

# Contents

Ι.	Introduction	3
2.	Role of the school improvement partner	4
3.	Work of the school improvement partner	5
4.	Where a school improvement partner has concerns	11
5.	Accountability and relationships	14
6.	Professional support for school improvement partners	16
7.	Data checklist	17
ANNEXES		
Α	School improvement partner job description and person specification	19
В	National Strategies support for school improvement partners	25
C	Removal of accreditation	27
D	Further information	31
E	Frequently Asked Questions	33

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

In 2004, the Government set out its vision for a new relationship with schools, designed to give schools greater freedom and autonomy and thereby release greater local initiative and energy in schools, helping them to raise standards. The school improvement partner programme, introduced as part of this new relationship, aims to provide school leaders with challenge and support from people who really know the business of school improvement and the realities of school leadership.

The government is providing local authorities with additional funding to cover the higher costs of operating the school improvement partner function compared with previous arrangements. The Education and Inspections Bill 2006 includes a clause to require local authorities to appoint school improvement partners.

Every maintained primary, secondary and special school and every academy is being allocated a school improvement partner on a rolling programme between September 2005 and April 2008. Pupil referral units which have the status of schools are included in the programme.

This Brief explains the role of school improvement partners and what is expected of them. It is intended to be a reference document for school improvement partners, head teachers, governors - particularly chairs of governors - and others interested in understanding the role. Detailed guidance on the work of school improvement partners is given in publications from the National Strategies and in material provided by local authorities.

The term "local authority" is used throughout this Brief to indicate the authority that maintains the school with which the school improvement partner works. In the case of academies, the term refers to the DfES.

#### 2. THE ROLE OF THE SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PARTNER

A school improvement partner provides professional challenge and support to the school, helping its leadership to evaluate its performance, identify priorities for improvement, and plan effective change. The full job description is set out at Annex A.

The school improvement partner acts for the local authority and is the main (but not the only) channel for local authority communication about school improvement with the school. The guiding principles of the school improvement partner's work are:

- focus on pupil progress and attainment across the ability range, and the many factors which influence it, including pupil well-being, extended services and parental involvement;
- respect for the school's autonomy to plan its development, starting from the school's self-evaluation and the needs of the pupils and of other members of the school community;
- **professional challenge and support,** so that the school's practice and performance are improved; and
- evidence-based assessment of the school's performance and its strategies for improving teaching and learning.

Typically a school improvement partner should devote five days to a school every year. Specific allocations of time for each school will be determined by the local authority in the light of the circumstances of the school and the nature of its needs, but they must allow the core functions to be undertaken in every school. The core functions include advice from the school improvement partner to the school's governing body on the performance management of the head teacher and the school's performance management arrangements. The order of activities will be discussed and agreed between the school improvement partner and head teacher taking into account the school's planning cycle and priorities. Some of the school improvement partner's work will take place in school, whilst others, for example preparation, reading and report-writing, will occur out of school.

Some local authorities will want school improvement partners to undertake more than the core function. Such matters will be for local determination.

Every school improvement partner will set aside a total of four additional days a year for professional development and for national, regional and local networking. This networking will provide opportunities for communicating and sharing information, for example from and with the local Learning and Skills Council (LSC) or Government Office, who have important contributions to make. Local authorities and the National Strategies school improvement partner team will be responsible for co-ordinating these contributions locally, regionally and nationally.

#### 3. THE WORK OF THE SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PARTNER

The school improvement partner will have a limited number of exchanges with the school's leadership about how well the school is serving its pupils and how the school needs to improve.

These exchanges will vary from school to school, but will have a common core:

Inputs

**Focus** 

Outputs

School's self-evaluation, linked to the Ofsted selfevaluation form;

school's development plan;

information on how the school ensures pupils make progress and addresses, where appropriate, the 5 outcomes from *Every Child Matters*;

school- and pupil-level data and analyses;

value for money comparisons (see Annex C Further Information);

most recent Ofsted report; and

local authority briefing on local issues.

How well is the school performing?

What are the key factors?

What are the key priorities and targets for improvement?

How will the school achieve them?

Report to head teacher, governors, local authority on:

- · self- evaluation;
- · priorities and targets;
- action;
- package of support including engagement with other schools/agencies; and

(where relevant) commentary on specialism/s

Head teacher's performance and school's performance management systems

Advice to governing body on head teacher performance management and school's PM systems

#### **FOCUS**

The following series of questions is intended to give an indication of the school improvement partner's agenda. It is not a checklist and school improvement partners will want to supplement this list from time to time with questions to cover schools' performance in relation to specific government policies and local issues.

### How well is the school performing?

- What do the data and documentation on pupils' targets, attainment, well-being and progress say about the ambition of the school?
- Are there significant variations and underperformance, or areas of outstanding strength within the school, including but not limited to those identified by Ofsted/the local authority?
- What evidence is there that the school is addressing the range of outcomes identified in Every Child Matters: being healthy, staying safe, enjoying and achieving, making a positive contribution and achieving economic well-being? How good are pupils' attendance and behaviour? How are these affecting standards and achievement?
- How well are different groups of pupils doing? (Looked-after children, boys, girls, those of different ethnic or socio-economic groups, gifted and talented pupils and those with a disability or special educational need)
- How well is the school helping pupils to progress to the next education stage and beyond?
- How well is the school using, for example, its specialism/leading/training status to improve its own and others' performance?
- What evidence is there of pupil, parental and community satisfaction with the school?
- What evidence is there that the school is tailoring its curriculum offer and teaching and learning to the particular needs of individual pupils?

# What are the key factors?

- How robust is the school's self-evaluation? Does the school regularly measure pupils' progress and have ambitious targets for and expectations of what each of them will achieve? Has the school interpreted the evidence correctly and are its judgements sound?
- How effective is leadership and management?
- How does the school judge the quality of teaching and learning?
- How effective are the school's core systems and policies? (pupil assessment and targetsetting; continuing professional development (CPD) across the school; performance management; behaviour; curriculum; middle-management and leadership)
- To what extent is the school taking account of the priorities, strategies and actions in the local Children and Young People's Plan in its own strategic planning?
- Is the school making the best use of its resources?
- Is the school working effectively with external partners?

