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57 \\ & 48 \\ & 47 \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \\ & 36 \\ & 31 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 7 \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2C Attitudes to the school |  | 51 | 41 | 7 | 1 |
| 2D Behaviour, including the incidence of exclusions |  | 41 | 46 | 11 | 2 |
| 2E Personal development and relationships |  | 49 | 39 | 11 | 1 |
| 2F Attendance |  | 20 | 24 | 31 | 25 |
| How good are curricular and other opportunities? |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4A The quality and range of learning opportunities | Under Five Key Stage 1 Key Stage 2 School | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 13 \\ & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \\ & 41 \\ & 39 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 40 \\ & 38 \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 6 \\ & 8 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| 4B Appropriate statutory curriculum in place | Under Five Key Stage 1 Key Stage 2 School | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ 9 \\ 9 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \\ & 23 \\ & 21 \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61 \\ & 62 \\ & 60 \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ 6 \\ 10 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ |



Table 7.5
Summary of inspection grades: Primary schools
Full inspections only

| Schools inspected under Framework since January 2000 |  |  |  | Percentage of Schools |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Very Good' | Good | Some Improvement Required | Substantial Improvement Required |
| How well are pupils and students taught? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3A | Teaching | Under Five Key Stage 1 Key Stage 2 School | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & 7 \\ & 8 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | 56 56 57 60 | 23 32 29 28 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 5 \\ & 6 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3.1 | Teachers, knowledge and understanding | Under Five | 26 | 48 | 23 | 4 |
|  |  | Key Stage 1 | 9 | 53 | 35 | 3 |
|  |  | Key Stage 2 | 10 | 54 | 33 | 4 |
|  |  | School | 8 | 57 | 32 | 3 |
| 3.2 | Teaching of basic skills | Under Five | 27 | 50 | 20 | 2 |
|  |  | Key Stage 1 | 14 | 52 | 29 | 5 |
|  |  | Key Stage 2 | 14 | 51 | 30 | 5 |
|  |  | School | 13 | 54 | 28 | 5 |
|  | Effectiveness of teachers' planning | Under Five | 25 | 43 | 25 | 7 |
| 3.3 |  | Key Stage 1 | 12 | 45 | 35 | 8 |
|  |  | Key Stage 2 | 12 | 45 | 35 | 9 |
|  |  | School | 11 | 46 | 35 | 8 |
| 3.4 | Teachers' expectations | Under Five | 26 | 45 | 24 | 5 |
|  |  | Key Stage 1 | 12 | 41 | 36 | 11 |
|  |  | Key Stage 2 | 13 | 41 | 34 | 12 |
|  |  | School | 11 | 44 | 34 | 10 |
| How well are pupils and students taught? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.5 | Effectiveness of teaching methods | Under Five | 22 | 48 | 25 | 4 |
|  |  | Key Stage 1 | 10 | 51 | 34 | 5 |
|  |  | Key Stage 2 | 11 | 52 | 32 | 5 |
|  |  | School | 9 | 55 | 31 | 5 |
| 3.6 | Management of pupils | Under Five | 49 | 40 | 10 | 1 |
|  |  | Key Stage 1 | 33 | 46 | 17 | 3 |
|  |  | Key Stage 2 | 35 | 45 | 16 | 4 |
|  |  | School | 34 | 49 | 15 | 3 |
| 3.7 | Use of time, support staff and resources | Under Five | 31 | 46 | 20 | 3 |
|  |  | Key Stage 1 | 16 | 48 | 32 | 4 |
|  |  | Key Stage 2 | 14 | 49 | 32 | 5 |
|  |  | School | 14 | 51 | 31 | 4 |
| 3.8 | Quality and use of ongoing assessment | Under Five | 22 | 42 | 28 | 8 |
|  |  | Key Stage 1 | 8 | 33 | 42 | 17 |
|  |  | Key Stage 2 | 8 | 31 | 41 | 20 |
|  |  | School | 8 | 33 | 41 | 18 |
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|  |  |  |  |  | of <br> Office for in Educ | ted <br> Standards <br> cation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Very Good ${ }^{1}$ | Good | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Some } \\ \text { Improvement } \\ \text { Required } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Substantial Improvement Required |
| 2B | How well pupils achieve | Key Stage 2 | 5 | 23 | 40 | 32 |
|  |  | School | 5 | 24 | 41 | 31 |
|  |  | Under Five | 8 | 57 | 32 | 4 |
|  |  | Key Stage 1 | 4 | 45 | 42 | 9 |
|  |  | Key Stage 2 | 5 | 44 | 40 | 11 |
|  |  | School | 5 | 47 | 38 | 10 |
| 2.1 | Attainment in English | Under Five | 2 | 24 | 38 | 35 |
|  |  | Key Stage 1 | 6 | 23 | 37 | 34 |
|  |  | Key Stage 2 | 7 | 23 | 33 | 37 |
|  |  | School | 7 | 23 | 34 | 35 |
| 2.2 | Attainment in mathematics | Under Five | 2 | 25 | 43 | 30 |
|  |  | Key Stage 1 |  | 25 | 42 | 27 |
|  |  | Key Stage 2 | 8 | 24 | 36 | 32 |
|  |  | School | 7 |  | 38 | 30 |
| How high are the standards? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.3 | Attainment in science | Key Stage 1 | 3 | 22 | 50 | 25 |
|  |  | Key Stage 2 | 6 | 24 | 41 | 28 |
|  |  | School | 6 | 25 | 42 | 27 |
| 2.4 | Progress made by pupils with SEN | Under Five | 9 | 63 | 25 | 2 |
|  |  | Key Stage 1 Key Stage 2 | 8 | 61 60 | 27 28 | 4 5 |
|  |  | Key Stage 2 School | 8 | 60 | 28 26 | 5 4 |
| 2.5 | Progress made by pupils with EAL | Under Five | 10 | 59 | 29 | 2 |
|  |  | Key Stage 1 | 7 | 54 | 34 | 4 |
|  |  | Key Stage 2 | 7 | 53 | 36 | 5 |
|  |  | School |  | 55 | 33 | 4 |
| 2.6 | Progress made by gifted and talented pupils | Under Five | 6 | 44 | 44 | 7 |
|  |  | Key Stage 1 | 4 | 38 | 44 | 14 |
|  |  | Key Stage 2 | 6 | 40 | 41 | 14 |
|  |  | School | 6 | 41 | 40 | 13 |
| How high are the standards? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Attitudes to the school |  | 41 | 49 | 9 | 1 |
| 2D | Behaviour, including the incidence of exclusions |  | 32 | 52 | 14 | 2 |
| 2E | Personal development and relationships |  | 39 | 45 | 14 | 2 |
| 2F | Attendance |  | 15 | 22 | 33 | 30 |
| 2.7 | Enthusiasm for school |  | 44 | 47 | 8 | 1 |
| 2.8 | Interest and involvement in activities |  | 38 | 50 | 11 | 1 |
| 2.9 | Behaviour |  | 31 | 53 | 14 | 2 |
| 2.10 | Absence of oppressive behaviour |  | 44 | 38 | 16 | 2 |


