The information you provide in your response will be subject to the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and Environmental Information Regulations, which allow public access to information held by the Department. This does not necessarily mean that your response can be made available to the public as there are exemptions relating to information provided in confidence and information to which the Data Protection Act 1998 applies. You may request confidentiality by ticking the box provided, but you should note that neither this, nor an automatically-generated e-mail confidentiality statement, will necessarily exclude the public right of access.

Please tick if you want us to keep your response confidential.		
Name		
Organisation (if applicable)		
Address:		

If your enquiry is related to the policy content of the consultation you can contact Elaine Underwood on:

Telephone: 0114 259 3336

e-mail: Elaine.Underwood@dfes.gsi.gov.uk

If you have a query relating to the consultation process you can contact the Consultation Unit on:

Telephone: 01928 794888

Fax: 01928 794 311

e-mail: consultation.unit@dfes.gsi.gov.uk

Please insert X into one of the following boxes which best describes your organisation.

School with 6th Form	School without 6th Form	Sixth Form College
FE College	HE Institution	School Workforce Representative
FE Workforce Representative	HE Workforce Representative	Student Union
Other Trade Union	Schools Representative Body	FE Representative Body
HE Representative Body	Other Government Department	LEA
Awarding/Examining Body	FE & HE Funding Organisation	Student Financing Body
Connexions	Equality & Diversity Organisation	Other
Please Specify:		
ricase opeony.		

### PROPOSED CHANGES FROM 2008/2009

### Proposal 1

UCAS to continue their work to encourage the provision of clear, comparable entry requirement information, with a view to moving toward 100% provision of information for students wishing to enter HE in 2008/09.

Do you agree?		
Yes	No	Not Sure
Comments:		
Proposal 2		
further consideration to be researching their possible	e given to how to HE applications	student finance delivery in England, orealise the principle of giving students easy access to timely, accurate and e, about all the financial support they
Do you agree?		
Yes	No	Not Sure
Comments:		

HEFCE to commission early research on how students and their advisers are using the information on the Teaching Quality Information (TQI) website, to ensure it is meeting the needs of students and their advisers and to inform its further development from 2006.

Do you agree?			
Yes	No	Not Sure	
Comments:			
Proposal 4  HEIs to develop more in particularly why their appropriately proposed in the particular of the particular of the proposed in the particular of t		to feed back to students, detailing een rejected.	
Yes	No	Not Sure	
Comments:			

Schools and colleges should not supply students' predicted exam results with their HE applications and these should play no part in HE admissions decisions.

	u agree?			
	Yes	No	Not Sure	
Comn	nents:			
				ļ
			_	
Ques	tion 1			
What	other information co	uld be supplied in th	neir place?	
What		uld be supplied in th	neir place?	
		uld be supplied in t	neir place?	
		uld be supplied in tl	neir place?	
		uld be supplied in t	neir place?	
		ould be supplied in t	neir place?	
		ould be supplied in t	neir place?	
		ould be supplied in t	neir place?	

The Delivery Partnership (that we propose in Chapter 9) to keep in touch with developments in the e-portfolio and investigate its potential role in the HE applications process.

Do you agree?		
Yes	No	Not Sure
Comments:		
Proposal 7		
Students to submit initial the end of March, either tapplications as speedily a	together or separate	en the beginning of September and ely. HEIs should seek to respond to
Do you agree?		
Yes	No	Not Sure
Comments:		

# **Question 2** Are you in favour of four or six initial applications? Four Six Other Comments: **Proposal 8** Students who receive no offers from their initial applications to be able to submit an unlimited number of additional applications, one at a time, until they secure an offer, up to the end of June. Do you agree? No Not Sure Yes Comments:

## **Proposal 9** HEIs to publish monthly vacancy lists, from the end of March until the end of Clearing. Do you agree? Not Sure Yes No Comments: **Question 3** Should there be one single final date for the submission of applications, or should the current deadline of 15 October remain the same for the submission of applications for Oxbridge, Medicine, Dentistry and Veterinary Science courses? Single date Other As now for all Comments:

HEIs to continue their work to ensure against unfair competition for places between pre-qualified and other home and EU students.

Do you	ı agree?		
	Yes	No	Not Sure
Comme	∍nts:		
student uncond	continue to consi		om pre-qualified overseas non-EU oriate, offer them places on an
	Yes	No	Not Sure
Comme	∍nts:		

# **Proposal 12** Students to continue to hold up to two offers. Do you agree? Not Sure Yes No Comments: **Question 4** Should students who hold only one offer following their initial applications be allowed to submit additional applications until they gain a second offer? Yes No Not Sure Comments:

Students holding two offers to continue to rank them as first firm and insurance choices.
Do you agree?
Yes No Not Sure
Comments:
Proposal 14  Students who achieve higher grades than required by their conditional offers to be able to make a new application and have their original first firm conditional offer protected whilst they do so.  Do you agree?
Yes No Not Sure
Comments:

A confirmation and new application round to be run ahead of Clearing.
Do you agree?
Yes No Not Sure
Comments:
Commission.
Question 5
How long would it take to run the above process?
Comments:

The two route application system for Art and Design to be replaced by a single application system which retains sequential applications and an opening date for applications at the beginning of September and a closing date in late March.