# What are the priorities and targets for improvement?

- Is the school choosing the right priorities for the next academic year based on an assessment of the progress of different groups and the strengths and weaknesses of the school?
- Are the statutory and other school (e.g. specialist) targets in the next two years based on aspirational expectations of what individual pupils might achieve? Are they realistic yet challenging?
- For specialist schools, is there evidence that objectives and targets in the school's community work are the result of consultation and research?
- What aspects of practice could be shared with others beyond the school so as to contribute to raising attainment and achievement more widely?

#### How will the school achieve them?

- Has the school the capacity to improve?
  - Are the leadership team and governing body choosing and effectively implementing high-impact, sustainable strategies for school improvement?
  - Does the school's plan set out the strategies which the school is actually using?
  - Is the school's plan deliverable? Is it focused on tackling underperformance and other areas of weakness? Is it monitored and evaluated?
  - What support from outside (including the National Strategies; wider children's services; school-to-school networks) does the school need?
- Is the school considering how pupils can benefit from extended services, for example, study support, and encouraging parental and community involvement in children's education?
- How is the leadership team working with other children's services, for example, using the Common Assessment Framework (CAF)?

# Head teacher's performance

- What progress has the head teacher made towards the previous performance management objectives set by the governing body?
- What would be suitable objectives for governors to set the head teacher for next year?
- How are the school's performance management systems contributing to raising attainment, achievement and pupils' wider well-being?

### **OUTPUTS**

### Notes and reports of visits

The school improvement partner will use the local authority's standard reporting requirements and paperwork to report on his/her dialogue with schools. This will ensure that, for every school, the head teacher, governors and the local authority are all apprised of the school's progress and areas for development.

Whatever the local authority's other reporting requirements, the school improvement partner needs to write an annual report on each school, which should include:

- a commentary on the quality and impact of the school's self-evaluation;
- a commentary on the priorities and targets in the school plan and progress on achieving them;
- in the case of a specialist school, a commentary on the impact of the specialism/s; and
- a commentary about the action planned by the school, and a recommendation about the external support needed.

In most cases the report will complement the head teacher's reports to the governing body, but the school improvement partner is independent of the school and may make a judgement of the school that is different from the head teacher's. The local authority's system for moderating school improvement partners' judgements will allow for schools to challenge what school improvement partners say.

#### Freedom of Information (FOI) Act

In setting up their systems and advising school improvement partners and schools, local authorities should have regard to the FOI Act. Whilst school improvement partners' reports are prepared primarily for the head teacher, governors and local authority, the potential for wider publication exists. All documentation should, therefore, be prepared on the basis that it might become public, although there are circumstances in which sensitive information within a document can be withheld ("redacted") whilst other parts of the document are released. Further information is available on the SIPs' Knowledge Bank (see Annex D for details).

# **Targets**

Schools set their own targets. These include a target for attendance as well as targets for attainment at the end of the relevant key stage. As well as setting statutory attainment targets for pupils in years 6, 9 and 11, it is good practice for schools additionally to set targets in each year group so that progress towards age-related expectations can be monitored and appropriate interventions planned. The school improvement partner will want to be satisfied that in setting pupils' targets, the school is being appropriately ambitious for the progress of each of its pupils; where a school proposes to set unambitious or unrealistic targets, the school improvement partner should challenge the school. Target-setting advice is available on the DfES website (see Annex D for further details).

### Information held by local authorities, the National Strategies and DfES

The school improvement partner's notes and reports will be used to update information held in the National Strategies' management information system. Further detail about this will be issued when the system is launched. The purpose of the process will be to ensure that national, regional and local strategic decisions are informed by the most up-to-date information about schools. This will allow emerging needs to be rapidly addressed and local authorities and government ministers to be kept informed of the impact of policy decisions on schools.

### Advice to the governing body on the head teacher's objectives

Every school improvement partner will offer the governing body advice on the head teacher's performance management objectives and a report on the school's performance management systems drawing on the school's responses to the light touch validation checklist, a copy of which can be found on the e-learning module within the school improvement partners' CPD site (see Annex D for details).

Regulations governing the arrangements for a head teacher's performance management state that the governing body must set the head teacher's objectives and must seek external advice on this. Subject to decisions by Parliament, where a school improvement partner has been appointed to a school, the governing body will be required to use the school improvement partner for such advice. The head teacher's planning and review statement is confidential to the head teacher and the governing body.

# Package of external support

It is for the school to draw upon whatever external support it needs to achieve its aims (except in the case of schools assessed by the local authority or Ofsted as requiring intervention). Some of this support is likely to be provided by the local authority and will need to link with its priorities. The school improvement partner and head teacher may wish to agree a package of external support which should:

- match the school's priorities;
- make best use of links with other schools, which the school improvement partner may be able to help broker; and
- draw on support for wider children's services offered through the local authority's children's trust or other partners.

### Re-designation of specialist schools

Specialism should be integral to a school's plan and therefore the school's performance as a specialist school should be an integral part of the school improvement partner's dialogue with the school. To enable the alignment of re-designation with Ofsted inspections, a move planned to take effect during 2007, the school improvement partner's reports of visits should comment on the impact of the specialism/s, as described in more detail in the Focus section on pages 6-7.

#### 4. WHERE A SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PARTNER HAS CONCERNS

There may be circumstances in which a school improvement partner has concerns about the capacity of a school to improve, and cannot secure agreement on action through professional dialogue with the head teacher and the governors. In such cases, the role of the school improvement partner, having made concerns explicit with the head teacher, is to alert the local authority so that early action can be taken.