|  |  |  |  |  | Office for in Edu | ted <br> Standards cation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Very Good ${ }^{1}$ | Good | Some Improvement Required | Substantial Improvement Required |
| 2.11 | Pupils' understanding of the impact of their actions on others |  | 35 | 46 | 17 | 3 |
| 2.12 | Respect for feelings, values and beliefs |  | 38 | 45 | 16 | 1 |
| 2.13 | Initiative and personal responsibility |  | 23 | 42 | 31 | 4 |
| 2.14 | Relationships |  | 57 | 35 | 8 | 1 |
| How good are curricular and other opportunities? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4A | The quality and range of learning opportunities | Under Five | 17 | 45 | 32 | 6 |
|  |  | Key Stage 1 | 7 | 38 | 47 | 8 |
|  |  | Key Stage 2 | 8 | 36 | 46 | 10 |
|  |  | School | 8 | 38 | 45 | 9 |
| 4B | Appropriate statutory curriculum in place | Under Five | 9 | 25 | 63 | 3 |
|  |  | Key Stage 1 | 5 | 20 | 67 | 8 |
|  |  | Key Stage 2 | 5 | 18 | 65 | 12 |
|  |  | School | 5 | 20 | 65 |  |
| 4.1 | Breadth, balance and relevance of the whole curriculum | Under Five Key Stage 1 | $16$ | 43 32 | 35 50 | 7 11 |
|  |  | Key Stage 2 | 6 | 30 | 50 | 14 |
|  |  | School | 6 | 31 | 49 | 13 |
| 4.2 | Provision for pupils with special educational needs | Under Five | 22 | 55 | 21 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Key Stage 2 | $20$ | $52$ | 24 | 4 |
|  |  | School | 21 | 52 | 23 | 4 |
| How good are curricular and other opportunities? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.3 | Effectiveness of strategies for teaching literacy skills |  | 11 | 45 | 36 | 7 |
| 4.4 | Effectiveness of strategies for teaching numeracy skills |  | 10 | 48 | 37 | 5 |
| 4.5 | Provision for extra-curricular activities |  | 26 | 36 | 31 | 6 |
| 4.6 | Equality of access and opportunity |  | 17 | 36 | 38 | 8 |
| 4.7 | Provision for personal, social and health education |  | 18 | 45 | 34 | 3 |
| 4.8 | Careers and vocational education (SEC only) |  | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.9 | Contribution of the community to pupils' learning |  | 23 | 46 | 30 | 1 |
| 4.10 | Constructiveness of relationships with partner institutions |  | 19 | 47 | 33 | 1 |


|  |  |  |  | Office for in Edu | ted <br> Standards cation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Very Good ${ }^{1}$ | Good | Some Improvement Required | Substantial Improvement Required |
| How <br> 4C | d are curricular and other opportunities? <br> Provision personal, including SMSC development | 30 | 51 | 17 | 1 |
| 4.11 | Provision for pupils' spiritual development | 20 | 38 | 38 | 4 |
| 4.12 | Provision for pupils' moral development | 47 | 44 | 9 | 1 |
| 4.13 | Provision for pupils' social development | 48 | 42 | 9 | 1 |
| 4.14 | Provision for pupils' cultural development | 17 | 40 | 39 | 4 |
| How well does the school care for its pupils? |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5A | Procedures for child protection and ensuring pupils' welfare | 29 | 44 | 23 | 4 |
| 5B | Monitoring of pupils' academic performance and personal development | 13 | 37 | 37 | 13 |
| 5C | Educational and personal support and guidance for pupils | 19 | 46 | 30 | 5 |
| 5.1 | Procedures for monitoring and improving attendance | 24 | 42 | 26 | 7 |
| 5.2 | Procedures for monitoring and promoting good behaviour | 43 | 43 | 12 | 3 |
| 5.3 | Procedures for monitoring and eliminating oppressive behaviour | 42 | 42 | 14 | 2 |
| 5.4 | Procedures for assessing pupils' attainment and progress | 15 | 36 | 37 | 12 |
| 5.5 | Use of assessment information to guide curricular planning | 10 | 26 | 35 | 29 |
| 5.6 | Procedures for monitoring and supporting pupils' academic progress | 13 | 32 | 38 | 17 |
| 5.7 | Procedures for monitoring and supporting pupils' personal development | 23 | 46 | 28 | 3 |
| 5.8 | Day/residential provision (where relevant or as outlined in statements of SEN) | 27 | 48 | 24 | 1 |
| How well does the school work in partnership with parents? |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Parents' views of the school | 39 | 42 | 15 | 3 |
| 6B | The effectiveness of the school's links with parents | 28 | 41 | 25 | 5 |
| 6C | The impact of parent involvement on the work of the school | 23 | 37 | 33 | 7 |



|  |  |  |  | Office for Standards in Education |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Very Good ${ }^{1}$ | Good | Some Improvement Required | Substantial Improvement Required |
| 7.9 | The appropriateness of the school's priorities for development | 29 | 40 | 25 | 6 |
| 7.10 | The action taken to meet the school's targets | 25 | 39 | 27 | 9 |
| 7.11 | Shared commitment to improvement and capacity to succeed | 35 | 39 | 21 | 6 |
| 7.12 | Induction of staff new to the school and effectiveness of provision, or potential, for training of new teachers | 22 | 41 | 32 | 6 |
| 7.13 | Educational priorities are supported through the school's financial planning | 24 | 44 | 26 | 6 |
| How well is the school led and managed? |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.14 | Effectiveness of the school's use of new technology | 11 | 35 | 45 | 9 |
| 7.15 | Specific grant is used effectively for its designated purpose(s) | 20 | 46 | 32 | 2 |
| 7.16 | Match of teachers and support staff to the demands of the curriculum | 13 | 43 | 40 | 4 |
| 7.17 | Adequacy of accommodation | 11 | 32 | 45 | 11 |
| 7.18 | Adequacy of learning resources | 3 | 30 | 61 | 6 |
| What sort of school is it? |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1A | The school's socio-economic circumstances | 2 | 18 | 32 | 48 |
| 1B | Pupils' attainment on entry | 0 | 13 | 36 | 51 |
| 1D | Improvement since last inspection | 15 | 41 | 32 | 12 |
| 1 C | Overall effectiveness of the school | 13 | 44 | 34 | 9 |
| 1E | Value for money provided by the school | 8 | 41 | 41 | 10 |

[^10]Source of data Ofsted

## APPENDIX A: GRADING OF NATIONAL CURRICULUM TESTS AND ASSESSMENT RESULTS

Inspectors may need to use this information in the event that the school wishes to correct National Curriculum data shown in the PANDA report. Comparisons with all schools are graded as shown below based on pupils' average point scores in the NC tests/tasks.