ро уо	u agree?			
	Yes	No	Not Sure	
Comm	nents:			
	_			
Propo	osal 17			
Cleari stude	ng to operate onts submit one	on the basis of three application in each r	consecutive application rour round.	nds in which
Do yo	u agree?			
	Yes	No	Not Sure	
Comm	 nents:			

The results of AS, A-Levels, Highers and Advanced Highers to be published at least one week earlier than at present.

Do you	ı agree?			
	Yes	No	Not Sure	)
Comme	ents:			
A-level HE adr them, v accred	o be undertaken to I qualifications, who	ose timetables it the requirements d appropriate, to	is not feasible to less of that cycle, with	orm those taking non bring in line with the n the aim of allowing arning and
	Yes	No	Not Sure	e
Comme	ents:			

Work to be started now with the express purpose of ensuring that, by 2008/09, the results of non A-level portfolio based qualifications are published earlier than they are at present.

Do you	agree?		
	Yes	No	Not Sure
Comme	ents:		
Questi	on 6		
there s	ufficient time to op		re published earlier, as proposed: is d approach to Clearing, whilst
	Yes	No	Not Sure
Comme	ents:		

b) How much later than at present could the HE term start?				
nments:				

If not:

### **POST-QUALIFICATION APPLICATIONS**

റ	P٦	П	റ	N	Α
$\mathbf{-}$			v		$\overline{}$

7

How might HEIs feed back to student	s' expressions of interest	under Option A?
-------------------------------------	----------------------------	-----------------

Comments:			
Question 8			
		ning their exam results be al	
		, but re-enter the Application uiry changes their grades?	Phase
and and by that of		uny changes then grades.	
Yes	No	Not Sure	
	<u> </u>		
Comments:			

### **Question 9**

Do you support Option A?

	Yes	No	Not Sure	
Comr	nents:			

### **OPTION B**

### **Question 10**

Do you support the propo	sal that Option B sh	hould not involve an insurance offer?
Yes	No	Not Sure
Comments:		
Question 11  a) Should conditional offe their applications?	ers be protected for	those students wishing to change
Yes	No	Not Sure
Comments:		

b) Should only?	d this protection	apply whilst the stu	dent changes their applicati	ion once
Y	Yes	No	Not Sure	
Commen	nts:			
Question	n 12			
		e a minimum propo	rtion of places for Phase 2	of Option
a) Should B?		e a minimum propo	rtion of places for Phase 2 o	of Option
a) Should B?	d all HEIs reserv Yes	_		of Option
a) Should B?	d all HEIs reserv Yes	_		of Option
a) Should B?	d all HEIs reserv Yes	_		of Option
a) Should B?	d all HEIs reserv Yes	_		of Option
a) Should B?	d all HEIs reserv Yes	_		of Option
a) Should B?	d all HEIs reserv Yes	_		of Option

b) How might HEIs of and what proportion	etermine what propo to reserve for Phase	ortion of places to allocate 2 of Option B?	at Phase 1
Comments:			
c) Should the same	proportion of places I	be reserved on all courses	s?
Yes	No	Not Sure	
Comments:			

### **Question 13**

What proportion of places should be reserved for Option B Phase 2?

Comments:			
Question 14			
hold open the original off	ers they were m	stioning their exam results l nade, whilst their results en if the results enquiry results	quiry runs its
Yes	No	Not Sure	
Comments:			

the bas		ey aı	re questioning a		native place during this time on ake up this place if their results
	Yes		No		Not Sure
Commo					
start of to gain alterna	Option B, thinking a Phase 2 and are q an offer on the bas	uest is of eir r	ioning their resu f the grades the esults enquiry ir	ults: y are npro	no conditional offers at the should these students be able questioning, seek an ove their grades, but still be loose?
	Yes		No		Not Sure
Commo	ents:				

# Question 16 Do you support Option B? Yes No Not Sure Comments: Question 17 Do you support the proposals made in Chapter 9 for a Delivery Partnership to lead implementation of reform to the applications system, supported by a Stakeholders Advisory Forum?

Ctanonolacio / tav			
Yes	No	Not Sure	
Comments:			

hank you for taking the time to let us have your views. We do not intend to icknowledge individual responses unless you place an 'X' in the box below.
Please acknowledge this reply
Here at the Department for Education and Skills we carry out our research on nany different topics and consultations. As your views are valuable to us, would ou be happy for us to contact you again from time to time either for research or send through consultation documents?
Yes No

All UK national public consultations are required to conform to the following standards:

- 1. Consult widely throughout the process, allowing a minimum of 12 weeks for written consultation at least once during the development of the policy.
- 2. Be clear about what your proposals are, who may be affected, what questions are being asked and the timescale for responses.
- 3. Ensure that your consultation is clear, concise and widely accessible.
- 4. Give feedback regarding the responses received and how the consultation process influenced the policy.
- 5. Monitor your department's effectiveness at consultation, including through the use of a designated consultation co-ordinator.
- 6. Ensure your consultation follows better regulation best practice, including carrying out a Regulatory Impact Assessment if appropriate.

Further information on the Code of Practice can be accessed through the Cabinet Office Website: http://www.cabinetoffice.gov.uk/regulation/consultation-guidance/content/introduction/index.asp

### Thank you for taking time to respond to this consultation.

Completed questionnaires and other responses should be sent to the address shown below by 5 December 2005

Send <u>by post</u> to: PQA Consultation, DfES Consultation Unit; Area 1A, Castle View House, East Lane, Runcorn, WA7 2GJ, or <u>by e-mail</u> to: pqa.consultation@dfes.gsi.gov.uk