#### Triggers for concern include:

- the school failing to address significant underperformance;
- risks to pupils' safety and well-being;
- significant budget problems without a secure recovery plan;
- the school failing to engage with the self-evaluation process;
- the school lacking the leadership capacity to improve; and
- for a secondary school, the school being at risk of failing to be re-designated for its specialism.

Local authorities have their own triggers, and will make school improvement partners familiar with them through local training and briefing. It is common good practice for an authority to have a published strategy for intervening in schools that cause it concern, determined following consultation. The strategy should cover statutory intervention, and also agreed sets of actions that do not depend on statutory powers. It should set out the criteria for levels of intervention. Intervention should be in inverse proportion to a school's success and its capacity to improve itself. In all cases, clear lines of communication between the school improvement partner and the rest of the authority's organisation are essential. For example, if someone other than the school improvement partner leads the co-ordination and monitoring of support, there should be a system of clear and regular communication with the school improvement partner to ensure that the school receives consistent messages and the barriers to school improvement are removed.

The school improvement partner is responsible for giving the school's local authority a judgement on whether the school should cause concern, and what action is needed. In most cases, the school improvement partner's judgement and the school's will be similar, and the school's own leadership team will be able to lead its own improvement, deploying external support as needed, from any appropriate source. But where the school improvement partner's advice is that the school's own actions will not enable it to improve (which could occur not only in failing or near-failing schools, but also in schools which are underperforming compared to other similar schools) this advice may prompt the authority to intervene in accordance with the local strategy for school intervention. In such cases, the school improvement partner will inform the head teacher and chair of governors that s/he is advising the local authority in this way.

The Education and Inspections Bill (going through Parliament at the time of writing) provides for significant new measures which enhance the role of local authorities in tackling underperformance, and supporting schools which are causing them concern, as quickly as possible.

Subject to parliamentary approval, local authorities will be able to issue warning notices to schools that are underperforming or are letting down sizeable groups of their pupils. They will also have a duty to consider radical action whenever a maintained school fails an inspection, and must reconsider action if progress is deemed unsatisfactory. To enable local authorities to support school improvement, they will have a new power to force a weak school to federate or take another partner for the purposes of school improvement. Draft statutory guidance has been issued to enable further understanding of the proposed legislative framework. It can be found at http://www.standards.dfes.gov.uk/sie/si/SCC/news/draftguidance

Whether in relation to an underperforming or a failing school, the school improvement partner has a key role in supporting and challenging the school to plan and implement the necessary improvements, and reporting to the local authority. The draft guidance states that local authorities should refrain from issuing warning notices if the school in question is aware of the problem and is working well alongside the school improvement partner to rectify the problem.

# 5. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PARTNERS' ACCOUNTABILITY AND RELATIONSHIPS

The school improvement partner works for and on behalf of the local authority, which has responsibility for the school improvement partner's performance. Local authorities' engagement with schools on school improvement will be channelled through school improvement partners.

A local authority will appoint a nationally accredited school improvement partner to each of the schools (including pupil referral units) that it maintains. The authority should consult with the school, and take account of any reasons a school puts forward for not wanting to accept a particular individual as its school improvement partner, but the final choice is for the authority. School improvement partners will not normally be deployed to the same school for more than three years.

A school improvement partner may raise with his/her regional school improvement partner co-ordinator concerns about the local authority's response to reports on a particular school or schools.

The school improvement partner's link to central government will operate through the National Strategies team, who will be responsible for:

- the assessment, training and accreditation of school improvement partners;
- providing a national framework for continuing support and training for school improvement partners;
- the national co-ordination of local authorities' management of school improvement partners;
- assuring the quality of local authorities' performance management arrangements for school improvement partners; and
- where necessary, removal of individual school improvement partners' accreditation. (For the full procedure see the National Strategies school improvement partners' CPD site, details at Annex D.)

Local authorities will review the performance of each of their school improvement partners annually, and where there are concerns about any individual's performance, will inform the National Strategies team. This joint approach will enable both local authorities and the National Strategies team to maintain the required standard of school improvement partner performance.

Since a school's governing body is responsible for the strategic direction of the school, the school improvement partner needs to interact with the governing body as well as the head teacher. The school improvement partner's reports will offer the governing body a professional view on the overall direction of the school. S/he will also have specific responsibility for advising governors on the head teacher's performance management objectives and the school's performance management arrangements. The range of meetings and other contacts between the school improvement partner and governors for this work will be for local determination, and will include face-to-face contact between the school improvement partner and representatives of the governing body every year.

The relationship between the school improvement partner and Ofsted inspectors is as follows:

- school improvement partners may be inspectors of schools. However, they must neither inspect nor be directly involved in the inspection of schools for which they are school improvement partners. If the school improvement partner attends feedback following an inspection, it will be as an observer, at the request of the local authority or the school. On monitoring inspections of schools subject, for example, to special measures, inspectors will often need to interview school improvement partners, given their pivotal role on behalf of the local authority in co-ordinating support for the school;
- schools should make school improvement partners' reports available to inspection teams on request. Such reports will not be provided to inspectors prior to inspection;
- inspectors must not give any information to school improvement partners about the inspection of schools that could prejudice the inspection, for example, prior notice of an inspection; school improvement partners must not seek to secure such information nor divulge it to schools if they become aware of it; and
- school improvement partners' reports will form the basis for local authorities' discussions with local managing inspectors.

There may be occasions when a school's improvement partner and Ofsted inspector will reach different conclusions on the same issue. Ofsted grades should generally be regarded as benchmark grades for the time that the inspection took place, but schools change over time. If schools are dissatisfied with the work of inspectors or school improvement partners, they can make use of Ofsted's or the local authority's complaints procedures.