## Key Stage 1

Table 1.3.1: $\quad$ All maintained mainstream schools in England, with Key Stage 1 pupils

## Percentage of pupils achieving Level 2 and above

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% |  | Median |  | 40\% |  | LQ |  | 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading test/task | A* | 100 | A | 94 | B | 90 | C | 87 | C | 84 | D | 78 | E | 61 | E* |
| Writing task |  | 100 |  | 92 |  | 87 |  | 84 |  | 81 |  | 74 |  | 56 |  |
| Mathematics test/task |  | 100 |  | 98 |  | 95 |  | 93 |  | 91 |  | 87 |  | 73 |  |
| Science teacher assessment |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 96 |  | 93 |  | 91 |  | 86 |  | 68 |  |

## Percentage of pupils achieving Level 2B and above

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% |  | Median |  | 40\% |  | LQ |  | 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading test/task | A* | 93 | A | 81 | B | 75 | C | 71 | C | 67 | D | 60 | E | 42 | E* |
| Writing task |  | 88 |  | 75 |  | 68 |  | 63 |  | 59 |  | 50 |  | 32 |  |
| Mathematics test/task |  | 97 |  | 86 |  | 80 |  | 76 |  | 72 |  | 65 |  | 47 |  |

Percentage of pupils achieving Level 3 and above

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% |  | Median |  | 40\% |  | LQ |  | 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading test/task | A* | 56 | A | 38 | B | 31 | C | 27 | C | 23 | E | 17 | E | 4 | $\mathrm{E}^{*}$ |
| Writing task |  | 38 |  | 23 |  | 17 |  | 14 |  | 11 |  | 7 |  | 0 |  |
| Mathematics test/task |  | 57 |  | 39 |  | 32 |  | 28 |  | 24 |  | 18 |  | 5 |  |
| Science teacher assessment |  | 57 |  | 37 |  | 29 |  | 24 |  | 19 |  | 11 |  | 0 |  |

## Average KS1 points score achieved ${ }^{1}$

|  | 95\% |  | UQ |  |  | 60\% | Median |  |  | 40\% | LQ |  | 5\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading test/task | A* | 18.3 | A | 17.0 | B | 16.3 | C | 15.9 | C | 15.5 | D | 14.7 | E | 12.7 | $\mathrm{E}^{*}$ |
| Writing task |  | 17.3 |  | 15.9 |  | 15.2 |  | 14.8 |  | 14.4 |  | 13.6 |  | 11.5 |  |
| Mathematics test/task |  | 18.6 |  | 17.4 |  | 16.8 |  | 16.4 |  | 16.1 |  | 15.4 |  | 13.6 |  |
| Overall |  | 17.9 |  | 16.7 |  | 16.1 |  | 15.7 |  | 15.3 |  | 14.6 |  | 12.8 |  |

## KEY STAGE

Table 1.3.2: $\quad$ All maintained mainstream schools in England with pupils known to be eligible for FSM of:
up to and including 8\%

## Percentage of pupils achieving Level 2 and above

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% |  | Median |  | 40\% |  | LQ |  | 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading test/task | A* | 100 | A | 97 | B | 94 | C | 92 | C | 90 | D | 86 | E | 75 | E* |
| Writing task |  | 100 |  | 96 |  | 92 |  | 90 |  | 87 |  | 83 |  | 69 |  |
| Mathematics test/task |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 98 |  | 97 |  | 95 |  | 92 |  | 82 |  |
| Science teacher assessment |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 97 |  | 96 |  | 93 |  | 80 |  |

Percentage of pupils achieving Level 2B and above

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% |  | Median |  | 40\% |  | LQ |  | 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading test/task | A* | 98 | A | 86 | B | 82 | C | 79 | C | 75 | D | 70 | E | 56 | E* |
| Writing task |  | 92 |  | 80 |  | 75 |  | 71 |  | 68 |  | 61 |  | 44 |  |
| Mathematics test/task |  | 100 |  | 90 |  | 86 |  | 83 |  | 80 |  | 75 |  | 60 |  |

## Percentage of pupils achieving Level 3 and above

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% |  | Median |  | 40\% |  | LQ |  | 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading test/task | A* | 62 | A | 46 | B | 39 | C | 35 | C | 32 | D | 26 | E | 11 | E* |
| Writing task |  | 43 |  | 28 |  | 22 |  | 19 |  | 16 |  | 11 |  | 0 |  |
| Mathematics test/task |  | 63 |  | 46 |  | 40 |  | 36 |  | 32 |  | 26 |  | 11 |  |
| Science teacher assessment |  | 63 |  | 43 |  | 36 |  | 31 |  | 27 |  | 19 |  | 0 |  |

## Average KS1 points score achieved

|  | 95\% |  | UQ |  |  | 60\% |  | Median |  | 40\% |  | LQ | 5\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading test/task | A* | 18.7 | A | 17.6 | B | 17.1 | C | 16.8 | C | 16.5 | D | 15.9 | E | 14.4 | $\mathrm{E}^{*}$ |
| Writing task |  | 17.7 |  | 16.5 |  | 15.9 |  | 15.6 |  | 15.3 |  | 14.7 |  | 13.0 |  |
| Mathematics test/task |  | 19.0 |  | 18.0 |  | 17.5 |  | 17.2 |  | 16.9 |  | 16.4 |  | 15.0 |  |
| Overall |  | 18.3 |  | 17.3 |  | 16.8 |  | 16.5 |  | 16.3 |  | 15.7 |  | 14.4 |  |

## KEY STAGE

Table 1.3.3: $\quad$ All maintained mainstream schools in England with pupils known to be eligible for FSM of:
more than 8\% and up to 20\%

## Percentage of pupils achieving Level 2 and above

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% |  | Median |  | 40\% |  | LQ |  | 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading test/task | A* | 100 | A | 93 | B | 90 | C | 87 | C | 85 | D | 80 | E | 67 | E* |
| Writing task |  | 100 |  | 91 |  | 87 |  | 84 |  | 82 |  | 76 |  | 61 |  |
| Mathematics test/task |  | 100 |  | 97 |  | 95 |  | 93 |  | 91 |  | 88 |  | 78 |  |
| Science teacher assessment |  | 100 |  | 98 |  | 95 |  | 93 |  | 91 |  | 87 |  | 75 |  |

Percentage of pupils achieving Level 2B and above

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% |  | Median |  | 40\% |  | LQ |  | 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading test/task | $A^{*}$ | 90 | A | 79 | B | 75 | C | 71 | C | 68 | D | 63 | E | 50 | E* |
| Writing task |  | 86 |  | 73 |  | 67 |  | 64 |  | 60 |  | 54 |  | 37 |  |
| Mathematics test/task |  | 95 |  | 84 |  | 79 |  | 76 |  | 73 |  | 68 |  | 54 |  |

## Percentage of pupils achieving Level 3 and above

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% | Median |  |  | 40\% |  | LQ |  | 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading test/task | A* | 50 | A | 37 | B | 31 | C | 28 | C | 25 | D | 19 | E | 8 | E* |
| Writing task |  | 36 |  | 22 |  | 17 |  | 14 |  | 12 |  | 8 |  | 0 |  |
| Mathematics test/task |  | 53 |  | 38 |  | 32 |  | 29 |  | 25 |  | 20 |  | 8 |  |
| Science teacher assessment |  | 53 |  | 36 |  | 29 |  | 25 |  | 21 |  | 14 |  | 0 |  |

## Average KS1 points score achieved ${ }^{1}$

|  | 95\% |  | UQ |  |  | 60\% | Median |  |  | 40\% |  | LQ | 5\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading test/task | A* | 17.9 | A | 16.8 | B | 16.3 | C | 16.0 | C | 15.6 | D | 15.1 | E | 13.6 | $\mathrm{E}^{*}$ |
| Writing task |  | 17.0 |  | 15.7 |  | 15.2 |  | 14.8 |  | 14.5 |  | 13.9 |  | 12.3 |  |
| Mathematics test/task |  | 18.3 |  | 17.2 |  | 16.8 |  | 16.5 |  | 16.2 |  | 15.7 |  | 14.4 |  |
| Overall |  | 17.5 |  | 16.5 |  | 16.0 |  | 15.8 |  | 15.5 |  | 14.9 |  | 13.6 |  |

Table 1.3.4: $\quad$ All maintained mainstream schools in England with pupils known to be eligible for FSM of:
more than 20\% and up to 35\%

## Percentage of pupils achieving Level 2 and above

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% |  | Median |  | 40\% |  | LQ |  | 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading test/task | A* | 96 | A | 88 | B | 83 | C | 81 | C | 78 | D | 73 | E | 60 | E* |
| Writing task |  | 95 |  | 85 |  | 80 |  | 78 |  | 74 |  | 68 |  | 52 |  |
| Mathematics test/task |  | 100 |  | 94 |  | 91 |  | 89 |  | 87 |  | 82 |  | 70 |  |
| Science teacher assessment |  | 100 |  | 94 |  | 90 |  | 88 |  | 85 |  | 80 |  | 67 |  |

## Percentage of pupils achieving Level 2B and above



## Percentage of pupils achieving Level 3 and above

|  | 95\% |  | UQ |  |  | 60\% |  | Median |  | 40\% |  | LQ |  | 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading test/task | A* | 41 | A | 28 | B | 22 | C | 19 | C | 17 | D | 12 | E | 3 | E* |
| Writing task |  | 30 |  | 17 |  | 13 |  | 10 |  | 8 |  | 4 |  | 0 |  |
| Mathematics test/task |  | 44 |  | 29 |  | 24 |  | 21 |  | 18 |  | 14 |  | 4 |  |
| Science <br> teacher assessment |  | 44 |  | 28 |  | 21 |  | 17 |  | 13 |  | 7 |  | 0 |  |

## Average KS1 points score achieved ${ }^{1}$



## KEY STAGE

Table 1.3.5: All maintained mainstream schools in England with pupils known to be eligible for FSM of:
more than $35 \%$ and up to $50 \%$

## Percentage of pupils achieving Level 2 and above

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% |  | Median |  | 40\% |  | LQ |  | 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading test/task | A* | 93 | A | 83 | B | 79 | C | 75 | C | 72 | D | 66 | E | 51 | E* |
| Writing task |  | 92 |  | 81 |  | 75 |  | 72 |  | 69 |  | 62 |  | 45 |  |
| Mathematics test/task |  | 100 |  | 92 |  | 88 |  | 85 |  | 82 |  | 77 |  | 63 |  |
| Science teacher assessment |  | 100 |  | 91 |  | 86 |  | 83 |  | 80 |  | 74 |  | 56 |  |

## Percentage of pupils achieving Level 2B and above

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% |  | Median |  | 40\% |  | LQ |  | 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading test/task | A* | 79 | A | 65 | B | 59 | C | 56 | C | 52 | D | 46 | E | 32 | E* |
| Writing task |  | 75 |  | 59 |  | 52 |  | 48 |  | 45 |  | 38 |  | 23 |  |
| Mathematics test/task |  | 85 |  | 71 |  | 65 |  | 62 |  | 58 |  | 52 |  | 35 |  |

## Percentage of pupils achieving Level 3 and above

|  | 95\% |  | UQ |  |  | 60\% |  | Median |  | 40\% |  | LQ |  | 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading test/task | A* | 35 | A | 21 | B | 16 | C | 14 | C | 11 | D | 7 | E | 0 | E* |
| Writing task |  | 24 |  | 13 |  | 10 |  | 7 |  | 5 |  | 2 |  | 0 |  |
| Mathematics test/task |  | 38 |  | 24 |  | 19 |  | 16 |  | 13 |  | 9 |  | 0 |  |
| Science teacher assessment |  | 39 |  | 23 |  | 17 |  | 12 |  | 7 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

## Average KS1 points score achieved ${ }^{1}$

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% |  | Median |  | 40\% |  | LQ |  | 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading test/task | A* | 16.5 | A | 15.0 | B | 14.5 | C | 14.1 | C | 13.7 | D | 13.1 | E | 11.4 | E* |
| Writing task |  | 15.7 |  | 14.2 |  | 13.6 |  | 13.2 |  | 12.8 |  | 12.1 |  | 10.2 |  |
| Mathematics test/task |  | 17.2 |  | 15.9 |  | 15.3 |  | 14.9 |  | 14.6 |  | 14.0 |  | 12.3 |  |
| Overall |  | 16.3 |  | 15.0 |  | 14.4 |  | 14.1 |  | 13.7 |  | 13.2 |  | 11.5 |  |

## KEY STAGE 1

Table 1.3.6: All maintained mainstream schools in England with pupils known to be eligible for FSM of:
more than 50\%

## Percentage of pupils achieving Level 2 and above

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% |  | Median |  | 40\% |  | LQ |  | 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading test/task | A* | 92 | A | 80 | B | 75 | C | 71 | C | 67 | D | 61 | E | 45 | E* |
| Writing task |  | 89 |  | 76 |  | 70 |  | 67 |  | 63 |  | 57 |  | 39 |  |
| Mathematics test/task |  | 97 |  | 88 |  | 84 |  | 82 |  | 79 |  | 73 |  | 58 |  |
| Science teacher assessment |  | 97 |  | 88 |  | 83 |  | 80 |  | 75 |  | 69 |  | 50 |  |

## Percentage of pupils achieving Level 2B and above



## Percentage of pupils achieving Level 3 and above

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% |  | Median |  | 40\% |  | LQ |  | 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading test/task | A* | 30 | A | 18 | B | 13 | C | 10 | C | 8 | D | 4 | E | 0 | E* |
| Writing task |  | 21 |  | 11 |  | 7 |  | 5 |  | 3 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Mathematics test/task |  | 35 |  | 21 |  | 17 |  | 14 |  | 12 |  | 7 |  | 0 |  |
| Science teacher assessment |  | 35 |  | 18 |  | 11 |  | 7 |  | 3 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

## Average KS1 points score achieved ${ }^{1}$

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% |  | Median |  | 40\% |  | LQ |  | 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading test/task | A* | 16.1 | A | 14.5 | B | 13.9 | C | 13.5 | C | 13.1 | D | 12.4 | E | 10.7 | E* |
| Writing task |  | 15.2 |  | 13.7 |  | 13.1 |  | 12.6 |  | 12.2 |  | 11.5 |  | 9.5 |  |
| Mathematics test/task |  | 16.8 |  | 15.4 |  | 14.8 |  | 14.5 |  | 14.1 |  | 13.5 |  | 11.8 |  |
| Overall |  | 15.9 |  | 14.5 |  | 13.9 |  | 13.5 |  | 13.1 |  | 12.6 |  | 10.9 |  |

## KEY STAGE 2

Table 2.3.1:

Percentage of pupils achieving Level 4 and above

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% |  | Median |  | 40\% |  | LQ |  | 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | A* | 98 | A | 88 | B | 82 | C | 78 | C | 74 | D | 67 | E | 47 | E* |
| Mathematics |  | 97 |  | 85 |  | 79 |  | 75 |  | 71 |  | 63 |  | 45 |  |
| Science |  | 100 |  | 96 |  | 93 |  | 90 |  | 88 |  | 82 |  | 64 |  |