# RESPONSIBILITIES FOR DELIVERING THE SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PARTNER PROGRAMME

#### **DfES**

#### Responsible for:

- Monitoring the delivery of the National Strategies contract
- Negotiating local authority involvement with the SIP programme
- SIP policy and funding, including the determination of national accreditation criteria
- Providing data on school performance and context



#### **National Strategies**

#### Responsible for:

- Strategic decisions within the DfES's policy quidelines
- · Contracting for the accreditation of SIPs
- The delivery of the SIP programme
- Liaison with local authorities, including quality assurance of SIP management systems
- · Maintaining a database of SIPs
- Maintaining a management information system to support the programme
- Aspects of professional development in liaison with local authorities
- Removal of accreditation, with the involvement of DfES, local authorities and NCSL



#### **Local Authority**

#### Responsible for:

- Commissioning, deploying and contracting with individual SIPs within the DfES's policy guidelines
- Providing information for the SIP
- Performance Management of SIPs
- Aspects of induction and professional development in liaison with National Strategies Regional SIP Co-ordinators
- Ensuring that SIPs have access to support from wider children's services



# 1

#### **NCSL**

#### Responsible for:

- Delivering a contract on behalf of the National Strategies
- Recruiting potential SIPs
- Planning and delivering the assessment and accreditation programme for SIPs



#### **School Improvement Partner**

# Responsible, in each school where s/he is the SIP, for:

- Providing professional challenge and support, including the setting of suitably ambitious targets
- Ensuring that data is used to evaluate and benchmark progress and highlight areas of underperformance for discussion
- Regular reporting to the local authority, head teacher and chair of governors as agreed with the local authority
- Reporting to the National Strategies and DfES as required
- Agreeing with the head teacher and governors a package of support as required
- Commenting on specialist schools specialism/s
- Advising the governing body on the head teacher's performance management objectives and the school's performance management systems

# Schools: head teachers and governing bodies

#### Responsible for:

- Working with the SIP to raise standards of attainment and achievement
- Sharing data and information with the SIP
- Using the SIP's advice and guidance to make the performance management of the head teacher and other school staff appropriately rigorous
- Providing feedback to the local authority on the effectiveness of the SIP



**KEY** 

Contractual Arrangements



**Operational Activity** 

### 6. PROFESSIONAL SUPPORT FOR SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PARTNERS

School improvement partners have strengths in three areas:

- the ability to interact well with local authority staff and with leaders in a variety of schools, tailoring their challenge and support to the circumstances and characteristics of schools;
- the ability to analyse a school's strengths and areas for improvement, including the use of data; and
- judgement about a wide range of effective strategies for school improvement and planning how to use them.

These strengths are tested in a formal assessment process and only people who meet the required standard in the assessments are accredited as school improvement partners.

The local authority and the National Strategies team will each provide CPD and support for school improvement partners, participation in which has the first call on the four days a year that each school improvement partner has available for development and networking.

This will include, from the local authority:

- information about the local authority and schools;
- guidance about its expectations for school improvement and the progress pupils should make;
- local criteria and processes for schools causing concern;
- performance management and tailored support for individuals;
- quality assurance of the support and challenge to each school provided by the school improvement partner; and
- a named point of contact who will deal with problems and queries by phone or email.

and from the National Strategies:

- quality assurance of local authorities' management of the school improvement partner programme for their schools;
- information on government policies and regional and national developments, including the National Strategies offer and guidance on target-setting expectations;
- a programme of face-to-face and on-line development opportunities;
- an on-line network facility; and
- an e-mail contact address for the National Strategies primarysips@capita.co.uk and secondarysips@capita.co.uk

#### 7. DATA CHECKLIST

# Core data needed by a school improvement partner

School-generated documentation, such as:

- the school's self-evaluation documentation and SEF;
- the school development plan;
- the school's own data analysis, including analyses generated by the school from RAISEonline<sup>1</sup> and, in primary, information in the Foundation Stage Profile;
- the head teacher's report to governing body;
- performance management policy and arrangements; and
- information related to staff professional development.

Local authority-generated data, such as:

- local school performance analysis, most recent reports of the previous school improvement partner (or link adviser if school improvement partners are newly introduced) or consultant, data on attendance and exclusions, local LSC data and reports;
- analyses generated by RAISEonline;
- Fischer Family Trust (FFT) data analyses for the school;
- the degree of success in meeting targets;
- history of support to the school;
- data on pupil well-being, including local youth crime, local drugs/alcohol abuse, obesity, teenage pregnancy rates; and
- the local Children and Young People's Plan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> RAISEonline is a web-based interactive tool providing a single point of access to school- and pupil-level data and analyses. See Annex D for further information.

The school improvement partner's own pack of data:

- pupil attainment, progress and targets data from RAISEonline;
- Value Added (VA) and Distance Travelled (DT) charts and data for 16-19 learners, from the Learner Achievement Tracker (LAT) <sup>2</sup>;
- value for money benchmarks from the DfES benchmarking website which can be found at https://sfb.teachernet.gov.uk.schoolfinance/Login.aspx
- latest Ofsted report from http://www.ofsted.gov.uk/reports

# Other data, available from the school, which might be of help to a school improvement partner

- the school profile;
- staffing and staff absence;
- school budget;
- school curriculum plan;
- school organisational and structure plan;
- specialist school application/re-designation documentation;
- school-level self-assessment documentation, for example, the Quality Standards in Gifted and Talented Education<sup>3</sup>;
- any other information the school feels is important in defining its character:
  - · community and extra-curricular activities; and
  - strategic issues affecting the locality.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>See Annex D for further information about the LAT and how to access it

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Annex D for websites

#### **ANNEX A**

# SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PARTNER JOB DESCRIPTION AND PERSON SPECIFICATION

#### JOB DESCRIPTION

#### **Purpose**

A school improvement partner provides professional challenge and support to a number of schools maintained by one or more authorities by:

- acting as a critical professional friend to the schools, helping their leadership to evaluate their schools' performance, identify priorities for improvement and plan effective change;
- helping build the schools' capacity to improve pupils' achievement and to realise other key outcomes for pupils that bear on achievement;
- contributing to whole-school improvement in the schools, including effective contribution to the *Every Child Matters* outcomes;
- providing challenge and support for the senior leadership team in the schools; and
- providing information to governing bodies on their schools' performance and development.