## Percentage of pupils achieving Level 5 and above



Average KS2 points score achieved ${ }^{1}$

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% |  | Median |  | 40\% |  | LQ |  | 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | $\mathrm{A}^{*}$ | 30.0 | A | 28.3 | B | 27.5 | C | 27.0 | C | 26.5 | D | 25.5 | E | 23.3 | $\mathrm{E}^{*}$ |
| Mathematics |  | 30.0 |  | 28.3 |  | 27.5 |  | 27.0 |  | 26.5 |  | 25.6 |  | 23.4 |  |
| Science |  | 31.4 |  | 30.0 |  | 29.3 |  | 28.8 |  | 28.4 |  | 27.5 |  | 25.4 |  |
| Overall |  | 30.2 |  | 28.8 |  | 28.1 |  | 27.6 |  | 27.1 |  | 26.3 |  | 24.2 |  |

KS1 to KS2 value added score


Table 2.3.2: Schools with 1999 Key Stage 1 average points score of: up to but not including 12

Percentage of pupils achieving Level 4 and above


## Percentage of pupils achieving Level 5 and above



## Average KS2 points score achieved ${ }^{1}$

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% |  | Median |  | 40\% |  | LQ |  | 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | A* | 26.5 | A | 24.6 | B | 23.9 | C | 23.4 | C | 22.9 | D | 22.2 | E | 20.4 | E* |
| Mathematics |  | 27.0 |  | 24.7 |  | 24.0 |  | 23.6 |  | 23.1 |  | 22.3 |  | 20.8 |  |
| Science |  | 28.8 |  | 27.0 |  | 26.1 |  | 25.7 |  | 25.2 |  | 24.5 |  | 22.6 |  |
| Overall |  | 27.0 |  | 25.3 |  | 24.6 |  | 24.2 |  | 23.8 |  | 23.2 |  | 21.5 |  |

KS1 to KS2 value added score


## KEY STAGE 2

Table 2.3.3: $\quad$ Schools with 1999 Key Stage 1 average points score of:
at least 12 but less than 14
Percentage of pupils achieving Level 4 and above

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% |  | Median |  | 40\% |  | LQ |  | 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | A* | 85 | A | 72 | B | 67 | C | 63 | C | 60 | D | 54 | E | 39 | E* |
| Mathematics |  | 86 |  | 71 |  | 65 |  | 61 |  | 57 |  | 51 |  | 36 |  |
| Science |  | 100 |  | 88 |  | 83 |  | 80 |  | 76 |  | 70 |  | 55 |  |

## Percentage of pupils achieving Level 5 and above

| English | $\mathrm{A}^{*}$ | 35 | A | 21 | B | 17 | C | 14 | C | 12 | D | 8 | E | 0 | $\mathrm{E}^{*}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics |  | 37 |  | 24 |  | 19 |  | 16 |  | 14 |  | 10 |  | 2 |  |
| Science |  | 54 |  | 35 |  | 28 |  | 24 |  | 21 |  | 15 |  | 5 |  |

## Average KS2 points score achieved ${ }^{1}$



KS1 to KS2 value added score


Table 2.3.4: Schools with 1999 Key Stage 1 average points score of:
at least 14 but less than 16
Percentage of pupils achieving Level 4 and above

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% |  | Median |  | 40\% |  | LQ |  | 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | A* | 94 | A | 85 | B | 81 | C | 78 | C | 75 | D | 69 | E | 55 | E* |
| Mathematics |  | 94 |  | 83 |  | 78 |  | 75 |  | 72 |  | 66 |  | 52 |  |
| Science |  | 100 |  | 95 |  | 92 |  | 90 |  | 88 |  | 84 |  | 71 |  |

## Percentage of pupils achieving Level 5 and above

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% | Median |  |  | 40\% |  | LQ | 5\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | A* | 50 | A | 34 | B | 28 | C | 25 | C | 21 | D | 16 | E | 6 | E* |
| Mathematics |  | 50 |  | 36 |  | 31 |  | 28 |  | 24 |  | 19 |  | 9 |  |
| Science |  | 68 |  | 51 |  | 44 |  | 40 |  | 35 |  | 29 |  | 14 |  |

## Average KS2 points score achieved ${ }^{1}$



KS1 to KS2 value added score

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% |  | Median |  | 40\% |  | LQ |  | 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{A}^{*}$ | 101.9 | A | 100.8 | B | 100.3 | C | 100.0 | C | 99.7 | D | 99.2 | E | 98.0 | $\mathrm{E}^{*}$ |

Table 2.3.5: Schools with 1999 Key Stage 1 average points score of:
at least 16 but less than 18

## Percentage of pupils achieving Level 4 and above

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% |  | Median |  | 40\% |  | LQ |  | 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | A* | 100 | A | 94 | B | 91 | C | 89 | C | 87 | D | 83 | E | 72 | E* |
| Mathematics |  | 100 |  | 92 |  | 89 |  | 86 |  | 84 |  | 79 |  | 68 |  |
| Science |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 97 |  | 96 |  | 95 |  | 92 |  | 84 |  |

## Percentage of pupils achieving Level 5 and above

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% | Median |  |  | 40\% |  | LQ |  | 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | A* | 67 | A | 50 | B | 42 | C | 38 | C | 34 | D | 28 | E | 15 | E* |
| Mathematics |  | 67 |  | 50 |  | 44 |  | 41 |  | 37 |  | 32 |  | 19 |  |
| Science |  | 82 |  | 67 |  | 60 |  | 56 |  | 51 |  | 45 |  | 28 |  |

Average KS2 points score achieved ${ }^{1}$

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% |  | Median |  | 40\% |  | LQ |  | 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | A* | 30.8 | A | 29.5 | B | 28.9 | C | 28.6 | C | 28.3 | D | 27.7 | E | 26.3 | E* |
| Mathematics |  | 30.8 |  | 29.4 |  | 28.9 |  | 28.6 |  | 28.3 |  | 27.7 |  | 26.3 |  |
| Science |  | 31.9 |  | 30.9 |  | 30.4 |  | 30.1 |  | 29.8 |  | 29.3 |  | 28.0 |  |
| Overall |  | 30.9 |  | 29.8 |  | 29.4 |  | 29.1 |  | 28.8 |  | 28.3 |  | 27.2 |  |

KS1 to KS2 value added score


Table 2.3.6: Schools with 1999 Key Stage 1 average points score of:
greater than or equal to 18
Percentage of pupils achieving Level 4 and above

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% |  | Median |  | 40\% |  | LQ |  | 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | $A^{*}$ | 100 | A | 100 | B | 100 | C | 98 | C | 94 | D | 91 | E | 78 | E* |
| Mathematics |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 98 |  | 94 |  | 92 |  | 88 |  | 73 |  |
| Science |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 97 |  | 87 |  |

## Percentage of pupils achieving Level 5 and above

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% |  | Median |  | 40\% |  | LQ |  | 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | A* | 91 | A | 67 | B | 58 | C | 52 | C | 47 | D | 40 | E | 21 | $\mathrm{E}^{*}$ |
| Mathematics |  | 100 |  | 67 |  | 60 |  | 55 |  | 50 |  | 43 |  | 17 |  |
| Science |  | 100 |  | 79 |  | 73 |  | 69 |  | 64 |  | 55 |  | 25 |  |

## Average KS2 points score achieved ${ }^{1}$



KS1 to KS2 value added score


## KEY STAGE 2

Table 2.3.7: Schools with percentage of pupils "known to be eligible for FSM" of:
up to and including 8\%
Percentage of pupils achieving Level 4 and above

| 95\% |  |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% |  | Median |  | 40\% |  | LQ |  | 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | A* | 100 | A | 93 | B | 89 | C | 86 | C | 83 | D | 79 | E | 64 | E* |
| Mathematics |  | 100 |  | 90 |  | 86 |  | 83 |  | 80 |  | 75 |  | 59 |  |
| Science |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 97 |  | 95 |  | 93 |  | 90 |  | 80 |  |

## Percentage of pupils achieving Level 5 and above

| English | $\mathrm{A}^{*}$ | 64 | A | 45 | B | 38 | C | 33 | C | 30 | D | 23 | E | 10 | $\mathrm{E}^{*}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics |  | 64 |  | 47 |  | 40 |  | 36 |  | 33 |  | 27 |  | 13 |  |
| Science |  | 81 |  | 63 |  | 56 |  | 51 |  | 47 |  | 39 |  | 20 |  |

## Average KS2 points score achieved ${ }^{1}$

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% | Median |  |  | 40\% | LQ |  | 5\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | A* | 30.6 | A | 29.1 | B | 28.5 | C | 28.1 | C | 27.8 | D | 27.0 | E | 25.3 | E* |
| Mathematics |  | 30.6 |  | 29.1 |  | 28.5 |  | 28.1 |  | 27.7 |  | 27.0 |  | 25.2 |  |
| Science |  | 31.8 |  | 30.6 |  | 30.1 |  | 29.8 |  | 29.4 |  | 28.9 |  | 27.2 |  |
| Overall |  | 30.7 |  | 29.5 |  | 29.0 |  | 28.7 |  | 28.3 |  | 27.8 |  | 26.2 |  |

KS1 to KS2 value added score


Table 2.3.8: $\quad$ Schools with percentage of pupils "known to be eligible for FSM" of:
more than $8 \%$ and up to $20 \%$

## Percentage of pupils achieving Level 4 and above

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% | Median |  |  | 40\% |  | LQ |  | 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | A* | 94 | A | 85 | B | 81 | C | 78 | C | 75 | D | 69 | E | 55 | E* |
| Mathematics |  | 94 |  | 83 |  | 78 |  | 75 |  | 71 |  | 65 |  | 51 |  |
| Science |  | 100 |  | 95 |  | 92 |  | 90 |  | 88 |  | 84 |  | 71 |  |

## Percentage of pupils achieving Level 5 and above

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% |  | Median |  | 40\% |  | LQ |  | 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | A* | 51 | A | 34 | B | 28 | C | 25 | C | 21 | D | 17 | E | 6 | E* |
| Mathematics |  | 52 |  | 36 |  | 31 |  | 28 |  | 24 |  | 19 |  | 9 |  |
| Science |  | 68 |  | 51 |  | 44 |  | 40 |  | 36 |  | 29 |  | 14 |  |

## Average KS2 points score achieved ${ }^{1}$

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% | Median |  |  | 40\% |  | LQ | 5\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | $\mathrm{A}^{*}$ | 29.6 | A | 28.0 | B | 27.4 | C | 27.0 | C | 26.6 | D | 25.9 | E | 24.3 | $E^{*}$ |
| Mathematics |  | 29.5 |  | 28.1 |  | 27.4 |  | 27.0 |  | 26.6 |  | 25.9 |  | 24.2 |  |
| Science |  | 31.0 |  | 29.7 |  | 29.2 |  | 28.8 |  | 28.5 |  | 27.9 |  | 26.3 |  |
| Overall |  | 29.7 |  | 28.5 |  | 28.0 |  | 27.6 |  | 27.3 |  | 26.7 |  | 25.2 |  |

KS1 to KS2 value added score


## KEY STAGE 2

Table 2.3.9: Schools with percentage of pupils "known to be eligible for FSM" of:
more than 20\% and up to 35\%

## Percentage of pupils achieving Level 4 and above

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% |  | Median |  | 40\% |  | LQ |  | 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | A* | 89 | A | 77 | B | 71 | C | 68 | C | 65 | D | 59 | E | 45 | E* |
| Mathematics |  | 88 |  | 75 |  | 70 |  | 67 |  | 62 |  | 56 |  | 42 |  |
| Science |  | 98 |  | 90 |  | 87 |  | 83 |  | 81 |  | 75 |  | 61 |  |

Percentage of pupils achieving Level 5 and above

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% |  | Median |  | 40\% |  | LQ |  | 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | $\mathrm{A}^{*}$ | 40 | A | 25 | B | 20 | C | 17 | C | 15 | D | 11 | E | 3 | E* |
| Mathematics |  | 43 |  | 29 |  | 23 |  | 20 |  | 17 |  | 13 |  | 5 |  |
| Science |  | 59 |  | 40 |  | 33 |  | 29 |  | 25 |  | 19 |  | 8 |  |

Average KS2 points score achieved ${ }^{1}$

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% | Median |  |  | 40\% |  | LQ | 5\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | A* | 28.6 | A | 26.8 | B | 26.2 | C | 25.7 | C | 25.3 | D | 24.7 | E | 23.0 | $E^{*}$ |
| Mathematics |  | 28.6 |  | 27.0 |  | 26.3 |  | 25.8 |  | 25.4 |  | 24.7 |  | 23.1 |  |
| Science |  | 30.3 |  | 28.8 |  | 28.1 |  | 27.8 |  | 27.4 |  | 26.6 |  | 25.1 |  |
| Overall |  | 28.9 |  | 27.4 |  | 26.8 |  | 26.4 |  | 26.1 |  | 25.4 |  | 24.0 |  |

KS1 to KS2 value added score


Table 2.3.10: Schools with percentage of pupils "known to be eligible for FSM" of:
more than 35\% and up to 50\%

## Percentage of pupils achieving Level 4 and above

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% |  | Median |  | 40\% |  | LQ |  | 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | $A^{*}$ | 86 | A | 71 | B | 65 | C | 62 | C | 58 | D | 52 | E | 38 | $\mathrm{E}^{*}$ |
| Mathematics |  | 86 |  | 71 |  | 64 |  | 60 |  | 56 |  | 50 |  | 35 |  |
| Science |  | 97 |  | 87 |  | 81 |  | 78 |  | 74 |  | 68 |  | 53 |  |

## Percentage of pupils achieving Level 5 and above

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% |  | Median |  | 40\% |  | LQ |  | 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | A* | 36 | A | 21 | B | 16 | C | 13 | C | 11 | D | 7 | E | 0 | E* |
| Mathematics |  | 38 |  | 23 |  | 18 |  | 15 |  | 13 |  | 10 |  | 3 |  |
| Science |  | 53 |  | 33 |  | 26 |  | 22 |  | 19 |  | 14 |  | 5 |  |