#### Reports to

The school's local authority.

#### **Key Contacts**

Head teachers and senior managers of school improvement partner's schools; chairs of governors of school improvement partner's schools; local authority manager of school improvement partners; and regional school improvement partner co-ordinator.

#### Main Accountabilities

- Discuss and assess a school's self-evaluation and school improvement plan against available evidence including the Ofsted report, and comment on the effectiveness of these.
- Provide an objective review of the school's performance data by considering its most recent national test results, trends over time and data on other pupils' achievement and well-being, and analysing the evidence for the school's improvement.
- Identify areas of strength and weakness and scrutinise the progress made by different groups of pupils to ensure that success for some does not hide failure of others.
- Discuss the school's targets and priorities for the coming year, based on the analysis of the data above, to ensure that they are ambitious but realistic and focused on all pupils progressing and fulfiling their potential.
- Advise the school on resources and strategies which fit priorities.
- Challenge the school where necessary, particularly on its capacity to improve and whether it is focusing on the most important priorities for improvement and development.
- Discuss a package of support and challenge for the school (provided both by the local authority and/or external sources) and ensure that this is appropriately managed.
- Report the outcomes of the dialogue with the school to the school's governing body, the head teacher, the school's maintaining authority and the National Strategies.
- Provide advice and guidance to the governing body to inform the performance management of the head teacher.
- Contribute to the effective development of the school improvement partner programme.

#### PERSON SPECIFICATION

#### Introduction

School improvement partners will have a range of essential skills, knowledge, experience and expertise and personal qualities. Some skills and knowledge might have been acquired through training and development rather than as a consequence of experience at work. Some gaps in knowledge are likely to be readily filled by training, but a person should demonstrate the underlying and essential knowledge for the role before working as a school improvement partner.

#### **Skills**

#### **Analytical ability**

School improvement partners need to:

- understand the construction and use of leading indicators of performance;
- interpret complex and detailed quantitative and qualitative data accurately and quickly;
- pursue challenging and rigorous questions, probe explanations of root causes and apparent inconsistencies;
- identify key issues accurately; and
- give accurate feedback, both oral and written.

#### **Judgement**

School improvement partners should be able to:

- develop arguments and consistently make sound judgements on the basis of evidence, qualitative and quantitative information and rigorous analysis about performance and potential;
- adapt judgements to circumstances and be able to make accurate, consistent and proportional judgements of performance in different instances (i.e. treat schools in similar circumstances similarly and make proportionate allowances for differences in different circumstances);
- be consistent in the assessment of a school leadership's record in evaluating its own improvement actions; its capability to deliver improvement and evaluate the impact of actions; and its record in delivering improvement;
- make judgements against a background of high expectations for all pupils and an awareness
  of the complex issues which surround the achievement of different groups of pupils, for
  example looked after children, boys, girls, those of different ethnic or socio-economic groups
  and those with a disability or special educational need;

- acknowledge a full range of options and their pros and cons and demonstrate aspirational, yet realistic expectations, providing challenge that stretches professional practice; and
- explain how judgements are made and be able to justify them in the face of opposition or challenge.

#### **ICT**

School improvement partners should:

• use information and communications technology, including maintaining up-to-date records and making use of materials held on databases.

### Knowledge

School improvement partners should have knowledge of:

- the range of approaches to leadership and management in schools in the phase in which the school improvement partner is to work, and understand how to tackle underperformance in different contexts and at all levels;
- funding for schools in the phase in which the school improvement partner is to work;
- school improvement strategies for schools in the phase in which the school improvement partner is to work national, regional and local dimensions;
- the application of ICT in schools;
- government and local authority education policies and strategies and their implementation, including children's trust arrangements, the rights of the child and extended schools;
- the types and sources of services available to help schools, including the capabilities of providers;
- collaborative approaches between schools;
- principles and practice of quality assurance systems, including school self-evaluation and performance management; and
- equal opportunities legislation and the issues surrounding the achievement of different groups of pupils, for example looked after children, boys, girls, those of different ethnic or socio-economic groups and those with a disability or special educational need.

### **Experience and expertise**

School improvement partners should be able to demonstrate the following:

- membership of school leadership team or experience of senior local authority advisory work and/or related areas of work relevant to the phase of the school improvement partner's work;
- recent first-hand experience of successful performance improvement in practice;
- evidence of sustained performance improvement as a result of own actions;
- experience of a range of performance improvement approaches and their application in different circumstances;
- significant senior experience in complex organisations undergoing change;
- record of successful organisational and people management and financial planning and control; and
- experience of school improvement techniques and approaches and of using self-evaluation to identify priorities for action.

### **Personal qualities**

School improvement partners should:

- be highly motivated, enthusiastic, strategic leaders;
- be credible, commanding respect in a wide range of circumstances;
- be committed to lifelong learning and up-to-date with the educational agenda;
- be able to collaborate with colleagues and work within the National Strategies and local authority structures;
- be committed to valuing diversity and demonstrate sensitivity to the needs and rights of different ethnic and religious groups, lesbian, gay, bisexual and transsexual people and those with disabilities;
- be open-minded, active listeners;
- initiate and deal with challenge and manage conflict;
- be creative in problem solving;
- communicate persuasively, articulate in speech and in writing and adapt appropriately to different audiences, use influencing skills effectively;
- receive and act on feedback about own performance;
- promote and manage change; and
- interpret complex and detailed quantitative and qualitative data accurately and quickly.

# Integrity and behaviour

School improvement partners should:

- ensure that private, personal, political and financial interests do not conflict with work as a school improvement partner;
- disclose to the maintaining authority of any school for which s/he is the school improvement partner, and to the school's governing body, any direct or indirect interest which might affect or appear to affect decisions made by any of them. In such cases the school improvement partner must not influence or appear to influence such decisions;
- not allow judgement or conduct as a school improvement partner to be influenced or compromised by commercial considerations;
- not accept any hospitality or inducements that could influence judgement;
- not directly or indirectly exert influence or pressure on any person for the purpose of securing work or contracts;
- report financial or other irregularities to the local authority; and
- (for serving heads) ensure that their own school will be able to be well managed and led while giving up the time necessary for school improvement partner work.

### **ANNEX B**

#### NATIONAL STRATEGIES SUPPORT FOR SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PARTNERS

Since April 2005 the National Strategies have been organised regionally. The regions are similar to government office regions. Each region is led by a Senior Regional Director who has the responsibility for management and deployment of the regional National Strategies team and for providing each local authority with challenge and support at senior levels. Within the senior management team of each region there are regional school improvement partner co-ordinators for both the primary and secondary phases.

The National Strategies have responsibility for overall delivery of the school improvement partner programme. This includes:

- strategic decisions within DfES policy;
- monitoring the contract with the NCSL;
- liaison with local authorities including quality assurance of the school improvement partner programme;
- maintaining a management information system and database of school improvement partners to support the programme; and
- professional development of school improvement partners in partnership with local authorities.

The regional school improvement partner co-ordinators have responsibility for working with local authorities to provide support and challenge for their implementation of the school improvement partner programme, and in particular, to quality assure the school improvement partner function across the region. Quality assurance is carried out according to the quality assurance framework available on the school improvement partners' Knowledge Bank, and supplements the local authority's own QA processes.

Quality assurance is carried out across the key dimensions of the programme:

- The assessment and accreditation process;
- Local authority processes;
  - Recruitment and deployment;
  - Performance management of school improvement partners;
  - School improvement partners' reports;
- School interactions;
  - School improvement partners' challenge and support;
  - Target setting;

- Brokering support; and
- Advice to governors on the performance management of head teachers.

In order to carry out quality assurance, regional school improvement partner co-ordinators will:

- Meet with local authority school improvement partners' managers regularly to discuss the local authority's systems for managing school improvement partners;
- Talk to school improvement partners about their experiences of working within particular local authorities:
- Talk to head teachers about their perceptions of the process and the quality that they are experiencing;
- Evaluate a sample of school improvement partners' reports, including triangulation with school data to ensure that relevant issues are being addressed by school improvement partners; and
- Feedback the findings of these activities to school improvement partners' managers.

In the normal course of events this will be the extent of the exchanges between the National Strategies and local authorities on their implementation of the school improvement partner function. However, where the regional school improvement partners' co-ordinator has concerns about a local authority, and has shared these with the local authority's school improvement partners' manager, then these will be raised with the Senior Regional Director who will wish to discuss such concerns with the Director of Children's Services.

There may be rare occasions where school improvement partners feel that legitimate concerns they have about some aspect of the school improvement partner function within a local authority are not being given due regard. On such occasions they may raise their concerns with the regional school improvement partners' co-ordinator directly. Contact details for regional school improvement partners' co-ordinators are on the SIPs' Knowledge Bank.

### **ANNEX C**

#### PROCEDURE FOR REMOVAL OF SIP ACCREDITATION

#### Criteria for de-accreditation

The National College for School Leadership (NCSL) assesses the competence of candidates to become school improvement partners. Those who are successful are automatically entered on the register of those approved to work with schools that is held and maintained by the National Strategies on behalf of the DfES. At this point a school improvement partner is accredited. Once accredited, a school improvement partner is liable to lose his or her accreditation under one or more of the following circumstances:

- the person has not worked as a school improvement partner for three years (the **lapsed** criterion);
- the person conducts himself or herself in a manner inappropriate for a school improvement partner (the **conduct** criterion);
- the person shows himself or herself to be incompetent as a school improvement partner by falling short of the requirements of the person specification and job description (the **competence** criterion);
- the person has behaved in a way, probably outside his or her work as a school improvement partner, that raises concerns regarding child safety, social, community, commercial or political conduct or bad publicity that would make attendance at a school improper or unwise or unacceptable because it brings his or her accreditation into disrepute (the public confidence criterion).

#### The lapsed criterion

Without some level of recent and relevant experience of working as a school improvement partner, the currency of a person's accreditation will decline over time. So, if a person who is assessed as competent to be a school improvement partner does not practice as a school improvement partner for a period of three years, accreditation will automatically lapse and the name of the person will be removed from the register.

The National Strategies will warn any school improvement partner likely to be de-accredited because of not having practiced, six months ahead of his or her removal from the school improvement partner register. Any person whose school improvement partner accreditation lapses will be notified by the National Strategies when this occurs, at which point the person may draw attention to any errors in the information upon which the decision was based. If the records held were erroneous and the person can provide evidence that she or he has practiced as a school improvement partner within the period specified, then the national school improvement partner co-ordinator will restore the accreditation of the individual concerned.

Where an individual's accreditation has lapsed, she or he may submit himself or herself for reassessment through the same procedure as is used for people who have never been school improvement partners.

#### The conduct criterion

School improvement partners need to operate to a high standard of professionalism in their work within local authorities and in schools. They are expected to treat others with courtesy and respect, to be transparent in their dealing with schools and they should at all times behave in accordance with the law of the land. They should understand and respect the forms of communication schools will require of them and should always recognise the boundaries of their role in their dealings with staff, governors and others in schools and in the local authority. School improvement partners should ensure that they make all the required visits to schools and that they attend these punctually.

The application form for assessment to be a school improvement partner and the pen portrait supplied to the National Strategies are key documents in the appointment of school improvement partners. Withholding or misrepresenting any information on either document, in such a way that it materially affects decisions taken to appoint a school improvement partner, will be taken as misconduct and will result in removal of accreditation.