## Average KS2 points score achieved ${ }^{1}$



## KS1 to KS2 value added score



## KEY STAGE 2

Table 2.3.11: $\quad$ Schools with percentage of pupils "known to be eligible for FSM" of:
more than 50\%

## Percentage of pupils achieving Level 4 and above

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% |  | Median |  | 40\% |  | LQ |  | 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | A* | 83 | A | 69 | B | 62 | C | 58 | C | 54 | D | 46 | E | 29 | E* |
| Mathematics |  | 86 |  | 70 |  | 62 |  | 58 |  | 53 |  | 47 |  | 31 |  |
| Science |  | 95 |  | 84 |  | 79 |  | 76 |  | 72 |  | 64 |  | 45 |  |

Percentage of pupils achieving Level 5 and above

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% |  | Median |  | 40\% |  | LQ |  | 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | A* | 32 | A | 18 | B | 14 | C | 11 | C | 9 | D | 5 | E | 0 | E* |
| Mathematics |  | 38 |  | 22 |  | 17 |  | 14 |  | 12 |  | 8 |  | 0 |  |
| Science |  | 52 |  | 30 |  | 24 |  | 20 |  | 17 |  | 11 |  | 2 |  |

## Average KS2 points score achieved ${ }^{1}$

|  | 95\% |  |  | UQ |  | 60\% | Median |  |  | 40\% |  | LQ |  | 5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | A* | 27.5 | A | 25.8 | B | 24.9 | C | 24.4 | C | 24.0 | D | 23.1 | E | 21.4 | $\mathrm{E}^{*}$ |
| Mathematics |  | 28.1 |  | 26.1 |  | 25.2 |  | 24.8 |  | 24.3 |  | 23.5 |  | 21.8 |  |
| Science |  | 29.8 |  | 27.8 |  | 27.0 |  | 26.6 |  | 26.1 |  | 25.3 |  | 23.4 |  |
| Overall |  | 28.2 |  | 26.5 |  | 25.7 |  | 25.3 |  | 24.8 |  | 24.1 |  | 22.5 |  |

KS1 to KS2 value added score

APPENDIX B
Consistent Financial Reporting Income and Expenditure per pupil by type of school ${ }^{1}$

| Description | Reference | Infant schools | Junior schools | First schools | 'First \& Middle' | Infant \& Junior' schools | Middle deemed Primary schools |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average $£$ /pupil | Average $£$ /pupil | Average £/pupil | Average £/pupil | Average £/pupil | Average £/pupil |
| Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Funds delegated by the LEA | 101 | £2,352.28 | £2,007.02 | £2,517.25 | £2,492.16 | £2,245.13 | £2,123.06 |
| Funding for sixth form students | 102 | £0.00 | £0.00 | £0.11 | £0.00 | £0.39 | £0.00 |
| SEN funding (excluding special schools) | 103 | £187.60 | £190.75 | £210.58 | £251.52 | £175.78 | £263.58 |
| Funding for minority ethnic pupils | 104 | £20.49 | £15.41 | £11.34 | £25.62 | £16.56 | $£ 11.54$ |
| Standards fund | 105 | £218.02 | £188.42 | £284.81 | $£ 268.36$ | £250.51 | £212.76 |
| Other government grants | 106 | $£ 11.40$ | £7.86 | £4.19 | $£ 5.37$ | £12.31 | £3.08 |
| Other grants and payments received | 107 | £14.16 | £13.19 | £19.94 | £14.89 | £17.52 | £11.62 |
| Income from facilities and services | 108 | £44.76 | £43.06 | £44.13 | £48.64 | £44.67 | £40.58 |
| Income from catering | 109 | £12.25 | £16.17 | £18.84 | £9.47 | £19.04 | £8.71 |
| Receipts from supply teacher insurance claims | 110 | £17.36 | £15.22 | £19.71 | £28.99 | £19.12 | £21.31 |
| Receipts from other insurance claims | 111 | $£ 4.70$ | £3.41 | £3.16 | £10.13 | £3.92 | $£ 4.36$ |
| Income from contributions to visits | 112 | £3.86 | £19.36 | £7.56 | £14.48 | £12.19 | £18.83 |
| Donations and/or private funds | 113 | £22.31 | £23.95 | £37.63 | £40.78 | £32.21 | £23.17 |

APPENDIX B
Consistent Financial Reporting Income and Expenditure per pupil by type of school ${ }^{1}$

| Description | Reference | Infant schools | Junior schools | First schools | 'First \& Middle' schools | Infant \& Junior' schools | Middle deemed Primary schools |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average $£$ /pupil | Average $£ /$ pupil | Average $£$ /pupil | Average $£$ /pupil | Average £/pupil | Average $£$ /pupil |
| Expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Teaching staff | E01 | £1,583.86 | £1,444.54 | £1,771.77 | £1,748.13 | £1,563.20 | £1,606.50 |
| Supply staff | E02 | £80.74 | £73.14 | £99.29 | £87.56 | £89.20 | £75.71 |
| Education support staff | E03 | £392.77 | £213.28 | £355.63 | £335.55 | £300.28 | £237.63 |
| Premises staff | E04 | £72.94 | $£ 68.09$ | £70.69 | £80.80 | £70.26 | $£ 68.43$ |
| Administration and clerical staff | E05 | $£ 130.87$ | £102.08 | £138.00 | £133.90 | £111.76 | £105.61 |
| Catering staff | E06 | £2.50 | £4.04 | £1.43 | £2.67 | £3.13 | £0.64 |
| Cost of other employees | E07 | £66.98 | £43.94 | $£ 66.33$ | £56.91 | £56.53 | £37.40 |
| Indirect employee expenses | E08 | £8.03 | $£ 7.51$ | £9.83 | $£ 9.51$ | £8.21 | £10.14 |
| Staff development and training | E09 | £22.74 | £17.38 | £25.98 | £20.58 | £20.14 | £18.68 |
| Supply teacher insurance | E10 | £23.94 | £20.60 | £34.25 | £29.63 | £23.57 | £24.31 |
| Staff related insurance | E11 | £4.53 | £3.51 | £4.63 | £5.11 | £4.27 | £2.90 |
| Building maintained and improvement | E12 | £66.04 | £56.58 | £74.22 | £74.73 | £63.06 | £67.30 |
| Grounds maintainance and improvement | E13 | £9.68 | £11.14 | £16.09 | £18.58 | £11.89 | £12.75 |
| Cleaning and caretaking | E14 | £30.91 | £26.54 | £42.08 | £31.76 | £32.81 | £28.28 |
| Water and sewage | E15 | £7.79 | £7.41 | £8.49 | £7.24 | £8.22 | £6.63 |
| Energy | E16 | £27.17 | £25.75 | £35.38 | £32.10 | £29.06 | £26.76 |
| Rates | E17 | £39.58 | £36.07 | £39.90 | £38.53 | £32.73 | £41.96 |
| Other occupation costs | E18 | £9.18 | $£ 7.75$ | £10.35 | $£ 9.44$ | £11.16 | £8.22 |
| Learning resources (not for ICT) | E19 | £93.74 | £120.52 | £122.64 | £137.68 | £124.62 | £119.73 |
| ICT learning resources | E20 | £31.76 | £32.83 | £35.23 | £31.11 | £34.29 | £28.34 |
| Exam fees | E21 | £0.05 | £0.12 | £0.09 | £0.04 | £0.12 | £0.08 |
| Administration supplies | E22 | £34.32 | £33.09 | £37.56 | £35.27 | £35.54 | £32.09 |
| Other insurance premiums | E23 | £10.90 | £10.83 | £12.76 | £16.01 | £11.59 | £12.66 |
| Special facilities | E24 | £4.19 | £4.64 | £3.45 | £3.65 | £4.25 | $£ 7.05$ |
| Catering supplies | E25 | £50.73 | £55.16 | $£ 61.43$ | £57.51 | £60.85 | £47.06 |
| Agency supply staff | E26 | £23.53 | £38.87 | £13.88 | £59.83 | £38.98 | £35.29 |
| Bought in professional services - curriculum | E27 | £18.55 | £25.06 | £28.73 | £29.87 | £26.42 | £22.99 |
| Bought in professional services | E28 | £54.25 | £45.19 | £51.20 | £64.07 | £52.57 | £31.06 |
| Loan interest | E29 | £0.12 | £0.14 | £0.10 | £0.07 | £0.19 | £0.13 |
| Direct revenue financing (revenue contributions to capital outlay) | E30 | £3.57 | £4.63 | £7.21 | £3.52 | £4.59 | $£ 5.04$ |