School improvement partners have an obligation to attend the equivalent of four days of professional development organised by the National Strategies and their contracting local authorities. These should be given priority within their schedules with leave of absence from them only being given for reasons that would excuse someone from attending work. A record will be kept of attendance at professional development events, and continuous non-attendance without good cause may be regarded as misconduct and therefore be taken as a reason for removal of accreditation.

We expect school improvement partners to conduct themselves in a professional manner with the commitments they make to work for a local authority. Any short notice withdrawal from a commitment to a school or a local authority without good cause may be regarded as misconduct and could result in removal of accreditation.

#### The competence criterion

Evidence of incompetence will usually come from evidence collected through local authorities' performance management procedures. These procedures need to identify standards of performance against the national person specification and job description for school improvement partners. The procedures also need to include swift action in response to performance that falls short of national requirements: action to secure rapid improvements in performance, and initiation of the removal of accreditation in cases where the necessary improvements are not rapidly evident. The National Strategies will work with local authorities to ensure that local procedures can and do achieve this.

#### The public confidence criterion

School improvement partners are expected to uphold high standards of conduct in public life and to uphold the law of the land. Where a school improvement partner is involved in behaviour, most likely outside his or her work as a school improvement partner, which calls into question his or her integrity or probity, then his or her accreditation may be removed. Examples could include being charged with a criminal offence or concerns in relation to child protection issues, or where the school improvement partner's social, community, commercial or political conduct or bad publicity would make attendance at a school improper, unwise or unacceptable because it brings the school improvement partner's accreditation into disrepute.

#### The procedure for removal of accreditation

The body that holds the register of accredited school improvement partners is the National Strategies on behalf of the DfES and it is therefore the National Strategies that has responsibility for de-accreditation of school improvement partners. The National Strategies works closely with the NCSL and DfES through the school improvement partner Assessment and Accreditation Steering Group (to be known as "the Steering Group"). This body is established within the governance arrangements for the contract between NCSL and the National Strategies and is charged with strategic responsibility and oversight of all matters relating to school improvement partner assessment and accreditation. Its membership comprises representatives of NCSL, the National Strategies and DfES. Given its over-arching responsibility for professional standards for school improvement partners, this is an appropriate body to hear cases for de-accreditation based on the conduct, competence or public confidence criteria. At the end of the process, the steering group will decide whether or not the allegations presented to it are true based upon the balance of probability. Should the steering group so find, then it will go on to determine whether or not de-accreditation is a proportionate response to the misconduct or incompetence that has been determined. Should this be the case then it will advise the National Strategies to remove the school improvement partner from the register, thus resulting in the de-accreditation of the school improvement partner.

Any costs incurred by a school improvement partner in preparing for or attending any meetings, as part of this procedure, are to be borne by the school improvement partner. There can be no claim for reimbursement of such costs from any party involved in the process irrespective of the outcome. If a school improvement partner has any special needs or disabilities that the steering group needs to be made aware of in pursuance of the procedure, then s/he should ensure the steering group is aware of these so that reasonable adjustments can be made to the process and venues for any meetings held as part of the procedure.

#### **Annex D**

#### **FURTHER INFORMATION**

Audit Commission sites www.audit-commission.gov.uk

www.schoolsaudit-commission.gov.uk

**DfES** 

Gifted and talented website: www.standards.dfes.gov.uk/giftedandtalented

G&T portal: http://www2.teachernet.gov.uk/gat/

Financial benchmarking site: https://sfb.teachernet.gov.uk/Login.aspx

RAISEonline: www.raiseonline.org

-for usernames and passwords to the site,

contact your local authority

Standards site: www.standards.dfes.gov.uk

Target-setting guidance: www.standards.dfes.gov.uk/ts

-for usernames and passwords to the site,

contact your local authority or financial.benchmarking@dfes.gsi.gov.uk

#### **Learner Achievement Tracker**

The LAT can be used to access VA and DT information at qualification/subject level for schools with sixth forms and provides a comparison of school performance compared against national performance at subject and qualification level. The LAT is hosted on the LSC's Provider Gateway https://gateway.lsc.gov.uk/providergateway/ and access to the Gateway (and the LAT) can be granted by user approvers which have been set up in each school and local authority.

#### Further information can be found at:

http://www.lsc.gov.uk/National/Partners/PolicyandDevelopment/NewMeasuresofSuccess/nms-staffresources.htm?key=1/11

# **National Strategies**

School improvement partners' helpline 0845 850 1444

Email helpdesks PrimarySips@capita.co.uk

SecondarySips@capita.co.uk

School improvement partners' CPD site

(School improvement partners'

Knowledge Bank) http://www.nationalstrategiescpd.org.uk/

The enrolment key is "improve"

NCSL

Website www.ncsl.org.uk/sips

School improvement partners' helpline 0845 601 3032

Email helpdesk sips@ncsl.org.uk

#### **Annex E**

#### FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

During the autumn term 2005 the first wave of the roll-out of school improvement partners to secondary schools and the extended pilot in primary schools commenced. During that period the National Strategies school improvement partner co-ordination team collated many of the questions raised by local authorities, heads teachers and governors about the process. Those questions have been summarised here.

1. Is there a legal requirement to employ school improvement partners?

The introduction of school improvement partners has widespread support from local authorities and from professional associations, especially head teacher associations. The Education and Inspections Bill 2006 includes a clause requiring local authorities to appoint school improvement partners.

2. Is there an agreed national rate of pay for school improvement partners? If not, why not?

No. As is the case for many other roles, it is for each authority to determine the rates it is prepared to pay.

3. Are primary school improvement partners going to operate in the same way as secondary school improvement partners?

Yes.

4. What is happening with special schools?

We are planning to introduce school improvement partners into special schools in three waves, in September 2007, January 2008 and April 2008.

5. What is the position on payment of travel costs and payment for attendance at CPD events?

School improvement partners would expect to be reimbursed for travel costs and for the four days per year they allocate to CPD. It is for local authorities to choose how to do this. One way is for school improvement partners to be specifically reimbursed for these costs. Alternatively, a school improvement partner's daily rate for time working with schools can be set at a high enough level to cover these costs.

6. Who manages the performance of school improvement partners?

School improvement partners work under contract to local authorities; so local authorities have the responsibility for school improvement partners' performance management. There is advice and guidance on this, as on many other aspects of the work of authorities with school improvement partners, in the National Strategies' Local Authority Advice and Guidance document which has been distributed to all designated staff in local authorities.

#### 7. What is the role of the National Strategies regional SIP co-ordinators?

Regional school improvement partners' co-ordinators provide assistance to local authorities in developing their school improvement partner function, act as the key National Strategies contact on policy matters relating to school improvement partners, and undertake quality assurance of the school improvement partner function across the region.

#### 8. Is there a national position on the reporting mechanism for school improvement partners?

School improvement partners will report to local authorities, head teachers and governors using local authorities' existing paperwork. School improvement partners' managers' meetings are used to share good practice.

9. Can we put in place our own recruitment process? How can we be sure that head teachers who have been moved on by local authorities are not getting through the screening process?

Local authorities' recruitment processes are an essential part of ensuring that the correct school improvement partners are matched to the correct schools. We recommend taking up references and interviewing as you would for any appointment.

10. How will school improvement partners' conversations support the outcomes of the priorities meetings? How will school improvement partners understand the multi-agency approach that has been taken by the local authority?

Every local authority will need to ensure that its school improvement partners are fully aware of local authority priorities before they start working with schools, and it will need to ensure that they are kept informed of those priorities throughout their time with the local authority. Similarly the local authority will wish to ensure that school improvement partners are fully aware of the way Children's Services are joined up within the local authority.

#### 11. Is there any additional funding for the management of school improvement partners?

The additional funding for local authorities to implement the school improvement partner function is £2,000 per secondary school and £770 per primary school, paid direct to the authority. This contribution is intended to support all aspects of the school improvement partner function, including the local authority's management of them.

#### 12. What is the relationship with Ofsted?

It is the local authority that has a relationship with Ofsted, not the school improvement partner. Local authorities will engage in regular dialogue with local managing inspectors from Ofsted about the performance of their schools. Local authorities will draw upon the information from their school improvement partners to inform these discussions. Protocols are provided on page 14.

#### 13. If the school improvement partner and Ofsted reach different conclusions, who is right?

School improvement partners and Ofsted have different functions, and as a result, their reports are different in scope. Nonetheless, from time to time, a school's improvement partner and Ofsted inspector will reach different conclusions on the same issue. Since their conclusions always include elements of judgement, there can be no expectation that school improvement partners and Ofsted will always agree, any more than there can be an expectation that two school improvement partners, or two Ofsted teams will always agree. Ofsted grades should generally be regarded as benchmark grades for the time that the inspection took place, but schools change over time. If schools are dissatisfied with the work of inspectors or school improvement partners, they can make use of Ofsted's or the local authority's complaints procedures.

# 14. If a school ignores a school improvement partner's advice, can the school improvement partner do anything about it?

The general presumption is that the school's decision should prevail. But if the disagreement warrants action, because the school is failing to tackle adequately an issue that needs serious action, it may be appropriate for the local authority to intervene in the school; in every case, the situation should be discussed with the local authority's school improvement partners' manager.

# 15. What should the school improvement partner do if a school rates itself higher in its SEF than the school improvement partner judges appropriate?

The core function of the school improvement partner is to challenge and support the school. Where a school's self-evaluation is unrealistic - in either direction - the school improvement partner must challenge this and persuade and influence the school to register a more realistic evaluation and grade. If the disagreement between the school and the school improvement partner is not resolved, the school improvement partner should record his or her own judgements in his or her report on the school.

# 16. Can someone work as a school improvement partner even if their own governing body refuses permission?

Any serving teacher needs their school governors' support in order to apply to be a school improvement partner. To secure that support, the prospective school improvement partner should be satisfied that the school's systems are sufficiently robust to allow their release. They should be able to show the governing body how the school will function in their absence, using the fee for the school improvement partner work. The independent evaluation of the NRwS trials in 2004/05 and the experience of the first wave roll-out of school improvement partners in secondary schools, show that school improvement partners' own schools benefit significantly from their school improvement partner work outside the school.

# 17. If a school goes into Special Measures or requires significant improvement, what is the responsibility/accountability of the school improvement partner?

If a school is fragile and in danger of being judged to require special measures or significant improvement, then the job of the school improvement partner is to discuss this with the leadership of the school and raise the concerns with the local authority. If the school improvement partner has not realised the fragility of the school and as a consequence is surprised that the school is found to require special measures or significant improvement, then the employing authority will undoubtedly wish to have a conversation with the school improvement partner as to how this has come about. However, the school is accountable for the position it finds itself in.

# 18. What say will schools have in the allocation of school improvement partners to take account of the schools faith, dimension etc?

A school has the right to be consulted over the choice of its school improvement partner and may request a school improvement partner sympathetic to the school's faith affiliation. But the final decision on school improvement partner allocation is for the school's maintaining authority. It is a key expectation of school improvement partners that they should be able to respond to the ethos and context of schools of any type. Link advisers have not in the past been allocated to faith schools on the basis of their faith: we would not expect it to be any different with the deployment of school improvement partners.

# 19. Does the role of the school improvement partner necessarily change if a school goes into special measures or requires significant improvement?

Where a school is in special measures or requires significant improvement then it has follow up section 8 inspections. The framework for section 8 inspections requires Ofsted to comment upon the support a school is receiving from the local authority. The school improvement partner will have a key role in this support, but others will also make significant contributions.

# 20. If a school improvement partner has concerns about the local authority's response to reports on a school, what should he or she do?

The school improvement partner should contact the regional school improvement partners' co-ordinator.