[^11]Planned expenditure $£ /$ Pupil $03 / 04$ and percentage change per LEA in planned expenditure from 02/03 to 03/04

|  | School Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | LEA Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Overall schools budget £/Pupil | \% Change in planned expenditure ${ }^{1}$ | ISB including devolved standards funds ${ }^{2}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \% \text { Change in } \\ \text { planned } \\ \text { expenditure } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SEN } \\ \text { provision } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Change in } \\ \text { clanned } \\ \text { expenditure } \end{gathered}$ | Pupil Units | \% Change in planned expenditure | $\begin{gathered} \text { Education } \\ \text { out of } \\ \text { school } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { \% Change in } \\ \text { planned } \\ \text { expenditure } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Overall } \\ & \text { LEA } \\ & \text { budget } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Change in } \\ \text { planned } \\ \text { expenditure } \end{gathered}$ | Statutory / regulator duties | $\begin{array}{\|c} \% \text { Change in } \\ \text { planned } \\ \text { expenditure } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Other } \\ \text { Strategic } \\ \text { Mgt } \end{gathered}$ | \% Change in planned expenditure | School improvement including EDP | $\begin{array}{\|c} \% \text { Change in } \\ \text { planned } \\ \text { expenditure } \end{array}$ | Home to school transport | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { Change in } \\ \text { planned } \\ \text { expenditure } \end{gathered}$ |
| ENGLAND - Average (median) | 3,029 | 10\% | 2,690 | 8\% | 112 | 16\% | 23 | 25\% | 8 | 11\% | 372 | 6\% | 55 | 9\% | 33 | 5\% | 33 | 24\% | 77 | 9\% |
| ENGLAND - Minimum | 2,742 | 4\% | 2,386 | -1\% | -87 | -160\% | 0 | -89\% | 0 | -90\% | 243 | -19\% | 30 | -30\% | 1 | -100\% | 10 | -68\% | 2 | -95\% |
| ENGLAND - Maximum | 4,584 | 15\% | 4,189 | 14\% | 264 | 690\% | 99 | 5046\% | 77 | 1552\% | 831 | 45\% | 108 | 87\% | 283 | 413\% | 83 | 214\% | 221 | 136\% |






|  | School Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | LEA Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Overall schools budget £/Pupil | \% Change in planned expenditure ${ }^{1}$ | ISB including devolved standards funds ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \% \text { Change in } \\ \text { planned } \\ \text { expenditure } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SEN } \\ \text { provision } \end{gathered}$ | \% Change in planned expenditure | Pupil Referral Units | \% Change in planned expenditure | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Education } \\ \text { out of } \\ \text { school } \end{array}$ | \% Change in planned expenditure | Overall LEA budget | \% Change in planned expenditure | Statutory / regulatory duties | \% Change in planned expenditure | Other Strategic Mgt | \% Change in planned expenditure | School improvement including EDP | \% Change in planned expenditure | Home to school transport | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \% \text { Change in } \\ \text { planned } \\ \text { expenditure } \end{array}$ |
| Windsor and Maidenhead | 3,078 | 10\% | 2,719 | 10\% | 132 | 32\% | 13 | -2\% | 7 | $71 \%$ | 399 | 9\% | 62 | 20\% | 21 | 8\% | 26 | -12\% | 101 | 5\% |
| Wokingham | 2,879 | 10\% | 2,574 | 9\% | 70 | 60\% | 0 | 0\% | 18 | 23\% | 333 | -4\% | 37 | -29\% | 28 | 23\% | 38 | 3\% | 119 | 33\% |
| York | 2,869 | 7\% | 2,501 | 4\% | 154 | 15\% | 23 | 39\% | 9 | 19\% | 333 | 10\% | 58 | 3\% | 29 | 89\% | 20 | 11\% | 85 | 17\% |

1 As defined in section 52 regulations 2003-2004. It is the net of DfES grant income such as School School Standards Grants and the Standards Fund, but includes income from the Learning and Skills Council (LSC) and specific formula grant (EiC).
${ }_{3}$ The percentage change in planned expenditure on centrally-retained provision for SEN. This includes provision for pupils with statements; specialist support for pupils with and without statements; fees for pupils at non-maintained and independent special schools.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ If your school was involved in a late appeal or if the appeal procedure is ongoing these changes may not be shown.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ If the school only caters for Key Stage 1 then only Key Stage 1 results will be shown; the same applies for Key Stage 2
    ${ }^{3}$ Prior attainment benchmarks are created based on matched pupil level data.
    ${ }^{4}$ Free school meal benchmarks apply for both Key Stage 1 and Key Stage 2.
    ${ }^{5}$ Prior attainment benchmarks are only calculated for Key Stage 2 i.e. using the matched pupil level Key Stage 1 average point score of all eligible pupils.

[^2]:    ${ }^{6}$ Free school meal eligibility and prior attainment

[^3]:    Source of data CFR returns 2002/2003

[^4]:    Source of data CFR returns 2002/2003

[^5]:    ${ }^{8}$ This replaces last years table LEA's Local Schools Budgets 2002-2003 which provided information on the percentage of LEA local schools budget (LSB) that is delegated to schools.
    ${ }^{9}$ The figures used to produce the tables are unamended .

[^6]:    ${ }^{10}$ This figure represents the change in planned expenditure at the LEA level not at an individual pupil level.
    ${ }^{11}$ As the figures used to produce the tables are unamended some of the large percentage changes could be due to error, hopefully errors will be minimal.

[^7]:    ${ }^{13}$ For this reason no national comparison figures are given in the school basic characteristics section of the PANDA (table 1.1)

[^8]:    ${ }^{14}$ The first year varies depending on the type of school e.g. infant schools begin at year 1 and middle schools begin in year 4 .

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ Figures by not sum to 100 due to rounding
    Source of data: Ofsted

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ Figures may not sum to 100 due to rounding

[^11]:    'There is no balance or capital income and expenditure data shown. Due to the nature of these categories the DfES has suggested a three year average should be given rather than a single year figure,
    As this is the first year of CFR a three year average is not currently available.

